

Those listed

GRO-C

6/1/83 🐇

ACM:

5. J. Ojala succe Potential AIDS Donor COPPES TO:

N.F. Schaeffler

F. Geles

≳√उग

K. Fischer

J. Hjerth.

:==sol1

J. Cherry

R. Rousell

ويحون

B. Modersbach

G. Nakamarra

M. Sternberg

We received notification from Jackie Parrish from the Onlando Blood Center that a domor bled on November 11, 1982 may have bad AIDS. The plasma was processed into a lot of Krates (NC 8454) and the 2783 vials were released on March 14, 1985. The product has been distributed to the field. Considering the recent Hyland recall of Arraplex and the adverse publicity relative to AIDS in the media, we met on May 31, 1983 to consider the course of action for this situation.

We agreed that further clinical information was required to assess the diagnosis of AIDS. Dr. Rousell contacted the county health authority and found that the donor was admitted to Ducerne Hospital on April 30, 1983. He was a sexually active honosexual and complained of distincts. fever, some threat and smollen glands. The examination revealed high fever, swollen regional lymph glands, acute and chronic rectal and abdominal bleeding, herpes I and II lesions and gonomies. He also had a yeast-like streptoctal throat infection and seebiasis. The laboratory tests were reported to be inconclusive for AIDS. He was discharged from the hospital on May 6, 1983 and has been classified as a pertrament domor reject by the county health arriborities and plasms centers. All contact with this donor has been lost and we could not confirm the rupor that he had subsequently died. We agreed that the diagresis for AIIS could not be absolutely emfirmed based on the available information.

I contected Drs. Petricciani and Donahue of the FDA to solicit their reconnecdations on this situation. Their suggestion was that we not take any action to recall this lot until after a meeting in Mashington next week. They feel that the risk is not definable in these situations, and a precedent could be established whereby the samply of concentrates would be jeopadized because of the large number of potential AIDS denors. The meeting next week will involve the four manufacturers of concentrates, the FDA, the CDC, the Blood Advisory Panel and representatives from the user's (the National Hemophilia Foundation, for example). The purpose of this meeting would be to develop a working principle to use in these situations. The FIM is sware of the legal implications in these cases and will attempt to assist the industry via appropriate action. They are soliciting any recommendations that we might have on working principles.

Based on the recommendations of the FDA and the lack of absolute confirmation of a diagnosis of AUS for the donor, we agreed to postpone any decision until next week

HEET STREET

CUTTER cutter

To: Those Listed Date 6/1/83

From: S.J. Oiala

Subject: Potential AIDS Donor Copies to:

W. F. Schaeffler F. Geks J. Ryan
K. Fischer J. Hjerth J. Huxsoll
J. Cherry R. Rousell A. Leong
B. Modersbach M. Sternberg E. Cutter

G. Nakamura

We received notification from Jackie Parrish from the Orlando Blood Center that a donor bled on November 11, 1982 may have had AIDS. The plasma was processed into a lot of Koate (NC 8454) and the 2783 vials were released on March 14, 1983. The product has been distributed to the field. Considering the recent Hyland recall of Autoplex and the adverse publicity relative to AIDS in the media, we met on May 31, 1983 to consider the course of action for this situation.

We agreed that further clinical information was required to assess the diagnosis of AIDS. Dr. Rousell contacted the county health authority and found that the donor was admitted to Lucerne Hospital on April 30, 1983. He was a sexually active homosexual and complained of dizziness, fever, sore throat and swollen glands. The examination revealed high fever, swollen regional lympth glanse, acute and chronic rectal and abdominal bleeding, herpes I and II lesions and gonorrhea. He also had a yeast-like streptococcal throat infection and ?????????.* The laboratory tests were reported to be inconclusive for AIDS. He was discharged from the hospital on May 6, 1983 and has been classified as a permanent donor reject by the county health authorities and plasma centers. All contact with this donor has been lost and we could not confirm the rumor that had has subsequently died. We agreed that the diagnosis for AIDS could not be absolutely confirmed based on the available information.

I contacted Drs. Petricciani and Donahue of the FDA to solicit their recommendations on this situation. Their suggestion was that we not take any action to recall this lot until after a meeting in Washington next week. They feel that the risk is not definable in these situations, and a precedent could be established whereby the supply of concentrates, would be jeopardized because of the large number of potential AIDS donors. The meeting next week will involve the four manufactures of concentrates, the FDA, the CDC, the Blood Advisory Panel and representatives from the user's (the National Hemophilia foundation, for example). The purpose of this meeting would be to develop a working principle to use in these situations. The FDA is aware of the legal implications in these cases and will attempt to assist the industry via appropriate action. They are soliciting any recommendations that we might have on working principles.

Based on the recommendations of the FDA and the lack of absolute confermation of a diagnosis of AIDS for the donor, we agreed to postpone any decision until next week.

Plaintiff's Exh. #0313 PLTF006728