



**"Fw: FURTHER ADVICE REQUESTED ON THE MAIN RECOMMENDATIONS FROM LORD ARCHER'S REPORT ON PATIENTS WHO CONTRACTED HIV &/OR HEPATITIS C THROUGH NHS TREATMENT WITH BLOOD/BLOOD PRODUCTS."**

Document Type:	Formal
File Title:	Private Office : MS(CS) - Third sector including s.64 and social enterprise
File Reference:	YMR/019
	No Marking
Filed by:	Katie Ratcliffe/PR-OFF/DOH/GB on 27/07/2009 at 13:01
Created by:	Olivia Butterworth on 07/04/2009 at 21:53

**Named Security Prior To Moving To Archive:**

Nobody
Katie Ratcliffe/PR-OFF/DOH/GB
All readers of the document database

Olivia Butterworth/OIS/DOH  
07/04/2009 21:53

To "Katie Ratcliffe" <Katie.Ratcliffe@GRO-C>  
cc  
bcc  
Subject: Fw: FURTHER ADVICE REQUESTED ON THE MAIN RECOMMENDATIONS FROM LORD ARCHER'S REPORT ON PATIENTS WHO CONTRACTED HIV &/OR HEPATITIS C THROUGH NHS TREATMENT WITH BLOOD/BLOOD PRODUCTS.

Hi Katie  
Could we discuss this? I'm off until the 15th but happy to speak before I'm back if useful.  
We are continuing to work with the policy team very productively. I thought this was interesting.  
O  
Olivia Butterworth  
Third Sector Partnership Team  
Department of Health  
Mobile: GRO-C  
Message sent from a Blackberry handheld device.  
Rowena Jecock

**From:** Rowena Jecock  
**Sent:** 07/04/2009 10:05 GDT  
**To:** Carolyn Heaney; Olivia Butterworth  
**Cc:** Ailsa Wight; Patrick Hennessy; Ian Matthews  
**Subject:** Fw: FURTHER ADVICE REQUESTED ON THE MAIN RECOMMENDATIONS FROM LORD ARCHER'S REPORT ON PATIENTS WHO CONTRACTED HIV &/OR HEPATITIS C THROUGH NHS TREATMENT WITH BLOOD/BLOOD PRODUCTS.

Carolyn, and Olivia o/r,

Please see attached note from MS(PH)'s office which I note is not cc'd to Phil Hope's office or to you.

I will start to prepare a new submission, and will cc to the same list as I used last time. I will have to include core funding for the Haem Soc as an option, but will not be recommending it.

Will run draft past you - but am on leave next week so Morven's timeline may not be met.  
Rowena

Message sent from a Blackberry handheld device.  
Morven Smith

**From:** Morven Smith  
**Sent:** 06/04/2009 17:15 GDT  
**To:** Rowena Jecock; Elizabeth Woodeson  
**Cc:** Ailsa Wight; Beatrix Sneller; Brian Bradley; David Harper; Freya Lock; Ian Matthews; Judith Moore; Patrick Hennessy; Penelope Irving; Sarah Kirby; Richard Murray; Richard Douglas; Clare Montagu; Mario Dunn; Yemi Fagun  
**Subject:** Re: FURTHER ADVICE REQUESTED ON THE MAIN RECOMMENDATIONS FROM LORD ARCHER'S REPORT ON PATIENTS WHO CONTRACTED HIV &/OR HEPATITIS C THROUGH NHS TREATMENT WITH BLOOD/BLOOD PRODUCTS.

Dear Liz and Rowena,

Thank you for your submission on the Archer Inquiry Recommendations. Thank you for pointing out the difficulties involved in MS(PH)'s preferred approach. However, in the submission's current form it does not address the Minister's concerns.

The Minister's objectives are as follows:

- A set of proposals that she can present to SofS as to what we can do to respond to the Inquiry's recommendations. These proposals will need to give options of how to approach each recommendation.
- She and SofS have already agreed that the UK-wide statutory committee is not a good idea, but they would like to pursue the idea of supporting the Haemophilia Society to have a greater advisory role. How do they best go about doing this?
- A way to put the Haemophilia Society on reasonably secure funding. She wants to know how to give them core funding in recognition of their work and wants agreement for DH to meet with them annually to discuss all issues relating to their cohort. I understand the difficulties regarding moving away from Government policy, but both SofS and MS(PH) want to do this. Can you please explore this idea? What the Minister really needs to know is how what she wants can be achieved, how much it would cost, the cons of doing so and what else could be done instead.
- She would like to know that the Haemophilia Society has been engaged as part of this process in responding to the recommendations.
- She would like the team to provide more information about how to redress insurance access imbalances.
- She is fully aware of Professor Gilmore's review.

What the Minister would really like are options on how to respond to the Archer Inquiry in the most positive way possible. She would like to see a submission which responds to her steers and sets out the options she prefers and how they might be achieved alongside the pros and cons. However, she would also like to see further options which might also provide a robust response to Lord Archer's recommendations.

I wonder if the Minister might have a clearer understanding of the options if the submission was structured differently. I think your work on the financial options was

lost by it being in an Annex. It might be helpful if you structured the submission so that it takes each Archer recommendation in turn and then sets out:

1. Recommendation from Report
2. Option; Pros & Cons of Option; Financial Implications of Option; Presentation - how this will be received?;
3. Repeat for all other options
4. Your Recommendation of which option MS(PH) should pursue.

This structure will make it clearer for MS(PH) to evaluate each option whilst taking into account all implications and your advice on these options. I hope these suggestions are helpful.

Thank you for looking again at the financial questions around MFT, ET and SF. The Minister will consider these further alongside other options in a revised submission. Paragraph 4 is a good example of a con regarding the financial relief schemes, but it would be good to see what other cons and what pros there are.

Can you please get a revised submission to me **by 2pm on Thursday 16 April?**

If any of this is unclear or the deadline is not workable, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Thank you,

Morven Smith

Assistant Private Secretary  
Minister of State for Public Health  
Department of Health  
Richmond House  
**GRO-C**

**\*\*MS(PH)'s box closes at 2pm Monday to Wednesday and 12midday on Thursday.  
Please note MS(PH) does not have a box on Friday.\*\***

Rowena Jecock/PH6/DOH/GB

Rowena  
Jecock/PH6/DOH/GB  
31/03/2009 11:04

To Morven Smith/POLICY/DOH/GB@GRO-C  
cc Penelope Irving/OIS/DOH@GRO-C Sarah  
Kirby/OIS/DOH@GRO-C Matthew  
Fogarty/EPD/DOH/GB@GRO-C Katie Ratcliffe/PR-  
OFF/DOH/GB@GRO-C Mike Davies/SC6/DOH/GB@GRO-C  
Joanne Jones/OIS/DOH@GRO-C Clare  
Montagu/OIS/DOH@GRO-C Mario Dunn/OIS/DOH@GRO-C  
Freya Lock/MPI-PPB/DOH/GB@GRO-C Beatrix  
Sneller/OIS/DOH@GRO-C David  
Harper/HPIHSD/DOH/GB@GRO-C Sian  
Jarvis/COMMS/DOH/GB@GRO-C Richard  
Douglas/FD/DOH/GB@GRO-C Richard  
Murray/EOR3/DOH/GB@GRO-C Gareth  
Jones/HPIHSD/DOH/GB@GRO-C Elizabeth  
Woodeson/CQEG/DOH/GB@GRO-C Ailsa  
Wight/PH6/DOH/GB@GRO-C Jonathan Stopes-Roe/HP-

SL/DOH/GB@[GRO-C] Brian Bradley/HP-SL/DOH/GB@[GRO-C]  
Michael Rogers/OIS/DOH@[GRO-C] Ian Matthews/PR-  
OFF/DOH/GB@[GRO-C] Patrick  
Hennessy/POLICY/DOH/GB@[GRO-C] Murray  
Devine/OIS/DOH@[GRO-C] Catherine Pearson/PR-  
OFF/DOH/GB@[GRO-C] Richard Kelly/PR-  
OFF/DOH/GB@[GRO-C] Carolyn Heaney/NUR-  
PPI/DOH/GB@[GRO-C] Olivia Butterworth/OIS/DOH@[GRO-C]  
Dilip Chauhan/POLICY/DOH/GB@[GRO-C] Mary  
Simpson/PPD/DOH/GB@[GRO-C] John Sherriff/MPI-  
CCE/DOH/GB@[GRO-C] Steve Pugh/HCSQ-  
S&SS/DOH/GB@[GRO-C] Peter  
Bennett/SAT/DOH/GB@[GRO-C] Stephen  
Dobra/SAT/DOH/GB@[GRO-C] Richard Lawes/FPB-  
PFIC/DOH/GB@[GRO-C] Ian Hudson@[GRO-C]  
Nigel.Goulding@[GRO-C] Judith  
Moore/OIS/DOH@[GRO-C] "Kent Graham LEGAL GROUP DH  
LEGAL SERVICES" <Graham.Kent@[GRO-C]  
"Cohen Paula LEGAL GROUP DH LEGAL SERVICES"  
<Paula.Cohen@[GRO-C]  
sylvia.shearer@[GRO-C]  
caroline.lewis@[GRO-C]  
karen.simpson@[GRO-C]

Subject FURTHER ADVICE REQUESTED ON THE MAIN  
RECOMMENDATIONS FROM LORD ARCHER'S  
REPORT ON PATIENTS WHO CONTRACTED HIV &/OR  
HEPATITIS C THROUGH NHS TREATMENT WITH  
BLOOD/BLOOD PRODUCTS.

Morven,

Further advice on Lord Archer's recommendations, as requested by MS(PH), with many  
thanks to those colleagues who contributed.



MS(PH) re Archer final 31-March-2009.doc

Rowena