

PAM  
Pl. ask Paul for \*

GRO-C: E.S.

Mr P Z Pudlo  
CA-OPU2  
DHMTA014  
Department of Health

Item posted: Wed 7 Jun 95 14:04  
Message ID: PC1014-950607140437-4548

F. Hec  
pam -

To: Mr R M T Scofield  
CA-OPU  
DHMTA014  
Department of Health

Subject: HEPATITIS C

RESTRICTED - POLICY

Mr Scofield

From : Paul Pudlo CA-OPU2

Date : 7 June 1995

HEPATITIS C - MEETING OF MINISTERS 7 JUNE 1995

1. This a bried note of the above meeting in advance of the official version.
2. As well as M(H), PS(H), Dr Metters and Perm Sec, Carolyn Fairbairn, Mike Brownlee, Charles Blake, Peter Thompson attended. After a thorough review of both HCV and CJD, M(H) concluded that before the question of ex-gratia payments on HCV could be decided further work was needed on legal vulnerability. To an extent this conclusion had been prompted by the Solicitor's suggestion that the Consumer Protection Act may be relevant. It was accepted that we were more vulnerable on CJD but that the econmics contained in the submission were suspect and needed revision.
3. The legal argument was that although the Crown was relatively safe in tremns of a common law case on the basis of negligence since 1988 Consumer Protection legislation could be applied on the basis of a defective product. In which case a defence that relied on earlier tests producing too many false positives and negatives could be insufficient since the burden of proof shifts to the Department. It was also recognised that to lose in respect of any one group would make it extremely difficult to resist payments in respect of another - eg confining it to the 1990-1991 cases would be problematic.
4. Dr Metters raised the point that a number of tests had been resisted on the grounds that they would not be cost-effective. In this respect he later showed me a paper he has prepared for the Public Health Board, which I will copy seperately if you haven't seen it. \*
5. I am not convinced that blood could ever be caught under the CPAct since it is not a product. Blood products are a different matter but we are more secure against challenge in that respect. The nub of the meeting's conclusion is that we and Dr Rejman will have to liaise with SOL on what information they need to provide a solid legal view - reference to Council seems inevitable. No doubt you will wish to discuss on your return.

F. Mac  
Payment

Mr P Z Pudlo  
CA-OPU2  
DHMTA014  
Department of Health

Item posted: Mon 5 Jun 95 16:30  
Message ID: PC1014-950605163013-206E

To: Mr David Abrahams  
PR/OFF  
DHMTA003  
Department of Health

John Holden  
PR/OFF  
DHMTA003  
Department of Health

Mr Andrew Hollebon  
PR/OFF  
DHMTA003  
Department of Health

Cc: Elizabeth Woodeson  
PR/OFF  
DHMTA003  
Department of Health

Ben Dyson  
Pr/Off  
DHMTA003  
Department of Health

Dr J S Metters  
Pr/Off  
DHMTA003  
Department of Health

Mr J Shaw  
NHSME  
DHMTA011  
Department of Health

Mr G Podger  
HPA  
DHMTA026  
Department of Health  
Tel.

C.G. Blake  
NCT001  
Department of Social Security  
Domain: DSS, Gold 400, GB

Mr R M T Scofield  
CA-OPU  
DHMTA014  
Department of Health

Dr A Rejman  
SCS B5  
DHMTA014  
Department of Health