

GRO-A

Worcester GRO-A

17th of June 2009

Dear Lord Morris

I hope you are well and I am grateful that you are continuing to pursue the government regarding their refusal to accept Lord Archer's report and recommendations.

I have enclosed an article from a recent Private Eye publication, because it is significant from a legal stance in that the lawyer mentioned Michael Napier is the same lawyer who controlled the 1991 litigation which divided the haemophilia community by acknowledging only the HIV virus. He was also responsible for the illegal waiver that HIV haemophiliacs were forced to sign prohibiting them from claiming for any other blood borne infections they might acquire!

In the same year all haemophiliacs including myself were asked to attend haemophilia centers to be tested for Hepatitis C. I think it is clear that the Department of Health knew that we were all infected with hepatitis C including the HIV haemophiliacs. GRO-A says she believes that Michael Napier brokered a deal with the government for the HIV litigants in the full knowledge that he, solicitors and the DOH knew the Hepatitis C infection was a much bigger problem. GRO-A told me that one of the junior lawyers withdrew from representing his client because he said "I am not prepared to lie anymore"!

It is worrying that Michael Napier is now acting as a government adviser responsible for regulating lawyers. He may very well be advising the Government and the Department of Health regarding Lord Archers report and recommendations. I would be most grateful if you could pass this information on to Lord Archer, as it may well explain why Dawn Primorolo did not offer any form of recompense to haemophiliacs and widows, despite Lord Archer's findings that commercial interests were put ahead of public health. I look forward to hearing from you and would welcome your thoughts on this matter, as GRO-A and I think there is good reason to challenge the Department of Health's legal advisers

Kind Regards	GRO-A
GRO-A	i 