



"Urgent Freedom of Information request for Internal review of decision - Case 1923R"

Document Type:	Formal
File Title:	GHP - Blood Policy - Blood : General - Freedom of Information (FOI) Cases - General
File Reference:	GHP/005/001/021/001 Vol 3
Protective Marking:	No Marking
Filed by:	Zubeda Seedat/PH6/DOH/GB on 17/11/2006 at 10:29
Created by:	Zubeda Seedat on 12/07/2006 at 14:09

Named Security Prior To Moving To Archive:

Who can edit?	Nobody
Who has edited?	Zubeda Seedat/PH6/DOH/GB
Who can read?	All readers of the document database

Modification History Prior To Moving To Archive:

Modified Date and Time	Details
27/01/2009 15:45	Refiled from GHP/005/001/021
17/03/2009 15:13	Refiled from GHP/005/001/021 Vol 2
18/08/2009 15:05	Modified registered file
08/02/2012 16:45	Refiled from GHP/005/001/021/001 Vol 2 to GHP/005/001/021/001 Vol 3

Zubeda Seedat
12/07/2006 14:09

To: Jill
Moorcroft/ISD4/DOH/GB
cc: Ailsa
Wight/PH6/DOH/GB@GRO-C; William Connon/PD-
PMD/DOH/GB@GRO-C; Linda Page/SSU/DOH/GB@GRO-C; Pauline
Agboke/ISD4/DOH/GB@GRO-C
bcc:
Subject: Urgent Freedom of
Information request for Internal review of decision - Case 1923R

Jill,

Further to Pauline's e-mail I attach advice on the handling of this case.

Hope this helps.

Zubeda



DE_103613 GRO-A returned doc appeal.doc



- Ailsa min..doc



- DOH REVIEW OF HIV Hep C DOCUMENTS.doc2.doc

Zubeda Seedat
General Health Protection

GRO-C

----- Forwarded by Zubeda Seedat/PH6/DOH/GB on 12/07/2006 13:57 -----

MB-DH-FOI-Request

Sent by: Pauline Agboke

27/06/2006 09:22

Seedat/PH6/DOH/GB@GRO-C

To: Zubeda

cc:

bcc:

Subject: Urgent Freedom of
Information request for Internal review of decision - Case 1923R

Dear Zubeda,

The Freedom of Information Unit have received a request for internal review of an original decision made on 22 June 2006 on a FOI request which was answered by you. The request for internal review, plus the original request and response are attached for your convenience.

The requester has produced further evidence/argument as to why they believe the original decision was incorrect.

Could you consider whether there are any changes to the original decision in the light of the applicants comments or due to the length or time since the decision made or by the nature of the material concerned.

Grateful for advice and a draft contribution that I can feed into the review response the FOI Unit will be sending as soon as possible ideally by **Tuesday 11 July**.

This case has been referred to the Department for Constitutional Affairs clearing house so any draft will be seen by them.

Any problems please let me know.

Regards

Ms Pauline A. Agboke,
Freedom of Information
Department of Health,
Room 363C Skipton House
London Road, SE1 6LH
Direct Line: GRO-C GTN GRO-C

Request for Internal Review:

The Government has always told us that if the victims of the infected blood disaster could prove negligence then the government would have no problem in compensating victims of this disaster.

How are the victims such as myself going to accomplish this when we are told that because of red tape we are again denied the information that would probably do this.

remember the government has already admitted to destroying over 600 documents relating to this disaster.

How are people like myself ever going to trust this government to be honest with these documents, or will the parts that are damning to this government be once again lost to a shredder.

I am not at all happy with your reply and again request these documents under the F.O I. Act.

I cannot refine my request because I do not know what documents have been returned to the Department of Health.

As I have stated I wish to escalate this into an inquiry with the relevant people.

I await your reply.

Initial request and response:



Reply_22_06_2006_vs_0[2].1.html



GRO-A initial request.txt