

<INPUT TYPE=button onClick="javascript:history.go(-1)" VALUE="Back">

NHS-CE Documents - Unformatted Document

**"Re: For action: Any news on date on review publication?
Re: PQ06110 Morris Starred Skipton Fund"**

File Reference:	CEX/001/001
File Title:	NHS Chief Exec - Correspondence - January 2006
Filed by:	Chris Pakouta/PR-OFF/DOH/GB on 31/01/2006 at 13:10
Created by:	Chris Pakouta/PR-OFF/DOH/GB on 31/01/2006 at 12:59

, <- By default all readers can see document.

Document Security:

Who can read? All readers of this document database

Modification History:

23/02/2007 11:45 (Andrew Nicholas) - Andrew Nicholas/ISD4/DOH/GB copied and pasted.
26/02/2007 14:12 (MEDS Support) - Refiled from COX/005/001

Chris Pakouta

31/01/2006 12:59

Cockayne/EPD/DOH/GB@GRO-C
OFF/DOH/GB@GRO-C

To: David

cc: Gregory Madden/PR-

bcc:

Subject: Re: For action:

Any news on date on review publication?
Re: PQ06110 Morris Starred Skipton Fund

David,

Yes, we do have one.

I have spoken to Zubeda again today, she has asked Richard Edwards to provide a date for the review before she can draft the reply.

Chris

David Cockayne

David Cockayne

To:

Chris

DHSC5903511_0001

31/01/2006 12:00

Pakouta/PR-OFF/DOH/GB@GRO-C

cc:

Gregory

Madden/PR-OFF/DOH/GB@GRO-C

bcc:

Subject:

For action: Any

news on date on review publication?

Re: PQ06110 Morris

Starred Skipton Fund

Chris

Do we have an outstanding letter on lord jenkins - can you speak to zubeda about getting this reply through by the end of the week, as becca says.

d

Private Secretary to Sir Nigel Crisp

GRO-C

----- Forwarded by David Cockayne/EPD/DOH/GB on 31/01/2006 11:59 -----

Rebecca Spavin

31/01/2006 09:57

To:

Zubeda

Seedat/PH6/DOH/GB@GRO-C

cc:

David

Cockayne/EPD/DOH/GB@GRO-C Ailsa

Wight/PH6/DOH/GB@GRO-C Jacky Buchan/PR-

OFF/DOH/GB@GRO-C Rowena Jecock/PH6/DOH/GB@GRO-C

William Connon/PD-PMD/DOH/GB@GRO-C Ruth

Cuthbert/POLICY/DOH/GB@GRO-C

bcc:

Subject:

For action: Any

news on date on review publication?

Re: PQ06110 Morris

Starred Skipton Fund

Zubeda,

We spoke last week.

Late yesterday evening I had a phone call from Lord Jenkin, asking for information on when he could expect to receive a letter/response from Sir Nigel on the review (See MS(D) response in Bold to the January 12 question below).

We discussed that it would be better to send the letter with a date of publication, rather than holding reply. I would like to email lord Jenkin back today with some good news, if at all possible - i.e. a letter will be sent by the end of this week that contains a publication date.

I would be grateful if you could confirm that this is possible or let me know what I should/could tell Lord Jenkin.

Apologies for this as I do realise you are hard pressed at the moment,

Happy to discuss,

Becca

Lord Jenkin of Roding: My Lords, do the Government intend to publish a review of the whole sad story of contaminated blood products and of the haemophiliacs and others who have been infected with

12 Jan 2006 : Column 300

HIV and hepatitis C? Is the Minister aware that after my long perusal last year of a large number of files that passed across my desk on this subject as Secretary of State for Health, I was able to confirm, as I had been warned, that all the papers dealing with contaminated blood products have been destroyed? How can the review possibly be comprehensive and tell the whole story if the key papers on how these infections reached these patients have been pulped?

Lord Warner: My Lords, let me reassure the House that there has been no deliberate attempt to destroy past papers. Officials have established that during the HIV litigation in the 1990s, many papers from that period were recalled. We understand that papers were not adequately archived and were unfortunately destroyed in the early 1990s. Officials have also established that a number of files were marked for destruction in the 1990s. Clearly, that should not have happened. When it was discovered that files had been destroyed, an internal review was undertaken by officials. The results of that will be made known as soon as possible. **I know that the noble Lord has been in correspondence with the Permanent Secretary of the Department of Health and I understand that an answer will be sent to him on some of those issues as quickly as possible.**

Many Thanks
Many Thanks

Becca Spavin
APS/MS(D)

GRO-C

----- Forwarded by Rebecca Spavin/ICB/DOH/GB on 31/01/2006 09:51 -----

Rebecca Spavin

27/01/2006 12:42

To:

Seedat/PH6/DOH/GB@**GRO-C**

Zubeda

cc:

Ailsa

Wight/PH6/DOH/GB@**GRO-C**

Jacky Buchan/PR-

OFF/DOH/GB@**GRO-C**

Rowena Jecock/PH6/DOH/GB@**GRO-C**

William Connon/PD-PMD/DOH/GB@**GRO-C**


Cuthbert/POLICY/DOH/GB@**GRO-C**

Ruth

bcc:

Subject:

Re: PQ06110

Morris Starred Skipton Fund 

Zuneda,

Thanks for this.

I ask that the letter I commissioned in the email below includes the date of publication for the review - I just know that MS(D) will push for this!

happy to discuss,

Becca

Gerry,

Please see attached a letter regarding haemophiliacs that requires a draft reply from MS(D) - i would be grateful for a reply by **noon Tuesday 31 January**. I will also send a hard copy in case it is hard to read.

MS(D) written notes suggests that this letter raises 2 specific allegations that previous briefing would suggest are untrue

1. that some alleged destroyed documents are in fact available
2. that they and other material show that the government in some form knowingly imported blood products from a source (i.e. Arkansas prison system) known to be unreliable in terms of contamination.

MS(D) has also asked for a note on 2 allegations.

MS(D) has also asked when exactly the review is going to be published. I think this date should be in either the letters I commissioned for this Friday or this particular draft reply.

Happy to discuss,

BeccaMany Thanks

Becca Spavin
APS/MS(D)

GRO-C