



"RE: Submission - Lord Archer's inquiry on haemophiliacs infected with hepatitis C"

Document Type:	Formal
File Title:	DH Perm Sec - Health Protection
File Reference:	PSU/039
	No Marking
Filed by:	Gregory Hartwell/PR-OFF/DOH/GB on 26/03/2007 at 16:01
Created by:	Gregory Hartwell on 26/03/2007 at 16:00

Named Security Prior To Moving To Archive:

Nobody
Gregory Hartwell/PR-OFF/DOH/GB
All readers of the document database

Gregory Hartwell
26/03/2007 16:00

PMD/DOH/GB

To: William Connon/PD-

cc: Ailsa

Wight/PH6/DOH/GB@GRO-C Elizabeth
Woodeson/CQEG/DOH/GB@GRO-C Jonathan Stopes-Roe/HP-
SL/DOH/GB@GRO-C Zubeda Seedat/PH6/DOH/GB@GRO-C

bcc:

Subject: RE: Submission -
Lord Archer's inquiry on haemophiliacs infected with hepatitis
C

William,

Thanks for this. Hugh had already seen Liz's submission and I felt that, since he'd already agreed Liz's stance, he didn't need to see these comments at this point (since, as you say, Sol's comments seem to also support Liz's recommendation). However, I'd suggest Sol's views be incorporated into the covering note when you put the redrafted letter up to MS(PH) and obviously you'll need to consider their suggestion about changing that particular phrase.

Thanks,

Greg

Greg Hartwell
Assistant Private Secretary to Hugh Taylor
Department of Health Permanent Secretary
Tel = **GRO-C**

William Connon

William Connon

26/03/2007 14:05

To: Gregory
Hartwell/PR-OFF/DOH/GB@GRO-C
cc: Elizabeth
Woodeson/CQEG/DOH/GB@GRO-C Jonathan Stokes-Roe/HP-
SL/DOH/GB@GRO-C Ailsa Wight/PH6/DOH/GB@GRO-C Zubeda
Seedat/PH6/DOH/GB@GRO-C
bcc:
Subject: RE: Submission
- Lord Archer's inquiry on haemophiliacs infected with
hepatitis C

Greg,

I tried calling you to discuss the timing of Hugh's comments on the draft note for MS(PH) which Liz sent up on Friday. I am forwarding the attached comments from Sol as they contain a number of points which may influence Hugh's decision. I interpret them as Sol advising against DH involvement in this inquiry.

William G Connon
Department of Health
5th Floor
Wellington House
Waterloo Road
London
SE1 8EG

GRO-C

----- Forwarded by William Connon/PD-PMD/DOH/GB on 26/03/2007 14:00 -----

"Evans Mike LSPG
SOL C7"
<Mike.Evans1@GRO-C>
GRO-C
26/03/2007 10:15

To: William
Connon/PD-PMD/DOH/GB@GRO-C "Mallick Naomi LSPG SOL
C7" <Naomi.Mallick@GRO-C>, "Fox Vicky LSPG SOL
C7" <Vicky.Fox@GRO-C>, "Guest Helen LSPG SOL C7"
<Helen.Guest@GRO-C>, "Nutt Jenny LSPG SOL C7"
<Jenny.Nutt@GRO-C>, "Edmonds Tamlyn LSPG SOL
C7" <Tamlyn.Edmonds@GRO-C>
cc: Ailsa
Wight/PH6/DOH/GB@GRO-C Elizabeth
Woodeson/CQEG/DOH/GB@GRO-C Zubeda
Seedat/PH6/DOH/GB@GRO-C "Rahulan Shibani LSPG SOL C4"
<Shibani.Rahulan@GRO-C>, Colin Phillips/CQEG-
IIU/DOH/GB@GRO-C
bcc:
Subject: RE: Submission
- Lord Archer's inquiry on haemophiliacs infected with
hepatitis C

William

Thanks for sight of this. Others here may also have views, but my only concern (in the absence of any information about the background to this or what correspondence has gone before) is in the phrase in the letter: "However, we remain of the view that the Government of the day acted in good faith at the time and there is no evidence of any negligence or wrong doing." I suspect that this will provoke a request for the evidence to support that statement. Would it not be better to say that, having considered carefully the request for a public inquiry, we are of the view that an inquiry is not justified because [time, cost, distance in the past, systems have now changed so nothing to be gained...etc - Colin Philips in IIU can give you some wording).

As the inquiry will not have any powers, civil servants could not be forced to provide evidence. But if the

suggestion was made that they could, then no doubt the inquiry would draw adverse inferences from any refusal to do so. What legal advice would be available to DH officials who did attend if Ministers said they should? If officials made any statement that suggested negligence or even criminal liability, what indemnity would be available for them? Likewise statements that could be defamatory. There are rules about all this: depending on the circumstances Treasury approval may be required if liability could exceed £100k (in damages and costs of defending an action). I would also be concerned about the precedent that may be set here. But Colin can advise further.

I have copied this email to Shibani here who advises DH on inquiry matters in case she has any further comment.

Regards

Mike

Michael Evans
Office of the Solicitor
Department of Health &
Department for Work and Pensions
Room 549A New Court
48 Carey Street
London WC2A 2LS

tel: GRO-C

This email is confidential and intended for the recipient only. It may be protected by law as a privileged document, as may any attachments. If you receive this email in error, please notify the sender immediately using the reply function and then delete the email. Thank you

-----Original Message-----

From: Connon William DOH GSI

Sent: 26 March 2007 09:52

To: Mallick Naomi LSPG SOL C7; Fox Vicky LSPG SOL C7; Guest Helen LSPG SOL C7; Evans Mike LSPG SOL C7; Nutt Jenny LSPG SOL C7; Edmonds Tamlyn LSPG SOL C7

Cc: Wight Ailsa DOH GSI; Woodeson Elizabeth DOH GSI; Seedat Zubeda DOH GSI

Subject: Submission - Lord Archer's inquiry on haemophiliacs infected with hepatitis C

Importance: High

Following Karen Arnold's email of last week (23 March@ 17:24) I am not clear to whom I should address this so I am copying it to all of you.

The attached note was sent to the Perm Sec's office on Friday requesting that he clears it to go to ministers by lunchtime tomorrow. The note was drafted following a meeting with the Perm Sec and SofS at which SofS indicated that she wished to be as cooperative as possible with the proposed Lord Archer inquiry. As you will see we have continues to advise cautiously about any departmental involvement but have suggested a meeting with Lord Archer and colleagues.

If you do have any comments then I will need to receive then ASAP, I'm afraid. I will be about of the office for most of the morning but will be back after lunchtime.

Happy to discuss then.

William G Connon
Department of Health
5th Floor
Wellington House

Waterloo Road
London
SE1 8EG

GRO-C

----- Forwarded by William Connon/PD-PMD/DOH/GB on 26/03/2007 09:43 -----

Elizabeth
Woodeson
Sent by: Ros
Pyne
To: Gregory Hartwell/PR-OFF/DOH/GB@**GRO-C**
cc: Richard Kelly/PR-OFF/DOH/GB@**GRO-C** David
Harper/HPIHSD/DOH/GB@**GRO-C** Lindsey
Davies/HPIHSD/DOH/GB@**GRO-C** Jonathan
Stopes-Roe/HP-SL/DOH/GB@**GRO-C** Ailsa
23/03/2007 14:19 Wight/PH6/DOH/GB@**GRO-C** William Connon/PD-PMD/DOH/GB@**GRO-C**
bcc:
Subject: Submission - Lord Archer's inquiry on
haemophiliacs infected with hepatitis C

Please find attached a submission on Lord Archer's inquiry on haemophiliacs infected with hepatitis C.

(See attached file: Lord Archer Inquiry submission.doc)

Liz Woodeson
Director of Health Protection

Room 170
Richmond House
79 Whitehall
London

Tel: **GRO-C**
Mobile: **GRO-C**

-- Disclaimer --

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, any reading, printing, storage, disclosure, copying or any other action taken in respect of this e-mail is prohibited and may be unlawful. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by using the reply function and then permanently delete what you have received.

Incoming and outgoing e-mail messages are routinely monitored for compliance with the Department of Health's policy on the use of electronic communications. For more information on the Department of Health's e-mail policy click here <http://www.dh.gov.uk/terms>

This document is strictly confidential and is intended only for use by the addressee.
If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or other action taken in reliance of the information contained in this e-mail is strictly prohibited.
Any views expressed by the sender of this message are not necessarily those of the Department for Work and Pensions.
If you have received this transmission in error, please use the reply function to tell us and then permanently delete what you have received.
Please note: Incoming and outgoing e-mail messages are routinely monitored for compliance

with our policy on the use of electronic communications.
