Table 1A

f Million

	1989-90	1990-91	Change Real Cash Terms Change					
Annex				*				
Global HCHS Revenue spend	13,644.0	14,810.0	1,166.0	3.4				
A Non-Health Authority Budgets Global Health Authority spend	202.2 13,441.8	217.0 14,593.0						
less B Central Fund	10.9	12.5	1.6	8.9				
C Earmarked Allocations to Health Authorities, excluding								
NHS Review D NHS Review General Allocations of which SIFT excluding SIFT	388.7 77.0 12,965.2 318.5 12,646.7		999.: 34.:	128.8 3 2.6 7 5.6				

(Table 2 at the end of this minute summarises the components of these totals).

- 9. Overall our recommendations would mean that 5.7% of the HCHS revenue budget would not be available for general allocation compared to 5.4% in 1989-90. Almost two thirds of the increase of f165m is accounted for by the NHS Review. Top slicing flowing from PES for P2000, Waiting Lists, Hospices, and Cochlear implants (f41m) accounts for all but f16m of the remainder. However, Ministers will want to satisfy themselves as to the broad acceptability of the overall outcome and that their own policy objectives are properly secured.
- 10. Assuming Ministers are content, sums available for main allocations would be sufficient to provide on average real terms increase in cash limits of 2.5%. This figure would be 2.6% were it not that the Service Increment for Teaching rises significantly (£318 million to £353 million) as a result in part of the France Committee's recommendation but principally because of higher medical student numbers. Our present inclination, while the 2.5% is the sum on which the allocation decisions will pivot, is to bracket the extra SIFT money since all Regions will benefit and it is after all for services.

11. Specific issues (covered in the Annexes) that Ministers may wish to consider are:

Annex A. There are unresolved problems over the funding of R&D on the use of computers, payments to the Association of Community Health Councils for England and Wales (ACHCEW), the CBLA and PHLSB.

Annex C. Proposals for new top-slicing for hospices and cochlear implants and for an increase in the waiting list fund. We are proposing no cash increase for AIDS treatment and prevention.

Annex D. Ministers will wish to look particularly at NHS Trusts, where estimating is a problem; at the proposal to group together funding for personnel, finance staff and training in a single sum; and whether to create a central reserve.

Capital pre-emptions

12. The effect of reserving the sums recommended by Finance Division, compared with 1989-90 would be as follows:

Table 1B	£	Million
----------	---	---------

Annex	1989-90	1990-91	Change Cash	Real Terms Change%
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *				
Global HCHS capital spend	920.0	1,086.0	166.0	12.4
less	an me	~~ *	0 1	A 8"
E Non Health Authority	27.0	27.1	0.1	-4.6
Global Health Authority spend	893.0	1,058.9	165.9	12.9
less				
F Central Fund	3.4	8.9	5.5	147.8
	~ · ·	***		
Health Authorities, excluding				
NHS Review	139.0	174.9	35.9	19.8
D NHS Review	13.0	76.0	63.0	456.8
General Allocations	737.6	799.1	61.5	3.2
Collect transconding	A 400 A 400			

These proposals increase the proportion of capital top-sliced from just under 20% to 26%. But if NHS Review funding is set aside the proportion falls to 19%.

13. The only issue to which we would specifically draw Ministers' attention is the proposal to fund the development of digital radiology in Annex F.

Conclusion

- 14. The Secretary of State is invited to consider and accept Finance Divisions recommendations on:
 - i. the amount of revenue to be pre-empted from general allocations (Annexes A to D); and
 - ii. the amount of capital to be pre-empted from general allocations (Annexes D to G).

GRO-C

JOHN H JAMES FA ROOM 544 RH EXT GRO-C

Copies: Mr Davey PS/MSH Mrs Kirk PS/PSH Miss Gwynn PS/PS Mrs Westbrook PS/CEO Mr Carpenter PS/Sir Roy Dr McInnes PS/CMO Ms Keswick Spec Adv Mr Hart NHSME Mr Mayne PEFO Mr Heppell PG Mr Wormald NHSME Mr Fairey NHSME Mr Mills NHSME Ms Masters NHSME Dr Oliver MED Dr Abrams MED Dr Metters MED Mrs Poole CNO Mr Hale CMP Mr Merifield RL Mr Pearce EPMD Ms Christopherson ID Ms Stuart FB Mr Garland FA1 Mr Harris FA2