#### PLASMA SUPPLY -

#### NATIONAL BLOOD TRANSFUSION SERVICE

A meeting of the Regional Transfusion Directors was held at the Blood Products Laboratory on Monday, 28th March 1983, to receive information concerning the future requirements for increased supply of plasma for fractionation. A request was made that the data, with a supporting commentary, should be made available for further consideration. The data are detailed for Transfusion Directors; it does not follow that this data, in the form presented, is appropriate for presentation to Authority officers or DHSS committees - in fact it could be counter-productive. The object of providing the data is to make possible further study of national and regional requirements to increase procurement of plasma on a controlled basis and with assurance about the related cost effectiveness of procedures.

#### INTRODUCTION

The Blood Products Laboratory is in the process of being recast in a form which can meet NHS requirements for components based on human plasma and at a standard identifiable with best practices in the pharmaceutical industry. Until a new factory is commissioned into manufacture, the intervening period has been described as the Interim Programme within which certain defined needs have been, or are being, resolved:

- 1. a new factory
- new management
- 3. new terms of reference
- relevant conditions for employment of staff.

. 1704

The intrinsic function of the Interim Programme is to prepare the existing Blood Products Laboratory for proper expansion into the new enlarged pharmaceutical manufacturing environment. For this purpose, the Interim Programme contains several phases of growth as part of the overall planned redevelopment, including the commissioning of the new factory and manufacture at self-sufficiency levels. In the Interim phased redevelopment, examples of various components include (1) rebuilding - designed to give the existing laboratory a nominal fractionation capacity approximating to 150,000 kg per annum; (2) a basis for increasing staffing in line with total manufacturing needs of the existing and new factories and meeting Good Manufacturing Practice; (3) new inputs from computerisation, engineering, quality control and R & D.

+7/350

An element of high priority in the Interim Programme is a qualitative and quantitative increase in the supply of plasma for fractionation: the reason for itemising other parts of the phased growth is to draw attention to the fact that plasma supply and all other functions of the organisation are inter-related since they collectively influence the growth in total resources. By the same reasoning, activities at the Blood Products Laboratory which influence resources, interact with the planning and redevelopment of the Regional Transfusion Services at most levels. It is apparent at BPL that growth in resources and increase in production are linked to each other and to the budgetary requirements of the organisation: effective growth can only take place at a rate approximating to the slowest changing parameter. Mobilisation of resources to meet the needs of the new Blood Products factory therefore presents a significant challenge, since the date for completion of the new factory is December 1985. If work were to be co-ordinated immediately on all NBTS matters concerned with increased plasma procurement, the rate of required growth would still pose significant problems.

#### REQUIRED GROWTH IN PLASMA SUPPLY

Estimated fresh frozen plasma input to BPL during the financial year 1982/3 will be 125,000 kg. The maximum capacity of BPL during the remainder of the Interim Period approximates to 150,000 kg FFP per annum; thus, a modest increase in fresh frozen plasma is still required to meet Interim production targets. This increase in supply is expected to take place early in the financial year 1983/84.

Nominal fractionation capacity of the new factory is 450,000 kg FFP + approximately 50,000 kg time-expired plasma and other source materials. In theory, the nominal transition in capacity occurs acutely in January 1986, but it is unrealistic to plan growth to match the profile of the theoretical manufacturing capacity. The sudden jump in requirements will need to be smoothed out by planning a steady growth in plasma supply and related resources between now and April 1988 which will permit routine manufacturing to occur up to December 1985 with planned simultaneous accumulation of excess stored FFP to buffer the sudden increase in demand of the new factory from January 1986 onwards. Figure 1 sets out one programme meeting this stepped demand: the buffer stock of FFP amounts to approximately 90,000 kg, i.e. a quantity comparable with 10 months' current input. The financial implications of developing this buffer stock of plasma are considerable and must be clearly defined for budgetary 4-7/35 i

-2-

purposes: data which follow provide a basis for assessment of plasma procurement costs and indicate that any forward estimates will be highly dependent upon systems for plasma collection which are to be employed.

- \_

#### REDEVELOPMENT OF THE BLOOD PRODUCTS LABORATORY

< · ·

Figure 2 shows in summary a preliminary schedule of the redevelopment. A capital sum of £21.1M has been allocated for the redevelopment and the necessary approvals obtained. It is intended that work commences on site at the beginning of May 1983 and that commissioning of plant and systems occurs during the first half of 1985. Process commissioning is planned to commence in July 1985 and final commissioning and take-over of the facility is due in December 1985.

The method for design, contracting and building allows for rapid progress which is seen as essential to achieve the economic targets for the laboratory on which the basic cost appraisal was made. For budget control purposes, the cash flow for rebuilding has been projected over the next 30 months, the profile being a typical S-curve: the bulk of expenditure occurs during 1984.

The mobilisation of associated resources, e.g. the build-up in plasma procurement, will need to parallel the building curve and <u>not</u> relate, in stepwise manner, to annual production estimates. If the latter were to occur, the main input of resources into plasma collection would not take place until after the new BPL had been commissioned - the result would be a serious manufacturing hiatus due to lack of source material, lasting three or more years.

#### PLANNING PLASMA RESOURCES

Supply of fresh frozen plasma needs to increase by nearly four times during four years. There have been numerous papers written about ways to collect 450,000 kg of FFP utilising the normal voluntary donor whole blood resource of NBTS (approximately 2.1M donations per annum) augmented by varying amounts of plasmapheresis by manual and machine methods. It is known that less than half the plasma requirement can be met using Recovered Plasma from normal blood donations unless substantially increased whole blood donation is performed, regardless of wastage considerations and their associated costs. It is therefore opportune that the development of blood collection, coupled to red cell resuspension in SAG-Mannitol or other optimal additive solutions, appears to provide the one feasible economic

47/352

method which meets NBTS requirements for plasma from whole blood.

In examining how plasma needs can be met, three systems have been analysed:

-4-

 Routine or Recovered Plasma from whole blood (single donation 190 ml per unit)

. .

.

- Single donation plasma from blood separated into SAG-M (single donation 290 ml per unit)
- Plasmapheresis donated plasma (Source Plasma-Human) (single donation 500 ml per unit).

In estimating distribution, it has been assumed that Routine Recovered Plasma or SAG-M plasma would be the methods of choice, with plasmapheresis making up any deficit.

For calculation purposes, plasma supply is based on provision of 100M international units of factor VIII, obtaining a yield of 225 iu factor VIII per kg plasma. Thus, the arithmetic plasma requirement is 444,000 kg per annum: for most purposes, this is rounded up to 450,000 kg.

A series of simple matrices has been developed as set out in Figures 3, 4 and 5, showing how the requirement can be met by varying plasma inputs from Routine and SAG-M sources, balancing deficits by pheresed plasma.

Figure 3 indicates the results in tonnage, where 1 tonne = 1,000 kg. Figure 4 shows plasma collection in terms of kg per thousand population. Figure 5 converts collection as tonnes into donations of plasma per thousand population.

Each figure shows an example which indicates how the matrix can be read.

From Figure 3, it can be seen that procurement of Routine Recovered Plasma from 70% of the total whole blood donations per annum releases only 275,000 kg of plasma, which must therefore be substantially augmented from other plasma sources. Alternatively, 70% procurement of plasma from SAG-M whole blood virtually meets the plasma target of 444,000 kg. Between these extremes can be found all the variable mixes of inputs using the three source plasma types; thus, it is possible to select a system of collection which suits local requirements at Regional Transfusion Centre level.

Two outstanding considerations remain:

the variation of total plasma cost across the matrix;

4-7/353

2) the financial requirement to supply 444,000 kg of FFP which represents a real expansion in Blood Transfusion Service activities above current levels and which therefore affects management and mobilisation of resources.

-5- .

## PLASMA COST CONSIDERATIONS

A recent comparative costing exercise completed in the N.W. Region has indicated two figures for plasma cost which have been used in the costing exercise below:

			Cost per litre
1)	Routine Recovered Plasma (190 ml)	=	£38
2)	SAG-M plasma (290 ml)	=	£26.90

A paper setting out the basis for determining these costs is attached as an appendix to this document: questions should be addressed to Dr. H.H. Gunson.

The price of plasma obtained by manual and machine pheresis has been set at £50 per kg, but there is a possibility that this could be reduced followin a reduction in soft-and hardware charges of certain machines. Whether plasma of pheresis origin will become cheaper per kg than Routine Recovered Plasma is uncertain and the degree of any price reductions in pheresis equipment is likely to be related to large-scale acceptance of the system providing economies of scale.

Figure 6 shows the outline of plasma costs taken from the matrix in Figure 3. The outline costs demonstrate the extremes, which are sufficient for the current discussion. From the plasma costs provided and the plasma inputs shown in matrix 3, any particular grouping of plasma collection figures can be costed on a comparable basis.

The cost variation across the matrix is from £12.21M to £20.78M to collect 444,000 kg FFP - this is a 41.3% variation. The increase in cost is made up entirely from the increments of plasma collection by Routine and plasmapheresis methods. The high cost of pheresed plasma substantially ... influences calculations where the low-cost benefits of SAG-M plasma are least observed.

Whilst the cost of plasma collection is considerable at all levels, it must be set against the shelf value of finished products manufactured from this plasma and provided to the NHS.

In Figure 7, the increase in shelf value of NHS plasma products is

47/354

shown against the increase in tonnes of FFP fractionated. It is necessary to integrate the value of manufactured products per unit weight of plasma fractionated with the variable on-cost arising from different systems of plasma procurement. This integration will show not only the extent of cost-effectiveness at the more economic end of operations, but will also indicate a situation where steep rises in on-costs due to more expensive plasma procurement, impinge upon the overall profitability of operations. Information of this type would seem to be essential for Transfusion Directors and other officers when planning budgets to support approved programmes of blood collection and plasma separation during the next quinquennium.

-6-

#### PLASMA COLLECTION SYSTEMS - SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS

· · ·

The awareness that plasma collected from SAG-M systems can be a major factor contributing towards NBTS self-sufficiency in blood products, must be associated with a cautious evaluation of its likely feasibility. <u>A</u> <u>management decision to commit resources to expanded plasma collection using</u> <u>SAG-M blood is not a soft option that can be easily modified at a later date</u>. If it is decided to base the main production drive on a high proportion of SAG-M blood, there exist no viable alternatives to this choice which do not introduce the need for a substantial increase to the commitment of planned resources. It is therefore essential that acceptability of SAG-M blood collection within the BTS and within the fractionation organisations is properly based on reliable scientific and market information. At present, an initial survey suggests that much of the required information is not forthcoming: examples being acceptance by users, impact of SAG systems on other BTS product requirements, implications for plasma collection containers and anticoagulants, and associated problems in manufacturing.

Problems relating to a suitable plasma container to accommodate the increased plasma volume are in hand associated with a study aimed at optimising the primary pack anticoagulant to minimise anticoagulant water put into fractionation. Data should be available by the end of June 1983. Requirements to educate users, possibly through publication of BTS policies and scientific views should be seriously entertained as a forerunner to the major introduction of red cells separated in SAG-M.

Transportation requirements for the new bulk plasma supplies and resultant products will need to be properly resolved on an economic basis.

It is not the primary objective of this document, however, to deal with

4-7/355

the specific elements which will determine whether SAG-M blood and associated plasma becomes a reliable, economic and acceptable system for the BTS and the NHS. The data are provided as a basis for the next stages in planning which will fall broadly into two areas:

- 7 -

- 1. An approach from CBLA members and from BPL to Ministers and to Regional Health Authority treasurers to establish the need for the growth in NBTS resources to meet self-sufficiency targets within the allotted time schedule.
- A collective survey of growth requirements in NBTS resources and their impact on established policies, based on individual regional assessments of their needs.

The cumulative assessment of regional needs is probably the most important single factor influencing the management of the remainder of the Interim Programme and phased redevelopment of BPL if secure decisions on use of resources are to be made.

# BUDGETARY CONSIDERATIONS BASED ON PLASMA REQUIREMENT

• •

Figure 1 shows the relationship between budget forecast dates and the plasma production curve. By September 1983 at the latest, the budgetary commitment to increased plasma supply in 1984/5 needs to be defined, taking into consideration that Pro Rata return of products will be based on 150,000 kg FFP and that the FFP excess (essential to manufacturing after January 1986) will be placed in stock. Using the schedules below, and the data in Figure 1, the minimum excess FFP will need to be 20,000 kg.

Equally, by September 1983, outline budget forecasts for 1985/6 will need to be available in substantial detail for treasury purposes.

1985/6 will be a complex year in that the new BPL is scheduled to commence manufacture in January 1986. The minimum total plasma requirement will be 265,000 kg FFP, of which 235,000 kg is required for manufacture during the financial year.

A series of Manufacturing Projections is shown in Table 1. Here, the use of plasma in 1985/6 is shown: Interim manufacture (April - December 1985) accounts for 112,000 kg and a further 10,000 kg FFP is set aside to commission the new plant (this 10,000 kg will not be considered for return of product and will not appear in Pro Rata). In addition, between April and December 1985, 70,000 kg FFP is the minimum amount to be placed in the buffer plasma stock.

47/356

The plasma production forecasts for 1985/6 can therefore be summarised:

-8-

April - December 1985	<sup>3</sup> /4 x 150,000	=	112,500
April - December 1985	plasma stock	=	70,000
August - December 1985	Commissioning	=	ì0,000
*January - April 1986	$^{1}/_{4} \times 290,000$	=	72,500
	Total	=	265,000 kg

\* The plasma output figure of 290,000 kg for this first quarter in 1986 is obtained from the plasma curve in Figure 1.

Table 1 shows the plasma balance for 1985/6 to be +30,000 kg but the figures obscure the fact that between October and December 1985 (before plasma supply goes into negative balance) the minimum stock of FFP requiring -40°C storage at BPL will be 90,000 kg. The extent of negative plasma balance on stocks after January 1986 is shown by the closing stock figure of 30,000 kg FFP at April 1st 1986 - 60,000 kg of the reserve stock will enter manufacture in the last quarter of 1985/6.

The minimum plasma collection schedule is summarised in Table 2. This plasma input will allow the new BPL to function at full capacity but with no stock provision for FFP after March 1987. This situation has serious implications in terms of quality control and reliability of manufacture.

#### EXAMPLES OF PLASMA PROCUREMENT PLANS

۰.-

1983/4 poses no particular issues since it is assumed that budget allocations are now made and that NBTS joint output of plasma will increase to the Interim target of 150,000 kg FFP mainly from Routine Recovered source plasma.

Table 3 examines plasma procurement at 30% and 40% levels of off-take from whole blood. The effect of plasma collection from SAG-M blood is included for comparison.

Using Routine Recovered plasma at 40% off-take, the current Interim plasma collection target can be met, but there is a deficit on the strategic target which should place 20,000 kg FFP in stock during 1984/5.

The impact of SAG-M plasma is significant. At 30% off-take, all the plasma requirements for 1984/5 are met - in fact, there is an excess of 13,000 kg FFP on the strategic plasma target. At 40% off-take of SAG-M plasma, the excess over the strategic target rises to 74,000 kg - the

• • . •

47/357

calculated total plasma output being 244,000 kg FFP per annum. From Table 2, it is seen that 40% off-take of SAG-M plasma nearly reaches the requirement of 265,000 kg FFP per annum for 1985/6.

· · · ·

-9-

A combined approach of 30% off-take of SAG-M plasma in 1984/5 and 40% off-take of SAG-M plasma in 1985/6 would provide 183,000 + 244,000 = 427,000 kg FFP against a combined projected target for the two years of 170,000 + 265,000 = 435,000. The difference is unimportant to the example being made.

Clearly, uniform introduction of SAG-M plasma at reasonable levels of off-take from whole blood can meet the strategic requirements for supply during the remainder of the Interim Period and through the commissioning period of the new BPL.

Equally clear is the fact that Routine Recovered Plasma is incapable of meeting more than the current Pro Rata manufacturing needs up to December 1985: there would be no buffer FFP stock and the new BPL would commence work at approximately 40% capacity.

Potential impact of FFP collection from SAG-M blood is taken further in Table 4 where the quinquennium is reviewed.

The profile represents a possible average NBTS response to the projected FFP requirements in Table 2. The approach uses mixed systems of collection starting with Routine Recovered Plasma (190 ml) and moving towards SAG-M plasma.

The example moves plasma procurement into levels of off-take not currently established, but it can be seen that 50% off-take from SAG-M blood provides a positive plasma balance until April 1987 after which time, the balance goes seriously into deficit.

The feasibility of proposed combinations of systems has got to be firmly established and it is necessary to repeat that the data needed for this purpose are not adequate. It seems inevitable that whatever collective approach is adopted nationally, it will be largely experimental and will need close control, efficient co-ordination and procedures for regular management communication.

The Interim and long-term impact of automated plasmapheresis remains to be properly assessed. Financial implications are highly significant

47/358

CBLA0001778 0009

yet, taking the data presented in this paper into consideration, it remains evident that 100-150,000 kg FFP p.a. will be collected by pheresis unless SAG-M off-take of plasma can rise to 70%. In this unlikely event, plasmapheresis and cell separation may have essential supporting roles in collection of platelets and plasma for infusion.

#### CONCLUSION

٠..

• •

• •

To develop the national projections further, a document is in preparation which asks RTDs to draw up a five-year plan aimed at supplying regional BTS needs and the projected plasma supplies. Attention is drawn to detailing serious resource deficiencies and pinpointing their likely effect within a management control plan.

Information from fourteen regions will be assembled into a national framework which will be suitable for costing and development of forecast cash limits.

47/359

CBLA0001778 0010



CBLA0001778\_0011

RFJ	EVELOPMENT OF BLOOD PRODUCTS	LAB	ORAT	ORY .	- ELS	STRE ME	<u>e</u> Summa	RY																					FIG	JRE	ż		
110						10											1081.											108					
		FE	MR	AP	MY	<u>אר</u>		AU	SE (	DC	NO DE	JA	FE	MR	AP	MY .	וו <u>ו</u> פני זע ] או	AU	SE	00	NO	DE	JA	FET	MR	AP	MY	JN [	JL	AU S	EOC	NO	νE <sup>-1</sup>
	[	5	0.000	- 1			ENC DA		NC			Τ	5		ue L	_												T					
MT	DSTONES.							DAG	CUT				VA	Ĩ			maha	-								. 1							ſ
mi	ES TONES	·	V <sup>#</sup> 1				ROOM	DA 14	Бнит	ar c	SULL N	I DES	ALCN.	l Vi	ΠL	SOME	THIDS	16501															ſ
				٧ŋ		ING	APPRO	VAL							Vľ	TNVF TNVF	PIPIM	G MIR		DED	FOR	PURCI	ASE										ł
					Vľ	TRS	T ARE	as n	:50 1	васк	CROUND		JED			Ľ	FIRST	EQUI	IPMEN	r de	LIVE	RIES											l
						٧Ľ	TRST	PROC	ESS I	EQUT	P DATA	SELS	REV	7		V	LAST	PIPII	NC MA	TERI	ALS	DELIV	ERET	1									1
						Ī	LAST	AREA	S 1	50 B	ACKEROI	nine i	SSUE	P		1		יען	LAST	EQUI	PMEN	r dei	IVER	TES									
						•		7145	T PRO	CES	S EQUII	P & PI	OCES	S IN	ST DA	га бі	rts		\	BU	ITDI	NC WA	FERT	ICHL			i						
								Έγ	קימת	REV	· A			1		1										V	ST	RT M	echai	пси	com	165104	ТЖ
								• IN	7 etu	D'S	REVA	1		!												ľ	STA	RT	PROC	:ESS	COM	SFIONT	ж
									V   1	75	TRSE PI	INC	TTO	ISSU	ED FO	R ENG	DURIE	s										Y	1				ſ
LE	D DESIGN PLANNING APPLICATION									۷ſ							1							l i			į						
	1:100 ARCHITECTURAL PLANS RE	VA-			-								<u> </u>		<u> </u>				KEY					1					+				
	ROOM DATA SHEETS	[																_			DE	SIGN	MENN	• ( ENC	11110	155 1	mo		m)				
	PROCESS EQUIPMENT DATA SHTS		FJ					-+				-	1					-			SI	TE CO	NSTF	UCTIO	N N	1.00		-511	5)				
	PROCESS INSTRUMENTS DATA SHT	S						-										1		*	LA	ST DE	SIC	DRG	SI	SSUE	D FOF		STRU	CTION	<u> </u>		-
	PROCESS ENGINEERING LINE DIA	CRAM	s		_		ii				<u> </u>			i *						·				- +								┼─┼	
CO	TRACT PACKAGES						<u> </u>	=						<u> </u>										<u>├</u> ─-†					-+-				
	SITE CLEARANCE & GRADING											_							1												· .	┨	
	TEMPORARY ELECTRICAL WORKS		╞═╡					۱ <u> </u>	_			·		<u> </u>										┝								+-+	
	MAIN CIVIL WORKS		<u>                                     </u>				· · · · ·			_			¥			1								i i							•		
	MAIN STRUCTURE STEELWORK							<u> </u>			*				<u> </u>			1			i												
	ANCILLARY STEELWORK	har /	PTPT	nr.	-		┟──┟						1-															<u>L</u>				+-+	
Ø	MAIN BUILDING WORKS	pany -	<u> </u>	~			!	=					1		· • •									1		-							
ĝ	SERVICE FLOOR SLAB		-									-	-		r									1							_!	┝╌┼╸	
108	CLADDING VINTOVS		┠──┨				1-1			-1			÷		···		· ·							+							1.	t	
2	LIFTS						- F					-			+													Ť					
e	COLD ROOMS		1 1				*						<u> </u>																			┨──┤-	
A TUE	PARTITIONING SYSTEMS	CEI	PTNC3	5	-					-1			+				<u>#</u>			·····,											<u> </u>		
NE	LABORATORY FURNITURE				-		!						1	<u>!</u>		<u> </u>			1	1													
10M	HEATINC/VENTILATINC/AIR CONI	ITIO	NINC											_																		-	
	PIPING AND MECHANICAL WORKS	S	1	-											i i	ŧ	I	۱		11. Y	1												
	ETHANOL RECOVERY	1					1-1	+	- #-+-			-	1					-[	1					1		Ĩ	1	1					
	EFFLUENT PLANT									_								_	_				_								_ <u> </u>	┼──├-	
	SITE ERECTED TANKS	–				_	<u>i i</u>		-1			-1	· · · · · · · · · ·											<b>├</b> ──┼							- <del> </del>	┼──┼	
•	BOILER CHIMNEY												-	· r	1			-	<u>-</u>			·		<u>†</u> †									
	INSULATION												I			-F							-									I I.	
	CENERAL SITE SERVICES	ידער			=		<b>;</b> ;					-	1						1,1		1			I			1					T	
<sup>22</sup> 4	VESSELS & MECHANICAL EQUIPME	NT				_	┞──┟						1	1			<u> </u>																
-			-				-	_				-									_	_		1				_					
5	HVAC EQUIPMENT		1							_		=	; <u> </u>	<u> </u>				-														<b>}</b> }-	
2/2	HVAC EQUIPMENT . ELECTRICAL & INSTRUMENT EQUI	PMEN	n r					=				-	;	; ;			<b>-</b>																_

PROCRAMME ESCO 2615 00 22 11 437 REV O. ISSUED FOR CLIENT COMMENTS 18-3-83

( )

MATTHEW HALL NORCAIN ENGINEERING LTD.

:

-

CBLA0001778\_0012

٠.

Figure 3

100 ules = 22.5 M iu F.VIII

. •

Tonnes (max input 444 tonnes) (-all column totals made 444 tonne

<b></b>	Routine %	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70
SAG.M % O	SAG.M <u>Routine</u> Total P/P				118 118 326	<u>157</u> 157 287	<u>196</u> 196 248	235 235 209	275 275 169
10				60 <u>78</u> 138 306	60 <u>118</u> 178 266	60 <u>157</u> 217 227	60 <u>196</u> 256 188	60 <u>235</u> 295 149	60 275 335 109
20		120 1 <u>2</u> 0 324	120 40 1 <del>60</del> 284	120 78 198 246	120 <u>118</u> 238 206	120 157 277 167	120 <u>196</u> 316 128	120 235 355 89	120 275 395 49
30.		180 - 180 264	180 40 220 224	180 78 258 186	180 <u>118</u> 298 146	180 <u>157</u> 337 107	180 <u>196</u> 376 68	180 235 415 29	180 275 455 -
40		240  240 204	240 40 280 164	240 78 318 126	240 <u>118</u> 358 86	240 157 397 47	240 <u>196</u> 436 8	240 235 475 7	
50		300 300 144	300 <u>40</u> 340 104	300 78 378 66	300 <u>118</u> 418 26	300 <u>157</u> 457			
60		360 - 360 84	360 <u>40</u> 400 44	360 <u>78</u> 438 6	360 <u>118</u> 478				
70	•.	420 - 420 24	420 <u>40</u> 460	420 78 498 -			eg. @ 5 No. = 5 = 1	0% routine of routine 0% x 206542 032714 dons 0 0.19 kg/d	takeoff dons 3 an
		24		-			= 1	0.19 kg/d 96 tannes	an

1 x SAG.M don = 0.29 kg / 1 x routine don = 0.19 kg / 1 x Plasmapheresis don = 0.5 kg (P/P) Total NBTS collection 1981 = 2065428 donations

Figure 4

Input as kgs/1000 population

Total NBTS Collection = 2065428 dons A & Wales)

on assumed a	at 50 mil	lion (Engl	land & Wale	es)	Total	8.9 kg/1000		
Routine %	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70
SAG.M <u>Routine</u> Total P/P				2.4 2.4 6.5	3.1 3.1 5.8	3.9 3.9 5.0	$\frac{4.7}{4.7}$ 4.2	5.5 5.5 3.4
			1.2 <u>1.6</u> 2.8 6.1	1.2 2.6 3.6 5.3	1.2 3.1 4.3 4.6	1.2 <u>3.9</u> 5.1 3.8	1.2 <u>4.7</u> 5.9 3.0	1.: 5.: 6. 2.:
,	2.4  2.4 6.5	2.4 <u>.8</u> 3.2 5.7	2.4 <u>1.6</u> 4.0 4.9	2.4 2.4 4.8 4.1	$2.4 \\ 3.1 \\ 5.5 \\ 3.4$	2.4 <u>3.9</u> 6.3 2.6	2.4 4.7 7.1 1.8	2 5. 7. 1.
	3.6 - 3.6 5.3	3.6 <u>.8</u> 4.4 4.5	3.6 <u>1.6</u> 5.2 3.7	3.6 <u>2.4</u> 6.0 2.9	3.6 <u>3.1</u> 6.7 2.2	3.6 <u>3.9</u> 7.5 1.4	3.6 4.7 8.3 0.6	3. <u>5.</u> 9.
	4.8 - 4.8 4.1	4.8 <u>.8</u> 5.6 3.3	4.8 <u>1.6</u> 6.4 2.5	4.8 2.4 7.2 1.7	4.8 <u>3.1</u> 7.9 1.0	4.8 <u>3.9</u> 8.7 0.2	4.8 <u>4.7</u> 9.5 –	-
. <u>.</u>	6.0 - 6.0 2.9	6.0 <u>.8</u> 6.8 2.1	6.0 <u>1.6</u> 7.6 1.3	6.0 2.4 8.4 0.5	6.0 <u>3.1</u> 9.1			
•	7.2 - 7.2 1.7	7.2 <u>.8</u> 8.0 0.9	7.2 <u>1.6</u> 8.8 0.1	7.2 2.4 9.6	eg.	@ 50% routi	ne from Ta	ble l
۰.	8.4 - 8.4 0.5	8.4 <u>.8</u> 9.2 -	8.4 <u>1.6</u> 10.0			input = 196 then input/ = $\frac{196.000}{50.000}$ kgs/l	tonnes ro 1000 popul 9 .000 popula	utine ation ation
	on assumed Routine % SAG.M Routine Total P/P	Con assumed at 50 mil Routine % 0 SAG.M Routine Total P/P 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 3.6 3.6 3.6 5.3 4.8 4.8 4.8 4.1 6.0 6.0 6.0 2.9 7.2 7.2 1.7 8.4 8.4 8.4 0.5	Con assumed at 50 million (Engl Routine $\$$ 0 10 SAG.M Routine Total P/P 2.4 2.4 8 2.4 2.4 8 2.4 3.2 6.5 5.7 3.6 3.6 8 3.6 3.6 8 3.6 4.4 5.3 4.5 4.8 4.8 8 4.8 4.8 8 4.8 5.6 4.1 3.3 6.0 6.0 8 6.0 6.8 2.9 2.1 7.2 7.2 8 7.2 8.0 1.7 0.9 8 8.4 8.4 8.4 8.4 8.4 8 9 1 7 8 8 8 9 1 9 1 8 8 8 9 1 7 8	Con       assumed at 50 million (England & Wale         Routine %       0       10       20         SAG.M       Routine       1.2       1.6         Total       P/P       1.6       2.8       6.1         2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4 $2.4$ 2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4 $2.4$ 2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4 $2.4$ 2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4 $2.4$ 2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4 $2.4$ 2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4 $2.4$ 2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4 $2.7$ $3.6$ $3.6$ $3.6$ $3.6$ $3.6$ $3.6$ $3.6$ $3.6$ $3.6$ $3.6$ $3.6$ $3.6$ $3.6$ $3.6$ $3.6$ $3.6$ $6.6$ $6.0$ $6.0$ $6.0$ $4.8$ $4.8$ $4.8$ $4.8$ $4.8$ $4.8$ $4.8$ $2.5$ $6.0$ $6.0$ $6.0$ $6.0$	Routine % 0       10       20       30         SAG.M       -         Routine       2.4       2.4       2.4         Total       2.6       2.6       2.6       2.4         1.2       1.2       1.2       1.2       1.2         1.6       2.6       2.6       3.6       3.6       6.5         2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4         1.6       2.6       2.6       2.6       2.6       2.6         2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4         2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4         2.6       2.6       2.4       2.4       2.4         2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4         2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4         2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4         3.6       3.6       3.6       3.6       3.6         3.6       3.6       3.6       3.6       3.6         3.6       3.6       3.7       2.9       2.9         4.8	Total         Total         Routine % 0       10       20       30       40         SAG.M Routine Total $2.4$ 2.4 2.4 $3.12.42.4$ $3.12.4$ $3.12.4$ $3.12.4$ $3.12.4$ $3.12.4$ $3.12.6$ $3.13.6$ $2.4$	Total 8.9 kg/1000         Total 8.9 kg/1000         Routine % 0       10       20       Total 8.9 kg/1000         SAG.M       Total 8.9 kg/1000         Routine % 0       10       Total 8.9 kg/1000         SAG.M       Total 8.9 kg/1000         Total 2.2       1.2         1.2       1.2       1.2         1.2       1.2       1.2         Total 8.9 kg/1       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4 <td>Total 8.9 kg/1000         Total 8.9 kg/1000         Routine 1 0 10 20 30 40 50 60         SAG.M         Routine       2.4       3.1       3.9       4.7         2.4       3.1       3.9       4.7         Total       6.5       5.8       5.0       4.2         1.2       1.2       1.2       1.2       1.2       1.2       1.2         1.6       2.6       3.1       3.9       4.7         5.8       5.0       4.2         1.2       1.2       1.2       1.2       1.2       1.2         1.6       2.6       3.1       3.9       4.7         5.9       6.1       5.3       4.6       3.8       3.0         2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4         2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4         2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4         3.6       3.6       3.6       3.6       3.6       3.6       3.6       3.6         3.6&lt;</td>	Total 8.9 kg/1000         Total 8.9 kg/1000         Routine 1 0 10 20 30 40 50 60         SAG.M         Routine       2.4       3.1       3.9       4.7         2.4       3.1       3.9       4.7         Total       6.5       5.8       5.0       4.2         1.2       1.2       1.2       1.2       1.2       1.2       1.2         1.6       2.6       3.1       3.9       4.7         5.8       5.0       4.2         1.2       1.2       1.2       1.2       1.2       1.2         1.6       2.6       3.1       3.9       4.7         5.9       6.1       5.3       4.6       3.8       3.0         2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4         2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4         2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4       2.4         3.6       3.6       3.6       3.6       3.6       3.6       3.6       3.6         3.6<

 $1 \ge SAG.M$  don = 0.29 kg /  $1 \ge routine$  don = 0.19 kg /  $1 \ge plasmaphoresis$  don = 0.5 kg P/P

4-7/363

Figure 5

		Conv	ersion of	tonnes ir	n to donati	ons/1000	population		
	Routine % @0.19 kg	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70
SAG.M % @ 0.29	SAG.M Routine Total P/P @0.5 kg don				12.4 12.4 13.0	<u>16.5</u> 16.5 11.5	20.6 20.6 9.9	24.7 24.7 8.4	28.9 28.9 6.8
10				4.1 8.2 12.3 12.2	4.1 <u>12.4</u> 16.5 10.6	4.1 16.5 20.6 9.1	4.1 20.6 24.7 7.5	4.1 24.7 28.8 6.0	4.1 28.9 33.0 4.4
20		8.3 	8.3 <u>4.2</u> 12.5 11.4	8.3 8.2 16.5 9.8	8.3 <u>12.4</u> 20.7 8.2	8.3 <u>16.5</u> 24.8 6.7	8.3 20.6 28.9 5.1	8.3 24.7 33.0 3.6	8.3 28.9 37.2 2.0
30		12.4 12.4 10.6	12.4 <u>4.2</u> 16.6 8.9	12.4 <u>8.2</u> 20.6 7.4	12.4 12.4 24.8 5.8	12.4 16.5 28.9 4.3	12.4 20.6 33.0 2.7	12.4 24.7 37.1 1.2	12.4 28.9 41.3 -
40		16.6 16.6 8.2	16.6 <u>4.2</u> 20.9 6.6	16.6 <u>8.2</u> 24.8 5.0	16.6 <u>12.4</u> 29.0 3.4	16.6 <u>16.5</u> 33.1 1.9	16.6 20.6 37.2 0.3	16.6 24.7 41.3	
. 0ر		20.7 20.7 5.8	20.7 <u>4.2</u> 2 <del>4.9</del> 4.2	20.7 8.2 28.9 2.6	20.7 <u>12.4</u> 33.1 1.0	20.7 <u>16.5</u> 37.2 -	Conversio	on of Table	e 1 to
60	•.	24.8 24.8 3.4	24.8 <u>4.2</u> 29.0 1.8	24.8 8.2 33.0 0.2	24.8 <u>12.4</u> 37.0		dons/100 eg. @50% = 50 = 10 th = 20 per	0 populati routine to % x 206542 32714 dons en 1032714 .6 donatio 1000 popul	on akeoff 8 /50.000 ns ation
70		29.0 29.0 1.0	29.0 <u>4.2</u> 33.2 0.2	29.0 <u>8.2</u> 37.2			NB. 1032 @0.1	2714 dons 9 kg = <u>196</u> 29 kg = 300	tonnes

47/364

FIGURE 6

	Routine 🍾 🖓	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70
	£K —	_	1520	2964	4484	5966	7448	8930	104
SAG.M %	4								
0	-				20784				189
10	1614			19878	•				175
<b>^</b> 0	3228	19428							161
30	4842	18042						15222	
40	6456	16656					14304	4	
50	8070	15270			13854				
60	9684	13884		12948					
70	11298	12498	[12210]						

41.3% matrix variation

٠.-

. .

(

47/365



CBLA0001778\_0017

;

#### TABLE 1

• ...

•

MANUFACTURING PROJECTION 1984-5	kg FFP
Opening plasma stock, April 1984	0
Nominal manufacturing target	150,000
Mean projected annual plasma collection	170,000
Plasma balance	20,000
Closing plasma stock, March 1985	20,000

### MANUFACTURING PROJECTION 1985-6

Opening plasma stock, April 1985	20,000
Nominal manufacturing target *	235,000
Mean projected annual plasma collection	265,000
Plasma balance	30,000
Closing plasma stock, March 1986	50,000
*[Interim AprDec. 1985: $\frac{3}{4} \times 150,000 = 112,500$	
FFP for commissioning new BPL 10,000	
New BPL JanMar. 1986: $\frac{1}{4} \times 450,000 = 112,500$	
235,500 ]	

## MANUFACTURING PROJECTION 1986-7

Opening plasma stock, April 1986	50,000
Nominal manufacturing target	450,000
Mean projected annual plasma collection	405,000
Plasma balance	-50,000
Closing plasma stock, March 1987	0

# MANUFACTURING PROJECTION 1987-8

Full manufacturing at 450,000 met by BTS plasma collection.

4-7/367

# TABLE 2

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

٠..

# MINIMUM PLASMA COLLECTION SCHEDULE

	kg FFP
1983-4 .	150,000
1984-5	170,000
1985-6	265,000
1986-7	405,000
1987-8	450,000

47/368

CBLA0001778\_0019

# TABLE 3 PROJECTIONS FOR 1984/5

,

()

			At 30% pl whole blo	asma capture from od	At 40% ca whole blo	od
			Total	Increment per million population	Total	Increment per million population
	1.	Interim Manufacturing plasma target (kg FFP)	150,000	3,000	150,000	3,000
	2.	Strategic plasma target (kg FFP)	170,000	3,400	170,000	3,400
	3.	Units FFP collected (190 ml)	630,000	12,600	840,000	16,800
	4.	Quantity as Routine Recovered Plasma (kg)	120,000	2,400	160,000	3,200
	5.	Plasma price at £38 per litre (£)	4,560K	91,200	6,080K	121,600
	6.	DEFICIT on interim manufacturing target (kg)	30,000	600	0	0
	7.	DEFICIT on strategic plasma target (kg)	50,000	1,000	10,000	200
	8.	Quantity of plasma (kg) from SAG-M blood (290 ml)	183,000	3,650	244,000	4,870
	9.	Plasma price at £26.90 per litre (£)	4,923K	98,450	6,564K	131,300
	10.	EXCESS on interim manufacturing target (kg)	33,000	660	94,000	1,880
	11.	EXCESS on strategic plasma target (kg)	13,000	260	74,000	1,480
f_/	12.	Budget increase: SAG-M over Routine Plasma collection	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	7.4%		7.4%
369	13.	Increased plasma supply SAG-M over Routine Plasma coll	ection	34.4%	-	34.4%

CBLA0001778\_0020

()

YEAR	COLI	LECTION SYSTEM	INPUT kg FFP	TARGET kg FFP	CUMULATIVE PLASMA BALANCE kg
1983/4	<b>~</b> 40₹	routine Plasma	~150,000	150,000	-
1984/5	20% 30%	Routine plasma SAG-M plasma	260,000	170,000	+110,000
1985/6	10% 40%	Routine plasma SAG-M plasma	285,000	265,000	+160,000
1986/7	50%	SAG-M plasma	310,000	405,000	+ 20,000
1987/8	[50% 10%	SAG-M plasma Routine plasma	345,000	450,000	- 85,000 .
0	R 60%	SAG-M plasma	370,000	450,000	- 60,000

## POTENTIAL IMPACT OF SAG-M BLOOD COLLECTION

Projected deficit -60,000 kg FFP met by 120,000 plasmapheresis donations or 70% procurement from SAG-M blood.

47/370

#### APPENDIX

COMPARATIVE COSTS OF PLASMA FROM S.P.P. AND SAG(M) SYSTEMS

Factors to be considered:-

. . .

(1) COSTS OF SAG(M) AND S.P.P. PACKS

COST OF SAG(M) PACK SYSTEM £5.00 COST OF S.P.P. DOUBLE PACK £2.98

COST ATTRIBUTABLE TO PLASMA COLLECTION

SAG(M) £2.02

## S.P.P. £1.60

(2) ESTIMATION OF ADDITIONAL TIME REQUIRED TO SEPARATE 275 ml PLASMA (SAG(M) COMPARED WITH 180 ml (S.P.P.)

Difference = 95 ml, i.e. 1.5 x separation time for SAG(M) compared with S.P.P.

Cost of separation will not equate with this because:-

(i) Supervision will be the same for both.

(ii) Documentation will be the same for both.

In Manchester RTC it was estimated that labour costs to separate 87,315 blood components with equal weighting was £60,435 p.a. To arrive at a conclusion one must make certain assumptions, thus:-

- (i) Chief MLSO as supervisor will be the same for both SAG(M) and S.P.P. plasma separation.
- (ii) 15 per cent of the time of other staff is spent on documentation.

The total cost of these is £16,806 p.a. Therefore, the residual cost is £43,629 or  $\underline{\text{for}}$  for the separation of one S.P.P. unit.

Thus the cost of separating <u>one</u> SAG(M) unit is  $\underline{\text{f0.75}}$ 

(3) INDIRECT COSTS

If the separation rate is similar for both systems the indirect costs will be the same. This was estimated as  $\pounds4.50$  for each unit at N.W.R.T.C.

(4) COST OF SEPARATING PLASMA FROM ONE PACK OF SAG(M) AND S.P.P. SYSTEMS

(i)	SAG(M)	Cost of pack Labour cost (a) separation (b) supervision, documentation Indirect costs	£2.02 £0.75 £0.20 £4.50
-----	--------	--	----------------------------------

TOTAL £7.47

17/371 Contd./over

(ii) S.P.P. Cost of pack f1.60
Labour costs (a) separation f0.50
(b) supervision, documentation f0.20
Indirect costs f4.50

TOTAL 16.8

(5) <u>COST PER LITRE OF PLASMA SEPARATED FROM SAG(M) AND S.P.P. SYSTEMS</u> No. donations per litre in SAG(M) system = 3.6 No. donations per litre in S.P.P. system = 5.6 Therefore, cost per litre:-

(i)\_\_SAG(M)\_system\_=.3.6\_x f7.47 = f26.9c
(ii) S.P.P. system = 5.6 x f6.8 = f38.0

A second s

-2-

4-7/372