

Dr. Rose

12th Feb 1981

British Fractionation Technology Working Party Report 1981.

I am unhappy with some of the statements made on page 10.

Whilst I fully admit that I am wholly ignorant of much of what has been achieved at Runceton, I think that a number of the statements made do not equate with the little that I have learnt.

For example:-

① line 2, page 10.

What are the "good results" referred to?

Based upon a pragmatic approach of examining the quality of the major product made by the continuous method (i.e PPF) I would question whether the present record could be described as "good results".

② line 5 : I do not understand what is meant by
"the effectiveness of the minimisation of the process".

In ① above, I would say that the minimisation of the further process have been far from effective in achieving a satisfactory product w/ good yields.

Obviously I am taking fair to narrow a view when considering their achievements.

③ Having implied in the first paragraph (p 10) that the hibsteron system (and off) is superior to that at Elstree

the rest of the paragraph then goes on to highlight, quite correctly, many of the areas where data is lacking in the hibsteron system and which are crucial to precise probabilistic estimation by precipitation

④ 2nd para: page 10.

The arguments for continuous operation during a 24 hour period are also strongest because there is ~~too~~ little or no argument for operating continuous operation on less than a 24 hour basis.

I base this comment on a recent document originating from Hibsteron in which the incredibly low yields of aluminum (~~when~~ ~~are~~ were very low when an otherwise the less finely filtered) are explained as resulting from the stop-start production losses ("housekeeping losses") at present incurred.

Some form statement has to be made in the new future as to whether the continuous system is of any value when operated as at present. (* over)

All the continuous system is also of value on a 24 hour basis. I think that it would be wrong to commit a new plant to this form of operation so early in its life.

Is the (and the P.t.) one third unlikely to incorporate a time shift system? If the P.t. are well behaved, one could say a "nope" if we went ahead on the assumption that a 24 hour system were possible, ...?" difficult.

GRO-C

42187

* Also, whether or not the continuous method is capable
of producing a high purity product in reasonable
yield.

I would have brought that the long-term clinical
demand for a low purity BFF was far from certain.

(exclusively)

4.3.1988

If you think that these
Comments are worthy of taking
further, I would be grateful
for the opportunity of expanding
upon them verbally.

Yours,