

Mr Levy CA OPU2

From : Ann Towner CA OPU2
Date : 24 August 1995

POH(3)4603/157

1. Thank you for your revised draft in this case, having consulted Sol.
2. I am not really happy that this issue has yet been as fully researched as might be appropriate, despite the difficulties that are apparently involved. For instance there are some papers on LIE 16 vol 15 which discuss Category G cases and changes to the Scottish scheme in this respect that are of interest, and there may well be more on earlier files or elsewhere. And I would have liked a summary of the circumstances in which legal expenses are being paid.
3. And are you sure that earlier files in that series have been destroyed? To my reading the dockets only indicate that they have been sent to DRO for destruction after 25 years, which would not of course be yet. Perhaps you would let me know if they have in fact already been destroyed. We may need to think of reconstructing papers eg from what Dr Rejman and other hold.
4. Despite the above, since there is clearly no legal entitlement to payment I am prepared at this stage to put up a letter rejecting the present request. My suggested amendments are shown on the draft. There's rather a lot of duplication/overlap in the present version and the arguments need strengthening. Should there be any come-back I think that further research and discussion of the merits of the case will prove necessary.
5. As I said in my previous minutes, please make sure that these papers are copied to the relevant policy files.
6. And please E mail the final version of the reply to be so that I can save it on my WP for future ref (please read it through yourself to check that it makes sense).

GRO-C

Ann Towner
CA OPU2
Room 313 EH
Ext GRO-C