R

Health Planning & Quality Division 14 January 2003 Minister for Health and Community Care Copy to: Deputy Minister for Health and Community Care (Frank McAveety) Deputy Minister for Health and Community Care (Mary Mulligan) PS/HD Ian W Gordon Director of Service Policy and Planning HP&Q Dr Aileen Keel DCMO Press Health First Minister Deputy First Minister Minister for Parliamentary Business Deputy Minister for Parliamentary Business PS/Perm Sec PS/FCSD Colin Imrie - Head of Press Office Press First Minister Mike Donnelly - Principal Special Adviser Sam Ghibaldan - Deputy Principal Special Adviser Jeane Freeman - Head of Policy Unit Douglas Campbell - Special Adviser Liam McArthur - Special Adviser Policy Unit Minister for Health Planning & Quality Division 14 January 2003 Wheth Wheth What Action Wheth Wheth
EX GRATIA PAYMENTS TO 'HEPATITIS C FROM BLOOD' PATIENTS Mr, In DRAFT CABINET PAPER FOR 22 JANUARY MEETING
Purpose and Recommendation 1. Priority 2. Immediate – for submission to cabinet secretariat on 16 January. Discussion 3. The draft Cabinet paper attached at Annex A discusses the approach that might be adopted on your appearance before the Health and Community Care Committee on 29 January – taking account of the fact that we now understand that DWP officials are now firmly of the view that a scheme to make ex gratia payments would be reserved. Health Planning & Quality.

RESTRICTED POLICY & LITIGATION

ANNEX A

EX GRATIA PAYMENTS TO 'HEPATITIS C FROM BLOOD' PATIENTS DRAFT CABINET PAPER FOR 22 JANUARY MEETING

FOR DECISION

Paper number: SC (03) 10.

SCOTTISH CABINET

FINANCIAL AND OTHER SUPPORT FOR PATIENTS WHO HAVE CONTRACTED HCV FROM BLOOD TRANSFUSIONS ETC; SCHEME OPTIONS

MEMORANDUM BY THE MINISTER FOR HEALTH AND COMMUNITY CARE

Purpose

To agree the presentational approach for my further appearance before the Health and Community Care Committee (HCCC) on 29 January. To decide on the type of ex gratia payment scheme the Executive would wish to establish if associated legal and social security issues can be resolved.

Background

I have been asked to appear before HCCC again on 29 January. UK Ministers, however, have yet to reach a conclusion on the issue of devolved powers.

Devolved competence

We understand the view of DWP officials is that a scheme to make ex gratia payments is reserved on the grounds that it would provide assistance for social security purposes to individuals who "qualify by reason of old age, survivorship, disability, sickness, incapacity, injury, unemployment, maternity or the care of children or others needing care" (within the meaning of Section F1 of Part II of Schedule 5 to the Scotland Act). The next step may be for the issue to be considered by the UK Law Officers - before the Secretary of State for Work and Pensions is in a position to reply to my letter of 5 November.

Scheme design

- We are also being pressed in Parliament to be more specific on how a scheme would be designed. A difficulty in this is the variability of the health outcome resulting from HCV infection. Some individuals may never develop liver damage or symptoms, others will clear the virus and the remainder will develop some level of long-term symptoms or liver damage. We expect about 16% of those infected to develop serious long-term harm within 20 years (in the form of cirrhosis, liver cancer etc) – but cannot exclude the possibility that over a longer period this might rise to 60%.
- The Expert Group's scheme would provide payments to all these groups. It would also make payments to the dependants or estates of infected individuals who are now deceased, which substantially increases the potential cost of the scheme.
- Our alternative options only make payments to survivors only and are based on lump sum payments because it might be easier for these to be disregarded for social security

ANNEX A

purposes. In some cases payments would be relatively front-end loaded. In others they could be spread over a very long period of years. The options are as follows:

Option 1: payments to survivors at the levels recommended by the Expert Group, depending on the severity of symptoms (£10k to all; plus further £40k to all with long-term symptoms or damage; plus further £50k to those with cirrhosis, liver cancer or other similar serious conditions). The estimated cost of this option is between £28 and £52m. It would go a long way towards meeting what the Committee are looking for, but involves substantial expenditure. It would include payments to those who had cleared the virus and had suffered mainly psychological symptoms.

Option 2: payments only to those who contract cirrhosis, liver cancer or other similar serious conditions. If payments of £100k were made to those with cirrhosis, liver cancer or other similar serious conditions, the estimated cost would be between £9m and £19m. This could, however, rise to between £34m and £70m if the proportion contracting these serious conditions exceeded the current estimate of 16%. This option is both less costly than Option 1 and has the merit of focusing help on those who have been worst affected.

Option 3: payments to all those with long-term symptoms or damage (£50k to all with long-term symptoms or damage; plus further £50k to those with cirrhosis, liver cancer or other similar serious conditions). The estimated cost of this option would be between £22m and £44m. As in the previous option, this could rise to rise to between £34m and £70m if more people than expected progressed to the most serious phases of the disease. This would go further towards recognising the real needs of Hepatitis C sufferers, while falling short of payments to those who have suffered mainly psychological harm.

- 7. The Health Department does not have explicit provision for these costs at present, and its Reserve for next year currently stands at only £25million to meet all unexpected pressures. The PFO has already reported that the central Reserve is also severely constrained. Furthermore, because of the measures we have been taking to reduce the underspend this year, resources available from end year flexibility next financial year (which might normally be used for a one off cost like this) are likely to be less than in recent years. So, if any of the options are pursued, difficult decisions will be required about stopping other activities or developments, with a potential impact on Executive priorities and targets.
- 8. Our public statements so far have indicated that we would follow an approach along the lines of option 3. I believe this is the most defensible option in terms of meeting the needs of Hepatitis C suffers, while avoiding payments to individuals who have suffered limited effects and setting any new precedents. I invite the Cabinet to confirm that this should be our approach.

Issues for the HCCC meeting

- 9. It is likely that we will be in the position on 29 January of still waiting for a view from the UK Government on the devolved powers issue. We are likely to be criticised for the length of time it is taking to resolve this. We will have to indicate that the issues are in front of the UK Government, that there are difficult constitutional and legal considerations, and that it is the responsibility of the UK Government to reach a view on these.
- 10. It would be helpful if I could say a little more to the Committee about the type of scheme and criteria we have in mind. I have in effect already broadly outlined to the Committee our preferred approach, and we should not at this stage be completely specific about what we propose and the costs, in case this further raises expectations of what we will

ANNEX A

be able to do. But I could sketch out in broad terms what we propose along the lines of Option 3.

11. Given the state of progress, it is likely that the Committee will wish to take a report to the Parliament, probably recommending implementation of the Expert Group proposals. We will need to consider in due course our response to and handling of such a debate

Conclusion

I invite colleagues to agree that we base our design of a scheme of payments to those who have contracted Hepatitis C from blood on Option 3, as set out in para 6 above, and to endorse the handling line for HCCC set out in paras 9 to 11.

MALCOLM CHISHOLM 22 January 2003 From: Sent:

14 January 2003 17:33

To:

Minister for Health and Community Care

Cc:

Deputy Minister (McAveety) for Health and Community Care; Deputy Minister (Mulligan)

for Health and Community Care: PS/HD Health; Gordon IW (Ian); Keel A (Alleen); First Minister; Deputy First N Keel A (Aileen); First Minister; Deputy First Minister (Minister for Justice); Minister for Finance and Public Services; Minister for Parliamentary Business; Deputy Minister for Parliamentary Business; PS/Perm Sec; PS/FCSD; Imrie C (Colin); Press First Minister; Donnelly M (Mike); Ghibaldan S (Sam); Freeman J (Jeane);

Campbell D (Douglas) (Special Adviser); McArthur L (Liam); Policy Unit Mailbox;

Subject:

Draft Cabinet paper for 22/1 meeting: Ex gratia Payments to 'HCV from blood' patients

Importance:

High

4 page submission attached



pre cab

Health Planning & Quality