

Witness Name: Ian **GRO-B**
Statement No.: WITN2072002
Exhibits: WITN2072003-005
Dated: 18th February 2021

INFECTED BLOOD INQUIRY

SECOND WRITTEN STATEMENT OF IAN **GRO-B**

I provide this supplementary statement, following my first written statement which was provided in response to a request under Rule 9 of the Inquiry Rules 2006 dated 5th November 2018, to provide further information which may be relevant to the Inquiry.

1. I have encountered pages in my medical records that I wish the Inquiry to investigate as I feel it has relevant evidence to support the claims of negligible treatment.
2. On the 14th May 1985 Dr Davidson received a letter from Dr Greer advising him that I was infected with hepatitis non-A, non-B. I exhibit this as **WITN2072003**.
3. On the 22nd May 1985 Dr Davidson wrote to Dr Greer acknowledging this letter and noting it would be interesting to see if other patients develop hepatitis non-A, non-B from this same batch. I exhibit this letter as **WITN2072004**.
4. I exhibit a list from my records as **WITN2072005**. This list was sent to Dr Greer from Dr Davidson on the 4th June 1985. It has names on it of

people who were treated with the same batch of Edinburgh Factor. My name is second on the list. I was infected with hepatitis C on the 17th April 1985 from this batch.

5. It was however still being used for patients until the 25th May 1985 and I can see the phrase "research purposes" is written on the list itself. Why did this batch continue to be used? The letter which was written to Dr Davidson was a follow up to a phone call to the blood products laboratory reporting my infection.
6. I note that SNBTS who were the manufacturer of the product I received, wished to be notified of incidents like this in 1985. Were they intending on following up with patients on this list to see if they were infected?

Statement of Truth

I believe that the facts stated in this witness statement are true.

Signed

GRO-B

Dated

24/2/2021