## P2000/01

12 January 2000

Dr E A Robinson
Medical Director
National Blood Authority
Oak House
Reeds Crescent
Watford
Herts WD1 2QH

Dear angels,

Executive

Headquarters

Department of Health Wellington House 133-155 Waterloo Road London SE1 8UG

Tel 020 7972 2000

CC:/M fogden
/M Gornam
/P Hewitt
/SJanisch
/Clinical Directors

Management of potential donors known to have received blood from people subsequently shown to have developed variant CJD.

MSBT discussed the management of donors known to have received blood from people who subsequently developed variant CID at the last meeting on 28<sup>th</sup> October 1999. This letter outlines that discussion and advice to the National Blood Authority in view of the legal, ethical and public health implications of flagging such potential blood donors to prevent their donations entering the blood supply. It also provides a full reply to your letter of 22 December.

As part of the Transfusion Medicine Epidemiology Review (TMER) study, the four UK national blood services are asked for information about the blood donation histories of all those who die from both classical and variant CID by the CID Surveillance Unit. This in turn leads the blood services to trace the donations from these patients with a view to removing any remaining blood components or products from stock. The exercise inevitably reveals information about patients who have received implicated blood components or products. The question is whether these people's blood, should they present as donors in the future, be prevented from entering the blood supply and if so how the situation should be managed.

As you will remember we discussed the issues in detail earlier in the year at a meeting attended by Departmental and NBA lawyers. In addition Dr Sheila Adam and Dr Pat Troop had a further discussion with our lawyers to help clarify the position on flagging donor databases, informing those flagged in this way and what if anything they should be told. The view of the lawyers was that the flagging procedure described by the NBA is not out of line with current requirements of the Data Protection Act (DPA)1984 or the new 1998 Act. It was also considered that there was probably no requirement under either the old or the new DPA on national blood services to inform people who have received implicated blood components that they were being or had been flagged to avoid their blood getting into national

From	Dr Mike McGovern, Senior Me	Health Service	ces Directorate 1			
	Office 0171 972 4857, Mobile	GRO-C	c-mail	GRO-C		
E/2.4	868 ON			T OSH	92:E10002.	AL.SI



supplies. Given that these people could present as donors in any of the UK countries we agreed that the 'flagging' information should be shared by all four national blood services to ensure a co-ordinated inclusive approach.

In the event of a 'flagged' person giving blood, it was agreed that the donation identified through the flagging process should not be allowed to enter the supply. It was also agreed that in the spirit of openness and 'contracts' with donors, the blood services would need to consider telling, or offering to tell, the donor why their blood could not be accepted. As, however, there is still little scientific knowledge to inform discussion with the donor, we agreed that the appropriate Health Department should be contacted in the first instance and every such incident discussed and managed on a case by case basis. The NBA agreed to develop a protocol for dealing with these cases in discussion with the Department of Health and the proposed 'Expert Group on the Management of CJD Incidents'. The protocol could of course be adopted by all four national blood services.

As we discussed, this 'Expert Group on the Management of CJD Incidents' will provide a mechanism for the development of a consistent approach to the handling of situations where patients may have been exposed to the potential risk of secondary vCJD infection. It will include consideration of cases where patients were operated on using instruments found to have been used on patients who subsequently developed vCJD, as well patients who have received implicated blood or blood products. The Group is due to have its first meeting on 25 January, under the Chairmanship of Professor Don Jeffries.

It was clear from all the discussions that the decision to flag such potential donors was purely precautionary, not based on any new scientific information, and taken in the face of profound uncertainty. The most recent scientific opinion is that while 'blood may contain low levels of the infectious agent of CJD, blood components have never been identified as a cause of CID in humans'. The information on vCID however is in evolution and the position still is that there is no test for the agent, even if there were the implications of a positive test would be difficult to ascertain, and there are no known treatments for the disease. In addition it is not known whether the agent can be transmitted by blood and cause disease in recipients. Because of this our current policy remains that people who may have been exposed to the vCJD agent through blood or blood products should not be informed as set out in Executive Letter PL(CO) (98) 1, issued 6 February 1998. However the policy will be kept under review in the light of developing science and lawyers will be seeking a Counsel's opinion on the extent of our obligations towards those who may have been affected by implicated products. This letter has been copied to colleagues in all four UK countries who will need to consider obtaining their own legal advice and to inform the Chief Executives of their blood services about the

GRO-C
Dr Mike McGovern
Health Services Directorate

 From
 Dr Mike McGovern, Senior Medical Officer, Health Services Directorate 1

 Office 0171 972 4857, Mobile
 GRO-C
 e-mail
 GRO-C

 €/€'d
 968 ON
 T GSH
 22:ET 0002 NHST 'ZT

## 22 December 1999



Dr M McGovern
Department of Health
Room 412
Wellington House
133-155 Waterloo Road
London
SE1 8UG

## Dear Mike

Following our meeting with you and the Department of Health Legal Advisor on 6 October 1999, and the subsequent internal meeting held at the Department of Health, you advised me of the outcome on 27 October as follows:

- 1. There is no legal or data protection issue that would prevent the NBA from proceeding to flag potential donors who have been recipients of blood from individuals who subsequently developed vCJD on their database in order to prevent the donations from entering the blood supply.
- 2. Should any of these potential donors present themselves to the NBA, before proceeding to inform these individuals about the reason for their deferral as blood donors, the NBA should submit their procedural counselling material to the new Ethical/Scientific Committee (the Jeffries Committee). The remit of this committee is to consider the wider scientific and ethical issues that arise with regard to the potential secondary transmission of vCJD and will advise the NBA on how to proceed.
- 3. The Jeffries Committee will also consider the question of sharing the names of potential 'at risk' recipients between all the UK transfusion services so that all UK Transfusion service donor databases can institute a similar flagging procedure.

I reported this to the National Blood Authority Board on 29 October 1999, who subsequently authorised me to proceed with flagging the three potential donors on the NBA donor database. As you will know, this is formally recorded in the minutes and as instructed, a system has been put in place for flagging these potential donors on our donor database on 25 November 1999. According to instructions, we are taking Action to prepare appropriate counselling material ready for submission to the Ethical/Scientific Committee, but have taken no other steps at this stage.

National Blood Authority Oak House Reeds Crescent Watford Herts. WD1 1QH

Tel: 01923 486800 Fax: 01923 486801

g:\angela\2212mm.doc



I would be grateful to receive the follow-up letter you agreed to send me on this issue as soon as possible.

Yours sincerely

GRO-C

Dr E Angela E Robinson Medical Director

cc Dr P Hewitt Mr M Fogden Mr M Gorham Mr S Janisch