Witness Name: Kenneth Gray Statement No: WITN0491007

Exhibits: Nil

Dated: 08 April 2022

INFECTED BLOOD INQUIRY

FURTHER WRITTEN STATEMENT OF KENNETH GRAY

I provide this statement in response to a request under Rule 9 of the Inquiry Rules 2006 dated 22 October 2018.

I, Kenneth Gray, will say as follows: -

Section 1. Introduction

- 1. Further to my witness statements dated, 29 October 2018, 9 November 2018 and 14 December 2020. I now wish to correct the spelling of a doctor I refer to at para 11 of my statement dated 14 December 2020. (WITN491003)
- 2. 'Dr Powell' should be corrected to read to 'Dr Fowell'
- In addition, I would like to add the following: On the 02 December 2021, I listened to the evidence of Dame Marcella Carreras. It was the second session of the morning. 6 minutes 57 seconds into the video to 9 minutes 50.
- 4. On the screen is a medical journal written by Professor Sheila Sherlock dated 1981. It is titled 'Disease of the liver and bilary system' She was from the Royal Free in London where my wife, Sandra was treated.
- 5. Professor Sherlock was so respected they named a wing of the hospital after her and it is used for teaching. She was a liver specialist.

- 6. At the time Sandra was being treated I used to walk through the wing every day to visit. The relevant page of the document was on the screen while Dame Carreras was being questioned by Jenny Richards QC. I managed to read the whole page.
- 7. At the bottom of the page was the line. Features of acute viral hepatitis-Fatty change and evidence of bile duct damage may sometimes be seen.
- 8. My concern is that Dr Ramage missed this vital piece of medical evidence when he treated my wife. It is apparent from this document that this bile duct damage was known in 1981 long before my wife was treated by Dr Ramage.
- 9. My question is why didn't he read this medical journal or her notes as he was unaware she had had a blood transfusion?
- 10. As with my previous statements, I can confirm that I have chosen not to have legal representation and that the Inquiry Investigator has explained the anonymity process to me. I do not wish to be anonymous as I wish for my story to be known in full.
- 11. The Inquiry Investigator has explained to me the 'Right to Reply' procedure, and I understand that if I am critical of a medical professional or organisation, they will have the right to reply to that criticism.

Statement of Truth

I believe that the facts stated in this witness statement are true.

