

INFECTED BLOOD ENQUIRY

WRITTEN STATEMENT OF BILLIE JOSEPHINE REYNOLDS

I PROVIDE THIS STATEMENT IN RESPONSE TO A REQUEST UNDER RULE 9 OF THE INQUIRY RULES 2006 DATED 24TH January 2019.

I, BILLIE JOSEPHINE REYNOLDS, WILL SAY AS FOLLOWS..

SECTION 1 INTRODUCTION

1. MY NAME IS BILLIE JOSEPHINE REYNOLDS. MY DATE OF BIRTH IS GRO-C 1936.
MY ADDRESS IS KNOWN TO THE ENQUIRY.
I WAS A HAEMOPHILIA NURSE AND LATER SISTER AT THE HAEMOPHILIA CENTRE IN EDINBURGH ROYAL INFIRMARY BETWEEN 1986 AND 1997.
2. I WAS AWARE OF THE PENROSE INQUIRY THAT TOOK PLACE IN SCOTLAND BETWEEN 2009 AND 2015.
INITIALLY, I DID NOT THINK THAT IT WAS RELEVANT FOR ME TO BE INVOLVED, AS THE MEN HAD BEEN INFECTED WITH HIV A FEW YEARS BEFORE I BEGAN TO WORK IN THE HAEMOPHILIA CENTRE.
THIS CHANGED WHEN I FOLLOWED THE EVIDENCE GIVEN BY Dr. (LATER PROFESSOR) LUDLAM WHO WAS THE DIRECTOR OF THE HAEMOPHILIA CENTRE,WHILST I WORKED THERE.
I WAS VERY ANGRY, AND TAKEN ABACK AT WHAT HE SAID REGARDING A PATIENT CALLED "MARK". He was not telling the truth.
I DECIDED TO CONTACT THE PENROSE ENQUIRY AND MADE AN AFFIDAVIT DISPUTING SOME OF PROFESSOR LUDLAM'S EVIDENCE.
3. I AM AWARE THAT PROFESSOR LUDLAM SUBSEQUENTLY MADE COMMENTS ABOUT THE AFFIDAVIT THAT I MADE TO THE PENROSE ENQUIRY.
I HAVE BEEN SHOWN A COPY OF PROFESSOR LUDLAM'S COMMENTS ON MY AFFIDAVIT, PRIOR TO MAKING THIS STATEMENT.

SECTION 2. PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND

4. I UNDERTOOK MY ENROLLED NURSE TRAINING BETWEEN 1978—1980.
UPON QUALIFYING, I WORKED AT THE WESTERN GENERAL HOSPITAL AND THE ELSIE INGLIS HOSPITAL RESPECTIVELY, AS WELL AS COMPLETING FURTHER STUDY AT TELFORD COLLEGE EDINBURGH.
5. I THEN COMPLETED A BRIDGING COURSE AT EDINBURGH ROYAL INFIRMARY BETWEEN 1983 AND 1985, TO BECOME A REGISTERED GENERAL NURSE. (R.G.N.)
6. BY THE TIME I QUALIFIED AS AN R.G.N., MY PARENTS WERE QUITE ELDERLY AND SO I DECIDED TO WORK PART TIME IN EDINBURGH ROYAL INFIRMARY.
I WAS PLACED IN THE “POOL” OF NURSES WHICH MEANT THAT I WAS SENT TO ANY WARD OR DEPARTMENT IN THE HOSPITAL THAT NEEDED A NURSE. I DID THIS WORK FOR ONE YEAR.

SECTION 3. HAEMOPHILIA CENTRE AT EDINBURGH ROYAL INFIRMARY.

7. WHILST WORKING IN THE NURSING “POOL”, MY NURSING OFFICER APPROACHED ME TO ASK IF I WOULD PROVIDE TEMPORARY NURSING CARE IN THE HAEMOPHILIA CENTRE UNTIL A NEW HAEMOPHILIA SISTER WAS APPOINTED.
THE HAEMOPHILIA SISTER AT THAT TIME (IONA PHILP) WAS LEAVING.
MY NURSING OFFICER TOLD ME THAT I WOULD BE WORKING WITH PATIENTS WHO ALSO HAD HIV AND ASKED IF THAT BOTHERED ME.
I TOLD HER THAT IT DIDN'T BOTHER ME BUT EXPLAINED THAT I HAD NO KNOWLEDGE OF HIV OR HAEMOPHILIA (WHICH WAS TRUE AT THAT TIME)
SHE INSTRUCTED ME TO WEAR A PLASTIC APRON AND LATEX GLOVES AT ALL TIMES WHEN DEALING WITH HAEMOPHILIA PATIENTS.
I ACCEPTED THE POSITION AND HAD A ONE DAY HANDOVER WITH IONA IN JULY 1986.

INFECTED BLOOD ENQUIRY

SECTION 3. HAEMOPHILIA CENTRE AT EDINBURGH ROYAL INFIRMARY CONTINUED.

8. DURING THE HANDOVER WITH IONA, I WAS SHOWN THE TREATMENT BOOK WHICH WAS USED TO RECORD ALL THE DETAILS OF THE PATIENTS' VISITS. THIS INCLUDED THE PATIENT'S NAME , THE DATE AND TIME OF THE VISIT, THE REASON FOR THE VISIT, AND THE TREATMENT THEY RECEIVED (IF ANY) THE NAME OF THE DOCTOR AND NURSE THAT ATTENDED THE PATIENT, ANY ADVICE THAT WAS GIVEN AND ANY HOME TREATMENT TO TAKE AWAY.
9. IONA ALSO SHOWED ME THE BLOOD BOOK WHICH WAS USED TO RECORD THE DETAILS OF BLOOD TAKEN FROM THE PATIENTS. ENTRIES IN THIS BOOK INCLUDED THE PATIENT'S NAME, DATE AND TIME OF THEIR VISIT, BLOODS THAT WERE TAKEN AND THE INDIVIDUAL AMOUNTS.
10. FINALLY , IONA TOLD ME THAT SHE CLEANED THE TREATMENT ROOM WITH DISINFECTANT EVERY MORNING. THE TREATMENT BOOK, THE BLOOD BOOK AND THIS CLEANING METHOD WERE THE ONLY PROCEDURES IN THE CENTRE THAT I KNEW ABOUT. THERE WERE NO OTHERS.
11. THE DAY IONA LEFT,SHE HANDED ME A SEALED ENVELOPE , AND ASKED ME NOT TO OPEN IT UNTIL AFTER SHE HAD GONE. I WAS NOT TO TELL ANYONE ABOUT IT. SHE SAID THAT IN DUE COURSE I WOULD KNOW WHAT IT WAS ALL ABOUT, BUT SHE COULD NOT TELL ME. AS SOON AS SHE HAD GONE, I OPENED THE ENVELOPE. INSIDE WAS A SHEET OF PAPER WITH TWO COLUMNS OF INITIALS. I HAD NO IDEA WHAT THE INITIALS MEANT, BUT I SUSPECTED THAT THEY HAD SOMETHING TO DO WITH HIV.

SECTION 3. HAEMOPHILIA CENTRE AT EDINBURGH ROYAL INFIRMARY CONTD.

12. AS I SPENT MORE TIME AT THE CENTRE I CAME TO REALISE THAT THEY WERE ACTUALLY THE INITIALS OF SOME OF OUR PATIENTS. THE COLUMN ON THE LEFT SIDE LISTED THE INITIALS OF PATIENTS WITH SEVERE HAEMOPHILIA AND THE COLUMN ON THE RIGHT LISTED PATIENTS WITH MODERATE HAEMOPHILIA. I CAME TO KNOW THAT ALL OF THESE PATIENTS HAD RECEIVED THE SAME BATCH OF TAINTED FACTOR V111 AND AS A RESULT, THE PATIENTS LISTED ON THE LEFT SIDE WERE INFECTED WITH HIV. THE PATIENTS LISTED ON THE RIGHT SIDE WERE HIV NEGATIVE.
13. I WORKED AS THE SOLE NURSE IN THE HAEMOPHILIA CENTRE FOR THE FIRST THREE MONTHS. IF I REQUIRED A DOCTOR, I WOULD PHONE THE RESEARCH REGISTRAR WHO WAS DOMICILED IN ANOTHER BUILDING AND HE WOULD COME TO THE CENTRE. IN OCTOBER 1986 , MICHELLE JONES WAS APPOINTED AS THE HAEMOPHILIA SISTER AND I BECAME THE PERMANENT STAFF NURSE. AFTER A WEEK OR SO, I GAVE MICHELLE THE ENVELOPE THAT IONA HAD GIVEN ME. I FELT THAT IT WAS IMPORTANT THAT SHE SHOULD HAVE IT. I CAN'T RECALL WHAT HAPPENED TO THE ENVELOPE AFTER THAT.
14. MICHELLE JONES WORKED IN THE CENTRE FOR THREE YEARS. WHEN SHE LEFT IN 1989 I WAS APPOINTED HAEMOPHILIA SISTER.
15. **SECTION 4 STAFFING , PROCEDURES AND POLICIES**

BEFORE I TOOK UP THE ROLE OF HAEMOPHILIA SISTER, THE CENTRE HAD OPERATED ON AN INFORMAL BASIS WHERE THE PROCESSES AND PROCEEDURES WERE COMMUNICATED TO STAFF BY WORD OF MOUTH. MY FIRST TASK AS SISTER WAS TO COMPOSE A FOLDER ON POLICIES AND PROCEEDURES IN THE NURSING CARE OF HAEMOPHILIA PATIENTS. I FELT THAT THIS WAS IMPORTANT FOR BOTH NURSES AND PATIENTS. I NEVER SAW ANY POLICIES THAT WERE WRITTEN BY PROFESSOR LUDLAM.

INFECTED BLOOD ENQUIRY

SECTION 4 STAFFING PROCEEDURES AND POLICIES CONTD.

16. EACH YEAR IN THE CENTRE WE WOULD START A NEW TREATMENT BOOK TO RECORD INFORMATION ABOUT PATIENTS VISITS AND TREATMENT. WE ALSO HAD A NEW BLOOD BOOK EACH YEAR TO RECORD ALL BLOODS THAT WERE TAKEN FROM THE PATIENTS . I STORED THESE BOOKS OF INFORMATION IN MY ROOM AT THE CENTRE.

SECTION 5 PROFESSOR LUDLAM'S DIRECTORSHIP OF THE CENTRE.

17. PROFESSOR LUDLAM OPERATED THE CENTRE IN A VERY SECRETIVE MANNER. HE MAINTAINED AN UNSPOKEN RULE THAT NONE OF THE STAFF AT THE CENTRE (BOTH MEDICAL AND NURSING STAFF) WERE TO WRITE ANYTHING ABOUT HIV OR AIDS IN ANY OF THE PATIENTS MEDICAL NOTES OR THE TREATMENT BOOK. I NEVER SAW ANY THING WRITTEN ABOUT OR ALLUDING TO HIV OR AIDS IN ANY OF THE PATIENTS NOTES NOR ANY TREATMENT BOOK.
18. WHEN I FIRST STARTED TO WORK IN THE CENTRE, I ATTENDED PROFESSOR LUDLAM'S WEEKLY MONDAY MEETING WHICH WAS HELD IN HIS OFFICE AT THAT TIME. THE SECOND TIME I ATTENDED HIS MEETING HE ASKED ME TO STAY BEHIND AFTER THE OTHERS HAD LEFT. HE THEN PROCEEDED TO TELL ME THAT I WAS NOT TO MENTION ANYTHING ABOUT HIV TO THE PATIENTS. I TOLD HIM THAT MY NURSING OFFICER HAD ALREADY SPOKEN TO ME ABOUT THAT. PROFESSOR LUDLAM SAID THAT IT WAS OK IF A PATIENT INITIATED SUCH A CONVERSATION, BUT I WAS NOT TO INITIATE ANY DISCUSSION ABOUT IT.
19. AS TIME WENT ON AND I LEARNED THE NAMES OF THE PATIENTS, I CHECKED THEIR INITIALS AGAINST THOSE ON THE SHEET OF PAPER THAT IONA GAVE ME. SOME MEN TOLD ME ABOUT THEIR STATUS AS THEY WERE CONCERNED ABOUT MY SAFETY. OTHERS TOOK IT FOR GRANTED THAT I KNEW THEIR STATUS. IT WAS CLEAR TO ME THAT NOT ALL THE MEN KNEW THAT THEY WERE HIV+. I ASKED PROFESSOR LUDLAM IF ALL THE MEN KNEW ABOUT THEIR STATUS, AND HE SAID "OF COURSE".

SECTION 5 PROFESSOR LUDLAM'S DIRECTORSHIP OF THE CENTRE CONTD.

20. SOME OF THE PATIENTS WOULD MAKE JOKES ABOUT THEIR HIV STATUS TO ME. ONE PATIENT WOULD PROCLAIM "WATCH OUT, AIDS ABOUT! " EACH TIME HE ENTERED THE CENTRE. IT LATER TRANSPIRED THAT HE HAD NOT BEEN FORMALLY TOLD THAT HE WAS IN FACT HIV+ AND JUST ASSUMED HE WAS, BECAUSE, (AS HE SAID) " MOST HAEMOPHILIACS WERE HIV+" ANOTHER PATIENT TOLD ME THAT HIS BROTHER HAD HIV BUT THAT HE HIMSELF WAS ALRIGHT. I KNEW THAT BOTH OF THEM WERE INFECTED. I SPOKE TO PROFESSOR LUDLAM AGAIN AND ASKED WHY THE PATIENT DID NOT KNOW HIS HIV STATUS. PROFESSOR LUDLAM SAID THAT THE WHOLE THING HAD BEEN A SHAMBLES, BECAUSE THE HIV TEST WAS NOT ACCURATE. THERE HAD BEEN A LOT OF FALSE POSITIVES. SOME PATIENTS HAD BEEN GIVEN AN INCORRECT DIAGNOSES. THIS OCCURRED IN 1984.
21. SOME PATIENTS WERE TOO AFRAID TO ASK PROFESSOR LUDLAM WHETHER THEY HAD HIV OR NOT, BECAUSE, THEY WERE SCARED OF THE ANSWER. OTHERS DID NOT LIKE TO SEE PROFESSOR LUDLAM AS HE USED LANGUAGE THAT THEY DID NOT UNDERSTAND WHAT HE WAS SAYING ,AND LEFT NONE THE WISER.
22. PROFESSOR LUDLAM WAS ALSO SECRETIVE IN RESPECT OF THE TREATMENT OF PATIENTS WITH HEPATITIS C (HCV). HE LET IT BE KNOWN TO THE CENTRE STAFF THAT HE INTENDED TO START A NEW CLINIC FOR PATIENTS WITH HCV. I TOOK A COURSE IN THIS SUBJECT AND GAINED MY CERTIFICATE, SO THAT I WAS PREPARED FOR THE NEW CLINIC. HOWEVER, I WAS NOT INVITED TO JOIN THEM WHEN DISCUSSIONS ABOUT THE NEW CLINIC TOOK PLACE. I LATER FOUND OUT THAT HE HAD INVITED MY STAFF NURSE TO THE MEETING INSTEAD OF ME. I REPORTED THIS TO MY NURSING OFFICER WHO ARRANGED A MEETING FOR PROFESSOR LUDLAM AND I.I PUT MY GRIEVANCE TO HIM AND HE REPLIED THAT " HE DID NOT HAVE TIME TO TELL ME ALL THE TRIVIA OF THE DAY.
23. I WAS NEVER INVITED TO TAKE PART IN ANY OF THE HCV CLINICS AFTER THAT.

INFECTED BLOOD ENQUIRY

SECTION 5 PROFESSOR LUDLAM'S DIRECTORSHIP OF THE CENTRE CONT.

24. IT IS FAIR TO SAY THAT OUR PROFESSIONAL RELATIONSHIP STARTED TO DETERIORATE SOON AFTER I WAS APPOINTED AS HAEMOPHILIA SISTER.
- AT OUR FIRST MEETING TOGETHER IN MY NEW POSITION, PROFESSOR LUDLAM INSTRUCTED ME TO TELL HIM "EVERYTHING THAT HAPPENS IN THE CENTRE, AND EVERYTHING THAT EVERYBODY SAYS AND DOES" FROM THAT POINT ONWARDS. I REFUSED AND TOLD HIM THAT I DIDN'T WANT TO WORK LIKE THAT. TRUST WAS IMPORTANT TO ME. I TOLD HIM THAT I WOULD INFORM HIM ABOUT ANYTHING THAT I FELT HE SHOULD KNOW AS DIRECTOR OF THE HAEMOPHILIA CENTRE.
- AFTER THIS CONVERSATION OUR RELATIONSHIP WAS STRAINED.
25. TWO PATIENTS AT THE CENTRE STAND OUT IN MY MEMORY IN PARTICULAR AS ILLUSTRATIVE OF PROFESSOR LUDLAM'S PATIENT MANNER.
26. THE FIRST WAS A BOY OF 17 YEARS WHO HAD HAEMOPHILIA AND WAS INFECTED WITH HIV, WHICH THEN DEVELOPED INTO AIDS. HE WAS SERIOUSLY ILL BUT UNAWARE OF HIS HIV STATUS. HIS PARENTS KNEW HIS STATUS BUT DID NOT WANT THE BOY TO BE INFORMED AS HE HAD A GREAT FEAR OF THE DISEASE. AT THAT TIME, THERE WERE SCARE CAMPAIGNS IN THE MEDIA ABOUT AIDS ("DON'T DIE OF IGNORANCE") AND THE BOY HAD DEVELOPED A HORROR OF THE DISEASE.
27. THE HAEMOPHILIA SISTER AND I AGREED WITH THE PARENTS 'WISH NOT TO TELL THE BOY. DESPITE THIS, PROFESSOR LUDLAM, (ACCOMPANIED BY GERALDINE BROWN(THE SOCIAL WORKER FOR THE HAEMOPHILIA CENTRE) VISITED THE BOY AND INFORMED HIM OF HIS HIV STATUS. THE BOY WAS ALONE IN A HOSPITAL BED AND WAS ABSOLUTELY SHOCKED. HIS FATHER ARRIVED AND DEMANDED TO SEE PROFESSOR LUDLAM AND GERALDINE BROWN. BOTH SAID THEY WERE TOO BUSY TO SEE THE FATHER, ALTHOUGH PROFESSOR LUDLAM DID SEE HIM LATER IN THE DAY. THE BOY DIED SHORTLY AFTER IN 1987.

INFECTED BLOOD ENQUIRY

SECTION 5 PROFESSOR LUDLAM'S DIRECTORSHIP OF THE CENTRE CONTD.

28. MY IMPRESSION WAS THAT PROFESSOR LUDLAM REGRETTED TELLING THE BOY ABOUT HIS HIV STATUS, BECAUSE I BELIEVE THAT IT IMPACTED HOW HE DEALT WITH THE SECOND PATIENT WHO WAS OF A SIMILAR AGE AND ALSO NOT AWARE OF HIS HIV STATUS. IN ADDITION, HIS PARENTS WERE UNAWARE OF THEIR SON'S HIV STATUS.
29. IN LATE 1986 PROFESSOR LUDLAM ASKED THE CLINICAL ASSISTANT (BERNADETTE AUGUR) TO GO THROUGH ALL THE PATIENTS' FILES AND MAKE A NOTE OF THOSE PATIENTS WHO HAD NOT BEEN SEEN AT THE CENTRE FOR THE LAST SIX MONTHS. THERE WERE ABOUT FIVE OR SIX PATIENTS IN THAT CATEGORY, INCLUDING THE SECOND BOY THAT I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS.
30. THIS BOY WAS AROUND THE SAME AGE AS THE FIRST PATIENT, AND ALSO HAD HAEMOPHILIA AND HIV, BUT WAS UNAWARE OF HIS HIV STATUS. HE WAS DISCUSSED AT PROFESSOR LUDLAM'S WEEKLY MEETING SOME TIME AFTER THE FIRST BOY DIED IN 1987. ONE OF THE MEDICAL STAFF POINTED OUT THAT AS THE BOY BECAME INFECTED AT THE AGE OF FOURTEEN, WE WERE OBLIGED BY LAW TO INFORM HIS PARENTS, REGARDING HIS HIV STATUS. PROFESSOR LUDLAM LET IT BE KNOWN THAT HE, "WAS NOT GOING DOWN THAT ROAD AGAIN ." (HIS WORDS)

INFECTED BLOOD ENQUIRY

SECTION 6 RETIRAL AND PROFESSOR LUDLAM.

31. I RETIRED FROM NURSING IN 1997, BUT PROFESSOR LUDLAM CONTINUED TO CONTACT ME A NUMBER OF TIMES AFTER THIS DATE.
32. PROFESSOR LUDLAM TELEPHONED ME ON CHRISTMAS DAY 2005, ANNOUNCING THAT HE WAS COMING TO MY HOUSE TO SEE ME, THAT DAY. I TOLD HIM THAT IT WAS NOT CONVENIENT. HE THEN SUGGESTED VISITING ME ON BOXING DAY. BUT AGAIN I REFUSED. I ASKED HIM WHY HE WANTED TO SEE ME. HE SAID THAT IT WAS TO DO WITH THE PENROSE INQUIRY AND THAT HE WANTED TO TALK TO ME ABOUT THE PROCEEDURE FOR TAKING BLOOD FROM PATIENTS AT THE CENTRE, SO THAT HE COULD BE SURE IN HIS MIND THAT HE WAS CORRECT. I AGREED TO SEE HIM ON 5TH JANUARY 2006.
33. WHEN PROFESSOR LUDLAM ARRIVED AT MY HOUSE, HE WAS JOVIAL AT FIRST, CHATTING ABOUT VARIOUS THINGS TO DO WITH THE PATIENTS AND THE CENTRE. I WAS A BIT SURPRISED AT THIS BUT JOINED IN TO BE POLITE. WE ALSO SPOKE GENERALLY ABOUT THE PROCEDURE FOR TAKING BLOOD, ALTHOUGH, I THOUGHT IT WAS STRANGE THAT HE WANTED TO TALK TO ME ABOUT THIS BECAUSE, HE KNEW THE PROCEEDURE LONG BEFORE I DID. AS HE GOT UP TO LEAVE, HE SAID THAT EVERYTHING I HAD TOLD HIM DURING OUR MEETING WAS "IN HERE" (HE TAPPED THE SIDE OF HIS HEAD") AND WOULD BE TYPED UP BY HIS SOLICITOR INTO A STATEMENT WHICH I WOULD HAVE TO SIGN.

SECTION 6. RETIRAL AND PROFESSOR LUDLAM. CONTD.

34. I FELT THAT I HAD BEEN TRICKED. I DECIDED TO CONTACT HIS SOLICITOR BUT BEFORE I COULD DO SO, SHE CONTACTED ME. I EXPLAINED WHAT HAD HAPPENED AND THAT I WANTED NO PART IN IT. SHE SAID "THAT WAS FINE" BUT ASKED ME WHETHER I WAS AWARE THAT PROFESSOR LUDLAM WAS DUE TO APPEAR BEFORE THE GENERAL MEDICAL COUNCIL BECAUSE OF EIGHT COMPLAINTS THAT HAD BEEN MADE AGAINST HIM. I SAID THAT I DIDN'T KNOW AND ASKED HER AGAIN TO TELL PROFESSOR LUDLAM THAT I DID NOT WANT ANYTHING TO DO WITH IT.
35. I RECEIVED A LETTER FROM PROFESSOR LUDLAM, DATED 13TH AUGUST 2010, ABOUT THE PENROSE INQUIRY. THE LETTER STATED THAT HE HAD BEEN ASKED TO PROVIDE DETAILS ABOUT THE OPERATION OF THE HAEMOPHILIA CENTRE IN THE 1980S AND 1990S AND WARNED THAT I MAY BE CALLED TO GIVE EVIDENCE. I HAVE KEPT THIS LETTER. THE INVESTIGATORS HAVE TAKEN A PHOTO OF IT WHICH IS PRODUCED AS EXHIBIT **WITN0629019**
- 36 THE WEEK AFTER I RECEIVED THIS LETTER, I HAD A PHONE CALL FROM PROFESSOR LUDLAM ON THE MONDAY. I DID NOT ANSWER IT. HE PHONED AGAIN ON TUESDAY AND WEDNESDAY. I IGNORED HIS CALLS. ON THE FRIDAY, HE RANG AGAIN AND YELLED DOWN THE PHONE," ARE YOU TRYING TO IGNORE ME?" I DID NOT SPEAK TO HIM.
37. AS MENTIONED IN PARAGRAPH 2, I DID END UP GIVING EVIDENCE TO THE PENROSE INQUIRY AFTER HEARING THE EVIDENCE THAT PROFESSOR LUDLAM GAVE.
38. I ALSO RECEIVED A LETTER FROM THE BBC FRONTLINE SCOTLAND TELEVISION SERIES, ASKING FOR ME TO GIVE AN INTERVIEW ABOUT MY WORK WITH PATIENTS SUFFERING FROM HAEMOPHILIA.(**WITN0629002**). I DID NOT RESPOND TO THE LETTER AND NEVER RECEIVED ANY FURTHER CORRESPONDENCE FROM THE BBC.