as possible causes." Wednesday, 30 March 2022 2 (10.00 am) 2 That is then a reference to your awareness from 3 3 the mid to late 1970s of non-A, non-B hepatitis; is DR TERENCE JOSEPH SNAPE (continued) 4 4 **SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF:** Good morning, Dr Snape. that right? 5 5 A. That's correct. A. Good morning, sir. 6 6 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: That means you can hear me. You can Q. Why did you think at that time that it was 7 7 an apparently mild form of hepatitis? see me as well? 8 8 A. I can see you. I can hear you. Thank you very much. It would be wrong to talk about it being my thinkin g. 9 9 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Good. Well, you now, I think, have I think my thinking reflected what I heard being 10 the same opportunity with Mr Hill. 10 reported to me from physicians. Not to say that th ey 11 were dismissive of the apparently mild hepatitis, lack 11 Questions by MR HILL 12 12 MR HILL: Dr Snape, we are going to start today by looking of sequelae, but that in comparison with the change 13 at non-A, non-B hepatitis. Could we have on screen, 13 that treatment with clotting factor concentrates 14 please, Sully, WITN3431001 page 52. This is from your 14 brought about, these were, I believe, seen as 15 witness statement, Dr Snape, paragraph 141. You wrote 15 acceptable side effects, short-term side effects. 16 there, and I quote: 16 **Q.** The physicians with whom you were having these 17 17 "I am neither a physician nor a clinical discussions, were they principally from the Oxford 18 virologist, but I became aware by the mid to late 18 Haemophilia Centre? 19 1970s (from Oxford Haemophilia Centre meetings, 19 A. From the Haemophilia Centre. I also recall a fairl 20 literature reviews and reports of non-A, non-B 20 stern lecture from Professor Arie Zuckerman at the 21 hepatitis from PFL's relatively small pool Factor VIII 21 time who was recommending that we didn't -- that we 22 and Factor IX concentrates) of post-treatment reports 22 established follow-up in the sense of recording which 23 23 of an apparently mild form of hepatitis with no batches reflected patient sequelae and that we 24 24 apparent serious sequelae and for which hepatitis A reported those to John Craske, to the working party, 25 25 when it was established. hepatitis B and obstructive jaundice had been excluded 1 Q. Did you take steps to do that, both at PFL and BPL? A. Yes. I think we had to regard them as clearly not 1 1 2 2 A. I can't speak for BPL in that timeline. We certainly risk free because there were the reports that we 3 took steps to do it at PFL. Again, it was pre 3 received of jaundice post-treatment. They couldn't be 4 4 computer technology, but we set up a system of 7x5 risk free. 5 cards and flagged reports of apparent non-A, non-B 5 Q. Later, what did the research show about the 6 6 jaundice on those cards, and each card -- when a card comparative safety of UK and US factor concentrates, 7 reached four flags from patient reports, then that 7 in terms of non-A, non-B infections? 8 would be -- information would have been passed on t 8 A. There was a --9 9 Jean Spooner who was secretary to the -- to John SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Just a moment. Isn't that really 10 Craske working party. 10 a question for me from the literature? I think it 11 Q. To the best of your knowledge, in the mid to late 11 might be appropriate to ask Dr Snape what he knew a 12 1970s, do you recall discussion taking place about 12 the time about it. 13 potential long-term sequelae to non-A, non-B 13 MR HILL: What did you know, come the, say, mid-1980s 14 hepatitis? 14 about the comparative risk of UK and US fractionate 15 A. Not at that time, no. I became more aware of such 15 concentrate? 16 16 A. At the time, if I wasn't attending the Haemophilia

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

discussions as we progressed into the early '80s --17 '81 through '82. 18 **Q.** We will come back to those discussions in a second. 19

I just want to quickly refer to paragraph 144 of your statement. I don't ask for it to be brought up, bu you say there that there was some evidence at that time that voluntary unpaid donor sources may be a lower risk, but they were certainly not risk free . Is that a reflection of your position in the late

3

24

25 1970s?

20

21

22

23

4 (1) Pages 1 - 4

Centre Directors meetings or the Hepatitis Working

them. And, for me, there was an interesting

the position was still being held that NHS

concentrates were less likely to transmit to

Party, I was certainly receiving information back from

volte-face over a very short period, about 11 month s,

from the Hepatitis Working Party in autumn 1981, when

previously unexposed donors. And 11, 12 months later

at the Hepatitis Working Party in 1982, the positio

1 had changed, and the perspective then or the 2 perception then was that there was pretty much equa 3 likelihood of transmission from NHS concentrates an 4 commercial concentrates. And that was then firmed up 5 not much later by the paper from Peter Kernoff and 6 this group, confirming 10 out of 12 transmissions 7 to -- by NHS concentrates to previously untreated 8

patients.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

23

24

25

9 Q. I would like to take you to a memorandum that went in 10 under the name of Dr Lane to the Social Services 11 Committee of the House of Commons on 25 March 1987. 12 It's at LDOW0000247.

> If we could have the first page of that, first of all, please. We can see there that this is the formal report from the Committee. We can see that the minutes of evidence are from 25 March 1987. Those attending were Dr Harold Gunson from NBTS; and from BPL, Dr Lane, Mr Crowley, you and Dr Smith. And th en Professor Ian Kennedy also gave evidence there.

Could we turn, please, to page 6 of these minutes. This is the first page of a memorandum submitted by Dr Lane entitled, "The manufacture of therapeutic products from human plasma."

Were you involved in producing this memorandum for the House of Commons?

5

- 1 perspective of whether NHS concentrates were more o 2 less likely than commercial concentrates to be 3 responsible for transmission. But that doesn't mea 4 that even in autumn '81 it wasn't being taken 5 seriously.
- 6 Q. Do you know the reason why Dr Lane selected that date 7 by 1981?
- 8 A. I would imagine that he would have been reflecting on 9 the minutes, which I'm sure he had and referred to, of 10 the Hepatitis Working Party, chaired by John Craske.
- Q. Dr Lane says there that that was a view that was 11 12 shared by clinicians and BPL scientific staff alike 13 Are you aware of any difference in view about the 14 seriousness of non-A, non-B between fractionators and 15 clinicians at that time?
- 16 A. The only difference I would point to is that it see med 17 to -- my impression was that although physicians 18 treating haemophiliacs, and also reflecting the views 19 of haemophiliacs themselves, saw that non-A, non-B 20 hepatitis transmission as less of a threat than the 21 consequences of not treating patients to deal with 22 ioint bleeds and other serious bleeds.

As fractionators, we had a different perspective. Our job was to try to reflect on potential risks in our products and to put in place A. No. Not Richard Lane's paper, no.

2 Q. Did you see it before it was submitted?

3 A. I'm sure I would have done. It wouldn't have been 4 usual for Dr Lane to put me in the position of 5 attending a hearing like that and not having prepared 6 me. I don't remember seeing Dr Gunson's paper, but 7 I am sure I must have seen Richard Lane's paper.

- 8 Q. Presumably, Dr Lane would have taken some care abou 9 the creation of a paper, given that it was going to be 10 presented to Parliament?
- 11 A. I'm sure he did.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

5

6

7

8

9

24

25

12 **Q.** Could we go, please, to page 8. If we could just look 13 at the paragraph starting "By 1981" under the 14 subheading "Focus on factor 8Y".

> At the top of the screen, you can see that paragraph. It reads as follows:

"By 1981, awareness of the serious nature of non-A, non-B hepatitis in haemophiliacs was shared by clinicians and BPL scientific staff alike."

First of all, would you agree that, by 1981, awareness of the serious nature of non-A, non-B hepatitis was shared by BPL scientific staff?

23 A. I would agree that, certainly as fractionators, we 24 took it seriously. My earlier comment about the shift 25 from autumn '81 to autumn '82 was about the

6

1 mechanisms to limit those risks or eliminate those 2 risks. Now, virus transmission was clearly a risk, 3 and it was presenting itself. 4

Whilst we may have been in the beginning of putting together thinking on virus inactivation and virus elimination, what we were doing is looking at issues like donor selection, like our working practices that would give us the basis for producin safe and effective products.

10 **Q.** We heard of Dr Smith's evidence last week, where he 11 said that fractionators were much more concerned than 12 clinicians about non-A, non-B in the early stages, 13 partly because of earlier awareness of potential 14 product liability cases. The reference for that is 15 CBLA000016 014.

16 Is that a view which you would agree with? 17 A. I would agree with it. I would say that Dr Smith w as 18 especially sensitive to issues of hepatitis resulting 19 from fractionation because of the outbreak in 20 the Edinburgh Royal Infirmary whilst he was still u 21 there, before the building of the new PFC. He did not 22 treat hepatitis of any form trivially.

23 Q. I would like to move on now to pool sizes.

If we could go, please, to WITN3431001, page 86. This is back to your statement. Forgive me,

8

7

(2) Pages 5 - 8

Sully, I've given you the wrong page number. It's page 58.

I'm just going to read paragraph 161 from your statement to you:

"Since the 1970s ..."

It's the top of that page, please, Sully.

"160. Since the 1970s it has been argued (and largely accepted) that increased pool size and the use of paid donations carry greater risk of infection than the use of small pools from voluntar unpaid donations. The protection afforded by the use of unpaid donations is directly translated to recipients of single donor products (unless the recipient is unfortunate enough to be treated with the one, unrecognised infective donation) but greatly diminished when donations from many donors, even voluntary unpaid donors, are mixed and used in one pool to produce coagulation factors. In the absenc of reliable screening tests (and there was none for the entity causing NANBH in the early/mid-1980s) th larger the donor pool size, the greater the risk of unwittingly including an infective donation. Since pools had to be above a certain size for cost-effective production of the amounts of concentrate demanded for treatment of affected

was the failure at the time, of the paid donor syst em to exclude donors with life-style risk factors."

Do I take it correctly from what you have said there that there was a recognition that, as a general proposition, and all other things being equal, the greater the pool size, the greater the risk of it containing an infected donation?

A. I should qualify it, in the sense that once you exceed the pool size -- let me start again.

For donor plasma of the same quality, ie for a properly qualified donor, the pool size as a risk increases as -- risk increases as pool size increas es. There comes a point when the -- if, for example, we are talking about one in 100 donors being infective for non-A, non-B hepatitis or, for that matter, hepatitis B, then once you exceed the 1 in 100, certainly once you exceed the 500 that we initially specified at PFL, the thousand donors that we moved on to after that, then there is a diminishing return i terms of the risk of infection.

I think what I was also trying to say, perhaps a little clumsily, is that if donor characteristics were not controlled, if the selection of donors didn't deliberately exclude high risk donors, then I wouldn't say that pool size is irrelevant, but if you have

individuals, and to achieve the range of antibodies required for some immunoglobulin preparations (pool >1000 donations specified), the risk of NANBH infection was significant. Risks associated with increased donor pool size, or donor selection issue implicit in the use of paid donor plasma, were most significant for coagulation factor concentrates, which enjoyed neither the protection afforded by the presence of neutralising antibodies (intramuscular immunoglobulins), nor the benefit of in-process virus inactivation, either deliberate (albumin) or serendipitous (immunoglobulins).

"161. Prior to the advent of effective virus inactivation methods, there was therefore a significant risk of infectivity from all coagulat ion factor concentrates made from pooled plasma (including those products made by BPL). The incidence of infection would have been determined by the amount of virus in the product being administered. There was a consensus view (in the UK at least) that coagulat ion factor concentrates made from unpaid (UK) donors carried a lower virus burden than those made from donations from paid (US) donors. Certainly, US fractionation pools were much larger (up to 20,000 donations used in a plasma pool), but more importan

a significant number of donors who are contributing regularly, many times a year, to a pool, then that pool is more infective than a pool of well qualifie donors who are included perhaps twice a year in a plasma pool.

Q. You mention in those paragraphs the balance that th
 fractionator has to strike between pool size and
 efficiency. I wonder if you can just expand on tha
 a little for us, please.

A. Yes. I mean, as -- I'm not going to use the term "pool size" -- as batch size decreases then the process is less efficient. Less efficient because of the fractionation equipment that's used, because of losses in process. Also less efficient because of the proportion of samples. That doesn't diminish --predictably -- that the sample that's taken for quality control testing, to approve a product for release. Including, as we saw yesterday, the submission of samples to the official control authority.

Q. Could I just ask you to explain why you have chosenthe term "batch size" rather than "pool size"?

A. Because it is batch size that determines efficiency
 It is more efficient -- you can achieve greater
 efficiency by manufacturing a 2,500 kg batch, kilos of

1 plasma batch, than processing ten 250 kg batches. 2 Those numbers have nothing to do with donor pool size. 3 They are simply the mechanics of the fractionation 4 process and the sampling and testing that follows from 5 it.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Every one of those 250 batches carries a penalty of a -- an irreducible penalty of somewhere around 20 to 25 vials of final product that has to be sacrificed for sterility testing, for pyrogen testing, for all of the potency testing that happens, and add to that then the vials going off to the official control authority. So it's -- that's batch size that's driving efficiency there.

SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: May I just ask a question?

What do you understand by "efficiency" in this context? Because efficiency can have many differen meanings, perhaps depending upon the desired result.

- 18 You're quite right, sir. Efficiency in the context 19 that we are talking about -- what I was referring t 20 was what proportion of the product -- of the 21 Factor VIII in the however many donations in the 22 starting pool can be recovered into vials and 23 presented to the patient for clinical use.
- 24 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Thank you.

25 MR HILL: Does it follow from what you have just said that

13

- A. I am sure I would have been. I don't remember 1 2 particular -- and I certainly can't quote you 3 particular minutes of meetings where they were 4 discussed.
- 5 Q. You say the decision would have emerged from the 6 meeting. Ultimately at a meeting somebody is going to 7 have to say what they think the answer should be. 8 Whose voice would carry the most weight at those 9 meetings?
- 10 A. Obviously the chief executive's voice would carry most 11 weight, but I think at the time our chief executive 12 was listening very carefully to the guidance that h got from the production team, from R&D and from QA. 13

You also have to remember that those discussions were going on not in advance of building the factor but while the factory was being built, and whilst the facility -- where -- for example, Factor VIII, wher that facility was being created, there would have been influences in terms of what could be changed, what could be changed without delaying the availability of product from that factory.

- 22 Q. When you say the chief executive, do you mean the 23 chief executive of CBLA?
- 24 The meetings that I'm thinking of, yes.
- 25 So that would have been --

- if a system had been put in place for fractionating 1
- 2 smaller pool sizes at BPL, then the result would have
- 3 been less Factor VIII concentrate produced at BPL?
- 4 A. Yes. That's my opinion.
- 5 Q. It would then have been for the clinicians to decid 6
- what they were going to do to make up any shortfall 7 is that right?
- 8 A. It would have been, but before that it would have been
- 9 our job, the fractionators' job, to decide what
- 10 represented the greatest risk: making product from
- 11 large manufacturing batches, whether that created
- 12 a situation in which the product was less safe than
- 13 the lesser amount of product for small batches.
- 14 Q. That was a decision for the fractionators at BPL?
- 15 A. In discussion with the agencies. And, of course, one
- 16 would have to involve the clinicians in that
- 17 discussion, one would have to involve the control
- 18 agents in that discussion, because we all had
- 19 contributions to make.
- 20 Q. Ultimately whose decision was it to decide on the size
- 21 of the pool sizes at BPL?
- 22 A. I believe that that decision came out of meetings i 23 the executive committee and the R&D working party.
- 24 Q. Were you present at those meetings where pool sizes
- 25 were discussed?

14

- A. But not at CBLA meetings. The chief executive. 1
- 2 Bernard Crowley, of CBLA would have been present at
- 3 our meetings.
- 4 Q. The CBLA was created, I believe, in December 1982, so
- 5 from that period on you have described the situation.
- 6 A.
- 7 **Q.** Are you able to assist with how those decisions wer
- 8 taken firstly at BPL, before the establishment of the
- 9 CBLA in 1982?
- 10 **A.** Yes, before 1982, those discussions would have take
- 11 place in fora convened either by Richard Lane or fo
- 12 Richard Lane. And they would have included people
- 13 like Dr Smith, myself, and the head of R&D, Mike
- 14 Harvev.
- 15 Q. Who would be informed about the decision to increas
- 16 the pool size?
- 17 A. From my perspective, the decision would always have
- 18 been taken in discussion with Richard Lane, as both
- 19 director and medical director, but if you're saying:
- 20 who was the decision communicated to, once it was
- 21 taken, the answer is: I'm not sure -- I don't think
- 22 I can answer it quite so easily.

23 There was a -- for a long period, as you know, 24 as you saw with the paper last week, we were puttin

16

25 pool size number of donations limit on the label.

15

(4) Pages 13 - 16

8

9

- 1 Whether that was a good idea or not, from my 2 perspective it at least allowed physicians and 3 patients to see what the pool size was. Did we 4 consult with physicians and patients? No, we didn't, 5 except through the Hepatitis Working Party once 6 a year.
- 7 Am I right in understanding, then, that the decisio Q. 8 would be taken within BPL, within those structures 9 that you have said, that there would be no formal 10 input from clinicians or patient groups about the 11 decision for the pool sizes?
- 12 There would have been no formal input, but we were 13 listening to them.
- 14 Q. I'm just going to take you to one document from 15 a little bit later in the piece, DHSC0002303 027. This is from June 1986. And important to remember 16 17 that it is from that period and not earlier, and it is 18 a letter that you wrote to Dr Alison Smithies of th 19 Medicines Divisions of the DHSS. What you wrote is 20 this:

"Maximum donor pool size for coagulation factor concentrates.

"I am writing to advise you of a proposed change in donor pool size limitation for BPL (and PFL) coagulation factor concentrates. It is proposed to

17

"In taking this decision, we were mindful of the terminal heat treatment of coagulation factor concentrates from such pools ..."

So I believe -- we were certainly at the point when we were enacting whatever was necessary to bring about the label change, for example. So, yes, I guess it's polite language. Thank you, sir.

- Do you know if this form of -- this way of informin the DHSS, by writing to them, was a new practice, o had something like that been in existence before?
- A. I'm not aware of all of the situations in which -- and 11 12 it would have been typically Richard Lane who would 13 have made communications like that. I can't remember 14 whether Richard Lane asked me to communicate that 15 information to Alison Smithies. It's unlikely that 16 I would simply have taken it on myself to write. It's 17 more likely that my writing followed up a discussio 18 with Richard Lane in which he probably would have said 19 something like: I think we should let Alison Smithi es 20 know --
- 21 Q. If we could --

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

25

- -- but I don't think it was standard practice. 22
- 23 Q. Sorry, I cut across you there. You said -- I think 24 you said:
  - "I don't know if it was a standard practice."

increase the maximum number of donations to be pooled 1 2 from 10,000 to 25,000 plasma donations. In taking 3 this decision, we were mindful of the terminal heat 4 treatment of coagulation factor concentrates made from 5 such pools and the fact that any increase beyond th 6 already large 10,000 donor limit is probably not

"I thought you should be aware of this proposal."

10 First question following on from that: am 11 I right to read this as BPL informing the Medicines Division of the proposed change, not asking for 12 13 permission?

14 **A.** Yes, you are correct in that.

significant.

- 15 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: It does --
- 16 **A.** The decision had already been taken.
- 17 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: It does refer to it as a proposal 18 and not as a decision. Is that just polite language?
- MR HILL: It is the first line, "proposed change". 19
- 20 A. Yes --
- 21 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And "proposal" is the last word 22 before the "best wishes".
- 23 A. Perhaps it's polite language. I believe we had 24 already taken the decision. I mean, in the -- my 25 third sentence:

18

- A. I don't know if it would have been -- I don't belie ve 1
- 2 it would have been standard practice for the
- 3 Department to be notified of every change. But thi
- 4 is a fairly significant change, in the sense that i
- 5 happened after a conscious decision to review risk,
- 6 having introduced terminal heat treatment, but also
- 7 taking into account the fact that we were already a
- 8 10,000 donors, well beyond the limit when there would
- 9 have been -- even with good donor screening, there
- 10 would have been infected donations in the pool. That
- 11 was the purpose of the terminal heat treatment. 12 **Q.** And is that why you say that the already large 10,000
- 13 donor limit is -- increased beyond the already larg 14 10,000 donor limit is probably not significant?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Just so that we square this off. If we could have on 17 screen, please --
- 18 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: May I just ask: what would have 19

happened if Dr Smithies had written back and said,

- 20 "Well, I'm sorry. I'm not sure I agree with this
- 21 proposal or this decision"?
- 22 A. We would have -- sorry, sir.
- 23 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Or this decision, whichever --24 however she read the letter.
- 25 A. We would have had to take it back to the drawing bo ard

(5) Pages 17 - 20

and either explore ways of convincing the Departmen that we were right and they were wrong, or, I presu me, comply and not increase the donor pool size.

**SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF:** So the ultimate authority for an increase in the pool size, once reported at leas to the Department, was the Department in your view.

- A. From my perspective, I would not have proceeded in contravention of the Department's wishes. It's qui te possible that Richard Lane and the CBLA would have taken a stronger line. I can't comment on that. B ut I'm sure they wouldn't have done it without further discussion with the Department.
- 13 Q. Do you recall any incident where there was suchpushback from the Department?
- A. Off the top of my head, no, but if it occurs to mebefore the end of today, I'll let you know.
- 17 Q. Thank you. Just so that we are aware of some of th
  18 background of your letter and to place it within
  19 context, could we have on screen, please, CBLA0004791.
  20 This is a minute from the same day, 20 June 1986, from
  21 you to Mr Prince, Dr Smith and copied to a number o
  22 other people. It states:

"Pool size limitation for heat-treated concentrates.

"Dr Lane has agreed that the maximum pool size

a longer time still before the process had happened So this wasn't a -- it certainly wasn't a quick decision. It was a decision that had been long in discussion and thinking about.

But, yes, Richard Lane would have been the one to underwrite changes like that.

Q. You've spoken in your evidence about how once a certain limit was passed, then the protection offered by small pools was lost, and you did that b reference to knowledge of hepatitis viruses.

What thought, if any, was given to the risk posed by unknown viruses, and how did that impact u pon decisions on pool size?

A. Well, I think you have it already. Non-A, non-B hepatitis was an unknown virus. What we were seein was the consequences of the presence of an unknown, unidentified, unmeasured, untested virus. So, you see evidence of a risk, you respond to that evidence of risk and set out to reduce the risk.

I've said before, and I know that Dr Smith took the same view in papers that he produced that when we were planning for heat treatment, we were thinking about unknown viruses. Even when HTLV-III was identified and, if you like, terminal dry heat treatment came as a -- what should have been a time ly

may be extended to 25,000 donations for heat-treate coagulation factor concentrates. The appropriate label revisions will be made as soon as possible. Please check that any manufacturing document is revised before the increased limit is implemented."

This would seem to confirm that you weren't going off on a frolic of your own. Dr Lane had bee informed and had agreed to the increase in the pool size. Just --

- 10 A. That's correct. Sorry. I interrupted.
- **Q.** I was just going to ask: the language there used in 12 this minute is that Dr Lane has agreed that the poo 13 size be extended which, taken on its own, might 14 indicate that it was Dr Lane who made those kinds o 15 decisions. Do you think that is a fair interpretation 16 or not?
  - A. It's fair to say that he would always have had a loud voice in making the decision.

You need to be aware as well, though, that the last sentence means exactly what it says. The manufacturing documentation, the batch record is what drives the process. It would have been probably a month from the time of that decision to the point where even the manufacturing documentation could have been prepared for the increased pool size, and

1 correction of the presence of HTLV-III, but our foc us, 2 the driver, certainly from a fractionator's point o 3 view at Elstree and at PFL was this beast, non-A, 4 non-B hepatitis, that we've been aware of.

Q. I'd like to turn now to some of the evidence about what the pool sizes were and how they increased.

You gave some evidence about that yesterday when you said that, in terms of routine production, the smallest size that you can remember at PFL from early in your career was, I think you said, around 65 litres, about five of the -- sorry, 12 of the 5-litre bags being used in fractionation. Is that a fair summary of what you said yesterday?

- A. I think I said 13. I would normally say 13 bags. So
   probably around -- somewhere between 325 and 350 donations, yes.
- 17 Q. In your written statement at page 76, paragraph 214
  18 if we could have that on screen, Sully. WITN3431001,
  19 page 76, paragraph 214.

I stress that yesterday we were talking about your direct experience of having worked at PFL from 1970 onwards. In this paragraph of your witness statement, you go back a little further in time. You wrote, and I quote:

"Between 1967 and 1975, the plasma pool size for

(6) Pages 21 - 24

BPL and PFL Factor VIII batches ranged from 50 to 100 litres. (250 to 500 donations.)"

Can I just ask about that figure of 50 litres and 250 donors? Do you know where that comes from?

A. If you are asking me: can I pin it down to a piece of paper, no, I can't. But in discussion when I first joined PFL, I was aware from discussions with Ethel Bidwell, with Ross Dike, of the pool sizes they'd been working with when I visited Elstree ver early on in my career in discussions with Drummond Ellis.

It was obvious that there had been smaller pools being fractionated at the scale that PFL would have then almost carried on with under my guise as scientist I/C production. I can't give you dates.

16 Q. Thank you. If we could --

17 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: May I just ask, if you just have
18 a look at -- you have given a range here of 50 to 100,
19 and yesterday you told us about the figure of 70. Can
20 I just remind you of what was said, paragraph 86 of
21 your witness statement, it is page 31. It is where
22 you say:

"Initially, the process used for factor VIII manufacture at PFL and BPL was a method developed i Sweden by Blomback ..."

#### 1 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Thank you.

**MR HILL:** If we could turn to BPLL0009120, the first page of that, please.

This is a document that was introduced in the presentations a couple of weeks ago. We can see from the first page that it is a memorandum from Dr Lane to you, dated 29 January 1990. So at the time of the HIV litigation.

Dr Lane wrote:

"A number of matters are arising out of the reading of files for the litigation. I attach a list below and should appreciate answers as soon as possible from the individuals best able to provide the information.

"1. Approximate pool size in kg of factor VIII pools from 1975 onwards at Oxford and Elstree with dates of change."

So that was the task set out by Dr Lane. If we could turn to page 2.

We can see here a table is set out of PFL products. Now, in our presentation we referred to these as your estimates because the memorandum had been sent to you. But is that accurate? Were thes estimates that you came to or was there some other individual who was better placed to provide this

You later on describe going on to the Johnson process. That was, what, 1974 onwards, was it, the Johnson process?

4 A. That's correct.

**SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF:** So before 1974 you had been using the Blomback method. And you see here:

7 "We worked with plasma batch sizes of up to 8 70 litres ..."

That's a slightly different figure from 100. So were you giving the range of 50 to 100 just to make sure you didn't miss anything that might be a bit larger?

13 A. Well, I'll comment on paragraph 86 --

SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: How does that fit with the 50 to
 100? That's what I'm really asking.

16 A. The 50 to 100 I think related to the process at PFL
17 and BPL. I think I'm probably being careless in paragraph 86.

19 We worked with plasma batch sizes of up to
20 70 litres from -- I was talking about myself and PF
21 in that sentence. It -- that wasn't intended to
22 embrace BPL as well. But certainly from 1970 throu gh
23 to the end of our processing by Blomback, we would
24 have been using batches of approximately 65-70 litres
25 from thirteen 5-litre packs of plasma.

1 information for Dr Lane?

Almost certainly at the time that I received Richard Lane's memo I would have asked members of staff in the control unit, headed up at that time b David Donald -- but David wouldn't have done the data mining himself; it would have been one of the technical staff in the control unit who would have gone through batch records to pull those batch size out.

We did not have -- even in 1990, and certainly -- we are talking about data here -- back to the 1970s, this was not on any database. Someone would have gone through in a stack of archived batc records, pulling out batch sizes, to give those figures there.

And that would have included, in the case of Factor IX, for example, the pooling of supernatants from two batches of Factor VIII to make Factor IX concentrate.

Q. I'm going to come back and ask you about that pooling
 in a second but let's just, for the transcript, go
 through the figures that are given here for PFL
 products.

From 1975 to 1979, for Factor VIII the approximate batch size in plasma weight is given as

(7) Pages 25 - 28

# The Infected BloodInquiry

1		100kg, and for Factor IX, 100-200kg.	1		might well have been pooled to achieve the 200kg.
2		1980, Factor VIII, the figure is given as	2		But I think I need to just repeat that what
3		300-500kg. Factor IX the same, 300-500kg.	3		the person doing the data mining was doing, was using
4		Then 1983, Factor VIII only, and the figure is	4		actual numbers recorded for how many kilos of plasm a.
5		given:	5		Working with plasma products, it is not like making
6		"300kg and multiples of 300kg."	6		paracetamol where you put a predefined amount of th
7		And a note says:	7		material into a batch. The batch size is what appears
8		"1980 Onwards an increase in Haemonetics plasma	8		on the scale of the floor balance when the pooled
9		usage therefore reducing donor exposure per batch."	9		plasma is in the tank and measured. And so it woul
10		Just to deal with that last point first.	10		be very it would be different for every batch. It
11		Haemonetics plasma, is that plasma obtained from	11		could be 5 or 10 kilos different for each batch. But
12		plasmapheresis?	12		I am sure that what the technician who did that dat
13	Α.	It is, yes.	13		mining was doing was simply recording the number of
14	Q.	Therefore more plasma can be obtained, and thus	14		kilos in each batch and then calculating an average
15		a 300kg batch will have fewer donations in it?	15	Q.	In terms of the number of donations that went into
16	Α.	That is correct.	16		each kilo, before 1980 is our rough rule of thumb o
17	Q.	The figure for Factor IX in 1975 to 1979, 100-200kg	17		about 5 per litre, 5 per kilo still a reasonable
18		does that reflect what you have just said? That th	18		guide?
19		supernatant that resulted from the fractionation	19	A.	
20		Factor VIII was mixed together in order to make the	20		plasma and before the introduction of SAG-M, then,
21		Factor IX?	21		yes, the rule of thumb 5 is fine.
22	Α.	It may not have been quite as you described it. It	22	Q.	After 1980 it's more complicated and harder to come up
23		may not have been that the supernatants were pooled	23		with a precise metric?
24		but rather the Factor IX concentrate, the bulk	24	Α.	
25		Factor IX concentrates from two column extractions	25	Q.	In 1983, the reference there to 300kg and multiples of
		29	20	~.	30
		23			30
1		300kg, do you understand what was meant by that?	1		again it is more than 500. I have told Mr Snape to
2	Α.	I would have interpreted it I mean, I do interpret	2		have printed on the small labels 'not more than 100
3		that as meaning the cryoprecipitate from more than one	3		donations' but the whole subject of having anything at
4		batch, from more than one processed batch would hav	4		all on the label seems difficult. It is certainly not
5		been frozen and then pooled for finishing manufacture.	5		much of a guide to the clinicians any longer. Can we
6	Q.	If we can just jump back in time a little to	6		discuss this, please?"
7		a memorandum that was brought up during our	7		I'm afraid a rather lazy question, but can you
8		presentations a couple of weeks ago.	8		explain to us what is behind this minute?
9		It is CBLA0000253. It is sent from Dr Bidwell,	9	Α.	The 500 or the 1,000-donor limit, you're asking what's
10		head of lab at PFL, to Dr Maycock. It is dated	10		behind that?
11		22 January 1975.	11	O	What is behind the concern you have raised with
12		I'm afraid, so far as we are able to discover at	12	٠.	Ms Bidwell that she is now raising with Dr Maycock?
13		the moment, it is on its own, so we don't have any	13	Α.	Okay. Simply that we wouldn't necessarily when we
14		other papers that we can show you to give you conte xt.	14	Λ.	received supernatant from Elstree, we wouldn't have
15		What it states is this:	15		had the precise batch size available to us. We
16		"When we talked about labels at Elstree in	16		certainly wouldn't have had the number of donations
17		November we agreed to have printed on the label for	17		available to us. And I am sure it was me simply be ing
18		factor VIII that it was derived from 'not more than	18		cautious and not wanting to be caught out at a late
19		500 donations' and that we would cease putting on the	19		date.
20		precise number of donors whose plasma had gone to make	20	Q.	Do you know how this matter was resolved following the
21		up the pool. Mr Snape has pointed out to me that the	21	w.	minute?
22		batches of factor IX prepared from the Elstree	22	A.	Well, I do know that we increased the label statement
23		material correspond to much higher than 500 donations	23	۸.	to 1,000 donations. I also know that that that
24		and that the exact number is not known to us. If w	23 24		the practice of putting a donation limit on labels
4		and that the exact number is not known to us. If w	۷4		are presuce of putting a donation limit on labels

25

25

pool the smaller batches here we know the number bu

1 was useful. I think Ethel Bidwell's comment that the 2 number of donations stated on the label wasn't much of 3 a guide to clinicians anymore was guite true. 4 I don't know what discussions Dr Maycock and 5 Dr Bidwell had as a result but clearly we persisted 6 with a label statement, but increased to 7 1.000 donations. 8 Do you know of any incidents or any period of time 9 when vials of Factor VIII or Factor IX were sent ou

when vials of Factor VIII or Factor IX were sent ou
 to either BPL or PFL with inaccurate labels as to how
 many donations they had within them?
 No, I don't. I'll just qualify something that you
 say. For a long time all Factor IX was being issue

12 A. No, I don't. I'll just quality something that you
13 say. For a long time all Factor IX was being issue
14 from PFL, whether it had been manufactured at PFL o
15 sourced at BPL. But, no, I don't know of any such
16 event, and if I had known at the time there would have
17 been a correction made.

Q. Could we go back, please, Sully, to the previous document that we were looking at, the estimates of pool size, BPLL0009120, and this time to page 3.

We looked at the figures for the PFL products. We are now going to turn to the products from Elstr ee and BPL. We can see the table produced there. The first row doesn't have a batch number but has:

"Date Released: 1975.

33

1 would reflect more SAG-M use.

2 Q. "FHC", what does that stand for, please?

A. I hoped you weren't going to ask me that! I can't
 remember. It is 30-something years ago. And
 I wouldn't have generated the acronym myself. I do n't
 remember.

7 Q. Was it a fundamentally different product from 8Y?

8 A. No.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9 Q. It is still a heat-treated product done approximately10 in the same way as 8Y?

11 A. Yes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. I won't test you any further then on the acronyms. I won't go through all of these figures because we have them both on this document and in the earlier presentation, but what we can see from them is an increase. Just using the approximate number of donors figure: from 1975 it is 750; it has risen to 2,250 in September 1977; then to 3,000 for August 1980; 4,500 from March 1981; then 6,000 in July 1982, April 1985, July 1985; rises to 7,000 in November 1986; 10,000 in February 1988; 13,500 in June 1988; and then, finally, 14,500 in December 1988 and July 1989.

Firstly, those figures are the figures for what actually went into those batches rather than the

"Plasma [Weight]: [around] 150 [kilos].
"No. of donations: [around] 750 [kilos]."
That is the first figure that is entered there.
Asking the impossible, but do you know why no batch number is given?

6 **A.** No.

7 **Q.** Thereafter, for the other figures in the table, we
8 have specific batch numbers given. Does that mean
9 that whoever compiled these figures would have gone to
10 the documents for that batch and found out what the
11 weight of the plasma was?

A. That's exactly what they would have done. But the
 donation, the number in the "No. of donations" column,
 would, I am sure, have been a conversion from the
 plasma weight.

16 Q. Having done the maths, until November 1986, the
17 conversion is simply plasma weight x 5 to give
18 donation weight, and after February -- from
19 February 1988 onwards the conversion is around abou
20 4.2 x the plasma weight to get to the donation figure.
21 Is that, presumably, to reflect the greater use
22 of SAG-M?

A. I mean, that would have been one factor certainly. It
 doesn't surprise me that the shift occurs at - between 8Y 3429 and the FHC batch 001. It certainl

34

1 maximum donor figure which appears on some of the 2 labels, is that right?

3 A. That's correct. Can I also comment that if you loo 4 at the -- rather than the number of donations column, 5 if you look at the plasma weight column, apart from 6 the batch with no name, you can see that the 7 progression -- I mean, we have the -- HL 1350 with 8 450 kilos, but after that you are seeing increased --9 multiples increasing on units of 300 or units of 150, 10 if you like, all the way through. And some of that 11 would reflect pooling of frozen cryoprecipitates to 12 make a batch. But still subject to a calculated to tal 13 donation limit that would have appeared on the label.

14 Q. If I could just highlight the period from August 19 80
15 to July 1982. We see a doubling in the size of the
16 batch: 600kg to 1,200kg, approximately 3,000 donors to
17 approximately 6,000 donors. We can see from the final
18 column that that is a period when the product was n ot
19 heat-treated.

20

21

22

23

24

25

We know from your earlier evidence that this is a period by which time the fractionators were aware and taking seriously the long-term risks of non-A, non-B hepatitis.

There was no test for non-A, non-B hepatitis at that time, was there?

35

- 1 **A.** There was no test for non-A, non-B hepatitis at tha 2 time, but there were controlling elements in terms
- time, but there were controlling elements in terms ofdonor selection and the questionnaire that would have
- been administered at the donor collection centre
   inquiring about donor perception of risk.
- Q. That was the only mechanism to try to control the r isk
  of non-A, non-B that was being used at that time; i
  that fair?
- 9 A. It's the only mechanism that was available to us. We
   10 could test for hepatitis B. We certainly couldn't
   11 test for an entity that hadn't been identified and
   12 associated with a particular virus.
- Q. But by that time, in light of those figures that we
   have looked at, BPL wasn't using pool size as a for
   of protection against non-A, non-B hepatitis. Is that
   a fair comment?
- A. Subject to the maximum number of donations that at anyone time was agreed and specified on the label.
- 19 Q. Just to finish this section on pool sizes. If I could20 just ask a couple of hypothetical questions.

22

23

24

25

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If a decision had been taken to retain pool sizes or to have made pool sizes at BPL of, say, maximum 250/300 donors, what would have been the result of that decision, in terms of the amount of product that was produced?

37

1 but I cannot think of a conjunction of rooms that 2 could have been used to achieve that. I would have to 3 say that I think the only way it could have been do ne 4 would have been to reconfigure the rooms that were at 5 that time being used to manufacture Factor VIII and 6 Factor IX, which would have meant downtime while th 7 reconfiguration happened, and possibly different equipment. You really are pushing me to limits of 8 9 memory now.

10 Q. I will leave it there, in that case.

We know from your statement, and it's paragraph 104 at page 37, and also from the evidenc of Dr Smith that we presented a couple of weeks ago that consideration was given to -- including an are for very small pool concentrates during the design of Building 27, the new BPL --

SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I think just "small pool concentrates", rather than "very small". Both expressions are used in paragraph 104, but the designated area is, in quotes, "small pool products", so you may be right to say "very small" -- the word are there -- but the designation is small -- what the difference is, is simply one of emphasis and whethe the word belongs or not.

MR HILL: Perhaps -- I can see that Dr Snape is looking

A. The -- it's unlikely that it would have constrained
the equipment that was in use, but what it would have
done is increased the number of vials per batch tha
had to be sacrificed for testing and submission for
control authority review. So the number of vials that
would have been issued to the patient would have be en
smaller.

Q. Once we get into the early 1980s, knowing what we d
about the way that BPL had developed, was it possible
to reconfigure Building 25, or any other building o
the site, to arrange for fractionation through smal
pools of, say, 200/250/300 donors, without affectin
the amount of product that was produced?

14 A. In Building 25?

15 Q. Yes.

16 A. In the old BPL?

17 Q. Yes.

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

18 A. No. No, it couldn't have been done. Well, in my19 opinion.

Q. So if a decision had been taken in, say, 1980, 1981
that BPL should no longer fractionate with donation
measured in the thousands but should fractionate with
donations measured in the low hundreds, how would that
have been achieved?

25 **A.** Okay. You're taxing my physical memory of BPL now,

38

for it now. Perhaps we'll bring it up on screen so that he has it in front of him WITN3431001. It's page 37, paragraph 104.

SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And you were asking him

SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And you were asking him about the design of BPL, the new design, and it's the second sentence, I think, there that relates to that. As I read it anyway.

8 MR HILL: We can see, I quote from it:

"BPL gave serious consideration to the preparation of very small pool (less than 10 plasma donations) concentrates of both factor VIII and prothrombin complex factors (II, IX & X) during the early years of design and construction of the new factory (Building 27). My memory is that in the 19 82 design and two years into facility construction, c.1984, a substantial area was designated 'small pool products'. Never commissioned for small pool product manufacture as such, this area would be seized on shortly before factory completion, c.1986, for use for the virus safe processing steps of 8Y and 9A."

First of all, Dr Snape, I'm conscious that you have told us that you weren't involved in the redesigning of B27. Are you able to assist with whether these are two separate entities, the "very small pool" plan in the first sentence, and then th

39

40

(10) Pages 37 - 40

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

22

23

24

25

- "small pool" plan in the second sentence? 1 2 A. I believe they referred to the same concept, and th 3 very small pool, as referred to in the first sentence, 4 was what was intended to be processed in the 5 designated small pool products area in sentence two. 6 Q. But, ultimately, that didn't go ahead, and the area 7 was used for something else; is that right? 8 Α. That's correct. When the factory was in advanced 9 design phase, we still hadn't settled on a -- on virus 10 safe processing for Factor VIII and Factor IX. The re 11 was no area in the factory -- on any drawing in 1984, 12 for example, that would have said: virus inactivation 13 for 8Y, or virus inactivation 9A, because that process 14 had not been established, had not been defined. 15 Come 1986, it was necessary to start to think 16 about the flow of products through the factory to 17 a virus safe processing step. A lot of the 18 interaction -- There was a lot of interaction between 19 manufacturers and the regulatory agencies at that time 20 around what kind of separation had to be introduced 21 between pre- and post-virus inactivation. 22 Now, 8Y and 9A had the excellent characteristic 23 that the virus inactivation happened in the final vial 24 by heat treatment after the product had been dried. 25 But there were other products that we were 41 1 the other. Then you go on -- there are two questions 2 which arise out of this. One is how that figure, 3 7,500 donations, which -- if you are using 4 a conversion factor of 5, would give you what? It 5 would give you 1,500, I think. 6 A. 1,500. 7 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And how that fits, if you go down to 8 the bottom of the paragraph. 9 Stop there, thank you, Sully. 10 You see the sentence beginning: 11 "In each case, the cost to the service was the 12 cost of a 2,500kg plasma pool ..." 13 So in each case the cost of the service was the 14
  - cost of a 2,500kg plasma pool. The way it is written, it sounds as though each pool that was disposed of, which would have had no more than a maximum of 7,500 donations, that is 1,500kg, was in fact 2,500kg. I just want to understand what the mathematics is there. I don't know when the mathematics went wrong but th mathematics clearly did go wrong. You are quite right. I mean, the pool -- even allowing for some variability, we are still talking about -- with a 7,500-donation pool, we are talking about

a 1,500kg pool, so that -- I'm fairly sure that whe

43

15

16

17

18

19

20 A.

21

22

23

24

25

manufacturing that couldn't be handled in that way. 1 2 where we had to demonstrate consistently steps from 3 one part of the factory that we would have designated 4 pre-virus inactivation, and another part that we would 5 have designated post-virus inactivation. And so it 6 was necessary -- I use the term "seized on", and 7 that's, in a sense, what we were doing. We were -- or 8 the factory design at that stage was taking advanta ge 9 of some space that wasn't going to be otherwise used. 10 MR HILL: Sir, I wonder if that might be an opportune 11 moment. I'm about to move on --12 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: It would be, subject to a couple of 13 questions if I may? 14 Can we just go in your statement to the next 15

couple of pages, two pages further on, page 39. This is a paragraph you were taken to yesterday. If you look about ten lines down, you see the sentence at the end of the line beginning:

"Both of these events occurred in 1982, when the donor pool size had just been increased to 7500 donations."

You are talking here about the two occasions in which batches had to be disposed of because they proved to be infective because of errors in the Isl of Man, in one case, and there was some other error in

42

1 I wrote the witness statement, I was reflecting on 2 donation pool size. I'm afraid that's my error and 3 I can't explain how it occurred.

**SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF:** I mean, one explanation that occurred to me, it might be that you meant to say i cost in total 2,500kg, which might be the result of both batches put together. But the way it is writt en it suggests it is two batches of 2,500kg.

9 A. No, I think my phraseology is saying in each case -10 my phraseology was meaning each being the same, and it 11 is the number that's wrong. It wasn't 2,500kg. It 12 should be -- if I was going to say anything, it should 13 have been 1,500kg.

14 **SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF:** Okay. Now, bear in mind the figures 15 there, the pool size has just been increased to 16 7,500 donations, and then you were taken by Mr Hill to 17 the memo, where we had that debate about whether 18 proposal meant decision or not. That was 20 June 1986 19 when Dr Smithies of the Department of Health was to Id 20 of the proposed increase from a pool size -- a maximum 21 pool size -- of 10,000 to 25,000 donations.

> Now that's in relation to BPL, Elstree. Can you help with how that fits with the document that you were taken to just shortly ago, BPLL0009120? Sorry, sir, I would have to see the document.

> > 44

(11) Pages 41 - 44

INQY1000200\_0011

SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: That's why I'm quoting it, so that
Sully gets it up. It is the document we were looking
at with the list of donations from the average -- t he
pool sizes that you pulled out for him. Thank you.
Now, these appear to be looking at individual
batches and the plasma weight and the number of
donations. But how does the number of donations there

Now, these appear to be looking at individual batches and the plasma weight and the number of donations. But how does the number of donations there fit with what you remembered from 1982, the 7,500, and the memo saying, "We are increasing the pool size from 10,000 to 25,000 donations", when at no time in tha table do you ever reach the 25,000? You don't get to the 10,000 until February '88. Yet this memo was i June '86. How does it all fit together?

I know that there weren't records kept as a formal record of average pool size but can you help to just give me some understanding of how -- what I should take from all this.

A. I think my suggestion would be that the focus shoul be on the plasma weight, in the first instance, and the plasma weight would have been what the technici an who was pulling out that data was -- could be relie on to be quoting, so around July '82 we were seeing plasma weights of 1,200 kilos, which would translat by a simple calculation to 6,000 donations. But that wasn't the limit at the time. I think we have to

records. What I'm having trouble doing in my head at the moment is relating it to the batch size -- the donation pool size that was being requested at date along this table for inclusion on the label.

SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: If you have any thoughts about how the two might fit together, please do let me know because I would be very grateful. You are the one person who might be able to help explain it.

A. I shall, sir, thank you.

SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: We will take a break now until12.00.

12 (11.32 am)

(A short break)

14 (12.03 pm)

15 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes, Mr Hill.

**MR HILL:** Dr Snape, I just want to pick up on the discussion that we were having before the break about the interaction between the actual pool sizes and the maximum pool sizes permitted, as per the labels in the regulatory documentation.

If we could have on screen, Sully, please. On the left-hand side is the document that we looked a before the break, BPLL0009120, page 3, which is the data taken from the batch numbers showing the plasm weight at various points between 1975 and 1989.

separate what was actually happening, which is what's
 reflected in this table, from the limit that would
 have been placed on the label at the time.

4 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So what was the -- I understand that
5 and this may reflect either individual batches or i
6 may reflect the average of what was being done, but
7 what would the purpose have been in seeking
8 an increase from 10,000 donations to 25,000 donations
9 if what was actually happening was that for a -- th ree
10 years and -- no more than 10,000 were being used?

A. I can't answer at this remove, sir. All I can do i
look at the data that I see there, which I am sure is
the recorded batch size, then a conversion to
donations. I'm finding it hard to then imagine the
dates on which the proposals for batch size change
took place alongside this table.

17 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: You appreciate that I'm not asking
18 to challenge your evidence in any sense except to try
19 to understand it and what I take from these various
20 figures. And at the moment I'm not entirely sure 21 just as you are not, I think, entirely sure -- from
22 these figures, how it all fits together.

A. I am sure that the data in the table here would hav
 been an accurate reflection of what the technician
 found when he went through the individual batch

And on the right-hand side is a table from the Inquiry -- Counsel to the Inquiry's presentation on pool sizes at the Blood Products Laboratory which was given last week. For record, the reference is INQ0000345, page 7.

Now, the second of those documents is not one that you have seen or been provided with, and if yo would like to look at it, and if you have any comments on it, then please do take your time to read it in due course and provide us with any comments, either in your oral evidence or in writing afterwards.

But because it has arisen now, I'm going to bring it to your attention to see where we can go t with it. And I stress that any errors that are contained in that document are the errors of Counse to the Inquiry and not your errors.

SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: If you want to have a look at the
 underlying documents, then Mr Hill may be able to
 arrange that perhaps.

**MR HILL:** We certainly can, sir, yes. Yes.

A. Thank you, Mr Hill. Can I -- if I could just continue
 or try to help with the discussion that we closed with
 just before the break.

The tranche of documents that you sent me a week or so ago, which included BPLL0009120, the table,

(12) Pages 45 - 48

- number of donations in Factor VIII produced at
  Elstree. There was a second document in that tranc he
  which is CBLA0004791. I don't know if it's possibl
  to display that document, and I may be able -- I ho pe
  to explain some of my stumbling before the break.
- Q. Just before we do, could I just ask you a couple of
   questions about the documents that we have on scree n.
   But I will take you back to ---
- 9 A. Yes, please.
- 10 Q. -- 4791 and, indeed, an additional document as well
- 11 A. Please.

**Q.** The table on the right-hand side shows the maximum pool size; the table on the left-hand side, the actual pool size for each of those batches that have been fractionated. The maximum pool sizes, the dates given for them are not dates necessarily on which they changed, it's just that we have data from that date which says that that was what the maximum pool size was. The first of those is 27 October 1980, and th maximum pool size then is said to be 5,000 donations.

If we go across to the table on the left-hand side, we can see that in August 1980, the number of donations was approximately 3,000, and in March 1981, it was approximately 4,500, so underneath that 5,00 donations but with some headroom, as it were, between

that there was a decision taken that the maximum pool
size should be set somewhere above the actual
fractionation number in order to allow for some
leeway. Is that a fair interpretation?

- A. It's a fair interpretation, and it's an interpretation that I wanted to go on and evoke with the later document I was going to ask to be viewed.
- Q. Let's come to that via this route, because the next step up is to 10,000 donations in June 1985. We ca see on the table on the left-hand side that in July 1985 it was still 6,000 donations approximately, and it increases to about 7,000 by November 1986, and not until February 1988 do we get to the 10,000. B 1988, as we go back to the table on the right-hand side, we can see that the donor limit has been rais ed to 25,000 donations.

The reference for the data about 10,000 donations is a document at CBLA0002190, which you have been provided with, and I'd like to go to that firs before coming to the second document which is CBLA0004791, which is the one that you have just raised with me.

This is CBLA0002190 on screen now. It is a memorandum from you on 10 June 1985, sent to Mr Prince and copied to Dr Lane and Dr Smith. You

the actual amount of donations and the maximum number of donations.

The next piece of data that we have is from 25 January 1982, and we can see that the maximum pool size at that stage has gone up to 7,500 donations. If we correlate that to the table on the left-hand side, we can see that by July 1982 the number of donation has gone up to approximately 6,000, so, again, underneath the maximum pool size and, again, some headroom.

Now, we have heard in evidence about the work done on the Stop-Gap redevelopment of Building 25 within BPL and how that increased the capacity to fractionate plasma at the old BPL. Is it likely that that increase in the maximum pool size from 1980 to 1982 reflects the fact that more plasma is being processed at BPL as a result of the Stop-Gap redevelopment?

- 19 A. Yes. And, of course, that was only possible with the
  20 increased amount of plasma being supplied at that
  21 time, so it's a combination of factors. But, yes, the
  22 answer to your question is: that's certainly a factor.
- Q. Now, I appreciate this is before your time at BPL.You were still at PFL at this time.
  - One interpretation of the two sets of figures is

wrote the following:

"Coagulation factor batch sizes.

"Further to your memo of 25 April 1985. I have assumed that a limit of 10,000 donations maximum will not restrict operations in the present building, bu that an extension to 20,000 donations maximum will be required for the new facility. The higher figure will be used in any product licence applications."

So that's 10 June 1985. Then if we turn over to CBLA0004791 -- finally, I'm afraid, getting to the document that you asked me to take you to. This is 20 June 1986, so a year later, from you to Mr Princ and Dr Smith, copied to a number of others. And th is is a document that we looked at a little earlier to day as well which says:

"Dr Lane has agreed that the maximum pool size may be extended to 25,000 donations for heat-treate coagulation factor concentrates. The appropriate label revisions will be made as soon as possible. Please check that any manufacturing document is revised before the increased limit is implemented."

So having brought those documents up on screen, what is it that you take from those?

A. Thank you very much. If we could go back toCBLA0002190, the previous memo.

52 (13) Pages 49 - 52

Yes. In thinking about the discussion before the break, I knew that there was a piece of paper that made sense of -- at least I thought it made sense - this is my -- a classic example of attempting to future-proof, and when one relates it to the table in BPLL0009120, you have just yourself pointed out tha the 10,000 donations maximum that was in place was comfortably above the process that was actually being operated by Peter Prince up to April '85.

When we looked at the -- we looked forward to the new facility, I had to assume that we would wan to extend or to increase process pool size -- not necessarily individual processing batch size, but the pool size -- and that's the origin of my 20,000 donations maximum to be used in the new facility.

The last sentence is important because product licence applications don't happen overnight, and I had to assume that we needed to anticipate probably a six months-plus delay while we phrased an appropriate product licence application with a larger pool size, and that that had to be in plac before we needed to use it.

So, the shift to 20,000 donations that was requested made sense, anticipating the batch sizes

is thawed on day 1, that batch might be in final -- as final product, in vials, heated, and ready for inspection, labelling and packing, possibly three weeks later. After that, then, the sampling takes place. And the sampling is -- includes samples taken for BPL QC to do testing and for samples and protoc ols to go to NIBSC, for testing and release.

So, some of those tests would take longer than others and hence my 10 weeks from thawing the plasm to the product being available.

**SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF:** So the dates of release are the dates which are quoted in the document, the date of manufacture, which would therefore involve the maxi mum pool size of the time, presumably, would be two or three months earlier?

A. Yes, but it's the date of release that would dictat
the statement -- the label to be used for a particular
batch.

SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I see. So there's not very much
 likelihood, though there may be some, of the
 maximum limit changing between the manufacture, in
 terms of the processing, and the date of release?

23 A. I think you are right, sir.

SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. That's resolved that for me.
 Thank you very much.

once that facility was operating. And, of course, the last four batches in the table in BPLL0009120 are all batches -- the FHC batches that are manufactured in the new facility. They are all heat-treated concentrates, manufactured in Building 27.

that were going to be manufactured in Building 27.

So, I was a little slow, I think, recognising that particular connection, but I hope that assists the Chair in understanding at least the data that's available.

**SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF:** It certainly is very helpful. There may be a further point, if I may.

Can we just have a look on screen at BPLL0009120 again?

15 Can I ask, is there a gap between the date of 16 manufacture and the date of release?

17 A. Yes

SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Roughly how long a gap was there?
 It may have varied, I take it, from time to time, b ut
 roughly how long?

A. It would be somewhere between 6 and -- date of
 manufacture, being when the plasma is thawed, would - to date of release could be as much as 10 weeks. That
 would be conditioned -- obviously, there's the ongoing
 processing. A batch where the pool -- the plasma p ool

MR HILL: Just two very quick follow-ups on that.

Before the break, the chair took you to

paragraph 109 of your statement. I don't ask that it

is brought up, but that's where you used the figure

of 7,500, saying that the donor pool size had just
been increased in 1982 to 7,500.

From the table of what we are looking at here, we can see that the actual number of donations was around 6,000 in July 1982, and the table that we were looking at a little earlier shows that the maximum pool size went up to 7,500 donations by January 1982.

So should we read the figure in paragraph 109 to be a reference to the maximum pool size rather than the actual pool sizes?

15 A. Do you mind if I just look at the page itself?

**Q.** Of course. It is page 39 of your statement.

17 A. Yes. Yes, we should.

18 Q. For future reference, for Core Participants and for
19 the chair, if one wants to understand how much plas ma
20 is actually being processed at BPL in various pools in
21 this period, which is the best source of data of th
22 two that we have just been looking at, so far as yo
23 are concerned?

A. The plasma weight as -- in table 1B there would bewhat I would use and would suggest should be used.

(14) Pages 53 - 56

Q. That is at BPLL0001920, page 3, for the record.

Final question, I promise, on pool size, at least from me. From July 1982, in this table, unti July 1985, we see that the same plasma weight is gi ven for the three batches that are fractionated 1,200kg Was that the function of the size of a plant, of th amount that B25 could process at that time, or was that a function of a deliberate decision to hold th batch weight at that level, or was it both?

- A. No, I think it was the former. It was -- the batch
  manufacturing record would have defined and recorde
  the amount of plasma processed. There would have been
  no reason to feel constrained by the donor pool -the licensed donor pool limit that went on the label.
  It was simply what was practically achievable durin
  that period in the factory.
- **Q.** I'm going to turn, then, to the labels themselves sorry, I think you were about to say something?
  - A. Yes, if I may. I was a little slow when you asked me earlier about what was the defence, why -- how did BPL see patients being protected if -- in the absence o a test or screening test for non-A, non-B hepatitis what did we have that we were relying on other than pool size? And of course what I should have pointe out is that the plasma that we were processing was, at

We are going to come back to the plasma hold period in due course. I am conscious that some of the evidence you have given there is given in your statement and there is a helpful document as well. I will dig up those references at lunchtime so that we can place them on the transcript.

- A. Thank you.
- Q. For now, I would like to turn to labels. Could I begin by asking what role you played in the production of the labels that were placed on the products produced by PFL and BPL.
- A. Yes. First of all, it changed over time. At PFL, even up to 1982, we adopted the practice that product labels were generated or overprinted, if we were using, you know, commercially produced labels -- we re generated or overprinted by QC. And that's quite unusual. And I recall Inspection comments when it was pointed out that this was unusual, that that was a production activity, and surely this was QC cross ing the boundary between their QC role and the production role. But when we -- when I explained that what we were doing was making sure that, given the nature o production activities in PFL and the people involved, that, in fact, the individuals better placed to do it were the people in the control laboratory, in fact,

that time, certainly, typically plasma recovered from individual plasma donations, and our plasma was, even by then, being subjected to, if not a quarantine period, at least an inventory hold of the frozen plasma before it was processed.

The significance of that with recovered plasma is the inventory hold allows a period during which any post-transfusion sequelae of patients who had received the cells from which that plasma had been recovered could be recorded and notified to BPL, so that we could interdict individual plasma donations if they were associated with post-transfusion hepatitis. And we regularly did.

My own experience and my memory of that experience was that hardly a month went by when we were not interdicting individual plasma donations and preventing them from being processed after we had had post-transfusion sequelae reported from centres.

And in fact a comment, certainly, from one quite senior Medicines Inspector visiting BPL, and when w were talking about the way that allowed us to retri eve plasma, was, "Well, you know, you hardly need to mi mic that situation, you are doing it often enough that I can see that the process works."

Q. Thank you for that, Dr Snape.

the clerks who were managing data for me, the Inspector accepted that this was a case of getting the right people to do the job rather than slavishly following guidance.

At BPL it was properly different. We had an inspection, labelling and packing department, an the labels were -- certainly for licensed products -- the labels were prepared by a commercial company, a commercial press, and the role of -- my role, the role of QC, was to review copy for the labels befor they were sent to the press and approve sample labels from the roll when they came back from printing. B ut from that point on, the labels were managed and administered in the inspection, label and packing department, and the role of QC was to ensure, by regular audit, that the labels were being stored appropriately and selected appropriately for use.

- 18 Q. Who would have had -- made the final decision on th
   19 wording that would be used, particularly in terms o
   20 warnings about viral risk?
- A. Label copy was reviewed by a group. We operated what
   we loosely called a starburst mechanism, whereby copy
   went at the same time to as many contributing
   individuals as possible, typically electronically, by
   email, and then comments came back into that group.

## The Infected BloodInquiry

- The final decision on what was stated on it would have been probably my role as the person chairing that
- 3 group.
- 4  $\,$  Q. You mentioned email there. So, obviously, that's
- 5 a little later in time. If we think about the
- 6 mid-1980s, who would have had the final say at that
- 7 time?
- 8 **A.** It would have been a discussion between myself and the production manager, and we would always have drawn in
- 10 Dr Lane if we felt that there was a change that nee ded
- 11 a medical review, but that was often not necessary.
- There would be mechanical changes rather than in
- 13 principle changes that made a difference.
- 14 Q. Would you consult external agencies, the Medicines
- 15 Agency, NIBSC, the DHSS about the wording of the
- warnings that were contained on the labels in the
- 17 mid-1980s?
- 18 A. Not NIBSC, but certainly the MCA, as was, would hav
- 19 been involved in those discussions, and once we had
- a European Medicines Agency, I would have alsoconsulted with them.
- 22 Q. You say the MCA. Would its predecessor, the Medici nes
- 23 Division of the DHSS, also have been involved at th
- 24 earlier stage?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

21

25 A. In the same way. For me, that transition from

61

- 1 the preparation of this batch."
  - We have spoken about that, and I won't ask you any more questions.

We can see that the label which has been attached to this by somebody, and we don't know who, suggests that this is an HL product, so the BPL product. It is stated to be dried Factor VIII fraction of intermediate specific activity which, a I understand it, would fit with it being an HL product.

The warning, which is highlighted in blue on the package, reads as follows, and I quote:

"The preparation is of human origin and cannot be assumed to be free of hepatitis virus."

First of all, can you see that?

- A. Yes, I can see it. I can also see just below it on
   the right-hand of the centre panel the designation
   "HL5", so the nature of the label is defined there.
- 19 Q. That is H --
- 20 A. The line that says:
  - "Store in the dark below +6 degrees C."

63

- 22 To the right of that, there is a designation,
- 23 HL5, and that's where the typescript above derives.
- 24 **Q.** The warning about hepatitis?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Medicines Division of DHSS to MCA was a fairly
   seamless transition.
- 3 **Q.** Who were the labels intended for? Was it for the clinician, or for the patient?
- 5 A. Sorry, who --
- Q. Who were the labels intended for? Were they intendedfor the clinician, or for the patient, or for both?
- 8  $\,$  **A.** When we were considering the content of the labels, we
- 9 considered both. It's important to remember that
- 10 a lot of the product that we issued was distributed --
- 11 the product in the case of Factor VIII was distributed
  - from transfusion centres to the Haemophilia Centres,
- and then a lot of that material was issued for home
- therapy. So the product would have been -- the
- 15 Factor VIII product would have been in patients'
- 16 fridges.

12

21

25

- 17 Q. I'm going to bring up a sheet which shows three
- 18 labels, and the dates are given on the sheet, thoug
- $19 \hspace{1cm} \text{we cannot verify them ourselves. It is BPLL0002039} \, ,$
- 20 please, Sully.
  - If we could expand the top label, please. This
- is stated to be pre-June 1985. I don't know how clearly you will be able to see it. On the left-hand
- 24 side, we can see the wording:
  - "Less than 7,500 plasma donations were used in

62

- 1 Q. First of all, do you think that the date given there,
- 2 pre-June 1985, is likely to be accurate?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 **Q.** Why is it that that warning contains no reference t 5 AIDS?
- 6 A. I guess -- well, the simple answer is that that
- 7 warning would have been found, I'm sure, on previou
- 8 sequences of labels, and we did not add the --
- 9 a specific reference to AIDS virus at that stage.
- 10 I'm sure you're right, that by the end of 1984,11 we could have added "and HTLV-III" or "HIV" as
- 12 a statement. I can't tell you now why we didn't.
- 13 Q. Do you know if there was any discussion about addin14 a warning about HTLV-III or HIV?
- 15 A. I don't recall such a discussion. I think I would16 have recalled it, had it occurred.
- 17 **Q.** How often were the words for the label reviewed at BPL18 at that time?
- A. Probably not more than twice a year, unless there w as
   a specific -- and the most specific reason for doin
- so would have been the donation limit.
- 22  $\,$  Q. We have seen that the donation limit did increase t
- 23 7,500 in 1982. It still appears to have been 7,500 by
- 24 1985, but notwithstanding that you think that there
- 25 would have been some form of review of the labels

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 a couple of times a year; is that right?
- 2  $\,$  A. In that particular case, I suspect probably not. The
- 3 only reason for changing would have been if it was
- 4 considered to be deficient. It's -- the label woul
- 5 be part of the product licence application -- the P
- 6 numbers there at the bottom left-hand corner of the
- 7 centre panel -- and we would not have set about making8 changes without a very good reason.
- Q. Do you remember anyone raising the lack of an AIDS
   warning on the label with you? Clinicians, patients,
   the DHSS, anybody?
- 12 A. No.
- 13  $\,$  **Q**. You say that you wouldn't have made changes without
- a very good reason. The developing knowledge of HI
- and AIDS, a life-threatening disease, would have be en
- a good reason, wouldn't it, to have included
- 17 a warning?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 18 **A.** It would have been a good reason if it could be
- 19 expected to result in a change in clinical practice
- 20 I'm not sure -- I struggle to see that clinical
- 21 practice would have changed as a result of drawing
- 22 a specific attention to HIV, HTLV-III. I would hav
- 23 favoured, if we were going to change that at all, t
- change it to something like "cannot be assumed to b
- 25 free of viruses transmissible by blood". But I'm not

65

There would have been an information sheet provided with the vials as well. We saw that in th video being packed in the same package. Are you able to say whether further detail was given about hepatitis and/or AIDS in the information sheet in the mid-1980s?

- A. I haven't had access to the package insert leaflets during the time that I've have been preparing my witness statement, and I simply don't remember back 35 years to what was in a PIL at that time. It wou ld have been subjected to exactly the same review as the label at the time, so it would have been consistent with the label.
- 14 Q. If we could turn then to the next label, please. This
  15 is a label which is clearly for 8Y. We can see tha t's
  16 mentioned there. The date's given of June 1985 to
  17 February 1987. The warning section states this:

"The preparation is of human origin (less than 10,000 plasma donations used per batch). It has been subjected to heat treatment in the vial to reduce the risk of infection by viral agents, including hepatitis and AIDS viruses, but cannot be assumed t be free of the risk of infection."

First of all, do you have any recollection of how the change came to be made and the discussions

1 convinced that it would -- that adding "HTLV-III" o 2 "HIV" to that would have changed the way people

3 thought about the product.

Q. The way in which people thought about the product, in
 clinical practice, is something that is outside of
 your control. What is inside your control at BPL i
 the label that you put on a product that BPL produces.

Looking back, do you think that that label should have had a warning that at least allowed sufficient space for the AIDS and HIV to be include within the warning?

- 12 A. You're asking me to indulge in 20/20 hindsight. Th13 answer is: at the moment, I'm not convinced.
- 14 Q. You're not convinced that it was necessary for BPL in
   15 the mid-1980s to have included a warning about HIV and
   16 AIDS on the label of its product?
- A. Because pre-June 19 -- we are at the cusp, aren't we,
   there of when individuals were -- individual
   physicians were convinced of the aetiology of AIDS
- 20 transmission. Should we -- I would need to reflect21 I'm sorry.
- Q. We'll come on a little bit later to the date of
   knowledge about AIDS, and perhaps we'll return to t hat
   conversation when we have done so, but you've given
   your answers for now.

66

- 1 that went into the change of label?
- 2 Do I have a recollection? No. But what I would have 3 expected to happen is that the print copy group would 4 have taken into account the nature of 8Y, and I'm sure 5 that I would have discussed with Dr Smith the 6 phraseology because -- particularly because of the 7 nature of 8Y and the extent to which we could make 8 a claim for virus inactivation resulting from the HT3 9 treatment.
- Q. Finally, if we could turn to the last label. This is
   dated from February 1987 onward. It is said to be for
   product FHC1. It is -- the warning states this:

"The preparation is of human origin. It has been heat treated in the vial to reduce the risk of infection by viral agents (including hepatitis and AIDS viruses) but it cannot be assumed to be free f rom the risk of transmission of viral infections."

Firstly on this, do you know why the reference to donor numbers has been removed from the label? **A.** I do know that a decision was taken, and I think it's picked up in the tranche of papers that you sent me last week. So, it was a conscious decision, it was n't an omission, to eliminate the donation limit. But beyond that, no. I am sure we felt that it had reached the stage that, by then, the donation limit

67

68 (17) Pages 65 - 68

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1 had no -- contributed nothing. Either to physician's 2 awareness or patient awareness of risk.

If I can make the comment?

Q. Of course.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

22

23

24

25

- A. I think probably that donation limit could have bee removed from the label even before this. Certainly once we reached the 10,000-donation limit, it was hardly relevant or hardly helpful to physicians or
- 10 **Q.** The other addition to this label is that of a barco de. 11 I think we saw on the video that there was a scanning 12 system. Is that part of the automation and 13 computerisation of the new BPL?
- 14 Α. Part of that, but it's also a -- was part of our 15 arrangement with the print company that generated them. The barcode gave an extra level of security in 16 17 terms of identifying roll labels.
- 18 **Q.** If we could take that down, please, Sully, and turn from the labels to the question of knowledge of HIV 19 20 and AIDS, and, of course, HTLV-III, as the terminol ogy 21 was at the time.

If we could go, please, to WITN3431001. Page 63. This is from your statement. At paragraph 176 you wrote:

"In July 1982 the first report of AIDS related

69

- neutral way. You are talking about yourself there. 1 2 What about colleagues at BPL? Do you think that they 3 had a similar journey or did they arrive at that 4 destination sooner or later than you?
- 5 A. I don't think colleagues at BPL would necessarily 6 have -- I don't think they would have arrived there 7 sooner than me. I think I would, in turn, have relied 8 on discussions with Dr Smith, Dr Rizza and feedback 9 from John Craske's working party to give me 10 confidence. But I think, like many fractionators, 11 I would have been sceptical about the coincidence o 12 the number of transmissions to haemophilic patients as 13 being just dismissible as being a coincidence.
- 14 Q. I was just going to say, I think in your Lindsay 15 evidence -- I won't take you to it, but the reference 16 is LIND0000311, and it is page 14 -- I think you re fer 17 to fractionators taking a pessimistic view, as it 18 were, that there may well be a link, is that right?
- 19 A. Yes. And I think we did. And it was proper that w 20
- 21 Q. I'm going to take you now to a document that the 22 Inquiry has seen before and you have been provided 23 with; it is CBLA0001691.
- 24 A. Whilst that document is being found, can I just 25 qualify what I have just said?

symptoms associated with a haemophiliac [patient] was published and fractionators, like haemophilia treaters, were very concerned about whether the causative agent of AIDS could be transmitted throug clotting factor concentrates. Of course, until the causative agent had been identified and characterised, it was not possible to conclude that heat treatment of concentrates would help to prevent transmission of AIDS to haemophiliacs."

Are you able to say now when you first became aware of that July 1982 report, which I think was from MMWR, the publication from the Centres for Disease Control of the United States?

- 14 A. Probably within several months. But what I would say 15 was that I was also conscious of the fact that, fir st 16 of all, in the US, at the time, there was 17 a considerable agnosticism about whether the AIDS 18 syndrome was a result of virus transmission, or 19 whether it was something associated with the practices 20 of homosexuals like use of nitrites or whatever. S 21 I think it was not -- certainly my consciousness, m 22 awareness of confirmed relationship between AIDS 23 and -- as an agent transmissible by blood didn't come 24 until well into 1983.
- 25 Q. Do you think that -- sorry, I will ask it in a more

70

Q. Please do. 1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 2 I interpret "pessimistic" as meaning we had to assume 3 that there was a likelihood that AIDS was the resul 4 of a virus transmissible by blood. That kind of 5 pessimism.
- 6 **Q.** That is how I had understood your evidence but than 7 you.

This document is a memo from 24 March 1983. dictated by Dr Lane and signed in his absence, sent to Mr Mallory and to a number of other people, including you and Dr Smith. It says this:

"AIDS.

"Professor Bloom drew to the attention of the CBLA at their meeting on Wednesday, 23 March, the problems that are becoming associated with blood transfusion and blood product administration with the increasing incidence of reported AIDS cases which continues to gain momentum in the United States on a monthly basis. The high mortality in reported cases is a cause for concern and is a primary factor behind what is described as the American over-reaction to the problem. The aetiological factor or factors remain unknown."

If we go a little further down the document to the fourth paragraph, Dr Lane describes what 72

(18) Pages 69 - 72

Professor Bloom and Gunson had been doing, and refers to patients at risk in the United Kingdom, notably people with haemophilia. He then goes on to say this:

"It is necessary for this laboratory to develop a policy, which may only be implemented on a short-term basis, which will allow for the presentation of a large proportion of NHS factor VI II as cryoprecipitate. Staff will be aware that many Regional Transfusion Centres have not made wet cryoprecipitate for some time and would now be both out of practice and in some cases without the facilities to recommence large-scale production. The implications for BPL source material are very real.

"A meeting involving those circulated with this memorandum should be set up at the earliest convenient opportunity" --

**SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF:** I think we are missing that on the screen.

MR HILL: Sorry, Sully, if we could go on to the followingparagraph. Forgive me, I have read ahead.

"A meeting involving those circulated with this memorandum should be set up at the earliest convenient opportunity to discuss the strategic alternatives a BPL for manufacturing small pool freeze dried cryoprecipitate to offset the requirement for

met not just in respect of AIDS but other things to -and discussed what should be done. And that commit tee
was stout in its objection to change in guidance, for
example, for -- to plasma donor centres, exclusion of
individuals whose sexual behaviour put them at risk of
transmission. That committee was opposed to anything
that might reduce the production of plasma for
fractionation without proper evidence that this was
a transmissible virus.

10 Q. Does it --

A. That's probably -- I have actually -- I think I hav
 referred to it in parts in my witness statement but
 I would need time to pull it up and point you to it.

Q. Should we read, then, that sentence not as meaning
that Dr Lane considered there to have been an American
over-reaction but that he was referring to the fact
that others, including those clinicians that you have
mentioned, considered it to be an over-reaction?

19 A. That's the way I read it, yes.

**Q.** In the third paragraph, the final sentence, Dr Lane wrote this:

"Equally, there is a likelihood that a return to cryoprecipitate as a desirable form of treatment ma become irresistible, whether logical or not."

Can you assist with what he meant by those last

manufacturing at BTS level. Considerable adjustmen ts to resources should be envisaged and taken account of. Equally, a (temporary) fractionation programme commencing with cryoprecipitate supernatant from th BTCs should also be taken into consideration. The implications concerning factor IX production will need to be examined and the potential benefits of pasteurisation of factor IX given some priority."

So that is Dr Lane's memorandum, and we can see what has prompted it.

Are you able to assist with who was describing the "American over-reaction to the problem"?

A. A group of physicians in the US whose names -- the names that come to mind would be Professor Harold Roberts, from North Carolina, and two or three others, who were really -- and when I referred earlier to agnosticism about AIDS as a transmissible --a blood-transmissible virus, I was thinking of that group. And I'd even include, certainly at the time, for example, of this memo, in March '83, I recall that David Aronson, at the behest of the Bureau of Biologics at Bethesda, was still not convinced that AIDS was a transmissible virus.

And I presume that the "American over-reaction to the problem" referred to the -- a committee that

1 words "whether logical or not"?

A. I think so. It -- my view and I think others who h was addressing on that list would have taken the view that, practically, BPL would have found it very difficult to assist the transfusion service in creating a supply of freeze-dried cryoprecipitate for treatment as an alternative to freeze-dried concentrates. And I think that's the kind of logic that he was referring to, that it might be attractive but would be extremely difficult. And I'm not saying expensive; I'm just saying extremely difficult to do.

**Q.** That is a practical consideration. Is it possible
13 that "logical" was hinting at something else, that
14 Dr Lane was suggesting that a return to
15 cryoprecipitate wouldn't do much good, in terms of
16 reducing the exposure to a potential virus?

A. Well, I think if that's what he was thinking, I think he was right on that as well because it became quit clear, as time passed, that the virus had become part of our donor population just in the same way that non-A, non-B hepatitis had. And certainly the recall that I've referred to separately in another part of my witness statement indicated -- was an example of an England and Wales blood donor who donated blood, presumably convinced that he wasn't a source of ris k.

(19) Pages 73 - 76

# The Infected BloodInquiry

1		And he wouldn't have been the only one.	1	inviting thought and discussion to be given to the
2	Q.	We will come on to that later, the HL1386 incident.	2	question of what BPL should do if there is this
3		But if we take that as an example, that donor	3	departure in course and a reversion to
4		gave his blood donations, and one of those came int	4	cryoprecipitate. Some of the issues that Dr Lane
5		BPL and was fractionated into many hundreds of vial	5	raises for consideration are the use of
6		of Factor VIII concentrate which went out to patien ts.	6	cryoprecipitate supernatants from the BTCs, at the
7		If that plasma had been used in the form of	7	Blood Transfusion Centres. Am I right in reading that
8		cryoprecipitate, it would have been a single donor	8	as meaning that if the
9		use, would it not? Would that not have reduced	9	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Could we just have the document back
10		the risk?	10	on the screen, please?
11	A.	If we're talking about single donor cryoprecipitate	11	MR HILL: CBLA0001691.
12		of course. It would only have infected the one	12	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Thank you. You were asking about
13		patient who was unfortunate enough to receive it.	13	particular wording on this document.
14	Q.	But you think this may also be reflecting on	14	MR HILL: Penultimate paragraph, please. We can see
15		freeze-dried cryoprecipitate which would not be single	15	towards the end of that paragraph, he speaks about
16		donor; is that correct?	16	the sorry, I have lost my place:
17	Α.	It didn't have to be single donors. It might have	17	"Equally, a (temporary) fractionation programme
18	,	provided one way of providing a supply a reduced	18	commencing with cryoprecipitate supernatant from BTCs
19		risk supply based on smaller donors (audio distortion)	19	should also be taken into consideration."
20		in the pool.	20	Am I right in understanding that to mean that if
21	Q.	Obviously we haven't got Dr Lane to ask him those	21	the Regional Transfusion Centres were producing the ir
22	w.	questions, which is why I explore it a little with you	22	own cryoprecipitate for Factor VIII patients, BPL was
23			23	
		but recognise, of course, that you cannot speak for		still going to be asked to produce other blood
24		him.	24	products, and in order to produce those blood
25		The memorandum as a whole reads as if it is	25	products, they would require the supernatant from the
		77		78
1		Regional Transfusion Centres to be provided to BPL?	1	first time that you can recall a discussion or
2	A.	It would have if that had transpired, it would have	2	a structured discussion taking place about the
3	Λ.	been possible to receive cryoprecipitate supernatan	3	reversion the possibility of a reversion to
4		from transfusion centres, and that could have been	4	cryoprecipitate and the effect that that would have on
5		used for recovery of Factor IX and Factor VII and, of	5	BPL?
6		course, for albumins and immunoglobulins. But	6	
7			7	·
		a change in practice that would have needed that		you've already addressed the first two paragraphs.
8		BPL would have needed to adjust to and would almost	8	It's clear that there wasn't a consensus in the UK
9		certainly have taken us back to receipt of that	9	that AIDS was a transmissible virus, so not surprising
10		cryoprecipitate supernatant in 5-litre bags with th	10	that it was the first document of its kind.
11		pooling of that the supernatant into those bags	11	MR HILL: Sir, I have reached the end of that document.
12		being undertaken at the transfusion centres which	12	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. Now would be a good time for
13		would be some better placed to do so under GMP	13	a break, I think. Shall we take a break until 2.10
14		conditions.	14	2.10.
15	Q.	GMP is good manufacturing practice?	15	(1.09 pm)
16	A.	Yes, sorry.	16	(The short adjournment)
17	Q.	Some Regional Transfusion Centres would have been i	17	(2.14 pm)
18		a better position to have done that, according to the	18	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes.
19		best standards at the time, than others?	19	MR HILL: Dr Snape, before we go back to the documents,
20	A.	Yes, indeed, but then in 1983, BPL was hardly best	20	I've been asked to clarify something with you.
21		placed to talk about processed GMP, given the facility	21	In response to my questions earlier, when I was
22		in Building 25.	22	asking about non-A, non-B hepatitis, you gave

23

24

25

an answer saying that:

we took it seriously."

"I would agree that certainly, as fractionators,

80

23

24

25

**Q.** We'll come on to the meeting perhaps after lunch.

But just one final question from me on this

document. Is this, to the best of your memory, the

79

(20) Pages 77 - 80

Then a little later when asked about AIDS, you said, and I quote:

"... I think, like many fractionators, I would have been sceptical about the coincidence of the number of transmissions to haemophilic patients as being [admissible] as being a mere coincidence."

In those answers when you were talking about fractionators, are you talking about you and your colleagues at BPL, or the wider community of fractionators in the United Kingdom, or a more general community of fractionators internationally?

A. I was certainly talking about fractionators in the United Kingdom. I think in the context of development of understanding about AIDS as a risk, it was clear that US fractionators were also responding, at the very least, in terms of introducing or attempting t introduce heat treatment processes for virus inactivation.

Now, I'm not going to comment because I don't have the knowledge, but I -- that could be simply because they were commercially savvy and were looking to create opportunity, but it could also be that, I ike UK fractionators, they felt it was necessary to tak the warning that was coming across, particularly from the CDC, about AIDS as a virus transmissible diseas e.

1 A. No.

- Q. Is it right that it is an internal BPL meeting? Al of those people are employees of BPL?
- 4 A. That's correct.
  - **Q.** Reading from the minute, the first item is headed "Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome", and I quote:

"The Director advised the meeting that Professor Bloom had raised the subject of AIDS at the last CBLA meeting, and at the next CBLA meeting, he wished to respond to any questions raised on AIDS. In particular, what the BPL response would be to any likely problem with AIDS and raw material input.

"Letters on AIDS have been circulated to Haemophilia Directors by the supra-regional directors -- BPL has to decide now whether to chang course if a move away from concentrates (F.VIII and F.IX) is requested.

"Mr Vallet had brought back recommendations from the USA that outlined ways of reducing AIDS in sour ce plasma, eg, by further screening of donors, taking account of their history and background and sexual activities.

"Plasma from high-risk donors (including their sexual partners) was recommended by the B.O.B. [whi ch I take to be the Bureau of Biologics] to be used fo

My earlier comment about non-A, non-B hepatitis I think probably was more applicable to -- from my knowledge to UK fractionators.

Q. Thank you for that clarification.

I would like to move to the meeting that took place following the memorandum that Dr Lane sent in 1983. Could we have on screen, please, BPLL0008758 This document is headed "Notes of a meeting held on 18 April 1983". It's a relatively lengthy minute; it runs to 6 pages. The minute was taken by Mr Pettet I'm not going to read all of it, but I am going to read the first couple of pages.

We can see who was present: Dr Lane in the Chair, Dr Harvey, Mr Mallory, Mr Pettet, Dr Smith, you, Mr Vallet and Mr Wesley. Is it fair to describe those people as the senior management team at BPL a that time?

- 18 A. Certainly everyone except Norman Pettet would have
  19 been seen as senior management by that time. Norman's
  20 role as assistant to the director was invaluable, but
  21 I don't think he would have described himself and
  22 wouldn't have been described as part of the senior
  23 management team.
- Q. Is there anybody missing from that meeting who youwould have expected to be there?

1 the preparation of Ig, PPF and diagnostic reagents.

2 "No directive has been issued with regard to
3 F.VIII. Discussions with Dr Aronstam indicated tha
4 the relationship of AIDS to haemophiliacs had not been
5 established nor the extent of the risk."

- 6 A. May I make a comment at that point, Mr Hill?
- 7 Q. Yes, please do.

- A. That's not Dr Aronstam, although I accept that that 's
   what the minute says. It was actually Dr Aronson,
   Dr David Aronson, who was Bureau of Biologics in th
   US.
- 12 Q. Thank you. That's very helpful:

"The producers of concentrates are concerned and expect the B.O.B. to make a statement that no furth er clinical trials be carried out on materials that ha not been rendered safe from the risks of transmittable disease.

"Another view from the USA was that the commercial producers may withdraw from the market, leaving only the state financed operations producin F.VIII.

"Dr Snape stated that the B.O.B. reaction was predictable and that an association was now being formed between heat-treated concentrates in reducin the risk from AIDS."

(21) Pages 81 - 84

state (AIDS related or not). What is the ability o 1 If we go over the page, please: 1 2 "The Director commented on the price increase 2 BPL to respond to a request to make small pool 3 3 now being seen for commercial concentrates, and the material, or that only heat-treated product was 4 emphasis on marketing hepatitis-reduced risk material. 4 required by the Haemophilia Directors. 5 5 "Dr Smith remarked that at the present time "The general feeling was that a response to 6 6 there was little firm knowledge on how effective he at these requests would be difficult. 7 treatment is on non-A, non-B or AIDS, nor what the 7 "It was noted that US plasma was of two main 8 8 effect on yields would be. types: 9 "Several other comments were raised: 9 "1) Recovered plasma pools (large unsecured 10 10 "1) Do the UK haemophiliacs perceive the threat donor pools) 11 as serious as do the USA? 11 "2) Source plasma pools (small panel secured 12 12 "2) Is large pool material worse than small policies) 13 13 pool? Very little evidence in this area. "The UK is different in that only large donor 14 "3) What would be the effect if BPL only able 14 pools are used, ie, there is still no major use of 15 15 to produce one half of the UK requirement for F.VIII. small panel plasmapheresis plasma, and that plans are 16 if heat-treated yields were much lower than those seen 16 in progress to increase plasma collection primarily by 17 17 currently for normal material. the use of SAG-M with secondary use of plasmapheres is. 18 "4) The arguments for non-A, non-B and AIDS 18 "It would be difficult to change the philosophy 19 19 were separate and different with respect to risk, e.g. once major progress has been achieved in the SAG-M 20 20 the risk of non-A, non-B was seen in low and medium programme. In addition, the use of small panel 21 users, whereas AIDS would be of greater risk to hea vy 21 accredited donors would be very expensive." 22 22 users Going over the page: 23 "The Director asked the meeting to consider 23 "Mr Vallet suggested that if the present risk of 24 a situation where AIDS was established in the UK, and 24 using a large pool was small, the effect of 25 some haemophiliacs had evidence of an altered immun 25 an expensive screening programme of donors would have 85 86 1 to be large to reduce this present risk by any 1 costing exercise would need to be carried out. The 2 significant extent. 2 general feeling of the meeting was that BPL should go 3 "The answer to the AIDS question was therefore 3 for both small panel and heat-treated products. to consider what was feasible and what was not. Thus, 4 4 "The overriding concern was that in trying to 5 if BPL was to be involved in the preparation of small 5 provide full UK demand with a secure product, BPL may 6 pool concentrates, free of AIDS, there would have t 6 end up not being able to supply the demand. 7 7 be an extensive pool of accredited donors (or at least "The Director also asked whether the current a high follow-up procedure for donors)." 8 8 problems posed by AIDS could be used to obtain 9 9 There is then some discussion about the financial support for more work in this area. 10 10 differences between the UK and the US. "Several views were expressed -- notably the 11 Picking it up after that: 11 lack of space and staff, and the doubts on which 12 12 "The Director asked Dr Smith whether BPL should programme of direction to follow. The overriding view 13 promote the collection of small pool material into 13 was one of wait and see." 14 a working programme, eg, by the use of ... 14 The minute does go on but I will leave it there. 15 Leeds Haemonetics material (currently 100kg/week). 15 If we could go back to the first page of that 16 "Dr Smith felt that Dr Robinson (Leeds) would be 16 minute, please, Sully. 17 unwilling (for reasons associated with the present 17 I would like to ask about that section where 18 18 programme) or unable to provide significant increases there is a record of what you are said to have said: 19 19 in Haemonetics plasma. "Dr Snape stated that the BOB [Bureau of 20 "Dr Smith suggested that the meeting 20 Biologics] reaction was predictable ..." 21 21 differentiate between small pool (ie small volume What did you mean by that? 22 pools) and small panel (ie large volume pools with few 22 A. Predictable in the sense that BOB were cautious 23 donors) and asked whether BPL should not be making 23 regulators and, in that sense -- going back up four 24 a small panel F.VIII and F.IX in addition to the 24 paragraphs: 25 normal concentrate. If the answer was yes, a careful 25 "Discussions with Dr Aronson indicated that the 87 88

(22) Pages 85 - 88

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 relationship of AIDS to haemophiliacs had not been 2 established nor the extent of the risk." 3 David Aronson was, in that sense, behaving as 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a BOB regulator would and saying, "Look, we don't know yet, and we are about to make -- or, to consider so me big decisions based on incomplete evidence."

The second part of what you are recorded as saying is

"... an association was now being formed between heat treated concentrates in reducing the risk from AIDS."

Do you know, now, what the basis of that statement was?

Yes. One US fractionator in particular, Α. Baxter Hyland, had produced a moderately heated freeze-dried product, and it wasn't -- it didn't take long for the three other big fractionators to follo down that route.

In practice, what actually happened was that whilst those heat treatment programmes practically delivered a lot of reduction in the AIDS virus in the concentrates, they had relatively little impact on the hepatitis component of risk, and that was to be borne out by transmission of non-A, non-B hepatitis by those concentrates.

89

raised, a number of questions are asked there, including:

> "Is large pool material worse than small pool? - very little evidence in this area."

Are you able to assist now with what that discussion was at the time of that meeting or is it just too far in the past to be able to do so reliably?

Given the -- I'm assuming that that point is target ed at UK. Is large pool-material worse than small-pool? I would say it was accurate at the time. There was n't evidence. If we'd been thinking about the US, then because of -- I think this was January '83 --

#### SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: It is April '83.

14 A. April '83, sorry. In the US, the population of pla sma 15 donors contributing to most of the big four 16 US concentrate manufacturers was significantly 17 threatened by high-risk individuals, and in that sense 18 large-pool materials simply captured all of that risk.

MR HILL: I'm not going to go through all of the other points that are raised in the meeting, because I am going to take you to the paper that Dr Lane produce as a result of it, summing up his interpretation of the discussion.

If we can go to CBLA0001697. This is a document dated 22 April 1983. We can see from its heading that

Having -- sorry, at the very top of that page: "The Director commented on the price increase now being seen for commercial concentrates ..."

4 There was a profound increase in price of 5 concentrates in the US on the back of introducing the 6 so-called hepatitis reduced risk material and 7 Jim Smith's remark in the next paragraph was just 8 drawing us back to the fact that, look, we don't kn ow 9 how effective the heat treatment they are putting i 10 place is going to be on AIDS or non-A, non-B 11 hepatitis.

12 **Q.** I was about to take you to that paragraph from 13 Dr Smith. Is there any dispute or contradiction 14 between your views of an association now being form ed 15 and Dr Smith pointing out that there was very littl 16 firm knowledge? Were they in contradistinction or 17 were you in agreement on this point?

18 A. I think we were in agreement.

19 **Q.** There was some indication that there might be 20 an association between heat treatment and reduction of 21 risk but very little in the way of firm evidence. Is 22 that a fair summary?

23 A. That is a fair summary. And the evidence that was to 24 come would indicate that our caution was wise.

25 **Q.** The four paragraphs that followed, the comments being

90

1 it is intended for the CBLA. The reference, the 2 internal reference is CBLA83/23. The paper is 3 entitled "Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS)". 4

What Dr Lane wrote is this:

"Progress with AIDS is being kept under regular survey.

"A senior management meeting at BPL was called on the 18th April to review the laboratory policy a it might be affected by increasing reports of AIDS in the United Kingdom or by mounting pressure from the United States and Europe via popular press, haemophilia association, etc.

"Whilst AIDS continues to concern Federal and State authorities in the USA, a current review in the UK indicates that the disorder is limited to some 14 cases in known active homosexuals, but CDR reports give no evidence of AIDS in haemophiliacs. Haemophilia directors have been alerted to maintain heightened levels of surveillance so that the disorder, if proven, can be reported at the earlies opportunity.

"The production policy at BPL will adopt a 'wait and see' basis with continued manufacture of factor VIII concentrates and with continued attention to research and development programmes designed to

92

91

(23) Pages 89 - 92

inactivate transmissible viruses by heat pasteurisation and other methods. The potential of the laboratory to manufacture small pool freeze-dried cryoprecipitate in significant amounts, as an alternative to large pool intermediate factor VIII concentrate, has been ruled out on logistic production considerations.

"Whilst the situation in the UK appears to be under control, it is recognised that a first genuin report of AIDS in a haemophiliac could well bring about a sudden and significant general request for single unit wet cryoprecipitate for a large number of haemophiliacs. Whether this demand could be suppressed is unknown, but it would seriously reduc the efficiency of the current plasma procurement programme to satisfy BPL targets for factor VIII concentrate. An elaborate programme of pooled capture under sterile conditions of regional cryoprecipitat supernatant would have to be introduced to provide starting material for factor IX immunoglobulin and albumin products.

"The situation brought about by AIDS in the USA accentuates the requirement, always recognised at BPL, for there to be considerable flexibility within production and for the importance of having a properly

plasma for Factor VIII production and, secondly, as we were discussing previously, a need to obtain the supernatant from the Regional Centres to produce th other blood products. Is that a fair summary?

- A. Yes, that is a fair summary. Practically what, of course, it doesn't address, and didn't need to from Richard's point of view, is whether it was practically possible at enough Regional Transfusion Centres to make the switch to cryo. But, you know, that wasn' for him, at that stage, to seek to flag up.
- **Q.** The paragraph about the need for flexibility and th
  12 properly supported vigorous programme of research and
  13 development; on one reading that could be read as
  14 Dr Lane giving a push to those who might be reading
  15 this document that those are areas that are needed and
  16 that may not have been sufficiently provided for in
  17 the past. Is that a fair reading of those paragrap hs?
- 18 A. It is a fair reading and it builds on previous similar
   19 concerns expressed about lack of R&D and lack of
   20 flexibility as a result.
- Q. And was that in part a consequence of the state of
   Building 25 and the lack of redevelopment before this time?
- A. Partly that, but largely lack of investment in R&D
   personnel on the Elstree site and lack of R&D

supported vigorous programme of research and development by in key areas.

"The possible impact of AIDS and the high incidence of non-A non-B hepatitis has caused the Director to review the current level of resources s et aside for virus inactivation, and further proposals will be put to the Authority if it is felt that expansion of this programme is needed."

That is the end of the paper. The first point to pick up from that is that the paper states that small pool production at BPL has been ruled out at BPL on logistical grounds.

Am I right in reading that as meaning that if there was a decision taken by others to go for small pool production for the use of cryoprecipitate, then that, for logistical reasons, couldn't be done at BPL, but it is not saying that it should not be don e?

- 18 A. That's a fair statement. It could not be done at B PL
  19 in Building 25, but in April 1983 Building 25 was all
  20 we had.
- Q. So if a decision is taken to revert to cryo, to use
   a convenient shorthand, then that cryoprecipitate
   would be made at Regional Transfusion Centres and B PL
   then had to consider the consequences of that for its
   own production, and that would mean, firstly, less

1 facilities.

But one of the things that doesn't really come out, I suspect, certainly not in my witness statement, is that because -- we didn't just not have R&D facilities, we didn't have virology testing facilities, we did not have a properly designed laboratory in which to carry out simulation studies where we could actually carry out virus inactivatio steps or develop virus inactivation steps using spiked virus. It would have been improper on that site, with the facilities that we had. And yet it is what you really need if you want to develop virus-safe materials, is to be able to prove that you have don so.

- 15 Q. The reason that it would have been improper to carr
  16 it out on the site, is that because you were producing
  17 on that site Factor VIII for patient use and it would
  18 have been dangerous to introduce into that environment
  19 material that you knew to be infected with HIV or
  20 whichever virus you were looking at?
- A. Certainly impossible in any building, even the new
   building, Building 27, to undertake that kind of
   experimentation. In a -- perhaps on the same site but
   in a specifically designed facility, fine. But we
   didn't have that and it was a long way in the future.

- Q. As of 1983, Dr Lane stated that the need for that k ind
   of research and development facility had always bee
   recognised at BPL. But it was not present at BPL
   because of the way in which BPL had been funded and
   developed. Was this an case of chickens coming hom
   to roost as of April 1983?
- A. I suspect, I mean, if Dr Lane could answer for
   himself, he would say it is not a case of chickens
   coming home to roost, it is just a case of his
   warnings not having been heeded. But that was wher
   we were.
- 12 Q. Just to summarise, then, the paper. We know that this
   13 paper went to the CBLA and it was noted by the CBLA
   14 and formed part of their discussion. I won't take you
   15 to that document.

But am I right in summarising it as a meeting and a paper with BPL, as it were, trying to get ahe ad of the game, to think about how it would react if there was a call for a reversion to cryoprecipitate? But then there was no such call that followed?

**A.** Yes, that is a good statement.

Q. Do you know if Dr Lane, or BPL more generally, sought
 to advocate one way or another for a reversion to
 cryoprecipitate, or was this paper part of a wider
 preparation for what BPL would have to do if that

- summary I have ever seen of the development of the
  AIDS situation in the US. And there are some lesso ns
  there that, had we had that paper back in 1983, we
  could have learned from. But it is a good document to
  go back to, to see how the situation developed. An
  at the end of the day, what happened in the US was
  what happened to us 6-12 months later anyway.
- 8 Q. I think the reference is CVHB0000042. It is
   9 Dr Evatt's *Tragic History*, is that right?
- 10 A. That's the one.

Q. Just so that you are aware, Dr Snape, in November when we were looking at the pharmaceutical companies, that document was, in a way, the bedrock of the presentation that was put forward about the respons to risk of AIDS in the United States, so it is a document that the Inquiry has seen and has studie in some detail, but thank you for referring to it, and it's helpful to know your views on it.

I will come back briefly to the question of heat treatment and response to risk. The reason that I' moving on to product recall is my understanding of your statement and your evidence is that while you have very helpfully set out a history of the development of heat treatment at BPL, in terms of the research and development that was principally a matter

reversion was made?

Sorry, I haven't expressed that very clearly.
 Was Dr Lane actively part of the lobbying for
 one decision or another?

- **A.** Bearing in mind that he had several years' experience
  6 of working in a blood transfusion centre, and he knew
  7 what the pressures in a busy centre were, and the
  8 limited facilities in the centre were, I am sure he
  9 was being very cautious but trying to be even hande
  10 and say, "This may be one approach, but, on the other
  11 hand, there are problems."
- **Q.** I'm going to move on from that document and that to pic to the question of product recall. I will come bac a little later to discuss -- sorry, just before I do, what I should say as part of the summaries, the two points that Dr Lane raises in his paper as somethin that BPL are going to take forward is work on, firstly, heat treatment and, secondly, work on small panel fractionation. Is that right?
- **A.** That's correct. Before moving on, can I just flag up something that may be useful?
- 22 Q. Yes, please do.
- A. It is a document that I referenced in my witness
   statement. You have got it as CVHB0000042. It is
   a paper by Bruce Evatt. It is probably the best

- for Dr Smith and his team, something, obviously, that
  you were aware of and worked with but it's -- I think
  you, in a way, defer to Dr Smith's evidence on that
  point. Is that right?
- 5 A. It is.

Q. For that reason, I'm going to turn to product recal
 which was an area in which you were more directly
 involved yourself.

You touched upon this earlier when we were talking about the way in which the hepatitis risk was monitored and communicated to Dr Craske, and I'll take you to the section of your witness statement where you deal with it. It's page 147, paragraphs 91 and 92. WITN3431001. If I just read through your statement What you wrote is this:

"There was no formal documented procedure for recall, but, in my opinion, the system ..."

Sorry, I should have given the context. The question is about the period 1970s to 1980s. A mor formalised process does come into play in the late 1908s, but you were answering about the slightly earlier period where you said:

"There was no formal documented procedure for recall, but, in my opinion, the system in place worked effectively. Plasma (and product, but mostly plasma)

100 (25) Pages 97 - 100

incidents were tracked using an arrangement of brow Manila envelope wallets, with incident correspondence stored within the wallet, and with an overview of the progress of the incident in a pro forma sheet on th outside front cover which prompted actions (including batch 'hold' or 'recall'). The system was managed by a clerk reporting to me as scientist in charge of quality control. We did not have a computer database at the time, and the incident file tracking system was simple but effective, avoiding any consideration of dependence on an electronic backup. The pro forma sheet on the outside front cover set out a decision flow for actions to be taken, including withholding of release of product or recall of product. Each incident was closed out with a formal incident report -- the reportNCREP02 is an example of such a report."

I will say we're going to come on to that report in a second:

"In the absence of a computer database, we used a 7"x5" card index system, one card per PFL batch, to record reports of patient events -- no patient names, just a yellow flag per hepatitis report. Results were notified to Miss Rosemary Jean Spooner, secretary t the OHC Directors Hepatitis Working Party, chaired by

**Q.** To writing.

To writing. It was not a recall procedure; it was a review mechanism that, in our experience and my lack of experience in terms of pharmaceutical manufacturing, served the purpose and was seen by successive medicines inspectors and, in that sense, not criticised. That's not to say I would seek to defend it as fulfilling the recall procedure requirements that were first stated in the orange -the 1977 Orange Guide, in chapter 9 of that guide.

- Q. I won't go to that document in light of what you've said, but so that we have the reference for it, it is PRSE0002339 and page 35 of that document. That's the 1977 Orange Guide which said there should be a recall system which is written down. Is that a fair summary?
- 16 A. Yes.

- 17 Q. And BPL did not comply with that until 1988, but it18 did have a system that was unwritten.
- A. Yes. We had a mechanism that worked, and it was
   written only in the sense of the pro forma on the
   Manila envelope data capture system.
- Q. I would like now to turn to the report that you referred to in paragraph 91 of your statement, whic is -- INCREP02 is the internal reference for it. I is WITN3431014.

Dr John Craske. Batches that accrued four or more yellow flags were identified for HWP attention. I recall that on transfer to Elstree in 1982, I was granted a full time clerk and a full time technicia staffing the BPL control unit. The same brown wall et incident file tracking system was established and managed by the technician who reported directly to me. Again, as at PFL, there was no computer database, but the paper-based system continued to be simple and effective."

So that is what is in your written statement. You go on in paragraph 93 to refer to a statement that was provided by David Donald, somebody who you've mentioned at various points in your evidence over the last couple of days. I won't go to the document, but what Dr Donald said was that the first formal complaints procedure and product recall document wa authorised for use at BPL on 3 November 1988, and from my understanding of your evidence, you don't disput Dr Donald's recollection in that regard.

- 21 A. No, I don't.
- Q. So, before 1988, you had a system in place, but it was
   not a system that was committed to writing; is that
   correct?
- 25 A. Sorry. Not a system that was committed --

This concerns an incident which is sometimes referred to as the "Wessex donor incident". I'm go ing to read through the entirety of the report and then ask you a few questions about it.

We can see at the top that it is a report prepared by you. The reference is INCREP02. It is distributed to Dr Lane, Mr Mallory and Mr Vallet, but I understand that it may have been sent on to other as well in due course. And the title is "Summary report on the recall of Factor VIII batch HL3186 occasioned by probable diagnosis of AIDS in a contributing donor."

What the report says in full is this:

"1. Donor condition and products affected.

"1.1. A donor was admitted to Bournemouth Hospital with a skin rash consistent with Kaposi's sarcoma, leukopenia and anaemia. Biopsy results awaited. Donor admits to homosexual activity but w as VDRL negative when he donated blood on 25.9.84 (thi donation was separated at Wessex RTC but plasma was not dispatched to BPL)."

I pause there, sir, to note that I should have said that the report is dated 23 October 1984, an important point of context, and forgive me for not raising it before:

(26) Pages 101 - 104

1		"The original report of this incident,	1	"1.3. Time-expired plasma from the donation of
2		telephoned by Dr D Smith on 2.10.84, was confirmed in	2	21.11.82 was received at BPL as pool number C31621E
3		writing by Dr M Barnes (letter of 4.10.84 attached)	3	(ie from Leeds RTC). This was subsequently
4		"Dr Barnes confirmed the following circumstances	4	fractionated, yielding fraction V concentrate L825.
5		concerning the donor (telephone 12.10.84):	5	Two batches of PPF were manufactured from this
6		"(i) Biopsy confirmed early Kaposi's sarcoma;	6	concentrate:
7		"(ii) Plasma samples tested by Dr Tedder were	7	"AD1305 - labelled but not released for issue;
8		positive for HTLV-III;	8	held at Bullens.
9		"(iii) The donor was now been diagnosed as	9	"AD1315 - finished but QC incomplete; held on
10		suffering from pneumocystis pneumonia.	10	site.
11		"1.2. Plasma donation number [and the	11	"No fraction II was recovered from the A + I
12		number is been given but has been redacted by our	12	precipitate."
13		team] was collected into an IPP on 27.3.84 and	13	Going over to the next page, please. That is
14		dispatched to BPL on 6.4.84 in box number SF4333.	14	just some marginalia on it. I won't bother with it
15		This pack was used in the manufacture of batch HL31 86.	15	"1.4. The donor also gave blood in the West
		"No Factor IX was recovered from the		Midlands region on 14.2.83. This donation was used as
16			16 17	-
17		cryosupernatant.		whole blood; no components were sent to BPL.
18		"No fraction II was recovered from the A + I	18	"1.5. There is no indication from records
19		precipitate.	19	maintained at Wessex RTC that any other plasma from
20		"Fraction V was recovered and is presently held	20	this donor has been received at BPL during the last
21		as L938 and L939.	21	five years (but see the penultimate paragraph of
22		"Factor VIII batch HL3186 was distributed as	22	Dr Barnes' letter).
23		follows:	23	"2. Actions to secure/recall implicated
24		"Wessex RTC - 485 vials (sent 10 August)	24	products.
25		"Cardiff RTC - 400 vials (sent 15 August)	25	"2.1. Dr Smith (Wessex) was informed of
		105		106
1		implication of HL3186 (telephone 2.10.84, TS)."	1	situation here is more complex in that completion o
2		Presumably those are your initials?	2	finished product QC would require that further
3	A.	That's correct.	3	analytical work be carried out on unheated samples.
4	Q.	" and was asked to recall all vials, including any	4	"2.4. All samples of intermediate and finished
5	-	held by patients for home therapy.	5	products held in house have been secured, and will be
6		"Dr Napier (Cardiff) was unavailable, but	6	held pending development of appropriate test methods."
7		Mr Booth (Sen.Ch.MLSO, Cardiff) was informed	7	Over the page please.
8		(telephone 3.10.84, TS) and was asked to recall all	8	"3. Results of factor VIII recall.
9		vials of HL3186, including home therapy issues.	9	"3.1. The 400 vials of batch HL3186 dispatched
10		"Both telephone conversations were confirmed in	10	to Cardiff break down thus:
11		writing (3.10.84, copies attached).	11	"Stock held at RTC - 150 vials
12		"2.2. Fraction V concentrate L938 and L939 were	12	"Heath Park, Cardiff - recovered 101 out of 150
13		secured and labelled 'HELD' although PPF might b	13	vials (6 patients),
14		argued safe in respect of viral transmission, it is	14	"Morriston - recovered 51 out of 60 vials
15		not considered that the risks possibly associated with	15	(2 patients),
16		further processing can be justified. These fractions	16	"Carmarthen - recovered 36 out of 40 vials
17		will be held against the possibility of development of	17	(1 patient).
18		suitable test methods.	18	"A total of 338 vials were recovered; 9 patients
19		"2.3. PPF batch AD1305 will be held pending	19	received the batch.
20		results of investigations to determine process	20	"3.2. The 485 vials of batch HL3186 dispatched
21		efficacy of heat in relation to HTLV-III inactivation.	20	to Wessex break down thus:
22		Provided it can be demonstrated that wet-heat	21	"Alton (LMT College) - recovered 105 out of
23		pasteurisation inactivates the virus, the product may	23	200 vials,
23		be considered for release.	23 24	"Dorchester County - recovered 5 out of 25
25		"PPF batch AD1315 will also be held; the	2 <del>4</del> 25	vials,
20		107	25	400
		101		100 (27) Pages 105 - 108

"Salisbury - all 70 vials used. viral transmission of disease) was consulted and as ked 1 1 2 "Winchester - all 10 vials used, 2 to be supplied with a list of haemophilia centres 3 3 "Bournemouth - recovered 60 out of 60 vials, supplied with HL3186, in order to initiate follow-u 4 "Southampton - recovered 1 out of 60 vials, 4 studies on patients treated with the batch. Dr Cra ske 5 5 "Portsmouth - recovered 6 out of 50 vials, will be asked to provide BPL with a list of 6 6 "Newport, IOW - recovered 10 out of 10 vials. haemophiliacs identified as having received batch 7 "A total of 187 vials was recovered; the number 7 HL3186. 8 8 "4.4. Medicines Division appraised of the of patients involved was not reported." 9 "4. Follow-up actions. 9 situation (Dr K Fowler and also 'Defects Report' 10 section)." 10 "4.1. Dr Smith (Wessex) was asked to report any 11 plasma from this donor despatched to BPL (or PFL) 11 "5. Observations on the incident 12 within the last 5 years. Dr Smith was also asked t 12 "5.1. With an incubation period exceeding two 13 13 determine whether the donor had a history of years it is likely that a donor diagnosed as suffering 14 attendance at local special clinics for venereal 14 from AIDS will compromise more than one pool of pla sma 15 fractionated at BPL." 15 disease. (Dr Barnes subsequently confirmed that thi 16 was the case.)" 16 "5.2. In this particular instance, the last 17 17 "4.2. Dr Tedder (Middlesex) was consulted but (and most damaging) donation was received at BPL on 18 indicated that he did not wish at the moment to 18 6th April 1984, pooled for fractionation on 19 19 receive samples of plasma fractions since he did no 17th May 1984 and issued for clinical use on 20 20 feel test methods presently in use were appropriate 10th August 1984. This timetable is consistent wit 21 He did however ask to receive a sample from the mos 21 the five week period of quarantine presently 22 22 recent donation (September, 1984) and this was supportable for fresh frozen plasma and the 23 arranged with Dr Smith (Wessex)." 23 irreducible six to eight week delay from pooling 24 "4.3. Dr Craske (PHLS Manchester and Chairman 24 plasma to release of factor VIII concentrate for 25 of the Haemophilia Centre Directors' working party on 25 clinical use. 109 110 "Enforcement of a three month quarantine period 1 21 November 1982 and on that occasion the time-expired 1 2 would not in this instance have avoided the loss of 2 plasma was received at BPL but no product was issue 3 resource resulting from the plasma pool being 3 from BPL. 4 compromised by a single donation; it would will almost 4 The donor also gave blood on 14 February 1983. 5 certainly have avoided patient exposure to the product 5 That was a whole blood donation and no plasma was sent 6 however. 6 to BPL. 7 7 The donor gave blood on 27 March 1984. That was "Enforcement of a six month guarantine period 8 would have been prevented release of the batch for 8 collected in an international plasma pack, single unit 9 9 clinical use; it would also have allowed the donation pack. It was received at BPL on 6 April 1984. It was 10 10 to be excluded before pooling, thus avoiding a very subjected to the five-week quarantine period that was 11 expensive reject situation. 11 then in place and was fractionated a little after the 12 12 "This incident must be an extremely cogent end of that period, on 17 May 1984. It was issued for 13 argument for the establishment of cold storage 13 clinical use on 10 August 1984 with the code HL3186 14 facilities capable of supporting a six-month 14 The donor also donated on 25 November 1984. The 15 quarantine of fresh frozen plasma. 15 plasma from that donation was separated but it was 16 "5.3. The appearance of this donor at three 16 held at the Wessex Regional Transfusion Centre wher 17 different Centres within two years clearly underlines 17 the donation had taken place. 18 18 a fundamental problem when carrying out follow-up o The report about the donor's suspected diagnosis 19 donor incidents of this sort. Surely central 19 was made on 2 October 1984, by telephone from 20 co-ordination of donor records is unavoidable." 20 Dr Smith. Dr Smith of the Wessex Regional Transfus ion 21 21 That is the end of the report, and it is signed Centre was told of the implications that day, 22 by you as head of quality control. 22 2 October, and asked to recall all of his vials. 23 It is quite a lot to take in there so I'm just 23 including those issued for home therapy. 24 going to give a summary. This report concerns a do nor 24 As I understand it, that contact was made by you 25 25 who was later diagnosed with AIDS, who gave blood o on 2 October, because we see your initials next to the

111

(28) Pages 109 - 112

9

14

17

18

6

- note of the telephone call. Is that right? 1
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. Dr Napier of the Cardiff Regional Transfusion Centr
  - was not available that day, but Mr Booth was inform ed
- 5 the following day, 3 October, again by you; is that
- 6 correct?

4

- 7 A. Yes, I spoke to Tim on the following day.
- 8 Q. Mr Booth is listed there as "Sen.Ch.MLSO". Could you 9 assist with what that means?
- 10 **A.** Senior chief medical laboratory scientific officer.
- 11 Q. Thank you.
- 12 **A.** In Blood Transfusion Centre terms, a senior person.
- 13 Q. Do you know why Dr Napier was unavailable on the 2n
- 14 or why it was you weren't able to get in contact with
- 15 him?
- 16 A. I do not think he was in the centre at the time.
- 17 I don't remember now. But I had no qualms about
- 18 giving the information to Tim Booth.
- 19 Q. Was there anybody in the centre on 2nd October to whom
- 20 you could have given the information, so far as you
- 21 recall?
- 22 A. I really don't recall, it is a long time ago.
- 23 Q. Also a function of it being a long time ago is we must
- 24 remember back to the technology that was in place a
- 25 the time. You had telephone as a way of getting in

113

- 1 report at the time if that information had come in.
- 2 but I have no means of knowing now.
- 3 **Q.** Just to finish the summary, at section 4 there is
- 4 a list of the follow-up actions that were taken. Were
- 5 these presumably taken by a combination of BPL and by 6
- the reporting doctor as well?
- 7 A. Sorry, would you mind just flipping -- can we just
- 8 display that -- the previous page, with the actions
- 9 Q. It is contacting Dr Tedder and Dr Craske, the
- 10 Medicines Division?
- A. I know that Dr Craske followed up, and in fact I th ink 11
- 12 there is a separate letter relating -- there is,
- 13 I know, a separate letter relating to it on file.
- 14 Richard Tedder had the sample from Dr Smith at Wess ex,
- 15 performed the testing and confirmed positivity. An
- 16 obviously, my comment there, Barnes followed up on the
- 17 attendance at local VD clinics.
- 18 Q. I would like to ask you about the quarantine period 19 If we could go over to the next page, please.
- 20 From the report it appears that the donation
- 21 that was fractionated into HL3186 did go through th
- 22 appropriate quarantine period that was in place at
- 23 BPL, which was a five-week guarantine; is that right?
- 24 A. It did. If I can be pedantic, I used the word
- 25 "quarantine" there as the only word describing holding

115

- 1 contact. Letter as well. And presumably -- were
- 2 there any fax machines?
- 3 A. In '82 I don't believe we had a fax. We didn't hav
  - a fax machine in the control unit. I'm sure there was
- 5 a fax machine in Queensbury(?) Lodge, the
- 6 headquarters, but the -- it didn't seem to be untimely
- 7 to follow it up on the 3rd rather than the 2nd. If
- 8 I knew what precise times of day the calls were mad
  - it might even have been that I was running out of time
- 10 on the 2nd. I don't know.
- 11 Q. In terms of the recall, 400 vials were despatched t
- 12 Cardiff, 338 were recovered and it is recorded that
- 13 9 patients received the batch.
  - 485 vials were despatched to Wessex. 187 were
- 15 recovered. And as of 23 October, there was no report
- 16 of the number of patients involved.
  - Are you able to assist as to why it was possible to recover more from Cardiff than it was from Wesse x?
- 19 A. I'm sure it would have been rate of use of the
- 20 product, and the amount of product held in the centre
- 21 versus the amount of product out for home treatment
- 22 **Q.** Do you know whether it was ever possible to discove
- 23 the number of patients of the Wessex Regional
- 24 Transfusion Centre who were exposed to the product?
- 25 A. I don't know. I believe I would have updated the

- 1 plasma before use. If I'd -- later, we would have
- 2 defined that as -- no, I'm sorry, I have forgotten the
- 3 term now. Not "quarantine" but --
- 4 Q. Inventory hold?
- 5 A. Inventory hold. The difference being, quarantine i
  - when plasma is put on hold and it is not released
- 7 until there is a test result allowing it to be
- 8 released. With inventory hold, it is the time that
- 9 determines whether the plasma will be released or not.
- 10 So I was using the term "quarantine" then, but that
- 11 would have been better described "inventory hold".
- 12 Q. How had the five-week period of inventory hold at that
- 13 time been arrived at?
- 14 A. By what we could do. We were conscious that if we
- 15 could extend it, we would. But five weeks was
- 16 a reasonable period at that time, given the stock o
- 17 plasma and the rate of use of plasma.
- 18 Q. We saw from the video that we looked at yesterday that
- 19 the inventory hold period requires for plasma to be
- 20 kept in minus 40 degree temperatures, or at least very
- 21 cold temperatures, and we saw it is a process that
- 22 requires a fair degree of space, given the physical
- 23 size of the plasma that is provided to BPL. So whe
- 24 you say it was what we could do, is that a reflecti on
- 25 of the facilities that you had to hand as of 1984 a

9

10

11

12

13

1 BPL?

- 2 A. Yes, because it was a storage facility attached to
- 3 Building 25. It was -- we had to wait for Building 27
- 4 to be able to achieve the three-month quarantine
- 5 period. Six months would have been nice but
- 6 three months was certainly practical in Building 27
- 7 Q. The discussion that you include in your observation
- 8 about a three-month versus a six-month quarantine
- 9 period, is that with an eye to an ongoing debate at
- the time about how things were going to be arranged
- 11 once Building 27 was in operation?
- 12 A. Yes, I was putting markers down, yes.
- 13 **Q.** Your preference expressed there appears to be for14 a six-month quarantine period?
- 15 **A.** Oh, I didn't necessarily expect that my wishes woul
- be granted, but I certainly was pleased to have space
- for three-month quarantine.
- 18 Q. Was that something that had to await the commission ingof Building 27 sometime in 1987?
- 20 A. That is correct.
- Q. Did the five-week quarantine period remain in placeuntil that point?
- 23 A. Yes, though, again, memory eludes me, but I doubt i
- 24 that quarantine -- I hate using this term
- 25 "quarantine" -- whether that inventory hold would have

117

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. The six-month inventory hold period would have allowed
- 3 for the donation to be excluded before pooling. Does
- 4 that mean that it would have involved that individual
- 5 plasma pack being taken out and discarded but the rest
- 6 of the plasma parks with which it was subsequently
- 7 pooled would have been saved and could have been used
- 8 to create product?
- 9 A. Yes, because every individual donor was barcode wan ded
   10 into the plasma pool and could then be cross-checke
   11 against the donor list supplied from the centre.
- 12 **Q.** You say at paragraph 5.3, right at the bottom of that 13 page:

"The appearance of this donor at three different Centres within two years clearly underlines a fundamental problem when carrying out follow-up o donor incidents of this sort. Surely central co-ordination of donor records is unavoidable."

Could you just expand upon what you meant by

119

20 that?

14

15

16

17

18

19

- A. On the assumption that a donor presents for blood
   donation twice a year, then the idea that a blood
   donor would go to three different transfusion centres
   or donation halls in two years -- maybe what I should
- 25 have done also is to extend that by challenging

1 been done at minus 40. It would have been done in the

- 2 cold room that was accessible to us at -- or
- associated with Building 25, which memory says was
  a minus 30 not a minus 40 cold room.
- Q. Forgive me, it was my slipping back into "inventory"
  which led you to do the same. I will try to be mor
  disciplined.

The difference that you identify in the report between the three-month period and the six-month period for inventory hold is that with the three-month period, then, it wouldn't have avoided the loss of resource but it would have avoided patient exposure Am I right in understanding --

- 14 A. That is correct.
- Q. So the product would have been fractionated but it
   wouldn't have been used by patients; is that what you
   were getting at?
- 18 **A.** It probably wouldn't even have been released.
- 19 Q. And that's just a function of the period of time
- involved and the chronology that we have just been through?
- 22 A. That is correct.
- 23 Q. Because there wasn't going to be any testing done
- in 1984 because the test wasn't available to you at
- 25 BPL at that time?

118

- 1 whether or not there was coordination of the record
- 2 between three different centres, and I don't believ
- 3 that there was at that time. But that's something you
- would need to check with blood transfusion people athe time.
- 6 Q. Looking back, knowing what you do now, do you think
- 7 that that donor recall could have been handled more
- 8 effectively from the point when BPL were informed o
- 9 2 October 1984?
- 10 A. I don't -- I think the one thing you flagged up tha
- 11 left me trying to remember but failing to remember is
- 12 could I have followed up at Cardiff within the 24 hour
- period or within the -- instead of making it the
- 14 following day, could I have sent out a police
- 15 motorcycle rider to get the information? I'm not
- 16 convinced that it would have -- that I could have
- 17 improved much on that system. And no one reading the
- 18 report at the time or since, apart from yourself, h as
- 19 actually found deficiencies in it.
- Q. I should stress, I make no findings, I'm merely askingquestions.
- 22 A. Good probing questions though.
- 23 Q. As I understand it, this report was sent to the
- 24 Department of Health and Social Security. To the b est
- 25 of your memory is that correct?

120

(30) Pages 117 - 120

- A. Yes, it was sent to Keith Fowler, and perhaps the more
   predictable target was the Defective Medicines
   Reporting Centre, where all such reports would have
   gone.
  - Q. I'm just going to have a quick look at a couple of documents which followed on from this. Can we have CBLA0001997 on screen, please.

This is a letter that you wrote on 24 January to a number of doctors. We can see from the following page the doctors to whom it was sent, and we will come to that in a second. It's a letter which is entitled "Follow up of patients treated with Factor VIII bat ch HL3186", so concerning, obviously, the same batch that we've just been looking at. In it, you wrote:

"In October 1984, you were informed by Dr D.S Smith, director of Wessex RTC, of the need t recover and return to BPL all unused vials from batch HL3186, following confirmation of the inclusion, in the plasma pool from which the batch was manufactured, of plasma from a confirmed AIDS sufferer. With you assistance, this recall was completed promptly and effectively.

"As you know, the follow up of patients treated with batch HL3186 is being coordinated by Dr John Craske, PHLS, Manchester. I understand from Dr Craske

been crossed out, Dr Parry, Dr Chisholm, Dr Marbour
 Dr Alan Green at the Queen Alexander Hospital in
 Portsmouth, Dr McAndrews and Dr Aronstam. Copied t
 Dr Craske, Dr Lane, Dr Smith and Professor Bloom.

That letter appears, if I may put it this way, to be giving those doctors a bit of a kick to co-operate with Dr Craske in his follow up. Is tha (a) a fair summary, and (b) if it is, why was that kick necessary?

- A. Yes, it was meant to be a prompt. You could argue that I was exceeding my authority in the sense that I had no right to see patient names. With hindsight, what I possibly should have done would have been to push harder for the information -- for the patient names to be presented to Craske, but I couldn't see any other way of getting the information that Crask needed. And you asked me -- there was a second follow up --
- 19 Q. Why was it necessary? Why weren't the doctors doin20 it already?
- 21 A. They are busy people treating haemophiliac patients
  22 It was irritating but I felt that through -- by
  23 including Arthur Bloom in the copy list -- I mean, he
  24 is in two places, as a receiver of product but also as
  25 chairman of the Haemophilia Centre Directors -- I was

that he has received very poor response to requests for details of patients treated with this batch, an even less satisfactory response to requests for samples of patients' sera. I would urge you to giv Dr Craske your complete support in the identification of patients treated with this batch and in the clinical follow up outlined in Dr Craske's letter o 20 November 1984.

"BPL has no direct role in clinical follow up, but I am required to furnish a report on the effectiveness of the recall procedure and the exten of treatment with this compromised batch. To this end, I would be grateful if you would supply me wit a list of patients treated with batch HL3186 (with copy to Dr Craske if you have not already supplied this information to him)."

So that letter appears to be -- sorry, if we could just go over to the following page, please, t see the list of people to whom it was sent.

Professor Bloom -- was it sent to
Professor Bloom in his capacity as Chairman of the
Haemophilia Centre Directors, or was it sent to him as
a doctor of the University Hospital of Wales?

- 24 A. The latter.
- 25 Q. We also have Dr Gilliver, Dr Hamblin, whose name ha

- 1 hoping to get some push from there as well.
- 2 Q. Was it effective to the best of your knowledge?
- A. I don't believe so. I think Craske got a proportio
   of samples but not what he might reasonably have expected.
- Q. I'm going to take you to one response to you.
   CBLA0000010\_196. It is from 2 February 1985. It
   comes from Dr Green, from Portsmouth District
   Pathology Service at St Mary's Hospital in Portsmouth.
   He says this:

"Please address all further correspondence about haemophilia, factor VIII, AIDS etc, to me as I am t he Director of the Haemophilia Centre for the Portsmouth District.

"I object to the tone of your letter. I intend to follow up the patients affected by the transfusi on of HL3186 and I reserve the right to do this in my own time and in my own way. If you had taken the troub le to [e]nquire from Dr Craske you would know that he is in possession of samples from some of my patients a nd in due time he will be in receipt of samples from a ll of them. It has taken him 7 weeks to supply me wit the results of the tests he does and I only then go them by 'phoning him. Things I dare say will work out in their own time."

1	Are you aware of why	Dr Green took the stance	1		for Dr Snape and I'm conscious that the Core
2	and the tone that he did in th	at letter?	2		Participants and the representatives of the Core
3	A. No. It surprised me. I accep	t that as a physician he	3		Participants may be sending in questions as I speak
4	has clinical care of the patier	nts, not me, not	4		which I will consider over the break if I may.
5	John Craske. But no, I don't		5	SIR	R BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. Let's take a break then.
6	him so long. And I certainly	-	6		Do you want any longer a break because of that
7	how well he was in receipt of	•	7		or not?
8	that Dr Green had supplied a	·	8	MR	R HILL: Can I I will aim for half an hour and
9	Q. We know from your statemen		9		I hope
10			10	SIR	R BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Right. Well, what I will say is not
11	return unheated Factor IX. If		11	Oliv	before 4 o'clock. So not before 4 o'clock. It may be
12		13 page 132,	12		a bit longer.
		of the way in which	13		-
13	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				What happens now, Dr Snape, is that counsel has
14	•		14		virtually finished the questions he has, but of course
15			15		there are Core Participants who, through their lega
16		<del>-</del>	16		representatives, have the right to and do ask
17			17		questions through counsel of you and we have to giv
18			18		an opportunity for those questions to be formulated
19	·	•	19		and considered. So that's what will happen in the
20		so. I'm just tryin	20		next 30 minutes or so, but it won't be any shorter
21	to invent excuses for him.		21		than 4 o'clock.
22		sk you about those	22		So you have got until at least 4 o'clock, and if
23			23		you would be ready then, if there is a further dela
24			24		we will let you know.
25	I only have a couple of	of questions left myself	25	A.	Thank you, sir.
	125				126
1	(3.31 pm)		1		manufacturing terms when it was built in 1954 as
2		t break)	2		a civil defence project for the preparation of
3	(4.17 pm)	,	3		freeze-dried, large pool, UV irradiated plasma.
4	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes	, Mr Hill.	4		Manufacturing on site had been neglected and
5	MR HILL: Dr Snape, I have just	one small topic to ask you	5		under-resourced for a further 25 years and was
6	questions about. I'm conscio	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	6		under-supplied with plasma even for the presumed
7	you to the sections of your w		7		requirements of the late 1970s. From his arrival a
8	deal with viral inactivation an		8		BPL in April 1977, Dr Richard Lane saw what was
9	can be assured that the Cha		9		missing and set about planning the concept, design and
10	Participants have access to t	hat statement and will be	10		build of the B27 manufacturing facility. Dr Lane's
11	able to go through it and look		11		persistence in identifying and securing the plasma
12			12		needs of B27 to meet demands considered necessary a
13			13		the time for self-sufficiency and his determination to
14	• •		14		plan beyond that, for the future, were key. It is my
15			15		personal opinion that, without Richard Lane's
16			16		unstinting commitment of time, energy and enthusias m,
			17		B27 would never have been built and the product needs
17			18		•
18	- · ·	aragraph 332 or your			of England and Wales would forever have had to be met
19		at the common of afternoon	19		by commercial imports. It is deeply unfortunate that
20	· ·	at the very end of your	20		[I won't say why] [Dr Lane] is unable to presen
21	initial statement to the Inquir		21		his own views to the Inquiry; it falls to me to be
22		tribute to an individual	22		a poor substitute."
23		•	23		Does that remain your evidence?
24	made possible a new, state of	of the art BPL. The BPL	24	A.	Absolutely.
25	facility was already substand	11 1 2 2	25	Q.	That is

(32) Pages 125 - 128

predicting plasma demand, and I have been asked to A. And thank you. 1 1 2 Q. That is all I have for you but there are questions 2 draw your attention to this section of your witness 3 3 from the Core Participants that I will now take you statement. It is page 112. What you wrote is this 4 4 "To be trustworthy, self-sufficiency 5 5 As the chair said there will be a bit of leaping calculations would have required two parameters to be 6 6 around from one topic to another so please bear wit known with a reasonable degree of accuracy: 7 me and if you need a moment to be reorientated as t 7 "1) The amount of factor VIII required for 8 8 where you are then please do ask. treatment, as determined by: 9 9 "a. The number of haemophiliac patients to be I begin with this question, you talked in your 10 10 evidence about economic fractionation being like treated and the severity of their factor VIII 11 a milking stool and one of the -- the word "economic" 11 deficiency. 12 12 is one that I have been asked to pick up and ask yo "b. The treatment regimen to be followed: 13 13 about. Does that term "economic" refer to cost "i. Treatment in response to bleeding. 14 14 "ii. Home therapy (as it developed over time). savings or to maximising the scarce resource of plasma 15 15 or to both? "iii. Treatment by prophylaxis (as it developed A. For me the milking stool analogy was thinking about 16 over time) to formalise life as far as possible. 16 17 the effective use of the donor's gift of plasma. 17 "iv. Enhanced treatment in support of surgery." 18 The fact is that in a real world, if we didn't util ise 18 That is the first parameters which would be 19 19 it fully, down the three streams of clotting factors, required to be known with a reasonable degree of 20 20 albumin and immunoglobulin, then the process would not accuracy. 21 survive and we would not be serving the patients or 21 Second is the: 22 22 the donor. "The amount of factor VIII available for 23 Q. Sully, if we could have onscreen, please, WITN3431001. 23 treatment, as determined by. 24 A separate question. This relates to the 24 "a. The quantity and type of plasma available 25 difficulties that Dr Maycock faced in the 1970s in 25 for factor VIII production. 129 130 "b. The capacity/capability of the NBTS in E&W 1 Dr Maycock but by others who were seeking to estima te 1 2 to meet those demands. 2 demands in the 1970s and 80s as well? 3 "c. The capacity/capability of the (then) 3 A. Including so, including the Department of Health an 4 unlicensed facility at BPL, Elstree to meet those 4 Haemophilia Centre Directors and Transfusion Centre 5 demands (even with attention to its GMP limitations, 5 Directors. 6 PFL in Oxford could only ever operate as a development 6 Q. Separate question. You said it would have been 7 7 extremely difficult for BPL to have assisted the and GMP pilot scale facility). "d. The yield achievable with the manufacturing 8 8 transfusion service in creating a supply of 9 9 process, including any confounding effects such as: cryoprecipitate as an alternative to concentrates. 10 10 "i. Patient/physician demands for desirable Why did you say that? 11 product characteristics (presentation, storage 11 A. Because at the time in question, in 1983, attemptin 12 12 requirements, solability, specific activity, to -- I make no apologise for the term -- attemptin 13 13 convenience in use). to shoehorn a new process into an already overcrowded 14 "ii. The impact of any process modification(s) 14 and improperly constructed, inappropriate facility 15 required to take into account newly emerging risks, in 15 would have done more harm than good. 16 particular blood-borne infectious agents like 16 **Q.** Following on from that, are you able to summarise the 17 hepatitis viruses and HIV." 17 implications for BPL of a reversion to cryoprecipitate 18 18 So those are, as I read it, some of the in or around 1983/1984? 19 complications and variables that were involved in the 19 **A.** First of all, if BPL was to be involved, then, we 20 1970s and indeed the 1980s in estimating demand. 20 would have tried to assist the transfusion service in 21 21 Do you stand by that evidence? turning the clock back, reintroducing some of the 22 A. I do, though obviously Sir William Maycock wasn't 22 issues associated with cryoprecipitate, and helping 23 faced at the time with HIV, but hepatitis viruses were 23 them address those. They had moved on and they no 24 a challenge to us. 24 longer had that -- or they didn't necessarily have 25 And those challenges would have been faced not only by 25 that experience in house.

131

(33) Pages 129 - 132

## The Infected BloodInquiry

2

In terms of what we received from the transfusion service, we would have received less plasma, assuming that the plasma was used to make cryoprecipitate centres. We would simply have had to deal with that and we would have produced less Factor VIII. And we would have had to look at a different starting material, cryoprecipitate supernatant -- different cryoprecipitate supernatant, produced at 14 different centres, to be used to recover other coagulation factors like Factor IX an albumin and immunoglobulin.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

16

17

18

19

There were considerable difficulties involved.

- Q. Am I right in understanding your answer then to mea that it could have been done but it would have been a very major undertaking?
- A. It would have been a major undertaking. It wouldn'
   have produced for patients all of the product that
   they needed and in my opinion it wouldn't have
   produced them the kind of product that they needed.
- Q. Why do you say it wouldn't have produced the kind oproduct that they needed?
- A. Because cryoprecipitate had inherent problems in terms
   of cryoprecipitate produced as a single-donor product
   was not susceptible to quality control. My experience
   was that in order to give cryoprecipitate a dose

133

would make the amount of product available to patients greater.

The losses would be largely the process losses of working with smaller batches and the quality control losses involved in taking more product, mor vials for testing and making less product available to the patient.

- 8 Q. And is it right that there were certain blood products9 that had to be made through larger pools?
- A. Immunoglobulin, certainly. It was essential. The pharmacopoeial monograph for intramuscular immunoglobulin, which I was part of the committee constructing, specified a minimum pool size of 1,00 donations in order to have the right profile of antibodies present.
  - Q. Would it have been possible to have continued to make immunoglobulin according to those pool sizes whilst making Factor VIII and other coagulation factors in lesser pool sizes?
- 20 **A.** Yes. By pooling the supernatants from Factor VIII to make immunoglobulins, yes, they could have been don like that, and, similarly, we could have used time-expired plasma. But, no, let's stay with the supernatants; that's the -- that would have been th practical option.

1 calculated on the basis of one unit of cryo was

typically administered as two units of cryo to be sure

to get the amount of Factor VIII that the patient

4 needed.

5 **Q.** Are you aware of any meeting or series of meetings or discussion papers where BPL, representatives of the

discussion papers where BPL, representatives of the
 Regional Transfusion Centres, representatives of th

8 Haemophilia Centre Directors, representatives of th

9 DHSS gathered together to try to come up with or at

10 least to discuss the possibility of a coordinated

11 response to AIDS, such as going through the pros an

12 cons of a reversion to cryo?

- 13 A. If such a meeting occurred, I wasn't aware of it, and
  14 I wasn't present at it.
- 15 Q. If there had been a reversion to cryoprecipitate in
   16 1983/1984, that kind of period, do you think there
   17 would have been knock-on effects for the redevelopment
   18 of Building 27 at BPL?
- 19 A. Of course. We would have needed a different BPL, i20 we needed a BPL.
- Q. I have been asked to ask if you could summarise the
   benefits that there were in fractionating through
   larger pools rather than smaller pools.
- A. I will try. The benefits clearly are from 1kg of
   plasma, we would recover more Factor VIII, and that

134

- Q. Is it correct that commercial Factor VIII products
   were perceived to have advantages such as better
   solubility, higher potency in small volume, lower
   viscosity, which made for ease of administration fo
   home treatment and prophylaxis?
- A. It was perceived quite properly that that was the c ase
   before -- when we were still making Blomback fraction
   in the early '70s. Once we moved to the 8IB product,
   pre heat treatment, and to the 8CRV product, its

10 extension, and certainly once we moved to 8Y, the F HC

11 product, then I don't believe there was -- any

12 criticism could be levelled at the BPL product

compared with the available commercial products at the time.

15 Q. You mentioned the Blomback method there and the change16 to the Johnson method. Are you able to assist in a ny

17 more detail about when that change took place at BP L,

in terms of the year in which it took place. I think

19 in your statement you referred to 1974 to 1975 as the

20 point of change for PFL. Can you assist any furthe

as to when it happened at BPL?

- A. I can't give you an authoritative statement but
   I would have expected to be at the same time.
- Q. Do you know why that change didn't take place ateither BPL or PFL earlier than 1974 and 1975?

135

136 (34) Pages 133 - 136

A. At PFL we simply did not -- I did not have the 1 2 resource to investigate and establish the alternative 3 to the Johnson product any sooner than we did. 4 Effectively my work was capitalised on by Dr Smith 5 when he came to PFL in 1975.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Processes of that complexity don't happen overnight. You can't simply import the paper definition for a process and make it work quickly. The freeze dryer, for example, that we had at PFL was geared to freeze drying from bottles. It was not geared to freeze drying from vials. And I am sure the same was true at BPL.

13 **Q.** A further question about process but perhaps a little 14 later in time. The Inquiry heard from Dr Foster ab out 15 the continuous small volume mixing process that was 16 employed at the PFC.

> Firstly, were you aware of that process and what went into it?

Α. I wasn't intimately involved in reviewing it. I kn ow that my colleague David Wesley was. But I also kno that that process was targeted at albumin and worked, at least in PFC's hands, well for albumin. I'm not aware of any evidence that it was extended or scale up to bigger molecules like immunoglobulin molecule and Factor VIII molecules. But that's something I'

137

Q. In your evidence, you said that you gained the impression that physicians treating people with haemophilia considered that the use of factor concentrates was the lesser risk than non-A, non-B hepatitis transmission. You gave the impression that that was the view of their patients as well.

First of all, is it right to say that you never yourself undertook a kind of formal survey of patient views?

- 10 A. I did not undertake such a survey, no.
- Q. So your impression of the views of people with 11 12 haemophilia is one that is gained from seeing how the 13 products were used and talking to clinicians, rathe 14 than taking the views of the people with haemophili

15 themselves?

16 True, but not just the physicians at the Oxford Α. 17 Haemophilia Centre; also the other physicians who 18 I met at scientific meetings and at the Haemophilia 19

Centre Directors meetings.

- Q. You as a fractionator wouldn't have any knowledge o 20 21 what the patients were being told by their clinicians 22 about the balance of risk: is that fair?
- 23 A. That's fair.
- 24 Q. Also to do with the end users, as it were, of BPL's 25 product. The size of the pool was placed on the label

1 sure Dr Perry may help with.

2 Q. Do you know if there was any discussion at BPL abou 3 adopting a version of CVM -- CSVM in Building 25 or in 4 Building 27 when it was completed?

5 A. Taking Building 27 first, no, I don't believe there 6 was any discussion of the CSVM process being installed 7 in Building 27. I know that David Wesley visited PFC 8 and did an analysis of the CSVM process. His repor 9 was not favourable in terms of its appropriate -- a 10 being appropriate for BPL.

11 Q. In terms of Building 25 could it have been adapted 12 there and used there or is the building simply not appropriate for it? 13

14 A. It could have been adopted for albumin manufacture or 15 for the recovery of Fraction V, but our deficiencie in Building 25 weren't primarily associated with 16 17 albumin products. They were the challenge of 18 producing a product like Factor VIII in that facility.

19 **Q.** Turning to a different topic, which is to do with 20 knowledge of the views of people with haemophilia. 21 I think you said in your evidence while at Oxford you 22 had some interaction with haemophilia patients but 23 more with haemophilia clinicians. Is that a fair 24 summary?

25 A. Yes.

6

7

138

1 of BPL product, and you said in your evidence that you 2 were conscious that that label would be seen not just 3 by clinicians but also by patients. What was your 4 understanding of how much use that would be to the 5 patients themselves about the nature of the product?

SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I think that's really inviting a comment which is for me.

8 MR HILL: I will move on from that, then, sir.

9 Did you and your team at BPL ever consider doing 10 some form of market research to understand what 11 information patients would find useful in the patient 12 information leaflets or on the label of a bottle 13 itself?

14 A. I certainly didn't. I wouldn't have seen it as being 15 appropriate for me to be involved in that. I don't 16 know whether BPL product services, as it was called in 17 the early days, or the marketing department, as it 18 became in 1990, I don't know if they did, but 19 I certainly didn't.

20 Q. On the related question of pool sizes, did you or 21 colleagues at BPL feel any discomfort at the fact that 22 the decisions that BPL were making on the size of 23 a pool involved weighing the risk of greater pool 24 sizes against the risk of greater infection against

25 non-A, non-B hepatitis?

140

(35) Pages 137 - 140

6

- 1 A. I don't think so because by the time we were making 2 pools of greater than 100 or certainly 500 kilos of 3 plasma, I personally was not convinced that increasing 4 further necessarily increased risk to patients.
- 5 Q. On a related theme, given what you have just said 6 about the level of risk involved with fractionation of 7 that size, I have been asked to put to you that the 8 label on the bottle shouldn't have said that there was 9 a possibility that the product -- the label shouldn't 10 have said "cannot be assumed to be free of hepatiti 11 virus". It should have said something along the lines 12 of: the user should assume that the contents do 13 contain hepatitis virus. How would you respond to 14
- 15 A. I think all I could say is the phrase was never -- the 16 phraseology on our label was never criticised eithe 17 by physicians or the regulatory agencies or the 18 Department of Health, and it was a carefully 19 constructed phrase. I don't recall seeing anything 20 more aggressive, anything more explicit on any othe 21 concentrates made by other organisations.
- 22 Q. The Chair has the wording of those labels, and I will 23 leave other questions on that for submissions to him. 24 Moving on to a different topic. In relation to 25 the failure to provide research and development

141

MR HILL: Different topic. When you said vesterday that 1 2 some clinicians would have preferred BPL and PFL to 3 promote their services and products more actively, 4 what did you understand to be the aim of such 5 promotion?

6

7

8

9

- A. I guess the impression that some people received wa BPL didn't have the confidence to present its role and its products as positively as we should have done. I can't believe for a minute that those individuals 10 would have wanted BPL to take on a very active 11 marketing role because we were not selling our 12 products. Certainly not at that time. But perhaps 13 they saw it as being a deficiency in terms of not 14 presenting a positive front to the people we were 15 supplying our products to.
- 16 Q. Different topic. Your audits of Regional Transfusion 17 Centres. Was anyone at BPL responsible for going back 18 to a transfusion centre and checking to see if the 19 changes which you had suggested had been made? 20 A. It would have been exactly the same individuals doing 21 the follow-up and -- at the next visit. But there' 22 no reason why one has to physically audit. It's 23 possible to -- even then, to pick up a telephone an
- 24 find out what had been done. And we saw the result 25 in the context of shipments of plasma to BPL.

facilities at BPL, and virology testing and such -- so 1

- forth, you said today that Dr Lane's warnings in that
- 3 respect were not heeded. Who or which body was 4
- responsible for heeding such warnings?
- 5 A. I think it would have had to be upwards from
  - Richard Lane to CBLA and then via CBLA or NBA, whoe ver
- 7 was the managing authority at the time, to Department 8 of Health.
- 9 **SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF:** What about the period before CBLA? 10 Dr Lane took over in 1977 or '78. There was a peri od 11 of time before CBLA was formed in December 1982. S 12 who would have responded then, would you expect?
- 13 **A.** I believe that it would have to be the Department o 14 Health. North West Thames were a different -- play ed 15 a different role. If Dr Lane was going to convince or
- 16 try to convince anyone, it would have been through his 17 links to the Department of Health and to groups lik
- 18 ACVSB, the Advisory Committee on the [Viral] Safety of
- 19 Blood. Those would be the locations of power, in that 20 sense.
- 21 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I think probably the ACVSB didn't 22 exist at that time but there may have been other 23 committees which occupied a role which might have been 24 relevant, I can see that.
- 25 A. Yes.

there?

22

23

24

25

142

- Q. So there would have been an eve kept on whether or not 1 2 a particular Regional Transfusion Centre had 3 implemented the types of changes that you had 4 suggested?
- 5 A. And whether the quality of plasma had improved as 6 a result.
- 7 Q. Moving on to some of the processes within BPL, you referred yesterday to plasma compromise as being on 8 9 of the reasons to open up pallets of stored plasma 10 when the inventory hold was in place. What did you 11 mean by "plasma compromise" and can you give examples 12 of the type of compromise that you were talking about 13
- 14 A. Yes. It came up again earlier today when, on 15 a frequent basis, we received notification from 16 a transfusion centre of a donation of blood which had 17 yielded plasma that had been sent to BPL being 18 involved in post-transfusion -- post-cellular 19 transfusion infection in a patient. Then, it was 20 necessary for BPL to enter a pallet and recover the 21 specific donation of plasma associated with that

recorded infection. That system was core to our quality systems. We

had to be able to trace forward from the individual donation of plasma to its pooled end product and, i

144

(36) Pages 141 - 144

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

24

25

6

7

the event of an event with a BPL product, to trace back from the batch of product to the pool to the donation.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. I won't go to it now, but I said earlier that I wou Id flag up parts of your witness statement that deal with some of the processes that were in place, certainly in the later '80s, in this respect, and I just put it on the transcript that page 149 at paragraphs 96 and 9 and the references contained therein may be helpful to Core Participants and the Chair in that respect.

Single plasma packs or international plasma packs. I have been asked to ask why it wasn't possible to introduce those at BPL earlier than the were introduced, which I take to be in the very lat 1970s and the early 1980s.

A. The international plasma pack was the specific
 polyolefin pack that was designed for use with the
 tear-down machine going into the factory.

Single donation packs, one of the difficulties -- and I wouldn't know all of them because I wasn't involved at BPL at the time -- was that there wasn't just one single donation pack; there were a number. And because the 5-litre Vallet pack was serving us as well as it could, without going b ack to transfusion centres and saying, "Okay, we'd like

145

- through the private pharmaceutical manufacturing system?
- 3 When is probably the second half of 1981, when ther A. 4 was consideration to the appointment of 5 a manufacturing manager, in the case of 6 Gilbert Mallory, a head of quality control, me, and 7 an engineer, Ian Ling. Before that, I'm not convinced -- I didn't see any evidence that the 8 9 organisation was looking for people with manufacturing 10 experience. And before that, of course, we're talking
- about the Lister Institute, and that was not theirfocus.
- Q. Was recruitment of people from the private sector e asy
   given the salaries involved and, indeed, given the
   state of Building 25 at the time?
- A. No on both counts. We could not afford to recruit
  from the industry at NHS pay scales. I'll forbear
  talking about my own situation, but with engineers we
  were constrained to recruit on hospital engineers' pay
  scales, and hospital engineers didn't have the
  experience that we needed at BPL.
- 22 **Q.** Who imposed those constraints on the pay scales?
- 23 **A.** In the time of the Lister Institute, the
- Lister Institute imposed those scales subsequently
   between '78 and '82. Then it would have been through

you tomorrow to stop sending 5-litre packs but send us individual donors -- individual donations," that's the only way it could have happened.

I can't -- I don't have enough familiarity with what individual transfusion centre practices were o how difficult they would have found it to switch to SPPs.

At the time that I started at PFL, all of our plasma was coming from the Oxford Transfusion Centre, and blood was still collected in bottles at that time, not plastic bags.

- 12 Q. Is this also another example of how BPL could come up
   13 with ideas and could propose ideas and could seek t
   14 persuade Regional Transfusion Centres, but they
   15 couldn't direct them to switch to single plasma packs?
- 16 A. It would have needed a coordinated activity that
   17 included BPL and the transfusion centres. Without
   18 that coordination, no, it couldn't have.
- 19 Q. A question about the expertise of you and some of your
   20 colleagues at BPL. You had grown up through the State
   21 fractionation system, as had Dr Smith, save for the
   22 caveat that you gave, and to an extent Dr Lane as
   23 well, again with the caveat you gave.

What steps were taken, and when, to obtain experience and expertise from those who had grown u

146

- the Department of Health and their agents at NorthWest Thames Health Authority.
- Q. A couple of further questions on pool size and in
   particular the later decision to increase the maximum
   pool size from 10,000 donations to 25,000 donations.

What was the motivation for increasing the pool size in that way?

- A. To take advantage of the expected processing
   capability of Building 27 and, again, to achieve more
   vials of Factor VIII from a given quantity of plasm a.
- 11 **Q.** Heat treatment would have been in place by that sta ge.
- Did you consider that there was an appreciable
- increase in risk in expanding the pool size in that way?
- 15 A. By "you", I presume you mean BPL?
- 16 **Q**. Ye
- 17 A. No, we did not.
- 18 Q. Why didn't you think there was an appreciable increase19 in risk?
- 20 A. Because producing a 10,000 -- producing, say, a 20,000
- 21 donor pool in one week compared to two 10,000 donor
- 22 pools either the same week or the following week wa 23 exposing patients to the same very large donation
- exposing patients to the same very large donation risk.
- 25 Q. I have been asked to ask you whether you have a vie

148

(37) Pages 145 - 148

## The Infected BloodInquiry

- 1 on what the tipping point was, so to speak, between
- 2 a pool size that would provide some measure of
- 3 protection against non-A, non-B hepatitis and the pool 4 size that would not provide a measure of protection
- 5 against non-A, non-B hepatitis.
- 6 A. On the principle of 1 donation in 100 being infected,
- 7 assuming that that continued throughout the period of
- 8 time, I don't think it's possible -- well, I don't
- 9 think it's possible for me to make that judgment. I'm
- 10 not -- I wasn't an epidemiologist. I'm not
- 11 an epidemiologist, and I would have gone to an expert
- 12 for quidance.
- 13 Q. Discussions about AIDS. You referred in your evide nce
- 14 to discussing AIDS with colleagues, and obviously w
- 15 have seen the minutes of the meeting at BPL. Did you
- 16 also have such discussions with clinicians in the
- 17 1982/1983/1984 period?
- 18 Α. Clinicians in the UK, in terms of the Haemophilia
- 19 Centre Directors' meetings and clinicians that I me
- 20 at scientific meetings, yes, I did. And some of those
- 21 discussions would also have extended to individuals at
- 22 the Bureau of Biologics in the States and the Blood
- 23 Products Committee in the States. So, yes, I had
- 24 those discussions.
- 25 **Q.** Did you discuss the matter with Dr Rizza who you ha

149

- 1 and would have been collected by clerks, or my cler 2 in PFL, recovering the information without patient 3 name from the Haemophilia Centre.
  - At BPL, it would have been reports of post-transfusion infection, but they would not have been systematic.
- What do you mean by saying "they wouldn't have been 8 systematic"? There was no formal system in place?
- 9 No. If a batch or batches did not -- were not
- 10 recorded at a treatment centre with a record of
- 11 patient or patients becoming jaundiced, then we would
- 12 not have had negative reports from -- in respect of
- 13 batches. It would have been when there was something 14 to report.
- Q. Were you aware of what patients were being told about 15
- 16 the use of that information, that ultimately came from
- 17 them and from their condition?
- 18 A. No.

4

5

6

7

- 19 Q. And looking at it from the other end, were you awar 20 of what use Dr Zuckerman and Dr Craske put that
- 21 information to?
- 22 A. Only from the reports of the Hepatitis Working Part
- 23 to the Haemophilia Centre Directors' meetings, whic
- 24 Richard Lane would typically have attended or which
- 25 Dr Smith or I would also have attended.

- 1 a relationship with?
- 2 A. Indeed, yes.
- 3 Did the clinicians refer you to the number of their 4 patients who had been found to have HTLV-III
- antibodies? 5
- 6 A. I don't -- I would doubt that the discussions took
- 7 that line, but increasingly that data was available
- 8 from publication, so we would have discussed the 9
  - publications in which such reports were made.
- 10 **Q.** I have been asked to ask about a prefix for a batch,
- 11 the prefix being "HLA", that being a prefix given t one batch, and another batch being labelled "LA". Are
- 12 13 you able to explain the difference between those tw
- 14 batches? I'm afraid I don't have a document that
- 15 I can take you to.
- 16 **A.** No, not without some preparation. I do apologise.
- 17 Please, no apologies necessary. We will think abou
- 18 how we can take that forward in a different way, sir.
- 19 Hepatitis, and in particular the system for
- 20 monitoring hepatitis that you told us about, the card
- 21 system. How did the information come to you about the
- 22 possible association between batches and transmission
- 23 of hepatitis?

25

- 24 A. It would have come from -- at PFL, the information
  - would have come directly from the Haemophilia Centr

150

- Q. So, in effect, you would see the published work, as it 1
- 2 were, or at least the work disclosed to those
- 3 meetings, and that was the end result that you saw
- 4 but, other than that, you didn't know the use to which
- 5 they were putting that information?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 What was the reason for waiting until the fourth
- incident of hepatitis before contacting Dr Craske? 8
- 9 A. I can't -- there was no statistical reason. I mean,
- 10 there's nothing magic about the number four but, to
- 11 begin with, not every batch recorded even one flag.
- 12 Increasingly, I think, it became obvious that more
- 13 batches were being flagged, presumably reflecting
- 14 a higher non-A, non-B hepatitis incidence in the donor 15
- 16 **Q.** Were efforts made to recall a batch when four flags
- 17 were reached?
- 18 A. No. And I don't think it would have been practically
- 19 possible because by then -- I mean, bear in mind that
- 20 PFL batches were quite small. By then the batch would
- 21 have been exhausted in any case.
- 22 **Q.** Were any steps taken, perhaps after one or two flags,
- 23 to seek to restrict the use of that batch, for
- 24 example, saying, "Don't give it to patients who hav
- 25 not previously been exposed to factor concentrates"?

151

- A. Not that I can remember. But that's not to say that 1 2 information wasn't being exchanged between PFL and the 3 Oxford Haemophilia Centre on a discussion basis.
- 4 Q. On AIDS we've looked at the exercise that was 5 undertaken in respect of batch HL3186. Were other 6 efforts made by BPL to try to identify their own 7 products that had caused HIV infection, as in some 8 form of look-back exercise?
- 9 A. I don't know. I don't believe so.

20

21

22

23

24

25

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 10 Q. Yield of factor concentrates. You have mentioned that 11 as one of the complicating factors for Dr Maycock i 12 estimating demand. Was the yield of Factor VIII from 13 plasma that was made into cryoprecipitate consistent?
- 14 A. No. If you mean cryoprecipitate made at transfusio 15 centres, no, it was not. But physicians using 16 cryoprecipitate wouldn't have known that, because 17 physicians using cryoprecipitate didn't typically have 18 access to assay facilities that would allow the 19 potency to be determined.

I worked quite closely with the Manchester Transfusion Centre, with Richard Wensley, in a working party studying cryoprecipitate, and it was clear from that working party, which is one of the papers that referenced in my witness statement -- and there was significant variability in cryos. That was one of the

153

1 one donation to another. When you pooled plasma to 2 make Factor VIII concentrate, you make a pool, you 3 fractionate that pool, and what you're able to do i 4 undertake testing on representative samples of all of 5 the vials recovered from the pool, and then you can 6 give a reliable statement about the yield. For any 7 individual cryo, you could never do that.

Also on yield, you've said that there was a difference of opinion about the yield achieved in Scotland compared to the yield achieved in England.

First of all, was that just a difference of opinion, or was there a different yield that was achieved at PFC to BPL, and if there was, what was the cause of that difference?

15 Sometimes the difference was a matter of statement. Α. 16 A statement that says -- that plans for a Factor VI II 17 yield of 70 per cent simply doesn't bear thinking 18 about. It won't happen. It will never happen.

> In terms of were there specific differences between PFC and BPL/PFL, yes, the most obvious one is the Factor VIII assay that we used. BPL/PFL used a two stage Factor VIII assay. PFC used a stage assay. And they were -- there's lots of evidence o differences according to whether use of a one stage or two stage assay. There were also differences

> > 155

reasons that Dr Gunson wanted that working party to be 1

assembled and to take place, so that we could provi de

3 guidance on how to improve the guality of 4 cryoprecipitates.

5 Q. Does it follow from your answer that a clinician 6

couldn't be sure how much specific activity there was 7 going to be in a unit of cryoprecipitate? Does it

8 also follow from that, that if you look back at the 9

plasma that you have with which to work in the firs

10 place, you cannot be sure of the type of yield that

11 you are going to get from that block of plasma?

12 **A.** Are you talking -- throughout all of that, are you 13 referring to the manufacture of cryoprecipitate?

14 Q. Yes, I am.

A. The -- I'm sorry, my head became full of cotton woo I. 15

16

17 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I think you are being asked, are 18 you, about single donor cryoprecipitate?

MR HILL: Yes. 19

25

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

individuals.

20 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So the case of one single donor is 21 what you are considering?

22 A. Working with single-donor cryos, the variability in --23 the variability in Factor VIII units that could be

24 recovered from it would vary -- did vary enormously

from one centre to another and within a centre from

154

1 according to the standard, the reference preparatio 2 that was used. But the difference between BPL/PFL and 3 PFC, I'm sorry, I would have to say that much of that 4 was down to exaggeration of yield, or exaggeration of 5 yield claim at a certain time by particular

7 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Can I just ask you about that, the 8 answer you gave in respect of the reference standard.

> The international unit presumably has an origin rather like the yard in measurement in the UK or th original pint, whenever that was identified, in som central, as it were, library of the units, and then you can copy that and send around the reference standard. That's my mental picture of it. Is it the same standard in that sort of way, or is it different?

16 It's -- the principle is the same. So every 17 international unit that was used was a concentrate. 18 BPL provided -- or PFL, rather, provided many batch es 19 of concentrate to NIBSC for them to make their 20 international standard. The international standard 21 would then be released in very small quantity from 22 NIBSC, from a WHO laboratory, to a manufacturer who 23 would prepare their working standard, and the working

24 standard hopefully was also a concentrate that was 25 representative of the company's products, so had th

156

(39) Pages 153 - 156

same characteristic.

It was -- and that was fine when it was possible to do it like that, but when organisations tried to compare concentrates with plasma standards, which i what was the initial situation in the late '60s, early '70s, then that comparison of a concentrate test sample with a plasma reference preparation is much less reliable.

And the differences were not trivial. They could be 20 or 30 per cent differences. And the introduction of new reference preparations on more than one occasion resulted in organisations suddenl seeing the yield measured in-house either rising by 20 per cent or, occasionally, falling by 20 per cent. And I can very clearly remember embarrassing discussions with my chief executive as to why suddenly, because of something happening in an assay, our output of Factor VIII appeared to have dropped by 15 per cent. And it wasn't real at all; it was the same process making the same product. It was just the way the assay was seeing it.

22 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Thank you.

**MR HILL:** Final topic from me. You used the phrase "batch size" rather than "pool size". Could you just explain the difference between those two terms.

Then you describe those. I will come back to that description in a moment.

What or who was putting you under pressure?
Remotely, of course, the pressure was from patient use and physician demand. But locally the pressure was in terms of a commitment to produce and to increase th amount of Factor VIII that we could obtain from the plasma supply available to us.

There was -- Mr Hill's referred to it certainly yesterday and, I think, today -- there was a cap imposed on that by the Medicines Inspectorate after the 1979 inspection. Quite properly imposed. Whic we then respected until improvements of the facility -- or rather improvements in some local equipment became available under the Stop-Gap programme.

SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Now these are then pressures in terms of quantity of product. How does that compar with any pressures that there were on you -- "you" meaning BPL or PFL, depending on the period -- in respect of the quality, particularly the safety of the product?

A. That would always be a balance in the manufacturing
 process, especially for a manufacturing process
 designed to produce products for intravenous

A. For me the batch size is what, as a fractionator,
I begin my process with. It is what goes into a tank.
It reflects the characteristics of the equipment that
I'm using and the plasma that's available to me.

The pool -- the donor pool size, for me, only had relevance insofar as we had, at any one time, a commitment in our process description lodged with the agency of what was our limiting pool size. And that was what was reflected on the label.

**MR HILL:** Those are all the questions that I have for you Dr Snape. I turn to the chair.

Questions from SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF
SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes, well I have got four questions for you.

Can I begin by asking Sully, if you would, to go to the witness statement, WITN3431001, and go to page 34.

It is paragraph 94. And it is the last sentence that you have there. The last sentence leads on, a it happens, to the next question I'm going to ask you about. But you say:

"The practical reality though, is that we were under pressure to produce as much factor VIII as possible, from a limited supply of plasma and in deficient facilities ..."

injection. So at the same time as making the process more efficient in producing more Factor VIII, at th time that's referenced in that particular sentence, what we were also trying to do is to compensate for the inadequate facilities within which manufacturin was taking place.

So, the balance between process throughput and quality was always real. And it was certainly one of the roles of the qualified person to make sure that people didn't forget that balance, and that things were kept -- were really kept properly in balance.

arises from -- hinted at in a theme you have come b ack to a number of times in your evidence, which is the inadequacy of the facilities at BPL throughout the 1970s, as you understand it, and into the early '80 s.

And if we can just -- please can you leave it up, Sully. Thank you.

If we see there:

"... the facilities of BPL ..."

"Deficient facilities" you say:

"... the facilities of BPL were built in the mid-1950s, with little subsequent improvement, and few concessions to the developing concepts of [good manufacturing practice] ..."

(40) Pages 157 - 160

1	That's dealing, I think, largely with the	1	"An extension to the building in 1962 did little
2	structure of the building.	2	more than relieve immediate pressure by creating a new
3	" see [paragraphs] 235 [and following],	3	bacteriology laboratory, and moving small pool plasma
4	'Limitations of the old facility Building 25 (B25) at	4	processing into the vacated space. A further
5	Elstree'."	5	extension in 1972 made space for immunoglobulin
6	Sully, can we go to that, 235, which you will	6	production and allowed freeze-dried small pool
7	find at page 81.	7	plasma to be replaced by very limited (inadequate)
8	You start by saying that the description:	8	space for albumin and factor VIII production."
9	"Limitations of the old facilities at Elstree,	9	You go on, really, to describe how it was almost
0	including (Building 25	10	inevitable that the Medicines Inspectorate had the
1	"The title does not really do full justice	11	visit that they did in 1979, and condemned the
12	to the issues involved"	12	building, or condemned the use of it. If it had be en
13	Do I take it from that that you feel quite	13	a pharmaceutical facility, it might not have survived.
4	strongly about the inadequacy of what BPL was dealt or	14	Do you think that perhaps far too long or too
15	had to deal with in the early 1970s and following?	15	long let's start with too long was taken to
16	A. Yes.	16	renovate or replace the facilities for the purpose
17	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And you say at 236:	17	that it was going to be used in the 1970s?
18	"The basic infrastructure of BPL, inherited from	18	A. I think too long was taken, first of all, deciding
19	the Lister Institute, was more appropriate to	19	that it was not possible to renovate the facility and
20	a laboratory engaged in research and relatively small	20	that the only solution was a new facility. If we had
21	scale production."	21	tried if the solution had imposed been impose
	You say how it was built really postwar as	22	upon us that we had to keep making do and mending i
22 23	a civil defence project for, really, something whic	23	the old BPL, we it would have been inevitable that,
24	it was not now being used for. And little planning	24 25	at some point I, for example, as the QP, once
25	for fractionation process.	25	I moved to BPL might have found myself in the position
	161		162
1	of having to say: this is too much. This is too far.	1	situation in which having experienced concentrate a
2	With the decision to build a new facility, then,	2	a way of treating haemophilia, nothing else was going
3	it was possible to see into the future to a buildin	3	to be enough. Cryoprecipitate wouldn't be enough.
4	that could deliver safe plasma products I won't	4	The products from BPL were too we were not
5	just say Factor VIII, but safe plasma products i	5	producing enough. And in order to stop that event, we
6	the quantity that patients deserved, but it wouldn'	6	would have had to be beginning the commissioning of
7	have happened in Building 25.	7	a new BPL facility probably by '78, by 1978 at the
8	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So you suggest it took too long.	8	latest, in order to have that facility producing safe,
9	I have been told in this Inquiry that in 1967,	9	effective product in adequate quantity by 1982.
10	Dr Rosemary Biggs was issuing, in effect, what migh	10	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: The difference between Factor VIII
11	be described as a clarion call for the increased an	11	which we have been talking about, treating haemophilia
12	improved production of Factor VIII concentrates or	12	A and Factor IX treating haemophilia B could be see
13		13	in this way, and I just want to ask you about it. It
	treatments, looking into the future and seeing that there would need to be manufactured and for the	14	
14		15	is the same topic. Because the supplies of Factor IX
5	investment necessary to do it.		produced by BPL and then at PFL were such that very
16	Is it your view that that call should have been	16 17	little commercial concentrate was used until there was
17	answered sooner rather than when it was?	17	a problem over heat treatment; is that right?
8	A. It is. Partly because prolonging the use of	18	A. Yes, that's correct.
19	Building 25 was inappropriate and potentially	19	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: If that had been the case with
20	certainly put output at risk but potentially put	20	Factor VIII supplies, would you have seen any reaso
21	patients at risk. But also because by delaying the	21	why clinicians given sufficient supply would have
22	decision to specify and build a new facility, we	22	chosen to use commercial products?
23	created a situation where importation of commercial	23	A. No. The reason, of course, with Factor IX is there
24	Factor VIII concentrates was the only resort available	24	were fewer Christmas disease patients, Factor IX
25	to be monhilia treaters, and that then created the	25	deficient natients, and the demand for Factor IX wa

(41) Pages 161 - 164

164

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

25

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 lower. We were able to meet that demand initially 2 from PFL, as small as it was, and then from the joi nt 3 efforts of PFL and BPL.

**SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF:** Yes. Thank you very much.

Third topic. In the early '90s I think, am I right in thinking that BPL operated a shift syste in terms of their production?

8 A.

4

5

6

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

9 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And was that a two-shift or 10 a three-shift?

A. It was both. In different departments. Negotiatin that shift operation was challenging, initially in terms of interactions with the union and then in terms of making sure that we could provide the facilities that operators needed overnight, to have food -- ho food, hot drink. We're not talking about people working in an office here, we're talking about people working in cold rooms and working in extremely uncomfortable situations. So, yes, we needed -- we operated a shift system and it was managed carefully, but it took a while to establish.

If I could make a comment there though, sir. Building 27, the new facility, was designed with multi-shift operation in mind. If someone had said to me, "Oh, you can put three times as much product

165

SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Which in turn lead to an impossibility or a great difficulty in introducing a revised process which might in itself produce mor product.

A. And a process that at the time in -- when this was being discussed in 1978/79, the process that we wer going to want to use it for hadn't even been conceived. It was somewhere down the road.

SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: The last point I want to ask you about is minor by comparison, but it's -- the topic is dealing with identifying the batch which may have gone wrong, might be a rogue batch, might be infected.

In the videos which we saw at the beginning of your evidence, it was plain that a barcode was read for each box that came in, and each individual plasma donation had a barcode on it.

When the video itself showed the finished product and described how everything was logged, there is a sight -- the only thing I could see was the sight of someone's hand writing in a ledger. Now, what I have to ask you arising out of that is: was the finished product also barcoded?

23 A. The batch number was recoverable as -- by barcode, 24 but -- I'm trying to picture what you saw in terms of 25 something being written by hand, and I can't think

through Building 25 just by operating shifts", I would 2 have laughed -- no, I would have cried! -- because what -- the single biggest problem with moving from one shift to two shifts to three shifts is the impact of putting more people into a room served with the heating and ventilation, filtered air, that's designed for a smaller number of people.

> In my opinion, had the attempt been made to -for example at PFC, to introduce two or three-shift finishing activities in the clean rooms, fulfilling Factor VIII or Factor IX or albumin, that would hav failed miserably because the environmental control tests on the clean rooms would very quickly have disclosed that the system was over-challenged by particles and microorganisms shed by the number of people. And the system never having 18 hours to rest in between working periods.

18 **SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF:** So, the answer, so far as BPL at 19 Elstree is concerned, is, as much as you might have 20 wanted to do it in order to increase production had 21 that been something you wanted to do to meet the 22 pressures that you have described upon you, you could 23 not have done it. So we come back, do we, to the 24 inadequacies of the facilities in Building 25?

A. Yes, exactly.

166

1 what that was.

2 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I can't help you because I haven't 3 replayed the video to check, but it's my clear memory 4 of it, and it really just gives rise to -- it's the 5 introduction to a question which was: how were the 6 individual products recorded? Was it one system? Was 7 it more than one system? I can understand how it 8 might have been written in a book and barcoded, but 9 you can tell me.

10 **A.** The individual vials within a batch were not 11 recognisable by barcode, but the batch number was 12 identifiable by barcode, so it was possible to trac 13 back electronically from the batch number to the 14 plasma -- individual plasma donations that went int 15 that batch.

> What you saw in the video when the boxes arrived and the technician was wanding the barcode on the outside of those cardboard boxes, that was an identifier of the box of packs. But within that pack -- within that box were individual donations which were also barcode identified and related to the shipment list from the transfusion centre. So it was possible to electronically go from the batch number to every individual donation in that batch by barcode, and if a donation report came in after the batch ha

> > 168

(42) Pages 165 - 168

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 been pooled for manufacture, at that point, what we 2 have is an index of a batch that's potentially 3 compromised, but we can't do anything other than 4 investigate the nature and severity of the problem and 5 potentially interdict that particular batch. 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: In terms of the individual patient receiving a bottle which doesn't have a barcode on it, they will have to trace that back through their own supplier, the Haemophilia Centre or maybe the hospital, and the hospital or Haemophilia Centre will have to attribute that donation to a particular batch and back, then, to you, and then you can trace it back.

Now, that's looking from the patient end back to where it all started and where there may have been an infected donation in the first place, or more than

Α. Sadly -- certainly at the time that I had direct involvement, we did not have the equivalent of the German blood law which requires vein to vein recording of data. Everything depended, and, in my experience, fell down, on those many occasions I had to investigate it, when you got to the clinic and discovered that the treatment administered -- that the batch number wasn't necessarily available to you.

169

treatment of patients with single donor cellular products. They can be traced and the follow-up is relatively simple. But for a haemophiliac who is receiving multiple batches of different products over a period of time, it would be quite difficult, and demonstrably difficult, to identify which product, which batch might have been responsible for the adverse event that occurred.

SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Now of course I have been asking you questions really from the -- starting at the patien t's end, with symptoms or an infection and working back to where it might have come from. But it might be muc easier in a way to trace who might be infected by going in the other direction, from identifying when somebody who has given a donation to a pool has actually come down with an infection or shown signs of being infected.

You would rely, I suppose, upon there being reports from a donor's clinician coming through the National Blood Transfusion Service, of which you weren't part, to BPL or PFL to tell you that this had happened, would you?

23 A. Yes. And HL3186 was a classic example of what happens 24 when such a report comes in a significant time afte 25 the batch has been processed, released and used to

171

SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes, I wondered if that might be the 1 2 case, and you have just confirmed it. Thank you fo 3 that.

> The next question, really, it is the same topic, the question of identifying when there has been a product failure. A lot of the evidence which we have heard in the Inquiry has described how patient with severe haemophilia A had quite a lot of different products. Rarely were they supplied with -- just with NHS, rarely perhaps with just one commercial product.

> In those cases, it might be quite difficult, I suppose, to trace back the presence of an infection, particularly if the infection happens to be a virus such as non-A, non-B, which wasn't identifiable as a virus by genetic testing until 1989 and thereafter. You would have to rely upon clinicians reporting back. And you spoke in answer to Mr Hill describing how the clinician may send a report of someone who has got jaundiced.

In the case of someone who is regularly receiving the concentrate and jaundice which may take three or four months to develop, were there difficulties in getting any look-back, as you would

A. Much more difficult than look-back in the case of

170

1 treat patients. 2

You are starting, there, from an individual donation which we know went into HL3186, and that batch then went to two transfusion centres, and was dispatched from there to a number of treatment centres, including Lord Mayor Treloar at Alton, and that -- that follow-up then -- that's why it was so important to get the feedback from the treating physicians about which patients and to make sure that samples were made available to John Craske for follow-up.

12 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: How often was it that you got 13 a report from the Blood Transfusion Service, the 14 Regional Transfusion Director, who himself or herse If 15 would have had a report in from the treating clinician 16 of hepatitis non-A, non-B?

17 A. Probably one or two a month but usually in time for us 18 to recover the plasma donation from the stock in ou 19 cauldron.

> Next worse case, to identify a batch that we'd made from a donation.

Ultimate worse case, to identify a batch that had been released and therefore had to be considere for recall.

SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So far as non-A, non-B is concerned

172

(43) Pages 169 - 172

## The Infected BloodInquiry

1	that was chronic, of which there had been very few	1	counsel to the Inquiry and, I felt, with fair and	
2	symptoms during the first six months, so nothing mu ch	2	proper focus on two key players for BPL,	
3	in the acute phase to see, did you ever have any	3	Dr Richard Lane and Dr Jim Smith.	
4	reports of that?	4	Now I know that my evidence is the only oral	
5	A. Don't forget that the incidents that I'm talking ab out	5	testimony from BPL/PFL. If I had to summarise what we	
6	are where plasma has been supplied to BPL but the	6	achieved in just a few words, it would be too little,	
7	cellular components from that same donation had bee	7	too late.	
8	used to treat a sick person in hospital, and they	8	I know that BPL/PFL staff worked tirelessly,	
9	would be follow up would be much more effective in	9	achieved a great deal, but that influences external to	
10	that situation and, therefore, look-back much easie	10	BPL/PFL stopped us doing more and doing it sooner.	
11	to perform.	11	And for that I'm profoundly sorry.	
12	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Thank you very much. That's all	12	Thank you.	
13	that I have to ask.	13	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Thank you.	
14	MR HILL: Dr Snape, as with all of our witnesses we turn	14	I want to thank you in particular, I'm very	
15	to you now and ask if there's anything else that yo	15	sorry that we have taken so much of your domestic time	
16	would like to say at this Inquiry?	16	away from you. I hope it hasn't been too much of	
17	A. If I may, please, and it won't take long, but I fee	17	an inconvenience. But it is obvious from the numbe	
18	it is important that I say it.	18	of questions that Mr Hill has asked you from the	
19	It wouldn't have been possible to watch most of	19	Core Participants, and I have to confess my own tim	
20	the Inquiry interviews with the infected and affect ed,	20	that I have taken of yours to answer my questions,	
21	as I did, without being moved, often to tears. Whe	21	that you have evidence of great value to most of those	
22	I was preparing my witness statement, I tried to fo cus	22	who are concerned with this Inquiry and it is obvious	
23	on what BPL/PFL did from 1970 onwards and what we	23	why that should be. As you've said yourself, you are	
24	achieved during that time and what stopped us doing	24	the live witness that we have giving live evidence	
25	more. Much of that was summarised two weeks ago by	25	about BPL and PFL.	
	173		174	
1	So, I want to thank you for that but I want to	1	INDEX	
2	thank you for more than that. It is quite obvious, if	2	DR TERENCE JOSEPH SNAPE (continued)	1
3	I may say so, that you have gone out of your way to	3	Questions by MR HILL	1
4	try to help in all aspects, not just those that	4	Questions from SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF	158
5	concerned you as quality control manager for much o	5		
6	the time, but more generally, insofar as you could,	6		
7	involving your own research and going back to	7		
8	documents and always with the intention, as I see it,	8		
9	of attempting to help, to answer the questions	9		
10	properly and fairly, and I want to thank you very much	10		
11	for that. Thank you.	11		
12	A. Thank you, sir.	12		
13	MR HILL: Tomorrow we have Dr Perry, sir.	13		
14	<b>SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF:</b> Yes. Dr Perry tomorrow at 10.00.	14		
15	(5.54 pm)	15		
16	(Adjourned until 10.00 am on Thursday, 31 March 202 2)	16		
17		17		
18		18		
19		19		
20		20		
21		21		
		00		
22		22		
22 23		23		
22 23 24		23 24		
22	175	23	176 (AA) Pages 173 a	

		40E [4] 109/00	126/10 126/25	4000 F21 07/7 00/40	100/24 117/2 110/2
		<b>105 [1]</b> 108/22 <b>109 [2]</b> 56/3 56/12	136/19 136/25 1975 [11] 24/25 27/16	<b>1990 [3]</b> 27/7 28/10 140/18	108/24 117/3 118/3 138/3 138/11 138/16
MR HILL: [27] 1/12	- very [1] 91/4	10th August 1984 [1]	28/24 29/17 31/11	<b>1B [1]</b> 56/24	147/15 161/4 161/10
4/13 13/25 18/19 27/2	0	110/20	33/25 35/17 47/25	1kg [1] 134/24	163/7 163/19 166/1
39/25 40/8 42/10		11 [1] 4/24	136/19 136/25 137/5		166/24
47/16 48/20 56/1	001 [1] 34/25	11 months [1] 4/20	<b>1977 [5]</b> 35/18 103/10	2	25 January 1982 [1]
73/19 78/11 78/14	014 [1] 8/15	<b>11.32 [1]</b> 47/12	103/14 128/8 142/10	2 February 1985 [1]	50/4
80/11 80/19 91/19	<b>027 [1]</b> 17/15	<b>110 [1]</b> 127/18	<b>1978 [1]</b> 164/7	124/7	25 March 1987 [2]
125/22 126/8 127/5 140/8 143/1 154/19	1	<b>112 [1]</b> 130/3	<b>1978/79 [1]</b> 167/6	2 October [2] 112/22	5/11 5/16
157/23 158/10 173/14	1 patient [1] 108/17	<b>12 [1]</b> 24/11	<b>1979 [3]</b> 28/24 29/17	112/25	25 November 1984 [1]
175/13	1,000 [1] 135/13	12 months [1] 4/24	162/11	2 October 1984 [2]	112/14
SIR BRIAN	1,000 donations [2]	12 transmissions [1]	<b>1980 [11]</b> 29/2 29/8	112/19 120/9	<b>25 vials [1]</b> 13/8
LANGSTAFF: [71]	32/23 33/7	5/6	30/16 30/19 30/22	2 patients [1] 108/15	<b>25 years [1]</b> 128/5
1/4 1/6 1/9 4/9 13/14	<b>1,000-donor [1]</b> 32/9	12.00 [1] 47/11	35/19 36/14 38/20	<b>2,250 [1]</b> 35/18	<b>25,000 [6]</b> 18/2 22/1
13/24 18/15 18/17	1,200 kilos [1] 45/23	12.03 [1] 47/14	49/19 49/22 50/15	<b>2,500kg [6]</b> 43/12	45/10 45/11 51/16
18/21 20/18 20/23	<b>1,200kg [2]</b> 36/16	<b>12.10.84 [1]</b> 105/5	1980s [10] 4/13 9/20	43/14 43/18 44/6 44/8 44/11	52/17
21/4 25/17 26/5 26/14	57/5	13 [2] 24/14 24/14	38/8 61/6 61/17 66/15	<b>2.1 [1]</b> 106/25	25,000 donations [3]
27/1 39/17 40/4 42/12	<b>1,500 [2]</b> 43/5 43/6	<b>13,500 [1]</b> 35/21 <b>1350 [1]</b> 36/7	67/6 100/19 131/20 145/15	<b>2.10 [2]</b> 80/13 80/14	44/21 46/8 148/5 <b>25.9.84 [1]</b> 104/19
43/7 44/4 44/14 45/1	<b>1,500kg [2]</b> 43/18	<b>14 [2]</b> 71/16 133/9	<b>1981 [9]</b> 4/21 6/13	<b>2.10.84 [2]</b> 105/2	<b>250 [3]</b> 13/6 25/2 25/4
46/4 46/17 47/5 47/10	44/13	14 cases [1] 92/16	6/17 6/20 7/7 35/19	107/1	250 kg [1] 13/1
47/15 48/17 54/11	1.09 pm [1] 80/15	14 February 1983 [1]	38/20 49/23 147/3	<b>2.14 pm [1]</b> 80/17	<b>250/300 [1]</b> 37/23
54/18 55/11 55/19	<b>1.1 [1]</b> 104/15	112/4	1982 [24] 4/25 16/4	<b>2.2 [1]</b> 107/12	<b>27 [15]</b> 39/16 40/14
55/24 73/17 78/9	<b>1.2 [1]</b> 105/11	<b>14,500 [1]</b> 35/22	16/9 16/10 35/20	<b>2.3 [1]</b> 107/19	54/1 54/6 96/22 117/3
78/12 80/12 80/18	<b>1.3 [1]</b> 106/1 <b>1.4 [1]</b> 106/15	<b>14.2.83 [1]</b> 106/16	36/15 40/14 42/19	<b>2.4 [1]</b> 108/4	117/6 117/11 117/19
91/13 126/5 126/10 127/4 140/6 142/9	1.5 [1] 106/18	<b>141 [1]</b> 1/15	45/8 50/4 50/7 50/16	<b>20 [5]</b> 13/7 66/12	134/18 138/4 138/5
142/21 154/17 154/20	<b>10 [5]</b> 5/6 40/10 109/2	<b>144 [1]</b> 3/19	56/6 56/9 56/11 57/3	157/10 157/13 157/14	138/7 148/9 165/23
156/7 157/22 158/13	109/6 109/6	<b>147 [1]</b> 100/13	59/13 64/23 69/25	20 June 1986 [3]	27 March 1984 [1]
159/17 160/12 161/17	10 August [1] 105/24	<b>149 [1]</b> 145/8	70/11 102/3 112/1	21/20 44/18 52/12	112/7
163/8 164/10 164/19	10 August 1984 [1]	<b>15 [1]</b> 157/19	142/11 164/9	20 November 1984 [1]	
165/4 165/9 166/18	112/13	15 August [1] 105/25	1982/1983/1984 [1]	122/8	49/19
167/1 167/9 168/2	10 June 1985 [2]	<b>150 [4]</b> 34/1 36/9	149/17	<b>20,000 [4]</b> 10/24 52/6 53/24 148/20	<b>27.3.84 [1]</b> 105/13
169/6 170/1 171/9	51/24 52/9	108/11 108/12	<b>1983 [14]</b> 29/4 30/25 70/24 72/8 79/20 82/7	20,000 donations [1]	29 January 1990 [1] 27/7
172/12 172/25 173/12	<b>10 kilos [1]</b> 30/11	<b>152 [1]</b> 125/11 <b>160 [1]</b> 9/7	82/9 91/25 94/19 97/1	53/15	2nd [3] 113/13 114/7
174/13 175/14	10 weeks [2] 54/23	<b>161 [2]</b> 9/3 10/13	97/6 99/3 112/4	<b>20/20 [1]</b> 66/12	114/10
1	55/9	17 May 1984 [1]	132/11	<b>200 vials [1]</b> 108/23	2nd October [1]
100 243 4 2 2 4	10,000 [19] 18/2 18/6	112/12		<b>200/250/300 [1]</b> 38/12	
'60s [1] 157/5	20/8 20/12 20/14	<b>176 [1]</b> 69/24	134/16	<b>200kg [3]</b> 29/1 29/17	
'70s [2] 136/8 157/6	35/21 44/21 45/10 45/12 46/10 51/9	17th May 1984 [1]	<b>1984 [21]</b> 41/11 64/10	30/1	3
<b>'78 [3]</b> 142/10 147/25	51/13 51/17 52/4 53/7	110/19		<b>2022 [2]</b> 1/1 175/16	3 November 1988 [1]
164/ <i>/</i>   <b>80s [3]</b> 3/16 145/7	67/19 148/5 148/20	18 April 1983 [1] 82/9	110/19 110/20 112/7	21 November 1982 [1]	102/18
160/16	148/21	<b>18 hours [1]</b> 166/16	112/9 112/12 112/13	112/1	3 October [1] 113/5
<b>81 [3]</b> 3/17 6/25 7/4	10,000 donations [1]	<b>187 [2]</b> 109/7 114/14	112/14 112/19 116/25	<b>21.11.82</b> [1] 106/2	<b>3,000 [3]</b> 35/18 36/16
<b>82 [5]</b> 3/17 6/25 45/22	46/8	18th April [1] 92/8	118/24 120/9 121/15	<b>214 [2]</b> 24/17 24/19	49/23
114/3 147/25	10,000-donation [1]	19 [1] 66/17	122/8 132/18 134/16	22 April 1983 [1]	3.1 [1] 108/9
<b>'83 [4]</b> 74/20 91/12	69/7	1908s [1] 100/21	149/17	91/25	<b>3.10.84 [2]</b> 107/8
91/13 91/14	<b>10.00 [3]</b> 1/2 175/14	1950s [1] 160/23	<b>1985 [13]</b> 35/20 35/20	<b>22 January 1975 [1]</b> 31/11	107/11
<b>85 [1]</b> 53/9	175/16	<b>1954 [1]</b> 128/1	51/9 51/11 51/24 52/3 52/9 57/4 62/22 64/2	<b>23 [2]</b> 92/2 114/15	3.2 [1] 108/20
<b>86 [1]</b> 45/13	100 [10] 11/14 11/16	<b>196 [1]</b>	64/24 67/16 124/7	23 March [1] 72/14	3.31 pm [1] 127/1
<b>88 [1]</b> 45/12	25/18 26/9 26/10	<b>1962</b> [1] 162/1 <b>1967</b> [2] 24/25 163/9	<b>1986 [8]</b> 17/16 21/20	23 October 1984 [1]	<b>30 [2]</b>
<b>'90s [1]</b> 165/5	26/15 26/16 125/12	<b>1970 [3]</b> 24/22 26/22	34/16 35/21 41/15	104/23	30 minutes [1] 126/20
'Defects [1] 110/9	141/2 149/6	173/23	44/18 51/12 52/12	<b>235 [2]</b> 161/3 161/6	30-something [1]
'HELD' [1] 107/13	100 litres [1] 25/2	1970s [16] 1/19 2/3	<b>1987 [5]</b> 5/11 5/16	<b>236 [1]</b> 161/17	35/4
'hold' [1] 101/6	<b>100-200kg [2]</b> 29/1	3/12 3/25 9/5 9/7	67/17 68/11 117/19	<b>24 hour [1]</b> 120/12	<b>300 [3]</b> 36/9 37/23
'Limitations [1] 161/4	29/17 <b>1000 [2]</b> 10/3 32/2	28/12 100/19 128/7	<b>1988 [9]</b> 34/19 35/21	<b>24 January [1]</b> 121/8	38/12
'not [2] 31/18 32/2	1000 [2] 10/3 32/2 100kg [1] 29/1	129/25 131/20 132/2	35/22 35/22 51/13	24 March 1983 [1]	<b>300-500kg [2]</b> 29/3
'phoning [1] 124/24	100kg/week [1] 87/15	145/15 160/16 161/15	51/14 102/18 102/22	72/8	29/3
'recall' [1] 101/6	<b>101 [2]</b> 108/12 125/12	162/17	103/17	<b>25 [21]</b> 38/10 38/14	<b>300kg [5]</b> 29/6 29/6
'small [1] 40/16 'wait [1] 92/22	<b>104 [3]</b> 39/12 39/19	<b>1972 [1]</b> 162/5	<b>1989 [3]</b> 35/23 47/25	50/12 52/3 79/22	29/15 30/25 31/1
wait[i] JZIZZ	40/3	<b>1974 [4]</b> 26/2 26/5	170/15	94/19 94/19 95/22	<b>31 [1]</b> 25/21
					//=> ===
					(45) MR HILL: - 31

	Т		<u> </u>		
3	6	A	accentuates [1] 93/23		agency [3] 61/15
31 March 2022 [1]	6 April 1984 [1] 112/9	ability [1] 86/1		addition [3] 69/10	61/20 158/8
175/16	6 pages [1] 82/10	able [26] 16/7 27/13	acceptable [1] 2/15	86/20 87/24	agent [3] 70/4 70/6
<b>325 [1]</b> 24/15	6 patients [1] 108/13	31/12 40/23 47/8	accepted [2] 9/8 60/2	additional [1] 49/10	70/23
<b>332 [1]</b> 127/18	<b>6,000 [6]</b> 35/19 36/17	48/18 49/4 62/23 67/3	access [3] 67/7	address [3] 95/6	agents [5] 14/18
<b>338 [1]</b> 108/18	45/24 50/8 51/11 56/9	70/10 74/11 85/14	127/10 153/18	124/11 132/23	67/21 68/15 131/16
338 were [1] 114/12	<b>6-12 months [1]</b> 99/7	88/6 91/5 91/7 96/13	accessible [1] 118/2	addressed [1] 80/7	148/1
<b>34 [1]</b> 158/17	<b>6.4.84 [1]</b> 105/14	113/14 114/17 117/4	according [4] 79/18	addressing [1] 76/3	aggressive [1] 141/20
<b>3429 [1]</b> 34/25	<b>60 [4]</b> 108/14 109/3	127/11 132/16 136/16	135/17 155/24 156/1	adequate [1] 164/9	agnosticism [2] 70/17
<b>35 [1]</b> 103/13	109/3 109/4	144/24 150/13 155/3	account [5] 20/7 68/4	<b>Adjourned [1]</b> 175/16	74/17
<b>35 years [1]</b> 67/10	600kg [1] 36/16	165/1	74/2 83/21 131/15	adjournment [1]	ago [9] 27/5 31/8 35/4
<b>350 [1]</b> 24/15	<b>63 [1]</b> 69/23	about [146] 2/8 2/14	accredited [2] 86/21	80/16	39/13 44/24 48/25
<b>36 [1]</b> 108/16	65 litres [1] 24/11	3/12 4/5 4/12 4/14	87/7	adjust [1] 79/8	113/22 113/23 173/25
<b>37 [2]</b> 39/12 40/3	<b>65-70 litres [1]</b> 26/24	4/20 6/8 6/24 6/25	accrued [1] 102/1	adjustments [1] 74/1	agree [6] 6/20 6/23
<b>39 [2]</b> 42/15 56/16	6th April 1984 [1]	7/13 8/12 11/14 13/19	accuracy [2] 130/6	administered [5]	8/16 8/17 20/20 80/24
3rd [1] 114/7	110/18	16/15 17/10 19/6 23/4	130/20	10/19 37/4 60/14	agreed [6] 21/25 22/8
		23/7 23/23 24/5 24/7	accurate [4] 27/23	134/2 169/24	22/12 31/17 37/18
4	7	24/11 24/20 25/3	46/24 64/2 91/10	administration [2]	52/16
4 o'clock [4] 126/11	7 weeks [1] 124/22	25/19 26/20 28/11	achievable [2] 57/15	72/16 136/4	agreement [2] 90/17
126/11 126/21 126/22	<b>7,000 [2]</b> 35/20 51/12	28/20 30/17 31/16	131/8	admissible [1] 81/6	90/18
<b>4,500 [2]</b> 35/19 49/24	<b>7,500 [8]</b> 43/17 45/8	34/19 37/5 38/9 40/4	achieve [6] 10/1	admits [1] 104/18	ahead [3] 41/6 73/20
<b>4.1 [1]</b> 109/10	50/5 56/5 56/6 62/25	41/16 42/11 42/17	12/24 30/1 39/2 117/4	admitted [1] 104/15	97/17
<b>4.10.84 [1]</b> 105/3	64/23 64/23	42/22 43/23 43/24	148/9	adopt [1] 92/22	AIDS [65] 64/5 64/9
<b>4.17 pm [1]</b> 127/3	7,500 donations [3]	44/17 47/5 47/17 49/7	achieved [8] 38/24	adopted [2] 59/13	65/9 65/15 66/10
<b>4.2 [2]</b> 34/20 109/17	43/3 44/16 56/11	50/11 51/12 51/17	86/19 155/9 155/10	138/14	66/16 66/19 66/23
<b>4.3 [1]</b> 109/24	<b>70 [3]</b> 25/19 109/1	53/1 57/18 57/20	155/13 173/24 174/6	adopting [1] 138/3	67/5 67/22 68/16
<b>4.4 [1]</b> 110/8	155/17	58/21 60/20 61/5	174/9	advance [1] 15/15	69/20 69/25 70/4 70/9
<b>40 [3]</b> 108/16 118/1	<b>70 litres [2]</b> 26/8	61/15 63/2 63/24	Acquired [2] 83/6	advanced [1] 41/8	70/17 70/22 72/3
118/4	26/20	64/13 64/14 65/7 66/3	92/3	advantage [2] 42/8	72/12 72/17 74/17
<b>400 [2]</b> 105/25 108/9	<b>750 [2]</b> 34/2 35/17	66/4 66/15 66/23 67/4	acronym [1] 35/5	148/8	74/23 75/1 80/9 81/1
400 vials [1] 114/11	7500 donations [1]	70/3 70/17 71/1 71/2	acronyms [1] 35/12	advantages [1] 136/2	81/14 81/25 83/8
<b>450 kilos [1]</b> 36/8	42/21	71/11 74/17 77/11	across [3] 19/23	advent [1] 10/13	83/10 83/12 83/13
<b>4791 [1]</b> 49/10	<b>76 [2]</b> 24/17 24/19	78/12 78/15 79/21	49/21 81/24	adverse [1] 171/8	83/19 84/4 84/25 85/7
<b>485 [2]</b> 105/24 108/20	<b>79 [1]</b> 167/6	80/2 80/22 81/1 81/4	actions [6] 101/5	advise [1] 17/23	85/18 85/21 85/24
485 vials [1] 114/14	7x5 [1] 3/4	81/7 81/8 81/12 81/14	101/13 106/23 109/9 115/4 115/8	advised [1] 83/7	86/1 87/3 87/6 88/8
		81/25 82/1 87/9 88/17		Advisory [1] 142/18	89/1 89/11 89/21
5	8	89/5 90/12 91/11	active [2] 92/16 143/10	advocate [1] 97/23 aetiological [1] 72/22	90/10 92/3 92/5 92/9 92/13 92/17 93/10
<b>5 per [1]</b> 30/17	<b>80s [1]</b> 132/2	93/11 93/22 95/11	actively [2] 98/3	aetiological [1] 72/22	93/22 94/3 99/2 99/15
5 per kilo [1] 30/17	<b>81 [1]</b> 161/7	95/19 97/18 99/14	143/3	affected [5] 9/25 92/9	104/11 110/14 111/25
<b>5 years [1]</b> 109/12	<b>86 [4]</b> 8/24 25/20	100/10 100/19 100/21	activities [3] 59/23	104/14 124/16 173/20	121/20 124/12 134/11
<b>5,000 [2]</b> 49/20 49/24	26/13 26/18	104/4 112/18 113/17	83/22 166/10	affecting [1] 38/12	149/13 149/14 153/4
<b>5-litre [5]</b> 24/12 26/25	8CRV [1] 136/9	115/18 117/8 117/10	activity [6] 59/19 63/8		AIDS situation [1]
79/10 145/23 146/1	8IB [1] 136/8	124/11 125/22 127/6	104/18 131/12 146/16	afforded [2] 9/11 10/8	99/2
<b>5.1 [1]</b> 110/12	<b>8Y [10]</b> 6/14 35/7	128/9 129/10 129/13	154/6	afraid [5] 31/12 32/7	aim [2] 126/8 143/4
<b>5.2 [1]</b> 110/16	35/10 40/20 41/13	129/16 136/17 137/13	actual [7] 30/4 47/18	44/2 52/10 150/14	air [1] 166/6
<b>5.3 [2]</b> 111/16 119/12	41/22 67/15 68/4 68/7	137/14 138/2 139/22	49/13 50/1 51/2 56/8	after [15] 11/19 20/5	Alan [2] 123/2 125/17
<b>5.54 pm [1]</b> 175/15	136/10	140/5 141/6 142/9	56/14	30/22 34/18 36/8	albumin [10] 10/11
<b>50 [6]</b> 25/1 25/18	<b>8Y 3429 [1]</b> 34/25	144/12 146/19 147/11	actually [11] 35/25	41/24 55/4 58/17	93/21 129/20 133/11
26/10 26/14 26/16	9	147/18 149/13 150/10	46/1 46/9 53/8 56/20	79/23 87/11 112/11	137/21 137/22 138/14
109/5		150/17 150/20 150/21	75/11 84/9 89/19 96/8	152/22 159/11 168/25	138/17 162/8 166/11
<b>50 litres [1]</b> 25/3	9 patients [2] 108/18	151/15 152/10 154/18	120/19 171/16	171/24	albumins [1] 79/6
<b>500 [5]</b> 11/17 25/2	114/13	155/6 155/9 155/18	acute [1] 173/3	afterwards [1] 48/11	alerted [1] 92/18
31/19 32/1 32/9	91 [2] 100/13 103/23	156/7 158/21 161/14	ACVSB [2] 142/18	again [12] 3/3 11/9	Alexander [1] 123/2
500 donations [1]	<b>92 [1]</b> 100/13	164/11 164/13 165/16	142/21	32/1 50/8 50/9 54/14	alike [2] 6/19 7/12
31/23	93 [1] 102/12	165/17 167/10 172/9	AD1305 [2] 106/7	102/8 113/5 117/23	Alison [3] 17/18 19/15
500 kilos [1] 141/2	<b>94 [1]</b> 158/18	173/5 174/25	107/19	144/14 146/23 148/9	19/19
500kg [2] 29/3 29/3	<b>96</b> [1] 145/8	above [4] 9/23 51/2	<b>AD1315 [2]</b> 106/9	against [7] 37/15	Alison Smithies [2]
<b>51 [1]</b> 108/14	97 [1] 145/8	53/8 63/23	107/25	107/17 119/11 140/24	19/15 19/19
52 [1] 1/14	<b>9A [3]</b> 40/20 41/13	absence [4] 9/18	adapted [1] 138/11	140/24 149/3 149/5	all [57] 5/14 6/20
<b>58 [1]</b> 9/2	41/22	57/21 72/9 101/20	add [2] 13/10 64/8	agencies [4] 14/15	10/15 11/5 13/9 14/18
		<b>Absolutely [1]</b> 128/24	added [1] 64/11	41/19 61/14 141/17	19/11 32/4 33/13
			•		(46) 31 March 2022 - all

A	am [31] 1/2 1/17 6/7	an expensive [1]	analogy [1] 129/16	111/16 119/14	70/10 71/1 72/15
	15/1 17/7 17/23 18/10	86/25	analysis [1] 138/8	appeared [2] 36/13	73/13 73/17 74/11
all [48] 35/13 36/10	30/12 32/17 34/14	an expert [1] 149/11	analytical [1] 108/3	157/18	78/5 81/8 83/3 84/13
40/21 45/13 45/17	46/12 46/23 47/12	an extension [1] 52/6	another [10] 42/4	appears [8] 30/7 36/1	86/14 86/15 88/18
46/11 46/22 54/3 54/5	59/2 68/24 78/7 78/20	an extensive [1] 87/7	76/22 84/18 97/23	64/23 93/8 115/20	89/5 89/7 90/9 91/1
59/12 63/15 64/1	82/11 91/20 94/13	an extent [1] 146/22	98/4 129/6 146/12	117/13 122/17 123/5	91/5 91/20 95/15
65/23 67/24 70/16					
82/11 83/2 91/18	97/16 98/8 118/13	an extra [1] 69/16	150/12 154/25 155/1	applicable [1] 82/2	95/15 98/11 98/17
91/19 94/19 107/4	122/10 124/12 127/13	an extremely [1]	answer [18] 15/7	application [2] 53/21	99/2 99/11 107/2
107/8 108/4 109/1	133/13 137/11 154/14	111/12	16/21 16/22 46/11	65/5	114/17 123/21 125/1
109/2 112/22 121/3	165/5 175/16	an eye [2] 117/9	50/22 64/6 66/13	applications [2] 52/8	126/15 129/2 129/8
	American [4] 72/21	144/1	80/23 87/3 87/25 97/7	53/18	131/18 132/16 134/5
121/17 124/11 124/21	74/12 74/24 75/15	an HL [2] 63/6 63/9	133/13 154/5 156/8	appointment [1]	134/24 136/16 150/12
125/22 129/2 132/19	amount [16] 10/18	an hour [1] 126/8	166/18 170/17 174/20	147/4	154/11 154/12 154/12
133/17 139/7 141/15	14/13 30/6 37/24	an identifier [1]	175/9	appraised [1] 110/8	154/17 154/17 154/21
145/20 146/8 154/12	38/13 50/1 50/20 57/7	168/19	answered [1] 163/17	appreciable [2]	158/10 159/17 172/2
155/4 155/11 157/19	57/12 114/20 114/21	an important [1]	answering [1] 100/21	148/12 148/18	173/6 174/22 174/23
158/10 162/18 169/15					
173/12 173/14 175/4	130/7 130/22 134/3	104/24	answers [3] 27/12	appreciate [3] 27/12	area [11] 39/14 39/20
allow [3] 51/3 73/6	135/1 159/7	an impossibility [1]	66/25 81/7	46/17 50/23	40/16 40/18 41/5 41/6
153/18	amounts [2] 9/24 93/4	167/2	antibodies [4] 10/1	approach [1] 98/10	41/11 85/13 88/9 91/4
allowed [6] 17/2 58/21	an accurate [1] 46/24	an incident [1] 104/1	10/9 135/15 150/5	appropriate [12] 4/11	100/7
	an additional [1]	an inconvenience [1]	anticipate [1] 53/19	22/2 52/18 53/21	areas [2] 94/2 95/15
66/9 111/9 119/2	49/10	174/17	anticipating [1] 53/25	108/6 109/20 115/22	aren't [1] 66/17
162/6	an agent [1] 70/23	an increase [4] 21/5	any [64] 7/13 8/22	138/9 138/10 138/13	argue [1] 123/10
allowing [2] 43/22	an AIDS [1] 65/9	29/8 35/16 46/8	14/6 18/5 21/13 22/4	140/15 161/19	argued [2] 9/7 107/14
116/7	an already [1] 132/13	an incubation [1]	23/11 28/12 31/13	appropriately [2]	argument [1] 111/13
allows [1] 58/7	an altered [1] 85/25	110/12	32/5 33/8 33/8 33/15	60/17 60/17	arguments [1] 85/18
almost [5] 25/14 28/2					
79/8 111/4 162/9	an alternative [3] 76/7	an index [1] 169/2	35/12 37/17 38/10	approve [2] 12/17	Arie [1] 2/20
along [2] 47/4 141/11	93/5 132/9	an individual [2]	41/11 46/18 47/5 48/8	60/11	arise [1] 43/2
alongside [1] 46/16	an American [1]	127/22 172/2	48/10 48/14 52/8	approximate [3]	arisen [1] 48/12
already [12] 18/6	75/15	an infected [2] 11/7	52/20 58/7 63/3 64/13	27/15 28/25 35/16	arises [1] 160/13
18/16 18/24 20/7	an analysis [1] 138/8	169/16	67/24 83/10 83/11	approximately [8]	arising [2] 27/10
1	an answer [1] 80/23	an infection [3]	87/1 90/13 96/21	26/24 35/9 36/16	167/21
20/12 20/13 23/14	an apparently [2]	170/12 171/11 171/16	101/10 106/19 107/4	36/17 49/23 49/24	Aronson [5] 74/21
80/7 122/15 123/20	1/23 2/7	an infective [1] 9/22	109/10 114/2 118/23	50/8 51/11	84/9 84/10 88/25 89/3
127/25 132/13	an appreciable [2]	an information [1]	123/16 126/6 126/20	April [13] 35/20 52/3	Aronstam [3] 84/3
also [49] 2/19 5/19	148/12 148/18	67/1	131/9 131/14 134/5	53/9 82/9 91/13 91/14	84/8 123/3
7/18 11/21 12/14		an inspection [1] 60/6		91/25 92/8 94/19 97/6	around [12] 13/7
15/14 20/6 32/23 36/3	an appropriate [1]				
39/12 61/20 61/23	53/21	an interesting [1]	137/3 137/23 138/2	110/18 112/9 128/8	24/10 24/15 34/1 34/2
63/16 69/14 70/15	an area [2] 39/14	4/19	138/6 139/20 140/21	April '83 [2] 91/13	34/19 41/20 45/22
74/5 77/14 78/19	100/7	an internal [1] 83/2	141/20 147/8 152/21	91/14	56/9 129/6 132/18
81/15 81/22 88/7	an arrangement [1]	an international [1]	152/22 155/6 158/6	<b>April '85 [1]</b> 53/9	156/13
106/15 107/25 109/12	101/1	112/8	159/19 164/20 170/23	April 1977 [1] 128/8	arrange [2] 38/11
	an assay [1] 157/17	an interpretation [1]	173/3	April 1983 [2] 94/19	48/19
110/9 111/9 112/4	an association [4]	51/5	anybody [3] 65/11	97/6	arranged [2] 109/23
112/14 113/23 119/25	84/23 89/9 90/14	an inventory [1] 58/4	82/24 113/19	April 1985 [2] 35/20	117/10
122/25 123/24 125/9	90/20	an IPP [1] 105/13	anymore [1] 33/3	52/3	arrangement [2]
137/20 139/17 139/24	an authoritative [1]	an irreducible [1]	anyone [3] 65/9	archived [1] 28/13	69/15 101/1
140/3 146/12 149/16	136/22	13/7	142/16 143/17		
149/21 151/25 154/8				are [101] 1/12 5/16	arriva [1] 128/7
155/8 155/25 156/24	an average [1] 30/14	an office [1] 165/17	anything [8] 26/11	7/13 9/17 11/14 12/1	arrive [1] 71/3
160/4 163/21 167/22	an case [1] 97/5	an omission [1] 68/23		12/4 13/3 13/19 16/7	arrived [3] 71/6
168/21	An elaborate [1]	an ongoing [1] 117/9	141/19 141/20 169/3	18/14 21/17 25/5	116/13 168/16
altered [1] 85/25	93/17	an opportune [1]	173/15	27/10 28/11 28/22	art [1] 127/24
	an electronic [1]	42/10	anyway [2] 40/7 99/7	31/12 33/22 35/24	Arthur [1] 123/23
alternative [4] 76/7	101/11	an opportunity [1]	apart [2] 36/5 120/18	36/8 39/8 39/19 39/22	Arthur Bloom [1]
93/5 132/9 137/2	an engineer [1] 147/7	126/18	apologies [1] 150/17	40/23 40/24 42/22	123/23
alternatives [1] 73/23	an England [1] 76/24	an origin [1] 156/9	apologise [2] 132/12	43/1 43/3 43/21 43/23	as [219]
although [3] 7/17 84/8	an entity [1] 37/11	an over-reaction [1]	150/16	43/24 45/9 46/21 47/7	aside [1] 94/6
107/13					
Alton [2] 108/22 172/6	an epidemiologist [1]	75/18	apparent [2] 1/24 3/5	48/14 48/15 49/16	ask [38] 3/20 4/11
always [8] 16/17	149/11	an overview [1] 101/3		54/3 54/4 54/5 55/11	12/21 13/14 20/18
22/17 61/9 93/23 97/2	an event [1] 145/1	an unknown [2] 23/15		55/12 55/23 56/7	22/11 25/3 25/17
159/23 160/8 175/8	an example [3] 76/23	23/16	appear [1] 45/5	56/23 57/5 58/23 59/1	28/20 35/3 37/20 49/6
100/20 100/0 170/0	77/3 101/16	anaemia [1] 104/17	appearance [2]	62/18 66/17 67/3	51/7 54/15 56/3 63/2
		İ	i		ı

(47) all... - ask

1	4											
ä	sk	<b>(</b>	[	22	?]	7	'0	/2	25	7	7	/2
	88											
	11											1(
	12											
	13											
	15											
	16								6	7/.	2	1
	17											
ć	sl	(e	d	[2	9]		19	9/	14	4 .	2	3/
	52	/1	1 !	57	/1	9	7	8	/2	3		
	80											
	87											ľ
	10											
	10											
	11											12
	13											
	14								1	50	)/	11
	15											
	sk											
	25											
	40											1.
	78							2	0	2	0	
	15							_	_			
ã	sp	)e	ct	s -	Į1	Ĭ	1	/	5	4		
	155										. ,,	_
	15											
	15 <b>15</b>	5/.	25	) ]	כ	//	1	'	1;	0/	1	۷
2	ISS	ie:	m	DI [4	e(	ן נ	[1 47	]	7	15	4	12
	355											
	74 11											
	11 13								٥,	۷,	۷	J
•	is	U/ cie	ta	ın	C.	/ن اد	1	, 1	1	2	1	r
	155											
;	ss	zie	te	'n	ľ	11		1:	32	77	7	
;	iss	eis	ts	. Г	ւ 11	٠,	54	1/	R	., ,		
	155									1	n	/4
	37										•	,
	70											
	10										2:	2
	13								-			
ä	iss									8	4/	2
	89						_	-				
	92											
	se							3	/1	1		
	53	/1!	9 :	72	/2	1	4	1	/1	2		
	155											
	63	/1	4 (	35	/2	4	6	7	/2	2		
	68											
ä	ss	su	m	in	g	[3	3]	(	9,	1/8	3	
	13											
ä	se	su	m	рi	ic	n	ſ	1]				
	11						-	•				
ä	189	su	re	d	[1	]	1	2	7	/9	1	
ä	itta	ac	h	[1	]	2	7	1	1			
ć	atta	ac	he	ed	[	4]	1	6	3/			
	10										2	
ä	atte	en	ıρ	t	[1	]	1	6(	3/	8		
	atte										/4	
	81	/1	6	13	2	/1	1	1	3	2/	1:	2

175/9 attendance [2] 109/14 115/17 attended [2] 151/24 151/25 attending [3] 4/16 5/17 6/5 attention [7] 48/13 65/22 72/13 92/24 102/2 130/2 131/5 attractive [1] 76/9 attribute [1] 169/11 audio [1] 77/19 audit [2] 60/16 143/22 audits [1] 143/16 August [7] 35/19 36/14 49/22 105/24 105/25 110/20 112/13 August 1980 [3] 35/19 36/14 49/22 authorised [1] 102/18 authoritative [1] 136/22 authorities [1] 92/14 authority [8] 12/20 13/11 21/4 38/5 94/7 123/11 142/7 148/2 **automation [1]** 69/12 autumn [4] 4/21 6/25 6/25 7/4 autumn '81 [1] 7/4 availability [1] 15/20 available [19] 32/15 32/17 37/9 54/10 55/10 113/4 118/24 130/22 130/24 135/1 135/6 136/13 150/7 158/4 159/8 159/15 163/24 169/25 172/10 average [4] 30/14 45/3 45/15 46/6 avoided [4] 111/2 111/5 118/11 118/12 avoiding [2] 101/10 111/10 await [1] 117/18 awaited [1] 104/18 aware [21] 1/18 3/15 7/13 18/8 19/11 21/17 22/19 24/4 25/7 36/21 70/11 73/8 99/11 100/2 125/1 134/5 134/13 137/17 137/23 151/15 151/19 awareness [7] 2/2 6/17 6/21 8/13 69/2 69/2 70/22 away [2] 83/16 174/16 B.O.B [3] 83/24 84/14

84/22

**B25 [2]** 57/7 161/4 **B27 [4]** 40/23 128/10 128/12 128/17 back [54] 3/18 4/18 8/25 20/19 20/25 24/23 28/11 28/20 31/6 33/18 49/8 51/14 52/24 59/1 60/12 60/25 66/8 67/9 78/9 79/9 80/19 83/18 88/15 88/23 90/5 90/8 98/13 99/3 99/5 99/19 113/24 118/5 120/6 132/21 143/17 145/2 145/24 153/8 154/8 159/1 160/13 166/23 168/13 169/8 169/12 169/13 169/14 170/12 170/16 170/23 170/25 171/11 173/10 175/7 background [2] 21/18 83/21 backup [1] 101/11 bacteriology [1] 162/3 bags [5] 24/12 24/14 79/10 79/11 146/11 balance [7] 12/6 30/8 139/22 159/23 160/7 160/10 160/11 barcode [12] 69/10 69/16 119/9 167/14 167/16 167/23 168/11 168/12 168/17 168/21 168/24 169/7 barcoded [2] 167/22 168/8 Barnes [4] 105/3 105/4 109/15 115/16 Barnes' [1] 106/22 based [3] 77/19 89/6 102/9 basic [1] 161/18 basis [8] 8/8 72/19 73/6 89/12 92/23 134/1 144/15 153/3 batch [102] 12/11 12/22 12/23 12/25 13/1 13/12 22/21 26/7 26/19 28/8 28/8 28/13 28/14 28/25 29/9 29/15 30/7 30/7 30/10 30/11 30/14 31/4 31/4 32/15 33/24 34/4 34/8 34/10 34/25 36/6 36/12 36/16 38/3 46/13 46/15 46/25 47/2 47/24 52/2 53/13 53/25 54/25 55/1 55/18 57/9 57/10 63/1 67/19 101/6 101/21 104/10 105/15 105/22 bedrock [1] 99/13

107/19 107/25 108/9 108/19 108/20 110/4 110/6 111/8 114/13 121/12 121/13 121/17 121/19 121/24 122/2 122/6 122/12 122/14 145/2 150/10 150/12 150/12 151/9 152/11 152/16 152/20 152/23 153/5 157/23 158/1 167/11 167/12 167/23 168/10 168/11 168/13 168/15 168/23 168/24 168/25 169/2 169/5 169/11 169/25 171/7 171/25 172/4 172/20 172/22 batch weight [1] 57/9 batches [32] 2/23 13/1 13/6 14/11 14/13 25/1 26/24 28/18 31/22 31/25 35/25 42/23 44/7 44/8 45/6 46/5 49/14 54/3 54/4 54/4 57/5 102/1 106/5 135/4 150/14 150/22 151/9 151/13 152/13 152/20 156/18 171/4 Baxter [1] 89/15 Baxter Hyland [1] 89/15 be [265] bear [4] 44/14 129/6 152/19 155/17 **Bearing [1]** 98/5 beast [1] 24/3 became [8] 1/18 3/15 70/10 76/18 140/18 152/12 154/15 159/15 because [54] 4/2 8/13 8/19 12/12 12/13 12/14 12/23 13/16 14/18 27/22 30/24 30/24 35/13 41/13 42/23 42/24 47/7 48/12 51/8 53/17 66/17 68/6 68/6 76/18 81/19 81/21 91/12 91/20 96/4 96/16 97/4 112/25 117/2 118/23 118/24 119/9 126/6 127/14 132/11 133/22 141/1 143/11 145/21 145/23 148/20 152/19 153/16 157/17 163/18 163/21 164/14 166/2 166/12 168/2 become [2] 75/24 76/19 becoming [2] 72/15 151/11

been [217] before [49] 6/2 8/21 14/8 16/8 16/10 18/22 19/10 21/16 22/5 23/1 23/20 26/5 30/16 30/19 30/20 40/19 47/17 47/23 48/23 49/5 49/6 50/23 51/20 52/21 53/1 53/23 56/2 58/5 60/10 69/6 71/22 80/19 95/22 98/14 98/20 102/22 104/25 111/10 116/1 119/3 125/19 126/11 126/11 136/7 142/9 142/11 147/7 147/10 152/8 begin [5] 59/9 129/9 152/11 158/2 158/15 beginning [5] 8/4 42/18 43/10 164/6 167/13 behaving [1] 89/3 behaviour [1] 75/5 behest [1] 74/21 behind [4] 32/8 32/10 32/11 72/20 being [74] 2/8 2/9 4/22 7/4 10/19 11/5 11/14 15/16 15/18 24/12 25/13 26/17 32/17 33/13 37/7 39/5 44/10 46/6 46/10 47/3 50/16 50/20 53/8 54/22 55/10 56/20 57/21 58/3 58/17 60/16 63/9 67/3 71/13 71/13 71/24 79/12 81/6 81/6 84/23 85/3 88/6 89/9 90/3 90/14 90/25 92/5 98/9 111/3 113/23 116/5 119/5 121/24 129/10 138/6 138/10 139/21 140/14 143/13 144/8 144/17 149/6 150/11 150/11 150/12 151/15 152/13 153/2 154/17 161/24 167/6 167/25 171/17 171/18 173/21 believe [16] 2/14 14/22 16/4 18/23 19/4 20/1 41/2 114/3 114/25 120/2 124/3 136/11 138/5 142/13 143/9 153/9 belongs [1] 39/24 below [3] 27/12 63/16 63/21 benefit [1] 10/10 benefits [3] 74/7 134/22 134/24 **Bernard [1]** 16/2

Bernard Crowley [1] best [10] 3/11 18/22 27/13 56/21 79/19 79/20 79/25 98/25 120/24 124/2 Bethesda [1] 74/22 better [6] 27/25 59/24 79/13 79/18 116/11 136/2 between [35] 7/14 12/7 24/15 24/25 34/25 41/18 41/21 47/18 47/25 49/25 54/15 54/21 55/21 59/20 61/8 70/22 84/24 87/10 87/21 89/9 90/14 90/20 118/9 120/2 147/25 149/1 150/13 150/22 153/2 155/20 156/2 157/25 160/7 164/10 166/17 beyond [5] 18/5 20/8 20/13 68/24 128/14 Bidwell [4] 25/8 31/9 32/12 33/5 Bidwell's [1] 33/1 big [3] 89/6 89/17 91/15 bigger [1] 137/24 biggest [1] 166/3 **Biggs [1]** 163/10 **Biologics** [5] 74/22 83/25 84/10 88/20 149/22 Biopsy [2] 104/17 105/6 bit [6] 17/15 26/11 66/22 123/6 126/12 129/5 bleeding [1] 130/13 bleeds [2] 7/22 7/22 block [1] 154/11 **Blomback [5]** 25/25 26/6 26/23 136/7 136/15 blood [35] 48/3 65/25 70/23 72/4 72/15 72/16 74/18 76/24 76/24 77/4 78/7 78/23 78/24 95/4 98/6 104/19 106/15 106/17 111/25 112/4 112/5 112/7 113/12 119/21 119/22 120/4 131/16 135/8 142/19 144/16 146/10 149/22 169/20 171/20 172/13 blood-borne [1] 131/16 Bloom [7] 72/13 73/1

(48) ask ... - Bloom

В	3
Bloom [5] 83/8	90 <b>br</b>
122/20 122/21 123/4	Br
123/23   <b>blue [1]</b>   63/11	Br
board [1] 20/25	B1
<b>BOB</b> [3] 88/19 88/22	BI
89/4 body [1] 142/3	bυ
<b>book [1]</b> 168/8	16
Booth [4] 107/7 113/4	bu 15
113/8 113/18   borne [2] 89/24	38
131/16	50
both [16] 3/1 16/18	79 98
35/14 39/18 40/11 42/19 44/7 57/9 62/7	9
62/9 73/10 88/3	1
107/10 129/15 147/16	1:
165/11   bother [1]   106/14	1:
bottle [3] 140/12	14
141/8 169/7	10
bottles [2] 137/10	1
146/10   <b>bottom [3]</b> 43/8 65/6	10
119/12	<b>B</b> t
boundary [1] 59/20 Bournemouth [2]	7
104/15 109/3	9
box [4] 105/14 167/15	1:
168/19 168/20 boxes [2] 168/16	10
168/18	10
BPL [187]	<b>B</b> t
<b>BPL's [1]</b> 139/24 <b>BPL/PFL [7]</b> 155/20	9
155/21 156/2 173/23	1
174/5 174/8 174/10	1:
<b>BPLL0001920 [1]</b> 57/1	bι
BPLL0002039 [1]	bι
62/19	1: bu
BPLL0008758 [1] 82/7	В
BPLL0009120 [8]	bı
27/2 33/20 44/24	<b>B</b> u 8:
47/23 48/25 53/6 54/3 54/13	14
break [16] 47/10	bι
47/13 47/17 47/23	bı by
48/23 49/5 53/2 56/2 80/13 80/13 108/10	1/
108/21 126/4 126/5	6/ 7/
126/6 127/2	11
BRIAN [2] 158/12 176/4	1:
briefly [1] 99/19	19
bring [5] 19/5 40/1	2
48/13 62/17 93/10 brought [7] 2/14 3/20	4
	5

1/7 52/22 56/4 83/18 3/22 rown [2] 101/1 102/5 ruce [1] 98/25 uce Evatt [1] 98/25 TCs [3] 74/5 78/6 8/18 **TS [1]** 74/1 **uild [3]** 128/10 163/2 63/22 uilding [45] 8/21 5/15 38/10 38/10 8/14 39/16 40/14 0/12 52/5 54/1 54/6 9/22 94/19 94/19 5/22 96/21 96/22 6/22 117/3 117/3 17/6 117/11 117/19 18/3 134/18 138/3 38/4 138/5 138/7 38/11 138/12 138/16 47/15 148/9 161/2 61/4 161/10 162/1 62/12 163/3 163/7 63/19 165/23 166/1 66/24 uilding 25 [19] 8/10 38/14 50/12 9/22 94/19 94/19 5/22 117/3 118/3 38/3 138/11 138/16 47/15 161/4 161/10 63/7 163/19 166/1 66/24 uilding 27 [15] 9/16 40/14 54/1 54/6 6/22 117/3 117/6 17/11 117/19 134/18 38/4 138/5 138/7 48/9 165/23 uilds [1] 95/18 ailt [5] 15/16 128/1 28/17 160/22 161/22 ılk [1] 29/24 ullens [1] 106/8 urden [1] 10/22 ureau [5] 74/21 3/25 84/10 88/19 49/22 usy [2] 98/7 123/21 ıt [216] [**161]** 1/11 1/12 18 5/5 5/7 5/22 6/13 /17 6/18 6/20 6/22 /7 7/10 7/12 9/11 0/8 10/17 10/18 2/25 13/15 16/11 9/9 23/9 23/9 23/12 5/25 26/23 27/18 8/4 31/1 36/21 37/13 1/24 44/16 45/24 50/7 51/12 51/13 53/9

56/11 57/13 58/3 58/15 59/9 59/11 59/16 60/8 60/15 60/21 60/24 63/5 64/10 64/23 65/25 67/21 68/15 68/25 70/23 72/4 72/9 75/25 82/10 82/19 83/14 83/20 83/24 86/4 86/16 87/1 87/14 88/8 88/21 89/24 89/24 91/17 92/9 92/10 93/1 93/22 94/2 94/14 97/13 98/25 101/6 101/25 102/7 102/13 103/5 104/6 104/11 105/2 105/3 105/7 105/12 107/5 111/4 111/22 112/19 112/24 113/5 115/5 115/5 116/14 118/16 119/19 119/25 121/15 121/24 123/22 124/16 124/24 128/19 130/8 130/15 130/23 131/21 131/25 132/1 135/20 137/4 139/21 140/3 140/3 141/1 141/17 141/21 144/11 148/11 148/15 151/1 151/7 152/19 152/20 153/6 156/5 157/13 157/14 157/18 158/15 159/11 161/8 162/2 162/7 163/21 164/7 164/7 164/9 164/15 166/1 166/14 166/15 167/10 167/23 167/25 168/11 168/12 168/24 170/15 171/13 173/25 176/3 by 20 [1] 157/14 c.1984 [1] 40/16

c.1986 [1] 40/19 C31621E [1] 106/2 calculated [2] 36/12 134/1 calculating [1] 30/14 calculation [1] 45/24 calculations [1] 130/5 call [5] 97/19 97/20 113/1 163/11 163/16 called [4] 60/22 90/6 92/7 140/16 calls [1] 114/8 came [12] 14/22 23/25 27/24 60/12 60/25 67/25 77/4 137/5 144/14 151/16 167/15 168/25 can [92] 1/6 1/6 1/8

114/18 120/12

cards [2] 3/5 3/6

care [2] 6/8 125/4

careful [1] 87/25

141/18 165/20

carefully [3] 15/12

CDR [1] 92/16

1/8 5/14 5/15 6/15 12/8 12/24 13/16 13/22 16/22 24/9 25/3 25/5 25/19 27/5 27/20 29/14 31/6 31/14 32/5 32/7 33/23 35/15 36/3 36/6 36/17 39/25 40/8 42/14 44/22 45/15 46/11 48/13 48/20 48/21 49/22 50/4 50/7 51/9 51/15 54/13 54/15 56/8 58/24 59/6 62/24 63/4 63/15 63/16 63/16 67/15 69/3 71/24 74/9 75/25 78/14 80/1 82/13 91/24 91/25 92/20 98/20 104/5 107/16 107/22 115/7 115/24 121/6 121/9 126/8 127/9 136/20 142/24 144/11 150/15 150/18 153/1 155/5 156/7 156/13 157/15 158/15 160/17 160/17 161/6 165/25 168/7 168/9 169/12 171/2 can't [18] 3/2 15/2 19/13 21/10 25/6 25/15 35/3 44/3 46/11 64/12 136/22 137/7 143/9 146/4 152/9 167/25 168/2 169/3 cannot [9] 39/1 62/19 63/13 65/24 67/22 68/16 77/23 141/10 154/10 cap [1] 159/10 capability [3] 131/1 131/3 148/9 capable [1] 111/14 capacity [4] 50/13 122/21 131/1 131/3 capacity/capability [2] | 124/7 131/1 131/3 capitalised [1] 137/4 capture [2] 93/17 103/21 captured [1] 91/18 card [5] 3/6 3/6 101/21 101/21 150/20 cardboard [1] 168/18 **Cardiff [9]** 105/25 107/6 107/7 108/10 108/12 113/3 114/12

careless [1] 26/17 Carmarthen [1] 108/16 Carolina [1] 74/15 carried [5] 10/22 25/14 84/15 88/1 108/3 carries [1] 13/6 carry [6] 9/9 15/8 15/10 96/7 96/8 96/15 carrying [2] 111/18 119/16 case [23] 28/16 39/10 42/25 43/11 43/13 44/9 60/2 62/11 65/2 97/5 97/8 97/9 109/16 136/6 147/5 152/21 154/20 164/19 170/2 170/20 170/25 172/20 172/22 cases [6] 8/14 72/17 72/19 73/11 92/16 170/11 caught [1] 32/18 cauldron [1] 172/19 **causative [2]** 70/4 70/6 cause [2] 72/20 155/14 caused [2] 94/4 153/7 causes [1] 2/1 causing [1] 9/20 caution [1] 90/24 cautious [3] 32/18 88/22 98/9 caveat [2] 146/22 146/23 CBLA [16] 15/23 16/1 16/2 16/4 16/9 21/9 72/14 83/9 83/9 92/1 97/13 97/13 142/6 142/6 142/9 142/11 CBLA0000010 [1] CBLA000016 [1] 8/15 CBLA0000253 [1] 31/9 CBLA0001691 [2] 71/23 78/11 CBLA0001697 [1] 91/24 CBLA0001997 [1] 121/7 CBLA0002190 [3] 51/18 51/23 52/25 CBLA0004791 [4] 21/19 49/3 51/21 52/10 career [2] 24/10 25/10 CBLA83 [1] 92/2 CBLA83/23 [1] 92/2 CDC [1] 81/25

cease [1] 31/19 cells [1] 58/9 cellular [3] 144/18 171/1 173/7 cent [5] 155/17 157/10 157/14 157/14 157/19 central [3] 111/19 119/17 156/12 centre [46] 1/19 2/18 2/19 4/17 37/4 63/17 65/7 98/6 98/7 98/8 109/25 112/16 112/21 113/3 113/12 113/16 113/19 114/20 114/24 119/11 121/3 122/22 123/25 124/13 132/4 132/4 134/8 139/17 139/19 143/18 144/2 144/16 146/5 146/9 149/19 150/25 151/3 151/10 151/23 153/3 153/21 154/25 154/25 168/22 169/9 169/10 centres [30] 58/18 62/12 62/12 70/12 73/9 75/4 78/7 78/21 79/1 79/4 79/12 79/17 94/23 95/3 95/8 110/2 111/17 119/15 119/23 120/2 133/4 133/9 134/7 143/17 145/25 146/14 146/17 153/15 172/4 172/6 certain [4] 9/23 23/8 135/8 156/5 certainly [50] 3/2 3/23 4/18 6/23 10/23 11/17 15/2 19/4 23/2 24/2 26/22 28/2 28/11 32/4 32/16 34/23 34/25 37/10 48/20 50/22 54/11 58/1 58/19 60/7 61/18 69/6 70/21 74/19 76/21 79/9 80/24 81/12 82/18 96/3 96/21 111/5 117/6 117/16 125/6 135/10 136/10 140/14 140/19 141/2 143/12 145/6 159/9 160/8 163/20 169/18 **chair [9]** 54/9 56/2 56/19 82/14 127/9 129/5 141/22 145/10 158/11 chaired [2] 7/10 101/25 chairing [1] 61/2 chairman [3] 109/24 122/21 123/25 challenge [3] 46/18

(49) Bloom... - challenge

С	clear [5] 76/19 80/8	34/13 36/4 36/5 36/18	19/13	83/16 84/13 84/24	133/12
challenge [2]	81/14 153/22 168/3	combination [2]	community [2] 81/9	85/3 87/6 89/10 89/22	consideration [9]
131/24 138/17	clearly [11] 4/1 8/2	50/21 115/5	81/11	89/25 90/3 90/5 92/24	39/14 40/9 74/5 76/12
challenged [1] 166/14	33/5 43/21 62/23	come [30] 3/18 4/13	companies [1] 99/12	132/9 139/4 141/21	78/5 78/19 101/10
challenges [1] 131/25	67/15 98/2 111/17	28/20 30/22 41/15	company [2] 60/8	152/25 153/10 157/4	127/12 147/4
challenging [2]	119/15 134/24 157/15	51/8 59/1 66/22 70/23	69/15	163/12 163/24	considerations [1]
119/25 165/12	clerk [3] 101/7 102/4	74/14 77/2 79/23	company's [1] 156/25	concept [2] 41/2	93/7
change [22] 17/23	151/1	90/24 96/2 98/13	comparative [2] 4/6	128/9	considered [10] 62/9
18/12 18/19 19/6 20/3	clerks [2] 60/1 151/1	99/19 100/20 101/18	4/14	concepts [1] 160/24	65/4 75/15 75/18
20/4 27/17 46/15	clinic [1] 169/23	115/1 121/10 134/9	compare [2] 157/4	concern [4] 32/11	107/15 107/24 126/19
61/10 65/19 65/23	clinical [13] 1/17	146/12 150/21 150/24	159/18	72/20 88/4 92/13	128/12 139/3 172/23
65/24 67/25 68/1 75/3	13/23 65/19 65/20	150/25 159/1 160/13	compared [3] 136/13	concerned [8] 8/11	considering [2] 62/8
79/7 83/15 86/18	66/5 84/15 110/19	166/23 171/12 171/16	148/21 155/10	56/23 70/3 84/13	154/21
136/15 136/17 136/20	110/25 111/9 112/13	comes [4] 11/13 25/4	comparison [3] 2/12	166/19 172/25 174/22	consistent [4] 67/12
136/24	122/7 122/9 125/4	124/8 171/24	157/6 167/10	175/5	104/16 110/20 153/13
changed [7] 5/1 15/19	clinician [6] 62/4 62/7	comfortably [1] 53/8	compensate [1] 160/4	105/5 121/13	consistently [1] 42/2
15/20 49/17 59/12	154/5 170/18 171/19 172/15	coming [6] 51/20	compiled [1] 34/9		constrained [3] 38/1
65/21 66/2	clinicians [23] 6/19	81/24 97/5 97/9 146/9 171/19	complaints [1] 102/17 complete [1] 122/5	104/1 111/24	57/13 147/19
changes [8] 2/12 23/6	7/12 7/15 8/12 14/5	commencing [2] 74/4	completed [2] 121/21	concessions [1]	constraints [1] 147/22
61/12 61/13 65/8	14/16 17/10 32/5 33/3	78/18	138/4	160/24	constructed [2]
65/13 143/19 144/3	65/10 75/17 125/14	comment [14] 6/24	completion [2] 40/19	conclude [1] 70/7	132/14 141/19
changing [2] 55/21	138/23 139/13 139/21	21/10 26/13 33/1 36/3	108/1	condemned [2]	constructing [1]
65/3	140/3 143/2 149/16	37/16 58/19 69/3	complex [2] 40/12	162/11 162/12	135/13
chapter [1] 103/10	149/18 149/19 150/3	81/19 82/1 84/6	108/1	condition [2] 104/14	construction [2]
characterised [1]	164/21 170/16	115/16 140/7 165/22	complexity [1] 137/6	151/17	40/13 40/15
70/6	clinics [2] 109/14	commented [2] 85/2		conditioned [1] 54/24	
characteristic [2]	115/17	90/2	complicating [1]	conditions [2] 79/14	consulted [3] 61/21
41/22 157/1	clock [1] 132/21	comments [6] 48/8	153/11	93/18	109/17 110/1
characteristics [3]	closed [2] 48/22	48/10 59/17 60/25	complications [1]	confess [1] 174/19	contact [3] 112/24
11/22 131/11 158/3	101/15	85/9 90/25	131/19	confidence [2] 71/10	113/14 114/1
charge [1] 101/7	closely [1] 153/20	commercial [14] 5/4	comply [2] 21/3	143/7	contacting [2] 115/9
check [4] 22/4 52/20 120/4 168/3	<b>clotting [3]</b> 2/13 70/5	7/2 60/8 60/9 84/19	103/17	confirm [1] 22/6	152/8
checked [1] 119/10	129/19	85/3 90/3 128/19	component [1] 89/23	confirmation [1]	contain [1] 141/13
checking [1] 143/18	clumsily [1] 11/22	136/1 136/13 163/23	components [2]	121/18	contained [3] 48/15
chickens [2] 97/5	co [3] 111/20 119/18	164/16 164/22 170/10	106/17 173/7	confirmed [9] 70/22	61/16 145/9
97/8	123/7	commercially [2]	compromise [4]	105/2 105/4 105/6	containing [1] 11/7
chief [7] 15/10 15/11	co-operate [1] 123/7	59/15 81/21	110/14 144/8 144/11	107/10 109/15 115/15	contains [1] 64/4
15/22 15/23 16/1	co-ordination [2]	commissioned [1]	144/12	121/20 170/2	content [1] 62/8
113/10 157/16	111/20 119/18	40/17	compromised [3]	confirming [1] 5/6	contents [1] 141/12
Chisholm [1] 123/1	coagulation [13] 9/18			confounding [1]	context [8] 13/16
chose [1] 127/15	10/7 10/15 10/20	117/18 164/6	computer [4] 3/4	131/9	13/18 21/19 31/14
chosen [2] 12/21	17/21 17/25 18/4 19/2	commitment [4]	101/8 101/20 102/8	conjunction [1] 39/1	81/13 100/18 104/24
164/22	22/2 52/2 52/18	127/23 128/16 158/7	computerisation [1]	connection [1] 54/8	143/25
Christmas [1] 164/24	133/10 135/18 code [1] 112/13	159/6	69/13	cons [1] 134/12	continue [1] 48/21
chronic [1] 173/1		committed [2] 102/23 102/25	conceived [1] 167/8	<b>conscious [10]</b> 20/5 40/21 59/2 68/22	continued [7] 1/3 92/23 92/24 102/9
chronology [1]	cogent [1] 111/12 coincidence [4] 71/11	committee [9] 5/11	concentrate [22] 4/15 9/25 14/3 28/19 29/24	70/15 116/14 126/1	135/16 149/7 176/2
118/20	71/13 81/4 81/6	5/15 14/23 74/25 75/2	77/6 87/25 91/16 93/6	127/6 127/15 140/2	continues [2] 72/18
circulated [3] 73/14	cold [5] 111/13	75/6 135/12 142/18	93/17 106/4 106/6	consciousness [1]	92/13
73/21 83/13	116/21 118/2 118/4	149/23	107/12 110/24 155/2	70/21	continuous [1]
circumstances [1]	165/18	committees [1]	156/17 156/19 156/24	consensus [2] 10/20	137/15
105/4	colleague [1] 137/20	142/23	157/6 164/1 164/16	80/8	contradiction [1]
civil [2] 128/2 161/23	colleagues [6] 71/2	Commons [2] 5/11	170/21	consequence [1]	90/13
claim [2] 68/8 156/5	71/5 81/9 140/21	5/25	concentrates [46]	95/21	contradistinction [1]
clarification [1] 82/4	146/20 149/14	communicate [1]	1/22 2/13 4/6 4/23 5/3	consequences [3]	90/16
clarify [1] 80/20	collected [4] 105/13	19/14	5/4 5/7 7/1 7/2 10/7	7/21 23/16 94/24	contravention [1]
clarion [1] 163/11	112/8 146/10 151/1	communicated [2]	10/16 10/21 17/22	consider [7] 85/23	21/8
classic [2] 53/4	collection [3] 37/4	16/20 100/11	17/25 18/4 19/3 21/24	87/4 89/5 94/24 126/4	contributed [1] 69/1
171/23	86/16 87/13	communication [1]	22/2 29/25 39/15	140/9 148/12	contributing [4] 12/1
clean [2] 166/10 166/13	College [1] 108/22	125/18	39/18 40/11 52/18	considerable [4]	60/23 91/15 104/12
100/10	column [5] 29/25	communications [1]	54/6 70/5 70/8 76/8	70/17 74/1 93/24	contributions [1]
				(50) c	hallenge - contributions

(50) challenge... - contributions

С	correspondence [2]
contributions [1]	101/2 124/11
14/19	<b>cost [7]</b> 9/24 43/11 43/12 43/13 43/14
control [22] 12/17	44/6 129/13
12/19 13/11 14/17	cost-effective [1] 9/2
28/4 28/7 37/6 38/5	costing [1] 88/1
59/25 66/6 66/6 70/13	cotton [1] 154/15
93/9 101/8 102/5 111/22 114/4 133/24	could [103] 1/13 5/13
135/5 147/6 166/12	5/20 6/12 6/12 8/24
175/5	12/21 15/19 15/20
controlled [1] 11/23	19/21 20/16 21/19
controlling [1] 37/2	22/24 24/18 25/16
convened [1] 16/11	27/2 27/19 30/11 33/18 36/14 37/10
convenience [1]	37/19 39/2 39/3 45/2 <sup>2</sup>
131/13	47/21 48/21 49/6
convenient [3] 73/15	52/24 54/23 57/7
73/22 94/22	58/10 58/11 59/8
conversation [1] 66/24	62/21 64/11 65/18
conversations [1]	67/14 68/7 68/10 69/5
107/10	69/18 69/22 70/4
conversion [5] 34/14	73/19 78/9 79/4 81/20 81/22 82/7 88/8 88/15
34/17 34/19 43/4	81/22 82/7 88/8 88/1:   93/10 93/13 94/18
46/13	95/13 96/8 97/7 99/4
convince [2] 142/15	113/8 113/20 115/19
142/16	116/14 116/15 116/24
<b>convinced [9]</b> 66/1 66/13 66/14 66/19	119/7 119/10 119/19
74/22 76/25 120/16	120/7 120/12 120/14
141/3 147/8	120/16 122/18 123/10
convincing [1] 21/1	129/23 131/6 133/14
coordinated [3]	134/21 135/21 135/22   136/12 138/11 138/14
121/24 134/10 146/16	141/15 145/24 146/3
coordination [2]	146/12 146/13 146/13
120/1 146/18	147/16 154/2 154/23
<b>copied [4]</b> 21/21 51/25 52/13 123/3	155/7 157/10 157/24
copies [1] 107/11	159/7 163/4 164/12
copy [7] 60/10 60/21	165/14 165/22 166/22
60/22 68/3 122/15	167/19 175/6
123/23 156/13	couldn't [9] 4/3 37/1 38/18 42/1 94/16
core [9] 56/18 126/1	30/10 42/1 94/10   123/15 146/15 146/18
126/2 126/15 127/9	154/6
129/3 144/23 145/10	counsel [5] 48/2
174/19 Core Participants [1]	48/15 126/13 126/17
Core Participants [1] 174/19	174/1
corner [1] 65/6	counts [1] 147/16
correct [21] 2/5 18/14	County [1] 108/24
22/10 26/4 29/16 36/3	couple [13] 27/5 31/3
41/8 77/16 83/4 98/20	37/20 39/13 42/12   42/15 49/6 65/1 82/12
102/24 107/3 113/2	102/15 121/5 125/25
113/6 117/20 118/14	148/3
118/22 119/1 120/25 136/1 164/18	course [23] 14/15
correction [2] 24/1	48/10 50/19 54/2
33/17	56/16 57/24 59/2 69/4
correctly [1] 11/3	69/20 70/5 77/12
correlate [1] 50/6	77/23 78/3 79/6 83/16   95/6 104/9 126/14
correspond [1] 31/23	134/19 147/10 159/4
	1 .5

correspondence [2] 101/2 124/11 cost [7] 9/24 43/11 43/12 43/13 43/14 44/6 129/13 cost-effective [1] 9/24 costing [1] 88/1 cotton [1] 154/15 could [103] 1/13 5/13 5/20 6/12 6/12 8/24 12/21 15/19 15/20 19/21 20/16 21/19 22/24 24/18 25/16 27/2 27/19 30/11 33/18 36/14 37/10 37/19 39/2 39/3 45/21 47/21 48/21 49/6 52/24 54/23 57/7 58/10 58/11 59/8 62/21 64/11 65/18 67/14 68/7 68/10 69/5 69/18 69/22 70/4 73/19 78/9 79/4 81/20 81/22 82/7 88/8 88/15 93/10 93/13 94/18 95/13 96/8 97/7 99/4 113/8 113/20 115/19 116/14 116/15 116/24 119/7 119/10 119/19 120/7 120/12 120/14 120/16 122/18 123/10 129/23 131/6 133/14 134/21 135/21 135/22 136/12 138/11 138/14 141/15 145/24 146/3 146/12 146/13 146/13 147/16 154/2 154/23 155/7 157/10 157/24 159/7 163/4 164/12 165/14 165/22 166/22 167/19 175/6 couldn't [9] 4/3 37/10 38/18 42/1 94/16 123/15 146/15 146/18 154/6 counsel [5] 48/2 48/15 126/13 126/17 174/1 counts [1] 147/16 County [1] 108/24 couple [13] 27/5 31/8 37/20 39/13 42/12 42/15 49/6 65/1 82/12 102/15 121/5 125/25 148/3 course [23] 14/15 48/10 50/19 54/2 56/16 57/24 59/2 69/4 69/20 70/5 77/12 77/23 78/3 79/6 83/16 95/6 104/9 126/14

164/23 171/9 cover [2] 101/5 101/12 Craske [24] 2/24 3/10 7/10 100/11 102/1 109/24 110/4 115/9 115/11 121/25 121/25 122/5 122/15 123/4 123/7 123/15 123/16 124/3 124/19 125/5 125/6 151/20 152/8 172/10 Craske's [2] 71/9 122/7 create [2] 81/22 119/8 created [5] 14/11 15/18 16/4 163/23 163/25 creating [3] 76/6 132/8 162/2 creation [1] 6/9 cried [1] 166/2 criticised [2] 103/7 141/16 criticism [1] 136/12 cross [1] 119/10 cross-checked [1] 119/10 crossed [1] 123/1 crossing [1] 59/19 Crowley [2] 5/18 16/2 cryo [6] 94/21 95/9 134/1 134/2 134/12 155/7 cryoprecipitate [44] 31/3 73/8 73/10 73/25 74/4 75/23 76/6 76/15 77/8 77/11 77/15 78/4 78/6 78/18 78/22 79/3 79/10 80/4 93/4 93/12 93/18 94/15 94/22 97/19 97/24 132/9 132/17 132/22 133/4 133/7 133/8 133/22 133/23 133/25 134/15 153/13 153/14 153/16 153/17 153/22 154/7 154/13 154/18 164/3 cryoprecipitates [2] 36/11 154/4 cryos [2] 153/25 154/22 cryosupernatant [1] 105/17 CSVM [3] 138/3 138/6 138/8 current [4] 88/7 92/14 93/15 94/5 currently [2] 85/17 87/15 cusp [1] 66/17 decide [4] 14/5 14/9 cut [1] 19/23

CVHB0000042 [2] 98/24 99/8 CVM [1] 138/3 D.S [1] 121/16 damaging [1] 110/17 dangerous [1] 96/18 dare [1] 124/24 dark [1] 63/21 data [17] 28/6 28/11 30/3 30/12 45/21 46/12 46/23 47/24 49/17 50/3 51/17 54/9 56/21 60/1 103/21 150/7 169/21 data mining [1] 28/6 database [4] 28/12 101/8 101/20 102/8 date [13] 7/6 32/19 33/25 49/17 54/15 54/16 54/21 54/23 55/12 55/16 55/22 64/1 66/22 date's [1] 67/16 dated [5] 27/7 31/10 68/11 91/25 104/23 dates [9] 25/15 27/17 46/15 47/3 49/15 49/16 55/11 55/12 62/18 David [8] 28/5 28/5 74/21 84/10 89/3 102/13 137/20 138/7 David Aronson [2] 74/21 89/3 David Donald [2] 28/5 102/13 David Wesley [2] 137/20 138/7 day [9] 21/20 55/1 99/6 112/21 113/4 113/5 113/7 114/8 120/14 day 1 [1] 55/1 days [2] 102/15 140/17 deal [8] 7/21 29/10 100/13 127/8 133/5 145/5 161/15 174/9 dealing [2] 161/1 167/11 dealt [1] 161/14 debate [2] 44/17 117/9 December [3] 16/4 35/22 142/11 December 1982 [2] 16/4 142/11 December 1988 [1] 35/22

14/20 83/15 deciding [1] 162/18 decision [38] 14/14 14/20 14/22 15/5 16/15 16/17 16/20 17/7 17/11 18/3 18/16 18/18 18/24 19/1 20/5 20/21 20/23 22/18 22/23 23/3 23/3 37/21 37/24 38/20 44/18 51/1 57/8 60/18 61/1 68/20 68/22 94/14 94/21 98/4 101/12 148/4 163/2 163/22 decisions [5] 16/7 22/15 23/13 89/6 140/22 decreases [1] 12/11 deeply [1] 128/19 **Defective [1]** 121/2 defence [3] 57/20 128/2 161/23 defend [1] 103/8 defer [1] 100/3 deficiencies [2] 120/19 138/15 deficiency [4] 83/6 92/3 130/11 143/13 deficient [4] 65/4 158/25 160/21 164/25 defined [4] 41/14 57/11 63/18 116/2 definition [1] 137/8 degree [4] 116/20 116/22 130/6 130/19 degrees [1] 63/21 degrees C [1] 63/21 delay [3] 53/20 110/23 126/23 delaying [2] 15/20 163/21 deliberate [2] 10/11 57/8 deliberately [1] 11/24 deliver [1] 163/4 delivered [1] 89/21 demand [9] 88/5 88/6 93/13 130/1 131/20 153/12 159/5 164/25 165/1 demanded [1] 9/25 demands [5] 128/12 131/2 131/5 131/10 132/2 demonstrably [1] 171/6 demonstrate [1] 42/2 demonstrated [1] 107/22 department [17] 20/3 21/1 21/6 21/6 21/12 21/14 44/19 60/6

60/15 120/24 132/3 140/17 141/18 142/7 142/13 142/17 148/1 Department's [1] 21/8 departments [1] 165/11 **departure** [1] 78/3 depended [1] 169/21 dependence [1] 101/11 depending [2] 13/17 159/20 derived [1] 31/18 derives [1] 63/23 describe [4] 26/1 82/15 159/1 162/9 described [10] 16/5 29/22 72/21 82/21 82/22 116/11 163/11 166/22 167/18 170/7 describes [1] 72/25 describing [3] 74/11 115/25 170/17 description [3] 158/7 159/2 161/8 deserved [1] 163/6 design [8] 39/15 40/5 40/5 40/13 40/15 41/9 42/8 128/9 designated [5] 39/20 40/16 41/5 42/3 42/5 designation [3] 39/22 63/17 63/22 designed [7] 92/25 96/6 96/24 145/17 159/25 165/23 166/6 desirable [2] 75/23 131/10 desired [1] 13/17 despatched [3] 109/11 114/11 114/14 destination [1] 71/4 detail [3] 67/4 99/17 136/17 details [1] 122/2 determination [1] 128/13 determine [2] 107/20 109/13 determined [4] 10/18 130/8 130/23 153/19 determines [2] 12/23 116/9 develop [4] 73/4 96/9 96/12 170/22 developed [6] 25/24 38/9 97/5 99/5 130/14 130/15 developing [2] 65/14 160/24 development [12] 81/13 92/25 94/2

(51) contributions... - development

D	134/19 138/19 141/24
development [9]	142/14 142/15 143/1
95/13 97/2 99/1 99/24	143/16 150/18 155/12
99/25 107/17 108/6	156/15 165/11 170/8
131/6 141/25	171/4
DHSC0002303 [1]	different Centres [1]
17/15	119/15
<b>DHSS [7]</b> 17/19 19/9	differentiate [1] 87/21
61/15 61/23 62/1	difficult [12] 32/4 76/5 76/10 76/11 86/6
65/11 134/9	86/18 132/7 146/6
diagnosed [3] 105/9	170/11 170/25 171/5
110/13 111/25	171/6
diagnosis [2] 104/11	difficulties [4] 129/25
112/18	133/12 145/20 170/23
diagnostic [1] 84/1	difficulty [1] 167/2
dictate [1] 55/16	dig [1] 59/5
dictated [1] 72/9	Dike [1] 25/8
did [59] 2/6 3/1 4/5 4/13 6/2 6/11 8/21	diminish [1] 12/15
17/3 23/9 23/12 28/10	diminished [1] 9/16
30/12 43/21 57/20	diminishing [1] 11/19
57/23 58/13 64/8	direct [4] 24/21 122/9
64/22 71/3 71/19	146/15 169/18
71/20 88/21 96/6	direction [2] 88/12
101/8 103/17 103/18	171/14
109/18 109/19 109/21	directive [1] 84/2
115/21 115/24 117/21	directly [4] 9/12 100/7
125/2 132/10 137/1	102/7 150/25 director [13] 16/19
137/1 137/3 138/8	16/19 82/20 83/7 85/2
139/10 140/9 140/18	85/23 87/12 88/7 90/2
140/20 143/4 144/10	94/5 121/16 124/13
148/12 148/17 149/15 149/20 149/25 150/3	172/14
150/21 151/9 154/24	directors [12] 4/17
162/1 162/11 169/19	83/14 83/15 86/4
173/3 173/21 173/23	92/18 101/25 122/22
didn't [29] 2/21 11/23	123/25 132/4 132/5
17/4 26/11 41/6 64/12	134/8 139/19
70/23 77/17 89/16	<b>Directors' [3]</b> 109/25 149/19 151/23
95/6 96/4 96/5 96/25	discarded [1] 119/5
114/3 114/6 117/15	disciplined [1] 118/7
129/18 132/24 136/24	disclosed [2] 152/2
140/14 140/19 142/21	166/14
143/7 147/8 147/20 148/18 152/4 153/17	discomfort [1] 140/21
160/10	discover [2] 31/12
difference [14] 7/13	114/22
7/16 39/23 61/13	discovered [1] 169/24
116/5 118/8 150/13	discuss [5] 32/6
155/8 155/11 155/14	73/23 98/14 134/10
155/15 156/2 157/25	149/25   discussed [6] 14/25
164/10	15/4 68/5 75/2 150/8
differences [6] 87/10	167/6
155/19 155/24 155/25	discussing [2] 95/2
157/9 157/10 different [30] 7/23	149/14
13/16 26/9 30/10	discussion [27] 3/12
30/11 35/7 39/7 60/5	14/15 14/17 14/18
85/19 86/13 111/17	16/18 19/17 21/12
119/15 119/23 120/2	23/4 25/6 47/17 48/22
133/7 133/8 133/9	53/1 61/8 64/13 64/15 78/1 80/1 80/2 87/9
	10/100/100/201/8

91/6 91/23 97/14 117/7 134/6 138/2 138/6 153/3 discussions [19] 2/17 3/16 3/18 15/14 16/10 25/7 25/10 33/4 61/19 67/25 71/8 84/3 88/25 87/21 149/13 149/16 149/21 /4 76/5 149/24 150/6 157/16 disease [7] 65/15 70/12 81/25 84/17 109/15 110/1 164/24 dismissible [1] 71/13 dismissive [1] 2/11 disorder [2] 92/15 92/20 dispatched [5] 104/21 105/14 108/9 108/20 172/5 display [2] 49/4 115/8 11/19 disposed [2] 42/23 43/16 dispute [2] 90/13 102/19 distortion [1] 77/19 distributed [4] 62/10 62/11 104/7 105/22 100/7 **District [2]** 124/8 124/14 **Division [5]** 18/12 61/23 62/1 110/8 115/10 Divisions [1] 17/19 do [90] 3/1 3/3 3/12 7/6 11/3 13/2 13/15 14/6 15/22 19/8 21/13 22/15 25/4 31/1 31/2 32/20 32/22 33/8 34/4 38/8 45/11 46/11 47/6 48/9 49/6 51/13 55/6 56/15 59/24 60/3 64/1 64/13 65/9 66/8 67/24 68/2 68/18 68/20 70/25 71/2 72/1 76/11 76/15 78/2 79/13 84/7 85/10 85/11 89/12 91/7 97/22 97/25 169/24 98/14 98/22 113/13 113/16 114/22 116/14 116/24 118/6 120/6 120/6 124/17 126/6 126/16 129/8 131/21 131/22 133/20 134/16 136/24 138/2 138/19 139/24 141/12 150/16 151/7 155/3 155/7 157/3 160/4 161/11 161/13 162/14 162/22 163/15 166/20 166/21 166/23 169/3 do it [1] 3/3 doctor [2] 115/6

122/23 doctors [4] 121/9 121/10 123/6 123/19 document [45] 17/14 22/4 27/4 33/19 35/14 44/23 44/25 45/2 47/22 48/15 49/2 49/4 49/10 51/7 51/18 51/20 52/11 52/14 52/20 55/12 59/4 71/21 71/24 72/8 72/24 78/9 78/13 79/25 80/6 80/10 80/11 82/8 91/24 95/15 97/15 98/12 98/23 99/4 99/13 99/16 102/15 102/17 103/11 103/13 150/14 documentation [3] 22/21 22/24 47/20 documented [2] 100/16 100/23 documents [10] 34/10 48/6 48/18 48/24 49/7 52/22 80/19 121/6 125/23 175/8 does [20] 13/25 18/15 18/17 26/14 29/18 34/8 35/2 45/7 45/13 75/10 88/14 100/20 119/3 124/23 128/23 129/13 154/5 154/7 159/18 161/11 doesn't [8] 7/3 12/15 33/24 34/24 95/6 96/2 155/17 169/7 doing [16] 8/6 30/3 30/3 30/13 42/7 47/1 58/23 59/22 64/20 73/1 123/19 140/9 143/20 173/24 174/10 174/10 domestic [1] 174/15 don't [58] 3/20 6/6 15/1 16/21 19/22 19/25 20/1 20/1 31/13 33/4 33/12 33/15 35/5 43/20 45/11 49/3 53/18 56/3 62/22 63/5 64/15 67/9 71/5 71/6 81/19 82/21 89/4 90/8 102/19 102/21 113/17 113/22 114/3 114/10 114/25 120/2 120/10 124/3 125/5 125/15 125/20 136/11 137/6 138/5 140/15 140/18 141/1 141/19 146/4 149/8 149/8 150/6 150/14 152/18 152/24 153/9 153/9 173/5 **Donald [3]** 28/5

102/13 102/16 Donald's [1] 102/20 donated [3] 76/24 104/19 112/14 donation [51] 9/15 9/22 11/7 32/24 34/13 34/18 34/20 36/13 43/24 44/2 47/3 64/21 64/22 68/23 68/25 69/5 69/7 104/20 105/11 106/1 106/16 109/22 110/17 111/4 111/9 112/5 112/15 112/17 115/20 119/3 119/22 119/24 144/16 144/21 144/25 145/3 145/19 145/22 148/23 149/6 155/1 167/16 168/24 168/25 169/11 169/16 171/15 172/3 172/18 172/21 173/7 donations [75] 9/9 9/11 9/12 9/16 10/3 10/23 10/25 13/21 16/25 18/1 18/2 20/10 22/1 24/16 25/2 29/15 30/15 31/23 32/16 32/23 33/2 33/7 33/11 34/2 34/13 36/4 37/17 38/21 38/23 40/11 42/21 43/3 43/17 44/16 44/21 45/3 45/7 45/7 45/10 45/24 46/8 46/8 46/14 49/1 49/20 49/23 49/25 50/1 50/2 50/5 50/7 51/9 51/11 51/16 51/18 52/4 52/6 52/17 53/7 53/15 53/24 56/8 56/11 58/2 58/11 58/16 62/25 67/19 77/4 135/14 146/2 148/5 148/5 168/14 168/20 donations' [2] 31/19 32/3 done [29] 6/3 21/11 28/5 34/12 34/16 35/9 38/3 38/18 39/3 46/6 50/12 66/24 75/2 79/18 94/16 94/17 94/18 96/13 118/1 118/1 118/23 119/25 123/13 132/15 133/14 135/21 143/8 143/24 166/23 donor [77] 3/22 8/7 9/13 9/21 10/5 10/5 10/6 11/1 11/10 11/11 11/22 13/2 17/21 17/24 18/6 20/9 20/13 20/14 21/3 29/9 32/9 36/1 37/3 37/4 37/5

42/20 51/15 56/5 57/13 57/14 68/19 75/4 76/20 76/24 77/3 77/8 77/11 77/16 86/10 86/13 104/2 104/12 104/14 104/15 104/18 105/5 105/9 106/15 106/20 109/11 109/13 110/13 111/16 111/19 111/20 111/24 112/4 112/7 112/14 119/9 119/11 119/14 119/17 119/18 119/21 119/23 120/7 129/22 133/23 148/21 148/21 152/14 154/18 154/20 154/22 158/5 171/1 donor's [3] 112/18 129/17 171/19 donors [31] 4/24 9/16 9/17 10/21 10/23 11/2 11/14 11/18 11/23 11/24 12/1 12/4 20/8 25/4 31/20 35/17 36/16 36/17 37/23 38/12 77/17 77/19 83/20 83/23 86/21 86/25 87/7 87/8 87/23 91/15 146/2 Dorchester [1] 108/24 dose [1] 133/25 doubling [1] 36/15 doubt [2] 117/23 150/6 doubts [1] 88/11 down [16] 25/5 42/17 43/7 69/18 72/24 89/18 103/15 108/10 108/21 117/12 129/19 145/18 156/4 167/8 169/22 171/16 downtime [1] 39/6 DR [174] 1/3 1/4 1/12 1/15 4/11 5/10 5/17 5/18 5/18 5/22 6/4 6/6 6/8 7/6 7/11 8/10 8/17 16/13 17/18 20/19 21/21 21/25 22/7 22/12 22/14 23/20 27/6 27/9 27/18 28/1 31/9 31/10 32/12 33/4 33/5 39/13 39/25 40/21 44/19 47/16 51/25 51/25 52/13 52/16 58/25 61/10 68/5 71/8 71/8 72/9 72/11 72/25 74/9 75/15 75/20 76/14 77/21 78/4 80/19 82/6 82/13 82/14 82/14 84/3 84/8 84/9 84/10

(52) development... - DR

84/22 85/5 87/12

D	<b>Dr Donald [1]</b> 102/16	112/20 112/20 115/14	9/20 24/9 25/10 38/8	eludes [1] 117/23	equipment [5] 12/13
DR [104] 87/16	Dr Donald's [1]	123/4 137/4 146/21	40/13 105/6 136/8	email [2] 60/25 61/4	38/2 39/8 158/3
87/16 87/20 88/19	102/20	151/25	140/17 145/15 157/5	embarrassing [1]	159/15
88/25 90/13 90/15	Dr Evatt's [1] 99/9	<b>Dr Smith's [2]</b> 8/10	160/16 161/15 165/5	157/15	equivalent [1] 169/19
91/21 92/4 95/14 97/1	<b>Dr Foster [1]</b> 137/14	100/3	early/mid-1980s [1]	embrace [1] 26/22	error [2] 42/25 44/2
97/7 97/22 98/3 98/16	<b>Dr Gilliver [1]</b> 122/25	<b>Dr Smithies [2]</b> 20/19	9/20	emerged [1] 15/5	errors [4] 42/24 48/14
99/9 99/11 100/1	Dr Green [6] 124/8	44/19	ease [1] 136/4	emerging [1] 131/15	48/15 48/16
100/3 100/11 102/1	125/1 125/8 125/9	Dr Snape [17] 1/4	easier [2] 171/13	emphasis [2] 39/23	especially [2] 8/18
102/16 102/20 104/7	125/13 125/17	1/12 1/15 4/11 39/25	173/10	85/4	159/24
105/2 105/3 105/4	Dr Gunson [1] 154/1	40/21 47/16 58/25	easily [1] 16/22	<b>employed [1]</b> 137/16	<b>essential</b> [1] 135/10
105/7 106/22 106/25	Dr Gunson's [1] 6/6	80/19 84/22 88/19	easy [1] 147/13	employees [1] 83/3	establish [2] 137/2
107/6 109/10 109/12	Dr Hamblin [1] 122/25		economic [3] 129/10	enacting [1] 19/5	165/21
109/15 109/17 109/23	<b>Dr Harold Gunson [1]</b> 5/17	127/5 158/11 173/14 Dr Tedder [3] 105/7	129/11 129/13	end [21] 21/16 26/23	established [7] 2/22
109/24 110/4 110/9	Dr Harvey [1] 82/14	109/17 115/9	Edinburgh [1] 8/20	42/18 64/10 78/15	2/25 41/14 84/5 85/24
112/20 112/20 113/3	Dr Jim Smith [1]	DR TERENCE [2] 1/3	effect [6] 80/4 85/8	80/11 88/6 94/9 99/6	89/2 102/6
113/13 115/9 115/9	174/3	176/2	85/14 86/24 152/1	111/21 112/12 122/13	establishment [2]
115/11 115/14 121/16	Dr John [2] 102/1	Dr Zuckerman [1]	163/10	127/14 127/15 127/20	16/8 111/13
121/24 121/25 122/5	121/24	151/20	effective [11] 8/9 9/24		estimate [1] 132/1
122/7 122/15 122/25	<b>Dr K [1]</b> 110/9	draw [1] 130/2	10/13 85/6 90/9	152/3 169/14 171/11	estimates [3] 27/22
122/25 123/1 123/1	Dr Lane [41] 5/10	drawing [4] 20/25	101/10 102/10 124/2 129/17 164/9 173/9	ending [1] 127/17	27/24 33/19 <b>estimating [2]</b> 131/20
123/1 123/2 123/3	5/18 5/22 6/4 6/8 7/6	41/11 65/21 90/8		energy [1] 128/16 Enforcement [2]	153/12
123/3 123/4 123/4	7/11 21/25 22/7 22/12	drawn [1] 61/9	120/8 121/22 137/4	111/1 111/7	etc [2] 92/12 124/12
123/4 123/7 124/8	22/14 27/6 27/9 27/18	drew [1] 72/13	effectiveness [1]	engaged [1] 161/20	Ethel [2] 25/8 33/1
124/19 125/1 125/8	28/1 51/25 52/16	dried [10] 41/24 63/7	122/11	engineer [1] 147/7	Ethel Bidwell [1] 25/8
125/9 125/13 125/17	61/10 72/9 72/25	73/24 76/6 76/7 77/15	effects [4] 2/15 2/15	engineers [2] 147/18	Ethel Bidwell's [1]
125/17 126/1 126/13	75/15 75/20 76/14	89/16 93/3 128/3	131/9 134/17	147/20	33/1
127/5 128/8 128/10	77/21 78/4 82/6 82/13	162/6	efficacy [1] 107/21	engineers' [1] 147/19	Europe [1] 92/11
128/20 129/25 132/1	91/21 92/4 95/14 97/1	drink [1] 165/16	efficiency [8] 12/8	England [3] 76/24	European [1] 61/20
137/4 137/14 138/1 142/2 142/10 142/15	97/7 97/22 98/3 98/16	driver [1] 24/2	12/23 12/25 13/12	128/18 155/10	Evatt [1] 98/25
146/21 146/22 149/25	104/7 123/4 128/20	drives [1] 22/22	13/15 13/16 13/18	Enhanced [1] 130/17	Evatt's [1] 99/9
151/20 151/20 151/25	142/10 142/15 146/22	driving [1] 13/12	93/15	enjoyed [1] 10/8	even [21] 7/4 9/16
152/8 153/11 154/1	<b>Dr Lane's [3]</b> 74/9	dropped [1] 157/18	efficient [5] 12/12	enormously [1]	20/9 22/24 23/23
158/11 163/10 173/14	128/10 142/2	<b>Drummond [1]</b> 25/11	12/12 12/14 12/24	154/24	28/10 43/22 58/2
174/3 174/3 175/13	<b>Dr M Barnes [1]</b> 105/3		160/2	enough [8] 9/14 58/23	59/13 69/6 74/19
175/14 176/2	<b>Dr Marbour [1]</b> 123/1	25/11	efforts [3] 152/16	77/13 95/8 146/4	96/21 98/9 114/9
Dr Alan [1] 125/17	Dr Maycock [6] 31/10	dry [1] 23/24	153/6 165/3	164/3 164/3 164/5	118/18 122/3 128/6
Dr Alan Green [1]	32/12 33/4 129/25	dryer [1] 137/9	<b>eg [3]</b> 83/20 85/19	enquired [1] 125/6	131/5 143/23 152/11
123/2	132/1 153/11	drying [2] 137/10	87/14	ensure [1] 60/15	167/7
Dr Alison [1] 17/18	Dr McAndrews [1]	137/11	eight [1] 110/23	enter [1] 144/20	event [5] 33/16 145/1
<b>Dr Aronson [2]</b> 84/9	123/3 Dr Napier [3] 107/6	due [4] 48/9 59/2	eight week [1] 110/23		145/1 164/5 171/8
88/25	113/3 113/13	104/9 124/21   during [9] 31/7 39/15		enthusiasm [2]	events [2] 42/19
Dr Aronstam [3] 84/3	<b>Dr Parry [1]</b> 123/1	40/12 57/15 58/7 67/8	16/11 21/1 33/10 46/5	127/23 128/16	101/22
84/8 123/3	Dr Perry [3] 138/1	106/20 173/2 173/24	48/10 69/1 136/25	entirely [2] 46/20	ever [6] 45/11 99/1
<b>Dr Barnes [2]</b> 105/4	175/13 175/14	:	141/16 148/22 157/13	46/21 entirety [1] 104/3	114/22 131/6 140/9 173/3
109/15	Dr Richard Lane [2]	E	elaborate [1] 93/17   electronic [1] 101/11	entities [1] 40/24	every [7] 13/6 20/3
<b>Dr Barnes' [1]</b> 106/22	128/8 174/3	each [13] 3/6 30/11	electronically [3]	entitled [3] 5/22 92/3	30/10 119/9 152/11
<b>Dr Bidwell [2]</b> 31/9	Dr Rizza [2] 71/8	30/14 30/16 43/11	60/24 168/13 168/23	121/11	156/16 168/24
33/5	149/25	43/13 43/15 44/9	elements [1] 37/2	entity [2] 9/20 37/11	everyone [1] 82/18
Dr Chisholm [1]	Dr Robinson [1]	44/10 49/14 101/14	eliminate [2] 8/1	envelope [2] 101/2	everything [2] 167/18
123/1 Dr. Crasko [12] 100/11	87/16	167/15 167/15	68/23	103/21	169/21
Dr Craske [12] 100/11	Dr Rosemary [1]	earlier [19] 6/24 8/13	elimination [1] 8/6	environment [1]	evidence [48] 3/21
109/24 110/4 115/9	163/10	17/17 35/14 36/20	Ellis [1] 25/11	96/18	5/16 5/19 8/10 23/7
115/11 121/25 122/15 123/4 123/7 124/19	Dr Smith [30] 5/18	52/14 55/15 56/10	else [4] 41/7 76/13	environmental [1]	23/18 23/18 24/5 24/7
151/20 152/8	8/17 16/13 21/21	57/20 61/24 74/16	164/2 173/15	166/12	36/20 39/12 46/18
Dr D [1] 105/2	23/20 39/13 51/25	80/21 82/1 100/9	Elstree [14] 24/3 25/9	envisaged [1] 74/2	48/11 50/11 59/3
Dr D.S Smith [1]	52/13 68/5 71/8 72/11	100/22 136/25 144/14	27/16 31/16 31/22	epidemiologist [2]	71/15 72/6 75/8 85/13
121/16	82/14 85/5 87/12	145/4 145/13	32/14 33/22 44/22	149/10 149/11	85/25 89/6 90/21
Dr David Aronson [1]	87/16 87/20 90/13	earliest [3] 73/15	49/2 95/25 102/3	equal [2] 5/2 11/5	90/23 91/4 91/11
84/10	90/15 100/1 106/25	73/22 92/20	131/4 161/9 166/19	<b>Equally [3]</b> 74/3 75/22	92/17 99/22 100/3
· · · · · <del>· -</del>	109/10 109/12 109/23	early [15] 3/16 8/12	Elstree' [1] 161/5	78/17	102/14 102/19 127/15
				ı	i de la companya de
					(53) DR evidence

E evidence... [17] 127/17 128/23 129/10 131/21 137/23 138/21 139/1 140/1 147/8 149/13 155/23 160/14 167/14 170/6 174/4 174/21 174/24 evoke [1] 51/6 exact [1] 31/24 exactly [5] 22/20 34/12 67/11 143/20 166/25 exaggeration [2] 156/4 156/4 examined [1] 74/7 example [17] 11/13 15/17 19/6 28/17 41/12 53/4 74/20 75/4 76/23 77/3 101/16 137/9 146/12 152/24 162/24 166/9 171/23 examples [1] 144/11 exceed [3] 11/8 11/16 11/17 exceeding [2] 110/12 123/11 excellent [1] 41/22 except [3] 17/5 46/18 82/18 **exception [1]** 125/10 **exchanged** [1] 153/2 exclude [2] 11/2 11/24 excluded [3] 1/25 111/10 119/3 **exclusion** [1] 75/4 excuses [1] 125/21 **executive** [6] 14/23 15/11 15/22 15/23 16/1 157/16 executive's [1] 15/10 **exercise [3]** 88/1 153/4 153/8 exhausted [1] 152/21 exist [1] 142/22 **existence** [1] 19/10 expand [3] 12/8 62/21 119/19 **expanding [1]** 148/13 expansion [1] 94/8 expect [3] 84/14 117/15 142/12 **expected [6]** 65/19 68/3 82/25 124/5 136/23 148/8 expensive [4] 76/11 86/21 86/25 111/11 experience [12] 24/21 58/14 58/15 98/5 103/3 103/4 132/25

133/24 146/25 147/10 147/21 169/21 experienced [1] 164/1 experimentation [1] 96/23 expert [1] 149/11 expertise [2] 146/19 146/25 expired [3] 106/1 112/1 135/23 explain [7] 12/21 32/8 44/3 47/8 49/5 150/13 157/24 **explained** [1] 59/21 explanation [1] 44/4 explicit [1] 141/20 explore [2] 21/1 77/22 exposed [2] 114/24 152/25 exposing [1] 148/23 **exposure [4]** 29/9 76/16 111/5 118/12 expressed [4] 88/10 95/19 98/2 117/13 expressions [1] 39/19 extend [3] 53/12 116/15 119/25 **extended** [5] 22/1 22/13 52/17 137/23 149/21 extension [4] 52/6 136/10 162/1 162/5 **extensive** [1] 87/7 extent [6] 68/7 84/5 87/2 89/2 122/11 146/22 external [2] 61/14 174/9 extra [1] 69/16 extractions [1] 29/25 **extremely [5]** 76/10 76/11 111/12 132/7 165/18 eye [2] 117/9 144/1 F.IX [2] 83/17 87/24 F.VIII [5] 83/16 84/3

84/21 85/15 87/24 face [1] 4/20 faced [3] 129/25 131/23 131/25 facilities [20] 73/12 96/1 96/5 96/6 96/11 98/8 111/14 116/25 142/1 153/18 158/25 160/5 160/15 160/20 160/21 160/22 161/9 162/16 165/14 166/24 facility [28] 15/17 15/18 40/15 52/7 53/11 53/16 54/2 54/5

79/21 96/24 97/2 117/2 127/25 128/10 131/4 131/7 132/14 138/18 159/14 161/4 162/13 162/19 162/20 163/2 163/22 164/7 164/8 165/23 fact [13] 18/5 20/7 43/18 50/16 58/19 59/24 59/25 70/15 75/16 90/8 115/11 129/18 140/21 factor [115] 1/21 1/22 2/13 4/6 6/14 10/7 10/16 10/21 13/21 14/3 15/17 17/21 17/25 18/4 19/2 22/2 25/1 25/23 27/15 28/17 28/18 28/18 28/24 29/1 29/2 29/3 29/4 29/17 29/20 29/21 29/24 29/25 31/18 31/22 33/9 33/9 33/13 34/23 39/5 39/6 40/11 41/10 41/10 43/4 49/1 50/22 52/2 52/18 62/11 62/15 63/7 70/5 72/20 72/22 73/7 74/6 74/8 77/6 78/22 79/5 79/5 92/24 93/5 93/16 93/20 95/1 96/17 104/10 105/16 105/22 108/8 110/24 121/12 124/12 125/11 130/7 130/10 130/22 130/25 133/6 133/10 134/3 134/25 135/18 135/20 136/1 137/25 138/18 139/3 148/10 152/25 153/10 153/12 154/23 155/2 155/16 155/21 155/22 157/18 158/23 159/7 160/2 162/8 163/5 163/12 163/24 164/10 164/12 164/14 164/20 164/23 164/24 164/25 166/11 166/11 factor IX [26] 1/22 28/17 28/18 29/1 29/3 29/17 29/21 29/24 29/25 33/9 33/13 39/6 41/10 74/6 74/8 79/5 93/20 105/16 125/11

133/10 164/12 164/14

164/23 164/24 164/25

Factor VII [1] 79/5

25/23 27/15 28/18

28/24 29/2 29/20

factor VIII [63] 1/21

13/21 14/3 15/17 25/1

feedback [2] 71/8

166/11

31/18 33/9 39/5 40/11 41/10 49/1 62/11 62/15 63/7 73/7 77/6 78/22 92/24 93/5 93/16 95/1 96/17 104/10 105/22 108/8 110/24 121/12 124/12 130/7 130/10 130/22 130/25 133/6 134/3 134/25 135/18 135/20 136/1 137/25 138/18 148/10 153/12 154/23 155/2 155/21 155/22 157/18 158/23 159/7 160/2 162/8 163/5 163/12 163/24 164/10 164/20 166/11 factors [9] 9/18 11/2 40/12 50/21 72/22 129/19 133/10 135/18 153/11 factory [12] 15/15 15/16 15/21 40/14 40/19 41/8 41/11 41/16 42/3 42/8 57/16 145/18 failed [1] 166/12 failing [1] 120/11 failure [3] 11/1 141/25 170/6 fair [22] 22/15 22/17 24/13 37/8 37/16 51/4 51/5 82/15 90/22 90/23 94/18 95/4 95/5 95/17 95/18 103/15 116/22 123/8 138/23 139/22 139/23 174/1 fairly [5] 2/19 20/4 43/25 62/1 175/10 falling [1] 157/14 falls [1] 128/21 familiarity [1] 146/4 far [9] 31/12 56/22 91/7 113/20 130/16 162/14 163/1 166/18 172/25 favourable [1] 138/9 favoured [1] 65/23 fax [4] 114/2 114/3 114/4 114/5 feasible [1] 87/4 February [9] 34/18 34/19 35/21 45/12 51/13 67/17 68/11 112/4 124/7 February '88 [1] 45/12 February 1987 [2] 67/17 68/11 February 1988 [3] 34/19 35/21 51/13 Federal [1] 92/13

172/8 feel [5] 57/13 109/20 140/21 161/13 173/17 feeling [2] 86/5 88/2 fell [1] 169/22 felt [7] 61/10 68/24 81/23 87/16 94/7 123/22 174/1 few [5] 87/22 104/4 160/23 173/1 174/6 fewer [2] 29/15 164/24 FHC [4] 34/25 35/2 54/4 136/10 FHC1 [1] 68/12 figure [14] 25/3 25/19 26/9 29/2 29/4 29/17 34/3 34/20 35/17 36/1 43/2 52/7 56/4 56/12 figures [13] 28/15 28/22 33/21 34/7 34/9 35/13 35/24 35/24 37/13 44/14 46/20 46/22 50/25 file [3] 101/9 102/6 115/13 files [1] 27/11 filtered [1] 166/6 final [12] 13/8 36/17 41/23 55/1 55/2 57/2 60/18 61/1 61/6 75/20 79/24 157/23 finally [4] 35/22 52/10 68/10 127/22 financed [1] 84/20 financial [1] 88/9 find [3] 140/11 143/24 161/7 finding [1] 46/14 findings [1] 120/20 fine [3] 30/21 96/24 157/2 finish [2] 37/19 115/3 finished [6] 106/9 108/2 108/4 126/14 167/17 167/22 finishing [2] 31/5 166/10 firm [3] 85/6 90/16 90/21 firmed [1] 5/4 first [45] 5/13 5/13 5/21 6/20 18/10 18/19 25/6 27/2 27/6 29/10 33/24 34/3 40/21 40/25 41/3 45/19 49/19 51/19 59/12 63/15 64/1 67/24 69/25 70/10 70/15

94/9 102/16 103/9

130/18 132/19 138/5 139/7 154/9 155/11 162/18 169/16 173/2 firstly [6] 16/8 35/24 68/18 94/25 98/18 137/17 fit [5] 26/14 45/8 45/13 47/6 63/9 fits [3] 43/7 44/23 46/22 five [8] 24/11 106/21 110/21 112/10 115/23 116/12 116/15 117/21 five weeks [1] 116/15 five-week [3] 112/10 116/12 117/21 flag [5] 95/10 98/20 101/23 145/5 152/11 flagged [3] 3/5 120/10 152/13 flags [4] 3/7 102/2 152/16 152/22 flexibility [3] 93/24 95/11 95/20 flipping [1] 115/7 floor [1] 30/8 flow [2] 41/16 101/13 focus [6] 6/14 24/1 45/18 147/12 173/22 174/2 follow [26] 2/22 13/25 56/1 87/8 88/12 89/17 109/9 110/3 111/18 114/7 115/4 119/16 121/12 121/23 122/7 122/9 123/7 123/17 124/16 143/21 154/5 154/8 171/2 172/7 172/11 173/9 follow-up [11] 2/22 87/8 109/9 110/3 111/18 115/4 119/16 143/21 171/2 172/7 172/11 follow-ups [1] 56/1 followed [8] 19/17 90/25 97/20 115/11 115/16 120/12 121/6 130/12 following [17] 18/10 32/20 52/1 60/4 73/19 82/6 105/4 113/5 113/7 120/14 121/9 121/18 122/18 132/16 148/22 161/3 161/15 follows [4] 6/16 13/4 63/12 105/23 food [2] 165/15 165/16 80/1 80/6 80/7 80/10 fora [1] 16/11 82/12 83/5 88/15 93/9 forbear [1] 147/17 forever [1] 128/18

(54) evidence... - forever

	0/00 7/4 / 7/00 0// /				
F	6/23 7/14 7/23 8/11	gained [2] 139/1	43/7 43/21 48/13	grounds [1] 94/12	161/15 162/10 162/12
forget [2] 160/10	14/14 36/21 70/2	139/12	49/21 51/6 51/14	group [7] 5/6 60/21	162/20 162/21 162/22
173/5	71/10 71/17 80/24	game [1] 97/18	51/19 52/24 55/7	60/25 61/3 68/3 74/13	164/6 164/19 165/24
forgive [4] 8/25 73/20	81/3 81/8 81/10 81/11	gap [5] 50/12 50/17	69/22 72/24 73/19	74/19	166/8 166/20 167/16
104/24 118/5	81/12 81/15 81/23	54/15 54/18 159/15	80/19 85/1 88/2 88/14	groups [2] 17/10	168/25 169/18 169/22
forgotten [1] 116/2	82/3 89/17	gathered [1] 134/9	88/15 91/19 91/24	142/17	170/8 171/21 172/15
form [10] 1/23 2/7	fractionators' [1] 14/9	gave [14] 5/19 24/7	94/14 99/5 102/12	grown [2] 146/20	172/23 172/23 173/1
8/22 19/8 37/14 64/25	fractions [2] 107/16	40/9 69/16 77/4 80/22	102/15 103/11 115/19	146/25	173/7 174/5
75/23 77/7 140/10	109/19	106/15 111/25 112/4	115/21 119/23 122/18	guess [3] 19/6 64/6	had telephone [1]
153/8	fractious [1] 125/15	112/7 139/5 146/22	127/11 145/4 158/15	143/6	113/25
forma [3] 101/4	free [9] 3/23 4/2 4/4	146/23 156/8	158/16 161/6 162/9	guidance [5] 15/12	hadn't [3] 37/11 41/9
101/11 103/20	63/14 65/25 67/23	geared [2] 137/10	168/23	60/4 75/3 149/12	167/7
formal [10] 5/15 17/0	68/16 87/6 141/10	137/11	goes [2] 73/3 158/2	154/3	Haemonetics [4] 29/8
17/12 45/15 100/16	freeze [11] 73/24 76/6	general [5] 11/4 81/10	going [60] 1/12 6/9	guide [6] 30/18 32/5	29/11 87/15 87/19
100/23 101/15 102/16	76/7 77/15 89/16 93/3	86/5 88/2 93/11	9/3 12/10 13/11 14/6	33/3 103/10 103/10	haemophilia [39] 1/19
139/8 151/8	128/3 137/9 137/10	generally [2] 97/22	15/6 15/15 17/14 22/7	103/14	2/18 2/19 4/16 62/12
formalise [1] 130/16	137/11 162/6	175/6	22/11 26/1 28/20	guise [1] 25/14	70/2 73/3 83/14 86/4
formalised [1] 100/20	<b>freeze dryer [1]</b> 137/9	generated [4] 35/5	33/22 35/3 42/9 44/12	Gunson [3] 5/17 73/1	92/12 92/18 109/25
formed [5] 84/24 89/9	freeze drying [2]	59/14 59/16 69/15	48/12 51/7 54/1 57/17	154/1	110/2 122/22 123/25
90/14 97/14 142/11	137/10 137/11	genetic [1] 170/15	59/1 62/17 65/23	Gunson's [1] 6/6	124/12 124/13 132/4
former [1] 57/10	freeze-dried [7] 76/6	genuine [1] 93/9	71/14 71/21 78/23	H	134/8 138/20 138/22
formulated [1] 126/18	76/7 77/15 89/16 93/3	German [1] 169/20	81/19 82/11 82/11	<u>п</u>	138/23 139/3 139/12
forth [1] 142/2	128/3 162/6	get [11] 34/20 38/8	86/22 88/23 90/10	had [156] 1/25 4/1 5/1	139/14 139/17 139/18
forward [5] 53/10	frequent [1] 144/15	45/11 51/13 97/17	91/19 91/21 98/12	7/9 7/23 9/23 14/1	149/18 150/25 151/3
98/17 99/14 144/24	fresh [2] 110/22	113/14 120/15 124/1	98/17 100/6 101/18	14/18 18/16 18/23	151/23 153/3 163/25
150/18	111/15	134/3 154/11 172/8	104/2 106/13 111/24	19/10 20/19 20/25	164/2 164/11 164/12
Foster [1] 137/14	fridges [1] 62/16	gets [1] 45/2	117/10 118/23 121/5	22/7 22/8 22/17 23/1	169/9 169/10 170/8
found [9] 34/10 46/25	frolic [1] 22/7	getting [7] 52/10 60/2	124/6 127/13 134/11	23/3 25/12 26/5 27/22	haemophilia A [1]
64/7 71/24 76/4	from [285]	113/25 118/17 123/16	142/15 143/17 145/18	31/20 32/15 32/16	170/8
120/19 146/6 150/4	front [4] 40/2 101/5	125/15 170/23	145/24 154/7 154/11	33/5 33/11 33/14	haemophiliac [5] 70/
162/25	101/12 143/14	gift [1] 129/17	158/20 162/17 164/2	33/16 37/21 38/4 38/9	93/10 123/21 130/9
	frozen [5] 31/5 36/11	Gilbert [1] 147/6	167/7 171/14 175/7	38/20 41/14 41/14	171/3
<b>four [10]</b> 3/7 54/3 88/23 90/25 91/15	58/4 110/22 111/15	Gilbert Mallory [1]	gone [10] 28/8 28/13	41/20 41/22 41/24	haemophiliacs [11]
	fulfilling [2] 103/8	147/6	31/20 34/9 50/5 50/8	42/2 42/20 42/23	6/18 7/18 7/19 70/9
102/1 152/10 152/16	166/10	Gilliver [1] 122/25	121/4 149/11 167/11	43/16 44/17 53/11	84/4 85/10 85/25 89/1
158/13 170/22	full [6] 88/5 102/4	give [19] 8/8 25/15	175/3	53/18 53/22 56/5 58/8	92/17 93/13 110/6
fourth [2] 72/25 152/7	102/4 104/13 154/15	28/14 31/14 34/17	good [17] 1/4 1/5 1/9	58/9 58/17 58/17 60/5	haemophilic [2] 71/12
Fowler [2] 110/9	161/11	43/4 43/5 45/16 71/9	17/1 20/9 65/8 65/14	60/18 61/6 61/19	81/5
121/1	fully [1] 129/19	92/17 111/24 122/4	65/16 65/18 76/15	64/16 66/9 67/7 68/24	half [3] 85/15 126/8
fraction [8] 63/8	function [4] 57/6 57/8	126/17 127/16 133/25	79/15 80/12 97/21	69/1 70/6 71/3 72/2	147/3
105/18 105/20 106/4	113/23 118/19	136/22 144/11 152/24	99/4 120/22 132/15	72/6 73/1 76/19 76/21	halls [1] 119/24
106/11 107/12 136/7	fundamental [2]	155/6	160/24	77/7 79/2 83/8 83/18	Hamblin [1] 122/25
138/15	111/18 119/16	given [38] 6/9 9/1	got [10] 15/13 77/21	84/4 84/15 85/25 89/1	hand [15] 47/22 48/1
Fraction V [1] 138/15	fundamentally [1]	23/11 25/18 28/22	98/24 124/3 124/23	89/15 89/22 94/20	49/12 49/13 49/21
Tractionate [4] 30/21	35/7	28/25 29/2 29/5 34/5	126/22 158/13 169/23	94/24 96/11 97/2 97/4	50/6 51/10 51/14
38/22 50/14 155/3	funded [1] 97/4	34/8 39/14 48/4 49/15	170/18 172/12	98/5 99/3 99/3 102/22	62/23 63/17 65/6
iractionated [10] 4/14	furnish [1] 122/10	57/4 59/3 59/3 59/22	granted [2] 102/4	103/19 109/13 112/17	98/11 116/25 167/20
20/13/49/10/01/01/10	further [21] 21/11	62/18 64/1 66/24 67/4	117/16	113/17 113/25 114/3	167/25
100/4 110/13 112/11	24/23 35/12 42/15	67/16 74/8 78/1 79/21	grateful [2] 47/7	115/1 115/14 116/12	handed [1] 98/9
115/21 118/15	52/3 54/12 67/4 72/24	91/8 100/18 105/12	122/13	116/25 117/3 117/18	handled [2] 42/1
fractionating [2] 14/1	83/20 84/14 94/6	113/20 116/16 116/22	great [3] 167/2 174/9	123/12 124/18 125/6	120/7
134/22	107/16 108/2 124/11	141/5 147/14 147/14	174/21	125/8 128/4 128/18	hands [1] 137/22
fractionation [17]	126/23 128/5 136/20	148/10 150/11 164/21	greater [11] 9/9 9/21	132/23 132/24 133/4	happen [6] 53/18 68/
8/19 10/24 12/13 13/3	137/13 141/4 148/3	171/15	11/6 11/6 12/24 34/21	133/6 133/22 134/15	126/19 137/6 155/18
24/12 29/19 38/11	162/4	gives [1] 168/4	85/21 135/2 140/23	135/9 137/9 138/22	155/18
51/3 74/3 75/8 78/17	future [6] 53/5 56/18	giving [5] 26/10 95/14	140/24 141/2	142/5 143/19 143/19	happened [12] 20/5
98/19 110/18 129/10	96/25 128/14 163/3	113/18 123/6 174/24	greatest [1] 14/10	143/24 144/2 144/3	20/19 23/1 39/7 41/23
141/6 146/21 161/25	163/13	GMP [5] 79/13 79/15	greatly [1] 9/15	144/5 144/16 144/17	89/19 99/6 99/7
	future-proof [1] 53/5	79/21 131/5 131/7	Green [9] 123/2 124/8	144/24 146/20 146/21	136/21 146/3 163/7
fractionator [4] 12/7		19121 10110 10111		146/25 149/23 149/25	171/22
89/14 139/20 158/1		no [44] 6/12 8/24	1 175/1 175/8 175/4		
89/14 139/20 158/1 fractionator's [1] 24/2	G	go [44] 6/12 8/24	125/1 125/8 125/9		
89/14 139/20 158/1 fractionator's [1] 24/2 fractionators [19]	G	24/23 28/21 33/18	125/13 125/17 125/17	150/4 151/12 153/7	happening [3] 46/1
89/14 139/20 158/1 fractionator's [1] 24/2 fractionators [19]					
89/14 139/20 158/1 fractionator's [1] 24/2 fractionators [19]	G	24/23 28/21 33/18	125/13 125/17 125/17	150/4 151/12 153/7	happening [3] 46/1

happens [5] 13/10 126/13 158/20 170/13 171/23 hard [1] 46/14 harder [2] 30/22 123/14 hardly [5] 58/15 58/22 69/8 69/8 79/20 harm [1] 132/15 Harold [2] 5/17 74/14 Harvey [2] 16/14 82/14 has [51] 9/7 12/7 13/8 21/25 22/12 31/21 33/24 35/17 40/2 44/15 48/12 50/5 50/8 51/15 52/16 63/4 67/19 68/13 68/19 71/22 74/10 83/15 84/2 86/19 93/6 94/4 94/11 99/16 99/16 105/12 106/20 120/18 122/1 122/9 122/25 124/22 125/4 125/5 126/13 126/14 141/22 143/22 156/9 170/5 170/7 170/18 171/15 171/15 171/25 173/6 174/18 hasn't [1] 174/16 hate [1] 117/24 have [442] haven't [5] 67/7 77/21 98/2 127/6 168/2 having [16] 2/16 6/5 20/6 24/21 32/3 34/16 47/1 47/17 52/22 90/1 93/25 97/10 110/6 163/1 164/1 166/16 he [46] 4/11 6/11 7/8 7/9 8/10 8/20 8/21 15/12 19/18 22/17 23/21 40/2 46/25 73/3 75/16 75/25 76/2 76/9 76/17 76/18 76/25 77/1 78/15 82/21 83/9 97/8 98/5 98/6 98/8 104/19 109/18 109/19 109/21 113/16 122/1 123/23 124/4 124/10 124/19 124/21 124/23 125/2 125/3 125/7 126/14 137/5 head [7] 16/13 21/15 31/10 47/1 111/22 147/6 154/15 headed [3] 28/4 82/8 heading [1] 91/25 headquarters [1] 101/23 101/25 131/17

114/6 headroom [2] 49/25 50/10 Health [9] 44/19 120/24 132/3 141/18 142/8 142/14 142/17 148/1 148/2 hear [2] 1/6 1/8 heard [5] 2/9 8/10 50/11 137/14 170/7 hearing [1] 6/5 heat [36] 18/3 19/2 20/6 20/11 21/23 22/1 23/22 23/24 35/9 36/19 41/24 52/17 54/5 67/20 68/14 70/7 81/17 84/24 85/6 85/16 86/3 88/3 89/10 89/20 90/9 90/20 93/1 98/18 99/19 99/24 107/21 107/22 127/8 136/9 148/11 164/17 heat-treated [9] 21/23 22/1 36/19 52/17 54/5 84/24 85/16 86/3 88/3 heated [2] 55/2 89/15 Heath [1] 108/12 heating [1] 166/6 heavy [1] 85/21 heeded [2] 97/10 142/3 heeding [1] 142/4 heightened [1] 92/19 held [14] 4/22 82/8 105/20 106/8 106/9 107/5 107/17 107/19 107/25 108/5 108/6 108/11 112/16 114/20 help [9] 44/23 45/15 47/8 48/22 70/8 138/1 168/2 175/4 175/9 helpful [6] 54/11 59/4 69/8 84/12 99/18 145/9 helpfully [1] 99/23 helping [1] 132/22 hence [1] 55/9 hepatitis [63] 1/13 1/21 1/23 1/24 1/25 2/3 2/7 2/11 3/14 4/17 4/21 4/25 6/18 6/22 7/10 7/20 8/18 8/22 11/15 11/16 17/5 23/10 23/15 24/4 36/23 36/24 37/1 37/10 37/15 57/22 58/12 63/14 63/24 67/5 67/22 68/15 76/21 80/22 82/1 85/4 89/23 89/24 90/6 90/11 94/4 100/10

131/23 139/5 140/25 141/10 141/13 149/3 149/5 150/19 150/20 150/23 151/22 152/8 152/14 172/16 hepatitis A [1] 1/24 hepatitis B [3] 1/25 11/16 37/10 hepatitis-reduced [1] 85/4 here [11] 25/18 26/6 27/20 28/11 28/22 31/25 42/22 46/23 56/7 108/1 165/17 herself [1] 172/14 high [6] 11/24 72/19 83/23 87/8 91/17 94/3 high-risk [2] 83/23 91/17 higher [4] 31/23 52/7 136/3 152/14 highlight [1] 36/14 highlighted [1] 63/11 Hill [11] 1/10 1/11 44/16 47/15 48/18 48/21 84/6 127/4 170/17 174/18 176/3 Hill's [1] 159/9 him [14] 40/2 40/4 45/4 77/21 77/24 95/10 113/15 122/16 122/22 124/22 124/24 125/6 125/21 141/23 himself [4] 28/6 82/21 97/8 172/14 hindsight [2] 66/12 123/12 hinted [1] 160/13 hinting [1] 76/13 his [14] 72/9 77/4 91/22 97/9 98/16 100/1 112/22 122/21 123/7 128/7 128/13 128/21 138/8 142/16 history [4] 83/21 99/9 99/23 109/13 HIV [13] 27/8 64/11 64/14 65/14 65/22 66/2 66/10 66/15 69/19 96/19 131/17 131/23 153/7 HIV litigation [1] 27/8 HL [3] 36/7 63/6 63/9 HL 1350 [1] 36/7 HL1386 [1] 77/2 HL3186 [19] 104/10 105/15 105/22 107/1 107/9 108/9 108/20 110/3 110/7 112/13 115/21 121/13 121/18

121/24 122/14 124/17

153/5 171/23 172/3

HL5 [2] 63/18 63/23 HLA [1] 150/11 hold [15] 57/8 58/4 58/7 59/1 116/4 116/5 116/6 116/8 116/11 116/12 116/19 117/25 118/10 119/2 144/10 holding [1] 115/25 home [9] 62/13 97/5 97/9 107/5 107/9 112/23 114/21 130/14 136/5 homosexual [1] 104/18 homosexuals [2] 70/20 92/16 hope [4] 49/4 54/8 126/9 174/16 hoped [1] 35/3 hopefully [1] 156/24 hoping [1] 124/1 hospital [9] 104/16 122/23 123/2 124/9 147/19 147/20 169/10 169/10 173/8 hot [2] 165/15 165/16 hour [2] 120/12 126/8 hours [1] 166/16 house [5] 5/11 5/25 108/5 132/25 157/13 how [52] 16/7 23/7 23/12 24/6 26/14 30/4 32/20 33/10 38/23 43/2 43/7 44/3 44/23 45/7 45/13 45/16 46/22 47/5 50/13 54/18 54/20 56/19 57/20 62/22 64/17 67/25 72/6 85/6 90/9 97/18 99/5 116/12 117/10 125/7 139/12 140/4 141/13 146/6 146/12 150/18 150/21 154/3 154/6 159/18 161/22 162/9 167/18 168/5 168/7 170/7 170/17 172/12 however [5] 13/21 20/24 109/21 111/6 127/13 **HT3 [1]** 68/8 HTLV [10] 23/23 24/1 64/11 64/14 65/22 66/1 69/20 105/8 107/21 150/4 HTLV-III [10] 23/23 24/1 64/11 64/14 65/22 66/1 69/20 105/8 107/21 150/4 human [4] 5/23 63/13 67/18 68/13 hundreds [2] 38/23

HWP [1] 102/2 Hyland [1] 89/15 hypothetical [1] 37/20 laccept [2] 84/8 125/3 l agree [1] 20/20 l also [4] 2/19 32/23 36/3 137/20 l am [17] 1/17 6/7 15/1 17/23 30/12 32/17 34/14 46/12 46/23 59/2 68/24 91/20 98/8 122/10 124/12 137/11 154/14 l appreciate [1] 50/23 lask [1] 54/15 l attach [1] 27/11 I became [2] 1/18 I begin [4] 59/9 129/9 158/2 158/15 I believe [8] 2/14 14/22 16/4 18/23 19/4 41/2 114/25 142/13 I can [15] 1/8 1/8 16/22 39/25 46/11 58/24 63/16 63/16 69/3 115/24 142/24 150/15 153/1 157/15 168/7 I can't [14] 3/2 19/13 21/10 25/6 25/15 35/3 44/3 46/11 64/12 136/22 143/9 152/9 167/25 168/2 I cannot [1] 39/1 I certainly [5] 15/2 117/16 125/6 140/14 140/19 I could [7] 36/14 37/19 48/21 120/16 141/15 165/22 167/19 I couldn't [1] 123/15 I did [4] 137/1 139/10 149/20 173/21 I didn't [2] 117/15 147/8 I do [7] 31/2 32/22 68/20 98/14 113/16 131/22 150/16 Idon't [43] 3/20 6/6 15/1 16/21 19/25 20/1 20/1 33/4 33/12 33/15 35/5 43/20 49/3 56/3 62/22 64/15 71/5 71/6 81/19 82/21 102/21 113/17 114/3 114/10

114/25 120/2 120/10

124/3 125/5 125/15

125/20 136/11 138/5 140/15 140/18 141/1 141/19 146/4 149/8 150/14 152/18 153/9 153/9 I doubt [1] 117/23 l explained [1] 59/21 l explore [1] 77/22 I feel [1] 173/17 I felt [2] 123/22 174/1 I first [1] 25/6 I guess [2] 19/6 143/6 I had [10] 33/16 53/11 53/18 72/6 113/17 123/12 149/23 169/18 169/22 174/5 I hate [1] 117/24 I have [32] 32/1 52/3 68/2 71/25 73/20 75/11 75/11 78/16 80/11 99/1 115/2 116/2 120/12 120/14 125/24 127/5 129/2 129/12 130/1 134/21 141/7 145/12 148/25 150/10 158/10 158/13 163/9 167/21 171/9 173/13 174/19 174/20 I haven't [4] 67/7 98/2 127/6 168/2 I heard [1] 2/9 I hope [4] 49/4 54/8 126/9 174/16 I hoped [1] 35/3 I intend [1] 124/15 I interpret [1] 72/2 I interrupted [1] 22/10 l just [17] 3/19 12/21 13/14 20/18 25/3 25/17 25/20 43/18 47/16 49/6 56/15 71/24 98/20 100/14 145/7 156/7 164/13 I knew [2] 53/2 114/8 I know [8] 23/20 45/14 115/11 115/13 137/19 138/7 174/4 174/8 I make [3] 84/6 120/20 132/12 I may [8] 42/13 49/4 54/12 57/19 123/5 126/4 173/17 175/3 I mean [8] 12/10 31/2 36/7 43/22 44/4 123/23 152/9 152/19 I met [2] 139/18 149/19 I moved [1] 162/25 I must [1] 6/7 I need [1] 30/2 I object [1] 124/15 I only [1] 125/25

(56) happens - I only

91/12 99/8 100/2 I pause [1] 104/22 I personally [1] 141/3 I pin [1] 25/5 l possibly [1] 123/13 I presume [3] 21/2 162/18 165/5 74/24 148/15 I thought [2] 18/8 I promise [1] 57/2 53/3 I quote [6] 1/16 24/24 I tried [1] 173/22 40/8 63/12 81/2 83/6 I turn [1] 158/11 Iread [3] 40/7 75/19 131/18 63/9 104/8 112/24 I really [2] 113/22 120/23 121/25 125/17 l use [1] 42/6 I recall [3] 59/17 I used [1] 115/24 74/20 102/3 I visited [1] 25/9 I received [1] 28/2 I want [7] 127/16 I referenced [1] 98/23 I referred [1] 74/16 I reserve [1] 124/17 I wanted [1] 51/6 I right [9] 17/7 18/11 78/7 78/20 94/13 13/19 22/11 25/7 97/16 118/13 133/13 165/6 54/7 57/19 70/15 I said [2] 24/14 145/4 71/14 74/18 80/21 I say [1] 173/18 81/12 90/12 102/3 Isee [3] 46/12 55/19 175/8 I shall [1] 47/9 173/22 I should [8] 11/8 45/17 57/24 98/15 100/18 104/22 119/24 145/21 149/10 120/20 I simply [1] 67/9 59/5 70/25 88/14 I speak [1] 126/3 98/13 99/19 118/6 I spoke [1] 113/7 I started [1] 146/8 I stress [2] 24/20 141/22 159/1 48/14 I wish [1] 125/22 I struggle [1] 65/20 l won't [10] 35/12 I suppose [2] 170/12 35/13 63/2 71/15 171/18 I suspect [3] 65/2 96/3 97/7 l wonder [2] 12/8 I take [6] 11/3 46/19 42/10 54/19 83/25 145/14 161/13 I think [65] 2/9 4/1 4/10 11/21 15/11 6/23 7/8 7/16 8/17 19/19 23/14 24/10 24/14 26/16 26/17 30/2 33/1 39/3 39/17 40/6 43/5 44/9 45/18 59/8 61/20 64/15 45/25 46/21 54/7 55/23 57/10 57/18 70/14 71/7 71/11 64/15 68/20 69/5 69/11 70/11 70/21 88/17 91/10 103/7 71/7 71/10 71/14 71/16 71/19 73/17 75/11 76/2 76/2 76/8 149/11 150/6 151/25 76/17 76/17 80/13 156/3 166/1 166/2

81/3 81/13 82/2 90/18 115/11 120/10 124/3 136/18 138/21 140/6 141/15 142/5 142/21 152/12 154/17 161/1 l understand [6] 46/4 127/22 167/9 174/14 175/1 175/1 175/10 I was [25] 4/18 11/21 26/20 44/1 44/12 51/7 114/9 116/10 117/12 123/11 123/25 135/12 I wasn't [7] 4/16 125/7 134/13 134/14 137/19 I will [16] 39/10 49/8 126/4 126/8 126/10 129/3 134/24 140/8 97/14 102/15 103/11 128/20 145/4 163/4 I wondered [1] 170/1 l worked [1] 153/20 I would [47] 5/9 6/3 8/17 8/23 15/1 19/16 21/7 24/14 28/3 31/2 39/2 44/25 47/7 56/25 65/22 66/20 68/2 68/5 75/13 80/24 81/3 82/5 103/22 114/25 115/18 122/13 136/23 145/4

I wouldn't [4] 11/24 35/5 140/14 145/20 I wrote [1] 44/1 l'd [4] 24/5 51/19 74/19 116/1 I'd even [1] 74/19 I'II [5] 21/16 26/13 33/12 100/11 147/17 l'm [74] 6/3 6/11 7/9 9/3 12/10 15/24 16/21 17/14 19/11 20/20 20/20 21/11 26/15 26/17 28/20 31/12 32/7 40/21 42/11 43/25 44/2 45/1 46/14 46/17 46/20 47/1 48/12 52/10 57/17 62/17 64/7 64/10 65/20 65/25 66/13 66/21 68/4 71/21 76/10 76/11 81/19 82/11 91/8 91/19 98/12 99/20 100/6 104/2 111/23 114/4 114/19 116/2 120/15 120/20 121/5 124/6 125/20 126/1 127/6 127/14 137/22 137/25 147/7 149/9 149/10 150/14 154/15 156/3 158/4 158/20 167/24 173/5 174/11 174/14 I've [5] 9/1 23/20 67/8 76/22 80/20 l've been [1] 80/20 **I**, **[1]** 162/24 I, for [1] 162/24 I/C [1] 25/15 lan [2] 5/19 147/7 idea [2] 17/1 119/22 ideas [2] 146/13 146/13 identifiable [2] 168/12 170/14 identification [1] 122/5 identified [7] 23/24 37/11 70/6 102/2 110/6 156/11 168/21 identifier [1] 168/19 identify [5] 118/8 153/6 171/6 172/20 172/22 identifying [5] 69/17 128/11 167/11 170/5 171/14 ie [5] 11/10 86/14 87/21 87/22 106/3 **if [155]** 4/16 5/13 6/12 8/24 11/13 11/22 11/23 11/25 12/8 14/1

16/19 19/8 19/21

19/25 20/1 20/16 20/19 21/15 23/11 23/24 24/18 25/5 25/16 25/17 27/2 27/19 31/6 31/24 33/16 36/3 36/5 36/10 36/14 37/19 37/21 38/20 42/10 42/13 42/16 43/3 43/7 44/12 46/9 47/5 47/21 48/7 48/8 48/17 48/21 49/3 49/21 50/5 52/9 52/24 54/12 56/15 56/19 57/19 57/21 58/3 58/11 59/14 61/5 61/10 62/21 64/13 65/3 65/18 65/23 67/14 68/10 69/3 69/18 69/22 72/24 73/19 76/17 77/3 77/7 77/11 77/25 78/2 78/8 78/20 79/2 80/6 83/16 85/1 85/14 85/16 86/23 87/5 87/25 88/15 91/11 91/24 92/20 94/7 94/13 94/21 96/12 97/7 97/18 97/22 97/25 100/14 114/7 115/1 115/19 115/24 116/1 116/14 117/23 122/13 122/15 122/17 123/5 123/8 124/18 125/17 126/4 126/22 126/23 129/7 129/18 129/23 132/19 134/13 134/15 134/19 134/21 138/2 140/18 142/15 143/18 151/9 153/14 154/8 155/13 158/15 160/17 160/19 162/12 162/20 162/21 164/19 165/22 165/24 168/25 170/1 170/13 173/15 173/17 174/5 175/2 **Ig [1]** 84/1 ii [6] 40/12 105/7 105/18 106/11 130/14 131/14 iii [12] 23/23 24/1 64/11 64/14 65/22 66/1 69/20 105/8 105/9 107/21 130/15 imagine [2] 7/8 46/14 immediate [1] 162/2 immune [3] 83/6 85/25 92/3 immunoglobulin [9] 10/2 93/20 129/20 133/11 135/10 135/12

135/17 137/24 162/5

immunoglobulins [4] 10/10 10/12 79/6 135/21 impact [5] 23/12 89/22 94/3 131/14 166/4 implemented [4] 22/5 52/21 73/5 144/3 implicated [1] 106/23 implication [1] 107/1 implications [4] 73/13 74/6 112/21 132/17 implicit [1] 10/6 import [1] 137/7 importance [1] 93/25 important [7] 10/25 17/16 53/17 62/9 104/24 172/8 173/18 importation [1] 163/23 **imports [1]** 128/19 imposed [6] 147/22 147/24 159/11 159/12 162/21 162/21 impossibility [1] 167/2 impossible [2] 34/4 96/21 impression [5] 7/17 139/2 139/5 139/11 143/6 improper [2] 96/10 96/15 improperly [1] 132/14 improve [1] 154/3 improved [3] 120/17 144/5 163/12 improvement [1] 160/23 improvements [2] 159/13 159/14 inaccurate [1] 33/10 inactivate [1] 93/1 inactivates [1] 107/23 inactivation [16] 8/5 10/11 10/14 41/12 41/13 41/21 41/23 42/4 42/5 68/8 81/18 94/6 96/8 96/9 107/21 127/8 inadequacies [1] 166/24 inadequacy [2] 160/15 161/14 inadequate [2] 160/5 162/7 inappropriate [2] 132/14 163/19 incidence [4] 10/17 72/17 94/4 152/14 incident [14] 21/13 77/2 101/2 101/4

101/9 101/15 101/15 102/6 104/1 104/2 105/1 110/11 111/12 152/8 incidents [5] 33/8 101/1 111/19 119/17 173/5 include [2] 74/19 117/7 included [8] 12/4 16/12 28/16 48/25 65/16 66/10 66/15 146/17 includes [1] 55/5 including [21] 9/22 10/16 12/18 39/14 67/21 68/15 72/10 75/17 83/23 91/2 101/5 101/13 107/4 107/9 112/23 123/23 131/9 132/3 132/3 161/10 172/6 inclusion [2] 47/4 121/18 incomplete [2] 89/6 106/9 inconvenience [1] 174/17 increase [22] 16/15 18/1 18/5 21/3 21/5 22/8 29/8 35/16 44/20 46/8 50/15 53/12 64/22 85/2 86/16 90/2 90/4 148/4 148/13 148/18 159/6 166/20 increased [18] 9/8 10/5 20/13 22/5 22/25 24/6 32/22 33/6 36/8 38/3 42/20 44/15 50/13 50/20 52/21 56/6 141/4 163/11 increases [5] 11/12 11/12 11/12 51/12 87/18 increasing [6] 36/9 45/9 72/17 92/9 141/3 148/6 increasingly [2] 150/7 152/12 INCREP02 [3] 101/16 103/24 104/6 incubation [1] 110/12 indeed [5] 49/10 79/20 131/20 147/14 150/2 indefatigable [1] 127/23 index [3] 101/21 169/2 175/17 indicate [2] 22/14 90/24 indicated [4] 76/23

(57) I pause - indicated

indicated... [3] 84/3 88/25 109/18 indicates [1] 92/15 indication [2] 90/19 106/18 individual [25] 27/25 45/5 46/5 46/25 53/13 58/2 58/11 58/16 66/18 119/4 119/9 127/22 144/24 146/2 146/2 146/5 155/7 167/15 168/6 168/10 168/14 168/20 168/24 169/6 172/2 individuals [11] 10/1 27/13 59/24 60/24 66/18 75/5 91/17 143/9 143/20 149/21 156/6 indulge [1] 66/12 industry [1] 147/17 inevitable [2] 162/10 162/23 infected [10] 11/7 20/10 77/12 96/19 149/6 167/12 169/16 171/13 171/17 173/20 infection [16] 9/10 10/4 10/18 11/20 67/21 67/23 68/15 140/24 144/19 144/22 151/5 153/7 170/12 170/13 171/11 171/16 infections [2] 4/7 68/17 infectious [1] 131/16 infective [5] 9/15 9/22 11/14 12/3 42/24 infectivity [1] 10/15 Infirmary [1] 8/20 influences [2] 15/19 174/9 information [23] 3/8 4/18 19/15 27/14 28/1 67/1 67/5 113/18 113/20 115/1 120/15 122/16 123/14 123/16 140/11 140/12 150/21 150/24 151/2 151/16 151/21 152/5 153/2 informed [7] 16/15 22/8 106/25 107/7 113/4 120/8 121/15 informing [2] 18/11 19/8 infrastructure [1] 161/18 inherent [1] 133/22 inherited [1] 161/18 initial [4] 125/18 22/15 50/25 51/4 51/5

127/14 127/21 157/5 initially [4] 11/17 25/23 165/1 165/12 initials [2] 107/2 112/25 initiate [1] 110/3 injection [1] 160/1 input [3] 17/10 17/12 83/12 **INQ0000345 [1]** 48/5 inquiring [1] 37/5 Inquiry [14] 48/2 48/16 71/22 99/16 127/12 127/21 128/21 137/14 163/9 170/7 173/16 173/20 174/1 174/22 Inquiry's [1] 48/2 insert [1] 67/7 inside [1] 66/6 insofar [2] 158/6 175/6 inspection [5] 55/3 59/17 60/6 60/14 159/12 Inspector [2] 58/20 60/2Inspectorate [2] 159/11 162/10 inspectors [1] 103/6 installed [1] 138/6 instance [3] 45/19 110/16 111/2 instead [1] 120/13 Institute [4] 147/11 147/23 147/24 161/19 intend [1] 124/15 intended [6] 26/21 41/4 62/3 62/6 62/6 intention [1] 175/8 interaction [4] 41/18 41/18 47/18 138/22 interactions [1] 165/13 interdict [2] 58/11 169/5 interdicting [1] 58/16 interesting [1] 4/19 intermediate [3] 63/8 93/5 108/4 internal [3] 83/2 92/2 103/24 international [7] 112/8 145/11 145/16 156/9 156/17 156/20 156/20 internationally [1] 81/11 interpret [2] 31/2 72/2 | IOW [1] 109/6 interpretation [6]

51/5 91/22 irreducible [2] 13/7 interpreted [1] 31/2 interrupted [1] 22/10 interviews [1] 173/20 intimately [1] 137/19 into [38] 3/16 13/22 20/7 30/7 30/15 35/25 38/8 40/15 60/25 68/1 68/4 70/24 74/5 77/4 77/5 78/19 79/11 87/13 96/18 100/20 105/13 115/21 118/5 119/10 127/11 131/15 132/13 137/18 145/18 153/13 158/2 160/16 162/4 163/3 163/13 166/5 168/14 172/3 intramuscular [2] 10/9 135/11 intravenous [1] 159/25 introduce [4] 81/17 96/18 145/13 166/9 introduced [5] 20/6 27/4 41/20 93/19 145/14 introducing [3] 81/16 90/5 167/2 introduction [4] 30/19 30/20 157/11 168/5 invaluable [1] 82/20 invent [1] 125/21 inventory [13] 58/4 58/7 116/4 116/5 116/8 116/11 116/12 116/19 117/25 118/5 118/10 119/2 144/10 investigate [3] 137/2 169/4 169/23 investigations [1] 107/20 investment [2] 95/24 163/15 inviting [2] 78/1 140/6 involve [3] 14/16 14/17 55/13 involved [23] 5/24 40/22 59/23 61/19 61/23 87/5 100/8

109/8 114/16 118/20

119/4 131/19 132/19

133/12 135/5 137/19

140/15 140/23 141/6

involvement [1]

involving [3] 73/14

irradiated [1] 128/3

161/12

169/19

73/21 175/7

**IPP [1]** 105/13

144/18 145/21 147/14

irrelevant [1] 11/25 irresistible [1] 75/24 irritating [1] 123/22 Isle [1] 42/24 Isn't [1] 4/9 issue [1] 106/7 issued [9] 33/13 38/6 62/10 62/13 84/2 110/19 112/2 112/12 112/23 issues [7] 8/7 8/18 10/5 78/4 107/9 132/22 161/12 issuing [1] 163/10 it's [40] 5/12 9/1 9/6 13/12 18/23 19/7 19/15 19/16 21/8 22/17 30/22 37/9 38/1 39/11 40/2 40/5 49/3 49/17 50/21 51/5 51/5 55/16 62/9 65/4 68/20 69/14 80/6 80/8 82/9 99/18 100/2 100/13 121/11 143/22 149/8 149/9 156/16 167/10 168/3 168/4 item [1] 83/5 its [14] 22/13 31/13 61/22 66/16 75/3 80/10 91/25 94/24 131/5 136/9 138/9 143/7 143/8 144/25 itself [5] 8/3 56/15 140/13 167/3 167/17 iv [1] 130/17 IX [28] 1/22 28/17 28/18 29/1 29/3 29/17 29/21 29/24 29/25 31/22 33/9 33/13 39/6 40/12 41/10 74/6 74/8 79/5 93/20 105/16 125/11 133/10 164/12 164/14 164/23 164/24 164/25 166/11 January [6] 27/7 31/11 50/4 56/11 91/12 121/8 January '83 [1] 91/12 January 1982 [1] 56/11 jaundice [4] 1/25 3/6 4/3 170/21 jaundiced [2] 151/11 170/19 Jean [2] 3/9 101/24 **Jim [2]** 90/7 174/3

Jim Smith's [1] 90/7

job [4] 7/24 14/9 14/9

John Craske [2] 125/5 172/10 John Craske's [1] 71/9 Johnson [4] 26/1 26/3 136/16 137/3 joined [1] 25/7 joint [2] 7/22 165/2 JOSEPH [2] 1/3 176/2 journey [1] 71/3 judgment [1] 149/9 July [12] 35/20 35/20 35/23 36/15 45/22 50/7 51/11 56/9 57/3 57/4 69/25 70/11 July '82 [1] 45/22 July 1982 [7] 35/20 36/15 50/7 56/9 57/3 69/25 70/11 July 1985 [3] 35/20 51/11 57/4 July 1989 [1] 35/23 jump [1] 31/6 June [13] 17/16 21/20 35/22 44/18 45/13 51/9 51/24 52/9 52/12 62/22 64/2 66/17 67/16 June '86 [1] 45/13 June 1985 [2] 51/9 67/16 June 1986 [1] 17/16 June 1988 [1] 35/22 just [105] 3/19 4/9 6/12 9/3 12/8 12/21 13/14 13/25 17/14 18/18 20/16 20/18 21/17 22/9 22/11 25/3 25/17 25/17 25/20 26/10 28/21 29/10 29/18 30/2 31/6 33/12 35/16 36/14 37/19 37/20 39/17 42/14 42/20 43/18 44/15 44/24 45/16 46/21 47/16 48/21 48/23 49/6 49/6 49/17 51/21 53/6 54/13 56/1 56/5 56/15 56/22 63/16 71/13 71/14 71/24 71/25 75/1 76/11 76/20 78/9 79/24 90/7 91/7 96/4 97/9 97/12 98/14 98/20 99/11 100/14 101/23 106/14 111/23 115/3 115/7 115/7 118/19 118/20 119/19 121/5 121/14

122/18 125/16 125/20 127/5 127/13 139/16 140/2 141/5 145/7 145/22 155/11 156/7 157/20 157/24 160/17 163/5 164/13 166/1 168/4 170/2 170/9 170/10 174/6 175/4 justice [1] 161/11 justified [1] 107/16

60/3

John [8] 2/24 3/9 7/10

71/9 102/1 121/24

125/5 172/10

110/23

Kaposi's [2] 104/16 105/6 keep [1] 162/22 Keith [1] 121/1 Kennedy [1] 5/19 kept [6] 45/14 92/5 116/20 144/1 160/11 160/11 Kernoff [1] 5/5 key [3] 94/2 128/14 174/2 kg [3] 12/25 13/1 27/15 kick [2] 123/6 123/9 kilo [2] 30/16 30/17 kilos [9] 12/25 30/4 30/11 30/14 34/1 34/2 36/8 45/23 141/2 kind [11] 41/20 72/4 76/8 80/10 96/22 97/1 125/15 133/19 133/20 134/16 139/8 kinds [1] 22/14 Kingdom [4] 73/2 81/10 81/13 92/10 knew [5] 4/11 53/2 96/19 98/6 114/8 knock [1] 134/17 knock-on [1] 134/17 know [62] 4/13 7/6 16/23 19/8 19/20 19/25 20/1 21/16 23/20 25/4 31/25 32/20 32/22 32/23 33/4 33/8 33/15 34/4 36/20 39/11 43/20 45/14 47/6 49/3 58/22 59/15 62/22 63/5 64/13 68/18 68/20 89/4 89/12 90/8 95/9 97/12 97/22 99/18 113/13 114/10 114/22 114/25 115/11 115/13 121/23 124/19 125/5 125/9 126/24 136/24 137/19 137/20 138/2 138/7 140/16 140/18 145/20 152/4 153/9 172/3 174/4 174/8 knowing [3] 38/8

(58) indicated... - knowing

## 72/25 75/15 75/20 116/20 126/22 134/10 70/2 70/20 71/10 81/3 85/13 89/22 90/15 111/23 170/6 170/8 K 76/14 77/21 78/4 82/6 137/22 152/2 81/22 82/5 88/17 90/21 91/4 98/14 lots [1] 155/23 knowing... [2] 115/2 loud [1] 22/17 82/13 91/21 92/4 leave [4] 39/10 88/14 103/22 115/18 129/10 112/11 137/13 160/23 120/6 95/14 97/1 97/7 97/22 141/23 160/17 131/16 133/10 135/22 161/24 162/1 164/16 low [2] 38/23 85/20 knowledge [12] 3/11 98/3 98/16 104/7 leaving [1] 84/20 137/24 138/18 142/17 174/6 lower [5] 3/23 10/22 23/10 65/14 66/23 123/4 128/8 128/20 145/25 156/10 157/3 live [2] 174/24 174/24 85/16 136/3 165/1 lecture [1] 2/20 69/19 81/20 82/3 85/6 142/6 142/10 142/15 173/16 **LMT [1]** 108/22 lunch [1] 79/23 led [1] 118/6 90/16 124/2 138/20 146/22 151/24 174/3 ledger [1] 167/20 likelihood [4] 5/3 **lobbying [1]** 98/3 lunchtime [1] 59/5 139/20 Leeds [3] 87/15 87/16 55/20 72/3 75/22 local [3] 109/14 Lane's [7] 6/1 6/7 known [6] 31/24 28/3 74/9 128/10 106/3 likely [7] 4/23 7/2 115/17 159/14 33/16 92/16 130/6 machine [3] 114/4 128/15 142/2 Leeds Haemonetics 19/17 50/14 64/2 locally [1] 159/5 130/19 153/16 114/5 145/18 LANGSTAFF [2] **[1]** 87/15 83/12 110/13 locations [1] 142/19 158/12 176/4 leeway [1] 51/4 limit [24] 8/1 16/25 Lodge [1] 114/5 machines [1] 114/2 language [4] 18/18 left [9] 47/22 49/13 18/6 20/8 20/13 20/14 lodged [1] 158/7 made [38] 10/16 L825 [1] 106/4 10/17 10/21 10/22 18/23 19/7 22/11 49/21 50/6 51/10 22/5 23/8 32/9 32/24 logged [1] 167/18 L938 [2] 105/21 large [19] 14/11 18/6 62/23 65/6 120/11 36/13 45/25 46/2 18/4 19/13 22/3 22/14 logic [1] 76/8 107/12 20/12 20/13 73/7 logical [3] 75/24 76/1 33/17 37/22 52/19 125/25 51/15 52/4 52/21 **L939 [2]** 105/21 73/12 85/12 86/9 left-hand [7] 47/22 55/21 57/14 64/21 76/13 53/3 53/3 53/25 60/18 107/12 86/13 86/24 87/1 64/22 68/23 68/25 61/13 65/13 67/25 49/13 49/21 50/6 logistic [1] 93/6 **LA [1]** 150/12 87/22 91/3 91/9 91/18 51/10 62/23 65/6 69/5 69/7 logistical [2] 94/12 73/9 94/23 98/1 lab [1] 31/10 legal [1] 126/15 112/19 112/24 114/8 93/5 93/12 128/3 limitation [2] 17/24 94/16 label [42] 16/25 19/6 long [19] 3/13 16/23 127/24 135/9 136/4 lengthy [1] 82/9 148/23 21/23 22/3 31/17 32/4 32/22 23/3 32/25 33/13 141/21 143/19 150/9 large-pool [1] 91/18 less [17] 4/23 7/2 7/20 limitations [2] 131/5 33/2 33/6 36/13 37/18 152/16 153/6 153/13 large-scale [1] 73/12 12/12 12/12 12/14 36/22 54/18 54/20 161/9 46/3 47/4 52/19 55/17 89/17 96/25 113/22 153/14 162/5 166/8 largely [4] 9/8 95/24 14/3 14/12 40/10 limited [4] 92/15 98/8 57/14 60/14 60/21 172/10 172/21 62/25 67/18 94/25 113/23 125/6 162/14 135/3 161/1 158/24 162/7 62/21 63/4 63/18 122/3 133/2 133/5 magic [1] 152/10 larger [6] 9/21 10/24 limiting [1] 158/8 162/15 162/15 162/18 64/17 65/4 65/10 66/7 main [1] 86/7 26/12 53/22 134/23 135/6 157/8 limits [1] 39/8 163/8 173/17 66/8 66/16 67/12 maintain [1] 92/18 135/9 lesser [3] 14/13 LIND0000311 [1] long-term [2] 3/13 67/13 67/14 67/15 maintained [1] 106/19 last [19] 8/10 16/24 135/19 139/4 71/16 36/22 68/1 68/10 68/19 69/6 major [4] 86/14 86/19 18/21 22/20 29/10 lessons [1] 99/2 Lindsay [1] 71/14 longer [8] 23/1 32/5 69/10 139/25 140/2 133/15 133/16 48/4 53/17 54/3 68/10 let [5] 11/9 19/19 line [5] 18/19 21/10 32/25 38/21 55/8 140/12 141/8 141/9 68/22 75/25 83/9 21/16 47/6 126/24 42/18 63/20 150/7 126/6 126/12 132/24 make [28] 14/6 14/19 141/16 158/9 102/15 106/20 109/12 let's [5] 28/21 51/8 lines [2] 42/17 141/11 look [21] 6/12 25/18 26/10 28/18 29/20 labelled [3] 106/7 110/16 158/18 158/19 126/5 135/23 162/15 36/3 36/5 42/17 46/12 31/20 36/12 68/7 69/3 Ling [1] 147/7 107/13 150/12 167/9 letter [16] 17/18 20/24 link [1] 71/18 48/8 48/17 54/13 84/6 84/14 86/2 89/5 labelling [2] 55/3 60/6 late [9] 1/18 2/3 3/11 21/18 105/3 106/22 links [1] 142/17 56/15 80/6 89/4 90/8 95/9 120/20 132/12 labels [27] 31/16 32/2 133/3 135/1 135/16 3/24 100/20 128/7 114/1 115/12 115/13 list [11] 27/11 45/3 121/5 127/11 133/6 32/24 33/10 36/2 135/21 137/8 149/9 145/14 157/5 174/7 121/8 121/11 122/7 76/3 110/2 110/5 153/8 154/8 170/23 47/19 57/17 59/8 later [23] 4/5 4/24 5/5 122/17 123/5 124/15 115/4 119/11 122/14 170/25 173/10 155/2 155/2 156/19 59/10 59/14 59/15 17/15 26/1 32/18 51/6 125/2 125/19 122/19 123/23 168/22 look-back [4] 153/8 160/9 165/22 172/9 60/7 60/8 60/10 60/11 52/12 55/4 61/5 66/22 making [16] 14/10 Letters [1] 83/13 listed [1] 113/8 170/23 170/25 173/10 60/13 60/16 61/16 22/18 30/5 59/22 65/7 71/4 77/2 81/1 98/14 leukopenia [1] 104/17 listening [2] 15/12 looked [8] 33/21 62/3 62/6 62/8 62/18 87/23 120/13 135/6 99/7 111/25 116/1 level [5] 57/9 69/16 37/14 47/22 52/14 17/13 64/8 64/25 69/17 135/18 136/7 140/22 Lister [4] 147/11 53/10 53/10 116/18 125/10 127/12 137/14 74/1 94/5 141/6 69/19 141/22 141/1 157/20 160/1 147/23 147/24 161/19 145/7 148/4 levelled [1] 136/12 153/4 laboratory [10] 48/3 162/22 165/14 latest [1] 164/8 levels [1] 92/19 Lister Institute [1] looking [20] 1/12 8/6 59/25 73/4 92/8 93/3 Mallory [4] 72/10 latter [1] 122/24 liability [1] 8/14 147/24 33/19 39/25 45/2 45/5 96/7 113/10 156/22 82/14 104/7 147/6 laughed [1] 166/2 library [1] 156/12 literature [2] 1/20 56/7 56/10 56/22 66/8 161/20 162/3 Man [1] 42/25 law [1] 169/20 licence [4] 52/8 53/18 4/10 81/21 96/20 99/12 lack [9] 2/11 65/9 lazy [1] 32/7 53/21 65/5 litigation [2] 27/8 120/6 121/14 125/16 managed [4] 60/13 88/11 95/19 95/19 LDOW0000247 [1] licensed [2] 57/14 147/9 151/19 163/13 101/6 102/7 165/20 27/11 95/22 95/24 95/25 litre [6] 24/12 26/25 management [4] 60/7 169/14 103/3 lead [1] 167/1 life [3] 11/2 65/15 30/17 79/10 145/23 loosely [1] 60/22 82/16 82/19 82/23 Lane [53] 5/10 5/18 leads [1] 158/19 130/16 146/1 Lord [1] 172/6 92/7 5/22 6/4 6/8 7/6 7/11 manager [3] 61/9 leaflets [2] 67/7 life-style [1] 11/2 litres [6] 24/11 25/2 Lord Mayor [1] 172/6 16/11 16/12 16/18 147/5 175/5 140/12 light [2] 37/13 103/11 25/3 26/8 26/20 26/24 loss [2] 111/2 118/11 19/12 19/14 19/18 little [28] 11/22 12/9 like [38] 5/9 6/5 8/7 losses [4] 12/14 managing [2] 60/1 leaping [1] 129/5 21/9 21/25 22/7 22/12 learned [1] 99/4 8/7 8/23 16/13 19/10 17/15 24/23 31/6 142/7 135/3 135/3 135/5 22/14 23/5 27/6 27/9 Manchester [3] least [15] 10/20 17/2 19/13 19/19 23/6 52/14 54/7 56/10 lost [2] 23/9 78/16 27/18 28/1 51/25 21/5 53/3 54/9 57/3 23/24 24/5 30/5 36/10 57/19 61/5 66/22 109/24 121/25 153/20 lot [8] 41/17 41/18 52/16 61/10 72/9 Manila [2] 101/2 58/4 66/9 81/16 87/7 48/8 51/19 59/8 65/24 72/24 77/22 81/1 85/6 62/10 62/13 89/21

(59) knowing... - Manila

	 M
	Manila [1] 103/21
	manufacture [15]
	5/22 25/24 31/5 39/5
	40/18 54/16 54/22
	55/13 55/21 92/23
	93/3 105/15 138/14
	154/13 169/1
	manufactured [7]
	33/14 54/1 54/4 54/6
	106/5 121/19 163/14
	manufacturer [1] 156/22
	manufacturers [2]
	41/19 91/16
l	manufacturing [23]
	12/25 14/11 22/4
	22/21 22/24 42/1
	52/20 57/11 73/24
	74/1 79/15 103/5
	128/1 128/4 128/10 131/8 147/1 147/5
	147/9 159/23 159/24
	160/5 160/25
l	many [13] 9/16 12/2
	13/16 13/21 30/4
	33/11 60/23 71/10
	73/8 77/5 81/3 156/18
	169/22
	Marbour [1] 123/1
	<b>March [10]</b> 1/1 5/11 5/16 35/19 49/23 72/8
	72/14 74/20 112/7
	175/16
	March '83 [1] 74/20
	March 1981 [2] 35/19
	49/23
	marginalia [1] 106/14
	markers [1] 117/12
	market [2] 84/19 140/10
	marketing [3] 85/4
	140/17 143/11
	<b>Mary's [1]</b> 124/9
ı	material [17] 30/7
	31/23 62/13 73/13
	83/12 85/4 85/12
	85/17 86/3 87/13
	87/15 90/6 91/3 91/9 93/20 96/19 133/7
	materials [3] 84/15
ľ	91/18 96/13
ı	mathematics [3]
	43/19 43/20 43/21
	maths [1] 34/16 matter [5] 11/15 32/20
ı	matter [5] 11/15 32/20
	99/25 149/25 155/15
	matters [1] 27/10
	maximising [1] 129/14
ı	maximum [28] 17/21
ľ	[-v] 11/21

18/1 21/25 36/1 37/17 37/23 43/17 44/20 47/19 49/12 49/15 49/18 49/20 50/1 50/4 50/9 50/15 51/1 52/4 52/6 52/16 53/7 53/15 55/13 55/21 56/10 56/13 148/4 maximum limit [1] 55/21 may [47] 3/22 8/4 13/14 20/18 22/1 25/17 29/22 29/23 39/21 42/13 46/5 46/6 48/18 49/4 52/17 54/12 54/12 54/19 55/20 57/19 71/18 73/5 75/23 77/14 84/6 84/19 88/5 95/16 98/10 98/21 104/8 107/23 110/19 112/12 123/5 126/3 126/4 126/11 138/1 142/22 145/9 167/11 169/15 170/18 170/21 173/17 175/3 maybe [2] 119/24 169/9 Maycock [7] 31/10 32/12 33/4 129/25 131/22 132/1 153/11 Mayor [1] 172/6 MCA [3] 61/18 61/22 McAndrews [1] 123/3 me [60] 1/6 1/7 2/10 4/10 4/19 6/4 6/6 8/25 11/9 19/14 21/15 25/5 31/21 32/17 34/24 35/3 39/8 44/5 45/16 47/6 48/24 51/22 52/11 55/24 57/3 57/19 60/1 61/25 66/12 68/21 71/7 71/9 73/20 79/24 101/7 102/7 104/24 117/23 118/5 120/11 122/13 123/17 124/12 124/22 125/3 125/4 125/19 128/21 129/7 129/16 140/7 140/15 147/6 149/9 157/23 158/1 158/4 158/5 165/25 168/9 mean [24] 7/3 12/10 15/22 18/24 31/2 34/8 34/23 36/7 43/22 44/4 78/20 80/6 88/21 94/25 97/7 119/4 123/23 133/13 144/11 148/15 151/7 152/9

152/19 153/14

meaning [7] 31/3 44/10 72/2 75/14 78/8 94/13 159/20 meanings [1] 13/17 means [4] 1/6 22/20 113/9 115/2 meant [7] 31/1 39/6 44/5 44/18 75/25 119/19 123/10 measure [2] 149/2 149/4 measured [4] 30/9 38/22 38/23 157/13 measurement [1] 156/10 mechanical [1] 61/12 mechanics [1] 13/3 mechanism [5] 37/6 37/9 60/22 103/3 103/19 mechanisms [1] 8/1 medical [3] 16/19 61/11 113/10 medicines [13] 17/19 18/11 58/20 61/14 61/20 61/22 62/1 103/6 110/8 115/10 121/2 159/11 162/10 medium [1] 85/20 meet [5] 128/12 131/2 131/4 165/1 166/21 meeting [23] 15/6 15/6 72/14 73/14 73/21 79/23 82/5 82/8 82/24 83/2 83/7 83/9 83/9 85/23 87/20 88/2 91/6 91/20 92/7 97/16 134/5 134/13 149/15 meetings [16] 1/19 4/17 14/22 14/24 15/3 15/9 15/24 16/1 16/3 134/5 139/18 139/19 149/19 149/20 151/23 152/3 members [1] 28/3 memo [8] 28/3 44/17 45/9 45/12 52/3 52/25 72/8 74/20 memorandum [12] 5/9 5/21 5/24 27/6 27/22 31/7 51/24 73/15 73/22 74/9 77/25 82/6 memory [9] 38/25 39/9 40/14 58/14 79/25 117/23 118/3 120/25 168/3 mending [1] 162/22 mental [1] 156/14 mention [1] 12/6 mentioned [6] 61/4

67/16 75/18 102/14

136/15 153/10 mere [1] 81/6 merely [1] 120/20 met [4] 75/1 128/18 139/18 149/19 method [4] 25/24 26/6 136/15 136/16 methods [5] 10/14 93/2 107/18 108/6 109/20 metric [1] 30/23 microorganisms [1] 166/15 mid [10] 1/18 2/3 3/11 4/13 9/20 61/6 61/17 66/15 67/6 160/23 mid-1950s [1] 160/23 mid-1980s [5] 4/13 61/6 61/17 66/15 67/6 Middlesex [1] 109/17 Midlands [1] 106/16 might [34] 4/11 22/13 26/11 30/1 42/10 44/5 44/6 47/6 47/8 55/1 75/7 76/9 77/17 90/19 92/9 95/14 107/13 114/9 124/4 142/23 162/13 162/25 163/10 166/19 167/3 167/12 167/12 168/8 170/1 170/11 171/7 171/12 171/12 171/13 Mike [1] 16/13 mild [3] 1/23 2/7 2/11 milking [2] 129/11 129/16 mimic [1] 58/22 mind [7] 44/14 56/15 74/14 98/5 115/7 152/19 165/24 mindful [2] 18/3 19/1 minimum [1] 135/13 mining [3] 28/6 30/3 30/13 minor [1] 167/10 minus [4] 116/20 118/1 118/4 118/4 minus 40 degree [1] 116/20 minute [11] 21/20 22/12 32/8 32/21 82/9 82/10 83/5 84/9 88/14 88/16 143/9 minutes [6] 5/16 5/21 7/9 15/3 126/20 149/15 misdirected [1] 125/20 miserably [1] 166/12 miss [2] 26/11 101/24 Miss Rosemary [1] 101/24

82/24 128/9 mixed [2] 9/17 29/20 mixing [1] 137/15 **MMWR [1]** 70/12 moderately [1] 89/15 modification [1] 131/14 molecules [3] 137/24 137/24 137/25 moment [9] 4/9 31/13 42/11 46/20 47/2 66/13 109/18 129/7 159/2 momentum [1] 72/18 monitored [1] 100/11 monitoring [1] 150/20 monograph [1] 135/11 month [15] 22/23 58/15 111/1 111/7 111/14 117/4 117/8 117/8 117/14 117/17 118/9 118/9 118/10 119/2 172/17 monthly [1] 72/19 months [10] 4/20 4/24 53/20 55/15 70/14 99/7 117/5 117/6 170/22 173/2 more [60] 3/15 7/1 8/11 10/25 12/3 12/24 19/17 29/14 30/22 31/3 31/4 31/18 32/1 32/2 35/1 43/17 46/10 50/16 63/3 64/19 70/25 81/10 82/2 88/9 97/22 100/7 100/19 102/1 108/1 110/14 114/18 118/6 120/7 121/1 132/15 134/25 135/5 135/5 136/17 138/23 141/20 141/20 143/3 148/9 152/12 157/11 160/2 160/2 161/19 162/2 166/5 167/3 168/7 169/16 170/25 173/9 173/25 174/10 175/2 175/6 morning [2] 1/4 1/5 Morriston [1] 108/14 mortality [1] 72/19 most [10] 10/6 15/8 15/10 64/20 91/15 109/21 110/17 155/20 173/19 174/21 mostly [1] 100/25 motivation [1] 148/6 motorcycle [1] 120/15 mounting [1] 92/10 move [6] 8/23 42/11 82/5 83/16 98/12

missing [3] 73/17

140/8 moved [6] 11/18 132/23 136/8 136/10 162/25 173/21 moving [6] 98/20 99/21 141/24 144/7 162/3 166/3 Mr [31] 1/10 1/11 5/18 21/21 31/21 32/1 44/16 47/15 48/18 48/21 51/25 52/12 72/10 82/10 82/14 82/14 82/15 82/15 83/18 84/6 86/23 104/7 104/7 107/7 113/4 113/8 127/4 159/9 170/17 174/18 176/3 Mr Booth [3] 107/7 113/4 113/8 Mr Crowley [1] 5/18 Mr Hill [11] 1/10 1/11 44/16 47/15 48/18 48/21 84/6 127/4 170/17 174/18 176/3 Mr Hill's [1] 159/9 Mr Mallory [3] 72/10 82/14 104/7 Mr Pettet [2] 82/10 82/14 Mr Prince [3] 21/21 51/25 52/12 Mr Snape [2] 31/21 Mr Vallet [4] 82/15 83/18 86/23 104/7 Mr Wesley [1] 82/15 Ms [1] 32/12 Ms Bidwell [1] 32/12 much [36] 1/8 5/2 5/5 8/11 10/24 31/23 32/5 33/2 52/24 54/23 55/19 55/25 56/19 76/15 85/16 120/17 140/4 154/6 156/3 157/7 158/23 163/1 165/4 165/25 166/19 170/25 171/12 173/2 173/9 173/10 173/12 173/25 174/15 174/16 175/5 175/10 multi [1] 165/24 multi-shift [1] 165/24 multiple [1] 171/4 multiples [3] 29/6 30/25 36/9 must [3] 6/7 111/12 113/23 my [72] 2/8 2/9 6/24 7/17 14/4 16/17 17/1 18/24 19/17 21/7

(60) Manila... - my

21/15 25/10 25/14

my... [59] 38/18 38/25 40/14 44/2 44/9 44/10 45/18 47/1 49/5 53/4 53/14 55/9 58/14 58/14 60/9 61/2 67/8 70/21 70/21 75/12 76/2 76/22 78/16 80/21 82/1 82/2 96/3 98/23 99/21 100/17 100/24 102/19 103/3 115/16 117/15 118/5 123/11 124/17 124/18 124/20 128/14 133/18 133/24 137/4 137/20 147/18 151/1 153/24 154/15 156/14 157/16 158/2 166/8 168/3 169/21 173/22 174/4 174/19 174/20 myself [7] 16/13 19/16 26/20 35/5 61/8 125/25 162/25

name [4] 5/10 36/6 122/25 151/3 names [5] 74/13 74/14 101/22 123/12 123/15 NANBH [2] 9/20 10/3 Napier [3] 107/6 113/3 113/13 National [1] 171/20 nature [8] 6/17 6/21 59/22 63/18 68/4 68/7 140/5 169/4 NBA [1] 142/6 NBTS [2] 5/17 131/1 necessarily [8] 32/13 49/16 53/13 71/5 117/15 132/24 141/4 169/25 necessary [13] 19/5 41/15 42/6 61/11 66/14 73/4 81/23 123/9 123/19 128/12 144/20 150/17 163/15 need [16] 22/19 30/2 58/22 66/20 74/6 75/13 88/1 95/2 95/6 95/11 96/12 97/1 120/4 121/16 129/7 163/14 needed [18] 53/19 53/23 61/10 79/7 79/8 94/8 95/15 123/17 133/18 133/19 133/21 134/4 134/19 134/20 146/16 147/21 165/15 165/19

needs [2] 128/12 128/17 negative [2] 104/19 151/12 neglected [1] 128/4 Negotiating [1] 165/11 neither [2] 1/17 10/8 neutral [1] 71/1 neutralising [1] 10/9 never [9] 40/17 125/18 128/17 139/7 141/15 141/16 155/7 155/18 166/16 new [20] 8/21 19/9 39/16 40/5 40/13 52/7 53/11 53/15 54/5 69/13 96/21 127/24 132/13 157/11 162/2 162/20 163/2 163/22 164/7 165/23 newly [1] 131/15 Newport [1] 109/6 next [14] 42/14 50/3 51/8 67/14 83/9 90/7 106/13 112/25 115/19 126/20 143/21 158/20 170/4 172/20 NHS [7] 4/22 5/3 5/7 7/1 73/7 147/17 170/10 NIBSC [5] 55/7 61/15 61/18 156/19 156/22 nice [1] 117/5 nitrites [1] 70/20 no [84] 1/23 3/15 6/1 6/1 17/4 17/9 17/12 21/15 25/6 33/12 33/15 34/2 34/4 34/6 34/13 35/8 36/6 36/24 37/1 38/18 38/18 38/21 41/11 43/16 44/9 45/10 46/10 57/10 57/13 64/4 65/12 68/2 68/24 69/1 83/1 84/2 84/14 86/14 92/17 97/20 100/16 100/23 101/22 102/8 102/21 105/16 105/18 106/11 106/17 106/18 112/2 112/5 113/17 114/15 115/2 116/2 120/17 120/20 122/9 123/12 125/3 125/5 125/15 132/12 132/23

135/23 138/5 139/10

143/22 146/18 147/16

148/17 150/16 150/17

151/8 151/9 151/18

152/6 152/9 152/18

166/2

153/14 153/15 164/23

non [74] 1/13 1/13 1/20 1/20 2/3 2/3 3/5 3/5 3/13 3/13 4/7 4/7 6/18 6/18 6/21 6/21 7/14 7/14 7/19 7/19 8/12 8/12 11/15 11/15 23/14 23/14 24/3 24/4 36/22 36/23 36/24 36/24 37/1 37/1 37/7 37/7 37/15 37/15 57/22 57/22 76/21 76/21 80/22 80/22 82/1 82/1 85/7 85/7 85/18 85/18 85/20 85/20 89/24 89/24 90/10 90/10 94/4 94/4 139/4 139/4 140/25 140/25 149/3 149/3 149/5 149/5 152/14 152/14 170/14 170/14 172/16 172/16 172/25 172/25 non-A [37] 1/13 1/20 2/3 3/5 3/13 4/7 6/18 6/21 7/14 7/19 8/12 11/15 23/14 24/3 36/22 36/24 37/1 37/7 37/15 57/22 76/21 80/22 82/1 85/7 85/18 85/20 89/24 90/10 94/4 139/4 140/25 149/3 149/5 152/14 170/14 172/16 172/25 non-B [37] 1/13 1/20 2/3 3/5 3/13 4/7 6/18 6/21 7/14 7/19 8/12 11/15 23/14 24/4 36/23 36/24 37/1 37/7 37/15 57/22 76/21 80/22 82/1 85/7 85/18 85/20 89/24 90/10 94/4 139/4 140/25 149/3 149/5 152/14 170/14 172/16 172/25 none [1] 9/19 nor [5] 1/17 10/10 84/5 85/7 89/2 normal [2] 85/17 87/25 normally [1] 24/14 Norman [1] 82/18 Norman Pettet [1] 82/18 Norman's [1] 82/19 North [3] 74/15 142/14 148/1 not [172] 2/10 3/15 3/23 4/1 5/5 6/1 6/5 7/21 8/21 11/23 12/10 15/15 16/1 16/21 17/1 17/17 18/6 18/12

18/18 19/11 20/14

20/20 21/3 21/7 22/16 28/10 28/12 29/22 29/23 30/5 31/24 32/4 32/18 36/18 39/24 41/14 41/14 44/18 46/17 46/20 46/21 48/6 48/16 49/16 51/13 52/5 53/12 55/19 58/3 58/16 61/11 61/18 64/8 64/19 65/2 65/7 65/20 65/25 66/13 66/14 70/7 70/21 73/9 74/22 75/1 75/14 75/24 76/1 76/10 77/9 77/9 77/15 80/9 81/19 82/11 84/4 84/8 84/16 86/1 87/4 87/23 88/6 89/1 91/19 94/17 94/17 94/18 95/16 96/3 96/4 96/6 97/3 97/8 97/10 101/8 102/23 102/25 103/2 103/7 103/7 103/17 104/21 104/24 106/7 107/15 109/8 109/18 109/19 111/2 113/4 113/16 116/3 116/6 116/9 118/4 120/1 120/15 122/15 124/4 125/4 125/4 126/7 126/10 126/11 129/20 129/21 131/25 133/24 137/1 137/1 137/10 137/22 138/9 138/12 139/10 139/16 140/2 141/3 142/3 143/11 143/12 143/13 144/1 146/11 147/7 147/11 147/16 148/17 149/4 149/10 149/10 150/16 151/5 151/9 151/9 151/12 152/11 152/25 153/1 153/1 153/15 157/9 161/11 161/24 162/13 162/19 164/4 165/16 166/23 168/10 169/19 175/4 notably [2] 73/2 88/10 note [3] 29/7 104/22 113/1 noted [2] 86/7 97/13 Notes [1] 82/8 nothing [5] 13/2 69/1 152/10 164/2 173/2 notification [1] 144/15 notified [3] 20/3 58/10 | 152/12 155/20 174/17 101/24 notwithstanding [1] 64/24 November [9] 31/17 34/16 35/21 51/12

99/11 102/18 112/1 112/14 122/8 November 1986 [3] 34/16 35/21 51/12 now [54] 1/9 8/2 8/23 24/5 27/21 32/12 33/22 38/25 39/9 40/1 41/22 44/14 44/22 45/5 47/10 48/6 48/12 50/11 50/23 51/23 59/8 64/12 66/25 70/10 71/21 73/10 80/12 81/19 83/15 84/23 85/3 89/9 89/12 90/3 90/14 91/5 103/22 105/9 113/17 115/2 116/3 120/6 125/16 126/13 129/3 145/4 159/17 160/12 161/24 167/20 169/14 171/9 173/15 174/4 **nquire [1]** 124/19 number [58] 9/1 12/1 16/25 18/1 21/21 27/10 30/13 30/15 31/20 31/24 31/25 32/16 33/2 33/24 34/5 34/13 35/16 36/4 37/17 38/3 38/5 44/11 45/6 45/7 49/1 49/22 50/1 50/7 51/3 52/13 56/8 71/12 72/10 81/5 91/1 93/12 105/11 105/12 105/14 106/2 109/7 114/16 114/23 121/9 130/9 145/23 150/3 152/10 160/14 166/7 166/15 167/23 168/11 168/13 168/23 169/25 172/5 174/17 numbers [6] 13/2 30/4 34/8 47/24 65/6 68/19

o'clock [4] 126/11 126/11 126/21 126/22 object [1] 124/15 **objection [1]** 75/3 observations [2] 110/11 117/7 obstructive [1] 1/25 obtain [4] 88/8 95/2 146/24 159/7 obtained [2] 29/11 29/14 obvious [6] 25/12 174/22 175/2 obviously [9] 15/10 54/24 61/4 77/21 100/1 115/16 121/13 131/22 149/14

occasion [2] 112/1 157/12 occasionally [1] 157/14 occasioned [1] 104/11 occasions [2] 42/22 169/22 occupied [1] 142/23 occurred [7] 42/19 44/3 44/5 64/16 125/19 134/13 171/8 occurs [2] 21/15 34/24 October [10] 49/19 104/23 112/19 112/22 112/25 113/5 113/19 114/15 120/9 121/15 October 1984 [1] 121/15 off [4] 13/11 20/16 21/15 22/7 offered [1] 23/9 office [1] 165/17 officer [1] 113/10 official [2] 12/19 13/11 offset [1] 73/25 often [5] 58/23 61/11 64/17 172/12 173/21 Oh [2] 117/15 165/25 OHC [1] 101/25 Okay [4] 32/13 38/25 44/14 145/25 old [5] 38/16 50/14 161/4 161/9 162/23 omission [1] 68/23 once [16] 11/8 11/16 11/17 16/20 17/5 21/5 23/7 38/8 54/2 61/19 69/7 86/19 117/11 136/8 136/10 162/24 one [75] 9/15 9/17 11/14 13/6 14/15

14/17 17/14 23/5 28/6

31/3 31/4 34/23 37/18

39/23 42/3 42/25 43/2

44/4 47/7 48/6 50/25

58/19 77/1 77/4 77/12

96/2 97/23 98/4 98/10

99/10 101/21 110/14

120/10 120/17 124/6

129/12 134/1 139/12

143/22 144/8 145/19

145/22 148/21 150/12

152/11 152/22 153/11

153/23 153/25 154/20

154/25 155/1 155/20

127/5 129/6 129/11

51/21 53/5 56/19

77/18 79/24 85/15

88/13 89/14 95/13

(61) my... - one

	_
0	
one [10] 155/24	
157/12 158/6 160/8	
166/4 168/6 168/7	
169/17 170/10 172/17 ongoing [2] 54/24	
117/9	
only [27] 7/16 29/4	
37/6 37/9 39/3 50/19	
65/3 73/5 77/1 77/12	
84/20 85/14 86/3 86/13 103/20 115/25	
124/23 125/25 131/6	
131/25 146/3 151/22	
158/5 162/20 163/24	
167/19 174/4 onscreen [1] 129/23	
onward [1] 68/11	
onwards [6] 24/22	
26/2 27/16 29/8 34/19	
173/23	
open [1] 144/9 operate [2] 123/7	
131/6	
operated [4] 53/9	
60/21 165/6 165/20	
operating [2] 54/2 166/1	
operation [3] 117/11	
165/12 165/24	
operations [2] 52/5	
84/20 operators [1] 165/15	
opinion [9] 14/4 38/19	۱
100/17 100/24 128/15	
133/18 155/9 155/12	ľ
166/8 opportune [1] 42/10	
opportunity [7] 1/10	
73/16 73/23 81/22	•
92/21 126/18 127/16	
opposed [1] 75/6	
option [1] 135/25 or [159] 4/17 5/1 7/1	•
8/1 10/5 10/11 11/15	١.
16/11 17/1 17/10 19/9	۱
20/21 20/23 21/2 22/16 27/24 30/11	•
32/9 33/8 33/9 33/10	
33/14 36/9 37/22	۱
38/10 39/24 41/13	
42/7 44/18 46/5 48/7 48/11 48/22 48/25	
53/12 55/14 57/7 57/9	
57/22 59/14 59/16	
62/4 62/7 62/7 64/11	
64/14 66/1 67/5 69/2	
69/8 69/8 70/18 70/20 71/3 71/4 72/22 74/15	
75/24 76/1 80/1 81/9	
81/10 81/16 85/7 86/1	١,
	١,

86/3 87/7 87/18 89/5 90/10 90/13 90/16 91/6 92/10 96/9 96/19 97/22 97/23 97/24 98/4 101/6 101/14 102/1 109/11 113/14 116/9 116/20 118/2 119/24 120/1 120/13 120/18 122/22 126/7 126/20 129/14 129/15 129/21 132/18 132/24 134/5 134/5 134/9 136/25 137/23 138/3 138/12 138/14 140/12 140/17 140/20 141/2 141/17 141/17 142/3 142/6 142/10 142/15 144/1 145/11 146/5 148/22 151/1 151/9 151/11 151/24 151/25 152/2 152/22 155/12 155/24 156/4 156/10 156/15 156/18 157/10 157/14 159/3 159/14 159/20 161/14 162/12 162/14 162/16 163/12 165/9 166/9 166/11 166/11 167/2 169/9 169/10 169/16 170/22 171/11 171/16 171/21 172/14 172/17 oral [3] 48/11 127/17 174/4 orange [3] 103/9 103/10 103/14 order [9] 29/20 51/3 78/24 110/3 133/25 135/14 164/5 164/8 166/20 ordination [2] 111/20 119/18 organisation [1] 147/9 organisations [3] 141/21 157/3 157/12 origin [5] 53/14 63/13 67/18 68/13 156/9 original [2] 105/1 156/11 other [36] 7/22 11/5 21/22 27/24 31/14 34/7 38/10 41/25 42/25 43/1 57/23 69/10 72/10 75/1 78/23 85/9 89/17 91/19 93/2 95/4 98/10 106/19 123/16 125/16 133/10 135/18 139/17 141/20 141/21 141/23 142/22 151/19 152/4 153/5 169/3 171/14

others [9] 52/13 55/9

74/15 75/17 76/2 79/19 94/14 104/8 132/1 otherwise [1] 42/9 our [28] 7/24 7/25 8/7 14/9 15/11 16/3 24/1 26/23 27/21 30/16 31/7 58/2 69/14 76/20 90/24 103/3 105/12 138/15 141/16 143/11 143/15 144/23 146/8 157/18 158/7 158/8 172/18 173/14 ourselves [1] 62/19 out [54] 5/6 14/22 23/19 27/10 27/18 27/20 28/9 28/14 31/21 32/18 33/9 34/10 43/2 45/4 45/21 53/6 57/25 59/18 73/11 77/6 84/15 88/1 89/24 90/15 93/6 94/11 96/3 96/7 96/8 96/16 99/23 101/12 101/15 108/3 108/12 108/14 108/16 108/22 108/24 109/3 109/4 109/5 109/6 111/18 114/9 114/21 119/5 119/16 120/14 123/1 124/24 143/24 167/21 175/3 outbreak [1] 8/19 outlined [2] 83/19 122/7 output [2] 157/18 163/20 outside [4] 66/5 101/5 101/12 168/18 over [23] 4/20 52/9 59/12 72/21 74/12 74/24 75/16 75/18 85/1 86/22 102/14 106/13 108/7 115/19 122/18 125/24 126/4 130/14 130/16 142/10 164/17 166/14 171/4 over-challenged [1] 166/14 over-reaction [4] 72/21 74/12 74/24 75/16 overcrowded [1] 132/13 overnight [3] 53/18 137/7 165/15 overprinted [2] 59/14 59/16 overriding [2] 88/4 88/12 overview [1] 101/3

31/13 58/14 78/22 94/25 124/17 124/18 124/25 128/21 147/18 153/6 169/8 174/19 175/7 Oxford [8] 1/19 2/17 27/16 131/6 138/21 139/16 146/9 153/3 pack [9] 105/15 112/8 112/9 119/5 145/16 145/17 145/22 145/23 168/20 package [3] 63/12 67/3 67/7 packed [1] 67/3 packing [3] 55/3 60/6 60/14 packs [7] 26/25 145/11 145/12 145/19 146/1 146/15 168/19 page [45] 1/14 5/13 5/20 5/21 6/12 8/24 9/1 9/2 9/6 24/17 24/19 25/21 27/2 27/6 27/19 33/20 39/12 40/3 42/15 47/23 48/5 56/15 56/16 57/1 69/23 71/16 85/1 86/22 88/15 90/1 100/13 103/13 106/13 108/7 115/8 115/19 119/13 121/10 122/18 125/11 127/18 130/3 145/8 158/17 161/7 Page 110 [1] 127/18 page 112 [1] 130/3 page 14 [1] 71/16 page 147 [1] 100/13 page 149 [1] 145/8 page 152 [1] 125/11 page 2 [1] 27/19 page 3 [3] 33/20 47/23 57/1 page 31 [1] 25/21 page 34 [1] 158/17 page 35 [1] 103/13 page 37 [2] 39/12 40/3 page 39 [2] 42/15 56/16 page 58 [1] 9/2 page 6 [1] 5/20 Page 63 [1] 69/23 page 7 [1] 48/5 page 76 [2] 24/17 24/19 page 8 [1] 6/12 page 81 [1] 161/7 pages [4] 42/15 42/15

82/10 82/12

own [15] 22/7 22/13

paid [4] 9/9 10/6 10/23 11/1 pallet [1] 144/20 pallets [1] 144/9 panel [9] 63/17 65/7 86/11 86/15 86/20 87/22 87/24 88/3 98/19 paper [21] 5/5 6/1 6/6 6/7 6/9 16/24 25/6 53/2 91/21 92/2 94/9 94/10 97/12 97/13 97/17 97/24 98/16 98/25 99/3 102/9 137/7 paper-based [1] 102/9 papers [5] 23/21 31/14 68/21 134/6 153/23 paracetamol [1] 30/6 paragraph [33] 1/15 3/19 6/13 6/16 9/3 24/17 24/19 24/22 25/20 26/13 26/18 39/12 39/19 40/3 42/16 43/8 56/3 56/12 69/24 72/25 73/20 75/20 78/14 78/15 90/7 90/12 95/11 102/12 103/23 106/21 119/12 127/18 158/18 paragraph 104 [3] 39/12 39/19 40/3 paragraph 109 [2] 56/3 56/12 paragraph 141 [1] 1/15 paragraph 144 [1] 3/19 paragraph 161 [1] 9/3 paragraph 176 [1] 69/24 paragraph 214 [2] 24/17 24/19 paragraph 332 [1] 127/18 paragraph 5.3 [1] 119/12 paragraph 86 [2] 25/20 26/18 paragraph 91 [1] 103/23 paragraph 93 [1] 102/12 paragraph 94 [1] 158/18 paragraphs [9] 12/6 80/7 88/24 90/25 95/17 100/13 125/12 145/8 161/3

125/12 paragraphs 91 [1] 100/13 paragraphs 96 [1] 145/8 parameters [2] 130/5 130/18 Park [1] 108/12 parks [1] 119/6 **Parliament [1]** 6/10 Parry [1] 123/1 part [18] 42/3 42/4 65/5 69/12 69/14 69/14 76/19 76/22 82/22 89/7 95/21 97/14 97/24 98/3 98/15 127/17 135/12 171/21 Participants [8] 56/18 126/2 126/3 126/15 127/10 129/3 145/10 174/19 particles [1] 166/15 particular [19] 15/2 15/3 37/12 54/8 55/17 65/2 78/13 83/11 89/14 110/16 131/16 144/2 148/4 150/19 156/5 160/3 169/5 169/11 174/14 particularly [5] 60/19 68/6 81/24 159/21 170/13 partly [3] 8/13 95/24 163/18 partners [1] 83/24 parts [2] 75/12 145/5 party [15] 2/24 3/10 4/18 4/21 4/25 7/10 14/23 17/5 71/9 101/25 109/25 151/22 153/22 153/23 154/1 passed [3] 3/8 23/8 76/19 past [2] 91/7 95/17 pasteurisation [3] 74/8 93/2 107/23 Pathology [1] 124/9 patient [29] 2/23 3/7 13/23 17/10 38/6 62/4 62/7 69/2 70/1 77/13 96/17 101/22 101/22 108/17 111/5 118/12 123/12 123/14 131/10 134/3 135/7 139/8 140/11 144/19 151/2 151/11 159/4 169/6 169/14 patient's [1] 171/10 Patient/physician [1] 131/10 paragraphs 100 [1] patients [56] 5/8 7/21

(62) one... - patients

Р	170/10	171/21 173/23 174/5	planning [3] 23/22	please [45] 1/14 5/14	44/2 44/15 44/20
	period [43] 4/20 16/5	174/8 174/10 174/25	128/9 161/24	5/20 6/12 8/24 9/6	44/21 45/4 45/9 45/15
patients [54] 17/3	16/23 17/17 33/8	PFL's [1] 1/21	plans [2] 86/15	12/9 20/17 21/19 22/4	47/3 47/18 47/19 48/3
17/4 57/21 58/8 65/10	36/14 36/18 36/21	pharmaceutical [5]	155/16	27/3 32/6 33/18 35/2	49/13 49/14 49/15
69/9 71/12 73/2 77/6	56/21 57/16 58/4 58/7	99/12 103/4 127/25	plant [1] 57/6	47/6 47/21 48/9 49/9	49/18 49/20 50/4 50/9
78/22 81/5 107/5	59/2 100/19 100/22	147/1 162/13	plasma [149] 5/23	49/11 52/20 62/20	50/15 51/1 52/16
108/13 108/15 108/18	110/12 110/21 111/1	pharmacopoeial [1]	10/6 10/16 10/25	62/21 67/14 69/18	53/12 53/14 53/22
109/8 110/4 114/13	111/7 112/10 112/12	135/11	11/10 12/5 13/1 18/2	69/22 72/1 78/10	54/25 54/25 55/14
114/16 114/23 118/16	115/18 115/22 116/12	phase [2] 41/9 173/3	24/25 26/7 26/19	78/14 82/7 84/7 85/1	56/5 56/11 56/13
121/12 121/23 122/2	116/16 116/19 117/5	pheresis [1] 30/24	26/25 28/25 29/8	88/16 98/22 106/13	56/14 57/2 57/13
122/6 122/14 123/21	117/9 117/14 117/21	philosophy [1] 86/18	29/11 29/11 29/14	108/7 115/19 121/7	57/14 57/24 73/24
124/16 124/20 125/4	118/9 118/10 118/11	PHLS [2] 109/24	30/4 30/5 30/9 30/20	122/18 124/11 129/6	77/20 85/12 85/13
129/21 130/9 133/17	118/19 119/2 120/13	121/25	30/24 30/24 31/20	129/8 129/23 150/17	86/2 86/24 87/6 87/7
135/1 138/22 139/6	134/16 142/9 142/10	phrase [3] 141/15	34/1 34/11 34/15	160/17 173/17	87/13 87/21 91/3 91/4
139/21 140/3 140/5	149/7 149/17 159/20	141/19 157/23	34/17 34/20 36/5	pleased [1] 117/16	91/9 91/9 91/18 93/3
140/11 141/4 148/23	171/5	phrased [1] 53/20	40/10 43/12 43/14	plus [1] 53/20	93/5 94/11 94/15
150/4 151/11 151/15	periods [1] 166/17	phraseology [4] 44/9	45/6 45/19 45/20	pm [6] 47/14 80/15	106/2 110/14 111/3
152/24 163/6 163/21	permission [1] 18/13	44/10 68/6 141/16	45/23 47/24 50/14	80/17 127/1 127/3	119/10 121/19 128/3
164/24 164/25 170/7	permitted [1] 47/19	physical [2] 38/25	50/16 50/20 54/22	175/15	135/13 135/17 135/19
171/1 172/1 172/9	Perry [3] 138/1	116/22	54/25 55/9 56/19	pneumocystis [1]	139/25 140/20 140/23
patients' [2] 62/15	175/13 175/14	physically [1] 143/22	56/24 57/4 57/12	105/10	140/23 145/2 148/3
122/4	persisted [2] 32/25	physician [4] 1/17	57/25 58/1 58/2 58/2	pneumonia [1] 105/10	
pause [1] 104/22	33/5	125/3 131/10 159/5	58/5 58/6 58/9 58/11	point [23] 7/16 11/13	148/21 149/2 149/3
pay [4] 127/22 147/17	persistence [1]	physician's [1] 69/1	58/16 58/22 59/1	19/4 22/23 24/2 29/10	152/15 155/2 155/3
147/19 147/22	128/11	physicians [16] 2/10	62/25 67/19 75/4 75/7	54/12 60/13 75/13	155/5 157/24 158/5
pedantic [1] 115/24	person [6] 30/3 47/8	2/16 7/17 17/2 17/4	77/7 83/20 83/23 86/7	84/6 90/17 91/8 94/9	158/5 158/8 162/3
penalty [2] 13/6 13/7	61/2 113/12 160/9	66/19 69/8 74/13	86/9 86/11 86/15	95/7 100/4 104/24	162/6 171/15
pending [2] 107/19	173/8	125/16 139/2 139/16	86/16 87/19 91/14	117/22 120/8 136/20	pool size [2] 12/11
108/6	personal [1] 128/15	139/17 141/17 153/15	93/15 95/1 100/25	149/1 162/24 167/9	57/24
penultimate [2] 78/14	personally [1] 141/3	153/17 172/9	100/25 104/20 105/7	169/1	pool-material [1] 91/
106/21	personnel [1] 95/25	pick [4] 47/16 94/10	105/11 106/1 106/19	pointed [4] 31/21 53/6	
people [28] 16/12	perspective [6] 5/1	129/12 143/23	109/11 109/19 110/14	57/24 59/18	18/1 29/23 30/1 30/8
21/22 59/23 59/25	7/1 7/24 16/17 17/2	picked [1] 68/21	110/22 110/24 111/3	pointing [1] 90/15	31/5 93/17 110/18
60/3 66/2 66/4 72/10	21/7	Picking [1] 87/11	111/15 112/2 112/5	points [4] 47/25 91/20	119/7 144/25 155/1
73/3 82/16 83/3 120/4	persuade [1] 146/14	picture [2] 156/14	112/8 112/15 116/1	98/16 102/14	169/1
122/19 123/21 138/20	pessimism [1] 72/5	167/24	116/6 116/9 116/17	police [1] 120/14	pooling [8] 28/17
139/2 139/11 139/14	pessimistic [2] 71/17	piece [4] 17/15 25/5	116/17 116/19 116/23	policies [1] 86/12	28/20 36/11 79/11
143/6 143/14 147/9	72/2	50/3 53/2	119/5 119/6 119/10	policy [3] 73/5 92/8	110/23 111/10 119/3
147/13 160/10 165/16	Peter [2] 5/5 53/9	PIL [1] 67/10	121/19 121/20 128/3	92/22	135/20
165/17 166/5 166/7	Peter Kernoff [1] 5/5	pilot [1] 131/7	128/6 128/11 129/14	polite [3] 18/18 18/23	pools [22] 9/10 9/23
166/16	Peter Prince [1] 53/9		129/17 130/1 130/24	19/7	10/2 10/24 18/5 19/3
per [13] 29/9 30/17	Pettet [3] 82/10 82/14		133/3 133/3 134/25	polyolefin [1] 145/17	23/9 25/12 27/16
30/17 38/3 47/19	82/18	PJ [1] 125/17	135/23 141/3 143/25	pool [153] 1/21 8/23	38/12 56/20 86/9
67/19 101/21 101/23	PFC [8] 8/21 137/16	PL [1] 65/5	144/5 144/8 144/9	9/8 9/18 9/21 10/5	86/10 86/11 86/14
155/17 157/10 157/14	138/7 155/13 155/20	place [32] 3/12 7/25	144/11 144/17 144/21	10/25 11/6 11/9 11/11	87/22 87/22 134/23
157/14 157/19	155/22 156/3 166/9	14/1 16/11 21/18	144/25 145/11 145/11	11/12 11/25 12/2 12/3	134/23 135/9 141/2
per cent [5] 155/17	PFC's [1] 137/22	46/16 53/7 53/22 55/5	145/16 146/9 146/15	12/3 12/5 12/7 12/11	148/22
157/10 157/14 157/14	PFL [53] 3/1 3/3 11/18		148/10 153/13 154/9	12/22 13/2 13/22 14/2	poor [2] 122/1 128/22
157/19	17/24 24/3 24/9 24/21	90/10 100/24 102/22	154/11 155/1 157/4	14/21 14/24 16/16	popular [1] 92/11
perceive [1] 85/10	25/1 25/7 25/13 25/24	112/11 112/17 113/24	157/7 158/4 158/24	16/25 17/3 17/11	population [2] 76/20
perceived [2] 136/2	26/16 26/20 27/20	115/22 117/21 136/17	159/8 162/3 162/7	17/21 17/24 20/10	91/14
136/6	28/22 31/10 33/10	136/18 136/24 144/10	163/4 163/5 167/15	21/3 21/5 21/23 21/25	Portsmouth [5] 109/
perception [2] 5/2	33/14 33/14 33/21	145/6 148/11 151/8	168/14 168/14 172/18	22/8 22/12 22/25	123/3 124/8 124/9
37/5	50/24 59/11 59/12	154/2 154/10 160/6	173/6	23/13 24/6 24/25 25/8	124/13
perform [1] 173/11	59/23 101/21 102/8	169/16	plasmapheresis [4]	27/15 31/21 31/25	posed [2] 23/12 88/8
performed [1] 115/15	109/11 131/6 136/20	placed [7] 27/25 46/3	29/12 30/19 86/15	33/20 37/14 37/19	position [6] 3/24 4/2
perhaps [17] 11/21	136/25 137/1 137/5	59/10 59/24 79/13	86/17	37/21 37/22 39/15	4/25 6/4 79/18 162/25
12/4 13/17 18/23	137/9 143/2 146/8	79/21 139/25	plastic [1] 146/11	39/17 39/20 40/10	positive [2] 105/8
39/25 40/1 48/19	150/24 151/2 152/20	places [1] 123/24	play [1] 100/20	40/16 40/17 40/25	143/14
66/23 79/23 96/23	153/2 155/20 155/21	plain [1] 167/14	played [2] 59/9	41/1 41/3 41/5 42/20	positively [1] 143/8
121/1 125/19 137/13	156/2 156/18 159/20	plan [3] 40/25 41/1	142/14	43/12 43/14 43/16	positivity [1] 115/15
143/12 152/22 162/14	164/15 165/2 165/3	128/14	players [1] 174/2	43/22 43/24 43/25	possession [1]
					F
	<u> </u>			16	

(63) patients... - possession

P	Γ.
possession [1] 124/20	F
possibility [4] 80/3	F
107/17 134/10 141/9 possible [32] 2/1 21/9	F
22/3 27/13 38/9 49/3	F
50/19 52/19 60/24 70/7 76/12 79/3 94/3	ŗ
95/8 114/17 114/22	F
127/24 130/16 135/16 143/23 145/13 149/8	ŗ
149/9 150/22 152/19 157/2 158/24 162/19	F
163/3 168/12 168/23	F
173/19   <b>possibly [4]</b>   39/7 55/3	i
107/15 123/13	
<b>post [10]</b> 1/22 4/3 41/21 42/5 58/8 58/12	ķ
58/18 144/18 144/18 151/5	F
post-cellular [1]	F
144/18 post-transfusion [5]	
58/8 58/12 58/18 144/18 151/5	F
post-treatment [2]	F
1/22 4/3 post-virus [2] 41/21	F
42/5	
postwar [1] 161/22 potency [3] 13/10	
136/3 153/19 potential [6] 3/13 7/25	F
8/13 74/7 76/16 93/2	
potentially [4] 163/19 163/20 169/2 169/5	F
power [1] 142/19	ŗ
<b>PPF [5]</b> 84/1 106/5 107/13 107/19 107/25	
practical [4] 76/12 117/6 135/25 158/22	F
practically [6] 57/15	F
76/4 89/20 95/5 95/7 152/18	ķ
practice [14] 19/9 19/22 19/25 20/2	F
32/24 59/13 65/19	ķ
65/21 66/5 73/11 79/7 79/15 89/19 160/25	
practices [3] 8/8	F
70/19 146/5 pre [7] 3/3 41/21 42/4	F
62/22 64/2 66/17 136/9	
pre-June [1] 66/17	F
pre-June 1985 [2] 62/22 64/2	
pre-virus [1] 42/4	F
precipitate [2] 105/19	ŗ

106/12 precise [4] 30/23 31/20 32/15 114/8 predecessor [1] 61/22 predefined [1] 30/6 predictable [4] 84/23 88/20 88/22 121/2 predictably [1] 12/16 predicting [1] 130/1 preference [1] 117/13 preferred [1] 143/2 prefix [3] 150/10 150/11 150/11 preparation [12] 40/10 63/1 63/13 67/18 68/13 84/1 87/5 97/25 128/2 150/16 156/1 157/7 preparations [2] 10/2 157/11 prepare [1] 156/23 prepared [5] 6/5 22/25 31/22 60/8 104/6 preparing [2] 67/8 173/22 presence [4] 10/9 23/16 24/1 170/12 present [13] 14/24 16/2 52/5 82/13 85/5 86/23 87/1 87/17 97/3 128/20 134/14 135/15 143/7 presentation [6] 27/21 35/15 48/2 73/7 99/14 131/11 presentations [2] 27/5 31/8 presented [4] 6/10 13/23 39/13 123/15 presenting [2] 8/3 143/14 presently [3] 105/20 109/20 110/21 presents [1] 119/21 press [3] 60/9 60/11 92/11 pressure [6] 92/10 158/23 159/3 159/4 159/5 162/2 pressures [4] 98/7 159/17 159/19 166/22 presumably [9] 6/8 34/21 55/14 76/25 107/2 114/1 115/5 152/13 156/9 presume [3] 21/2 74/24 148/15 presumed [1] 128/6 pretty [1] 5/2 prevent [1] 70/8

prevented [1] 111/8 preventing [1] 58/17 previous [5] 33/18 52/25 64/7 95/18 115/8 previously [4] 4/24 5/7 95/2 152/25 price [3] 85/2 90/2 90/4 primarily [2] 86/16 138/16 primary [1] 72/20 Prince [4] 21/21 51/25 52/12 53/9 principally [2] 2/17 99/25 principle [3] 61/13 149/6 156/16 print [2] 68/3 69/15 printed [2] 31/17 32/2 printing [1] 60/12 **Prior [1]** 10/13 priority [1] 74/8 private [2] 147/1 147/13 pro [3] 101/4 101/11 103/20 pro forma [2] 101/11 103/20 probable [1] 104/11 probably [21] 18/6 19/18 20/14 22/22 24/15 26/17 32/25 53/19 61/2 64/19 65/2 69/5 70/14 75/11 82/2 98/25 118/18 142/21 147/3 164/7 172/17 probing [1] 120/22 problem [9] 72/22 74/12 74/25 83/12 111/18 119/16 164/17 166/3 169/4 problems [4] 72/15 88/8 98/11 133/22 procedure [7] 87/8 100/16 100/23 102/17 103/2 103/8 122/11 proceeded [1] 21/7 process [41] 10/10 12/12 12/14 13/4 22/22 23/1 25/23 26/2 26/3 26/16 41/13 53/8 53/12 57/7 58/24 100/20 107/20 116/21 129/20 131/9 131/14 132/13 135/3 137/8 137/13 137/15 137/17 137/21 138/6 138/8 157/20 158/2 158/7 159/24 159/24 160/1 160/7 161/25 167/3 167/5 167/6

41/4 50/17 56/20 57/12 58/5 58/17 79/21 171/25 processes [4] 81/17 137/6 144/7 145/6 processing [12] 13/1 26/23 40/20 41/10 41/17 53/13 54/25 55/22 57/25 107/16 148/8 162/4 procurement [1] 93/15 produce [9] 9/18 78/23 78/24 85/15 95/3 158/23 159/6 159/25 167/3 produced [17] 14/3 23/21 33/23 37/25 38/13 49/1 59/11 59/15 89/15 91/21 133/5 133/9 133/17 133/19 133/20 133/23 164/15 producers [2] 84/13 84/19 produces [1] 66/7 producing [11] 5/24 8/8 78/21 84/20 96/16 138/18 148/20 148/20 160/2 164/5 164/8 product [91] 8/14 10/19 12/17 13/8 13/20 14/10 14/12 14/13 15/21 35/7 35/9 36/18 37/25 38/13 40/17 41/24 52/8 53/17 53/21 55/2 55/10 59/13 62/10 62/11 62/14 62/15 63/6 63/7 63/10 65/5 66/3 66/4 66/7 66/16 68/12 72/16 86/3 88/5 89/16 98/13 99/21 100/6 100/25 101/14 101/14 102/17 107/23 108/2 111/5 112/2 114/20 114/20 114/21 114/24 118/15 119/8 123/24 128/17 131/11 133/17 133/19 133/21 133/23 135/1 135/5 135/6 136/8 136/9 136/11 136/12 137/3 138/18 139/25 140/1 140/5 140/16 141/9 144/25 145/1 145/2 157/20 159/18 159/22 164/9 165/25 167/4 167/18 167/22 170/6 170/10 171/6 production [26] 9/24

processed [9] 31/4

15/13 24/8 25/15 59/10 59/19 59/20 59/23 61/9 73/12 74/6 75/7 92/22 93/6 93/25 94/11 94/15 94/25 95/1 130/25 161/21 162/6 162/8 163/12 165/7 166/20 products [46] 5/23 7/25 8/9 9/13 10/17 27/21 28/23 30/5 33/21 33/22 39/20 41/5 41/16 41/25 48/3 59/11 60/7 78/24 78/25 88/3 93/21 95/4 104/14 106/24 108/5 135/8 136/1 136/13 138/17 139/13 143/3 143/8 143/12 143/15 149/23 153/7 156/25 159/25 163/4 163/5 164/4 164/22 168/6 170/9 171/2 171/4 products' [1] 40/17 **Professor [9]** 2/20 5/19 72/13 73/1 74/14 83/8 122/20 122/21 123/4 Professor Arie [1] 2/20 Professor Bloom [6] 72/13 73/1 83/8 122/20 122/21 123/4 Professor Harold [1] 74/14 Professor lan Kennedy [1] 5/19 profile [1] 135/14 profound [1] 90/4 profoundly [1] 174/11 programme [13] 74/3 78/17 86/20 86/25 87/14 87/18 88/12 93/16 93/17 94/1 94/8 95/12 159/16 programmes [2] 89/20 92/25 progress [4] 86/16 86/19 92/5 101/4 progressed [1] 3/16 progression [1] 36/7 project [2] 128/2 161/23 prolonging [1] 163/18 promise [1] 57/2 promote [2] 87/13 143/3 **promotion [1]** 143/5 prompt [1] 123/10 prompted [2] 74/10 101/5 promptly [1] 121/21

proof [1] 53/5 proper [3] 71/19 75/8 174/2 properly [9] 11/11 60/5 93/25 95/12 96/6 136/6 159/12 160/11 175/10 prophylaxis [2] 130/15 136/5 proportion [5] 12/15 13/20 73/7 124/3 125/8 proposal [5] 18/9 18/17 18/21 20/21 44/18 proposals [2] 46/15 94/6 propose [1] 146/13 proposed [5] 17/23 17/25 18/12 18/19 44/20 proposition [1] 11/5 pros [1] 134/11 protected [1] 57/21 protection [6] 9/11 10/8 23/8 37/15 149/3 149/4 prothrombin [1] 40/12 protocols [1] 55/6 prove [1] 96/13 proved [1] 42/24 proven [1] 92/20 provide [12] 27/13 27/25 48/10 87/18 88/5 93/19 110/5 141/25 149/2 149/4 154/2 165/14 provided [12] 48/7 51/19 67/2 71/22 77/18 79/1 95/16 102/13 107/22 116/23 156/18 156/18 providing [1] 77/18 PRSE0002339 [1] 103/13 publication [2] 70/12 150/8 publications [1] 150/9 **published** [2] 70/2 152/1 **pull [2]** 28/8 75/13 pulled [1] 45/4 pulling [2] 28/14 purpose [4] 20/11 46/7 103/5 162/16 push [3] 95/14 123/14 124/1 pushback [1] 21/14 **pushing [1]** 39/8 put [17] 6/4 7/25 14/1 30/6 44/7 66/7 75/5

(64) possession... - put

n	176/2 176/4	I [4] 70/40 400/40		CAIA CAIO 00140 74145	00/0 00/00 404/00
<u>P</u>	176/3 176/4 quick [3] 23/2 56/1	real [4] 73/13 129/18 157/19 160/8	recommended [1] 83/24	64/4 64/9 68/18 71/15	82/9 89/22 161/20 171/3
put [10] 94/7 99/14	121/5	reality [1] 158/22	recommending [1]	92/1 92/2 99/8 103/12 103/24 104/6 156/1	release [11] 12/18
116/6 123/5 141/7	quickly [3] 3/19 137/8	really [18] 4/9 26/15	2/21	156/8 156/13 157/7	54/16 54/23 55/7
145/7 151/20 163/20	166/13	39/8 74/16 96/2 96/12	reconfiguration [1]	157/11	55/11 55/16 55/22
163/20 165/25	quite [19] 13/18 16/22	113/22 125/17 140/6	39/7	referenced [3] 98/23	101/14 107/24 110/24
putting [9] 8/5 16/24	21/8 29/22 33/3 43/21	160/11 160/12 161/11	reconfigure [2] 38/10	153/24 160/3	111/8
31/19 32/24 90/9	58/19 59/16 76/18	161/22 161/23 162/9	39/4	references [2] 59/5	released [9] 33/25
117/12 152/5 159/3	111/23 136/6 152/20	168/4 170/4 171/10	record [8] 22/21 45/15		106/7 116/6 116/8
166/5	153/20 159/12 161/13	reason [16] 7/6 57/13	48/4 57/1 57/11 88/18	referred [14] 7/9	116/9 118/18 156/21
pyrogen [1] 13/9	170/8 170/11 171/5	64/20 65/3 65/8 65/14	101/22 151/10	27/21 41/2 41/3 74/16	171/25 172/23
Q	175/2	65/16 65/18 96/15	recorded [10] 30/4	74/25 75/12 76/22	relevance [1] 158/6
QA [1] 15/13	quote [7] 1/16 15/2	99/20 100/6 143/22	46/13 57/11 58/10	103/23 104/2 136/19	relevant [2] 69/8
QC [8] 55/6 59/16	24/24 40/8 63/12 81/2	152/7 152/9 164/20	89/7 114/12 144/22	144/8 149/13 159/9	142/24
59/19 59/20 60/10	83/6	164/23	151/10 152/11 168/6	referring [5] 13/19	reliable [3] 9/19 155/6
60/15 106/9 108/2	quoted [1] 55/12	reasonable [4] 30/17	recording [3] 2/22	75/16 76/9 99/17	157/8
QP [1] 162/24	quotes [1] 39/20	116/16 130/6 130/19	30/13 169/20	154/13	reliably [1] 91/7
qualified [3] 11/11	quoting [2] 45/1 45/22	reasonably [1] 124/4	records [8] 28/8 28/14		relied [2] 45/21 71/7
12/3 160/9	R	reasons [4] 87/17	45/14 47/1 106/18	reflect [8] 7/24 29/18	relieve [1] 162/2
qualify [3] 11/8 33/12		94/16 144/9 154/1	111/20 119/18 120/1	34/21 35/1 36/11 46/5	rely [2] 170/16 171/18
71/25	raised [8] 32/11 51/15	recall [35] 2/19 3/12	recover [6] 114/18	46/6 66/20	relying [1] 57/23
quality [13] 11/10	51/22 83/8 83/10 85/9	21/13 59/17 64/15	121/17 133/10 134/25	reflected [4] 2/9 2/23	remain [3] 72/22
12/17 101/8 111/22	91/1 91/20	74/20 76/21 80/1	144/20 172/18	46/2 158/9	117/21 128/23
133/24 135/4 144/5	raises [2] 78/5 98/16 raising [3] 32/12 65/9	98/13 99/21 100/6 100/17 100/24 101/14	recoverable [1] 167/23	reflecting [5] 7/8 7/18 44/1 77/14 152/13	remark [1] 90/7
144/23 147/6 154/3	104/25	102/3 102/17 103/2	recovered [24] 13/22		remarked [1] 85/5
159/21 160/8 175/5	range [3] 10/1 25/18	103/8 103/14 104/10	58/1 58/6 58/9 86/9	reflection [3] 3/24 46/24 116/24	remember [17] 6/6 15/1 15/14 17/16
qualms [1] 113/17	26/10	106/23 107/4 107/8	105/16 105/18 105/20	reflects [2] 50/16	19/13 24/9 35/4 35/6
quantity [6] 130/24	ranged [1] 25/1	108/8 112/22 113/21	106/11 108/12 108/14	158/3	62/9 65/9 67/9 113/17
148/10 156/21 159/18	rarely [2] 170/9	113/22 114/11 120/7	108/16 108/18 108/22	regard [3] 4/1 84/2	113/24 120/11 120/11
163/6 164/9	170/10	121/21 122/11 125/15	108/24 109/3 109/4	102/20	153/1 157/15
quarantine [20] 58/3	rash [1] 104/16	141/19 152/16 172/24	109/5 109/6 109/7	regimen [1] 130/12	remembered [1] 45/8
110/21 111/1 111/7 111/15 112/10 115/18	rate [2] 114/19 116/17	recalled [1] 64/16	114/12 114/15 154/24	region [1] 106/16	remind [1] 25/20
115/22 115/23 115/25	rather [18] 12/22	receipt [3] 79/9	155/5	regional [18] 73/9	Remotely [1] 159/4
116/3 116/5 116/10	29/24 32/7 35/25 36/4	124/21 125/7	recovering [1] 151/2	78/21 79/1 79/17	remove [1] 46/11
117/4 117/8 117/14	39/18 56/13 60/3	receive [4] 77/13 79/3	recovery [2] 79/5	83/14 93/18 94/23	removed [2] 68/19
117/17 117/21 117/24	61/12 114/7 125/24	109/19 109/21	138/15	95/3 95/8 112/16	69/6
117/25	134/23 139/13 156/10	received [17] 4/3 28/2	recruit [2] 147/16	112/20 113/3 114/23	rendered [1] 84/16
Queen [1] 123/2	156/18 157/24 159/14	32/14 58/8 106/2	147/19	134/7 143/16 144/2	renovate [2] 162/16
Queensbury [1] 114/5	163/17	106/20 108/19 110/6	recruitment [1]	146/14 172/14	162/19
question [25] 4/10	raw [1] 83/12	110/17 112/2 112/9	147/13		reorientated [1] 129/7
13/14 18/10 32/7	reach [1] 45/11 reached [5] 3/7 68/25	114/13 122/1 133/1	redacted [1] 105/12	regularly [3] 12/2	repeat [1] 30/2
50/22 57/2 69/19 78/2	69/7 80/11 152/17	133/2 143/6 144/15	redesigning [1] 40/23		replace [1] 162/16
79/24 87/3 98/13	react [1] 97/18	receiver [1] 123/24 receiving [4] 4/18	redevelopment [4] 50/12 50/18 95/22	regulator [1] 89/4 regulators [1] 88/23	replaced [1] 162/7 replayed [1] 168/3
99/19 100/19 129/9	reaction [7] 72/21	169/7 170/21 171/4	134/17	regulatory [3] 41/19	report [34] 5/15 69/25
129/24 132/6 132/11	74/12 74/24 75/16	recent [1] 109/22	reduce [6] 23/19	47/20 141/17	70/11 93/10 101/16
137/13 140/20 146/19	75/18 84/22 88/20	recipient [1] 9/14	67/20 68/14 75/7 87/1	reintroducing [1]	101/16 101/17 101/18
158/20 160/12 168/5	read [16] 9/3 18/11	recipients [1] 9/13	93/14	132/21	101/23 103/22 104/3
170/4 170/5	20/24 40/7 48/9 56/12	recognisable [1]	reduced [4] 77/9	reject [1] 111/11	104/5 104/10 104/13
questionnaire [1]	73/20 75/14 75/19	168/11	77/18 85/4 90/6	related [6] 26/16	104/23 105/1 109/10
questions [31] 1/11	82/11 82/12 95/13	recognise [1] 77/23	reducing [5] 29/9	69/25 86/1 140/20	111/21 111/24 112/18
37/20 42/13 43/1 49/7	100/14 104/3 131/18	recognised [3] 93/9	76/16 83/19 84/24	141/5 168/21	114/15 115/1 115/20
63/3 77/22 80/21	167/14	93/23 97/3	89/10	relates [3] 40/6 53/5	118/8 120/18 120/23
83/10 91/1 104/4	reading [9] 27/11 78/7	recognising [1] 54/7	reduction [2] 89/21	129/24	122/10 138/8 151/14
120/21 120/22 125/25	83/5 94/13 95/13	recognition [1] 11/4	90/20	relating [3] 47/2	168/25 170/18 171/24
126/3 126/14 126/17	95/14 95/17 95/18	recollection [3] 67/24	refer [6] 3/19 18/17	115/12 115/13	172/13 172/15
126/18 127/6 129/2	120/17	68/2 102/20	71/16 102/12 129/13	relation [3] 44/22	Report' [1] 110/9
141/23 148/3 158/10	reads [3] 6/16 63/12	recommence [1]	150/3	107/21 141/24	reported [9] 2/10 2/24
158/12 158/13 171/10	77/25	73/12	reference [23] 2/2	relationship [4] 70/22	21/5 58/18 72/17
174/18 174/20 175/9	ready [2] 55/2 126/23	recommendations [1]	8/14 23/10 30/25 48/4	84/4 89/1 150/1	72/19 92/20 102/7
	reagents [1] 84/1	83/18	51/17 56/13 56/18	relatively [5] 1/21	109/8

(65) put... - reported

R	rest [2] 119/5 16
reporting [4] 101/7	restrict [2] 52/5
115/6 121/3 170/16	152/23 result [15] 13/17
reports [15] 1/20 1/22	
3/5 3/7 4/2 92/9 92/16	33/5 37/24 44/6 5
101/22 121/3 150/9	65/19 65/21 70/18
151/4 151/12 151/22	72/3 91/22 95/20
171/19 173/4	116/7 144/6 152/3
representative [2]	resulted [2] 29/1
155/4 156/25	157/12
representatives [5]	resulting [3] 8/18
126/2 126/16 134/6	111/3
134/7 134/8	results [6] 101/2
represented [1] 14/10	104/17 107/20 10
request [3] 86/2 93/11	124/23 143/24
125/10	retain [1] 37/21
requested [3] 47/3	retrieve [1] 58/21
53/25 83/17	return [6] 11/19 (
requests [4] 86/6	75/22 76/14 121/
122/1 122/3 125/14	125/11
require [2] 78/25	reversion [9] 78/
108/2	80/3 80/3 97/19 9
required [8] 10/2 52/7	98/1 132/17 134/ <sup>-</sup>
86/4 122/10 130/5	134/15
130/7 130/19 131/15	revert [1] 94/21
requirement [3] 73/25	review [10] 20/5
85/15 93/23	60/10 61/11 64/25
equirements [3]	67/11 92/8 92/14
103/9 128/7 131/12	103/3
equires [3] 116/19	reviewed [2] 60/
116/22 169/20	64/17
esearch [10] 4/5	reviewing [1] 13
92/25 94/1 95/12 97/2	reviews [1] 1/20
99/25 140/10 141/25	revised [3] 22/5
161/20 175/7	167/3
eserve [1] 124/17	revisions [2] 22/
esolved [2] 32/20	52/19
55/24	Richard [18] 6/1
resort [1] 163/24	16/11 16/12 16/18
resource [4] 111/3	19/12 19/14 19/18
118/12 129/14 137/2	21/9 23/5 28/3 11
esourced [1] 128/5	128/8 128/15 142
esources [2] 74/2	151/24 153/21 17
94/5	Richard Lane [10
respect [10] 75/1	16/11 16/12 16/18
85/19 107/14 142/3	19/12 19/14 19/18
	21/9 23/5 142/6
145/7 145/10 151/12	151/24
153/5 156/8 159/21	Richard Lane's [4
espected [1] 159/13	6/1 6/7 28/3 128/
respond [4] 23/18	Richard Tedder [
83/10 86/2 141/13	115/14
responded [1] 142/12	Richard Wensley
responding [2] 81/15	153/21
125/14	Richard's [1] 95/
	rider [1] 120/15
83/11 86/5 99/14	
83/11 86/5 99/14 99/20 122/1 122/3	right [43] 2/4 13/
	right [43] 2/4 13/ 14/7 17/7 18/11 2
83/11 86/5 99/14 99/20 122/1 122/3	right [43] 2/4 13/ 14/7 17/7 18/11 2 36/2 39/21 41/7 4
83/11 86/5 99/14 99/20 122/1 122/3 124/6 125/16 130/13	right [43] 2/4 13/ 14/7 17/7 18/11 2 36/2 39/21 41/7 4 48/1 49/12 51/14
83/11 86/5 99/14 99/20 122/1 122/3 124/6 125/16 130/13 134/11	right [43] 2/4 13/ 14/7 17/7 18/11 2 36/2 39/21 41/7 4

st [2] 119/5 166/16 strict [2] 52/5 52/23 sult [15] 13/17 14/2 3/5 37/24 44/6 50/17 5/19 65/21 70/18 2/3 91/22 95/20 16/7 144/6 152/3 sulted [2] 29/19 57/12 sulting [3] 8/18 68/8 rise [1] 168/4 11/3 sults [6] 101/23 04/17 107/20 108/8 24/23 143/24 tain [1] 37/21 trieve [1] 58/21 eturn [6] 11/19 66/23 5/22 76/14 121/17 25/11 version [9] 78/3 0/3 80/3 97/19 97/23 8/1 132/17 134/12 34/15 evert [1] 94/21 eview [10] 20/5 38/5 0/10 61/11 64/25 7/11 92/8 92/14 94/5 03/3 eviewed [2] 60/21 4/17 viewing [1] 137/19 views [1] 1/20 vised [3] 22/5 52/21 67/3evisions [2] 22/3 2/19 ichard [18] 6/1 6/7 6/11 16/12 16/18 19/12 19/14 19/18 1/9 23/5 28/3 115/14 28/8 128/15 142/6 151/24 153/21 174/3 ichard Lane [10] 6/11 16/12 16/18 19/12 19/14 19/18 1/9 23/5 142/6 151/24 tichard Lane's [4] 8/1 6/7 28/3 128/15 ichard Tedder [1] 15/14 ichard Wensley [1] 53/21 ichard's [1] 95/7 der [1] 120/15 aht [43] 2/4 13/18 14/7 17/7 18/11 21/2 36/2 39/21 41/7 43/22 18/1 49/12 51/14 55/23 60/3 63/17

71/18 76/18 78/7 78/20 83/2 94/13 97/16 98/19 99/9 100/4 113/1 115/23 118/13 119/12 123/12 124/17 126/10 126/16 133/13 135/8 135/14 139/7 164/17 165/6 right-hand [4] 48/1 49/12 51/14 63/17 risen [1] 35/17 rises [1] 35/20 rising [1] 157/13 risk [66] 3/23 3/23 4/2 4/4 4/14 8/2 9/9 9/21 10/3 10/15 11/2 11/6 11/11 11/12 11/20 11/24 14/10 20/5 23/11 23/18 23/19 23/19 37/5 37/6 60/20 67/21 67/23 68/14 68/17 69/2 73/2 75/5 76/25 77/10 77/19 81/14 83/23 84/5 84/25 85/4 85/19 85/20 85/21 86/23 87/1 89/2 89/10 89/23 90/6 90/21 91/17 91/18 99/15 99/20 100/10 139/4 139/22 140/23 140/24 141/4 141/6 148/13 148/19 148/24 163/20 163/21 risks [8] 7/25 8/1 8/2 10/4 36/22 84/16 107/15 131/15 **Rizza [2]** 71/8 149/25 road [1] 167/8 Roberts [1] 74/15 Robinson [1] 87/16 rogue [1] 167/12 role [14] 59/9 59/20 59/21 60/9 60/9 60/10 60/15 61/2 82/20 122/9 142/15 142/23 143/7 143/11 role of [1] 60/10 roles [1] 160/9 roll [2] 60/12 69/17 room [3] 118/2 118/4 166/5 rooms [5] 39/1 39/4 165/18 166/10 166/13 roost [2] 97/6 97/9 Rosemary [2] 101/24 163/10 Ross [1] 25/8 rough [1] 30/16 roughly [2] 54/18 54/20

route [2] 51/8 89/18

RTC [7] 104/20 105/24 105/25 106/3 106/19 108/11 121/16 rule [2] 30/16 30/21 ruled [2] 93/6 94/11 run [1] 125/24 running [1] 114/9 runs [1] 82/10 sacrificed [2] 13/8 38/4 **Sadly [1]** 169/18 safe [11] 8/9 14/12 40/20 41/10 41/17 84/16 96/12 107/14 163/4 163/5 164/8 safety [3] 4/6 142/18 159/21 SAG [6] 30/20 30/24 34/22 35/1 86/17 86/19 SAG-M [6] 30/20 30/24 34/22 35/1 86/17 86/19 said [42] 8/11 11/3 13/25 17/9 19/18 19/23 19/24 20/19 23/20 24/8 24/10 24/13 24/14 25/20 29/18 41/12 49/20 68/11 71/25 81/2 88/18 88/18 100/22 102/16 103/12 103/14 104/23 129/5 132/6 138/21 139/1 140/1 141/5 141/8 141/10 141/11 142/2 143/1 145/4 155/8 165/24 174/23 salaries [1] 147/14 Salisbury [1] 109/1 same [32] 1/10 11/10 21/20 23/21 29/3 35/10 41/2 44/10 57/4 60/23 61/25 67/3 67/11 76/20 96/23 102/5 118/6 121/13 136/23 137/12 143/20 148/22 148/23 156/15 156/16 157/1 157/20 157/20 160/1 164/14 170/4 173/7 sample [5] 12/16 60/11 109/21 115/14 157/7 samples [15] 12/15 12/19 55/5 55/6 105/7 108/3 108/4 109/19

routine [1] 24/8

row [1] 33/24

Royal [1] 8/20

122/4 124/4 124/20 124/21 125/7 155/4 172/10 **sampling [3]** 13/4 55/4 55/5 sarcoma [2] 104/17 105/6 satisfactory [1] 122/3 **satisfy [1]** 93/16 save [1] 146/21 saved [1] 119/7 savings [1] 129/14 savvy [1] 81/21 saw [14] 7/19 12/18 16/24 67/2 69/11 116/18 116/21 128/8 143/13 143/24 152/3 167/13 167/24 168/16 say [57] 2/10 3/21 4/13 8/17 11/21 11/25 15/5 15/7 15/22 20/12 22/17 24/14 25/22 33/13 37/22 38/12 38/20 39/3 39/21 44/5 44/12 57/18 61/6 61/22 65/13 67/4 70/10 70/14 71/14 73/3 91/10 97/8 98/10 98/15 101/18 103/7 116/24 119/12 124/24 126/10 128/20 132/10 133/20 139/7 141/15 148/20 153/1 156/3 158/21 160/21 161/17 161/22 163/1 163/5 173/16 173/18 175/3 saying [14] 16/19 44/9 45/9 56/5 76/10 76/11 80/23 89/4 89/7 94/17 145/25 151/7 152/24 161/8 says [12] 7/11 22/20 29/7 49/18 52/15 63/20 72/11 84/9 104/13 118/3 124/10 155/16 scale [5] 25/13 30/8 73/12 131/7 161/21 scaled [1] 137/23 scales [4] 147/17 147/20 147/22 147/24 scanning [1] 69/11 scarce [1] 129/14 sceptical [2] 71/11 81/4 scientific [6] 6/19 6/22 7/12 113/10 139/18 149/20 scientist [2] 25/15 101/7 Scotland [1] 155/9 146/13 152/23 screen [15] 1/13 6/15 seeking [2] 46/7

20/17 21/19 24/18 40/1 47/21 49/7 51/23 52/22 54/13 73/18 78/10 82/7 121/7 screening [5] 9/19 20/9 57/22 83/20 86/25 seamless [1] 62/2 second [14] 3/18 28/21 40/5 41/1 48/6 49/2 51/20 89/7 101/19 121/11 123/17 130/21 147/3 160/12 secondary [1] 86/17 secondly [2] 95/1 98/18 secretary [2] 3/9 101/24 section [7] 37/19 67/17 88/17 100/12 110/10 115/3 130/2 section 4 [1] 115/3 sections [1] 127/7 **sector [1]** 147/13 secure [2] 88/5 106/23 secure/recall [1] 106/23 secured [3] 86/11 107/13 108/5 securing [1] 128/11 security [2] 69/16 120/24 see [65] 1/7 1/8 5/14 5/15 6/2 6/15 17/3 23/17 26/6 27/5 27/20 33/23 35/15 36/6 36/15 36/17 39/25 40/8 42/17 43/10 44/25 46/12 48/13 49/22 50/4 50/7 51/10 51/15 55/19 56/8 57/4 57/21 58/24 62/23 62/24 63/4 63/15 63/16 63/16 65/20 67/15 74/9 78/14 82/13 88/13 91/25 99/5 104/5 106/21 112/25 121/9 122/19 123/12 123/15 142/24 143/18 147/8 152/1 160/19 161/3 163/3 167/19 170/24 173/3 175/8 see' [1] 92/23 seeing [9] 6/6 23/15 36/8 45/22 139/12 141/19 157/13 157/21 163/13 seek [4] 95/10 103/7

(66) reporting - seeking

S	2/12 2/23 3/13 58/8	should [43] 11/8 15/7	sir [22] 1/5 13/18 19/7	56/14 135/17 135/19	50/21 52/9 52/12
seeking [1] 132/1	58/18	18/8 19/19 23/25	20/22 42/10 44/25	140/20 140/24	52/22 53/24 54/7 55/8
seem [2] 22/6 114/6	sequences [1] 64/8	27/12 38/21 38/22	46/11 47/9 48/20	skin [1] 104/16	55/11 55/19 56/12
seemed [1] 7/16	sera [1] 122/4	44/12 44/12 45/17	55/23 80/11 104/22	slavishly [1] 60/3	56/22 58/10 59/5 61/4
seems [2] 32/4 125/9	serendipitous [1]	45/18 51/2 56/12	125/24 126/25 131/22	slightly [2] 26/9	62/14 63/6 63/18
seen [18] 2/14 6/7	10/12	56/17 56/25 57/24	140/8 150/18 158/12	100/21	64/21 66/24 67/12
48/7 64/22 71/22	series [1] 134/5	66/9 66/20 73/15	165/22 175/12 175/13	slipping [1] 118/5	68/22 70/20 74/9 76/2
82/19 85/3 85/16	serious [6] 1/24 6/17	73/22 74/2 74/5 75/2	176/4	slow [2] 54/7 57/19	79/13 80/9 90/6 91/7
85/20 90/3 99/1 99/16	6/21 7/22 40/9 85/11	75/14 78/2 78/19	Sir William Maycock	small [47] 1/21 9/10	92/19 94/21 96/14
103/5 140/2 140/14	seriously [5] 6/24 7/5	87/12 87/23 88/2	[1] 131/22	14/13 23/9 32/2 38/11	99/11 99/15 102/11
149/15 164/12 164/20	36/22 80/25 93/14	94/17 98/15 100/18	site [8] 38/11 95/25	39/15 39/17 39/18	102/22 103/12 111/23
seized [2] 40/18 42/6	seriousness [1] 7/14	103/14 104/22 119/24	96/10 96/16 96/17	39/20 39/21 39/22	113/20 116/10 116/23
selected [2] 7/6 60/17	served [2] 103/5 166/5	120/20 123/13 141/11 141/12 143/8 163/16	96/23 106/10 128/4 situation [16] 14/12	40/10 40/17 40/25 41/1 41/3 41/5 73/24	118/15 121/13 122/17 124/3 125/6 125/20
selection [4] 8/7 10/5	service [9] 43/11	174/23	16/5 58/23 85/24 93/8	85/12 86/2 86/11	126/11 126/19 126/20
11/23 37/3	43/13 76/5 124/9	shouldn't [2] 141/8	93/22 99/2 99/5 108/1	86/15 86/20 86/24	126/22 129/6 131/18
self [2] 128/13 130/4	132/8 132/20 133/2	141/9	110/9 111/11 147/18	87/5 87/13 87/21	132/3 139/11 141/1
self-sufficiency [2]	171/20 172/13	show [2] 4/5 31/14	157/5 163/23 164/1	87/21 87/22 87/24	142/1 142/11 144/1
128/13 130/4	services [3] 5/10	showed [1] 167/17	173/10	88/3 91/3 91/9 93/3	149/1 149/23 150/8
selling [1] 143/11	140/16 143/3	showing [1] 47/24	situations [2] 19/11	94/11 94/15 98/18	152/1 153/9 154/2
Sen.Ch.MLSO [2] 107/7 113/8	serving [2] 129/21	shown [1] 171/16	165/19	127/5 136/3 137/15	154/20 156/16 156/25
send [3] 146/1 156/13	145/24	shows [3] 49/12 56/10		152/20 156/21 161/20	160/1 160/7 163/8
170/18	set [12] 3/4 23/19	62/17	111/7 111/14 117/5	162/3 162/6 165/2	165/19 166/18 166/18
sending [2] 126/3	27/18 27/20 51/2 65/7	sick [1] 173/8	117/8 117/14 118/9	small pool [2] 94/11	166/23 168/12 168/22
146/1	73/15 73/22 94/5	side [11] 2/15 2/15	119/2 173/2	94/15	172/7 172/25 173/2
senior [7] 58/20 82/16	99/23 101/12 128/9	47/22 48/1 49/12	six-month [2] 118/9	small-pool [1] 91/9	174/15 175/1 175/3
82/19 82/22 92/7	sets [1] 50/25	49/13 49/22 50/6	119/2	smaller [8] 14/2 25/12	so-called [1] 90/6
113/10 113/12	settled [1] 41/9	51/10 51/15 62/24	size [87] 9/8 9/21 9/23	31/25 38/7 77/19	Social [2] 5/10 120/24
sense [16] 2/22 11/8	<b>several [4]</b> 70/14 85/9 88/10 98/5	<b>sight [2]</b> 167/19   167/19	10/5 11/6 11/9 11/11   11/12 11/25 12/7	134/23 135/4 166/7 smallest [1] 24/9	solability [1] 131/12 solubility [1] 136/3
20/4 42/7 46/18 53/3	severe [1] 170/8	signed [2] 72/9	12/11 12/11 12/22	Smith [33] 5/18 8/17	solution [2] 162/20
53/3 53/25 88/22	severity [2] 130/10	111/21	12/22 12/23 13/2	16/13 21/21 23/20	162/21
88/23 89/3 91/17	169/4	significance [1] 58/6	13/12 14/20 16/16	39/13 51/25 52/13	some [53] 3/21 6/8
103/6 103/20 123/11	sexual [3] 75/5 83/21	significant [13] 10/4	16/25 17/3 17/21	68/5 71/8 72/11 82/14	10/2 21/17 24/5 24/7
142/20	83/24	10/7 10/15 12/1 18/7	17/24 21/3 21/5 21/23	85/5 87/12 87/16	27/24 36/1 36/10 42/9
sensitive [1] 8/18	SF4333 [1] 105/14	20/4 20/14 87/2 87/18	21/25 22/9 22/13	87/20 90/13 90/15	42/25 43/22 45/16
sent [22] 27/23 31/9 33/9 48/24 51/24	shall [2] 47/9 80/13	93/4 93/11 153/25	22/25 23/13 24/9	100/1 105/2 106/25	49/5 49/25 50/9 51/3
60/11 68/21 72/9 82/6	shared [3] 6/18 6/22	171/24	24/25 27/15 28/25	109/10 109/12 109/23	55/8 55/20 59/2 64/25
104/8 105/24 105/25	7/12	significantly [1] 91/16		112/20 112/20 115/14	73/10 73/11 74/8 78/4
106/17 112/5 120/14	she [2] 20/24 32/12	signs [1] 171/16	36/15 37/14 42/20	121/16 123/4 137/4	79/13 79/17 85/25
120/23 121/1 121/10	shed [1] 166/15	similar [2] 71/3 95/18		146/21 151/25 174/3	87/9 89/5 90/19 92/15
122/19 122/20 122/22	<b>sheet [6]</b> 62/17 62/18 67/1 67/5 101/4	similarly [1] 135/22	44/21 45/9 45/15	<b>Smith's [3]</b> 8/10 90/7 100/3	99/2 99/17 106/14
144/17	101/12	simple [5] 45/24 64/6 101/10 102/9 171/3	46/13 46/15 47/2 47/3 49/13 49/14 49/18	Smithies [5] 17/18	124/1 124/20 131/18 132/21 138/22 140/10
sentence [16] 18/25	shift [11] 6/24 34/24	simply [16] 13/3	49/13 49/14 49/16	19/15 19/19 20/19	143/2 143/6 144/7
22/20 26/21 40/6	53/24 165/6 165/9	19/16 30/13 32/13	51/2 52/16 53/12	44/19	145/6 146/19 149/2
40/25 41/1 41/3 41/5	165/10 165/12 165/20	32/17 34/17 39/23	53/13 53/14 53/22	<b>SNAPE [21]</b> 1/3 1/4	149/20 150/16 153/7
42/17 43/10 53/17	165/24 166/4 166/9	57/15 67/9 81/20	55/14 56/5 56/11	1/12 1/15 4/11 31/21	156/11 159/14 162/24
75/14 75/20 158/18	shifts [3] 166/1 166/4	91/18 133/4 137/1	56/13 57/2 57/6 57/24	32/1 39/25 40/21	somebody [4] 15/6
158/19 160/3	166/4	137/7 138/12 155/17	116/23 135/13 139/25	47/16 58/25 80/19	63/5 102/13 171/15
separate [7] 40/24 46/1 85/19 115/12	shipment [1] 168/22	simulation [1] 96/7	140/22 141/7 148/3	84/22 88/19 99/11	someone [4] 28/12
115/13 129/24 132/6	shipments [1] 143/25	since [5] 9/5 9/7 9/22	148/5 148/7 148/13	126/1 126/13 127/5	165/24 170/18 170/20
separated [2] 104/20	shoehorn [1] 132/13	109/19 120/18	149/2 149/4 157/24	158/11 173/14 176/2	someone's [1] 167/20
112/15	short [6] 2/15 4/20	single [18] 9/13 77/8	157/24 158/1 158/5	so [114] 13/12 15/25	something [23] 19/10
separately [1] 76/22	47/13 73/6 80/16	77/11 77/15 77/17	158/8	16/4 16/22 19/4 19/6	19/19 33/12 35/4 41/7
separation [1] 41/20	127/2	93/12 111/4 112/8	sizes [26] 8/23 14/2	20/16 21/4 21/17 23/2	57/18 65/24 66/5
September [2] 35/18	short-term [1] 2/15	133/23 145/11 145/19 145/22 146/15 154/18	14/21 14/24 17/11 24/6 25/8 26/7 26/19	23/17 24/14 26/5 26/9 27/7 27/18 30/9 31/12	70/19 76/13 80/20
109/22	shorter [1] 126/20 shortfall [1] 14/6	154/20 154/22 166/3	28/8 28/14 37/19	31/13 38/5 38/20	98/16 98/21 100/1 117/18 120/3 137/25
September 1977 [1]	shorthand [1] 94/22	171/1	37/22 37/22 45/4	39/21 40/1 42/5 43/13	141/11 151/13 157/17
35/18	shortly [2] 40/19	single-donor [1]	47/18 47/19 48/3	43/25 45/1 45/22 46/4	161/23 166/21 167/25
sequelae [6] 1/24	44/24	154/22	49/15 52/2 53/25	48/25 49/24 50/8	sometime [1] 117/19
	I	i	i		(67) socking - sometime

(67) seeking... - sometime

S	95/10 148/11 155/22	stock [3] 108/11	sudden [1] 93/11	supported [2] 94/1	49/12 49/13 49/21
sometimes [2] 104/1	155/22 155/24 155/25	116/16 172/18	suddenly [2] 157/12	95/12	50/6 51/10 51/14 53/5
	stages [1] 8/12	stool [2] 129/11	157/17	supporting [1] 111/14	54/3 56/7 56/9 56/24
155/15	stance [1] 125/1	129/16	sufferer [1] 121/20	suppose [2] 170/12	57/3
somewhere [5] 13/7 24/15 51/2 54/21	stand [2] 35/2 131/21	stop [6] 43/9 50/12	suffering [2] 105/10	171/18	take [44] 3/1 5/9 11/3
167/8	standard [11] 19/22	50/17 146/1 159/15	110/13	<b>suppressed [1]</b> 93/14	17/14 20/25 45/17
soon [3] 22/3 27/12	19/25 20/2 156/1	164/5	<b>sufficiency [2]</b> 128/13	supra [1] 83/14	46/19 47/10 48/9 49/8
52/19	156/8 156/14 156/15	Stop-Gap [3] 50/12	130/4	supra-regional [1]	52/11 52/23 54/19
sooner [5] 71/4 71/7	156/20 156/20 156/23	50/17 159/15	sufficient [2] 66/10	83/14	55/8 69/18 71/15
137/3 163/17 174/10	156/24	stopped [2] 173/24	164/21	sure [34] 6/3 6/7 6/11	71/21 77/3 80/13
sorry [26] 19/23 20/20	<b>standards [2]</b> 79/19	174/10	sufficiently [1] 95/16	7/9 15/1 16/21 20/20	81/23 83/25 89/16
20/22 22/10 24/11	157/4	storage [3] 111/13	suggest [2] 56/25	21/11 26/11 30/12	90/12 91/21 97/14
44/25 57/18 62/5	starburst [1] 60/22	117/2 131/11	163/8	32/17 34/14 43/25	98/17 100/11 111/23
66/21 70/25 73/19	start [5] 1/12 11/9	Store [1] 63/21	suggested [4] 86/23	46/12 46/20 46/21	124/6 126/5 127/11
78/16 79/16 90/1	41/15 161/8 162/15	stored [3] 60/16 101/3		46/23 59/22 64/7	127/13 129/3 131/15
91/14 98/2 98/14	started [2] 146/8	144/9	suggesting [1] 76/14	64/10 65/20 68/4	136/24 143/10 145/14
100/18 102/25 115/7	169/15	stout [1] 75/3	suggestion [1] 45/18	68/24 98/8 114/4	148/8 150/15 150/18
116/2 122/17 154/15			suggests [2] 44/8	114/19 134/2 137/11	154/2 161/13 170/21
156/3 174/11 174/15	93/20 133/7 171/10	streams [1] 129/19	63/6	138/1 154/6 154/10	173/17
sort [3] 111/19 119/17	172/2 state [7] 84/20 86/1	<b>stress [3]</b> 24/20 48/14 120/20	suitable [1] 107/18	160/9 165/14 172/9	taken [47] 6/8 7/4
156/15	92/14 95/21 127/24	strike [1] 12/7	<b>Sully [16]</b> 1/14 9/1 9/6 24/18 33/18 43/9 45/2	surely [3] 59/19 111/19 119/17	12/16 16/8 16/10
sought [1] 97/22	146/20 147/15	stronger [1] 21/10	47/21 62/20 69/18	surgery [1] 130/17	16/18 16/21 17/8
sounds [1] 43/15	stated [8] 33/2 61/1	stronger [1] 21/10	73/19 88/16 129/23	surprise [1] 34/24	18/16 18/24 19/16
source [5] 56/21	62/22 63/7 84/22	structure [1] 161/2	158/15 160/18 161/6	surprised [1] 125/3	21/10 22/13 37/21 38/20 42/16 44/16
73/13 76/25 83/19	88/19 97/1 103/9	structured [1] 80/2	summaries [1] 98/15	surprising [1] 80/9	44/24 47/24 51/1 55/5
86/11	statement [49] 1/15	structures [1] 17/8	summarise [4] 97/12	surveillance [1] 92/19	68/4 68/20 74/2 74/5
sourced [1] 33/15	3/20 8/25 9/4 24/17	struggle [1] 65/20	132/16 134/21 174/5	survey [3] 92/6 139/8	76/3 78/19 79/9 82/10
sources [1] 3/22	24/23 25/21 32/22	studied [1] 99/16	summarised [1]	139/10	94/14 94/21 101/13
Southampton [1]	33/6 39/11 42/14 44/1	studies [2] 96/7 110/4	173/25	survive [1] 129/21	112/17 115/4 115/5
109/4	55/17 56/3 56/16 59/4	studying [1] 153/22	summarising [1]	survived [1] 162/13	119/5 124/18 124/22
space [8] 42/9 66/10	64/12 67/9 69/23	stumbling [1] 49/5	97/16	susceptible [1]	125/5 125/10 127/6
88/11 116/22 117/16 162/4 162/5 162/8	75/12 76/23 84/14	style [1] 11/2	summary [12] 24/13	133/24	146/24 152/22 162/15
speak [4] 3/2 77/23	89/13 94/18 96/3	subheading [1] 6/14	90/22 90/23 95/4 95/5	suspect [3] 65/2 96/3	162/18 174/15 174/20
126/3 149/1	97/21 98/24 99/22	subject [5] 32/3 36/12	99/1 103/15 104/9	97/7	takes [1] 55/4
speaks [1] 78/15	100/12 100/14 102/11	37/17 42/12 83/8	111/24 115/3 123/8	suspected [1] 112/18	taking [13] 3/12 18/2
special [1] 109/14	102/12 103/23 125/9	subjected [4] 58/3	138/24	Sweden [1] 25/25	19/1 20/7 36/22 42/8
specific [11] 34/8	127/7 127/10 127/14	67/11 67/20 112/10	summing [1] 91/22	switch [3] 95/9 146/6	71/17 80/2 83/20
63/8 64/9 64/20 64/20	127/19 127/21 130/3	submission [2] 12/19	supernatant [12]	146/15	135/5 138/5 139/14
65/22 131/12 144/21	136/19 136/22 145/5	38/4	29/19 32/14 74/4	symptoms [3] 70/1	160/6
145/16 154/6 155/19	153/24 155/6 155/15	submissions [1]	78/18 78/25 79/3	171/11 173/2	talk [2] 2/8 79/21
specifically [1] 96/24	155/16 158/16 173/22	141/23	79/10 79/11 93/19	syndrome [3] 70/18	talked [2] 31/16 129/9
specified [4] 10/3	<b>states [11]</b> 21/22 31/15 67/17 68/12	submitted [2] 5/22 6/2		83/6 92/3 system [30] 3/4 11/1	talking [24] 11/14
11/18 37/18 135/13	70/13 72/18 92/11	subsequent [1] 160/23	supernatants [5] 28/17 29/23 78/6	14/1 69/12 100/17	13/19 24/20 26/20
specify [1] 163/22	94/10 99/15 149/22	subsequently [4]	135/20 135/24	100/24 101/6 101/9	28/11 42/22 43/23
spiked [1] 96/9	149/23	106/3 109/15 119/6	supplied [9] 50/20	101/21 102/6 102/9	43/24 58/21 71/1
spoke [2] 113/7	statistical [1] 152/9	147/24	110/2 110/3 119/11	102/22 102/23 102/25	77/11 81/7 81/8 81/12
170/17	stay [1] 135/23	substandard [1]	122/15 125/8 128/6	103/15 103/18 103/21	100/10 139/13 144/12 147/10 147/18 154/12
spoken [2] 23/7 63/2	step [2] 41/17 51/9	127/25	170/9 173/6	120/17 144/23 146/21	164/11 165/16 165/17
Spooner [2] 3/9	steps [8] 3/1 3/3	substantial [1] 40/16	supplier [1] 169/9	147/2 150/19 150/21	173/5
101/24	40/20 42/2 96/9 96/9	substitute [1] 128/22	supplies [2] 164/14	151/8 165/6 165/20	tank [2] 30/9 158/2
SPPs [1] 146/7	146/24 152/22	successive [1] 103/6	164/20	166/14 166/16 168/6	target [1] 121/2
square [1] 20/16	sterile [1] 93/18	such [24] 3/15 18/5	supply [10] 76/6	168/7	targeted [2] 91/8
St [1] 124/9	sterility [1] 13/9	19/3 21/13 33/15	77/18 77/19 88/6	systematic [2] 151/6	137/21
stack [1] 28/13 staff [8] 6/19 6/22	stern [1] 2/20	40/18 64/15 97/20	122/13 124/22 132/8	151/8	targets [1] 93/16
7/12 28/4 28/7 73/8	still [16] 4/22 8/20	101/16 121/3 125/14	158/24 159/8 164/21	systems [1] 144/23	task [1] 27/18
88/11 174/8	23/1 30/17 35/9 36/12	131/9 134/11 134/13	supplying [1] 143/15		taxing [1] 38/25
staffing [1] 102/5	41/9 43/23 50/24	136/2 139/10 142/1	support [3] 88/9	<u>T</u>	team [6] 15/13 82/16
stage [11] 42/8 50/5	51/11 64/23 74/22	142/4 143/4 149/16	122/5 130/17	table [22] 27/20 33/23	
61/24 64/9 68/25	78/23 86/14 136/7	150/9 164/15 170/14	supportable [1]	34/7 45/11 46/2 46/16	140/9
	146/10	171/24	110/22	46/23 47/4 48/1 48/25	tear [1] 145/18
					(00)
					(68) sometimes - tear

8/11 9/10 10/22 12/3 58/17 59/6 61/21 34/12 41/2 42/23 19/8 19/8 20/3 20/16 135/17 141/22 142/19 12/22 13/1 14/12 31/3 62/19 69/16 75/5 49/16 54/5 58/11 20/20 20/21 20/23 143/9 145/13 146/25 tear-down [1] 145/18 31/4 31/18 31/23 32/1 60/11 60/12 62/6 71/2 21/20 22/6 22/12 23/2 124/22 124/24 125/8 147/22 147/24 149/20 tears [1] 173/21 32/2 32/25 35/25 36/4 132/23 133/19 145/20 71/3 71/6 78/25 81/21 24/3 24/22 27/4 27/25 149/24 150/13 152/2 technical [1] 28/7 39/18 40/10 43/17 146/15 151/17 156/19 81/23 89/22 90/9 28/12 31/15 32/6 32/8 157/25 158/10 159/1 technician [6] 30/12 46/10 55/8 56/13 theme [2] 141/5 90/16 123/21 132/23 32/20 33/20 35/14 168/18 169/22 170/11 45/20 46/24 102/4 57/23 60/3 61/12 160/13 132/23 132/24 133/18 36/20 37/19 40/18 174/21 175/4 102/7 168/17 62/25 64/19 67/18 133/19 133/21 135/21 42/15 43/2 45/12 themselves [4] 7/19 though [9] 22/19 technology [2] 3/4 71/4 71/7 79/19 85/12 57/17 139/15 140/5 138/17 140/18 143/13 45/17 46/2 46/5 46/11 43/15 55/20 62/18 113/24 85/16 91/3 91/9 then [92] 2/2 3/7 5/1 145/13 146/6 146/14 46/16 47/4 50/23 117/23 120/22 131/22 **Tedder [4]** 105/7 5/2 5/4 5/18 11/16 110/14 114/7 114/18 151/5 151/7 152/5 50/24 51/8 51/23 158/22 165/22 109/17 115/9 115/14 126/21 132/15 134/23 52/11 52/13 53/4 11/19 11/24 12/2 155/23 157/9 162/11 thought [6] 18/8 telephone [8] 105/5 136/25 137/3 139/4 12/11 13/10 14/2 14/5 169/8 170/9 171/2 56/21 57/3 59/18 23/11 53/3 66/3 66/4 107/1 107/8 107/10 139/14 141/2 145/13 17/7 23/8 25/14 29/4 173/8 59/19 60/2 62/21 63/1 78/1 112/19 113/1 113/25 152/4 157/12 157/24 30/14 30/20 31/5 they'd [1] 25/9 63/5 63/6 67/14 67/17 thoughts [1] 47/5 143/23 162/2 163/17 168/7 35/12 35/18 35/19 thing [2] 120/10 68/10 68/12 68/18 thousand [1] 11/18 telephoned [1] 105/2 169/3 169/16 170/25 35/22 40/25 43/1 167/19 69/6 69/10 69/23 72/8 thousands [1] 38/22 tell [3] 64/12 168/9 things [6] 11/5 75/1 175/2 44/16 46/13 46/14 72/11 73/3 73/4 73/14 threat [2] 7/20 85/10 171/21 thank [35] 1/8 13/24 48/9 48/18 49/20 52/9 96/2 117/10 124/24 73/21 74/20 75/8 threatened [1] 91/17 temperatures [2] threatening [1] 65/15 19/7 21/17 25/16 27/1 55/4 57/17 58/3 60/25 160/10 75/21 77/14 78/2 116/20 116/21 43/9 45/4 47/9 48/21 62/13 67/14 68/25 think [98] 1/9 2/6 2/9 78/13 79/24 79/25 three [24] 46/9 55/3 temporary [2] 74/3 52/24 55/25 58/25 73/3 75/14 79/20 81/1 4/1 4/10 11/21 15/7 82/8 85/13 87/1 88/9 55/15 57/5 62/17 78/17 59/7 72/6 78/12 82/4 87/9 91/11 94/16 15/11 16/21 19/19 90/17 91/4 91/12 74/15 89/17 111/1 ten [2] 13/1 42/17 84/12 99/17 113/11 94/22 94/24 97/12 19/22 19/23 22/15 91/24 92/4 93/13 94/8 111/16 117/4 117/6 **TERENCE [2]** 1/3 126/25 129/1 157/22 97/20 104/3 112/11 23/14 24/10 24/14 95/15 95/22 97/5 117/8 117/17 118/9 176/2 118/10 119/14 119/23 160/18 165/4 170/2 116/10 118/11 119/10 26/16 26/17 30/2 33/1 97/12 97/24 98/10 term [12] 2/15 3/13 120/2 129/19 165/10 173/12 174/12 174/13 119/22 124/23 126/5 100/9 100/15 104/1 39/1 39/3 39/17 40/6 12/10 12/22 36/22 174/14 175/1 175/2 126/23 129/8 129/20 41/15 43/5 44/9 45/18 104/13 104/19 105/1 165/25 166/4 166/9 42/6 73/6 116/3 175/10 175/11 175/12 131/3 132/19 133/13 45/25 46/21 54/7 105/15 106/3 106/5 170/22 116/10 117/24 129/13 136/11 140/8 142/6 55/23 57/10 57/18 106/16 106/20 109/11 three different [1] that [1085] 132/12 that's [62] 2/5 12/13 142/12 143/23 144/19 61/5 64/1 64/15 64/24 109/15 109/22 110/16 120/2 terminal [5] 18/3 19/2 147/25 151/11 152/19 12/16 13/12 13/12 66/8 68/20 69/5 69/11 110/20 111/2 111/12 three months [2] 20/6 20/11 23/24 14/4 22/10 26/4 26/9 152/20 155/5 156/12 70/11 70/21 70/25 111/16 111/19 111/24 55/15 117/6 terminology [1] 69/20 26/15 34/12 36/3 41/8 156/21 157/6 159/1 71/2 71/5 71/6 71/7 117/24 119/14 119/17 three-month [4] 117/4 terms [33] 4/7 11/20 42/7 44/2 44/11 44/22 159/13 159/17 163/2 71/10 71/14 71/16 120/23 121/6 121/8 117/17 118/9 118/10 15/19 24/8 30/15 37/2 163/25 164/15 165/2 121/21 122/2 122/6 three-shift [1] 166/9 45/1 50/22 52/9 53/14 71/19 73/17 75/11 37/24 55/22 60/19 54/9 55/24 56/4 59/16 165/13 169/12 169/12 76/2 76/2 76/8 76/17 122/12 122/12 122/16 through [31] 3/17 69/17 76/15 81/16 61/4 63/23 67/15 76/17 77/14 80/13 123/5 124/10 124/17 17/5 26/22 28/8 28/13 172/4 172/7 99/24 103/4 113/12 127/16 127/17 127/21 75/11 75/19 76/8 therapeutic [1] 5/23 81/3 81/13 82/2 82/21 28/22 35/13 36/10 114/11 128/1 133/1 76/17 83/4 84/8 84/8 therapy [5] 62/14 90/18 91/12 97/18 129/9 129/24 130/2 38/11 41/16 46/25 133/22 136/18 138/9 84/12 94/18 98/20 107/5 107/9 112/23 99/8 100/2 113/16 130/3 145/7 146/12 70/4 91/19 100/14 138/11 143/13 149/18 99/10 103/7 103/13 130/14 115/11 120/6 120/10 163/1 163/1 163/9 104/3 115/21 118/21 155/19 157/25 159/6 107/3 118/19 119/1 124/3 125/20 134/16 164/13 167/5 171/21 123/22 126/15 126/17 there [186] 159/18 165/7 165/13 127/11 134/11 134/22 120/3 126/19 135/24 there's [6] 54/24 136/18 138/21 140/6 173/16 174/22 165/13 167/24 169/6 137/25 139/23 140/6 55/19 143/21 152/10 141/1 141/15 142/5 those [78] 2/24 3/6 135/9 142/16 146/20 test [13] 35/12 36/24 146/2 153/1 156/14 155/23 173/15 142/21 148/18 149/8 3/18 5/16 8/1 8/1 147/1 147/25 166/1 37/1 37/10 37/11 158/4 160/3 161/1 thereafter [2] 34/7 149/9 150/17 152/12 10/17 10/22 12/6 13/2 169/8 171/19 57/22 57/22 107/18 throughout [3] 149/7 164/18 166/6 169/2 170/15 152/18 154/17 159/10 13/6 14/24 15/8 15/14 108/6 109/20 116/7 169/14 172/7 173/12 161/1 162/14 162/18 16/7 16/10 17/8 22/14 154/12 160/15 therefore [7] 10/14 118/24 157/6 thawed [2] 54/22 55/1 29/9 29/14 55/13 87/3 165/5 167/25 28/8 28/14 35/24 throughput [1] 160/7 tested [1] 105/7 thawing [1] 55/9 172/23 173/10 thinking [13] 2/8 2/9 35/25 37/13 48/6 thumb [2] 30/16 30/21 testimony [1] 174/5 their [21] 59/20 72/14 therein [1] 145/9 8/5 15/24 23/4 23/22 49/14 49/19 52/22 Thursday [1] 175/16 testing [15] 12/17 78/21 83/21 83/23 therein may [1] 145/9 53/1 74/18 76/17 52/23 55/8 59/5 61/19 thus [5] 29/14 87/4 13/4 13/9 13/9 13/10 97/14 124/25 126/15 these [16] 2/14 2/16 91/11 129/16 155/17 73/14 73/21 75/17 108/10 108/21 111/10 38/4 55/6 55/7 96/5 130/10 139/6 139/21 5/20 27/22 27/23 34/9 165/6 75/25 77/4 77/21 **Tim [2]** 113/7 113/18 115/15 118/23 135/6 143/3 147/11 148/1 35/13 40/24 42/19 78/24 79/11 81/7 time [122] 2/6 2/21 third [3] 18/25 75/20 142/1 155/4 170/15 150/3 151/17 153/6 45/5 46/19 46/22 86/6 165/5 82/16 83/3 85/16 3/15 3/22 4/12 4/16 tests [4] 9/19 55/8 156/19 156/23 165/7 107/16 115/5 159/17 thirteen [1] 26/25 89/20 89/25 95/14 7/15 11/1 15/11 22/23 124/23 166/13 169/8 they [55] 2/10 2/17 this [155] 1/14 5/6 95/15 95/17 107/2 23/1 24/23 27/7 28/2 Thames [2] 142/14 them [23] 4/1 4/19 3/23 4/3 13/3 14/6 5/14 5/21 5/24 8/25 112/23 123/6 125/22 28/4 31/6 32/25 33/8 148/2 17/13 19/9 33/11 15/3 15/7 16/12 21/2 13/15 17/16 17/20 126/18 131/2 131/4 33/13 33/16 33/20 than [59] 7/2 7/20 35/14 35/15 49/16 18/3 18/8 18/11 19/1 131/18 131/25 132/23 36/21 36/25 37/2 37/7 21/11 24/6 33/11

(69) tear-down - time

T	174/16
time [97] 37/13	took [14] 3/3 6/24
37/18 39/5 41/19	23/20 46/16 56/2
45/10 45/25 46/3 48/9	80/25 82/5 125/1
50/21 50/23 50/24	136/17 136/18 142/1
54/19 54/19 55/14	150/6 163/8 165/21
57/7 58/1 59/12 60/23	top [6] 6/15 9/6 21/1
61/5 61/7 64/18 67/8	62/21 90/1 104/5
67/10 67/12 69/21	topic [12] 98/12 127
70/16 73/10 74/19	129/6 138/19 141/24 143/1 143/16 157/23
75/13 76/19 79/19	164/14 165/5 167/10
80/1 80/12 82/17	170/4
82/19 85/5 91/6 91/10	total [4] 36/12 44/6
95/23 101/9 102/4	108/18 109/7
102/4 106/1 112/1	touched [1] 100/9
113/16 113/22 113/23	towards [1] 78/15
113/25 114/9 115/1	trace [7] 144/24 145
116/8 116/13 116/16	168/12 169/8 169/12
117/10 118/19 118/25 120/3 120/5 120/18	170/12 171/13
124/18 124/21 124/25	traced [1] 171/2
125/24 128/13 128/16	tracked [1] 101/1
130/14 130/16 131/23	tracking [2] 101/9
132/11 135/23 136/14	102/6
136/23 137/14 141/1	Tragic [1] 99/9
142/7 142/11 142/22	tranche [3] 48/24 4
143/12 145/21 146/8	68/21
146/10 147/15 147/23	transcript [3] 28/21 59/6 145/8
149/8 156/5 158/6	transfer [1] 102/3
160/1 160/3 167/5	transfusion [48] 58
169/18 171/5 171/24	58/12 58/18 62/12
172/17 173/24 174/15	72/16 73/9 76/5 78/7
174/19 175/6 time-expired [3]	78/21 79/1 79/4 79/1
106/1 112/1 135/23	79/17 94/23 95/8 98
timeline [1] 3/2	112/16 112/20 113/3
timely [1] 23/25	113/12 114/24 119/2
times [5] 12/2 65/1	120/4 124/16 132/4
114/8 160/14 165/25	132/8 132/20 133/2
timetable [1] 110/20	134/7 143/16 143/18
tipping [1] 149/1	144/2 144/16 144/18 144/19 145/25 146/5
tirelessly [1] 174/8	146/9 146/14 146/17
title [2] 104/9 161/11	151/5 153/14 153/21
to [1133]	168/22 171/20 172/4
today [6] 1/12 21/16	172/13 172/14
52/14 142/2 144/14	transition [2] 61/25
159/10	62/2
together [7] 8/5 29/20 44/7 45/13 46/22 47/6	translate [1] 45/23
134/9	translated [1] 9/12
told [10] 25/19 32/1	transmissible [10]
40/22 44/19 112/21	65/25 70/23 72/4
125/7 139/21 150/20	74/17 74/18 74/23
151/15 163/9	75/9 80/9 81/25 93/1 transmission [14]
tomorrow [3] 146/1	7/3 7/20 8/2 66/20
175/13 175/14	68/17 70/8 70/18 75
tone [2] 124/15 125/2	89/24 107/14 110/1
too [12] 91/7 162/14	139/5 150/22
162/14 162/15 162/18	transmissions [3]
163/1 163/1 163/8 164/4 174/6 174/7	71/12 81/5
104/4 1/4/0 1/4//	transmit [1] 4/23
1	I

transmittable [1] 84/16 transmitted [1] 70/4 transpired [1] 79/2 36/18 142/10 treat [3] 8/22 172/1 173/8 5/15 9/6 21/15 treated [20] 9/14 21/23 22/1 35/9 36/19 98/12 127/5 52/17 54/5 68/14 38/19 141/24 84/24 85/16 86/3 88/3 13/16 157/23 89/10 110/4 121/12 165/5 167/10 121/23 122/2 122/6 122/14 130/10 36/12 44/6 treaters [2] 70/3 163/25 treating [9] 7/18 7/21 123/21 139/2 164/2 144/24 145/1 164/11 164/12 172/8 169/8 169/12 172/15 treatment [41] 1/22 2/13 4/3 9/25 18/4 19/2 20/6 20/11 23/22 23/25 41/24 67/20 68/9 70/7 75/23 76/7 81/17 85/7 89/20 90/9 **[3]** 48/24 49/2 90/20 98/18 99/20 99/24 114/21 122/12 127/8 130/8 130/12 ot [3] 28/21 130/13 130/15 130/17 130/23 136/5 136/9 ion [48] 58/8 148/11 151/10 164/17 169/24 171/1 172/5 3/9 76/5 78/7 treatments [1] 163/13 9/1 79/4 79/12 Treloar [1] 172/6 1/23 95/8 98/6 trials [1] 84/15 12/20 113/3 tribute [1] 127/22 14/24 119/23 tried [4] 132/20 157/3 162/21 173/22 trivial [1] 157/9 13/16 143/18 trivially [1] 8/22 14/16 144/18 trouble [2] 47/1 145/25 146/5 124/18 16/14 146/17 true [3] 33/3 137/12 53/14 153/21 139/16 71/20 172/4 trustworthy [1] 130/4 try [10] 7/24 37/6 **n [2]** 61/25 46/18 48/22 118/6 134/9 134/24 142/16 153/6 175/4 trying [8] 11/21 88/4 97/17 98/9 120/11 125/20 160/4 167/24 TS [2] 107/1 107/8 9 81/25 93/1 turn [17] 5/20 24/5 ssion [14] 5/3 27/2 27/19 33/22 52/9 57/17 59/8 67/14 )/8 70/18 75/6 68/10 69/18 71/7 100/6 103/22 158/11 167/1 173/14 turning [2] 132/21 ssions [3] 5/6 138/19

twice [3] 12/4 64/19

40/15 40/24 41/5 42/15 42/22 43/1 44/8 47/6 50/25 55/14 56/1 56/22 74/15 80/7 86/7 98/15 106/5 110/12 111/17 119/15 119/24 123/24 130/5 134/2 148/21 150/13 152/22 155/22 155/25 157/25 165/9 166/4 166/9 172/4 172/17 173/25 174/2 two weeks [1] 173/25 two years [2] 119/15 119/24 type [3] 130/24 144/12 154/10 types [2] 86/8 144/3 typescript [1] 63/23 typical [1] 125/13 typically [6] 19/12 58/1 60/24 134/2 151/24 153/17 UK [18] 4/6 4/14 10/20 10/21 80/8 81/23 82/3 85/10 85/15 85/24 86/13 87/10 88/5 91/9 92/15 93/8 149/18 156/10 ultimate [2] 21/4 172/22 ultimately [4] 14/20 15/6 41/6 151/16 unable [2] 87/18 128/20 unavailable [2] 107/6 113/13 unavoidable [2] 111/20 119/18 uncomfortable [1] 165/19 under [12] 5/10 6/13 25/14 79/13 92/5 93/9 93/18 128/5 128/6 158/23 159/3 159/15 under-resourced [1] 128/5 under-supplied [1] 128/6 underlines [2] 111/17 119/15 underlying [1] 48/18 underneath [2] 49/24 50/9 understand [15] 13/15 31/1 43/19 46/4 46/19 56/19 63/9 104/8 112/24 120/23

119/22

121/25 140/10 143/4 two [39] 28/18 29/25 160/16 168/7 understanding [10] 17/7 45/16 54/9 78/20 81/14 99/21 102/19 118/13 133/13 140/4 understood [1] 72/6 undertake [3] 96/22 139/10 155/4 undertaken [2] 79/12 153/5 undertaking [2] 133/15 133/16 undertook [1] 139/8 underwrite [1] 23/6 unexposed [1] 4/24 unfortunate [3] 9/14 77/13 128/19 unheated [2] 108/3 125/11 unidentified [1] 23/17 union [1] 165/13 unit [10] 28/4 28/7 93/12 102/5 112/8 114/4 134/1 154/7 156/9 156/17 United [8] 70/13 72/18 73/2 81/10 81/13 92/10 92/11 99/15 United Kingdom [1] 92/10 United States [3] 70/13 72/18 92/11 units [5] 36/9 36/9 134/2 154/23 156/12 University [1] 122/23 unknown [6] 23/12 23/15 23/16 23/23 72/23 93/14 unless [2] 9/13 64/19 unlicensed [1] 131/4 unlikely [2] 19/15 38/1 unmeasured [1] 23/17 unpaid [5] 3/22 9/11 9/12 9/17 10/21 unrecognised [1] 9/15 unsecured [1] 86/9 unstinting [1] 128/16 untested [1] 23/17 until [17] 34/16 45/12 47/10 51/13 57/3 70/5 70/24 80/13 103/17 116/7 117/22 126/22 152/7 159/13 164/16 170/15 175/16 untimely [1] 114/6 untreated [1] 5/7 unused [1] 121/17 unusual [2] 59/17

59/18 unwilling [1] 87/17 unwittingly [1] 9/22 unwritten [1] 103/18 **up [72]** 2/22 3/4 3/20 5/4 8/20 10/24 14/6 19/17 26/7 26/19 28/4 30/22 31/7 31/21 40/1 45/2 47/16 50/5 50/8 51/9 52/22 53/9 56/4 56/11 59/5 59/13 62/17 68/21 73/15 73/22 75/13 87/8 87/11 88/6 88/23 91/22 94/10 95/10 98/20 109/9 110/3 111/18 114/7 115/4 115/11 115/16 119/16 120/10 120/12 121/12 121/23 122/7 122/9 123/7 123/18 124/16 129/12 134/9 137/24 143/21 143/23 144/9 144/14 145/5 146/12 146/20 146/25 160/18 171/2 172/7 172/11 173/9 updated [1] 114/25 upon [8] 13/17 23/12 100/9 119/19 162/22 166/22 170/16 171/18 ups [1] 56/1 upwards [1] 142/5 urge [1] 122/4 us [41] 4/6 4/14 8/8 10/23 10/23 12/9 25/19 31/24 32/8 32/15 32/17 37/9 40/22 48/10 58/21 70/16 74/13 79/9 81/15 84/11 86/7 87/10 89/14 90/5 90/8 91/11 91/14 91/16 99/2 99/6 99/7 118/2 131/24 145/24 146/1 150/20 159/8 162/22 172/17 173/24 174/10 US concentrate [1] 91/16 **USA [5]** 83/19 84/18 85/11 92/14 93/22 usage [1] 29/9 use [49] 9/9 9/10 9/11 10/6 12/10 13/23 34/21 35/1 38/2 40/19 42/6 53/23 56/25 60/17 70/20 77/9 78/5 86/14 86/17 86/17 86/20 87/14 94/15 94/21 96/17 102/18 109/20 110/19 110/25 111/9 112/13 114/19

(70) time... - use

# use... [17] 116/1 116/17 129/17 131/13 139/3 140/4 145/17 151/16 151/20 152/4 152/23 155/24 159/4 162/12 163/18 164/22 167/7

used [50] 9/17 10/25 12/13 22/11 24/12 25/23 37/7 39/2 39/5 39/19 41/7 42/9 46/10 52/8 53/15 55/17 56/4 56/25 60/19 62/25 67/19 77/7 79/5 83/25 86/14 88/8 101/20 105/15 106/16 109/1 109/2 115/24 118/16 119/7 133/3 133/9 135/22 138/12 139/13 155/21 155/21 155/22 156/2 156/17 157/23 161/24 162/17 164/16 171/25 173/8 useful [3] 33/1 98/21 140/11 user [1] 141/12

users [3] 85/21 85/22

using [15] 26/5 26/24

30/3 35/16 37/14 43/3

101/1 116/10 117/24

153/15 153/17 158/4

usually [1] 172/17

utilise [1] 129/18

59/15 86/24 96/9

usual [1] 6/4

UV [1] 128/3

139/24

vacated [1] 162/4 Vallet [5] 82/15 83/18 86/23 104/7 145/23 value [1] 174/21 variability [4] 43/23 153/25 154/22 154/23 variables [1] 131/19 varied [1] 54/19 various [4] 46/19 47/25 56/20 102/14 vary [2] 154/24 154/24 **VD [1]** 115/17 **VD clinics [1]** 115/17 **VDRL [1]** 104/19 vein [2] 169/20 169/20 venereal [1] 109/14 ventilation [1] 166/6 verify [1] 62/19

version [1] 138/3

versus [2] 114/21 117/8 very [53] 1/8 4/20

15/12 25/9 30/10 32/25 39/15 39/18 39/21 40/10 40/24 41/3 47/7 52/24 54/11 55/19 55/25 56/1 65/8 65/14 70/3 73/13 76/4 81/16 84/12 85/13 86/21 90/1 90/15 90/21 91/4 98/2 98/9 99/23 111/10 116/20 122/1 127/14 127/20 133/15 143/10 145/14 148/23 156/21 157/15 162/7 164/15 165/4 166/13 173/1 173/12

174/14 175/10 via [3] 51/8 92/11 142/6

vial [3] 41/23 67/20 68/14

vials [38] 13/8 13/11 13/22 33/9 38/3 38/5 55/2 67/2 77/5 105/24 105/25 107/4 107/9 108/9 108/11 108/13 108/14 108/16 108/18 108/20 108/23 108/25 109/1 109/2 109/3 109/4 109/5 109/6 109/7 112/22 114/11 114/14 121/17 135/6 137/11 148/10 155/5

168/10 video [6] 67/3 69/11 116/18 167/17 168/3

168/16 videos [1] 167/13 view [16] 7/11 7/13 8/16 10/20 21/6 23/21 24/3 71/17 76/2 76/3 84/18 88/12 95/7 139/6 148/25 163/16

viewed [1] 51/7 views [9] 7/18 88/10 90/14 99/18 128/21 138/20 139/9 139/11 139/14

vigorous [2] 94/1 95/12

VII [1] 79/5 VIII [65] 1/21 13/21 14/3 15/17 25/1 25/23 27/15 28/18 28/24 29/2 29/4 29/20 31/18 33/9 39/5 40/11 41/10 49/1 62/11 62/15 63/7 73/7 77/6 78/22 92/24 93/5 93/16 95/1 96/17

104/10 105/22 108/8

110/24 121/12 124/12 130/7 130/10 130/22 130/25 133/6 134/3 134/25 135/18 135/20 136/1 137/25 138/18 148/10 153/12 154/23 155/2 155/16 155/21 155/22 157/18 158/23 159/7 160/2 162/8 163/5 163/12 163/24 164/10 164/20 166/11 viral [8] 60/20 67/21 68/15 68/17 107/14 110/1 127/8 142/18 virologist [1] 1/18 virology [2] 96/5 142/1

virtually [1] 126/14 virus [44] 8/2 8/5 8/6 10/10 10/13 10/19 10/22 23/15 23/17 37/12 40/20 41/9 41/12 41/13 41/17 41/21 41/23 42/4 42/5 63/14 64/9 68/8 70/18 72/4 74/18 74/23 75/9 76/16 76/19 80/9 81/17 81/25 89/21 94/6 96/8 96/9 96/10 96/12 96/20 107/23 141/11 141/13 170/13 170/15

virus-safe [1] 96/12 viruses [9] 23/10 23/12 23/23 65/25 67/22 68/16 93/1 131/17 131/23 viscosity [1] 136/4 vision [1] 127/23

visit [2] 143/21 162/11 visited [2] 25/9 138/7

visiting [1] 58/20 voice [3] 15/8 15/10 22/18

volte [1] 4/20 volte-face [1] 4/20 volume [4] 87/21 87/22 136/3 137/15 voluntary [3] 3/22 9/10 9/17

wait [2] 88/13 117/3 waiting [1] 152/7 Wales [3] 76/24 122/23 128/18 wallet [2] 101/3 102/5 wallets [1] 101/2 wanded [1] 119/9 wanding [1] 168/17 want [16] 3/19 43/18

47/16 48/17 53/11 96/12 126/6 127/16 127/22 164/13 167/7 167/9 174/14 175/1 175/1 175/10 wanted [5] 51/6 143/10 154/1 166/20

166/21 wanting [1] 32/18 wants [1] 56/19 warning [13] 63/11 63/24 64/4 64/7 64/14 65/10 65/17 66/9 66/11 66/15 67/17 68/12 81/24 warnings [5] 60/20

61/16 97/10 142/2 142/4

was [578] wasn't [31] 4/16 7/4 23/2 23/2 26/21 33/2 37/14 42/9 44/11 45/25 68/22 76/25 80/8 89/16 91/10 95/9 118/23 118/24 125/7 131/22 134/13 134/14 137/19 145/12 145/21 145/22 149/10 153/2 157/19 169/25 170/14 watch [1] 173/19 way [39] 19/8 35/10

36/10 38/9 39/3 42/1 43/15 44/7 58/21 61/25 66/2 66/4 71/1 75/19 76/20 77/18 90/21 96/25 97/4 97/23 99/13 100/3 100/10 113/25 123/5 123/16 124/18 125/13 127/16 146/3 148/7

157/21 164/2 164/13 171/13 175/3 ways [2] 21/1 83/19

148/14 150/18 156/15

we [344] we could [1] 58/11 we then [1] 159/13 we'd [3] 91/11 145/25

172/20 we'll [4] 40/1 66/22 66/23 79/23

we're [5] 77/11 101/18 147/10 165/16 165/17 we've [3] 24/4 121/14 153/4

Wednesday [2] 1/1 72/14 week [15] 8/10 16/24 48/4 48/24 68/22

87/15 110/21 110/23 112/10 115/23 116/12 117/21 148/21 148/22

148/22 weeks [9] 27/5 31/8 39/13 54/23 55/4 55/9 116/15 124/22 173/25 weighing [1] 140/23 weight [17] 15/8 15/11 28/25 34/1 34/11 34/15 34/17 34/18 34/20 36/5 45/6 45/19 45/20 47/25 56/24 57/4 57/9 weights [1] 45/23 20/8 20/20 22/19 23/14 26/13 26/22 30/1 32/22 38/18

well [37] 1/7 1/9 12/3 49/10 52/15 58/22 59/4 64/6 67/2 70/24 71/18 76/17 76/18 93/10 104/9 114/1 115/6 124/1 125/7 126/10 127/17 132/2 137/22 139/6 145/24 146/23 149/8 158/13 Wensley [1] 153/21 went [16] 5/9 30/15 35/25 43/20 46/25 56/11 57/14 58/15

172/4 were [207] weren't [8] 22/6 35/3 40/22 45/14 113/14 123/19 138/16 171/21 Wesley [3] 82/15 137/20 138/7 Wessex [15] 104/2 104/20 105/24 106/19

60/23 68/1 77/6 97/13

137/18 168/14 172/3

106/25 108/21 109/10 109/23 112/16 112/20 114/14 114/18 114/23 115/14 121/16 West [3] 106/15

142/14 148/2 wet [3] 73/9 93/12 107/22

wet-heat [1] 107/22 what [171] 2/9 4/5 4/11 4/13 8/6 11/3 11/21 13/15 13/19 13/20 13/25 14/6 14/9 15/7 15/19 15/19 17/3 17/19 20/18 22/20 22/21 23/11 23/15 23/25 24/6 24/13 25/20 26/2 26/15 29/18 30/2 30/7 30/12 31/1 31/15 32/8 32/11 33/4 34/10 34/12 35/2 35/15 35/24 37/23

38/2 38/8 39/22 41/4

41/20 42/7 43/4 43/19 45/8 45/16 45/20 46/1 46/4 46/6 46/7 46/9 46/19 46/24 47/1 49/18 52/23 56/7 56/25 57/15 57/20 57/23 57/24 59/9 59/21 60/21 61/1 66/6 67/10 68/2 70/14 71/2 71/25 72/21 72/25 74/10 75/2 75/25 76/17 78/2 83/11 84/9 85/7 85/14 86/1 87/4 87/4 88/18 88/21 89/7 89/12 89/19 91/5 92/4 95/5 96/11 97/25 98/7 98/15 99/6 99/7 100/15 102/11 102/16 103/11 104/13 113/9 114/8 116/14 116/24 118/16 119/19 119/24 120/6 123/13 124/4 126/10 126/13 126/19 127/20 128/8 130/3 133/1 137/17 139/21 140/3 140/10 141/5 142/9 143/4 143/24 144/10 146/5 146/24 148/6 149/1 151/7 151/15 151/20 152/7 154/21 155/3 155/13 157/5 158/1 158/2 158/8 158/9 159/3 160/4 161/14 163/10 166/3 167/20 167/24 168/1 168/16 169/1 171/23 173/23 173/23 173/24 174/5 what's [2] 32/9 46/1

when [77] 2/25 3/6 4/21 9/16 11/13 15/22 19/5 20/8 23/21 23/23 24/7 25/6 25/9 30/8 31/16 32/13 33/9 36/18 41/8 42/19 43/20 43/25 44/19 45/10 46/25 53/5 53/10 54/22 57/19 58/15 58/20 59/17 59/21 59/21 60/12 62/8 66/18 66/24 70/10 74/16 80/21 81/1 81/7 99/11 100/9 104/19 111/18 116/6 116/23 119/16 120/8 128/1 136/7 136/17 136/21 137/5 138/4 143/1 144/10 144/14 146/24 147/3 147/3 151/13 152/16 155/1

whatever [2] 19/5

70/20

(71) use... - when

## W 164/11 167/1 167/3 167/11 167/13 168/5 when... [11] 157/2 168/21 169/7 169/20 157/3 163/17 167/5 170/6 170/14 170/21 167/17 168/16 169/23 171/6 171/7 171/20 170/5 171/14 171/24 172/3 172/9 173/1 173/21 whichever [2] 20/23 whenever [1] 156/11 96/20 where [31] 8/10 14/24 while [6] 15/16 39/6 15/3 15/17 15/17 53/20 99/22 138/21 21/13 22/24 25/4 165/21 25/21 30/6 42/2 44/17 whilst [8] 8/4 8/20 48/13 54/25 56/4 15/16 71/24 89/20 63/23 85/24 88/17 92/13 93/8 135/17 96/8 97/10 100/12 who [55] 2/21 3/9 12/1 100/22 112/16 121/3 12/4 16/15 16/20 129/8 134/6 163/23 19/12 22/14 27/25 169/15 169/15 171/12 28/7 30/12 45/21 47/8 173/6 58/8 60/1 60/18 61/6 whereas [1] 85/21 62/3 62/5 62/6 63/5 whereby [1] 60/22 74/11 74/16 76/2 whether [30] 7/1 76/24 77/13 82/13 14/11 17/1 19/14 82/24 84/10 95/14 33/14 39/23 40/24 102/7 102/13 111/25 44/17 67/4 70/3 70/17 111/25 114/24 126/15 70/19 75/24 76/1 132/1 139/17 142/3 83/15 87/12 87/23 142/12 146/25 147/22 88/7 93/13 95/7 149/25 150/4 152/24 109/13 114/22 116/9 156/22 156/22 159/3 117/25 120/1 140/16 170/18 170/20 171/3 144/1 144/5 148/25 171/13 171/15 172/14 155/24 174/22 which [115] 1/24 2/22 whoever [2] 34/9 7/9 8/16 10/7 14/12 142/6 19/11 19/18 22/13 whole [4] 32/3 77/25 36/1 36/21 39/6 42/23 106/17 112/5 43/2 43/3 43/16 44/6 whom [4] 2/16 113/19 45/23 46/1 46/12 121/10 122/19 46/15 47/23 48/3 whose [7] 14/20 15/8 48/25 49/3 49/16 31/20 74/13 75/5 49/18 51/18 51/20 122/25 127/23 51/21 52/15 55/12 why [30] 2/6 7/6 12/21 55/13 56/21 58/7 58/9 20/12 34/4 45/1 57/20 62/17 63/4 63/8 63/11 64/4 64/12 68/18 66/4 67/15 68/7 70/11 77/22 113/13 113/14 72/17 73/5 73/6 77/6 114/17 123/8 123/19 77/15 77/22 79/12 123/19 125/1 125/5 83/24 88/11 96/7 97/4 128/20 132/10 133/20 100/7 100/7 100/10 136/24 143/22 145/12 101/5 103/14 103/15 148/18 157/16 164/21 103/23 104/1 115/23 172/7 174/23 118/3 118/6 119/6 wider [2] 81/9 97/24 121/6 121/11 121/19 will [52] 3/18 22/3 125/13 126/4 130/18 29/15 39/10 47/10 135/12 136/4 136/18 49/8 52/4 52/6 52/7 138/19 140/7 142/3 52/19 59/5 62/23 142/23 142/23 143/19 70/25 73/6 73/8 74/6 144/16 145/14 150/9 77/2 88/14 92/22 94/7 151/23 151/24 152/4 98/13 99/19 101/18 153/23 154/9 157/4 107/17 107/19 107/25 159/12 160/5 160/14 108/5 110/5 110/14 161/6 161/23 164/1

121/10 124/21 124/24 126/4 126/8 126/10 126/19 126/24 127/10 129/3 129/5 134/24 140/8 141/22 150/17 155/18 159/1 161/6 169/8 169/10 William [1] 131/22 Winchester [1] 109/2 wise [1] 90/24 wish [2] 109/18 125/22 wished [1] 83/10 wishes [3] 18/22 21/8 117/15 with [215] withdraw [1] 84/19 withholding [1] 101/13 within [20] 17/8 17/8 21/18 33/11 50/13 66/11 70/14 93/24 101/3 109/12 111/17 119/15 120/12 120/13 144/7 154/25 160/5 168/10 168/19 168/20 without [13] 15/20 21/11 38/12 65/8 65/13 73/11 75/8 128/15 145/24 146/17 150/16 151/2 173/21 WITN3431001 [9] 1/14 8/24 24/18 40/2 69/22 100/14 127/18 129/23 158/16 WITN3431001 page 52 [1] 1/14 WITN3431014 [1] 103/25 witness [17] 1/15 24/22 25/21 44/1 67/9 75/12 76/23 96/3 98/23 100/12 127/7 130/2 145/5 153/24 158/16 173/22 174/24 witnesses [1] 173/14 won't [14] 35/12 35/13 63/2 71/15 97/14 102/15 103/11 106/14 126/20 128/20 145/4 155/18 163/4 173/17 wonder [2] 12/8 42/10 x5 [1] 101/21 wondered [1] 170/1 wool [1] 154/15 word [5] 18/21 39/24 115/24 115/25 129/11 wording [5] 60/19 61/15 62/24 78/13 141/22 words [4] 39/21 64/17 40/15 46/10 67/10

76/1 174/6

work [11] 50/11 88/9

111/4 116/9 118/6

98/17 98/18 108/3 128/5 124/24 137/4 137/8 years' [1] 98/5 152/1 152/2 154/9 yellow [2] 101/23 worked [9] 24/21 26/7 26/19 100/2 100/24 103/19 137/21 153/20 174/8 working [29] 2/24 3/10 4/17 4/21 4/25 7/10 8/7 14/23 17/5 25/9 30/5 71/9 87/14 98/6 101/25 109/25 135/4 151/22 153/21 153/23 154/1 154/22 156/23 156/23 165/17 165/18 165/18 166/17 171/11 works [1] 58/24 world [1] 129/18 worse [5] 85/12 91/3 91/9 172/20 172/22 would [328] wouldn't [27] 6/3 11/24 21/11 28/5 32/13 32/14 32/16 35/5 65/13 65/16 76/15 77/1 82/22 118/11 118/16 118/18 133/16 133/18 133/20 139/20 140/14 145/20 151/7 153/16 163/6 164/3 173/19 write [1] 19/16 writing [10] 17/23 19/9 19/17 48/11 102/23 103/1 103/2 105/3 107/11 167/20 written [10] 20/19 24/17 43/15 44/7 102/11 103/15 103/20 127/15 167/25 168/8 wrong [7] 2/8 9/1 21/2 43/20 43/21 44/11 167/12 wrote [16] 1/15 17/18 17/19 24/24 27/9 44/1 52/1 69/24 75/21 92/4 100/15 121/8 121/14 125/17 127/20 130/3 yard [1] 156/10 year [8] 12/2 12/4 17/6 52/12 64/19 65/1 119/22 136/18 years [12] 35/4 40/13

106/21 109/12 110/13

111/17 119/15 119/24

102/2 yes [80] 4/1 12/10 14/4 15/24 16/6 16/10 18/14 18/20 19/6 20/15 23/5 24/16 29/13 30/21 35/11 38/15 38/17 47/15 48/20 48/20 49/9 50/19 50/21 53/1 54/17 55/16 55/24 56/17 56/17 57/19 59/12 63/16 63/25 64/3 71/19 75/19 79/16 79/20 80/12 80/18 84/7 87/25 89/14 95/5 97/21 98/22 103/16 103/19 113/7 117/2 117/12 117/12 117/23 119/9 121/1 123/10 126/5 127/4 135/20 135/21 138/25 142/25 144/14 148/16 149/20 149/23 150/2 154/14 154/19 155/20 158/13 161/16 164/18 165/4 165/8 165/19 166/25 170/1 171/23 175/14 yesterday [10] 12/18 24/7 24/13 24/20 25/19 42/16 116/18 143/1 144/8 159/10 yet [3] 45/12 89/5 96/11 yield [13] 131/8 153/10 153/12 154/10 155/6 155/8 155/9 155/10 155/12 155/17 156/4 156/5 157/13 yielded [1] 144/17 yielding [1] 106/4 yields [2] 85/8 85/16 you [501] you're [8] 13/18 16/19 32/9 38/25 64/10 66/12 66/14 155/3 you've [7] 23/7 66/24 80/7 102/13 103/11 155/8 174/23 your [88] 1/14 2/2 3/11 3/19 3/24 8/25 9/3 21/6 21/18 22/7 23/7 24/10 24/17 24/21 24/22 25/21 27/22 36/20 39/11 42/14 46/18 48/9

48/11 48/13 48/16

50/22 50/23 52/3 56/3

56/16 59/3 66/6 66/6

66/25 69/23 71/14 72/6 79/25 81/8 90/14 99/18 99/22 99/22 100/12 100/14 102/11 102/14 102/19 103/23 107/2 112/25 117/7 117/13 120/25 121/20 122/5 124/2 124/15 125/9 127/7 127/14 127/15 127/17 127/18 127/20 128/23 129/9 130/2 130/2 133/13 136/19 138/21 139/1 139/11 140/1 140/3 140/9 143/16 145/5 146/19 149/13 154/5 160/14 163/16 167/14 174/15 175/3 175/7 yours [1] 174/20 yourself [6] 53/6 71/1 100/8 120/18 139/8 174/23

**Zuckerman [2]** 2/20 151/20

(72) when... - Zuckerman