\cdot
Cashi
Lord Warrer's comments on the submission
and client not for Sale II in a li
enquiry on the blood podicts issue. The
enquiry on the blood products issue. As you can see, boid Werner has suggested a review of all documents Jacky, to produce a commentary to be published.
Jacky, to produce a connentary to be published.
We discussed.
I would be grateful if you could put MS(R) comments on this submission into MS(PH) for consideration.
MS(R) suggests that the weakness of DHs position is the slowness in collecting, reviewing and publishing documents.

MS(R) also suggests that he would not go as far as to commission a public enquiry, but use the powers under the 1977 Act for SofS to commission a review of ALL the documents (new ones, old ones and if possible Scottish Ones) with a view to producing an independent legal/judicial commentary on them and putting all these into the public arena.

MS(R) thought that a retired Judge/QC could do this with an administrative support team, with the aim to complete within 6 months.

Becca Spavin
APS/MS(R)

I would be grateful for MS(HS) view.

Many Thanks

GRO-C: CF

GRO-C