 Jack BARN LANGSTAFF: Before we start can I just tell you, it worts be unspected, that the same rules, as it were, apply tody as they do not whenesday, year the security a rangements that naturally apply to someone who coccupies the position of our witness. So please at any break would you rumain in your sease at any break would you rumain in your sease at any break would you travelines. So please at any break would you travelines. So please at any break would you travelines. So that's may are a fractional by the security of State for Health and Social Care between 2012 and 2018? A. Correct. Chancellor, good aftemoon. Let me explain the arrangements. You you chady have a mide what they are a representing varius of the press. To your ither are inverse store the inducting they as you to take the other fracting will ask you to take the other fractions will ask you to take the as before. The are more other works withings. And Ms Richards will ask you to take the other fractions will ask you to take the as before. The source, could we place have have before fractions. I think as before. The source, could we place have have the other fractions will ask you to take the researce. Mr Huit. Mr work it to low bark will ask you can be the precedent as summer, Mr Huit. Mr work it to low the works in Whither fractions as the works in Whither fractions will ask you can be thanged fractions. I think as before. The you were the concerned were without the fractions will ave the south may are without as the process fractions of the source and were works in Whither the target of the source and the t	1	Friday, 28 July 2023	1	MR JEREMY HUNT (sworn)
4 In terms of the roles you have been by body as as they don with escutive analyse of the socutive and the socuti	2	(2.00 pm)	2	Questioned by MS RICHARDS
5 apply today as they did on Wednesday, given the security a Member of Parliament since 2005, Lunderstand. 6 arrangements that naturally apply to someone who Correct. 7 Q. You were Secretary of State for Health and Social Care 7 D. You were Secretary of State for Health and Social Care 7 D. You were Secretary of State for Health and Social Care 7 D. You were Secretary of State for Health and Social Care 7 D. You were Secretary of State for Health and Social Care 7 D. You were Secretary of State for Health and Social Care 7 D. You were Secretary of State for Health and Social Care 7 D. It was in that capacity that you gave evidence - or, in that frame compary that you gave evidence to the inquiry last year? 7 D. You were not then Foreign Secretary between July 2018 and July 2019? 16 A. Yes. 17 O. You were not then in Covernment thereafter but you had beero. 18 Ners. 19 In a moment or two Mary will ask you to take the orther are systemical to the second and to the second and the weed the Transury's docialon-making processes. In general terms. 10 It was a before. 11 that we cold go to electronic page 7 1 think.<				
6 arrangements that naturally apply to someone who 6 A. Correct. 7 occupies the position of our whores. So please at any break would you remain hy our seaks will the your audience will number in four figures. Many are participant in the linquiry. At their back there are representatives of the press. To your left are lawyers representing various different interests in the inquiry. At their back there are representatives of the press. To your left are lawyers representing various different interests in the inquiry. At their back there are representatives of the press. To your left are lawyers representing various different interests in the inquiry, bare species are watching by You/Tube 10 C. It was in that capacity that you gave evidence - or, in that former capacity that you gave evidence - or, in that former capacity that you gave evidence to the inquiry last year? 18 press. To your left are lawyers representing various different interests in the inquiry, there is a room 11 Chancellor of the Cachequer since 14 October 2022, and it is in that capacity that you are giving evidence to day. 19 In a moment or two May will ask you to take the coath again. You have be nere before. 11 Carrect. 24 Mary. 24 Yes. 24 Yes. 24 Mary. 24 Yes. 24 Yes. 25 1 references but you told us also, by way of surmery, as as before, posses have (NCY) 1002235. 3 Spending Review would by a that you previvously				
7 coupies the position of our witness. So please at any break would you remain in your seats until the presk would you remain in your seats until the the seater sectary of State for Health and Social Care between 2012 and 2018? 8 break would you remain in your seats until the chancelor, good afternoon. Let me explain the the arrangements. You probably have an idea with they are. 9 A Correct. 10 Chancellor, good afternoon. Let me explain the there in front of you, they are participants in the there in front of you, they are participants in the there in front of you, they are participants in the there in front of you, they are representing various of the dimension thereafter but you had the set are consentioned on the indocurrent thereafter but you had the in the care going Secretary between July 2018 and July 2019? 16 downstairs, and otherwise people are watching by YouTube live stream. So that's your audience. 10 Q. You were not then in Covernent thereafter but you had been Chancellor of the Exchaquer since 14 October 2022. And Ms Richards will ask you to take the are anoment or two Mary will ask you to take the as baffere. 10 Q. You were not then in Covernent thereafter but you had been Chancellor of the Exchaquer since 14 October 2022. And Ms Richards will ask you to take the are anoment or two Mary will ask you to take the are are presenting you so the questions. Think as baffer on your perspective as a someone who had been Secretary of State for Heatth. 12 You were not then in Covernent thereafter but you had being to additional substating during the you would be additional substational funding during during the you take to base relateres. 11 toticl us				
8 break would you remain nyour sets until the 8 between 2012 and 2018? 9 Chancellor, god attenson. Let me explain the 10 C. But your audience will number in four Grayman. Many are 11 But your audience will number in four Grayman. Many are 10 C. But your audience will number in four Grayman. Many are 12 Inquiry. At their back there are representalities of the 13 A. Yes. 13 here in font of you, they are participants in the 13 A. Yes. 14 Inquiry. At their back there are representalities of the 15 A. Yes. 14 Inquiry. At their back there are representing various 15 A. Yes. 15 outs again. You have been here before. One of the rare 10 Cu want on than in Government thereafter but you had 16 witnesses to have that privilege. 1 I want to start by asking you some questions about the 20 oath sight. You have been here before. One of the rare 20 I want to start by asking you some questions about the 21 Mary. 1 references but you toid us also, by way of summary. 23 as before. 1 references but you toid us also, by way of summary. 24 This is the transcr				
9 Chancellor has laft. Thank you. 9 A. Carrect. 10 Chancellor, good afternoon. It me explain the 10 C R twos in that capacity that you gave evidence to the 12 But your audience will number in four figures. Many are 11 C R twos in that capacity that you gave evidence to the 13 here in fond fyou, thray are participants in the 12 Inquiry Last year? 14 Inquiry. At their back there are representing various 14 C You were then Foreign Secretary between July 2018 and July 2018? 15 different interests in the fourity, there is a room 16 A. Yes. 16 live streams. So that's your audience. 17 Q. You were not then in Government thereafter but you had 16 live streams. So that's your audience. 18 Yes. 17 A. Yes. 17 and the in that capacity that you are giving evidence to the in Government thereafter but you had 18 You were not then in Government thereafter but you had 10 oath agin. You have been here before. One of the rare 17 A Yes. 14 the stancation's way of summary. 18 You were not then in Government thereafter but you dat was t				-
10 Chancelor, good afternoon. Let me explain the arrangements. You probably have an idea what they are. 10 C. It was in that capacity that you gave evidence to the inquiry lay ear? 11 But your audence. Will move in four figures. Many are and iterations of the press. To your let are lawyers representing various different interests in the inquiry. There is a room of downsites, and otherwise peopla era watching by YouTube 15 13 A Yes. 12 Anometer interests in the inquiry. There is a room of downsites, and otherwise peopla era watching by YouTube 16 14 C. You were not then in Government thereafter but you had but you?? 18 live stream. So that's your audence. 19 and it is in that capacity that you are giving evidence to today. 19 ont again. You have been here before. One of the rare role of the Exchequer since 14 October 2022, and M Si Rohards will ask you to questions. I think as before. 17 C You were not then in Government thereafter but you had been for eaching the you are giving evidence to today. 24 Mary. 21 L wrence, ould we please have the privilege. 14 Yes. 25 1 1 references but you toid us also, by way of summary, as someone who had been Secretary of State for Heatth. 2 about the process of but you toid us also, by way of summary, as someone who had been Secretary of State for Heatth. 2 about the process of you you due eaching and thing during during during ustreams. <				
11 arrangements. You probably have an idea what they are. 11 that former capacity that you gave evidence to the inquiry last year? 12 But your audience will number in four figures. Many are in front of you, they are participants in the 12 Inquiry last year? 14 Inquiry. At their back there are representatives of the inquiry. At their back there are representatives of the downstains, and otherwise people are watching by YouTube 13 A Yes. 16 different interests in the Inquiry. there is a room downstains, and otherwise people are watching by YouTube 16 A Yes. 17 downstains, and otherwise people are watching by YouTube 17 Q You ware not then in Government thereafter but you had been Chancellor of the Exchequer since 14 October 2022, and it is in that capacity that you are giving evidence to today. 18 as before. 21 A Yes. 24 Mary. 22 I want to start by asking you some questions about the role of the trans. 23 19 toid us about that, at that stage from your perspective are some who had been Secretary of State for Heath. 2 I want to start by asking you some questions about the process of obtaining funding during 2 1 toid us about that, at that stage from your perspective as someone who had been Secretary of State for Heath. 2 about the p		-		
12 But your audience will number in four figures. Many are 12 Inquiry last year? 13 here in front of you, they are participants in the 13 A 14 Inquiry. At their back there are representatives of the press. To your left are lawyers representing various 13 A Yes. 16 different inferests in the funginy, there is a room 15 July 2019? 16 A Yes. 17 downstairs, and otherwise people are watching by YouTube 16 A Yes. 17 0 You were thet here before. One of the rare 20 today. 21 and it is in that capacity that you are giving evidence 21 witnesses to have that privilege. 21 A Yes. 20 I want to start by asking you some questions about the role of the Treasury and the Treasury's decision-making processes, in general terms. 23 as before. 1 references but you told us also. by way of summary, as someone who had been Secretary of State for Health. 2 2 1 references but you told us also. by way of summary, as souther base, new artict 25 billion outside of spending 24 They count on the bottom half of the page, 1 references but you told us also told us, Ithink, of an occasion 35 the volut		-		
13 here in front of you, they are participants in the 13 A. Yes. 14 Inquiry. At their back there are representatives of the 14 C You were then Foreign Secretary between July 2018 and 16 different interests in the Inquiry, there is a room 14 C You were then Toreign Secretary between July 2018 and 17 downstairs, and otherwise people are watching by YouTube 16 A. Yes. 18 live stream. So that's you audience. 17 C You were not then in Government thereafter but you had 20 cath again. You have been here before. One of the rare 20 and it is in that capacity that you are giving evidence 21 total wave been here before. One of the rare 20 I want to start by asking you some questions about the 22 And Ms Richards will ask you the questions. I think as a before. 21 A. Yes. 23 A may. 23 I want to start by asking you some questions about the rate way is obtain at that stage from your perspective 1 references but you told us also, by way of summary, as tomene, who had been Secretary of State for Heath. 24 May. 1 references but you told us also, by way of summary, as tomene, who had been Secretary of State for Heath. 2 35 Lawrence. could we please have INQY1000235.				
14 Inquiry. At their back there are representatives of the press. To your left are lawyers representing various 14 Q . You were then Foreign Secretary between July 2018 and July 2019? 15 green interests in the inquiry, there is a room 16 A 'Yes. 16 diversities, and otherwise people are watching by YouTube 17 Q . You were not then Foreign Secretary between July 2018 and July 2019? 18 live stream. So that's your audience. 17 Q . You were not then foreign Secretary between July 2018 and July 2019? 19 in a moment or two Mary will ask you to take the oath again. You have been here before. One of the rare visiting by YouTube 17 Q . You were not then in Covernment theresafter but you had the sechequer since 14 October 2022, and it is in that capacity that you are giving evidence to today. 21 winesses to have that privilege. 20 today. X Yes. 22 And Ms Richards will ask you the questions. I think as before. 21 X Yes. X Yes. 23 mark. 22 Q . I want to start by looking at what you previously are rearrow. 22 Q . I want to start by looking at what you previously are rearrow. 2 1 references but you told us also, by way of summary. as someone who had been Secretary of State for Health. 2 about the process ot obtaining funding during				
15 press. To your left are lawyers representing various 15 July 2019? 16 different interests in the Inquiry, there is a room 16 A Yes. 17 downstairs, and otherwise people are watching by YouTube 16 A Yes. 19 In a moment or two Mary will ask you to take the 19 and it is in that capacity that you are giving evidence 20 and MS Richards will ask you to take the 19 and it is in that capacity that you are giving evidence 21 witnesses to have that privilege. 21 A Yes. 22 And MS Richards will ask you to take the 19 and it is in that capacity that you are giving evidence 23 Mary. 22 Q Iwant to start by oaking you some questions about the 24 Mary. 22 Q Iwant to start by oaking at what you previously 2 1 references but you toid us also, by way of summary, about the process of obtaining funding during 3 Lawrence, could we please have INQY1000225. 3 Spending Review and the basic outdus, it was it with the tawas that you are outdus, it was it was that you to it was also that if the we prive works the bottom of the page. 7 of the Spending Review process. You told us also, by way of summar				
16 different interests in the Inquiry, there is a room 16 A Yes. 17 downstairs, and otherwise people are watching by YouTube 17 C You were not then in Government thereafter but you had 18 live strem. So that's your audience. 19 In a moment or two Mary will ask you to take the 19 and it is in that capacity that you are giving evidence 20 witnesses to have that privilege. 20 I want to start by asking you some questions about the 23 as before. 20 I want to start by asking you some questions about the 24 Mary. 21 22 I want to start by looking at what you previously 25 1 1 references but you told us also, by way of summary, 2 as someone who had been Secretary of State for Health. 2 3 Spending Reviews and the basic rule being no additional 3 Lawrence, could we please have INQY1000235. 3 Spending Reviews and the tasic rule being no additional 4 If we could go to electronic page 71 think. 6 of the Spending Review proces. You dold us also hat 8 If we could ing to the page. if the Prime Minister was behind something, it was a lot easier to get it but that in general te				
17 downstairs, and otherwise people are watching by YouTube 17 Q. You were not then in Government thereafter but you had 18 live stream. So that's your audience. 18 been Chancelion of the Exchequer since 14 October 2022, 10 In a moment or two Mary will ask you to take the 20 and Ms Richards will ask you the questions, I think 21 A. Yes. 21 And Ms Richards will ask you the questions, I think 23 as before. 21 A. Yes. 23 Mary. 24 Iwan to start by looking at what you previously 27 24 Mary. 25 I wan to start by looking at what you previously 25 1 references but you told us also, by way of summary, about the process of obtaining funding during 3 Lawrence, could we please have INQY1000235. 3 Spending Review yerces. 1 4 The pick it up browstark the bottom of the page. 7 0 The wints transcript of your evidence last summer, begending Review precess. 1 substantial amounts of funding outside of spending reviews. But you also that so the spending Review process. 1 references but you told us, also that so that by looking at what you previously 3 Lawrence, could we please have INQY1000235. <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td>				-
18 live stream. So that's your audience. 18 been Chancellor of the Exchequer since 14 October 2022, and it is in that capacity that you are giving evidence today. 19 outh again. You have been here before. One of the rare witnesses to have that privilege. 21 A. Yes. 21 witnesses to have that privilege. 21 A. Yes. 23 as before. 21 A. Yes. 24 Mary. 22 1 Iwant to start by asking you some questions about the as before. 24 Mary. 24 Iwant to start by asking you are giving evidence today. 25 1 told us about that, at that stage from your perspective as someone who had been Secretary of State for Health. 2 3 Lawrence, could we please have HONY1000235. 3 Spending Reviews and the basic rule being no additional something. It was a to the spending during outside of spending reviews. But you also told us, I think, of an occasion 7 If we could go to electronic page 7 I think. 5 reviews. But you also told us also that in general terms the 10 The word was go to tolm half of the page. 7 of the Spending Review process. You told us also that in the verse was a spending during using to pick it up towards the botom left-hand 10 Treasury's job was to say no to lots and lots of spending during uspending during uspending				
19 In a moment or two Mary will ask you to take the 19 and it is in that capacity that you are giving evidence 20 witnesses to have that privilege. 20 today. 21 witnesses to have that privilege. 20 I and it is in that capacity that you are giving evidence 23 as before. 21 A. Yes. 24 Mary. 22 Q. I want to start by asking you some questions about the 25 1 told us about that, at that stage from your perspective 1 references but you told us also, by way of summary. 2 as someone who had been Secretary of State for Health. 2 about the process of obtaining funding during 3 Lawrence, could we please have INCY1000235. 3 Spending Reviews and the basic rule being no additional 4 This is the transcript of your evidence last summer, 4 substantial amounts of funding outside of spending 7 If we could go to electronic page 71 think. 6 when you were able to secure an extra £8 billion outside 7 If we zoom in on the bottom half of the page. 7 of the Spending Review process. You told us also that 11 role open time. 1 Treasury's job was to say not to lots and lots of				-
20 oath again. You have been here before. One of the rare witnesses to have that privilege. 20 today. 21 witnesses to have that privilege. 20 today. 23 as before. 22 A M & Richards will ask you the questions, I think as before. 22 Q. I want to start by asking you some questions about the role of the Treasury and the Treasury's decision-making processes, in general terms. 24 Mary. 24 I want to start by looking at what you previously 25 1 told us about that, at that stage from your perspective as someone who had been Secretary of State for Health. 2 about the process of obtaining funding during 3 Lawrence, could we please have INQY1000235. 3 Spending Reviews and the basic rule being no additional substantial amounts of funding outside of spending 5 Mr Hunt. 5 reviews. But you also told us, I think, of an occasion 6 If we zoom in on the botom half of the page, 9 9 easier to get I but that in general terms the 10 I'm going to pick it up the botom left-hand 10 Treasury's job was to say no to lots and lots of 11 the way the system works in Whitehall is that any 10 Dees that remain a broady accurate description of 14 there's a disagreement		-		
21 witnesses to have that privilege. 21 A. Yes. 22 And MS Richards will ask you the questions, I think as before. 23 I want to start by asking you some questions about the role of the Treasury and the Treasury's decision-making processes, in general terms. 24 Mary. 24 I want to start by asking you some questions about the role of the Treasury and the Treasury's decision-making processes, in general terms. 25 1 told us about that, at that stage from your perspective as someone who had been Secretary of State for Health. 2 about the process of obtaining funding during 3 Lawrence, could we please have INQY1000235. 3 Spending Reviews and the basic rule being no additional substantial amounts of funding outside of spending 5 Mr Hunt. 5 reviews. But you also told us, I think, of an occasion 6 If we could go to electronic page 7 I think. 6 when you were able to secure an extra £8 billion outside of spending 7 If we pick it up towards the bottom left-hand 10 Treasury's job was to say no to lots and lots of spending requests. That was what you told us form your perspective as chargement with the Treasury, you can go to 14 ther Prime Minister." 13 big spending decision requires Treasury approval, and if 17 Toreasury sip bi so to latace there rain a broadly accurate description of <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
22 And Ms Richards will ask you the questions, I think as before. 22 C. I want to start by asking you some questions about the role of the Treasury and the Treasury's decision-making processes, in general terms. 23 Mary. 24 Mary. 24 Mary. 24 I want to start by asking you some questions about the role of the Treasury's decision-making processes, in general terms. 25 1 references but you told us also, by way of summary, as someone who had been Secretary of State for Health. 2 as someone who had been Secretary of State for Health. 2 about the process of obtaining funding during 3 Lawrence, could we please have INQY1000235. 3 Spending Reviews and the basic rule being no additional substantial amounts of funding outside of spending 6 If we could go to electronic page 71 think. 6 when you were able to secure an extra £8 billion outside 7 If we pick it up towards the bottom of the page. 7 of the Spending Review process. You told us also that if the Prime Minister was behind something, it was a lot 9 please, Lawrence. 9 easier to get it but that in general terms the 1 11 column, around line 22. You say there: 11 Spending Review as a pending department. 13 12 The way the system works		-		-
23 as before. 23 role of the Treasury and the Treasury's decision-making processes, in general terms. 24 Mary. 25 I want to start by looking at what you previously 25 1 references but you told us also, by way of summary, as someone who had been Secretary of State for Health. 2 3 Lawrence, could we please have INQY 1000235. 3 Spending Reviews and the basic rule being no additional substantial amounts of funding outside of spending 5 Mr Hunt. 5 reviews. But you also told us, I think, of an occasion 6 If we pick it up towards the bottom of the page. 7 of the Spending Review and the basic rule being no additional 8 If we zoom in on the bottom half of the page. 7 of the Spending Review process. You told us also that in general terms the 9 please, Lawrence. 9 eeasier to get it but that in general terms the 11 column, around line 22. You say there: 11 spending Review process. You told us also for your perspective as a spending department. 13 big spending decision requires Treasury sproval, and if the Prices and sagreement with the Treasury, you can go to 14 the reasury's job is to balance the national accounts and make sure we can pay for the stings that we choose to pay for and that the exes that thing sthe at we conge tho reasury's job is to ba		· -		
24 Mary. 24 processes, in general terms. 25 1 I want to start by looking at what you previously 2 as someone who had been Secretary of State for Health. 2 about the process of obtaining funding during 3 Lawrence, could we please have INQV1000235. 3 Spending Reviews and the basic rule being no additional 4 This is the transcript of your evidence last summer, 4 substantial amounts of funding outside of spending 6 If we could go to electronic page 7 I think. 6 when you were able to secure an extra £8 billion outside 7 If we zoom in on the bottom half of the page. 7 of the Spending Review process. You told us also that 10 Im going to pick it up at the bottom left-hand 10 Treasury's job was to say no to lots and lots of 11 there's a disagreement with the Treasury aptroval, and if 13 Does that remain a broadly accurate description of 14 there's a disagreement with the Treasury ou can go to 14 the process now from your perspective as chancellor? 15 Then you refer, top of the next column, to the time 16 to say no". The Treasury's job is to balance the 16 Then you refer, top of the next column, to the time 16 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>				
2526I wan to start by looking at what you previously 21told us about that, at that staps from your perspective as someone who had been Secretary of State for Health. 21references but you told us also, by way of summary, 23Lawrence, could we please have INQY1000235.1sobut the process of obtaining funding during4Spending Reviews and the basic rule being no additional substantial amounts of funding outside of spending reviews. But you also told us, I think, of an occasion6If we could go to electronic page 7 I think. If we pick it up towards the bottom of the page, please, Lawrence.69please, Lawrence. 9910Treasury's job was to say no to lots and lots of there's a disagreement with the Treasury approval, and if there's a disagreement with the Treasury, you can go to the Prime Minister."1011The nour terifer, top of the next column, to the time you were then concerned with Number 10 and Number 11 singing from the same hymn sheet.1111Then you fer, top of the next column, to the time you were then concerned with Number 10 and Number 11 singing from the same hymn sheet.1311Then you fer, top of the next column, to the time you were then concerned with Number 10 singing from the same hymn sheet.1315Then you finded about if you: " needed more money than was in [the] departmental budget, then you would obviously have togo to the Treasury (you] had a pretty good idea the answer would be no given the overall state of public dinances."226The lon't think we need to go to the further24. Co				
1 told us about that, at that stage from your perspective as someone who had been Secretary of State for Health. 1 references but you told us also, by way of summary, about the process of obtaining funding during 3 Lawrence, could we please have INQY1000235. 3 Spending Reviews and the basic rule being no additional substantial amounts of funding outside of spending 4 This is the transcript of your evidence last summer, 3 Spending Reviews and the basic rule being no additional substantial amounts of funding outside of spending 5 Mr Hunt. 6 when you were able to secure an extra £B billion outside of the Spending Review are able to secure an extra £B billion outside when you were able to secure an extra £B billion outside of the Spending Review process. You told us also that if the Prime Minister was behind something, it was a lot geaser, Lawrence. 9 easier to get it but that in general terms the 10 Treasury's job was to say no to lots and lots of 11 spending Review as a pending department. 13 Dig spending decision requires Treasury approval, and if the review disagreement with the Treasury, you can go to 14 11 spending decision requires Treasury approval, and if 11 13 Does that remain a broadly accurate description of 14 14 the process now from your gerspective as Chancellor? 15 the nyou talked about if you: 9		ivial y.		
2as someone who had been Secretary of State for Health.2about the process of obtaining funding during3Lawrence, could we please have INQY1000235.3Spending Reviews and the basic rule being no additional4This is the transcript of your evidence last summer,4substantial amounts of funding outside of spending5Mr Hunt.5reviews. But you also told us, I think, of an occasion6If we could go to electronic page 7 I think.6when you were able to secure an extra £8 billion outside7of the spending Review process. You told us also that8if the Prime Minister was behind something, it was a lot9please, Lawrence.9easier to get it but that in general terms the10I'm going to pick it up at the bottom left-hand10Treasury's job was to say no to lots and lots of11column, around line 22. You say there:11spending requests. That was what you told us from your12"The way the system works in Whitehall is that any12perspective as a spending department.13big spending decision requires Treasury, you can go to14the process now from your perspective as Chancellor?16Then you refer, top of the next column, to the time16to say no". The Treasury's job is to balance the17you were then concerned with Number 10 and Number 1117national accounts and make sure we can pay for the18singing from the same hymn sheet.18things that echoses to pay for and that the taxes that19Then you taked about if you:19 <t< td=""><td>20</td><td>1</td><td>20</td><td>, , , , , , , ,</td></t<>	20	1	20	, , , , , , , ,
2as someone who had been Secretary of State for Health.2about the process of obtaining funding during3Lawrence, could we please have INQY1000235.3Spending Reviews and the basic rule being no additional4This is the transcript of your evidence last summer,4substantial amounts of funding outside of spending5Mr Hunt.5reviews. But you also told us, I think, of an occasion6If we could go to electronic page 7 I think.6when you were able to secure an extra £8 billion outside7of the spending Review process. You told us also that8if the Prime Minister was behind something, it was a lot9please, Lawrence.9easier to get it but that in general terms the10I'm going to pick it up at the bottom left-hand10Treasury's job was to say no to lots and lots of11column, around line 22. You say there:11spending requests. That was what you told us from your12"The way the system works in Whitehall is that any12perspective as a spending department.13big spending decision requires Treasury, you can go to14the process now from your perspective as Chancellor?16Then you refer, top of the next column, to the time16to say no". The Treasury's job is to balance the17you were then concerned with Number 10 and Number 1117national accounts and make sure we can pay for the18singing from the same hymn sheet.18things that echoses to pay for and that the taxes that19Then you taked about if you:19 <t< td=""><td>1</td><td>told us about that, at that stage from your perspective</td><td>1</td><td>references but you told us also, by way of summary.</td></t<>	1	told us about that, at that stage from your perspective	1	references but you told us also, by way of summary.
3Lawrence, could we please have INQY1000235.3Spending Reviews and the basic rule being no additional4This is the transcript of your evidence last summer,4substantial amounts of funding outside of spending5Mr Hunt.5reviews. But you also told us, I think, of an occasion6If we could go to electronic page 7 I think.6when you were able to secure an extra £8 billion outside7If we could go to electronic page 7 I think.6when you were able to secure an extra £8 billion outside8If we zoom in on the bottom half of the page,8if the Prime Minister was behind something, it was a lot9please, Lawrence.9easier to get it but that in general terms the10I'm going to pick it up at the bottom left-hand10Treasury's job was to say no to lots and lots of11column, around line 22. You say there:11spending requests. That was what you told us from your12"The way the system works in Whitehall is that any12perspective as a spending department.13big spending decision requires Treasury approval, and if13Does that remain a broadly accurate description of14there's a disagreement with the Treasury, you can go to14the process now from your perspective as Chancellor?15the Prime Minister."15A. I probably wouldn't use the word "the Treasury's job is to balance the17you were then concerned with Number 10 and Number 1117national accounts and make sure we can pay for the18singing from the same hymn sheet.<				
4This is the transcript of your evidence last summer, Mr Hunt.4substantial amounts of funding outside of spending5Mr Hunt.5reviews. But you also told us, I think, of an occasion6If we could go to electronic page 7 I think.6when you were able to secure an extra £8 billion outside7If we pick it up towards the bottom of the page.7of the Spending Review process. You told us also that8If we zoom in on the bottom half of the page,8if the Prime Minister was behind something, it was a lot9please, Lawrence.9easier to get it but that in general terms the10I'm going to pick it up at the bottom left-hand10Treasury's job was to say no to lots and lots of11column, around line 22. You say there:11spending requests. That was what you told us from your12"The way the system works in Whitehall is that any12perspective as a spending department.13big spending decision requires Treasury, you can go to14the process now from your perspective as Chancellor?14there's a disagreement with the Treasury, you can go to14the process now from your perspective as Chancellor?15the Prime Minister."15A. I probably wouldn't use the word "the Treasury's job is16Then you refer, top of the next column, to the timeto say no". The Treasury's job is to balance the17you were then concerned with Number 10 and Number 1117national accounts and make sure we can pay for the18singing from the same hymn sheet.18 <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>3</td> <td></td>		-	3	
5Mr Hunt.5reviews. But you also told us, I think, of an occasion6If we could go to electronic page 7 I think.6when you were able to secure an extra £8 billion outside7If we pick it up towards the bottom of the page.7of the Spending Review process. You told us also that8If we zoom in on the bottom half of the page,8if the Prime Minister was behind something, it was a lot9please, Lawrence.9easier to get it but that in general terms the10I'm going to pick it up at the bottom left-hand10Treasury's job was to say no to lots and lots of11column, around line 22. You say there:11spending requests. That was what you told us from your12"The way the system works in Whitehall is that any12perspective as a spending decirate description of13big spending decision requires Treasury approval, and if13Does that remain a broadly accurate description of14there's a disagreement with the Treasury, you can go to14the prices now from your perspective as Chancellor?15the Prime Minister."15 A . I probably wouldn't use the word "the Treasury's job is to16Then you refer, top of the next column, to the time16to say no". The Treasury's job is to balance the17you were then concerned with Number 10 and Number 1117national accounts and make sure we can pay for the18singing from the same hymn sheet.19we get pay for that and that the taxes that19Then you talked about if you:19we get pa	4		4	
7If we pick it up towards the bottom of the page.7of the Spending Review process. You told us also that8If we zoom in on the bottom half of the page,8if the Prime Minister was behind something, it was a lot9please, Lawrence.9easier to get it but that in general terms the10I'm going to pick it up at the bottom left-hand10Treasury's job was to say no to lots and lots of11column, around line 22. You say there:11spending requests. That was what you told us from your12"The way the system works in Whitehall is that any12perspective as a spending department.13big spending decision requires Treasury approval, and if13Does that remain a broadly accurate description of14there's a disagreement with the Treasury, you can go to14the process now from your perspective as Chancellor?15the Prime Minister."15 A . I probably wouldn't use the word "the Treasury's job is16Then you refer, top of the next column, to the time16to say no". The Treasury's job is to balance the17you were then concerned with Number 10 and Number 1117national accounts and make sure we can pay for the18singing from the same hymn sheet.19we get pay for that and that the economy is as healthy20" needed more money than was in [the]20as possible. But yes, it is the Treasury's job to make21departmental budget, then you would obviously have to go21sure we spend money within our means.22to the Treasury [you] h	5		5	
8If we zoom in on the bottom half of the page, please, Lawrence.8if the Prime Minister was behind something, it was a lot easier to get it but that in general terms the10I'm going to pick it up at the bottom left-hand10Treasury's job was to say no to lots and lots of11column, around line 22. You say there:11spending requests. That was what you told us from your12"The way the system works in Whitehall is that any12perspective as a spending department.13big spending decision requires Treasury approval, and if13Does that remain a broadly accurate description of14there's a disagreement with the Treasury, you can go to14the process now from your perspective as Chancellor?15the Prime Minister."15A.I probably wouldn't use the word "the Treasury's job is16Then you refer, top of the next column, to the time16to say no". The Treasury's job is to balance the17you were then concerned with Number 10 and Number 1117national accounts and make sure we can pay for the18singing from the same hymn sheet.18things that we choose to pay for and that the taxes that19Then you talked about if you:19we get pay for that and that the economy is as healthy20" needed more money than was in [the]20sure we spend money within our means.21departmental budget, then you would obviously have to go21sure we spend money within our means.22to the Treasury [you] had a pretty good idea the22Q.Just in	6	If we could go to electronic page 7 I think.	6	when you were able to secure an extra £8 billion outside
8If we zoom in on the bottom half of the page, please, Lawrence.8if the Prime Minister was behind something, it was a lot easier to get it but that in general terms the10I'm going to pick it up at the bottom left-hand10Treasury's job was to say no to lots and lots of11column, around line 22. You say there:11spending requests. That was what you told us from your12"The way the system works in Whitehall is that any12perspective as a spending department.13big spending decision requires Treasury approval, and if13Does that remain a broadly accurate description of14there's a disagreement with the Treasury, you can go to14the process now from your perspective as Chancellor?15the Prime Minister."15 A. I probably wouldn't use the word "the Treasury's job is16Then you refer, top of the next column, to the time16to say no". The Treasury's job is to balance the17you were then concerned with Number 10 and Number 1117national accounts and make sure we can pay for the18singing from the same hymn sheet.18things that we choose to pay for and that the taxes that19Then you talked about if you:19we get pay for that and that the economy is as healthy20" needed more money than was in [the]20sure we spend money within our means.21departmental budget, then you would obviously have to go21sure we spend money within our means.22to the Treasury [you] had a pretty good idea the22Q.Just i	7	If we pick it up towards the bottom of the page.	7	of the Spending Review process. You told us also that
10I'm going to pick it up at the bottom left-hand column, around line 22. You say there:10Treasury's job was to say no to lots and lots of11column, around line 22. You say there:11spending requests. That was what you told us from your12"The way the system works in Whitehall is that any12perspective as a spending department.13big spending decision requires Treasury approval, and if13Does that remain a broadly accurate description of14there's a disagreement with the Treasury, you can go to14the process now from your perspective as Chancellor?15the Prime Minister."15 A . I probably wouldn't use the word "the Treasury's job is16Then you refer, top of the next column, to the time16to say no". The Treasury's job is to balance the17you were then concerned with Number 10 and Number 1117national accounts and make sure we can pay for the18singing from the same hymn sheet.18things that we choose to pay for and that the taxes that19Then you talked about if you:19we get pay for that and that the economy is as healthy20" needed more money than was in [the]20as possible. But yes, it is the Treasury's job to make21departmental budget, then you would obviously have to go21sure we spend money within our means.22to the Treasury [you] had a pretty good idea the22Q.Just in terms of Spending Reviews, is this correct,23answer would be no, given the overall state of public23the 2021 Spending Rev	8	If we zoom in on the bottom half of the page,	8	if the Prime Minister was behind something, it was a lot
10I'm going to pick it up at the bottom left-hand10Treasury's job was to say no to lots and lots of11column, around line 22. You say there:11spending requests. That was what you told us from your12"The way the system works in Whitehall is that any12perspective as a spending department.13big spending decision requires Treasury approval, and if13Does that remain a broadly accurate description of14there's a disagreement with the Treasury, you can go to14the process now from your perspective as Chancellor?15the Prime Minister."15 A. I probably wouldn't use the word "the Treasury's job is16Then you refer, top of the next column, to the time16to say no". The Treasury's job is to balance the17you were then concerned with Number 10 and Number 1117national accounts and make sure we can pay for the18singing from the same hymn sheet.18things that we choose to pay for and that the taxes that19Then you talked about if you:19we get pay for that and that the economy is as healthy20" needed more money than was in [the]20as possible. But yes, it is the Treasury's job to make21departmental budget, then you would obviously have to go21sure we spend money within our means.22to the Treasury [you] had a pretty good idea the22 Q. Just in terms of Spending Reviews, is this correct,23answer would be no, given the overall state of public23the 2021 Spending Review set departmental budgets up	9		9	_
12"The way the system works in Whitehall is that any big spending decision requires Treasury approval, and if there's a disagreement with the Treasury, you can go to12perspective as a spending department.13big spending decision requires Treasury approval, and if there's a disagreement with the Treasury, you can go to14the process now from your perspective as Chancellor?15the Prime Minister."15A.I probably wouldn't use the word "the Treasury's job is16Then you refer, top of the next column, to the time16to say no". The Treasury's job is to balance the17you were then concerned with Number 10 and Number 1117national accounts and make sure we can pay for the18singing from the same hymn sheet.18things that we choose to pay for and that the taxes that19Then you talked about if you:19we get pay for that and that the economy is as healthy20" needed more money than was in [the]20as possible. But yes, it is the Treasury's job to make21departmental budget, then you would obviously have to go21sure we spend money within our means.22to the Treasury [you] had a pretty good idea the22Q.23answer would be no, given the overall state of public23the 2021 Spending Review set departmental budgets up24finances."24to 2024, 2025, is that correct?25Then I don't think we need to go to the further25A.25A.Correct.	10	I'm going to pick it up at the bottom left-hand	10	
13big spending decision requires Treasury approval, and if13Does that remain a broadly accurate description of14there's a disagreement with the Treasury, you can go to14the process now from your perspective as Chancellor?15the Prime Minister."15A.I probably wouldn't use the word "the Treasury's job is16Then you refer, top of the next column, to the time16to say no". The Treasury's job is to balance the17you were then concerned with Number 10 and Number 1117national accounts and make sure we can pay for the18singing from the same hymn sheet.18things that we choose to pay for and that the taxes that19Then you talked about if you:19we get pay for that and that the economy is as healthy20" needed more money than was in [the]20as possible. But yes, it is the Treasury's job to make21departmental budget, then you would obviously have to go21sure we spend money within our means.22to the Treasury [you] had a pretty good idea the22Q.23answer would be no, given the overall state of public23the 2021 Spending Review set departmental budgets up24finances."24to 2024, 2025, is that correct?25Then I don't think we need to go to the further25A.	11	column, around line 22. You say there:	11	spending requests. That was what you told us from your
14there's a disagreement with the Treasury, you can go to the Prime Minister."14the process now from your perspective as Chancellor?15the Prime Minister."15A.I probably wouldn't use the word "the Treasury's job is16Then you refer, top of the next column, to the time you were then concerned with Number 10 and Number 1116to say no". The Treasury's job is to balance the national accounts and make sure we can pay for the18singing from the same hymn sheet.18things that we choose to pay for and that the taxes that we get pay for that and that the economy is as healthy20" needed more money than was in [the]20as possible. But yes, it is the Treasury's job to make21departmental budget, then you would obviously have to go21sure we spend money within our means.22to the Treasury [you] had a pretty good idea the22Q.Just in terms of Spending Reviews, is this correct,23answer would be no, given the overall state of public23the 2021 Spending Review set departmental budgets up to 2024, 2025, is that correct?25Then I don't think we need to go to the further25A.Correct.	12	"The way the system works in Whitehall is that any	12	perspective as a spending department.
15the Prime Minister."15A.I probably wouldn't use the word "the Treasury's job is16Then you refer, top of the next column, to the time16to say no". The Treasury's job is to balance the17you were then concerned with Number 10 and Number 1117national accounts and make sure we can pay for the18singing from the same hymn sheet.18things that we choose to pay for and that the taxes that19Then you talked about if you:19we get pay for that and that the economy is as healthy20" needed more money than was in [the]20as possible. But yes, it is the Treasury's job to make21departmental budget, then you would obviously have to go21sure we spend money within our means.22to the Treasury [you] had a pretty good idea the22Q.Just in terms of Spending Reviews, is this correct,23answer would be no, given the overall state of public23the 2021 Spending Review set departmental budgets up24finances."24to 2024, 2025, is that correct?25Then I don't think we need to go to the further25A.	13	big spending decision requires Treasury approval, and if	13	Does that remain a broadly accurate description of
15the Prime Minister."15A.I probably wouldn't use the word "the Treasury's job is16Then you refer, top of the next column, to the time16to say no". The Treasury's job is to balance the17you were then concerned with Number 10 and Number 1117national accounts and make sure we can pay for the18singing from the same hymn sheet.18things that we choose to pay for and that the taxes that19Then you talked about if you:19we get pay for that and that the economy is as healthy20" needed more money than was in [the]20as possible. But yes, it is the Treasury's job to make21departmental budget, then you would obviously have to go21sure we spend money within our means.22to the Treasury [you] had a pretty good idea the22Q.Just in terms of Spending Reviews, is this correct,23answer would be no, given the overall state of public23the 2021 Spending Review set departmental budgets up24finances."24to 2024, 2025, is that correct?25Then I don't think we need to go to the further25A.	14	there's a disagreement with the Treasury, you can go to	14	the process now from your perspective as Chancellor?
17you were then concerned with Number 10 and Number 1117national accounts and make sure we can pay for the18singing from the same hymn sheet.18things that we choose to pay for and that the taxes that19Then you talked about if you:19we get pay for that and that the economy is as healthy20" needed more money than was in [the]20as possible. But yes, it is the Treasury's job to make21departmental budget, then you would obviously have to go21sure we spend money within our means.22to the Treasury [you] had a pretty good idea the22Q.23answer would be no, given the overall state of public23the 2021 Spending Review set departmental budgets up24finances."24to 2024, 2025, is that correct?25Then I don't think we need to go to the further25A.	15	the Prime Minister."	15	A. I probably wouldn't use the word "the Treasury's job is
18singing from the same hymn sheet.18things that we choose to pay for and that the taxes that19Then you talked about if you:19we get pay for that and that the economy is as healthy20" needed more money than was in [the]20as possible. But yes, it is the Treasury's job to make21departmental budget, then you would obviously have to go21sure we spend money within our means.22to the Treasury [you] had a pretty good idea the22Q.23answer would be no, given the overall state of public23the 2021 Spending Review set departmental budgets up24finances."24to 2024, 2025, is that correct?25Then I don't think we need to go to the further25A.	16	Then you refer, top of the next column, to the time	16	to say no". The Treasury's job is to balance the
19Then you talked about if you:19we get pay for that and that the economy is as healthy20" needed more money than was in [the]20as possible. But yes, it is the Treasury's job to make21departmental budget, then you would obviously have to go21sure we spend money within our means.22to the Treasury [you] had a pretty good idea the22Q.23answer would be no, given the overall state of public23the 2021 Spending Reviews set departmental budgets up24finances."24to 2024, 2025, is that correct?25Then I don't think we need to go to the further25A.	17	you were then concerned with Number 10 and Number 11	17	national accounts and make sure we can pay for the
20" needed more money than was in [the]20as possible. But yes, it is the Treasury's job to make21departmental budget, then you would obviously have to go21sure we spend money within our means.22to the Treasury [you] had a pretty good idea the22Q.Just in terms of Spending Reviews, is this correct,23answer would be no, given the overall state of public23the 2021 Spending Review set departmental budgets up24finances."24to 2024, 2025, is that correct?25Then I don't think we need to go to the further25A.	18	singing from the same hymn sheet.	18	things that we choose to pay for and that the taxes that
21departmental budget, then you would obviously have to go21sure we spend money within our means.22to the Treasury [you] had a pretty good idea the22Q.Just in terms of Spending Reviews, is this correct,23answer would be no, given the overall state of public23the 2021 Spending Review set departmental budgets up24finances."24to 2024, 2025, is that correct?25Then I don't think we need to go to the further25A.	19	Then you talked about if you:	19	we get pay for that and that the economy is as healthy
22to the Treasury [you] had a pretty good idea the22Q.Just in terms of Spending Reviews, is this correct,23answer would be no, given the overall state of public23the 2021 Spending Review set departmental budgets up24finances."24to 2024, 2025, is that correct?25Then I don't think we need to go to the further25A.	20	" needed more money than was in [the]	20	as possible. But yes, it is the Treasury's job to make
23answer would be no, given the overall state of public23the 2021 Spending Review set departmental budgets up24finances."24to 2024, 2025, is that correct?25Then I don't think we need to go to the further25A.	21	departmental budget, then you would obviously have to go	21	sure we spend money within our means.
24finances."24to 2024, 2025, is that correct?25Then I don't think we need to go to the further25A.Correct.	22	to the Treasury [you] had a pretty good idea the	22	Q. Just in terms of Spending Reviews, is this correct,
25Then I don't think we need to go to the further25A.Correct.	23	answer would be no, given the overall state of public	23	the 2021 Spending Review set departmental budgets up
	24	finances."	24	to 2024, 2025, is that correct?
3 4	25	Then I don't think we need to go to the further	25	
		3		4

(1) Pages 1 - 4

	,	
	1	the urgency of this situation. You know, we recognise
	2	the fact that, as I think I wrote in a letter before
ding	3	I was Chancellor, that one person is dying approximately
ind	4	every four days and that there is a need for justice to
t	5	be as quick as possible for what has been a terrible
g	6	scandal. So we completely understand that and so
•	7	that is a very active consideration in all the
which	8	discussions that we are having.
we are	9 Q .	Can I then perhaps ask you a little about, again, what
een	10	you said last year about the stance of the Treasury.
ll be	11	Now, you told us that compensation was something that
sions	12	the Treasury, at the time that you were Secretary of
	13	State for Health, so looking at the 2012/2018 period,
	14	was opposed to.
	15	The references for that, if anyone needs it I'm
is not	16	not proposing to put it up on screen unless it would
9	17	assist you, Chancellor but it is at pages 15 and
d	18	internal page 87 of the transcript.
ority	19	But you also told us that the whole question of
S	20	a public inquiry was something that hadn't happened
	21	sooner precisely because the Treasury feared that it
	22	would lead to recommendations for compensation. That
ces	23	was your very clear understanding and clear evidence:
	24	that was a major factor as to why there hadn't been
nd	25	a public inquiry.
		6
rest	1	What I can say very clearly is that the Government's
s for	2	position, the whole Government's position, has changed,
2014	3 4	because in December we accepted the moral case for
any		compensation. So I hope that gives comfort to people
ry no	5	that the Government, as a whole, is not taking the
lus	6 7	stance that it took during the period that I was Health
		Secretary.
have	8 Q . 9	Can I then just ask you a little about the process that the Government will be following in terms of
lave	9 10	decision-making regarding funding. Again, I'm not
	10	asking for details of meetings, I'm not asking for
	12	details of costings or of options, but just to broadly
	12	understand the process of how far along again, in
ed	14	general terms you are.
ust	15	If we just look at WITN3499036, please, Lawrence.
uot	16	So this is your response to a letter from Mr Quin as
press.	17	part of a ministerial write-round. We looked earlier
p1000.	18	this week with Mr Quin at his letter to you; this is
	19	your letter back on 15 December with the policy
fore the	20	clearance from the Home Affairs Committee for the
	20	acceptance of the moral case for compensation.
of Health	22	Can we just look to the bottom of the page, please,
nd we	23	Lawrence. We will see the last paragraph says:
rences	24	"You can proceed on the conditions that:
	25	"a. CO [Cabinet Office] lead discussions around
		8

		So when is the payt Sponding Daviow?
1	Q.	1 5
2	A.	It will start in April 2025.
3	Q.	Can we take it that, in terms of the securing of funding for a compensation framework for those infected and
4		
5		affected, whatever it might ultimately look like, that
6 7		that's going to be looked at outside of the Spending
7 8		Review process?
	Α.	I'm sorry, I'm going to have to give you an answer which
9 10		I may give you more than once this afternoon, but we are
10		genuinely in a situation where no decisions have been
12		made about the level of compensation or how it will be funded. We are in very active and detailed discussions
12		
		about all of that but I can't, therefore, be drawn on
14 15	~	where that funding would come from.
15 16	Q.	I suppose my question more, Mr Hunt, is about timescales, because if the next Spending Review is not
17		
17		until as you have described it, that would postpone
10 19		decision-making for a significant period of time and might not be said to be in accordance with the priority
20 21		which the Prime Minister and others have told us is attached to this issue within Government.
21 22		
22		What can you tell us, if anything, about, then,
23 24		timescales if you are not able to tell us about sources of funding?
		0
	•	
25 1 2 3 4	Α.	5 That's potentially a matter of concern and interest now when the Inquiry has made recommendations for compensation.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	А.	5 That's potentially a matter of concern and interest now when the Inquiry has made recommendations for compensation. Are you able to give us, without committing to any particular decisions, an assurance that the Treasury no longer has that implacable opposition that you told us about last summer? I want to be as helpful as I possibly can, but you understand indeed, we discussed last week I have to follow collective responsibility. And it's I think it is worth me explaining to everyone, if I may, Ms Richards, why this isn't a stalling tactic, but it Government only works because all Government ministers stick to an agreed position for the whole Government, otherwise we just
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16		5 That's potentially a matter of concern and interest now when the Inquiry has made recommendations for compensation. Are you able to give us, without committing to any particular decisions, an assurance that the Treasury no longer has that implacable opposition that you told us about last summer? I want to be as helpful as I possibly can, but you understand indeed, we discussed last week I have to follow collective responsibility. And it's I think it is worth me explaining to everyone, if I may, Ms Richards, why this isn't a stalling tactic, but it Government only works because all Government ministers stick to an agreed position for the whole Government, otherwise we just wouldn't be able to deal with having effective
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		5 That's potentially a matter of concern and interest now when the Inquiry has made recommendations for compensation. Are you able to give us, without committing to any particular decisions, an assurance that the Treasury no longer has that implacable opposition that you told us about last summer? I want to be as helpful as I possibly can, but you understand indeed, we discussed last week I have to follow collective responsibility. And it's I think it is worth me explaining to everyone, if I may, Ms Richards, why this isn't a stalling tactic, but it Government only works because all Government ministers stick to an agreed position for the whole Government, otherwise we just wouldn't be able to deal with having effective Government at the same time as a free and open press.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 10 11 12 10 11 12 10 11 12 10 11 12 10 11 11 12 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		5 That's potentially a matter of concern and interest now when the Inquiry has made recommendations for compensation. Are you able to give us, without committing to any particular decisions, an assurance that the Treasury no longer has that implacable opposition that you told us about last summer? I want to be as helpful as I possibly can, but you understand indeed, we discussed last week I have to follow collective responsibility. And it's I think it is worth me explaining to everyone, if I may, Ms Richards, why this isn't a stalling tactic, but it Government only works because all Government ministers stick to an agreed position for the whole Government, otherwise we just wouldn't be able to deal with having effective Government at the same time as a free and open press. So I have to speak in a way that reflects the whole
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 15 16 17 18 19		5 That's potentially a matter of concern and interest now when the Inquiry has made recommendations for compensation. Are you able to give us, without committing to any particular decisions, an assurance that the Treasury no longer has that implacable opposition that you told us about last summer? I want to be as helpful as I possibly can, but you understand indeed, we discussed last week I have to follow collective responsibility. And it's I think it is worth me explaining to everyone, if I may, Ms Richards, why this isn't a stalling tactic, but it Government only works because all Government ministers stick to an agreed position for the whole Government, otherwise we just wouldn't be able to deal with having effective Government at the same time as a free and open press. So I have to speak in a way that reflects the whole Government's position.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		5 That's potentially a matter of concern and interest now when the Inquiry has made recommendations for compensation. Are you able to give us, without committing to any particular decisions, an assurance that the Treasury no longer has that implacable opposition that you told us about last summer? I want to be as helpful as I possibly can, but you understand indeed, we discussed last week I have to follow collective responsibility. And it's I think it is worth me explaining to everyone, if I may, Ms Richards, why this isn't a stalling tactic, but it Government only works because all Government ministers stick to an agreed position for the whole Government, otherwise we just wouldn't be able to deal with having effective Government at the same time as a free and open press. So I have to speak in a way that reflects the whole Government's position. As a former Health Secretary when I came before the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		5 That's potentially a matter of concern and interest now when the Inquiry has made recommendations for compensation. Are you able to give us, without committing to any particular decisions, an assurance that the Treasury no longer has that implacable opposition that you told us about last summer? Want to be as helpful as I possibly can, but you understand indeed, we discussed last week I have to follow collective responsibility. And it's I think it is worth me explaining to everyone, if I may, Ms Richards, why this isn't a stalling tactic, but it Government only works because all Government ministers stick to an agreed position for the whole Government, otherwise we just wouldn't be able to deal with having effective Government at the same time as a free and open press. So I have to speak in a way that reflects the whole Government's position. Ms a former Health Secretary when I came before the inquiry last name, I spoke very freely about the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		5 That's potentially a matter of concern and interest now when the Inquiry has made recommendations for compensation. Are you able to give us, without committing to any particular decisions, an assurance that the Treasury no longer has that implacable opposition that you told us about last summer? I want to be as helpful as I possibly can, but you understand indeed, we discussed last week I have to follow collective responsibility. And it's I think it is worth me explaining to everyone, if I may, Ms Richards, why this isn't a stalling tactic, but it Government only works because all Government ministers stick to an agreed position for the whole Government, otherwise we just wouldn't be able to deal with having effective Government at the same time as a free and open press. So I have to speak in a way that reflects the whole Government's position. As a former Health Secretary when I came before the Inquiry last name, I spoke very freely about the difference between my view and the Department of Health
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		<text><text></text></text>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		5 That's potentially a matter of concern and interest now when the Inquiry has made recommendations for compensation. Are you able to give us, without committing to any particular decisions, an assurance that the Treasury no longer has that implacable opposition that you told us about last summer? I want to be as helpful as I possibly can, but you understand indeed, we discussed last week I have to follow collective responsibility. And it's I think it is worth me explaining to everyone, if I may, Ms Richards, why this isn't a stalling tactic, but it Government only works because all Government ministers stick to an agreed position for the whole Government, otherwise we just wouldn't be able to deal with having effective Government at the same time as a free and open press. So I have to speak in a way that reflects the whole Government's position. As a former Health Secretary when I came before the Inquiry last name, I spoke very freely about the difference between my view and the Department of Health

1		affordability and secure any associated funding with	1	Q.	
2		HMT."	2		(
3		Is it correct to understand from that that, in broad	3		i
4		terms, the process is that a Government department, in	4		I
5		this case the Cabinet Office, will effectively, at	5		,
6		whatever stage is regarded as appropriate, make a case	6		
7		to the Treasury in relation to funding?	7		1
8	Α.	That is the way Government works in normal	8		I
9		circumstances. So you could take any Government	9		
10		department, let's say the Department for Education, and	10		1
11		the Secretary of State for Education will have a budget	11		•
12		that's agreed at a spending round, and she will have	12		1
13		a limit, let's say £50 million, £100 million these	13		
14		sound large sums of money but, in the context of	14		1
15		a budget of £40-£50 billion, they are relatively small	15		1
16		sums of money where she can just make a decision on	16		
17		her own. If it was above the departmental spending	17		
18		limit, she would have to refer that decision up to the	18		
19		Treasury and we would approve it or say we have got	19		
20		concerns.	20		
21		So, in this situation, I think it goes without	21 22		:
22 23		saying that the sums of money are likely to be	22		
23 24		potentially very large, so it will be a standard practice that a line like that would be put in	23 24		
24 25		a condition for proceeding.	24		
20		9	20		
1		decisions as turning round a supertanker, something	1		
2		that's quite slow.	2		
3		There is a three-month gap between 22 February and	3		
4		18 May. Are you confident that's consistent with the	4		
5		Government working at pace, which is the phrase that has	5		
6		been repeatedly used, but which you will appreciate many	6		,
7		of those listening find frustratingly nebulous?	7		I
8	Α.	I do appreciate that, and I do appreciate that the way	8	Q.	
9		Government works might seem frustratingly slow, but I am	9		I
10		absolutely content that the Government has been acting	10		
11		at pace, because it isn't just me. I obviously have	11		1
12		a very important role in this, but the structure that we	12		t
13		have set up to address this issue is a small ministerial	13		,
14		group across Whitehall. I asked ahead of this	14		
15		appearance how many meetings have happened this year,	15		ļ
16		and it is about 50, and there's been a meeting at	16		t
17		official level every week, and the small ministerial	17		I
18		group, of which I'm not part but the Treasury is	18		ļ
19		represented because the Chief Secretary of the Treasury	19	Α.	
20		attends, that's met four times, and the process is that,	20		l
21		essentially, officials do a huge amount of detailed	21		
22		work, then there are ministerial meetings to progress	22		t
23		the issue, and then, finally, that small ministerial	23		(
24		group will make a recommendation to myself and to the	24		
25		Prime Minister.	25		i
		11			

4	~	You gous us some datas in your witness statement of
1	Q.	<u> </u>
2 3		dates on which you had been updated or provided with
3 4		advice. Again, I stress my question is about the process; I'm not asking you to tell us what the advice
4 5		was that you received.
6		But if we just look at your statement so
7		WITN3499034, please, Lawrence and if we can go to
8		paragraph 11 and 12. Thank you.
9		You tell us in paragraph 11 you received advice on
9 10		22 February on the fiscal implications of the policy
11		options being considered, and you have told us that
12		there was an emphasis from your officials on the:
13		" imperative to act quickly for the benefit of
14		the infected and affected and to respond meaningfully to
15		the public inquiry, whilst recognising the wider
16		economic and fiscal context."
17		I will come back to that last phrase later, Mr Hunt.
18		You then refer to receiving advice on 18 May, and
19		then having received a note on 21 June.
20		Now, it may be that, viewed within Government, those
21		sound like quite speedy steps. We have heard
22		evidence and I think we discussed it last year,
23		Mr Hunt from a number of ministers, but
24		Sir John Major's evidence comes to mind immediately,
25		describing the process of Government reaching new
		10
1		So, there has been a lot of work that's happened,
2		and I think in my own case, I think since the Second
3		Interim Report that the Chair produced, I think I have
4		had ten meetings where we have discussed this issue and
5		I have had six detailed submissions. So over a period
6		of about three months, I think that does represent quite
7		regular engagement.
8	Q.	Leaving aside any question of waiting for the final
9		report before a decision is taken, because I'm going to
10		come onto that later, but in terms of the Treasury's own
11		processes and Government's own processes, are you able
12		to give any kind of sense of how far along the journey
13		Government has reached?
14		Does it have now Mr Quin used the word "building
15		blocks", and that was, I think, from his perspective as
16		the Cabinet Office minister. From your perspective, has
17		much of the underlying work been done so that what's
18		left is now the decision-making, primarily?
19	Α.	I think there are some very big issues that are raised,
20		both in Sir Robert Francis' compensation study and the
21		First and Second Interim Reports, which you may want to
22		talk about at some stage later, but, you know, the
23		constitutional implications of on a novel arm's length
24		body being set up and able to make decisions wholly
25		independently of ministers and Government; the economic
		12

1		implications, because the kind of sums are potentially	1	
2		very large; the fiscal implications, that's to say the	2	
3		implications for taxpayers, again the sums of money are	3	
4		potentially very large.	4	
5		Given the scale of what we could potentially be	5	
6		talking about, I do think that good progress has been	6	
7		made. There is more work to do. But the purpose of	7	
8		making this progress and the purpose of having those 50	8	
9		meetings and, you know, hundreds of emails flying around	9	
10		Whitehall is because we want to be in a position to	10	
11		avoid any unnecessary delay when the final	11	
12		recommendations in your final report, Chair, is	12	
13		published because we recognise the urgency.	13	G
14		So I think the purpose of the Government	14	
15		commissioning Sir Robert Francis' report and all this	15	
16		work now is to make is essentially to make sure that	16	
17		we don't have a situation where the final report is	17	A
18		published and then there's a further long unnecessary	18	
19		delay because the Government has to crunch through all	19	
20		these details.	20	
21		But if I could perhaps give some context to the	21	
22		families who are listening.	22	
23		One of the things you asked me about when I appeared	23	
24		a year ago was some of the things I had written in the	24	
25		book called <i>Zero</i> , and one of the things I said in that 13	25	
4			4	
1 2		Francis' recommendations was that we should review our approach following the publication of Sir Brian's	1 2	
2			2	
3 4		response.	3	
4 5		So I think having that Second Interim Report	4 5	
6		published this April has allowed a lot more work to happen at pace than was previously possible.	5 6	
7	^		7	
8	Q.	In relation to those interim payments last summer, and again just talking about the timescales of	8	
0 9		decision-making rather than anything else, we know from	8 9	
9 10		the witness statement of Nadhim Zahawi, who was at that	9 10	
11		point the Chancellor of the Exchequer, that the	10	
12		Chancellor's approval was sought and given within	11	
13		an eight-day period in August of last year.	12	<u>ر</u>
14		Now, I appreciate, of course, that the sums of money	13	
14		involved in relation to those interim payments, although	14	
16		not insignificant, are not of the same magnitude of an	16	
17		entire compensation framework for those infected and	10	
18		affected, but what part does political will play in	18	
19		speeding up the process? Because I don't know if you've	10	
20		seen, as well as Mr Zahawi's statement, Kit Malthouse's	19 20	
20 21		statement, but he gives some insight into having the	20	
21		heft of senior politicians involved, having the approval	21	
22		of Number 10, wanting to push something absolute	22	
23 24		quickly. How much of a difference does that make?	23	
24 25	Α.	Of course it makes a big difference. I mean, you know,	24 25	
20		15	20	

1		book was that I thought the NHS needed a long-term
2		workforce plan, and I was able to announce that the NHS
3		would have a long-term workforce plan in November last
4		year. That then was announced, finally, eight months
5		later. There were a hundred meetings and, I think,
6		thousands of submissions and bits of information and
7		that was a £2.4 billion piece of work.
8		So it is I just say that not because we want any
9		delays beyond what are strictly and absolutely necessary
10		but it is very normal when you are talking about large
11		sums of money for a lot of work to go into those
12		processes.
13	Q.	Would it be right to understand that when you are
14		talking about your perspective on the work that's being
15		undertaken, you are talking about the time since you
16		have been in Government?
17	Α.	Yes, but I would say that I think it was if I look
18		before the time I was in Government shortly after
19		I had given evidence to you last year I wrote, alongside
20		Andy Burnham and Matt Hancock, to urge the then
21		Government to make the interim payments of $\pounds100,000,$ and
22		although that finally happened when I was Chancellor,
23		the decision to do that happened before I was
24		Chancellor, and I think that we did need Sir Brian's
25		Second Interim Report because I think one of Sir Robert
		14
1		
_		we're you know, the Prime Minister and the Chancellor
2		are very senior in the Government, and if they consider
3		are very senior in the Government, and if they consider something to be a priority it happens quickly.
3 4		are very senior in the Government, and if they consider something to be a priority it happens quickly. I think there was something else happening here as
3 4 5		are very senior in the Government, and if they consider something to be a priority it happens quickly. I think there was something else happening here as well, which is that the then Prime Minister knew that
3 4 5 6		are very senior in the Government, and if they consider something to be a priority it happens quickly. I think there was something else happening here as well, which is that the then Prime Minister knew that his time would be coming to an end in early September,
3 4 5 6 7		are very senior in the Government, and if they consider something to be a priority it happens quickly. I think there was something else happening here as well, which is that the then Prime Minister knew that his time would be coming to an end in early September, and so that was part of the reason for me writing the
3 4 5 6 7 8		are very senior in the Government, and if they consider something to be a priority it happens quickly. I think there was something else happening here as well, which is that the then Prime Minister knew that his time would be coming to an end in early September, and so that was part of the reason for me writing the letter that I wrote when I did, because I was hoping
3 4 5 6 7 8 9		are very senior in the Government, and if they consider something to be a priority it happens quickly. I think there was something else happening here as well, which is that the then Prime Minister knew that his time would be coming to an end in early September, and so that was part of the reason for me writing the letter that I wrote when I did, because I was hoping that he might think it was something that he wanted to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9		are very senior in the Government, and if they consider something to be a priority it happens quickly. I think there was something else happening here as well, which is that the then Prime Minister knew that his time would be coming to an end in early September, and so that was part of the reason for me writing the letter that I wrote when I did, because I was hoping that he might think it was something that he wanted to do before he stepped down, which indeed was the case.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		are very senior in the Government, and if they consider something to be a priority it happens quickly. I think there was something else happening here as well, which is that the then Prime Minister knew that his time would be coming to an end in early September, and so that was part of the reason for me writing the letter that I wrote when I did, because I was hoping that he might think it was something that he wanted to do before he stepped down, which indeed was the case. So that may also have been a reason why it happened
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		are very senior in the Government, and if they consider something to be a priority it happens quickly. I think there was something else happening here as well, which is that the then Prime Minister knew that his time would be coming to an end in early September, and so that was part of the reason for me writing the letter that I wrote when I did, because I was hoping that he might think it was something that he wanted to do before he stepped down, which indeed was the case. So that may also have been a reason why it happened quickly.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	are very senior in the Government, and if they consider something to be a priority it happens quickly. I think there was something else happening here as well, which is that the then Prime Minister knew that his time would be coming to an end in early September, and so that was part of the reason for me writing the letter that I wrote when I did, because I was hoping that he might think it was something that he wanted to do before he stepped down, which indeed was the case. So that may also have been a reason why it happened quickly. I want to turn to some newspaper articles and the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	are very senior in the Government, and if they consider something to be a priority it happens quickly. I think there was something else happening here as well, which is that the then Prime Minister knew that his time would be coming to an end in early September, and so that was part of the reason for me writing the letter that I wrote when I did, because I was hoping that he might think it was something that he wanted to do before he stepped down, which indeed was the case. So that may also have been a reason why it happened quickly. I want to turn to some newspaper articles and the information that's contained within them.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	are very senior in the Government, and if they consider something to be a priority it happens quickly. I think there was something else happening here as well, which is that the then Prime Minister knew that his time would be coming to an end in early September, and so that was part of the reason for me writing the letter that I wrote when I did, because I was hoping that he might think it was something that he wanted to do before he stepped down, which indeed was the case. So that may also have been a reason why it happened quickly. I want to turn to some newspaper articles and the information that's contained within them. If we could have, please, Lawrence, RLIT0002137.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	are very senior in the Government, and if they consider something to be a priority it happens quickly. I think there was something else happening here as well, which is that the then Prime Minister knew that his time would be coming to an end in early September, and so that was part of the reason for me writing the letter that I wrote when I did, because I was hoping that he might think it was something that he wanted to do before he stepped down, which indeed was the case. So that may also have been a reason why it happened quickly. I want to turn to some newspaper articles and the information that's contained within them. If we could have, please, Lawrence, RLIT0002137. This is published in the Financial Times and it is
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	are very senior in the Government, and if they consider something to be a priority it happens quickly. I think there was something else happening here as well, which is that the then Prime Minister knew that his time would be coming to an end in early September, and so that was part of the reason for me writing the letter that I wrote when I did, because I was hoping that he might think it was something that he wanted to do before he stepped down, which indeed was the case. So that may also have been a reason why it happened quickly. I want to turn to some newspaper articles and the information that's contained within them. If we could have, please, Lawrence, RLIT0002137. This is published in the Financial Times and it is 10 May 2023. You will see it says this in the left-hand
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	are very senior in the Government, and if they consider something to be a priority it happens quickly. I think there was something else happening here as well, which is that the then Prime Minister knew that his time would be coming to an end in early September, and so that was part of the reason for me writing the letter that I wrote when I did, because I was hoping that he might think it was something that he wanted to do before he stepped down, which indeed was the case. So that may also have been a reason why it happened quickly. I want to turn to some newspaper articles and the information that's contained within them. If we could have, please, Lawrence, RLIT0002137. This is published in the Financial Times and it is 10 May 2023. You will see it says this in the left-hand column:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	are very senior in the Government, and if they consider something to be a priority it happens quickly. I think there was something else happening here as well, which is that the then Prime Minister knew that his time would be coming to an end in early September, and so that was part of the reason for me writing the letter that I wrote when I did, because I was hoping that he might think it was something that he wanted to do before he stepped down, which indeed was the case. So that may also have been a reason why it happened quickly. I want to turn to some newspaper articles and the information that's contained within them. If we could have, please, Lawrence, RLIT0002137. This is published in the Financial Times and it is 10 May 2023. You will see it says this in the left-hand column: "The compensation bill for those affected by the NHS
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	are very senior in the Government, and if they consider something to be a priority it happens quickly. I think there was something else happening here as well, which is that the then Prime Minister knew that his time would be coming to an end in early September, and so that was part of the reason for me writing the letter that I wrote when I did, because I was hoping that he might think it was something that he wanted to do before he stepped down, which indeed was the case. So that may also have been a reason why it happened quickly. I want to turn to some newspaper articles and the information that's contained within them. If we could have, please, Lawrence, RLIT0002137. This is published in the Financial Times and it is 10 May 2023. You will see it says this in the left-hand column: "The compensation bill for those affected by the NHS contaminated blood scandal could reach £10bn, according
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	are very senior in the Government, and if they consider something to be a priority it happens quickly. I think there was something else happening here as well, which is that the then Prime Minister knew that his time would be coming to an end in early September, and so that was part of the reason for me writing the letter that I wrote when I did, because I was hoping that he might think it was something that he wanted to do before he stepped down, which indeed was the case. So that may also have been a reason why it happened quickly. I want to turn to some newspaper articles and the information that's contained within them. If we could have, please, Lawrence, RLIT0002137. This is published in the Financial Times and it is 10 May 2023. You will see it says this in the left-hand column: "The compensation bill for those affected by the NHS contaminated blood scandal could reach £10bn, according to officials, in a further blow to the UK's stretched
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22	Q.	are very senior in the Government, and if they consider something to be a priority it happens quickly. I think there was something else happening here as well, which is that the then Prime Minister knew that his time would be coming to an end in early September, and so that was part of the reason for me writing the letter that I wrote when I did, because I was hoping that he might think it was something that he wanted to do before he stepped down, which indeed was the case. So that may also have been a reason why it happened quickly. I want to turn to some newspaper articles and the information that's contained within them. If we could have, please, Lawrence, RLIT0002137. This is published in the Financial Times and it is 10 May 2023. You will see it says this in the left-hand column: "The compensation bill for those affected by the NHS contaminated blood scandal could reach £10bn, according to officials, in a further blow to the UK's stretched public finances."
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	are very senior in the Government, and if they consider something to be a priority it happens quickly. I think there was something else happening here as well, which is that the then Prime Minister knew that his time would be coming to an end in early September, and so that was part of the reason for me writing the letter that I wrote when I did, because I was hoping that he might think it was something that he wanted to do before he stepped down, which indeed was the case. So that may also have been a reason why it happened quickly. I want to turn to some newspaper articles and the information that's contained within them. If we could have, please, Lawrence, RLIT0002137. This is published in the Financial Times and it is 10 May 2023. You will see it says this in the left-hand column: "The compensation bill for those affected by the NHS contaminated blood scandal could reach £10bn, according to officials, in a further blow to the UK's stretched public finances."
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 24	Q.	are very senior in the Government, and if they consider something to be a priority it happens quickly. I think there was something else happening here as well, which is that the then Prime Minister knew that his time would be coming to an end in early September, and so that was part of the reason for me writing the letter that I wrote when I did, because I was hoping that he might think it was something that he wanted to do before he stepped down, which indeed was the case. So that may also have been a reason why it happened quickly. I want to turn to some newspaper articles and the information that's contained within them. If we could have, please, Lawrence, RLIT0002137. This is published in the Financial Times and it is 10 May 2023. You will see it says this in the left-hand column: "The compensation bill for those affected by the NHS contaminated blood scandal could reach £10bn, according to officials, in a further blow to the UK's stretched public finances." There's then reference in the next paragraph to the moral case being accepted. There is then reference to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	are very senior in the Government, and if they consider something to be a priority it happens quickly. I think there was something else happening here as well, which is that the then Prime Minister knew that his time would be coming to an end in early September, and so that was part of the reason for me writing the letter that I wrote when I did, because I was hoping that he might think it was something that he wanted to do before he stepped down, which indeed was the case. So that may also have been a reason why it happened quickly. I want to turn to some newspaper articles and the information that's contained within them. If we could have, please, Lawrence, RLIT0002137. This is published in the Financial Times and it is 10 May 2023. You will see it says this in the left-hand column: "The compensation bill for those affected by the NHS contaminated blood scandal could reach £10bn, according to officials, in a further blow to the UK's stretched public finances."

(4) Pages 13 - 16

The Infected Blood

1		hit".	
2		"Government officials have told the Financial Times	
3		that the compensation scheme might cost £5bn-£10bn.	
4		"Given the tight nature of the public finances,	
5		officials from the Treasury and the Department of Health	
6		have been holding recent talks over how a compensation	
7		scheme would be structured. 'We are working through the	
8		options,' said one government insider.	
9		"Another official added, 'People are very worried	
10		about the cost."	
11		Then it is said that the Government declined to	
12		comment.	
13		Now, do you know whether the officials who are	
14		quoted here were Treasury officials?	
15	Α.	Absolutely not. I'm afraid there are leaks occasionally	
16		from Government. I've got no idea.	
17		What I can absolutely assure you is that those leaks	
18		did not happen on the say-so of myself or anyone in my	
19		ministerial team, and we wouldn't want to do that	
20		because we are still trying to get our heads round the	
21		very complex issues involved.	-
22	Q.	Can we then look at the second article, which is	
23	<u> </u>	RILT0002136.	-
24		This is 2 July 2023, so it is just under two months	-
25		later. This is I'm trying to remember which	
		17	-
1		are concerned, any desire to put numbers into the public	
2		domain and for whatever reason it might be. I think	
3			
		-	
		it is very unhelpful when these leaks happen and so, at	
4		it is very unhelpful when these leaks happen and so, at a ministerial level, we are absolutely not responsible	
4 5	0	it is very unhelpful when these leaks happen and so, at a ministerial level, we are absolutely not responsible for it.	
4 5 6	Q.	it is very unhelpful when these leaks happen and so, at a ministerial level, we are absolutely not responsible for it. If it is not at a ministerial level, then, unless it has	
4 5 6 7	Q.	it is very unhelpful when these leaks happen and so, at a ministerial level, we are absolutely not responsible for it. If it is not at a ministerial level, then, unless it has been made up by the journalists and we are talking	
4 5 6 7 8	Q.	it is very unhelpful when these leaks happen and so, at a ministerial level, we are absolutely not responsible for it. If it is not at a ministerial level, then, unless it has been made up by the journalists and we are talking here about the Financial Times and the Sunday Telegraph;	
4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	it is very unhelpful when these leaks happen and so, at a ministerial level, we are absolutely not responsible for it. If it is not at a ministerial level, then, unless it has been made up by the journalists and we are talking here about the Financial Times and the Sunday Telegraph; it doesn't seem very likely that that would be the	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	it is very unhelpful when these leaks happen and so, at a ministerial level, we are absolutely not responsible for it. If it is not at a ministerial level, then, unless it has been made up by the journalists and we are talking here about the Financial Times and the Sunday Telegraph; it doesn't seem very likely that that would be the case it has to be at official level, Civil Service	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	it is very unhelpful when these leaks happen and so, at a ministerial level, we are absolutely not responsible for it. If it is not at a ministerial level, then, unless it has been made up by the journalists and we are talking here about the Financial Times and the Sunday Telegraph; it doesn't seem very likely that that would be the case it has to be at official level, Civil Service level. The concern that might give rise to going	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	it is very unhelpful when these leaks happen and so, at a ministerial level, we are absolutely not responsible for it. If it is not at a ministerial level, then, unless it has been made up by the journalists and we are talking here about the Financial Times and the Sunday Telegraph; it doesn't seem very likely that that would be the case it has to be at official level, Civil Service level. The concern that might give rise to going back again to your evidence last year, you told us about	
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	it is very unhelpful when these leaks happen and so, at a ministerial level, we are absolutely not responsible for it. If it is not at a ministerial level, then, unless it has been made up by the journalists and we are talking here about the Financial Times and the Sunday Telegraph; it doesn't seem very likely that that would be the case it has to be at official level, Civil Service level. The concern that might give rise to going back again to your evidence last year, you told us about what you described in a memorable term as "institutional	
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	it is very unhelpful when these leaks happen and so, at a ministerial level, we are absolutely not responsible for it. If it is not at a ministerial level, then, unless it has been made up by the journalists and we are talking here about the Financial Times and the Sunday Telegraph; it doesn't seem very likely that that would be the case it has to be at official level, Civil Service level. The concern that might give rise to going back again to your evidence last year, you told us about what you described in a memorable term as "institutional inertia", and other witnesses have told us about	
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	it is very unhelpful when these leaks happen and so, at a ministerial level, we are absolutely not responsible for it. If it is not at a ministerial level, then, unless it has been made up by the journalists and we are talking here about the Financial Times and the Sunday Telegraph; it doesn't seem very likely that that would be the case it has to be at official level, Civil Service level. The concern that might give rise to going back again to your evidence last year, you told us about what you described in a memorable term as "institutional inertia", and other witnesses have told us about "groupthink" I think we discussed groupthink as well,	
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	it is very unhelpful when these leaks happen and so, at a ministerial level, we are absolutely not responsible for it. If it is not at a ministerial level, then, unless it has been made up by the journalists and we are talking here about the Financial Times and the Sunday Telegraph; it doesn't seem very likely that that would be the case it has to be at official level, Civil Service level. The concern that might give rise to going back again to your evidence last year, you told us about what you described in a memorable term as "institutional inertia", and other witnesses have told us about "groupthink" I think we discussed groupthink as well, Mr Hunt and former Secretaries of State for Health	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	it is very unhelpful when these leaks happen and so, at a ministerial level, we are absolutely not responsible for it. If it is not at a ministerial level, then, unless it has been made up by the journalists and we are talking here about the Financial Times and the Sunday Telegraph; it doesn't seem very likely that that would be the case it has to be at official level, Civil Service level. The concern that might give rise to going back again to your evidence last year, you told us about what you described in a memorable term as "institutional inertia", and other witnesses have told us about "groupthink" I think we discussed groupthink as well, Mr Hunt and former Secretaries of State for Health preceding you have given us similar accounts of Civil	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	it is very unhelpful when these leaks happen and so, at a ministerial level, we are absolutely not responsible for it. If it is not at a ministerial level, then, unless it has been made up by the journalists and we are talking here about the Financial Times and the Sunday Telegraph; it doesn't seem very likely that that would be the case it has to be at official level, Civil Service level. The concern that might give rise to going back again to your evidence last year, you told us about what you described in a memorable term as "institutional inertia", and other witnesses have told us about "groupthink" I think we discussed groupthink as well, Mr Hunt and former Secretaries of State for Health preceding you have given us similar accounts of Civil Service resistance to policies or decisions. The fact	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	it is very unhelpful when these leaks happen and so, at a ministerial level, we are absolutely not responsible for it. If it is not at a ministerial level, then, unless it has been made up by the journalists and we are talking here about the Financial Times and the Sunday Telegraph; it doesn't seem very likely that that would be the case it has to be at official level, Civil Service level. The concern that might give rise to going back again to your evidence last year, you told us about what you described in a memorable term as "institutional inertia", and other witnesses have told us about "groupthink" I think we discussed groupthink as well, Mr Hunt and former Secretaries of State for Health preceding you have given us similar accounts of Civil Service resistance to policies or decisions. The fact that these leaks are being made of what's said to be	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	it is very unhelpful when these leaks happen and so, at a ministerial level, we are absolutely not responsible for it. If it is not at a ministerial level, then, unless it has been made up by the journalists and we are talking here about the Financial Times and the Sunday Telegraph; it doesn't seem very likely that that would be the case it has to be at official level, Civil Service level. The concern that might give rise to going back again to your evidence last year, you told us about what you described in a memorable term as "institutional inertia", and other witnesses have told us about "groupthink" I think we discussed groupthink as well, Mr Hunt and former Secretaries of State for Health preceding you have given us similar accounts of Civil Service resistance to policies or decisions. The fact that these leaks are being made of what's said to be upper forecast and concern, they might tell us	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	it is very unhelpful when these leaks happen and so, at a ministerial level, we are absolutely not responsible for it. If it is not at a ministerial level, then, unless it has been made up by the journalists and we are talking here about the Financial Times and the Sunday Telegraph; it doesn't seem very likely that that would be the case it has to be at official level, Civil Service level. The concern that might give rise to going back again to your evidence last year, you told us about what you described in a memorable term as "institutional inertia", and other witnesses have told us about "groupthink" I think we discussed groupthink as well, Mr Hunt and former Secretaries of State for Health preceding you have given us similar accounts of Civil Service resistance to policies or decisions. The fact that these leaks are being made of what's said to be upper forecast and concern, they might tell us something, might they not, about the mindset of the	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	it is very unhelpful when these leaks happen and so, at a ministerial level, we are absolutely not responsible for it. If it is not at a ministerial level, then, unless it has been made up by the journalists and we are talking here about the Financial Times and the Sunday Telegraph; it doesn't seem very likely that that would be the case it has to be at official level, Civil Service level. The concern that might give rise to going back again to your evidence last year, you told us about what you described in a memorable term as "institutional inertia", and other witnesses have told us about "groupthink" I think we discussed groupthink as well, Mr Hunt and former Secretaries of State for Health preceding you have given us similar accounts of Civil Service resistance to policies or decisions. The fact that these leaks are being made of what's said to be upper forecast and concern, they might tell us something, might they not, about the mindset of the civil servants and officials, because the purpose of the	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	it is very unhelpful when these leaks happen and so, at a ministerial level, we are absolutely not responsible for it. If it is not at a ministerial level, then, unless it has been made up by the journalists and we are talking here about the Financial Times and the Sunday Telegraph; it doesn't seem very likely that that would be the case it has to be at official level, Civil Service level. The concern that might give rise to going back again to your evidence last year, you told us about what you described in a memorable term as "institutional inertia", and other witnesses have told us about "groupthink" I think we discussed groupthink as well, Mr Hunt and former Secretaries of State for Health preceding you have given us similar accounts of Civil Service resistance to policies or decisions. The fact that these leaks are being made of what's said to be upper forecast and concern, they might tell us something, might they not, about the mindset of the civil servants and officials, because the purpose of the leaks would look to be a scaremongering process. There	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	it is very unhelpful when these leaks happen and so, at a ministerial level, we are absolutely not responsible for it. If it is not at a ministerial level, then, unless it has been made up by the journalists and we are talking here about the Financial Times and the Sunday Telegraph; it doesn't seem very likely that that would be the case it has to be at official level, Civil Service level. The concern that might give rise to going back again to your evidence last year, you told us about what you described in a memorable term as "institutional inertia", and other witnesses have told us about "groupthink" I think we discussed groupthink as well, Mr Hunt and former Secretaries of State for Health preceding you have given us similar accounts of Civil Service resistance to policies or decisions. The fact that these leaks are being made of what's said to be upper forecast and concern, they might tell us something, might they not, about the mindset of the civil servants and officials, because the purpose of the	

d Inqui	ry 28 July 2023
1	newspaper this one was the Sunday Telegraph.
2	So we can see again from the left-hand column there
3	is a figure now which "could total more than
4	£20 billion", so it has doubled or quadrupled, depending
5	upon which end of the scale in the previous article you
6	take:
7	"Ministers have examined financial modelling which
8	places the upper forecast at the mid £20 billions.
9	"The figures have caused concern in Whitehall
10	because the potential amounts involved are so large that
11	they would affect the Government's wider fiscal plans.
12	And ministers do not know where the money is coming
13	from, with the compensation at present 'completely
14	unfunded', The Telegraph has been told."
15	Again, are you able to say what the source of those
16	leaks was, in terms of department? I'm not asking for
17	individual names. Or are you able to confirm whether it
18	was the Treasury or not?
19 A	I can absolutely confirm I don't know where the leaks
20	came from. I don't I mean, you know, most of the
21	time when leaks happen, they are things that ministers
22	don't welcome. They make our life more difficult and
23	they shouldn't happen. But what I can say is that there
24	has been absolutely no sort of ministerial or
25	certainly as far as I'm concerned or Treasury ministers 18
1 A	. If I may very politely say, I think it is quite unwise
2	to speculate on the motives of people who do leaks,
3	because there are many different reasons why people can
4	do it. But I would say that the overwhelming majority
5	of civil servants want to do their very best to do what
6	they think ministers would like them to do. They have
7	a very strong sense of living in a democracy and doing
8	their best for the elected politicians of the day.
9	So I think I can absolutely say, hand on heart,
10	I have not come across any sense from the officials
11	I've dealt with that, you know, they don't want to see
12 13	this terrible scandal resolved justly. I think it is
13 14	they are very, very difficult decisions, very complex decisions, very big decisions, and we are all looking at
14	them from every single direction to fully understand
16	them.
17	But there is absolutely no sort of Sir Humphrey-like
18	desire to frustrate ministers or to make ministers do
19	anything other than just fully understand the situation
20	from every angle.
21 Q	, ,
22	ask you about as well, which is RLIT0002147, please.
23	So this is July 16, the Sunday Times, and if we
24	could go on, please, to page 4, Lawrence.
25	Ves if we nick it up in the third paragraph:

25 Yes, if we pick it up in the third paragraph: 20

19

(5) Pages 17 - 20

1		"Hunt [so that is a reference to you] has held	1
2		several meetings in the Treasury to discuss compensation	2
3		packages for the victims. This week, he and Sunak are	3
4		expected to discuss options before they appear before	4
5		the inquiry."	5
6		If we follow further down, please. And then this:	6
7		"The Treasury estimates total payouts from the	7
8		scandal could range between $\pounds 5$ billion and $\pounds 22$ billion.	8
9		The drastic variation arises because the two reports on	9
10		the scandal approach compensation differently."	10
11		Now, Mr Hunt, I'm assuming you looked at the Second	11
12		Interim Report of the Chair. It certainly wasn't the	12
13		Inquiry's perspective that there was a radical	13
14		difference between the Inquiry's recommendations and	14
15		those of Sir Robert Francis indeed, the report says	15
16		in terms something to the contrary and such	16
17		differences as there are between the two reports, it	17
18		seems difficult to see how that could make a fourfold	18
19		difference in terms of magnitude.	19
20		Is this an accurate statement of the Treasury's	20
21		understanding of the position, that the two reports	21
22		approach compensation so markedly differently that you	22
23		get those two figures?	23
24	Α.	Well, without sort of trespassing on the principle that	24
25		I shouldn't be saying what our thinking is before 21	25
1 2		media, given everything that has been suffered by people over the decades.	1
2 3		So what would be the approach to trying to find out	2 3
4		who had leaked and what their motivation was?	4
4 5	Α.	I mean, I agree with you, the right mechanism for these	4 5
6	А.	announcements to be made is through Parliament and	5 6
7		directly to the families who have suffered. In my	7
8		experience, I'm afraid, leak inquiries are very, very	8
9		rarely successful. I don't think I can remember one	9
10		where there was a successful identification of the	10
11		person who was responsible, and so I'm not sure that	11
12		really solves the problem. But I just would stress	12
13		that, although these stories are written up in a very	13
14		credible way by very credible publications, by	14
15		journalists who are trying to tell people the truth, it	15
16		is really important to remember that the person who is	16
17		responsible for those kinds of leaks may not have been	17
18		in possession of the full picture, and it is quite	18
19		important not to over-interpret as a result.	19
20	Q.	I'm just going to move on to ask you a little about the	20
21		approach to the modelling that's been undertaken; not in	21
22		any detailed sense, I'm not expecting you to have	22
23		a grasp of how financial modelling is undertaken or to	23
24		be able to explain that to us in any kind of detail, but	24
25		in terms of the numbers who might claim.	25
		23	

a decision has been made, all I can say is: I don't recognise that statement either. And that's a very good reason, by the way, why -- you know, I think when you are looking at newspaper articles that come from leaks, in my experience, quite often there is a grain of accuracy, but it is also very important to remember that the person who is responsible for the leak may not be in possession of the full facts. They might be someone who is working in one part of one department and they aren't seeing the whole picture and so sometimes they are wrong. Q. One question I have been asked by a number of Core Participants to ask is whether there should be an investigation into the sources of those leaks. The Prime Minister indicated he wouldn't say whether there was going to be an indication because those who were responsible would then know. But what, more generally, is the approach of Government when information like this is leaked? I appreciate there may be leaks all the time, I don't know, but this is a context in which I am sure you would agree it is incredibly important that the first people to know about what's happening are -whether it is through Parliament, an announcement in Parliament, or directly to those infected and affected, that's the correct mechanism, not through leaks of the 22

1		You will no doubt be aware, and Mr Quin made express
2		reference to it in his witness statement, that the
3		Inquiry has the benefit of a report from an expert group
4		of statisticians, which sought to identify, through
5		statistical modelling and on the basis of the evidence
6		available, the likely numbers of individuals who, over
7		the decades, may have been infected with HIV or
8		hepatitis C. And the figures are obviously large, and
9		I know you have been provided with a summary, for the
10		purposes of today's evidence, of what those figures
11		were.
12		But, can we be assured that the Government, in
13		looking at potential costings, is going to focus on what
14		the likely number of claims will be, rather than the
15		theoretical likely number of people who may have been
16		infected over decades?
17	Α.	Well, I saw those numbers which you kindly supplied me.
18		From memory, it was about 46,000 people might have been
19		infected, of whom I think 1,300 with HIV and the rest
20		HCV or most of the rest HCV. That is a much higher
21		number than I think it is the 2,900 who are thought to
22		have died tragically as a result of the scandal.
23		All I can say is that we are gathering all the
24		evidence we can from all the sources we can. It is very
25		helpful to have the expert independent statisticians'

24

(6) Pages 21 - 24

1		views and we are looking at those. Of course we are	1	
2		trying to estimate, as a result of those, what the total	2	
3		cost to the taxpayer would be likely to be in different	3	
4		scenarios. That is the reason we have to do this	4	
5		detailed work.	5	
6	Q.		6	
7		summary but a document which I know has also been sent	7	
8		to you, which is INQY0000456.	8	
9		So this is a note which sets out, on the basis of	9	
10		up-to-date information from the current four devolved	10	
11		schemes, it sets out first of all the numbers of	11	
12		registrants within the scheme. So you have at the	12	
13		bottom of the page, in terms of infected persons, the	13	
14		total figures, and then the figures in relation to	14	
15		bereaved partners.	15	
16 17		So you can see there a total, Mr Hunt, of 4,519	16 17	
17		across the entire United Kingdom of those infected and	17	
19		bereaved partners. Obviously it doesn't include wider relatives because they have not been included within the	10	
20		schemes.	19 20	Α.
20		But we have there a figure under three and	20	
22		a half thousand of living infected persons registered.	22	
23		Then, if we go over the page, we have the data for	23	
24		the number of interim payments made. So there you will	24	
25		see and this is pursuant to last autumn's payments	25	
		25		
1		the interim payments because we are able to do that very	1	
2		quickly. But I don't think I can say more because the	2	
3		Second Interim Report makes further suggestions as to	3	Q.
4		other people who should be entitled to compensation as	4	
5		well.	5	
6	Q.	Absolutely. It is really just in terms of identifying	6	
7		the numbers infected.	7	
8		Then, you will, I'm sure, be aware from your own	8	
9		work as Secretary of State for Health that there has	9	
10		been a scheme, prior to the four devolved schemes, which	10	
11		happened under your watch when you were Secretary of	11	
12		State for Health, there were the Alliance House	12	
13		organisations, as they are called, which included, in	13	
14		relation to hepatitis C, the Skipton Fund making	14	
15		payments since 2004, since 2011 making payments to those	15	
16		who had the estates or those who had died prior	16	
17		to 2003. So there is 20 years' worth of evidence there.	17	
18		It is not realistic, is it, to think there are going to	18	
19		be thousands of people who have never made a claim	19	
20		previously now materialising to say that they too were	20	
21 22		infected with HIV or hepatitis C and wish to seek	21	
22 23	٨	compensation? I mean, that's the kind of thing we have to give proper	22 23	
23 24	Α.	consideration to. I don't think I can give you any	23 24	
24 25		further help on it because we haven't made any decisions	24 25	Α.
20		27	20	д.

1		following the summer announcement and the First Interim
2		Report.
3		Infected persons who received interim payments,
4		3,503. Bereaved partners, 900. There's an "Others"
5		category, which is explained. So a total of 4,413.
6		So those figures, would you I'm not asking you to
7		commit to particular figures, it is just the general
8		approach, Mr Hunt, perhaps a good guide possibly the
9		best guide but certainly a good guide to the number
10		of claims that might be expected on a compensation
11		scheme from infected people will be to look at the
12		numbers currently registered, because all those infected
13		have been able to register, if we leave aside the
14		categories of hepatitis B who can't, obviously, be
15		included within these figures, and the numbers who made
16		applications for interim payments. It is going to be
17		not a bad starting point, if I can put it that way, for
18		a realistic assessment of how many of those infected
19		might look to the compensation framework.
20	Α.	Well, I think you are suggesting that I should take note
21		of your suggestion on this, which I absolutely do, but
22 23		I would say that we, I think, used those numbers as
23 24		those are the people that we made the interim payments to, so, you know, we recognise the importance of those
24		groups and they are the groups that we prioritise for
20		26
1		about that But certainly our starting point is the
1		about that. But certainly our starting point is the
2	0.	people who are on the registered schemes.
	Q.	people who are on the registered schemes. The Inquiry does hold data about the numbers who made
2 3	Q.	people who are on the registered schemes. The Inquiry does hold data about the numbers who made successful applications to the Skipton Fund. It is
2 3 4	Q.	people who are on the registered schemes. The Inquiry does hold data about the numbers who made successful applications to the Skipton Fund. It is public on our website, but if there is any assistance
2 3 4 5	Q.	people who are on the registered schemes. The Inquiry does hold data about the numbers who made successful applications to the Skipton Fund. It is
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	people who are on the registered schemes. The Inquiry does hold data about the numbers who made successful applications to the Skipton Fund. It is public on our website, but if there is any assistance that can be given in that respect in terms of providing
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	people who are on the registered schemes. The Inquiry does hold data about the numbers who made successful applications to the Skipton Fund. It is public on our website, but if there is any assistance that can be given in that respect in terms of providing that information, please do let the Inquiry know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	people who are on the registered schemes. The Inquiry does hold data about the numbers who made successful applications to the Skipton Fund. It is public on our website, but if there is any assistance that can be given in that respect in terms of providing that information, please do let the Inquiry know. Can I then just turn to perhaps look at the kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	people who are on the registered schemes. The Inquiry does hold data about the numbers who made successful applications to the Skipton Fund. It is public on our website, but if there is any assistance that can be given in that respect in terms of providing that information, please do let the Inquiry know. Can I then just turn to perhaps look at the kind of figures we are talking about, in very rough terms, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	people who are on the registered schemes. The Inquiry does hold data about the numbers who made successful applications to the Skipton Fund. It is public on our website, but if there is any assistance that can be given in that respect in terms of providing that information, please do let the Inquiry know. Can I then just turn to perhaps look at the kind of figures we are talking about, in very rough terms, and try to put them in some kind of context.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	people who are on the registered schemes. The Inquiry does hold data about the numbers who made successful applications to the Skipton Fund. It is public on our website, but if there is any assistance that can be given in that respect in terms of providing that information, please do let the Inquiry know. Can I then just turn to perhaps look at the kind of figures we are talking about, in very rough terms, and try to put them in some kind of context. Billions sounds obviously a lot of money and a lot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	people who are on the registered schemes. The Inquiry does hold data about the numbers who made successful applications to the Skipton Fund. It is public on our website, but if there is any assistance that can be given in that respect in terms of providing that information, please do let the Inquiry know. Can I then just turn to perhaps look at the kind of figures we are talking about, in very rough terms, and try to put them in some kind of context. Billions sounds obviously a lot of money and a lot more money than the total of the interim payments made
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	people who are on the registered schemes. The Inquiry does hold data about the numbers who made successful applications to the Skipton Fund. It is public on our website, but if there is any assistance that can be given in that respect in terms of providing that information, please do let the Inquiry know. Can I then just turn to perhaps look at the kind of figures we are talking about, in very rough terms, and try to put them in some kind of context. Billions sounds obviously a lot of money and a lot more money than the total of the interim payments made last summer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	people who are on the registered schemes. The Inquiry does hold data about the numbers who made successful applications to the Skipton Fund. It is public on our website, but if there is any assistance that can be given in that respect in terms of providing that information, please do let the Inquiry know. Can I then just turn to perhaps look at the kind of figures we are talking about, in very rough terms, and try to put them in some kind of context. Billions sounds obviously a lot of money and a lot more money than the total of the interim payments made last summer. A number of Core Participants in relation to this particular point have drawn to my attention a range of other spending commitments such as, I think,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	people who are on the registered schemes. The Inquiry does hold data about the numbers who made successful applications to the Skipton Fund. It is public on our website, but if there is any assistance that can be given in that respect in terms of providing that information, please do let the Inquiry know. Can I then just turn to perhaps look at the kind of figures we are talking about, in very rough terms, and try to put them in some kind of context. Billions sounds obviously a lot of money and a lot more money than the total of the interim payments made last summer. A number of Core Participants in relation to this particular point have drawn to my attention a range of other spending commitments such as, I think, the 14.9 billion write-off by the Department of Health
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	people who are on the registered schemes. The Inquiry does hold data about the numbers who made successful applications to the Skipton Fund. It is public on our website, but if there is any assistance that can be given in that respect in terms of providing that information, please do let the Inquiry know. Can I then just turn to perhaps look at the kind of figures we are talking about, in very rough terms, and try to put them in some kind of context. Billions sounds obviously a lot of money and a lot more money than the total of the interim payments made last summer. A number of Core Participants in relation to this particular point have drawn to my attention a range of other spending commitments such as, I think, the 14.9 billion write-off by the Department of Health and Social Care in relation to Covid and PPE items.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	people who are on the registered schemes. The Inquiry does hold data about the numbers who made successful applications to the Skipton Fund. It is public on our website, but if there is any assistance that can be given in that respect in terms of providing that information, please do let the Inquiry know. Can I then just turn to perhaps look at the kind of figures we are talking about, in very rough terms, and try to put them in some kind of context. Billions sounds obviously a lot of money and a lot more money than the total of the interim payments made last summer. A number of Core Participants in relation to this particular point have drawn to my attention a range of other spending commitments such as, I think, the 14.9 billion write-off by the Department of Health and Social Care in relation to Covid and PPE items. But I want to perhaps give a slightly more directly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	people who are on the registered schemes. The Inquiry does hold data about the numbers who made successful applications to the Skipton Fund. It is public on our website, but if there is any assistance that can be given in that respect in terms of providing that information, please do let the Inquiry know. Can I then just turn to perhaps look at the kind of figures we are talking about, in very rough terms, and try to put them in some kind of context. Billions sounds obviously a lot of money and a lot more money than the total of the interim payments made last summer. A number of Core Participants in relation to this particular point have drawn to my attention a range of other spending commitments such as, I think, the 14.9 billion write-off by the Department of Health and Social Care in relation to Covid and PPE items. But I want to perhaps give a slightly more directly relevant context in terms of clinical harm. So could we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	 people who are on the registered schemes. The Inquiry does hold data about the numbers who made successful applications to the Skipton Fund. It is public on our website, but if there is any assistance that can be given in that respect in terms of providing that information, please do let the Inquiry know. Can I then just turn to perhaps look at the kind of figures we are talking about, in very rough terms, and try to put them in some kind of context. Billions sounds obviously a lot of money and a lot more money than the total of the interim payments made last summer. A number of Core Participants in relation to this particular point have drawn to my attention a range of other spending commitments such as, I think, the 14.9 billion write-off by the Department of Health and Social Care in relation to Covid and PPE items. But I want to perhaps give a slightly more directly relevant context in terms of clinical harm. So could we look, please, at RLIT0002162, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	people who are on the registered schemes. The Inquiry does hold data about the numbers who made successful applications to the Skipton Fund. It is public on our website, but if there is any assistance that can be given in that respect in terms of providing that information, please do let the Inquiry know. Can I then just turn to perhaps look at the kind of figures we are talking about, in very rough terms, and try to put them in some kind of context. Billions sounds obviously a lot of money and a lot more money than the total of the interim payments made last summer. A number of Core Participants in relation to this particular point have drawn to my attention a range of other spending commitments such as, I think, the 14.9 billion write-off by the Department of Health and Social Care in relation to Covid and PPE items. But I want to perhaps give a slightly more directly relevant context in terms of clinical harm. So could we look, please, at RLIT0002162, please. This is the annual report and accounts for 2021/2022
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	people who are on the registered schemes. The Inquiry does hold data about the numbers who made successful applications to the Skipton Fund. It is public on our website, but if there is any assistance that can be given in that respect in terms of providing that information, please do let the Inquiry know. Can I then just turn to perhaps look at the kind of figures we are talking about, in very rough terms, and try to put them in some kind of context. Billions sounds obviously a lot of money and a lot more money than the total of the interim payments made last summer. A number of Core Participants in relation to this particular point have drawn to my attention a range of other spending commitments such as, I think, the 14.9 billion write-off by the Department of Health and Social Care in relation to Covid and PPE items. But I want to perhaps give a slightly more directly relevant context in terms of clinical harm. So could we look, please, at RLIT0002162, please. This is the annual report and accounts for 2021/2022 of NHS Resolution. Are you able to help us in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		people who are on the registered schemes. The Inquiry does hold data about the numbers who made successful applications to the Skipton Fund. It is public on our website, but if there is any assistance that can be given in that respect in terms of providing that information, please do let the Inquiry know. Can I then just turn to perhaps look at the kind of figures we are talking about, in very rough terms, and try to put them in some kind of context. Billions sounds obviously a lot of money and a lot more money than the total of the interim payments made last summer. A number of Core Participants in relation to this particular point have drawn to my attention a range of other spending commitments such as, I think, the 14.9 billion write-off by the Department of Health and Social Care in relation to Covid and PPE items. But I want to perhaps give a slightly more directly relevant context in terms of clinical harm. So could we look, please, at RLIT0002162, please. This is the annual report and accounts for 2021/2022 of NHS Resolution. Are you able to help us in understanding the role of NHS Resolution?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A.	people who are on the registered schemes. The Inquiry does hold data about the numbers who made successful applications to the Skipton Fund. It is public on our website, but if there is any assistance that can be given in that respect in terms of providing that information, please do let the Inquiry know. Can I then just turn to perhaps look at the kind of figures we are talking about, in very rough terms, and try to put them in some kind of context. Billions sounds obviously a lot of money and a lot more money than the total of the interim payments made last summer. A number of Core Participants in relation to this particular point have drawn to my attention a range of other spending commitments such as, I think, the 14.9 billion write-off by the Department of Health and Social Care in relation to Covid and PPE items. But I want to perhaps give a slightly more directly relevant context in terms of clinical harm. So could we look, please, at RLIT0002162, please. This is the annual report and accounts for 2021/2022 of NHS Resolution. Are you able to help us in

1		responsible for compensating people who have been harmed
2		by the NHS in its day-to-day functions. Somebody who
3		has had an accident in surgery applies for compensation,
4		NHS Resolution is the body that's responsible for that.
5	Q.	And if we just look I think we only need to look at
6		one passage for the broader points.
7		If we could go, please, to page 5, Lawrence. This
8		is the Chair's welcome in the report, and if we pick it
9		up it is the left-hand side of the page, please,
10		Lawrence, so if we could zoom into that left-hand side.
11		Thank you. Then it is the right-hand column of what's
12		on the screen, Mr Hunt. I'm going to pick it up in the
13		third paragraph down:
14		"Irrespective of all the above achievements, the
15		cost to the public purse responding to clinical
16		negligence continued to rise over the last year with
17		damages payments under secondary care clinical schemes
18		increasing by 10.3% to £1.775 billion and claimant and
19		NHS legal costs also rising The biggest single
20		influence on our provision remains the long-term
21		discount rates set by HM Treasury The 2021 discount
22		rate reductions have increased the forward provision by
23		£42.6 billion to £128.6 billion. This is an accounting
24		provision that will further change with future rate
25		changes."
		29
1		is so high is because we don't learn enough from
2		mistakes. I think that's one of the very important
3		purposes of this Inquiry, to make sure that we learn for
4		the future.
5		I mean, if you look at, for example, maternity, we
6		spend which is the bulk of I think it says,
7		actually in the post

7 actually, in the next --

8 **Q.** It's about 50%.

9 A. It says 62% of hospital clinical claims, about 50% in
10 total, and we spend more on maternity claims than the
11 entire cost of every obstetrician and maternity nurse in
12 the NHS every year. So it is an appalling waste that we

do this, and we need to be much better at learning from
mistakes.

15 But, yes, I'm afraid it is a case that -- I have to 16 say, this is not just an NHS issue; this is the case in 17 health care systems around the world, that they have 18 these sums of money, which we would not have to spend if 19 we were better at learning from mistakes and had more 20 open and transparent systems. 21 Q. Just to be clear, having had the pleasure of having read 22

your book, Mr Hunt, for last year's evidence session,the reason you use phrases such as "criminally

- 24 wasteful", or whatever the precise phrase was, is not
- 25 because you are saying people who were harmed didn't

31

1		Then this:
2		"Another important figure to focus on is the
3		provision for CNST"
4		Now, as I understand it, that is clinical negligence
5		in relation to hospital trusts, as opposed to negligence
6		in relation to primary care:
7		" for claims arising from incidents in 2021/22,
8		which is £13.3 billion, a figure we have referred to
9		previously as the 'annual cost of harm'. Removing the
10		effect of the HM Treasury discount rate change
11		translates this figure to $\pounds 8.7$ billion which is
12		comparable to previous years, while remaining a very
13		significant sum."
14		The purpose of referring to this, Mr Hunt, is just
15		to get some kind of sense that when we are talking about
16		billions of pounds in the context of a potential
17		compensation scheme, it is right to understand, isn't
18		it, that in terms of clinical harm through the NHS, that
19		is measured in billions of pounds every year?
20	Α.	Yes. I think I can tell you the amount that was
21		actually paid, from memory, was £2.7 billion or
22		£2.8 billion last year. So there is a difference
23		between liability and how much is actually paid, and
24		I think it is around £2.5 billion. Personally, I think
25		that is criminally high, and I think the reason why it
		30
1		deserve to be compensated; it's because the whole focus
2		of your book was to try and stop the harm occurring in
3		the first place and thereby saving the cost of having to
4		compensate people.
5	Α.	Absolutely right, yes. No, of course, if someone has
6		been harmed, they deserve compensation. That goes
7		without saying. But they would by the way, their
8		incentive, in my experience, is never about money. They
9		want to stop these injustices happening again. What
10		they would prefer a thousand times over is that that
11		harm hadn't happened in the first place.
12	Q.	I won't take time going into it, but your book gives
13		other figures about NHS-related costs in terms of those
14		who acquire hospital infections, such as MRSA, the
15		estimate being it costs the NHS a staggering £1 billion
16		per annum.

The purpose of flagging those figures up, Mr Hunt,
is really just to say this and invite your comment on
it: although the figures that have been talked about, at
least in the media, are expressed in billions, in the

- 21 context of the provision of compensation to people who
- 22 had been harmed over decades through their NHS
- 23 treatment, would you agree that it helps to perhaps put
- 24 that in context when we look at the kinds of amounts we
- 25 talk about each year for those harmed by the NHS? 32

(8) Pages 29 - 32

1	Α.	Well, I do accept that, you know, we waste billions	1		that fits with the decision-making, in general terms, as
2		every year because of clinical harm in the NHS. It is	2		a matter of principle, that falls to the Government in
3		important to say that there is a slight difference,	3		relation to the recommendations of the Second Interim
4		because the argument I think around 10% of the costs	4		Report.
5		of modern health care systems are putting right clinical	5		You told us last year, as we have already
6		mistakes. So that's a huge amount of money you could	6		established, that the Government refused to hold
7		save if you didn't make those mistakes in the first	7		an inquiry for years because of the fear of having to
8		place, and that certainly is billions.	8		pay compensation, and you told us also it was undeniable
9		A second element of this is the £2.5 billion we pay	9		that the Inquiry should have happened decades earlier
10		every year as compensation to right a wrong. In this	10		and you talked about that being a big failing of state,
11		case, I think it is more about the latter. It is about	11		a lack of openness, and other ministers have given
12		righting a wrong. It is about dealing with a terrible	12		evidence to similar effect.
13		injustice that has gone on for a very long time.	13		Had there been an inquiry decades earlier when you
14	Q.	If we could just go back to your witness statement	14		suggested there should have been but for the
15		WITN3499034, and back to paragraph 11.	15		Government's unwillingness to be landed with a bill for
16		We read out paragraph 11 previously and I said	16		compensation, had successive Governments not delayed,
17		I would come back to that last phrase:	17		then the question of compensation, the decision on
18		" whilst recognising the wider economic and	18		compensation wouldn't fall to be considered at a time of
19		fiscal context."	19		financial restraint.
20		I just want to, if I may, unpick a little with you,	20		The proposition I want to put to you in general
21		some considerations that might feed into that. Of	21		terms is, that's a problem of the Government's own
22		course, as Chancellor, the economic and fiscal contexts	22		making I mean by that successive Governments and
23		no doubt lies at the heart of what you do day in day	23		that should not be a reason to either refuse to
24		out.	24		compensate or water down the compensation that might be
25		I just want to explore with you questions of how 33	25		payable because that would be penalising the victims for 34
1		the Government's own delays.	1		that was out of our hands, it was decided by
2	Α.	I think the way I would phrase it is this. The first	2		Vladimir Putin. So that is what Government is. You
3		decision you have to make is: do you believe justice	3		have to make decisions that come across your desk to the
4		should be done? In this case, do you believe that	4		best of your ability.
5		compensation should be paid?	5		It is a very uncomfortable thing for me to say but
6		And the Government has decided it should.	6		I can't ignore the economic and fiscal context because,
7		Then, if you like, the problem goes to the	7		in the end, you know, the country only has the money
8		Chancellor but we haven't got to this stage yet,	8		that it has. So I can't ignore it. But I think
9 10		because the small ministerial group has not made its	9		everyone here should take some comfort from the fact
10		final recommendations and it is still going through what	10		that the Government has decided there is a moral case
11 12		Sir Robert said and what the Second Interim Report said and then the Chancellor has to then make	11 12		for compensation and justice should be done and that is
12		a judgment about what is affordable in the current	12		a very big change, but we now have to work through the fact that, you are right, this is a much more
14			13		challenging time to find the sorts of sums of money that
14		context and how to do justice in the context of the economic and fiscal situation.	14 15		this could potentially involve.
16		You are right that it might be the case that it		0	Perhaps I leave it again as an invitation, Chancellor,
17		would have been easier if well, it certainly would	10	α.	when those decisions do fall to you, to ensure that that
18		have been easier and it certainly would have been more	18		proposition, as a point of general principle, is part
19		right if this had been resolved 20 years ago,	10		and parcel of the decision-making process.
20		unquestionably, and it is a terrible scandal that it	20		Would it also be fair to say that had Government
20		wasn't. But life doesn't go in straight lines and, you	20 21		acted again, I'm talking about successive Governments
21		know, this has happened now and, you know, nor were we	21		or Government in general rather than one Government, but
23		expecting at this time to have to fund the defence of	22		had Government acted sooner it might well have cost the
24		Ukraine with, you know, £2.3 billion this year and	20		Government substantially less than it may do now?
25		£2.3 billion last year, but, you know, that's the timing	25	Α.	Well, it wouldn't just have cost less, it would have
		35			36

1	been more just and it would have meant	1		Sir Robert Francis compensation report, so that we could
2 Q .	Of course.	2		start to get our heads around the potential routes as
	that many hundreds if not thousands of people would	3		far as compensation is concerned, and not have
4	have died knowing justice had been done, even despite	4		a situation where, when the final report is published,
5	the incredible agony that they and their families have	5		there was then a very long period where the Government
6	been through. So I think that goes without saying.	6		worked through different compensation options. That's
7 Q .	You have told us, I think, or given us your reflections	7		why we are doing all this work contemporaneously to you
8	on the pace at which the Government is currently	8		finishing off your final Inquiry recommendations.
9	working. Can I then just ask you a couple of general	9	Q.	You say in your statement I don't think it is
10	questions in relation to that question of impact of	10		necessary to put it up on screen, but I will just read
11	delay.	11		it you say:
12	Does the Government acknowledge in its	12		" it is imperative that the government acts
13	decision-making processes that the delay in taking	13		quickly to give the infected and affected the certainty
14	a decision the delay in implementing any compensation	14		they need over this issue, and I am confident that the
15	framework inevitably compounds the suffering of those	15		government is doing so."
16	infected and affected, and thus compounds the injustice	16		You have obviously told us in your oral evidence
17	they have already suffered?	17		this afternoon a little more than we knew previously in
18 A .	This has been going on for far too long and, you know,	18		terms of the magnitude of meetings and so on.
19	justice delayed is justice denied, and that's why we	19		Is there anything else you can tell those listening
20	have a responsibility to work as fast as we possibly can	20		that supports your confidence that the Government is
21	to resolve this, given that people are dying every week,	21		now, at least leave aside previous periods of time
22	and so we need to make this decision it is a very	22		acting quickly?
23	challenging decision, I don't pretend otherwise, but we	23		Because you will understand, Mr Hunt, 2017, when the
24	need to resolve this as quickly as we possibly can, and	24		decision was taken to set up a public inquiry, we had
25	that's why Penny Mordaunt commissioned the	25		Mr Burnham calling for compensation now; 2019, we have
	37			38
1	Dame Diana Johnson saying, "Let's not wait until the end	1		nothing to do with the way the Inquiry was run, and that
2	of the Inquiry, let's compensate now"; we have	2		kind of showed me just how much suspicion there was of
3	Ms Mordaunt in 2020 saying, "Action is long overdue,	3		the Department which I was responsible for. So
4	I cannot stress the urgency of action enough", which	4		I understand there is suspicion.
5	think	5		But what I would say is: if the Civil Service had
		5		been given a steer by ministers that, "We don't want to
		6		
7 0	You had Jeremy Hunt saying it in 2022, you know, so	6		
	Yes. We will come onto that, don't worry.	7		do this, we want to kick this into the long grass, we
8 A .	Yes. We will come onto that, don't worry. But I think the way I would put it, if I may,	7 8		do this, we want to kick this into the long grass, we want to find a way of burying this into the far distant
8 A . 9	Yes. We will come onto that, don't worry. But I think the way I would put it, if I may, Ms Richards, is that I because I happen to have had	7 8 9		do this, we want to kick this into the long grass, we want to find a way of burying this into the far distant future", that is an awful lot of meetings to have and
8 A . 9	Yes. We will come onto that, don't worry. But I think the way I would put it, if I may, Ms Richards, is that I because I happen to have had a lot of experience of this issue, being the person who	7 8 9 10		do this, we want to kick this into the long grass, we want to find a way of burying this into the far distant future", that is an awful lot of meetings to have and an awful lot of policy papers to put together.
8 A . 9 10 11	Yes. We will come onto that, don't worry. But I think the way I would put it, if I may, Ms Richards, is that I because I happen to have had a lot of experience of this issue, being the person who persuaded the then Prime Minister, Theresa May, that it	7 8 9 10 11		do this, we want to kick this into the long grass, we want to find a way of burying this into the far distant future", that is an awful lot of meetings to have and an awful lot of policy papers to put together. I appreciate that, from the outside, it feels like the
8 A . 9 10 11 12	Yes. We will come onto that, don't worry. But I think the way I would put it, if I may, Ms Richards, is that I because I happen to have had a lot of experience of this issue, being the person who persuaded the then Prime Minister, Theresa May, that it was the right thing to hold this Inquiry, and having had	7 8 9 10 11 12		do this, we want to kick this into the long grass, we want to find a way of burying this into the far distant future", that is an awful lot of meetings to have and an awful lot of policy papers to put together. I appreciate that, from the outside, it feels like the Government is working painfully slowly, and I appreciate
8 A . 9 10 11 12 13	Yes. We will come onto that, don't worry. But I think the way I would put it, if I may, Ms Richards, is that I because I happen to have had a lot of experience of this issue, being the person who persuaded the then Prime Minister, Theresa May, that it was the right thing to hold this Inquiry, and having had long experience of it, and having met remarkable people	7 8 9 10 11 12 13		do this, we want to kick this into the long grass, we want to find a way of burying this into the far distant future", that is an awful lot of meetings to have and an awful lot of policy papers to put together. I appreciate that, from the outside, it feels like the Government is working painfully slowly, and I appreciate the moral urgency given that people are dying, but I am
8 A . 9 10 11 12 13 14	Yes. We will come onto that, don't worry. But I think the way I would put it, if I may, Ms Richards, is that I because I happen to have had a lot of experience of this issue, being the person who persuaded the then Prime Minister, Theresa May, that it was the right thing to hold this Inquiry, and having had long experience of it, and having met remarkable people like Mike Dorricott, my own constituent, who sadly	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		do this, we want to kick this into the long grass, we want to find a way of burying this into the far distant future", that is an awful lot of meetings to have and an awful lot of policy papers to put together. I appreciate that, from the outside, it feels like the Government is working painfully slowly, and I appreciate the moral urgency given that people are dying, but I am satisfied, as Chancellor, that the Government is working
 8 A. 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 	Yes. We will come onto that, don't worry. But I think the way I would put it, if I may, Ms Richards, is that I because I happen to have had a lot of experience of this issue, being the person who persuaded the then Prime Minister, Theresa May, that it was the right thing to hold this Inquiry, and having had long experience of it, and having met remarkable people like Mike Dorricott, my own constituent, who sadly passed away. So I happen to have a lot of personal	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		do this, we want to kick this into the long grass, we want to find a way of burying this into the far distant future", that is an awful lot of meetings to have and an awful lot of policy papers to put together. I appreciate that, from the outside, it feels like the Government is working painfully slowly, and I appreciate the moral urgency given that people are dying, but I am satisfied, as Chancellor, that the Government is working very fast to try and resolve this as quickly as
 8 A. 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 	Yes. We will come onto that, don't worry. But I think the way I would put it, if I may, Ms Richards, is that I because I happen to have had a lot of experience of this issue, being the person who persuaded the then Prime Minister, Theresa May, that it was the right thing to hold this Inquiry, and having had long experience of it, and having met remarkable people like Mike Dorricott, my own constituent, who sadly passed away. So I happen to have a lot of personal interaction with people who have been affected by this	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		do this, we want to kick this into the long grass, we want to find a way of burying this into the far distant future", that is an awful lot of meetings to have and an awful lot of policy papers to put together. I appreciate that, from the outside, it feels like the Government is working painfully slowly, and I appreciate the moral urgency given that people are dying, but I am satisfied, as Chancellor, that the Government is working very fast to try and resolve this as quickly as possible.
 8 A. 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 	Yes. We will come onto that, don't worry. But I think the way I would put it, if I may, Ms Richards, is that I because I happen to have had a lot of experience of this issue, being the person who persuaded the then Prime Minister, Theresa May, that it was the right thing to hold this Inquiry, and having had long experience of it, and having met remarkable people like Mike Dorricott, my own constituent, who sadly passed away. So I happen to have a lot of personal interaction with people who have been affected by this scandal, and so I do understand why there is a very,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	do this, we want to kick this into the long grass, we want to find a way of burying this into the far distant future", that is an awful lot of meetings to have and an awful lot of policy papers to put together. I appreciate that, from the outside, it feels like the Government is working painfully slowly, and I appreciate the moral urgency given that people are dying, but I am satisfied, as Chancellor, that the Government is working very fast to try and resolve this as quickly as possible. Can I move to a related but slightly different point,
 8 A. 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 	Yes. We will come onto that, don't worry. But I think the way I would put it, if I may, Ms Richards, is that I because I happen to have had a lot of experience of this issue, being the person who persuaded the then Prime Minister, Theresa May, that it was the right thing to hold this Inquiry, and having had long experience of it, and having met remarkable people like Mike Dorricott, my own constituent, who sadly passed away. So I happen to have a lot of personal interaction with people who have been affected by this scandal, and so I do understand why there is a very, very high degree of suspicion.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	do this, we want to kick this into the long grass, we want to find a way of burying this into the far distant future", that is an awful lot of meetings to have and an awful lot of policy papers to put together. I appreciate that, from the outside, it feels like the Government is working painfully slowly, and I appreciate the moral urgency given that people are dying, but I am satisfied, as Chancellor, that the Government is working very fast to try and resolve this as quickly as possible. Can I move to a related but slightly different point, and pick it up with you by reference to a statement made
 8 A. 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	Yes. We will come onto that, don't worry. But I think the way I would put it, if I may, Ms Richards, is that I because I happen to have had a lot of experience of this issue, being the person who persuaded the then Prime Minister, Theresa May, that it was the right thing to hold this Inquiry, and having had long experience of it, and having met remarkable people like Mike Dorricott, my own constituent, who sadly passed away. So I happen to have a lot of personal interaction with people who have been affected by this scandal, and so I do understand why there is a very, very high degree of suspicion. I was when I originally persuaded Theresa May	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	do this, we want to kick this into the long grass, we want to find a way of burying this into the far distant future", that is an awful lot of meetings to have and an awful lot of policy papers to put together. I appreciate that, from the outside, it feels like the Government is working painfully slowly, and I appreciate the moral urgency given that people are dying, but I am satisfied, as Chancellor, that the Government is working very fast to try and resolve this as quickly as possible. Can I move to a related but slightly different point, and pick it up with you by reference to a statement made by Mr Quin in December 2022 to the House.
 8 A. 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	Yes. We will come onto that, don't worry. But I think the way I would put it, if I may, Ms Richards, is that I because I happen to have had a lot of experience of this issue, being the person who persuaded the then Prime Minister, Theresa May, that it was the right thing to hold this Inquiry, and having had long experience of it, and having met remarkable people like Mike Dorricott, my own constituent, who sadly passed away. So I happen to have a lot of personal interaction with people who have been affected by this scandal, and so I do understand why there is a very, very high degree of suspicion. I was when I originally persuaded Theresa May that we should I have to say without difficulty.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	do this, we want to kick this into the long grass, we want to find a way of burying this into the far distant future", that is an awful lot of meetings to have and an awful lot of policy papers to put together. I appreciate that, from the outside, it feels like the Government is working painfully slowly, and I appreciate the moral urgency given that people are dying, but I am satisfied, as Chancellor, that the Government is working very fast to try and resolve this as quickly as possible. Can I move to a related but slightly different point, and pick it up with you by reference to a statement made by Mr Quin in December 2022 to the House. COLL0000022, please, Lawrence, and if we go to
 A. 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	Yes. We will come onto that, don't worry. But I think the way I would put it, if I may, Ms Richards, is that I because I happen to have had a lot of experience of this issue, being the person who persuaded the then Prime Minister, Theresa May, that it was the right thing to hold this Inquiry, and having had long experience of it, and having met remarkable people like Mike Dorricott, my own constituent, who sadly passed away. So I happen to have a lot of personal interaction with people who have been affected by this scandal, and so I do understand why there is a very, very high degree of suspicion. I was when I originally persuaded Theresa May that we should I have to say without difficulty. I think Theresa May has a very strong sense of social	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	do this, we want to kick this into the long grass, we want to find a way of burying this into the far distant future", that is an awful lot of meetings to have and an awful lot of policy papers to put together. I appreciate that, from the outside, it feels like the Government is working painfully slowly, and I appreciate the moral urgency given that people are dying, but I am satisfied, as Chancellor, that the Government is working very fast to try and resolve this as quickly as possible. Can I move to a related but slightly different point, and pick it up with you by reference to a statement made by Mr Quin in December 2022 to the House. COLL0000022, please, Lawrence, and if we go to page 4, please.
 8 A. 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	Yes. We will come onto that, don't worry. But I think the way I would put it, if I may, Ms Richards, is that I because I happen to have had a lot of experience of this issue, being the person who persuaded the then Prime Minister, Theresa May, that it was the right thing to hold this Inquiry, and having had long experience of it, and having met remarkable people like Mike Dorricott, my own constituent, who sadly passed away. So I happen to have a lot of personal interaction with people who have been affected by this scandal, and so I do understand why there is a very, very high degree of suspicion. I was when I originally persuaded Theresa May that we should I have to say without difficulty. I think Theresa May has a very strong sense of social justice. But when I made the recommendation that we	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	do this, we want to kick this into the long grass, we want to find a way of burying this into the far distant future", that is an awful lot of meetings to have and an awful lot of policy papers to put together. I appreciate that, from the outside, it feels like the Government is working painfully slowly, and I appreciate the moral urgency given that people are dying, but I am satisfied, as Chancellor, that the Government is working very fast to try and resolve this as quickly as possible. Can I move to a related but slightly different point, and pick it up with you by reference to a statement made by Mr Quin in December 2022 to the House. COLL0000022, please, Lawrence, and if we go to page 4, please. Just for context, this is 15 December, and it is the
 8 A. 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	Yes. We will come onto that, don't worry. But I think the way I would put it, if I may, Ms Richards, is that I because I happen to have had a lot of experience of this issue, being the person who persuaded the then Prime Minister, Theresa May, that it was the right thing to hold this Inquiry, and having had long experience of it, and having met remarkable people like Mike Dorricott, my own constituent, who sadly passed away. So I happen to have a lot of personal interaction with people who have been affected by this scandal, and so I do understand why there is a very, very high degree of suspicion. I was when I originally persuaded Theresa May that we should I have to say without difficulty. I think Theresa May has a very strong sense of social justice. But when I made the recommendation that we should have the Inquiry, I was Health Secretary, and	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	do this, we want to kick this into the long grass, we want to find a way of burying this into the far distant future", that is an awful lot of meetings to have and an awful lot of policy papers to put together. I appreciate that, from the outside, it feels like the Government is working painfully slowly, and I appreciate the moral urgency given that people are dying, but I am satisfied, as Chancellor, that the Government is working very fast to try and resolve this as quickly as possible. Can I move to a related but slightly different point, and pick it up with you by reference to a statement made by Mr Quin in December 2022 to the House. COLL0000022, please, Lawrence, and if we go to page 4, please. Just for context, this is 15 December, and it is the date upon which Mr Quin made the statement in which he
 8 A. 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	Yes. We will come onto that, don't worry. But I think the way I would put it, if I may, Ms Richards, is that I because I happen to have had a lot of experience of this issue, being the person who persuaded the then Prime Minister, Theresa May, that it was the right thing to hold this Inquiry, and having had long experience of it, and having met remarkable people like Mike Dorricott, my own constituent, who sadly passed away. So I happen to have a lot of personal interaction with people who have been affected by this scandal, and so I do understand why there is a very, very high degree of suspicion. I was when I originally persuaded Theresa May that we should I have to say without difficulty. I think Theresa May has a very strong sense of social justice. But when I made the recommendation that we	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	do this, we want to kick this into the long grass, we want to find a way of burying this into the far distant future", that is an awful lot of meetings to have and an awful lot of policy papers to put together. I appreciate that, from the outside, it feels like the Government is working painfully slowly, and I appreciate the moral urgency given that people are dying, but I am satisfied, as Chancellor, that the Government is working very fast to try and resolve this as quickly as possible. Can I move to a related but slightly different point, and pick it up with you by reference to a statement made by Mr Quin in December 2022 to the House. COLL0000022, please, Lawrence, and if we go to page 4, please. Just for context, this is 15 December, and it is the

(10) Pages 37 - 40

1	If we pick it up, it is the fifth paragraph
2	beginning, "I cannot commit to a timetable", and then he
3	refers to there being a complex series of steps to be
4	taken.
5	Then he says this:
6	"But I assure hon. Members that it is my intention
7	to update the House as we make progress. We must do so
8	in order to ensure that those infected and affected are
9	fully apprised of the progress we are making."
10	Now, you have referred to the suspicion and lack of
11	trust, and you will appreciate, Mr Hunt, that the less
12	people know about what's happening, the greater that
13	suspicion and lack of trust, which in itself is
14	damaging, given the psychological harm over decades.
15	Mr Quin has made further statements to the House,
16	but they don't contain details of progress or concrete
17	information about progress, still less anything that
18	could amount to keeping people fully apprised.
19	Now, there might be two explanations for that. One
20	is that the progress has not yet been made. The other
21	might be that it has been made but the Government is
22	choosing to play its cards close to its chest still.
23	Does the Government understand how important it is
24	to try and give some information, when it can, to those
25	infected and affected, such that even if there are still
	41
1	Now, I think we are in that situation now, where we
2	are going through the analysis and we are trying to
3	understand the issues. But what I would say to people
4	who are nervous that not enough progress has been made
5	is that we have had an interim payment. That is a big
6	sea change. We have had an acceptance by the Government
7	that compensation will be paid. So that is another very
8	big change. And we have had the Second Interim Report,
9	and I hope we are not too far away from the final report
10	as well.
11	So I think this issue is making progress towards the

12

So I think this issue is making progress towards the conclusion.

When Ms Mordaunt announced the commissioning of what 13 Q. 14 became the Sir Robert Francis Compensation Framework 15 Study, what she talked about was having advice on 16 a potential compensation framework design and solutions 17 which can be ready to implement upon the conclusion of 18 the Inquiry.

19 Now, "upon the conclusion of the Inquiry" suggests 20 a close temporal relationship between the conclusion of 21 the Inquiry and the implementation of the scheme, so not 22 the Inquiry concludes and months go by. Is that still the aspiration of the Government in general terms? 23 24 Again, I'm not asking you to commit to particular dates,

25 but that it would be upon the conclusion of the Inquiry

1		matters to be worked out the form of an arm's length
2		body, the need for primary legislation, whatever it
3		might be some decisions in principle could at least
4		potentially be communicated, even if lots of details and
5		matters of practice still remain to be worked out?
6	Α.	I completely accept the importance of communicating as
7		much as possible to families who have suffered for very
8		many years on this. This decision is you know, we
9		have had Sir Robert Francis' recommendations, we have
10		had the Second Interim Report, we are now in a situation
11		where we are doing the very detailed analysis of what
12		the likely cost might be of implementing those
13		recommendations and then understanding the
14		constitutional, the fiscal, the economic implications of
15		all of those. And we have this system in this country
16		where we don't give a running description of that
17		decision-making process because we do need to allow
18		ministers the chance to have frank discussions in
19		private so that that is actually it will sound to
20		people listening like secrecy but it is important to
21		have to give people the chance to say what they
22		really believe in Government meetings without having to
23		think: how is this going to play if it appears in
24		a newspaper tomorrow morning? You genuinely do get
25		better decisions that way.

42

1		as opposed to months afterwards? Not least because, as
2		you will appreciate given your decades of political
3		experience, general election potentially next year,
4		purdah, could lead to an extraordinary length of delay?
5	Α.	I completely understand why that's a very, very
6		important question for you to ask on behalf of all the
7		families, but because we haven't made a decision on the
8		compensation and we are in the process of trying to
9		understand that and we all want to make a decision as
10		soon as possible, I can't give you a sense as to the
11		timescales.
12		What I can say is the fact that we commissioned
13		or that Penny Mordaunt commissioned Sir Robert Francis
14		to do his report is because we did not precisely
15		because we did not want what you fear on behalf of the
16		families the most, which is that there would be
17		unnecessary delays in a third stage. So get the
18		Inquiry, then, you know, a very long time before the
19		compensation is announced. The fact that we are doing
20		this work now is because we want to be in a position to
21		resolve this issue as soon as we possibly can upon the
22		conclusion of the Inquiry.
23	Q.	Now, that leads to the next topic I wanted to explore
24		with you, which is the question of waiting for the
25		conclusion of the Inquiry before making a decision,
		44

1		which is what your statement tells us is the position.
2		It is what the statements of the other ministers who
3		have given evidence this week tells us is the position.
4		I'm going to ask you the same question I asked other
5		ministers this week in the hope of clarification.
6		l understand you tell us, Mr Hunt, that work is
7		still ongoing, so you can't yet announce something that
8		you haven't decided. But the coupling of the
9		decision-making process with the conclusion of the
10		Inquiry, in terms of the production of the Inquiry's
11		find report, what is it that the Government thinks it is
12		going to learn from the final report that's so essential
13		to its decision-making that it has to wait when it has
14		already got Sir Robert Francis', the First and Second
15		Interim Reports, its own work and so on, and it has
16		already accepted the moral case for compensation?
17	Α.	Well, it was always believed that we would make this
18		decision after the Inquiry's final recommendations were
19		made. When I, back in 2017, argued inside Government
20		that we should do the Inquiry, I didn't imagine any
21		other route than we would allow the Inquiry to make its
22		final recommendations.
23		When you are deciding what to do this is not my
24		money that is being given out, it is taxpayers' money,
25		it is the money of every single person in this room and
		45
	-	
1	Q.	That wasn't the approach the Government took to the
2	Q.	That wasn't the approach the Government took to the First Interim Report. We heard, obviously, from the
2 3	Q.	That wasn't the approach the Government took to the First Interim Report. We heard, obviously, from the Prime Minister on Wednesday an explanation to the effect
2 3 4	Q.	That wasn't the approach the Government took to the First Interim Report. We heard, obviously, from the Prime Minister on Wednesday an explanation to the effect that it was the practice and precedent to await the
2 3 4 5	Q.	That wasn't the approach the Government took to the First Interim Report. We heard, obviously, from the Prime Minister on Wednesday an explanation to the effect that it was the practice and precedent to await the final outcome of an inquiry report so its
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	That wasn't the approach the Government took to the First Interim Report. We heard, obviously, from the Prime Minister on Wednesday an explanation to the effect that it was the practice and precedent to await the final outcome of an inquiry report so its recommendations could be considered in context. But the
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	That wasn't the approach the Government took to the First Interim Report. We heard, obviously, from the Prime Minister on Wednesday an explanation to the effect that it was the practice and precedent to await the final outcome of an inquiry report so its recommendations could be considered in context. But the Government didn't feel it necessary to do that in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	That wasn't the approach the Government took to the First Interim Report. We heard, obviously, from the Prime Minister on Wednesday an explanation to the effect that it was the practice and precedent to await the final outcome of an inquiry report so its recommendations could be considered in context. But the Government didn't feel it necessary to do that in response to the First Interim Report. And, again, a lot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	That wasn't the approach the Government took to the First Interim Report. We heard, obviously, from the Prime Minister on Wednesday an explanation to the effect that it was the practice and precedent to await the final outcome of an inquiry report so its recommendations could be considered in context. But the Government didn't feel it necessary to do that in response to the First Interim Report. And, again, a lot of people struggled to understand the difference of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	That wasn't the approach the Government took to the First Interim Report. We heard, obviously, from the Prime Minister on Wednesday an explanation to the effect that it was the practice and precedent to await the final outcome of an inquiry report so its recommendations could be considered in context. But the Government didn't feel it necessary to do that in response to the First Interim Report. And, again, a lot of people struggled to understand the difference of approach. Can you assist any further in relation to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		That wasn't the approach the Government took to the First Interim Report. We heard, obviously, from the Prime Minister on Wednesday an explanation to the effect that it was the practice and precedent to await the final outcome of an inquiry report so its recommendations could be considered in context. But the Government didn't feel it necessary to do that in response to the First Interim Report. And, again, a lot of people struggled to understand the difference of approach. Can you assist any further in relation to that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	That wasn't the approach the Government took to the First Interim Report. We heard, obviously, from the Prime Minister on Wednesday an explanation to the effect that it was the practice and precedent to await the final outcome of an inquiry report so its recommendations could be considered in context. But the Government didn't feel it necessary to do that in response to the First Interim Report. And, again, a lot of people struggled to understand the difference of approach. Can you assist any further in relation to that? Well, I think the fact that I mean, I wasn't in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		That wasn't the approach the Government took to the First Interim Report. We heard, obviously, from the Prime Minister on Wednesday an explanation to the effect that it was the practice and precedent to await the final outcome of an inquiry report so its recommendations could be considered in context. But the Government didn't feel it necessary to do that in response to the First Interim Report. And, again, a lot of people struggled to understand the difference of approach. Can you assist any further in relation to that? Well, I think the fact that I mean, I wasn't in the Government at the time that that decision was made, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13		That wasn't the approach the Government took to the First Interim Report. We heard, obviously, from the Prime Minister on Wednesday an explanation to the effect that it was the practice and precedent to await the final outcome of an inquiry report so its recommendations could be considered in context. But the Government didn't feel it necessary to do that in response to the First Interim Report. And, again, a lot of people struggled to understand the difference of approach. Can you assist any further in relation to that? Well, I think the fact that I mean, I wasn't in the Government at the time that that decision was made, but I think the fact that the Government recognised the need
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		That wasn't the approach the Government took to the First Interim Report. We heard, obviously, from the Prime Minister on Wednesday an explanation to the effect that it was the practice and precedent to await the final outcome of an inquiry report so its recommendations could be considered in context. But the Government didn't feel it necessary to do that in response to the First Interim Report. And, again, a lot of people struggled to understand the difference of approach. Can you assist any further in relation to that? Well, I think the fact that I mean, I wasn't in the Government at the time that that decision was made, but I think the fact that the Government recognised the need to make interim payments is an indication that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		That wasn't the approach the Government took to the First Interim Report. We heard, obviously, from the Prime Minister on Wednesday an explanation to the effect that it was the practice and precedent to await the final outcome of an inquiry report so its recommendations could be considered in context. But the Government didn't feel it necessary to do that in response to the First Interim Report. And, again, a lot of people struggled to understand the difference of approach. Can you assist any further in relation to that? Well, I think the fact that I mean, I wasn't in the Government at the time that that decision was made, but I think the fact that the Government recognised the need to make interim payments is an indication that the Inquiry has had a big effect on the Government's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		That wasn't the approach the Government took to the First Interim Report. We heard, obviously, from the Prime Minister on Wednesday an explanation to the effect that it was the practice and precedent to await the final outcome of an inquiry report so its recommendations could be considered in context. But the Government didn't feel it necessary to do that in response to the First Interim Report. And, again, a lot of people struggled to understand the difference of approach. Can you assist any further in relation to that? Well, I think the fact that I mean, I wasn't in the Government at the time that that decision was made, but I think the fact that the Government recognised the need to make interim payments is an indication that the Inquiry has had a big effect on the Government's thinking. I think when the Inquiry was originally
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		That wasn't the approach the Government took to the First Interim Report. We heard, obviously, from the Prime Minister on Wednesday an explanation to the effect that it was the practice and precedent to await the final outcome of an inquiry report so its recommendations could be considered in context. But the Government didn't feel it necessary to do that in response to the First Interim Report. And, again, a lot of people struggled to understand the difference of approach. Can you assist any further in relation to that? Well, I think the fact that I mean, I wasn't in the Government at the time that that decision was made, but I think the fact that the Government recognised the need to make interim payments is an indication that the Inquiry has had a big effect on the Government's thinking. I think when the Inquiry was originally commissioned I don't recall any discussion of interim
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		That wasn't the approach the Government took to the First Interim Report. We heard, obviously, from the Prime Minister on Wednesday an explanation to the effect that it was the practice and precedent to await the final outcome of an inquiry report so its recommendations could be considered in context. But the Government didn't feel it necessary to do that in response to the First Interim Report. And, again, a lot of people struggled to understand the difference of approach. Can you assist any further in relation to that? Well, I think the fact that I mean, I wasn't in the Government at the time that that decision was made, but I think the fact that the Government recognised the need to make interim payments is an indication that the Inquiry has had a big effect on the Government's thinking. I think when the Inquiry was originally commissioned I don't recall any discussion of interim payments, and the fact that Government did accept the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		That wasn't the approach the Government took to the First Interim Report. We heard, obviously, from the Prime Minister on Wednesday an explanation to the effect that it was the practice and precedent to await the final outcome of an inquiry report so its recommendations could be considered in context. But the Government didn't feel it necessary to do that in response to the First Interim Report. And, again, a lot of people struggled to understand the difference of approach. Can you assist any further in relation to that? Well, I think the fact that I mean, I wasn't in the Government at the time that that decision was made, but I think the fact that the Government recognised the need to make interim payments is an indication that the Inquiry has had a big effect on the Government's thinking. I think when the Inquiry was originally commissioned I don't recall any discussion of interim payments, and the fact that showed that its thinking had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		That wasn't the approach the Government took to the First Interim Report. We heard, obviously, from the Prime Minister on Wednesday an explanation to the effect that it was the practice and precedent to await the final outcome of an inquiry report so its recommendations could be considered in context. But the Government didn't feel it necessary to do that in response to the First Interim Report. And, again, a lot of people struggled to understand the difference of approach. Can you assist any further in relation to that? Well, I think the fact that I mean, I wasn't in the Government at the time that that decision was made, but I think the fact that the Government recognised the need to make interim payments is an indication that the Inquiry has had a big effect on the Government's thinking. I think when the Inquiry was originally commissioned I don't recall any discussion of interim payments, and the fact that Government did accept the

- 23 But I think it is also not just reasonable but right,
- 24 given the sums that are involved, which are potentially
- 25 very large, that we should see the full context before

- 1 the whole country outside this room, and because the 2 sums are potentially very large, I think it is right 3 that the decision is made with the full context of 4 understanding not just how much, but why these things 5 happened, and I think that we will make a better 6 decision as a result of that. 7 But what would not be acceptable would be for that 8 moment to be another hiatus where there was another very long period of time. I think the fact that ahead of the 9 10 final conclusions of the Inquiry that we paid 11 compensation -- we paid interim compensation and we have 12 accepted the case for compensation and we are doing the 13 work now to understand what the final amounts should be, 14 indicates that we want to make the process as quick as 15 we possibly can. 16 But I think you will say some very important things 17 in that Inquiry and I know that you are not going to say any more on the levels of compensation you think are 18 19 necessary but I think you are going to help us to 20 understand a lot about the context, and I do believe 21 that it is responsible and right to the taxpayers, who 22 are funding this, for Government ministers to see the 23 full context of the horrific scandal that this was, 24 before we make the final decision as to how the 25 compensation will work.
 - 46

1	we make our final decision.
2	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I think, to be fair, as I understood
3	the question it may not have been entirely clear
4	I think counsel was asking you not so much about the
5	final compensation scheme, package, whatever it is, but
6	about further interim payments to classes of people who
7	might merit in my view, they do merit, but I say
8	"might" because it is a matter for precision further
9	interim payments.
10	So it is the parallel situation, if you like, just
11	for different people, recognising that it may be some
12	time before the system, whatever system is set up,
13	provides the compensation which represents a full and
14	fair and just settlement. That, I think, is what
15	counsel is asking.
16	Am I right?
17	MS RICHARDS: In fact, I was asking the wider question which
18	Mr Hunt understood. I was going to come onto the
19	narrower question next.
20	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I do beg your pardon.
21	I misunderstood. But I have asked your question for
22	you, have I?
23	MS RICHARDS: You have.
24	I'm going to come back to interim payments, but
25	before I do, the Chair has asked a question, Mr Hunt. 48

1	Α.	I think the straightforward answer to that question is	1
2	А.	that we haven't made a decision on those wider groups.	2
3		That is an intrinsic part of the discussions that we are	3
4		having in terms of the whole package, and we just need	4
5		to make a lot more progress.	5
6	Q.		6
7	-	the final report, I have suggested to earlier witnesses	7
8		this week two interpretations that could be placed upon	8
9		that and invited their comment. I'm going to suggest	9
10		the same to you, but a third has been flagged up to me	10
11		by others, for which I'm grateful, which I'm going to	11
12		explore too.	12
13		So some are concerned perhaps many are	13
14		concerned that the reason you are waiting when	14
15		I say "you", I mean the Government, obviously for the	15
16		final report is because the Government didn't start the	16
17		intensive work until really this year; it's the	17
18		Government's own delay that effectively gets masked by	18
19		waiting for the final report.	19
20		The other concern is that by waiting for the final	20
21		report, the Inquiry, at the point it ceases its work, no	21
22		longer has any powers, and the Government could ignore	22
23		the Inquiry's recommendations with impunity, in terms of	23
24		any powers that the Inquiry might have.	24
25		Do you have any observations on either or both of	25
		49	
1		four or five months after that, this Government accepted	1
2		the moral case for compensation.	2
3		I know you will disagree with this, Sir Brian, but	3
4		permit me to say it. I think the Government did take	4
5		a reasonable position when it said that it wanted to	-
			5
6		know what you thought about Sir Robert's recommendations	6
7		before it could progress, and so because if there was	6 7
7 8		before it could progress, and so because if there was going to be any difference between what you said and	6 7 8
7 8 9		before it could progress, and so because if there was going to be any difference between what you said and Sir Robert said, then that would have a bearing on our	6 7 8 9
7 8 9 10		before it could progress, and so because if there was going to be any difference between what you said and Sir Robert said, then that would have a bearing on our decision. So I think it was necessary to have your	6 7 8 9 10
7 8 9 10 11		before it could progress, and so because if there was going to be any difference between what you said and Sir Robert said, then that would have a bearing on our decision. So I think it was necessary to have your Second Interim Report, which was extremely helpful, and	6 7 8 9 10 11
7 8 9 10 11 12		before it could progress, and so because if there was going to be any difference between what you said and Sir Robert said, then that would have a bearing on our decision. So I think it was necessary to have your Second Interim Report, which was extremely helpful, and then I think you see, since that moment, this really	6 7 8 9 10 11
7 8 9 10 11 12 13		before it could progress, and so because if there was going to be any difference between what you said and Sir Robert said, then that would have a bearing on our decision. So I think it was necessary to have your Second Interim Report, which was extremely helpful, and then I think you see, since that moment, this really quite dramatic increase in the amount of work going on	6 7 9 10 11 12 13
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		before it could progress, and so because if there was going to be any difference between what you said and Sir Robert said, then that would have a bearing on our decision. So I think it was necessary to have your Second Interim Report, which was extremely helpful, and then I think you see, since that moment, this really quite dramatic increase in the amount of work going on across Whitehall.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		before it could progress, and so because if there was going to be any difference between what you said and Sir Robert said, then that would have a bearing on our decision. So I think it was necessary to have your Second Interim Report, which was extremely helpful, and then I think you see, since that moment, this really quite dramatic increase in the amount of work going on across Whitehall. All Governments have a very big share of the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		before it could progress, and so because if there was going to be any difference between what you said and Sir Robert said, then that would have a bearing on our decision. So I think it was necessary to have your Second Interim Report, which was extremely helpful, and then I think you see, since that moment, this really quite dramatic increase in the amount of work going on across Whitehall. All Governments have a very big share of the responsibility of the fact that this has taken half	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		before it could progress, and so because if there was going to be any difference between what you said and Sir Robert said, then that would have a bearing on our decision. So I think it was necessary to have your Second Interim Report, which was extremely helpful, and then I think you see, since that moment, this really quite dramatic increase in the amount of work going on across Whitehall. All Governments have a very big share of the responsibility of the fact that this has taken half a century to resolve that injustice but I think these	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	0.	before it could progress, and so because if there was going to be any difference between what you said and Sir Robert said, then that would have a bearing on our decision. So I think it was necessary to have your Second Interim Report, which was extremely helpful, and then I think you see, since that moment, this really quite dramatic increase in the amount of work going on across Whitehall. All Governments have a very big share of the responsibility of the fact that this has taken half a century to resolve that injustice but I think these things are now moving very fast in Whitehall terms.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	before it could progress, and so because if there was going to be any difference between what you said and Sir Robert said, then that would have a bearing on our decision. So I think it was necessary to have your Second Interim Report, which was extremely helpful, and then I think you see, since that moment, this really quite dramatic increase in the amount of work going on across Whitehall. All Governments have a very big share of the responsibility of the fact that this has taken half a century to resolve that injustice but I think these things are now moving very fast in Whitehall terms. The third concern that's been expressed to me by	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	before it could progress, and so because if there was going to be any difference between what you said and Sir Robert said, then that would have a bearing on our decision. So I think it was necessary to have your Second Interim Report, which was extremely helpful, and then I think you see, since that moment, this really quite dramatic increase in the amount of work going on across Whitehall. All Governments have a very big share of the responsibility of the fact that this has taken half a century to resolve that injustice but I think these things are now moving very fast in Whitehall terms. The third concern that's been expressed to me by a number of individuals, and therefore I'm articulating	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	before it could progress, and so because if there was going to be any difference between what you said and Sir Robert said, then that would have a bearing on our decision. So I think it was necessary to have your Second Interim Report, which was extremely helpful, and then I think you see, since that moment, this really quite dramatic increase in the amount of work going on across Whitehall. All Governments have a very big share of the responsibility of the fact that this has taken half a century to resolve that injustice but I think these things are now moving very fast in Whitehall terms. The third concern that's been expressed to me by a number of individuals, and therefore I'm articulating it to you, to invite you to comment, you may say it is	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	before it could progress, and so because if there was going to be any difference between what you said and Sir Robert said, then that would have a bearing on our decision. So I think it was necessary to have your Second Interim Report, which was extremely helpful, and then I think you see, since that moment, this really quite dramatic increase in the amount of work going on across Whitehall. All Governments have a very big share of the responsibility of the fact that this has taken half a century to resolve that injustice but I think these things are now moving very fast in Whitehall terms. The third concern that's been expressed to me by a number of individuals, and therefore I'm articulating	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	before it could progress, and so because if there was going to be any difference between what you said and Sir Robert said, then that would have a bearing on our decision. So I think it was necessary to have your Second Interim Report, which was extremely helpful, and then I think you see, since that moment, this really quite dramatic increase in the amount of work going on across Whitehall. All Governments have a very big share of the responsibility of the fact that this has taken half a century to resolve that injustice but I think these things are now moving very fast in Whitehall terms. The third concern that's been expressed to me by a number of individuals, and therefore I'm articulating it to you, to invite you to comment, you may say it is unfair and wrong, but it gives you then that opportunity	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	before it could progress, and so because if there was going to be any difference between what you said and Sir Robert said, then that would have a bearing on our decision. So I think it was necessary to have your Second Interim Report, which was extremely helpful, and then I think you see, since that moment, this really quite dramatic increase in the amount of work going on across Whitehall. All Governments have a very big share of the responsibility of the fact that this has taken half a century to resolve that injustice but I think these things are now moving very fast in Whitehall terms. The third concern that's been expressed to me by a number of individuals, and therefore I'm articulating it to you, to invite you to comment, you may say it is unfair and wrong, but it gives you then that opportunity to do so.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

those matters?

1		those matters?
2	Α.	I don't really recognise that as being fair. I will
3		say I need to say at the outset, you know, I don't
4		think, over the decades to date, that the people who
5		have been infected or affected have been treated fairly.
6		So this is not I'm not in any way trying to absolve
7		the state of its responsibility for a terrible scandal.
8		But I think that if you look at what the Government
9		could reasonably have done in the circumstances and
10		I wasn't in the Government until the end of last year,
11		but Sir Robert Francis' report came in June. The
12		decision was made, I think, in August to pay
13	Q.	Sir Robert Francis' report was delivered to the
	Q.	
14		Government in the middle of March last year
15	Α.	Okay, but it was
16	Q.	with a promise that the Government sorry, I won't
17		use the word "promise" with the Government saying it
18		would produce a response before he gave evidence, and
19		never has.
20	Α.	Okay. But I think about six months after that report,
21		the Government announced that they would make interim
22		payments.
23	Q.	Yes.
24	Α.	And that is a very, very big change in the posture that
25		governments over very many years have taken. And then
		50
1		announcement until after the final report is that such
1 2		announcement until after the final report is that such an announcement would be designed to take the sting out
2		an announcement would be designed to take the sting out
2 3		an announcement would be designed to take the sting out of the publicity, the press reporting that might come
2 3 4		an announcement would be designed to take the sting out of the publicity, the press reporting that might come from a highly critical final report and turn it into
2 3 4 5		an announcement would be designed to take the sting out of the publicity, the press reporting that might come from a highly critical final report and turn it into a good news story.
2 3 4 5 6		an announcement would be designed to take the sting out of the publicity, the press reporting that might come from a highly critical final report and turn it into a good news story. If that were the case, I am sure you would accept it
2 3 4 5 6 7		an announcement would be designed to take the sting out of the publicity, the press reporting that might come from a highly critical final report and turn it into a good news story. If that were the case, I am sure you would accept it would be unacceptable to place the reputation of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		an announcement would be designed to take the sting out of the publicity, the press reporting that might come from a highly critical final report and turn it into a good news story. If that were the case, I am sure you would accept it would be unacceptable to place the reputation of the Government over the needs and interests of those infected and affected. You may tell me that that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		an announcement would be designed to take the sting out of the publicity, the press reporting that might come from a highly critical final report and turn it into a good news story. If that were the case, I am sure you would accept it would be unacceptable to place the reputation of the Government over the needs and interests of those infected and affected. You may tell me that that is you may be able to give an assurance that that's not the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A	an announcement would be designed to take the sting out of the publicity, the press reporting that might come from a highly critical final report and turn it into a good news story. If that were the case, I am sure you would accept it would be unacceptable to place the reputation of the Government over the needs and interests of those infected and affected. You may tell me that that is you may be able to give an assurance that that's not the Government's thinking?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A.	an announcement would be designed to take the sting out of the publicity, the press reporting that might come from a highly critical final report and turn it into a good news story. If that were the case, I am sure you would accept it would be unacceptable to place the reputation of the Government over the needs and interests of those infected and affected. You may tell me that that is you may be able to give an assurance that that's not the Government's thinking? Can I just say this very plainly. There is no good news
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A.	an announcement would be designed to take the sting out of the publicity, the press reporting that might come from a highly critical final report and turn it into a good news story. If that were the case, I am sure you would accept it would be unacceptable to place the reputation of the Government over the needs and interests of those infected and affected. You may tell me that that is you may be able to give an assurance that that's not the Government's thinking? Can I just say this very plainly. There is no good news story in this scandal. None whatsoever. It is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A.	an announcement would be designed to take the sting out of the publicity, the press reporting that might come from a highly critical final report and turn it into a good news story. If that were the case, I am sure you would accept it would be unacceptable to place the reputation of the Government over the needs and interests of those infected and affected. You may tell me that that is you may be able to give an assurance that that's not the Government's thinking? Can I just say this very plainly. There is no good news story in this scandal. None whatsoever. It is a terrible, terrible scandal. So that is absolutely not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		an announcement would be designed to take the sting out of the publicity, the press reporting that might come from a highly critical final report and turn it into a good news story. If that were the case, I am sure you would accept it would be unacceptable to place the reputation of the Government over the needs and interests of those infected and affected. You may tell me that that is you may be able to give an assurance that that's not the Government's thinking? Can I just say this very plainly. There is no good news story in this scandal. None whatsoever. It is a terrible, terrible scandal. So that is absolutely not our thought.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q.	 an announcement would be designed to take the sting out of the publicity, the press reporting that might come from a highly critical final report and turn it into a good news story. If that were the case, I am sure you would accept it would be unacceptable to place the reputation of the Government over the needs and interests of those infected and affected. You may tell me that that is you may be able to give an assurance that that's not the Government's thinking? Can I just say this very plainly. There is no good news story in this scandal. None whatsoever. It is a terrible, terrible scandal. So that is absolutely not our thought. Can I then come back to the question of interim
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		 an announcement would be designed to take the sting out of the publicity, the press reporting that might come from a highly critical final report and turn it into a good news story. If that were the case, I am sure you would accept it would be unacceptable to place the reputation of the Government over the needs and interests of those infected and affected. You may tell me that that is you may be able to give an assurance that that's not the Government's thinking? Can I just say this very plainly. There is no good news story in this scandal. None whatsoever. It is a terrible, terrible scandal. So that is absolutely not our thought. Can I then come back to the question of interim payments.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		 an announcement would be designed to take the sting out of the publicity, the press reporting that might come from a highly critical final report and turn it into a good news story. If that were the case, I am sure you would accept it would be unacceptable to place the reputation of the Government over the needs and interests of those infected and affected. You may tell me that that is you may be able to give an assurance that that's not the Government's thinking? Can I just say this very plainly. There is no good news story in this scandal. None whatsoever. It is a terrible, terrible scandal. So that is absolutely not our thought. Can I then come back to the question of interim payments. As you know, the Second Interim Report has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		 an announcement would be designed to take the sting out of the publicity, the press reporting that might come from a highly critical final report and turn it into a good news story. If that were the case, I am sure you would accept it would be unacceptable to place the reputation of the Government over the needs and interests of those infected and affected. You may tell me that that is you may be able to give an assurance that that's not the Government's thinking? Can I just say this very plainly. There is no good news story in this scandal. None whatsoever. It is a terrible, terrible scandal. So that is absolutely not our thought. Can I then come back to the question of interim payments. As you know, the Second Interim Report has recommended interim payments for cohorts of individuals
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		 an announcement would be designed to take the sting out of the publicity, the press reporting that might come from a highly critical final report and turn it into a good news story. If that were the case, I am sure you would accept it would be unacceptable to place the reputation of the Government over the needs and interests of those infected and affected. You may tell me that that is you may be able to give an assurance that that's not the Government's thinking? Can I just say this very plainly. There is no good news story in this scandal. None whatsoever. It is a terrible, terrible scandal. So that is absolutely not our thought. Can I then come back to the question of interim payments. As you know, the Second Interim Report has recommended interim payments for cohorts of individuals who have never previously received any compensation nor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		 an announcement would be designed to take the sting out of the publicity, the press reporting that might come from a highly critical final report and turn it into a good news story. If that were the case, I am sure you would accept it would be unacceptable to place the reputation of the Government over the needs and interests of those infected and affected. You may tell me that that is you may be able to give an assurance that that's not the Government's thinking? Can I just say this very plainly. There is no good news story in this scandal. None whatsoever. It is a terrible, terrible scandal. So that is absolutely not our thought. Can I then come back to the question of interim payments. As you know, the Second Interim Report has recommended interim payments for cohorts of individuals
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		 an announcement would be designed to take the sting out of the publicity, the press reporting that might come from a highly critical final report and turn it into a good news story. If that were the case, I am sure you would accept it would be unacceptable to place the reputation of the Government over the needs and interests of those infected and affected. You may tell me that that is you may be able to give an assurance that that's not the Government's thinking? Can I just say this very plainly. There is no good news story in this scandal. None whatsoever. It is a terrible, terrible scandal. So that is absolutely not our thought. Can I then come back to the question of interim payments. As you know, the Second Interim Report has recommended interim payments for cohorts of individuals who have never previously received any compensation nor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		 an announcement would be designed to take the sting out of the publicity, the press reporting that might come from a highly critical final report and turn it into a good news story. If that were the case, I am sure you would accept it would be unacceptable to place the reputation of the Government over the needs and interests of those infected and affected. You may tell me that that is you may be able to give an assurance that that's not the Government's thinking? Can I just say this very plainly. There is no good news story in this scandal. None whatsoever. It is a terrible, terrible scandal. So that is absolutely not our thought. Can I then come back to the question of interim payments. As you know, the Second Interim Report has recommended interim payments for cohorts of individuals who have never previously received any compensation nor financial support from the support schemes, and in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		 an announcement would be designed to take the sting out of the publicity, the press reporting that might come from a highly critical final report and turn it into a good news story. If that were the case, I am sure you would accept it would be unacceptable to place the reputation of the Government over the needs and interests of those infected and affected. You may tell me that that is you may be able to give an assurance that that's not the Government's thinking? Can I just say this very plainly. There is no good news story in this scandal. None whatsoever. It is a terrible, terrible scandal. So that is absolutely not our thought. Can I then come back to the question of interim payments. As you know, the Second Interim Report has recommended interim payments for cohorts of individuals who have never previously received any compensation nor financial support from the support schemes, and in particular I have in mind, as I am sure you understand,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		 an announcement would be designed to take the sting out of the publicity, the press reporting that might come from a highly critical final report and turn it into a good news story. If that were the case, I am sure you would accept it would be unacceptable to place the reputation of the Government over the needs and interests of those infected and affected. You may tell me that that is you may be able to give an assurance that that's not the Government's thinking? Can I just say this very plainly. There is no good news story in this scandal. None whatsoever. It is a terrible, terrible scandal. So that is absolutely not our thought. Can I then come back to the question of interim payments. As you know, the Second Interim Report has recommended interim payments for cohorts of individuals who have never previously received any compensation nor financial support from the support schemes, and in particular I have in mind, as I am sure you understand, parents whose children died and children whose parents

52

1		suffered such unspeakable losses.	1
2		Can we put up on screen, and you knew I was going to	2
3		ask you this, I am sure, Mr Hunt, the letter you wrote	3
4		last summer when not in Government.	2
5		It is WITN3499035. This is the joint letter that	Ę
6		you wrote with Andy Burnham and Matt Hancock.	6
7		You say this, 3 August, addressed to the	7
8		Prime Minister:	8
9		"Dear Prime Minister,	ę
10		"We are writing to urge you to authorise the making	1
11		of interim payments to all victims and all bereaved	1
12		partners of victims of the infected blood scandal with	1
13		immediate effect. As recommended by the Infected Blood	1
14		Inquiry Chair, Sir Brian Langstaff, on 29th July those	1
15		payments should be worth at least £100,000 per award.	1
16		"As Health Secretaries with a combined period in	1
17		office of 10 years we passionately believe that this is	1
18		the vital next step towards justice for those who have	1
19		suffered dreadfully over the decades as a result of this	1
20		scandal. The victims and their families deserve nothing	2
21		other than the complete and immediate acceptance of	2
22		Sir Brian's recommendation. To refuse to do so would	2
23		simply continue the injustice thus far handed out by the	2
24		state to a group of innocent victims condemned to years	2
25		of suffering and neglect.	2
		53	
1		same sense of urgency applicable to those who have	1
2		received nothing, no support even from the financial	2
3		assistance schemes, why is that urgency not applicable	3
4		to them and to the specific recommendation in the Second	2
5		Interim Report for interim payments to be made?	5
6	Α.	Well, I think we do need to go as fast as we possibly	6
7		can with those other groups of people. We have now got	ī
8		both Sir Robert's recommendation and the Second Interim	8
9		Report, but I don't I know this will disappoint	ç
10		people listening, but there are some very big	1
11 12		recommendations, not just in terms of the amount of	1
12		money involved, but, for example, the idea that the	1
13		amount should be decided by an independent arm's length	1
14		body without any reference to ministers. We have to	1
		think through how the accountability of that would work.	1
16		I'm not aware of that structure ever having happened	
17 18		before, but this is obviously taxpayer's money and these	1
		are potentially very, very large sums of money.	
19 20		So the need to see justice for families who are	1
20		affected, bereaved mothers and fathers and people who	2
21 22		lost their own mother and father, is very much something	2
22 23		that we recognise is important to do. But we have to do	2
		a lot of work to understand both the potential	
24		amounts it is harder to calculate the potential	2

amounts because those people aren't part of the schemes, $$55\ensuremath{\mathsf{55}}$

25

1		"Any delay to such payments, for instance by arguing
2		that we need to wait for the inquiry to finish, for
3		a new Prime Minister, or for Parliament to return, will
4		sadly almost certainly see more of the victims die
5		before they see justice. Already more than 400 people
6		have died since the inquiry started. With some
7		estimating that one infected person is dying every
8		four days waiting until even the end of the year when
9 10		the inquiry hearings are concluded would mean another 40
10 11		people would die. That number would likely be above 100
12		if the government waits until the inquiry has reported in full. This is simply unacceptable and will cause yet
13		more harm to a group of exceptionally vulnerable people.
14		"You have the opportunity to leave a lasting and
15		positive legacy and start to put right a terrible
16		injustice that others before you have failed to do. We
17		urge you to grasp that opportunity before it is too late
18		for yet more victims and their families."
19		I think you published that with a tweet that read:
20		"Contaminated blood victims need a decision from
21		@BorisJohnson to authorise immediate payouts.
22		Postponing this will see more victims die without
23		justice. A letter from three former Health
24		Secretaries."
25		The question therefore, Mr Hunt, is: why isn't that
		54
1		the AHOs, in the way that the living infected and the
2		deceased infected are. So there is complexity.
2 3		deceased infected are. So there is complexity. But what I would say just to sort of reassure people
2 3 4		deceased infected are. So there is complexity. But what I would say just to sort of reassure people who are worried this is being kicked into the long grass
2 3 4 5		deceased infected are. So there is complexity. But what I would say just to sort of reassure people who are worried this is being kicked into the long grass by the Government is that this is very much part of our
2 3 4 5 6		deceased infected are. So there is complexity. But what I would say just to sort of reassure people who are worried this is being kicked into the long grass by the Government is that this is very much part of our discussions, this is you made some very clear
2 3 4 5 6 7		deceased infected are. So there is complexity. But what I would say just to sort of reassure people who are worried this is being kicked into the long grass by the Government is that this is very much part of our discussions, this is you made some very clear recommendations, Chair, and we are going through every
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		deceased infected are. So there is complexity. But what I would say just to sort of reassure people who are worried this is being kicked into the long grass by the Government is that this is very much part of our discussions, this is you made some very clear recommendations, Chair, and we are going through every single one of those recommendations, trying to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		deceased infected are. So there is complexity. But what I would say just to sort of reassure people who are worried this is being kicked into the long grass by the Government is that this is very much part of our discussions, this is you made some very clear recommendations, Chair, and we are going through every single one of those recommendations, trying to understand the potential cost to the taxpayer and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	0	deceased infected are. So there is complexity. But what I would say just to sort of reassure people who are worried this is being kicked into the long grass by the Government is that this is very much part of our discussions, this is you made some very clear recommendations, Chair, and we are going through every single one of those recommendations, trying to understand the potential cost to the taxpayer and the best mechanism to make sure that justice is done.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	deceased infected are. So there is complexity. But what I would say just to sort of reassure people who are worried this is being kicked into the long grass by the Government is that this is very much part of our discussions, this is you made some very clear recommendations, Chair, and we are going through every single one of those recommendations, trying to understand the potential cost to the taxpayer and the best mechanism to make sure that justice is done. One practical step that I think was certainly raised in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	deceased infected are. So there is complexity. But what I would say just to sort of reassure people who are worried this is being kicked into the long grass by the Government is that this is very much part of our discussions, this is you made some very clear recommendations, Chair, and we are going through every single one of those recommendations, trying to understand the potential cost to the taxpayer and the best mechanism to make sure that justice is done. One practical step that I think was certainly raised in the house by Dame Diana Johnson, and I think has been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	deceased infected are. So there is complexity. But what I would say just to sort of reassure people who are worried this is being kicked into the long grass by the Government is that this is very much part of our discussions, this is you made some very clear recommendations, Chair, and we are going through every single one of those recommendations, trying to understand the potential cost to the taxpayer and the best mechanism to make sure that justice is done. One practical step that I think was certainly raised in the house by Dame Diana Johnson, and I think has been raised in correspondence from campaign groups with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	deceased infected are. So there is complexity. But what I would say just to sort of reassure people who are worried this is being kicked into the long grass by the Government is that this is very much part of our discussions, this is you made some very clear recommendations, Chair, and we are going through every single one of those recommendations, trying to understand the potential cost to the taxpayer and the best mechanism to make sure that justice is done. One practical step that I think was certainly raised in the house by Dame Diana Johnson, and I think has been raised in correspondence from campaign groups with Government, would be the possibility of starting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	deceased infected are. So there is complexity. But what I would say just to sort of reassure people who are worried this is being kicked into the long grass by the Government is that this is very much part of our discussions, this is you made some very clear recommendations, Chair, and we are going through every single one of those recommendations, trying to understand the potential cost to the taxpayer and the best mechanism to make sure that justice is done. One practical step that I think was certainly raised in the house by Dame Diana Johnson, and I think has been raised in correspondence from campaign groups with Government, would be the possibility of starting a registration process for those who would fall within
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	deceased infected are. So there is complexity. But what I would say just to sort of reassure people who are worried this is being kicked into the long grass by the Government is that this is very much part of our discussions, this is you made some very clear recommendations, Chair, and we are going through every single one of those recommendations, trying to understand the potential cost to the taxpayer and the best mechanism to make sure that justice is done. One practical step that I think was certainly raised in the house by Dame Diana Johnson, and I think has been raised in correspondence from campaign groups with Government, would be the possibility of starting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	deceased infected are. So there is complexity. But what I would say just to sort of reassure people who are worried this is being kicked into the long grass by the Government is that this is very much part of our discussions, this is you made some very clear recommendations, Chair, and we are going through every single one of those recommendations, trying to understand the potential cost to the taxpayer and the best mechanism to make sure that justice is done. One practical step that I think was certainly raised in the house by Dame Diana Johnson, and I think has been raised in correspondence from campaign groups with Government, would be the possibility of starting a registration process for those who would fall within the recommendation 12 in the Second Interim Report.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	deceased infected are. So there is complexity. But what I would say just to sort of reassure people who are worried this is being kicked into the long grass by the Government is that this is very much part of our discussions, this is you made some very clear recommendations, Chair, and we are going through every single one of those recommendations, trying to understand the potential cost to the taxpayer and the best mechanism to make sure that justice is done. One practical step that I think was certainly raised in the house by Dame Diana Johnson, and I think has been raised in correspondence from campaign groups with Government, would be the possibility of starting a registration process for those who would fall within the recommendation 12 in the Second Interim Report. So as to ensure expectations are not dashed it can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	deceased infected are. So there is complexity. But what I would say just to sort of reassure people who are worried this is being kicked into the long grass by the Government is that this is very much part of our discussions, this is you made some very clear recommendations, Chair, and we are going through every single one of those recommendations, trying to understand the potential cost to the taxpayer and the best mechanism to make sure that justice is done. One practical step that I think was certainly raised in the house by Dame Diana Johnson, and I think has been raised in correspondence from campaign groups with Government, would be the possibility of starting a registration process for those who would fall within the recommendation 12 in the Second Interim Report. So as to ensure expectations are not dashed it can be clear that the registration process does not mean
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	deceased infected are. So there is complexity. But what I would say just to sort of reassure people who are worried this is being kicked into the long grass by the Government is that this is very much part of our discussions, this is you made some very clear recommendations, Chair, and we are going through every single one of those recommendations, trying to understand the potential cost to the taxpayer and the best mechanism to make sure that justice is done. One practical step that I think was certainly raised in the house by Dame Diana Johnson, and I think has been raised in correspondence from campaign groups with Government, would be the possibility of starting a registration process for those who would fall within the recommendation 12 in the Second Interim Report. So as to ensure expectations are not dashed it can be clear that the registration process does not mean a decision has been taken to make the payments, but it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	deceased infected are. So there is complexity. But what I would say just to sort of reassure people who are worried this is being kicked into the long grass by the Government is that this is very much part of our discussions, this is you made some very clear recommendations, Chair, and we are going through every single one of those recommendations, trying to understand the potential cost to the taxpayer and the best mechanism to make sure that justice is done. One practical step that I think was certainly raised in the house by Dame Diana Johnson, and I think has been raised in correspondence from campaign groups with Government, would be the possibility of starting a registration process for those who would fall within the recommendation 12 in the Second Interim Report. So as to ensure expectations are not dashed it can be clear that the registration process does not mean a decision has been taken to make the payments, but it would have two potential benefits: it would give you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	deceased infected are. So there is complexity. But what I would say just to sort of reassure people who are worried this is being kicked into the long grass by the Government is that this is very much part of our discussions, this is you made some very clear recommendations, Chair, and we are going through every single one of those recommendations, trying to understand the potential cost to the taxpayer and the best mechanism to make sure that justice is done. One practical step that I think was certainly raised in the house by Dame Diana Johnson, and I think has been raised in correspondence from campaign groups with Government, would be the possibility of starting a registration process for those who would fall within the recommendation 12 in the Second Interim Report. So as to ensure expectations are not dashed it can be clear that the registration process does not mean a decision has been taken to make the payments, but it would have two potential benefits: it would give you, the Government, a clearer idea of potentially the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	deceased infected are. So there is complexity. But what I would say just to sort of reassure people who are worried this is being kicked into the long grass by the Government is that this is very much part of our discussions, this is you made some very clear recommendations, Chair, and we are going through every single one of those recommendations, trying to understand the potential cost to the taxpayer and the best mechanism to make sure that justice is done. One practical step that I think was certainly raised in the house by Dame Diana Johnson, and I think has been raised in correspondence from campaign groups with Government, would be the possibility of starting a registration process for those who would fall within the recommendation 12 in the Second Interim Report. So as to ensure expectations are not dashed it can be clear that the registration process does not mean a decision has been taken to make the payments, but it would have two potential benefits: it would give you, the Government, a clearer idea of potentially the numbers involved; and it would mean that if the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	deceased infected are. So there is complexity. But what I would say just to sort of reassure people who are worried this is being kicked into the long grass by the Government is that this is very much part of our discussions, this is you made some very clear recommendations, Chair, and we are going through every single one of those recommendations, trying to understand the potential cost to the taxpayer and the best mechanism to make sure that justice is done. One practical step that I think was certainly raised in the house by Dame Diana Johnson, and I think has been raised in correspondence from campaign groups with Government, would be the possibility of starting a registration process for those who would fall within the recommendation 12 in the Second Interim Report. So as to ensure expectations are not dashed it can be clear that the registration process does not mean a decision has been taken to make the payments, but it would have two potential benefits: it would give you, the Government, a clearer idea of potentially the numbers involved; and it would mean that if the Government does decide to make payments to those cohort

(14) Pages 53 - 56

1

3

4

5 6

7

8 q

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1		further delay.
2		Is that something that the Government has yet
3		thought about and, if not, is it something that can at
4		least be again, I'm certainly not asking you to
5		commit to a policy, I understand you can't do that, but
6		is that something that can be thought about or inquiries
7		undertaken as to its feasibility?
8	Α.	I mean, you are very elegantly trying to guide me to
9		talk more about where our thinking is going on these.
10		What I would say is in terms of those doing
11		something like that, I mean, that would be one element
12		of a huge number of new processes that would need to be
13		set up, new organisations that would need to be set up,
14		were we to implement the recommendations of the Second
15		Interim Inquiry[sic] in full. We have to start by
16		making the full decision as to what we are going to do,
17		and then we start to make those processes set up
18		whatever processes are set up. But I think it would be
19		confusing to set up one process and not another when we
20		are making good progress in trying to make a decision in
21		its entirety, and that's our objective.
22	Q.	Mr Hunt, my final questions to you I'm going to ask
23		you to look at two documents. One is an email you wrote
24		to a constituent not in office, I hasten to add
25		and the other is a letter sent, I think, to you by
		57
		51
		51
1		waiting for a final report is not consistent with that
1 2		
		waiting for a final report is not consistent with that
2		waiting for a final report is not consistent with that prioritisation that comes across in your email here, but
2 3		waiting for a final report is not consistent with that prioritisation that comes across in your email here, but also what we have been told by other ministers this week
2 3 4		waiting for a final report is not consistent with that prioritisation that comes across in your email here, but also what we have been told by other ministers this week is the Government's priority to this issue.
2 3 4 5	А.	waiting for a final report is not consistent with that prioritisation that comes across in your email here, but also what we have been told by other ministers this week is the Government's priority to this issue. Is there anything further you feel able to say in
2 3 4 5 6	А.	waiting for a final report is not consistent with that prioritisation that comes across in your email here, but also what we have been told by other ministers this week is the Government's priority to this issue. Is there anything further you feel able to say in relation to that?
2 3 4 5 6 7	A.	waiting for a final report is not consistent with that prioritisation that comes across in your email here, but also what we have been told by other ministers this week is the Government's priority to this issue. Is there anything further you feel able to say in relation to that? To be honest, I don't read that email as being any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A.	waiting for a final report is not consistent with that prioritisation that comes across in your email here, but also what we have been told by other ministers this week is the Government's priority to this issue. Is there anything further you feel able to say in relation to that? To be honest, I don't read that email as being any different to the position that I'm saying this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A.	waiting for a final report is not consistent with that prioritisation that comes across in your email here, but also what we have been told by other ministers this week is the Government's priority to this issue. Is there anything further you feel able to say in relation to that? To be honest, I don't read that email as being any different to the position that I'm saying this afternoon. I think you could read that email and fairly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A.	waiting for a final report is not consistent with that prioritisation that comes across in your email here, but also what we have been told by other ministers this week is the Government's priority to this issue. Is there anything further you feel able to say in relation to that? To be honest, I don't read that email as being any different to the position that I'm saying this afternoon. I think you could read that email and fairly say that I did want the Inquiry to conclude its work and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Α.	waiting for a final report is not consistent with that prioritisation that comes across in your email here, but also what we have been told by other ministers this week is the Government's priority to this issue. Is there anything further you feel able to say in relation to that? To be honest, I don't read that email as being any different to the position that I'm saying this afternoon. I think you could read that email and fairly say that I did want the Inquiry to conclude its work and publish its recommendations, but I also want to act as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A.	waiting for a final report is not consistent with that prioritisation that comes across in your email here, but also what we have been told by other ministers this week is the Government's priority to this issue. Is there anything further you feel able to say in relation to that? To be honest, I don't read that email as being any different to the position that I'm saying this afternoon. I think you could read that email and fairly say that I did want the Inquiry to conclude its work and publish its recommendations, but I also want to act as quickly as possible, and I think that I can't see the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A.	waiting for a final report is not consistent with that prioritisation that comes across in your email here, but also what we have been told by other ministers this week is the Government's priority to this issue. Is there anything further you feel able to say in relation to that? To be honest, I don't read that email as being any different to the position that I'm saying this afternoon. I think you could read that email and fairly say that I did want the Inquiry to conclude its work and publish its recommendations, but I also want to act as quickly as possible, and I think that I can't see the date of that, but that email looks to me like it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13	A. Q.	 waiting for a final report is not consistent with that prioritisation that comes across in your email here, but also what we have been told by other ministers this week is the Government's priority to this issue. Is there anything further you feel able to say in relation to that? To be honest, I don't read that email as being any different to the position that I'm saying this afternoon. I think you could read that email and fairly say that I did want the Inquiry to conclude its work and publish its recommendations, but I also want to act as quickly as possible, and I think that I can't see the date of that, but that email looks to me like it was sent around the same time as I wrote the letter with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		 waiting for a final report is not consistent with that prioritisation that comes across in your email here, but also what we have been told by other ministers this week is the Government's priority to this issue. Is there anything further you feel able to say in relation to that? To be honest, I don't read that email as being any different to the position that I'm saying this afternoon. I think you could read that email and fairly say that I did want the Inquiry to conclude its work and publish its recommendations, but I also want to act as quickly as possible, and I think that I can't see the date of that, but that email looks to me like it was sent around the same time as I wrote the letter with the other two former Health Secretaries.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	 waiting for a final report is not consistent with that prioritisation that comes across in your email here, but also what we have been told by other ministers this week is the Government's priority to this issue. Is there anything further you feel able to say in relation to that? To be honest, I don't read that email as being any different to the position that I'm saying this afternoon. I think you could read that email and fairly say that I did want the Inquiry to conclude its work and publish its recommendations, but I also want to act as quickly as possible, and I think that I can't see the date of that, but that email looks to me like it was sent around the same time as I wrote the letter with the other two former Health Secretaries. No, this is 2019.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	 waiting for a final report is not consistent with that prioritisation that comes across in your email here, but also what we have been told by other ministers this week is the Government's priority to this issue. Is there anything further you feel able to say in relation to that? To be honest, I don't read that email as being any different to the position that I'm saying this afternoon. I think you could read that email and fairly say that I did want the Inquiry to conclude its work and publish its recommendations, but I also want to act as quickly as possible, and I think that I can't see the date of that, but that email looks to me like it was sent around the same time as I wrote the letter with the other two former Health Secretaries. No, this is 2019. Oh, this is 2019? Okay. So, but and it is some time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	 waiting for a final report is not consistent with that prioritisation that comes across in your email here, but also what we have been told by other ministers this week is the Government's priority to this issue. Is there anything further you feel able to say in relation to that? To be honest, I don't read that email as being any different to the position that I'm saying this afternoon. I think you could read that email and fairly say that I did want the Inquiry to conclude its work and publish its recommendations, but I also want to act as quickly as possible, and I think that I can't see the date of that, but that email looks to me like it was sent around the same time as I wrote the letter with the other two former Health Secretaries. No, this is 2019? Okay. So, but and it is some time before, but but I think, you know, I would want to do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	 waiting for a final report is not consistent with that prioritisation that comes across in your email here, but also what we have been told by other ministers this week is the Government's priority to this issue. Is there anything further you feel able to say in relation to that? To be honest, I don't read that email as being any different to the position that I'm saying this afternoon. I think you could read that email and fairly say that I did want the Inquiry to conclude its work and publish its recommendations, but I also want to act as quickly as possible, and I think that I can't see the date of that, but that email looks to me like it was sent around the same time as I wrote the letter with the other two former Health Secretaries. No, this is 2019. Oh, this is 2019? Okay. So, but and it is some time before, but but I think, you know, I would want to do something to well, as everyone knows, I did want
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	 waiting for a final report is not consistent with that prioritisation that comes across in your email here, but also what we have been told by other ministers this week is the Government's priority to this issue. Is there anything further you feel able to say in relation to that? To be honest, I don't read that email as being any different to the position that I'm saying this afternoon. I think you could read that email and fairly say that I did want the Inquiry to conclude its work and publish its recommendations, but I also want to act as quickly as possible, and I think that I can't see the date of that, but that email looks to me like it was sent around the same time as I wrote the letter with the other two former Health Secretaries. No, this is 2019? Okay. So, but and it is some time before, but but I think, you know, I would want to do something to well, as everyone knows, I did want interim payments to be paid as quickly as possible. But I think that is consistent with my view that I want to hear the Inquiry make its conclusions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	 waiting for a final report is not consistent with that prioritisation that comes across in your email here, but also what we have been told by other ministers this week is the Government's priority to this issue. Is there anything further you feel able to say in relation to that? To be honest, I don't read that email as being any different to the position that I'm saying this afternoon. I think you could read that email and fairly say that I did want the Inquiry to conclude its work and publish its recommendations, but I also want to act as quickly as possible, and I think that I can't see the date of that, but that email looks to me like it was sent around the same time as I wrote the letter with the other two former Health Secretaries. No, this is 2019? Okay. So, but and it is some time before, but but I think, you know, I would want to do something to well, as everyone knows, I did want interim payments to be paid as quickly as possible. But I think that is consistent with my view that I want to hear the Inquiry make its conclusions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A.	 waiting for a final report is not consistent with that prioritisation that comes across in your email here, but also what we have been told by other ministers this week is the Government's priority to this issue. Is there anything further you feel able to say in relation to that? To be honest, I don't read that email as being any different to the position that I'm saying this afternoon. I think you could read that email and fairly say that I did want the Inquiry to conclude its work and publish its recommendations, but I also want to act as quickly as possible, and I think that I can't see the date of that, but that email looks to me like it was sent around the same time as I wrote the letter with the other two former Health Secretaries. No, this is 2019? Okay. So, but and it is some time before, but but I think, you know, I would want to do something to well, as everyone knows, I did want interim payments to be paid as quickly as possible. But I think that is consistent with my view that I want to hear the Inquiry make its conclusions.

59

Andy Burnham yesterday. I just want to put those on the 2 screen, read them, and then ask if you have anything that you are able to say in response. The first document, please, Lawrence, is MRIC0000001. We can see this is an email dated 18 July 2019. It is to a constituent of yours, Melanie -- and I name her with her permission, I should make that clear -- and you say this in the second main paragraph: 10 "If I am lucky enough to become Prime Minister [this 11 was obviously the time of the 2019 leadership election] I will do all I can to ensure appropriate compensation 12 13 and other actions to give justice to those who suffered. 14 However I do think that we should allow the inquiry to 15 do its work, to tell us what the next steps should be 16 before we commit to them. One of the reasons I pushed 17 for a full public inquiry is because it would have the 18 power to require witnesses to give evidence, and its 19 findings would carry huge weight. I now think we need 20 to give Sir Brian Langstaff the freedom to do his work, 21 and reach his conclusions." 22 It may be said the Inquiry has, through its Second 23 Interim Report, told you what it thinks the next steps 24 should be -- obviously it is a matter for Government as 25 to whether it accepts those recommendations -- and that 58 It is dated yesterday's date and says this: "Dear Chancellor, "Last August we wrote together as former Health Secretaries to the then Prime Minister calling for interim compensation payments to be quickly made to

victims of the infected blood scandal. We wrote that letter along with The Rt Hon Matt Hancock MP because we all appreciated that a failure to act and act quickly would continue the injustice thus far handed out by the state to this group of innocent victims.

11 "What you appeared on The Today Programme to 12 discussion the letter you were clear about how important 13 it was to resolve the question of compensation. As you 14 said, 'We should do it right away. We've waited far too 15 long. Successive governments, which I was part of one, 16 didn't act as quickly as they should have, and we need 17 to recognise this as a terrible, terrible injustice'. 18 Your words are as true today as they were last year. At least another 100 victims have sadly passed away since 19 20 we wrote that letter. Like thousands of others, they 21 decide without the closure that full compensation and 22 justice can bring: 23 "You are now back in government. You have the power

24 to right the wrongs of the past. You will give evidence 25 again to the inquiry on tomorrow. When you last gave

60

(15) Pages 57 - 60

1	evidence, you talked about the shared responsibility
2	that those of us who have served in government have in
3	terms of how long it has taken to resolve the infected
4	blood scandal. Your words now as Chancellor can bring
5	either hope or despair to those whose lives have been so
6	damaged as a result of the what we know is the worst
7	treatment disaster in the history of the NHS.
8	"I implore you to take this opportunity to let the
9	infected blood community know that they still have you
10	as an ally fighting in their corner. They need to know
11	that you have earmarked funding for compensation. That
12	the present financial situation, which is not their
13	fault, will not be a barrier to them receiving what they
14	deserve. Finally, that you will use your good offices
15	as Chancellor to ensure that the compensation scheme
16	will be in place for when the Inquiry issues its full
17	report later in the year."
18	Now, Mr Hunt, a number of the themes which
19	Mr Burnham there sets out I have explored with you
20	already in your evidence and you have given your
21	response in relation to that, but is there anything
22	further you feel able to say, with all the constraints
23	you have told us about in terms of collective cabinet
24	responsibility, that would give that hope or that
25	confidence or reassurance about this not being something 61
	01
1	I do believe that the Government's view is different to
2	the many governments that have preceded this, and I do
3	believe that we are closer to justice. But we have to
4	look at all these very, very difficult decisions and go
5	through these processes, and I hope we can resolve them
6	as quickly as possible.
7	MS RICHARDS: Sir, those are the questions I have for
8	Mr Hunt. We obviously need to take a break in any event
9 10	for the stenographers, but also if we can take the break
10	now that provides Core Participants with the opportunity
11 12	to suggest any further questions that they want me to
12 13	consider asking Mr Hunt. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes.
13	
14 15	You know the process, that the Core Participants will give such questions as they have to counsel to ask
16	you when you come back, and we have to give everyone
17	a chance to have a break, a proper break.
18	So what do you think, 40 minutes?
19	MS RICHARDS: If we say 35. It is up to you, sir. It is
20	entirely up to you.
20	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: We are arguing only about five
22	minutes, so let's say 40 minutes. If it is going to be
23	any longer you will be told, and that means we will come
24	back at 4.15 pm.
25	So 4.15 pm, please.
-	63

1	kicked into the long grass, but something that is
2	utterly a priority for this Government?
3	A. Well, I believe you know what I believe, because
4	I gave evidence to this Inquiry a year ago, and
5	I believed then, as I believe today, that this is it
6	is not just a terrible injustice, but, you know,
7	politicians need more than words, they need to show with
8	their actions that they are putting into practice what
9	they believe, and I can understand that it is very
10	frustrating for people listening that I can't go into
11	details about discussions that are going on inside
12	Government. But I do believe that the actions of the
13	Government and I should also say that I don't know
14	what the decision is going to be because the decision
15	has not been made. So I have to give those provisos.
16	But I would also say that the actions of the Government
17	of which I am part to me demonstrate a seriousness of
18	intent to resolve this issue in a way that finally does
19	justice, and we are doing the work necessary to
20	understand everything that we need to understand, given
21	the potentially large sums of money involved.
22	I hope that we can avoid any unnecessary delay in
23	resolving this, and I hope that you will see that in the
24	actions the Government takes going forward, but I do
25	appreciate that this is a very frustrating process. But 62
	02
1	Now, remain where you are seated, as we discussed
2	earlier, and the Chancellor will leave and we will see
3	you again at 4.15.
4	MS RICHARDS: And the usual warnings for the witness.
5	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Of course.
6	You are giving evidence. You may not discuss the
7	substance of your evidence, anything you have been asked
8 9	or anything you think you may yet be asked, with anyone,
9 10	whoever that anyone may be. (3.35 pm)
11	(A short break)
12	(4.15 pm)
13	Questions from CORE PARTICIPANTS
14	MS RICHARDS: Mr Hunt, just a handful of further questions.
15	However, the first matter I'm just going to raise is
16	something I'm not going to ask you any particular
17	questions about now but I just want to flag it up.
18	In the bundle of documents which the Inquiry
19	provided to you there are two letters written by a team
20	within HM Treasury. We will just put them very briefly
21	on screen so that we are talking about the same thing,
22	but I'm not going to go through them.
00	The cold coccess and the cold cocces

- 23 They are COLL0000026 and then COLL0000027, Lawrence.
- 24 Mr Hunt, these are not letters that were sent by
- 25 you, and the letters to which they respond are

64

1	undoubtedly not letters that were ever seen by you. But	1		possibility that there might need to be new
2	just to give context, Mr and Mrs Turton, the parents of	2		organisations established, and then you referred to some
3	a young son who was infected with HIV and died of AIDS,	3		of the complexities involved in relation to that.
4	and Lauren Palmer is a young woman both of whose parents	4		Could we look at INQY0000453, please, Lawrence,
5	were infected with HIV and died of AIDS.	5		which is the Chair's Second Interim Report, and if we go
6	Now I have been asked to ask a number of questions	6		to page 61.
7	about the way in which these letters were written and	7		So this is part of what is recommendation 12, the
8	what might be said the somewhat soulless and	8		recommendation of £100,000 interim payment, and if we
9	dispassionate tone and language used. But having	9		pick it up in the second paragraph, it is:
0	discussed it further with their representatives, and	10		" to recognise the deaths of people to date
1	indeed with your own, it seemed a more sensible way	11		unrecognised and thereby alleviate immediate suffering."
2	forward, rather than me ask you to analyse these now, is	12		Then there's set out parents, children and siblings.
3	if a letter is sent to you via your legal	13		If we could just go to the next paragraph, you will
4	representatives setting out why it was these letters	14		see that the Inquiry's report said:
5	were seen as so hurtful, whether that could be something	15		"These interim payments should be capable of being
6	that is seen directly by you and sent directly to you.	16		made through the support schemes after registration and
7	So that's the way we are going to proceed but, for	17		of being achieved reasonably quickly. They can and
8	the benefit of I know what may be Mr and Mrs Turton and	18		should be achievable before the compensation scheme
9	Ms Palmer listening I wanted to make that clear.	19		itself is operational."
20 SI	R BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Thank you.	20		So the Inquiry's recommendation is that in relation
21 M S	S RICHARDS: If we could take those down now.	21		to these individuals, this doesn't need a new
22	So then the next issue relates to the question	22		organisation, this can be done through the existing
23	I asked you about the possibility of registering those	23		support schemes. Is that something the Government
24	who are not currently registered with the schemes, and	24		understands?
25	I think as part of your answer you pointed to the	25	Α.	Yes, and I am sorry if my previous answer wasn't clear,
				66
1	but this is one of a number of the recommendations made	1		"The experts therefore conclude that around 2,900
	but this is one of a number of the recommendations made in the Second Interim Report that we are still	1 2		
2				"The experts therefore conclude that around 2,900
1 2 3 4	in the Second Interim Report that we are still	2		"The experts therefore conclude that around 2,900 deaths during 1970-2019 are attributable to infections
2 3	in the Second Interim Report that we are still reflecting on carefully and that's why we are not in	2 3		"The experts therefore conclude that around 2,900 deaths during 1970-2019 are attributable to infections from blood or blood products in the UK, although there
2 3 4 5	in the Second Interim Report that we are still reflecting on carefully and that's why we are not in a position to make any decision or announce any decision	2 3 4		"The experts therefore conclude that around 2,900 deaths during 1970-2019 are attributable to infections from blood or blood products in the UK, although there is considerable uncertainty about this estimate."
2 3 4 5	in the Second Interim Report that we are still reflecting on carefully and that's why we are not in a position to make any decision or announce any decision today.	2 3 4 5		"The experts therefore conclude that around 2,900 deaths during 1970-2019 are attributable to infections from blood or blood products in the UK, although there is considerable uncertainty about this estimate." That is the estimated figure in relation to deaths.
2 3 4 5 6 Q .	in the Second Interim Report that we are still reflecting on carefully and that's why we are not in a position to make any decision or announce any decision today. I just wanted to be clear that the registration wouldn't	2 3 4 5 6		"The experts therefore conclude that around 2,900 deaths during 1970-2019 are attributable to infections from blood or blood products in the UK, although there is considerable uncertainty about this estimate." That is the estimated figure in relation to deaths. I think you referred to it yourself in your earlier evidence.
2 3 4 5 6 Q . 7	in the Second Interim Report that we are still reflecting on carefully and that's why we are not in a position to make any decision or announce any decision today. I just wanted to be clear that the registration wouldn't require new schemes.	2 3 4 5 6 7		"The experts therefore conclude that around 2,900 deaths during 1970-2019 are attributable to infections from blood or blood products in the UK, although there is considerable uncertainty about this estimate." That is the estimated figure in relation to deaths. I think you referred to it yourself in your earlier evidence.
2 3 4 5 6 Q . 7 8	in the Second Interim Report that we are still reflecting on carefully and that's why we are not in a position to make any decision or announce any decision today. I just wanted to be clear that the registration wouldn't require new schemes. Then if we go back to the paragraph above which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		"The experts therefore conclude that around 2,900 deaths during 1970-2019 are attributable to infections from blood or blood products in the UK, although there is considerable uncertainty about this estimate." That is the estimated figure in relation to deaths. I think you referred to it yourself in your earlier evidence. Now, there are a number of deaths that have already
2 3 4 5 6 Q . 7 8 9	in the Second Interim Report that we are still reflecting on carefully and that's why we are not in a position to make any decision or announce any decision today. I just wanted to be clear that the registration wouldn't require new schemes. Then if we go back to the paragraph above which I referred to, you will see the recommendation is not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		"The experts therefore conclude that around 2,900 deaths during 1970-2019 are attributable to infections from blood or blood products in the UK, although there is considerable uncertainty about this estimate." That is the estimated figure in relation to deaths. I think you referred to it yourself in your earlier evidence. Now, there are a number of deaths that have already been recognised by the making of an interim payment;
2 3 4 5 6 Q . 7 8 9	in the Second Interim Report that we are still reflecting on carefully and that's why we are not in a position to make any decision or announce any decision today. I just wanted to be clear that the registration wouldn't require new schemes. Then if we go back to the paragraph above which I referred to, you will see the recommendation is not an interim payment of £100,000 to every individual	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		"The experts therefore conclude that around 2,900 deaths during 1970-2019 are attributable to infections from blood or blood products in the UK, although there is considerable uncertainty about this estimate." That is the estimated figure in relation to deaths. I think you referred to it yourself in your earlier evidence. Now, there are a number of deaths that have already been recognised by the making of an interim payment; that's the interim payments that were made to bereaved
2 3 4 5 6 Q . 7 8 9 10	in the Second Interim Report that we are still reflecting on carefully and that's why we are not in a position to make any decision or announce any decision today. I just wanted to be clear that the registration wouldn't require new schemes. Then if we go back to the paragraph above which I referred to, you will see the recommendation is not an interim payment of £100,000 to every individual relative who falls within the category set out; it is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		"The experts therefore conclude that around 2,900 deaths during 1970-2019 are attributable to infections from blood or blood products in the UK, although there is considerable uncertainty about this estimate." That is the estimated figure in relation to deaths. I think you referred to it yourself in your earlier evidence. Now, there are a number of deaths that have already been recognised by the making of an interim payment; that's the interim payments that were made to bereaved partners, around 900 or so. So that would leave,
2 3 4 5 6 Q . 7 8 9 10 11	in the Second Interim Report that we are still reflecting on carefully and that's why we are not in a position to make any decision or announce any decision today. I just wanted to be clear that the registration wouldn't require new schemes. Then if we go back to the paragraph above which I referred to, you will see the recommendation is not an interim payment of £100,000 to every individual relative who falls within the category set out; it is a recommendation of a payment to recognise each death.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		"The experts therefore conclude that around 2,900 deaths during 1970-2019 are attributable to infections from blood or blood products in the UK, although there is considerable uncertainty about this estimate." That is the estimated figure in relation to deaths. I think you referred to it yourself in your earlier evidence. Now, there are a number of deaths that have already been recognised by the making of an interim payment; that's the interim payments that were made to bereaved partners, around 900 or so. So that would leave, currently, around 2,000 deaths that have been
2 3 4 5 6 Q . 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in the Second Interim Report that we are still reflecting on carefully and that's why we are not in a position to make any decision or announce any decision today. I just wanted to be clear that the registration wouldn't require new schemes. Then if we go back to the paragraph above which I referred to, you will see the recommendation is not an interim payment of £100,000 to every individual relative who falls within the category set out; it is a recommendation of a payment to recognise each death. I think you referred in your earlier evidence to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		"The experts therefore conclude that around 2,900 deaths during 1970-2019 are attributable to infections from blood or blood products in the UK, although there is considerable uncertainty about this estimate." That is the estimated figure in relation to deaths. I think you referred to it yourself in your earlier evidence. Now, there are a number of deaths that have already been recognised by the making of an interim payment; that's the interim payments that were made to bereaved partners, around 900 or so. So that would leave, currently, around 2,000 deaths that have been unrecognised to date through the making of any kind of
2 3 4 5 6 Q . 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in the Second Interim Report that we are still reflecting on carefully and that's why we are not in a position to make any decision or announce any decision today. I just wanted to be clear that the registration wouldn't require new schemes. Then if we go back to the paragraph above which I referred to, you will see the recommendation is not an interim payment of £100,000 to every individual relative who falls within the category set out; it is a recommendation of a payment to recognise each death. I think you referred in your earlier evidence to the uncertainty that there might be about the numbers who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		"The experts therefore conclude that around 2,900 deaths during 1970-2019 are attributable to infections from blood or blood products in the UK, although there is considerable uncertainty about this estimate." That is the estimated figure in relation to deaths. I think you referred to it yourself in your earlier evidence. Now, there are a number of deaths that have alread been recognised by the making of an interim payment; that's the interim payments that were made to bereaved partners, around 900 or so. So that would leave, currently, around 2,000 deaths that have been unrecognised to date through the making of any kind of compensation payment. So it may not be correct to suggest that there is no
2 3 4 5 6 Q . 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in the Second Interim Report that we are still reflecting on carefully and that's why we are not in a position to make any decision or announce any decision today. I just wanted to be clear that the registration wouldn't require new schemes. Then if we go back to the paragraph above which I referred to, you will see the recommendation is not an interim payment of £100,000 to every individual relative who falls within the category set out; it is a recommendation of a payment to recognise each death. I think you referred in your earlier evidence to the uncertainty that there might be about the numbers who might be eligible in that regard, so I just want, if we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		"The experts therefore conclude that around 2,900 deaths during 1970-2019 are attributable to infections from blood or blood products in the UK, although there is considerable uncertainty about this estimate." That is the estimated figure in relation to deaths. I think you referred to it yourself in your earlier evidence. Now, there are a number of deaths that have already been recognised by the making of an interim payment; that's the interim payments that were made to bereaved partners, around 900 or so. So that would leave, currently, around 2,000 deaths that have been unrecognised to date through the making of any kind of compensation payment. So it may not be correct to suggest that there is no sense of how many further interim payments would fall to
2 3 4 5 6 Q . 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in the Second Interim Report that we are still reflecting on carefully and that's why we are not in a position to make any decision or announce any decision today. I just wanted to be clear that the registration wouldn't require new schemes. Then if we go back to the paragraph above which I referred to, you will see the recommendation is not an interim payment of £100,000 to every individual relative who falls within the category set out; it is a recommendation of a payment to recognise each death. I think you referred in your earlier evidence to the uncertainty that there might be about the numbers who might be eligible in that regard, so I just want, if we may, please, to go to INQY0000454. This is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		"The experts therefore conclude that around 2,900 deaths during 1970-2019 are attributable to infections from blood or blood products in the UK, although there is considerable uncertainty about this estimate." That is the estimated figure in relation to deaths. I think you referred to it yourself in your earlier evidence. Now, there are a number of deaths that have already been recognised by the making of an interim payment; that's the interim payments that were made to bereaved partners, around 900 or so. So that would leave, currently, around 2,000 deaths that have been unrecognised to date through the making of any kind of compensation payment. So it may not be correct to suggest that there is no sense of how many further interim payments would fall to
2 3 4 5 6 Q . 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in the Second Interim Report that we are still reflecting on carefully and that's why we are not in a position to make any decision or announce any decision today. I just wanted to be clear that the registration wouldn't require new schemes. Then if we go back to the paragraph above which I referred to, you will see the recommendation is not an interim payment of £100,000 to every individual relative who falls within the category set out; it is a recommendation of a payment to recognise each death. I think you referred in your earlier evidence to the uncertainty that there might be about the numbers who might be eligible in that regard, so I just want, if we may, please, to go to INQY0000454. This is the Inquiry's summary of the key findings of its statistical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		"The experts therefore conclude that around 2,900 deaths during 1970-2019 are attributable to infections from blood or blood products in the UK, although there is considerable uncertainty about this estimate." That is the estimated figure in relation to deaths. I think you referred to it yourself in your earlier evidence. Now, there are a number of deaths that have already been recognised by the making of an interim payment; that's the interim payments that were made to bereaved partners, around 900 or so. So that would leave, currently, around 2,000 deaths that have been unrecognised to date through the making of any kind of compensation payment. So it may not be correct to suggest that there is no sense of how many further interim payments would fall to be made if recommendation 12 is accepted, because it is
2 3 4 5 6 Q. 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in the Second Interim Report that we are still reflecting on carefully and that's why we are not in a position to make any decision or announce any decision today. I just wanted to be clear that the registration wouldn't require new schemes. Then if we go back to the paragraph above which I referred to, you will see the recommendation is not an interim payment of £100,000 to every individual relative who falls within the category set out; it is a recommendation of a payment to recognise each death. I think you referred in your earlier evidence to the uncertainty that there might be about the numbers who might be eligible in that regard, so I just want, if we may, please, to go to INQY0000454. This is the Inquiry's summary of the key findings of its statistical expert group.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		"The experts therefore conclude that around 2,900 deaths during 1970-2019 are attributable to infections from blood or blood products in the UK, although there is considerable uncertainty about this estimate." That is the estimated figure in relation to deaths. I think you referred to it yourself in your earlier evidence. Now, there are a number of deaths that have alread been recognised by the making of an interim payment; that's the interim payments that were made to bereaved partners, around 900 or so. So that would leave, currently, around 2,000 deaths that have been unrecognised to date through the making of any kind of compensation payment. So it may not be correct to suggest that there is no sense of how many further interim payments would fall to be made if recommendation 12 is accepted, because it is a recommendation of a payment for each thus far unrecognised death, not for each relative.
2 3 4 5 6 Q . 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in the Second Interim Report that we are still reflecting on carefully and that's why we are not in a position to make any decision or announce any decision today. I just wanted to be clear that the registration wouldn't require new schemes. Then if we go back to the paragraph above which I referred to, you will see the recommendation is not an interim payment of £100,000 to every individual relative who falls within the category set out; it is a recommendation of a payment to recognise each death. I think you referred in your earlier evidence to the uncertainty that there might be about the numbers who might be eligible in that regard, so I just want, if we may, please, to go to INQY0000454. This is the Inquiry's summary of the key findings of its statistical expert group. If we could go to page 3, please, Lawrence. You will see, bottom half of the page: "Deaths during 1970-2019 attributable to infected	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	А.	"The experts therefore conclude that around 2,900 deaths during 1970-2019 are attributable to infections from blood or blood products in the UK, although there is considerable uncertainty about this estimate." That is the estimated figure in relation to deaths. I think you referred to it yourself in your earlier evidence. Now, there are a number of deaths that have already been recognised by the making of an interim payment; that's the interim payments that were made to bereaved partners, around 900 or so. So that would leave, currently, around 2,000 deaths that have been unrecognised to date through the making of any kind of compensation payment. So it may not be correct to suggest that there is no sense of how many further interim payments would fall to be made if recommendation 12 is accepted, because it is a recommendation of a payment for each thus far unrecognised death, not for each relative. Does the Government understand that, do you think It does, but there are, as I understand it, other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in the Second Interim Report that we are still reflecting on carefully and that's why we are not in a position to make any decision or announce any decision today. I just wanted to be clear that the registration wouldn't require new schemes. Then if we go back to the paragraph above which I referred to, you will see the recommendation is not an interim payment of £100,000 to every individual relative who falls within the category set out; it is a recommendation of a payment to recognise each death. I think you referred in your earlier evidence to the uncertainty that there might be about the numbers who might be eligible in that regard, so I just want, if we may, please, to go to INQY000454. This is the Inquiry's summary of the key findings of its statistical expert group. If we could go to page 3, please, Lawrence. You will see, bottom half of the page:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Α.	"The experts therefore conclude that around 2,900 deaths during 1970-2019 are attributable to infections from blood or blood products in the UK, although there is considerable uncertainty about this estimate." That is the estimated figure in relation to deaths. I think you referred to it yourself in your earlier evidence. Now, there are a number of deaths that have alread been recognised by the making of an interim payment; that's the interim payments that were made to bereaved partners, around 900 or so. So that would leave, currently, around 2,000 deaths that have been unrecognised to date through the making of any kind of compensation payment. So it may not be correct to suggest that there is no sense of how many further interim payments would fall to be made if recommendation 12 is accepted, because it is a recommendation of a payment for each thus far unrecognised death, not for each relative. Does the Government understand that, do you think It does, but there are, as I understand it, other recommendations about affected people that we are also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 in the Second Interim Report that we are still reflecting on carefully and that's why we are not in a position to make any decision or announce any decision today. I just wanted to be clear that the registration wouldn't require new schemes. Then if we go back to the paragraph above which I referred to, you will see the recommendation is not an interim payment of £100,000 to every individual relative who falls within the category set out; it is a recommendation of a payment to recognise each death. I think you referred in your earlier evidence to the uncertainty that there might be about the numbers who might be eligible in that regard, so I just want, if we may, please, to go to INQY0000454. This is the Inquiry's summary of the key findings of its statistical expert group. If we could go to page 3, please, Lawrence. You will see, bottom half of the page: "Deaths during 1970-2019 attributable to infected blood or blood products." 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	А.	"The experts therefore conclude that around 2,900 deaths during 1970-2019 are attributable to infections from blood or blood products in the UK, although there is considerable uncertainty about this estimate." That is the estimated figure in relation to deaths. I think you referred to it yourself in your earlier evidence. Now, there are a number of deaths that have already been recognised by the making of an interim payment; that's the interim payments that were made to bereaved partners, around 900 or so. So that would leave, currently, around 2,000 deaths that have been unrecognised to date through the making of any kind of compensation payment. So it may not be correct to suggest that there is no sense of how many further interim payments would fall to be made if recommendation 12 is accepted, because it is a recommendation of a payment for each thus far unrecognised death, not for each relative. Does the Government understand that, do you think It does, but there are, as I understand it, other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in the Second Interim Report that we are still reflecting on carefully and that's why we are not in a position to make any decision or announce any decision today. I just wanted to be clear that the registration wouldn't require new schemes. Then if we go back to the paragraph above which I referred to, you will see the recommendation is not an interim payment of £100,000 to every individual relative who falls within the category set out; it is a recommendation of a payment to recognise each death. I think you referred in your earlier evidence to the uncertainty that there might be about the numbers who might be eligible in that regard, so I just want, if we may, please, to go to INQY0000454. This is the Inquiry's summary of the key findings of its statistical expert group. If we could go to page 3, please, Lawrence. You will see, bottom half of the page: "Deaths during 1970-2019 attributable to infected blood or blood products."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A.	"The experts therefore conclude that around 2,900 deaths during 1970-2019 are attributable to infections from blood or blood products in the UK, although there is considerable uncertainty about this estimate." That is the estimated figure in relation to deaths. I think you referred to it yourself in your earlier evidence. Now, there are a number of deaths that have already been recognised by the making of an interim payment; that's the interim payments that were made to bereaved partners, around 900 or so. So that would leave, currently, around 2,000 deaths that have been unrecognised to date through the making of any kind of compensation payment. So it may not be correct to suggest that there is no sense of how many further interim payments would fall to be made if recommendation 12 is accepted, because it is a recommendation of a payment for each thus far unrecognised death, not for each relative. Does the Government understand that, do you think It does, but there are, as I understand it, other recommendations about affected people that we are also

	"The experts therefore conclude that around 2,900
	deaths during 1970-2019 are attributable to infections
	from blood or blood products in the UK, although there
	is considerable uncertainty about this estimate."
	That is the estimated figure in relation to deaths.
	I think you referred to it yourself in your earlier
	evidence.
	Now, there are a number of deaths that have already
	been recognised by the making of an interim payment;
	that's the interim payments that were made to bereaved
	partners, around 900 or so. So that would leave,
	currently, around 2,000 deaths that have been
	unrecognised to date through the making of any kind of
	compensation payment.
	So it may not be correct to suggest that there is no
	sense of how many further interim payments would fall to
	be made if recommendation 12 is accepted, because it is
	a recommendation of a payment for each thus far
	unrecognised death, not for each relative.
	Does the Government understand that, do you think?
Α.	It does, but there are, as I understand it, other
	recommendations about affected people that we are also
	being asked to consider, and we want to consider all of
	those together.
Q.	Yes, you are absolutely right there are then broader
	68

different ALBs, but Sir Robert, for example, gave examples of the Care Quality Commission, described as fiercely independent but funded by Government. So there

A. No, but as I understand his recommendations, this was

Q. The final area for questioning is this: you have said in

your evidence you recognise how long this community has been waiting for justice, and I am reminded that the fifth aspect of the moral duty discussed by Sir Robert in his report recognised the specific roller coaster of raised and then dashed expectations with regard to support and recognition of their plight. In other words, part of the moral duty which, as we understand it, the Government has accepted is directly tied to the delays that the community has experienced over the decades; in other words, the injustice upon injustice heaped upon the original infections and their

Do you accept that the ongoing period of time, 70

So the injustice that the harm is compounded every day it takes is something which I have been asked to convey to you and ask: is that properly understood by each and every official, each and every minister dealing

It is very hard to explain to people in this context that there are still things as a Government that we have to do before we can resolve something of this magnitude, but I do believe that the necessary work is happening at the moment in order for the Government to come to a conclusion of this and, you know, that's why we are not waiting until you conclude your Inquiry before doing all this work, and as soon as you have concluded it, we will, I hope, have done enough work to be able to come to a solution and publish that solution as quickly as we

a position of considerable uncertainty.

A. Well, I believe it is understood by the ministers and officials involved that this has taken far too long to resolve. It is a terrible scandal and we have a moral duty to resolve it as quickly as we possibly can, and not to make the injustice worse by adding in further

a body who would decide an amount of compensation. That isn't what the Care Quality Commission do. So there is -- you know, without passing any judgment on the recommendation, we want to give it full thought, but it would be a different constitutional arrangement to one

is not a single way of doing it.

that I think we have got elsewhere.

circumstances.

with this issue?

unnecessary delays.

possibly can.

1		recommendations in terms of the structure of a final	1
2		compensation scheme. I appreciate you have talked about	2
3		wanting to consider everything together. It really goes	3
4		back to the point I explored with you earlier, Mr Hunt,	4
5		about whether there are some decisions that could be	5
6		taken in advance of others, because, for example,	6
7		payment in relation to deaths as yet unrecognised would	7
8		not commit the Government to making payments to a wider	8
9		category of relatives. That's understood, I hope, by	9
10		the Government?	10
11	Α.	It is understood but we do want to take all these	11
12		decisions together and as quickly as possible.	12
13	Q.		13
14		bodies. You talked about it certainly I'm not sure	14
15		whether you said it was unique or just unusual to have	15
16		an arm's length body that reports directly to	16
17		Parliament?	17
18	Α.		18
19	Q.	•	19
20		example, two examples: the National Audit Office and the	20
21		Independent Parliamentary Standards Authority, both of	21
22		which report directly to Parliament?	22
23	Α.		23
24	-	substantively different to those two bodies.	24
25	Q.	Then the ALB model, I mean there are a range of 69	25
1		delays and lack of information is creating an ever	1
2		greater moral duty?	2
3	Α.	Every day that we take longer than necessary is a day	3
4		too long, I absolutely accept that. The refusal to	4
5		accept that there was any state responsibility in this	5
6		and, therefore, no moral justification for compensation	6
7		most certainly puts salt in the wounds of people who	7
8		were already having an unbelievably tough life as	8
9		a result of this scandal. I fully accept that.	9
10		So, yes, I totally agree with Sir Robert that we do	10
11		have a moral duty to resolve this as quickly as we	11
12	•	possibly can.	12
13	Q.		13
14 15		it is fully understood and taken into account as part of	14
15		the decision-making process, particularly in terms of	15
16 17		timing, is this question of injustice continuing to be compounded.	16 17
18			17
10		You use a phrase in your statement "historic injustice". Others would say it is not historic, it is	10
20		still a present and continuing injustice, and that there	20
20 21		are many who, even those who received the interim	20 21
21		payments, are still in a position of financial	21
23		uncertainty, of not being able to plan, of not being	22
23		able to know where they are going to be, and of course	23
25		those who have received no interim payments have	25
<u>/n</u>			

71

MS RICHARDS: Sir, those are the questions I'm proposing to

(18) Pages 69 - 72

1	going to check Mr Skelton for Mr Hunt has no
2	questions.
3	Do you have any questions for the Chancellor, sir?
4	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: No, I don't, thank you.
5	MS RICHARDS: Mr Hunt, is there anything you wanted to add?
6	A. I don't think so, thank you.
7	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: There is something I would like to
8	add, which is to thank you. The first and perhaps the
9	minor matter, in some respects, for which I would like
10	to thank you is for being prepared to come at relatively
11	short notice on a Friday, of all days, in the afternoon,
12	of all times, to give evidence. But the major part of
13	is to thank you for the tone in which you have given
14	your evidence and the way in which that may have helped
15	to explain to those of us who have been listening, in
16	a way that has not been clearly explained before, why
17	the commitment to compensation, what the Prime Minister
18	described as one of his priorities, has not yet come to
19	any form of tangible fruition.
20	I would just like to add, if I may this is not
21	a question, it is really a request straight after the
22	break counsel took you to part of the interim report
23	where I dealt with the position of people who have died,
24	leaving bereaved parents or where the child has lost
25	a parent or both parents, and suggested that in respect 73
1	It remains for me to reflect on what has been said,
2	and I have no doubt you will too, but thank you for your
3	attendance.
4 5	Given what has been said, I shall do everything I can to make sure that the final report is produced as
6	quickly as I reasonably can. It won't, I think, be
7	early in the autumn but I can't say any more about it.
, 8	I shall do my best. But thank you.
9	(4.33 pm)
10	(The Inquiry adjourned)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	75

1	of that death there should be interim payments.
2	Now, I appreciate it is part of everything that you
3	put together, you have explained why it is that
4	Government is taking its time over that. But time is
5	corrosive, delay is corrosive, and it may be that as
6	your discussions progress you can't say anything
7	about that, I fully appreciate, but as discussions
8	progress you find yourself in a position to make
9	interim payments even in advance of seeing the whole
10	scope of whatever scheme it is that you may have in mind
11	to give fair and just compensation which people deserve.
12	So, would you consider at least doing that if the
13	opportunity arises and, so far as you can, help the
14	opportunity to emerge to do that?
15	A. Can I say I will reflect on what you have said
16	carefully, Sir Brian.
17	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Thank you very much.
18	MS RICHARDS: Sir, that concludes this witness's evidence
19	and it concludes this week's hearings.
20	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Well, I think I said at the end of the
21	last set of hearings that we had that we did not
22	anticipate having any more hearings. I didn't say
23	absolutely that we wouldn't and you have seen that we
24	have this week been exploring the nature, the adequacy
25	and the timeliness of the Government's response. 74

1	INDEX	
2		PAGE
3	MR JEREMY HUNT (sworn)	2
4	Questioned by MS RICHARDS	2
5	Questions from CORE PARTICIPANTS	64
6		
7		
8		

	33/9	6	51/14 59/2	22/1 22/19 24/23
	2.7 billion [1] 30/21		act [5] 10/13 59/11	24/23 24/24 25/11
MS RICHARDS: [11] 2/3 48/17 48/23 63/7	2.8 billion [1] 30/22	61 [1] 66/6 62 [1] 31/9	60/8 60/8 60/16	26/12 29/14 38/7
63/19 64/4 64/14	20 billion [1] 18/4		acted [2] 36/21 36/23	42/15 44/6 44/9 51/15
65/21 72/24 73/5	20 billions [1] 18/8	8	acting [2] 11/10	53/11 53/11 58/12
74/18	20 years [1] 35/19	8 billion [1] 4/6	38/22	60/8 61/22 63/4 68/23
SIR BRIAN	20 years' [1] 27/17	8.7 billion [1] 30/11	action [2] 39/3 39/4	69/11 72/20 73/11
	2003 [1] 27/17	87 [1] 6/18	actions [5] 58/13	73/12
LANGSTAFF: [11] 1/3 48/2 48/20 63/13	2004 [1] 27/15		62/8 62/12 62/16	alleviate [1] 66/11
63/21 64/5 65/20 73/4	2005 [1] 2/5	9	62/24	Alliance [1] 27/12
73/7 74/17 74/20	2011 [1] 27/15	900 [2] 26/4 68/11	active [2] 5/12 6/7	allow [3] 42/17 45/21
	2012 [1] 2/8		acts [1] 38/12	58/14
·	2012/2018 [1] 6/13	<u>A</u>	actually [4] 30/21	allowed [1] 15/5
'annual [1] 30/9	2017 [2] 38/23 45/19	ability [1] 36/4	30/23 31/7 42/19	ally [1] 61/10
'completely [1] 18/13	2018 [3] 2/8 2/14	able [20] 4/6 5/23 7/4	adamant [1] 39/24	almost [1] 54/4
'People [1] 17/9	6/13	7/16 12/11 12/24 14/2		along [3] 8/13 12/12
'We [2] 17/7 60/14	2019 [8] 2/15 38/25	18/15 18/17 23/24	73/8 73/20	60/7
4	58/6 58/11 59/16	26/13 27/1 28/23	added [1] 17/9	alongside [1] 14/19
<u> </u>	59/17 67/21 68/2	52/10 58/3 59/5 61/22		already [9] 34/5
1 billion [1] 32/15	2020 [1] 39/3	71/23 71/24 72/21	additional [1] 4/3	37/17 45/14 45/16
1,300 [1] 24/19	2021 [1] 29/21	about [79]	address [1] 11/13	54/5 56/25 61/20 68/8
1.775 billion [1]	2021/2022 [1] 28/22	above [4] 9/17 29/14	addressed [1] 53/7	71/8
29/18	2021/22 [1] 30/7	54/10 67/8	adequacy [1] 74/24	also [17] 4/1 4/5 4/7
10 [3] 3/17 15/23	2022 [4] 2/18 28/22	absolute [1] 15/23	adjourned [1] 75/10	6/19 16/11 22/6 25/7
33/4	39/6 40/19	absolutely [17] 11/10		29/19 34/8 36/20
10 May 2023 [1]	2023 [3] 1/1 16/17		74/9	47/23 59/3 59/11
16/17	17/24	18/19 18/24 19/4 20/9	auvice [5] 10/3 10/4	62/13 62/16 63/9 68/22
10 years [1] 53/17	2024 [1] 4/24	20/17 26/21 27/6 32/5		
10's [1] 7/23	2025 [2] 4/24 5/2	39/25 52/14 68/25	Affairs [1] 8/20	although [5] 14/22 15/15 23/13 32/19
10.3 [1] 29/18	21 June [1] 10/19	71/4 74/23	affect [1] 18/11	68/3
100 [2] 54/10 60/19	22 [2] 3/11 30/7	absolve [1] 50/6	affected [14] 5/5 10/14 15/18 16/19	
100 million [1] 9/13	22 billion [1] 21/8	accept [8] 33/1 42/6		always [1] 45/17
100,000 [4] 14/21	22 February [2] 10/10 11/3	47/19 52/6 70/25 71/4	39/16 41/8 41/25 50/5	am [12] 11/9 22/20 38/14 40/13 48/16
53/15 66/8 67/10		71/5 71/9	52/9 55/20 68/22	52/6 52/22 53/3 58/10
10bn [2] 16/20 17/3	28 [1] 1/1 29th July [1] 53/14	acceptable [1] 46/7	affordability [1] 9/1	62/17 66/25 70/14
11 [5] 3/17 10/8 10/9		acceptance [4] 8/21	affordable [1] 35/13	amount [8] 11/21
33/15 33/16	3	40/24 43/6 53/21	afraid [3] 17/15 23/8	30/20 33/6 41/18
12 [4] 10/8 56/16	3 August [1] 53/7	accepted [8] 8/3 16/24 40/24 45/16	31/15	51/13 55/11 55/13
66/7 68/17	3,503 [1] 26/4	46/12 51/1 68/17	after [7] 14/18 45/18	70/6
128.6 billion [1]	3.35 pm [1] 64/10	70/20	50/20 51/1 52/1 66/16	
29/23	35 [1] 63/19		73/21	18/10 32/24 46/13
13.3 billion [1] 30/8		accepts [1] 58/25	afternoon [5] 1/10	55/24 55/25
14 October 2022 [1]	4	accident [1] 29/3 accordance [1] 5/19	5/9 38/17 59/9 73/11	analyse [1] 65/12
2/18	4,413 [1] 26/5	according [1] 16/20	afterwards [1] 44/1	analysis [2] 42/11
15 [1] 6/17 15 December [2]	4,519 [1] 25/16	account [1] 71/14	again [18] 1/20 6/9	43/2
8/19 40/22	4.15 [1] 64/3	accountability [1]	8/10 8/13 10/3 13/3	Andy [3] 14/20 53/6
16 [1] 20/23	4.15 pm [3] 63/24	55/15	15/8 18/2 18/15 19/12	58/1
18 July 2019 [1] 58/6	63/25 64/12	accounting [1] 29/23	32/9 36/16 36/21	Andy Burnham [3]
18 May [2] 10/18	4.33 pm [1] 75/9	accounts [3] 4/17	43/24 47/8 57/4 60/25	
11/4	40 [2] 9/15 54/9	19/17 28/22	64/3	angle [1] 20/20
1970-2019 [2] 67/21	40 minutes [2] 63/18	accuracy [1] 22/6	ago [3] 13/24 35/19	announce [3] 14/2
68/2	63/22	accurate [2] 4/13	62/4	45/7 67/4
	400 [1] 54/5	21/20	agony [1] 37/5	announced [4] 14/4
2	42.6 billion [1] 29/23	achievable [1] 66/18	agree [4] 22/21 23/5	43/13 44/19 50/21
2 July 2023 [1] 17/24	46,000 [1] 24/18	achieved [1] 66/17	32/23 71/10	announcement [4]
2,000 [1] 68/12	5	achievements [1]	agreed [2] 7/14 9/12	22/23 26/1 52/1 52/2
2,900 [2] 24/21 68/1		29/14	ahead [2] 11/14 46/9	announcements [1]
2.00 pm [1] 1/2	5 billion [1] 21/8	acknowledge [1]	AHOs [1] 56/1	23/6
2.3 billion [2] 35/24	50 [4] 11/16 13/8	37/12	AIDS [2] 65/3 65/5	annual [1] 28/22
35/25	31/8 31/9 50 hillion [1] 9/15	acquire [1] 32/14	ALB [1] 69/25	annum [1] 32/16
2.4 billion [1] 14/7	50 billion [1] 9/15	across [6] 11/14	ALBs [1] 70/1	another [9] 17/9 30/2
2.5 billion [2] 30/24	50 million [1] 9/13 5bn [1] 17/3	20/10 25/17 36/3	all [30] 5/13 6/7 7/14	43/7 46/8 46/8 51/24
			13/15 13/19 20/14	54/9 57/19 60/19
L	1	1	(20)	MS RICHARDS: - another

(20) MS RICHARDS: - another

A answer [5] 3/23 5/8	argument [1] 33/4 arises [2] 21/9 74/13 arising [1] 30/7	38/21 42/3 47/13 49/21 50/3 50/8 53/15 57/3 57/23 60/18 63/4		
49/1 65/25 66/25 anticipate [1] 74/22	arm's [5] 12/23 42/1	63/24 64/3 66/4 72/16	18/24 19/7 22/1 22/12	bill [2] 16/19 34/15
any [45] 1/7 3/12 7/4	55/13 69/13 69/16 around [11] 3/11	73/10 74/12 74/20 attached [1] 5/21	23/1 23/17 23/21 24/7 24/9 24/15 24/18 25/7	billion [19] 4/6 9/15 14/7 18/4 21/8 21/8
9/1 9/9 12/8 12/12 13/11 14/8 19/1 20/10	8/25 13/9 30/24 31/17	attendance [1] 75/3	25/19 26/13 27/10	28/17 29/18 29/23
23/22 23/24 27/24	33/4 38/2 59/14 68/1 68/11 68/12	attends [1] 11/20 attention [1] 28/15	29/1 32/6 32/19 32/22 34/13 34/14 35/17	29/23 30/8 30/11 30/21 30/22 30/24
27/25 28/5 37/14 45/20 46/18 47/10	arrangement [1]	attributable [2] 67/21	35/18 35/18 35/19	32/15 33/9 35/24
47/18 49/22 49/24	70/10	68/2	37/1 37/4 37/6 37/18	35/25
49/25 50/6 51/8 52/20	arrangements [2] 1/6	audience [2] 1/12 1/18	39/16 40/6 41/20 41/21 43/4 48/3 49/10	billions [7] 18/8 28/11 30/16 30/19
54/1 55/14 56/25 59/7 62/22 63/8 63/11	article [3] 17/22 18/5	Audit [1] 69/20	50/5 50/5 51/19 51/24	32/20 33/1 33/8
63/23 64/16 67/4 67/4	20/21	August [4] 15/13	56/12 56/19 59/3 61/5 62/15 64/7 65/6 68/9	
68/13 70/8 71/5 73/3	articles [2] 16/13 22/4	50/12 53/7 60/3 authorise [2] 53/10	68/12 70/14 72/3	blocks [1] 12/15 blood [11] 16/20
73/19 74/22 75/7 anyone [4] 6/15	articulated [1] 40/24	54/21	73/15 73/16 74/24	53/12 53/13 54/20
17/18 64/8 64/9	articulating [1] 51/20 as [138]	Authority [1] 69/21 autumn [1] 75/7	75/1 75/4 before [30] 1/3 1/20	60/6 61/4 61/9 67/22 67/22 68/3 68/3
anything [12] 5/22	ascertain [1] 71/13	autumn's [1] 25/25	1/23 6/2 7/20 12/9	blow [1] 16/21
15/9 20/19 38/19 41/17 58/2 59/5 61/21	aside [3] 12/8 26/13	available [1] 24/6	14/18 14/23 16/10	bodies [2] 69/14
64/7 64/8 73/5 74/6	38/21 ask [19] 1/19 1/22	avoid [2] 13/11 62/22 await [1] 47/4	21/4 21/4 21/25 44/18 44/25 46/24 47/25	69/24 body [7] 12/24 28/25
appalling [1] 31/12 appear [1] 21/4	6/9 8/8 20/22 22/13	award [1] 53/15	48/12 48/25 50/18	29/4 42/2 55/14 69/16
appearance [1]	23/20 37/9 44/6 45/4 53/3 57/22 58/2 63/15	aware [3] 24/1 27/8 55/16	51/7 54/5 54/16 54/17 55/17 58/16 59/18	70/6 book [5] 13/25 14/1
11/15	64/16 65/6 65/12 72/4		66/18 72/15 72/19	31/22 32/2 32/12
appeared [2] 13/23 60/11	72/25 asked [14] 11/14	60/14 60/19 awful [2] 40/9 40/10	73/16 beg [1] 48/20	BorisJohnson [1] 54/21
appears [1] 42/23 applicable [2] 55/1	13/23 22/12 45/4		beginning [1] 41/2	both [7] 12/20 49/25
55/3	48/21 48/25 64/7 64/8 65/6 65/23 68/23	back [16] 1/14 2/3	behalf [2] 44/6 44/15 behind [1] 4/8	55/8 55/23 65/4 69/21 73/25
applications [2] 26/16 28/4	69/19 71/13 72/3	8/19 10/17 19/12	being [21] 4/3 10/11	bottom [6] 3/7 3/8
applies [1] 29/3	asking [12] 2/22 8/11	33/14 33/15 33/17 45/19 48/24 52/16	12/24 14/14 16/24 19/19 32/15 34/10	3/10 8/22 25/13 67/20
apply [2] 1/5 1/6	8/11 10/4 18/16 26/6 43/24 48/4 48/15	60/23 63/16 63/24	39/10 41/3 45/24 50/2	break [7] 1/8 63/8 63/9 63/17 63/17
appreciate [13] 11/6 11/8 11/8 15/14 22/19	48/17 57/4 63/12	67/8 69/4	56/4 59/7 61/25 66/15	64/11 73/22
40/11 40/12 41/11	aspect [1] 70/15 aspiration [1] 43/23	bad [1] 26/17 balance [1] 4/16	66/17 68/23 71/23 71/23 73/10	Brian [4] 51/3 53/14 58/20 74/16
44/2 62/25 69/2 74/2 74/7	assessment [1]	barrier [1] 61/13	believe [14] 35/3	Brian's [3] 14/24
appreciated [1] 60/8	26/18	basic [1] 4/3	35/4 42/22 46/20	15/2 53/22
apprised [2] 41/9	assist [2] 6/17 47/10 assistance [2] 28/5	basis [2] 24/5 25/9 be [133]	53/17 62/3 62/3 62/5 62/9 62/12 63/1 63/3	briefly [1] 64/20 bring [2] 60/22 61/4
41/18 approach [10] 15/2	55/3	bearing [1] 51/9	72/7 72/16	broad [1] 9/3
21/10 21/22 22/18	associated [1] 9/1 assuming [1] 21/11	became [1] 43/14 because [54] 5/16	believed [2] 45/17 62/5	broader [2] 29/6 68/25
23/3 23/21 26/8 47/1	assurance [2] 7/5	6/21 7/14 8/3 11/11	benefit [3] 10/13 24/3	broadly [2] 4/13 8/12
47/10 67/24 appropriate [2] 9/6	52/10	11/19 12/9 13/1 13/10	65/18	budget [3] 3/21 9/11
58/12	assure [2] 17/17 41/6 assured [1] 24/12	13/13 13/19 14/8 14/25 15/19 16/8	benefits [1] 56/20 bereaved [7] 25/15	9/15 budgets [1] 4/23
approval [3] 3/13 15/12 15/22	at [65] 1/7 1/14 2/25	17/20 18/10 19/22	25/18 26/4 53/11	building [1] 12/14
approve [1] 9/19	3/1 3/10 5/6 6/12 6/13 6/17 7/17 8/15 8/18	20/3 21/9 22/16 25/19 26/12 27/1 27/2 27/25		bulk [1] 31/6 bundle [1] 64/18
approximately [1]	9/5 9/12 10/6 11/5	31/1 31/25 32/1 33/2	26/9 36/4 56/10 75/8	Burnham [5] 14/20
6/3 April [2] 5/2 15/5	11/11 11/16 12/22	33/4 34/7 34/25 35/9	better [4] 31/13	38/25 53/6 58/1 61/19
April 2025 [1] 5/2	15/6 15/10 17/22 18/8 18/13 19/3 19/6 19/10	36/6 38/23 39/9 42/17 44/1 44/7 44/14 44/15	31/19 42/25 46/5 between [10] 2/8	Burnham's [1] 59/24 burying [1] 40/8
are [151] area [1] 70/12	20/14 21/11 22/4	44/20 46/1 48/8 49/16	2/14 7/22 11/3 21/8	but [136]
aren't [2] 22/9 55/25	24/13 25/1 25/6 25/12 26/11 28/8 28/21 29/5	51/7 55/25 58/17 60/7 62/3 62/14 68/17 69/6	21/14 21/17 30/23 43/20 51/8	С
argued [1] 45/19	31/5 31/13 31/19	become [1] 58/10	beyond [1] 14/9	cabinet [4] 8/25 9/5
arguing [2] 54/1 63/21	32/19 32/24 33/23	been [74] 1/20 2/4	big [12] 3/13 12/19	12/16 61/23
	34/18 35/23 37/8	2/18 3/2 5/10 6/5 6/24	15/25 20/14 34/10	calculate [1] 55/24
				(21) answor - calculato

(21) answer - calculate

С	Chair's [2] 29/8 66/5	16/18 18/2 29/11	complete [1] 53/21	contemporaneously
called [2] 13/25	challenging [2] 36/14		completely [3] 6/6	[1] 38/7
27/13	37/23	come [18] 5/14 10/17	42/6 44/5	content [1] 11/10
calling [2] 38/25 60/4	chance [3] 42/18	12/10 20/10 22/4	complex [3] 17/21	context [21] 9/14
came [3] 7/20 18/20	42/21 63/17	33/17 36/3 39/7 48/18		10/16 13/21 22/20
50/11	Chancellor [20] 1/9	48/24 52/3 52/16	complexities [1] 66/3	28/10 28/20 30/16
campaign [1] 56/13	1/10 2/18 4/14 6/3	63/16 63/23 72/17	complexity [1] 56/2	32/21 32/24 33/19
can [72] 1/3 3/14	6/17 14/22 14/24	72/21 73/10 73/18	compounded [2]	35/14 35/14 36/6
4/17 5/3 5/22 5/25 6/9		comes [2] 10/24 59/2		40/22 46/3 46/20
7/8 8/1 8/8 8/22 8/24	35/12 36/16 40/14	comfort [2] 8/4 36/9	compounds [2]	46/23 47/6 47/25 65/2
9/16 10/7 17/17 17/22	60/2 61/4 61/15 64/2	coming [3] 16/6	37/15 37/16	72/13
18/2 18/19 18/23 20/3	73/3	16/25 18/12	concern [6] 7/1 18/9	contexts [1] 33/22
20/9 22/1 23/9 24/12	Chancellor's [1]	comment [4] 17/12	19/11 19/20 49/20	continue [2] 53/23 60/9
24/23 24/24 24/24	15/12	32/18 49/9 51/21	51/19	
25/6 25/16 26/17 27/2	change [6] 29/24 30/10 36/12 43/6 43/8	Commission [2] 70/2 70/7	18/25 19/1 38/3 49/13	continued [1] 29/16 continuing [2] 71/16
27/24 28/6 28/8 30/20	50/24	commissioned [4]	49/14	71/20
37/9 37/20 37/24	changed [1] 8/2	37/25 44/12 44/13	concerns [1] 9/20	contrary [1] 21/16
38/19 40/17 41/24	changes [1] 29/25	47/18	conclude [3] 59/10	convey [1] 72/4
43/17 44/12 44/21	check [1] 73/1	commissioning [2]	68/1 72/19	Core [7] 22/12 28/14
46/15 47/10 52/12	chest [1] 41/22	13/15 43/13	concluded [2] 54/9	63/10 63/14 64/13
52/16 53/2 55/7 56/17	Chief [1] 11/19	commit [6] 26/7 41/2	72/20	72/25 76/5
57/3 57/6 58/5 58/12	child [1] 73/24	43/24 57/5 58/16 69/8		corner [1] 61/10
60/22 61/4 62/9 62/22	children [3] 52/23	commitment [1]	74/18 74/19	correct [8] 2/6 2/9
63/5 63/9 66/17 66/22 69/13 71/12 72/10	52/23 66/12	73/17	conclusion [9] 43/12	4/22 4/24 4/25 9/3
72/15 72/23 74/13	choose [1] 4/18	commitments [1]	43/17 43/19 43/20	22/25 68/15
74/15 75/5 75/6	choosing [1] 41/22	28/16	43/25 44/22 44/25	correspondence [1]
can't [11] 5/13 26/14	circumstances [3]	Committee [1] 8/20	45/9 72/18	56/13
36/6 36/8 44/10 45/7	9/9 50/9 70/24	committing [1] 7/4	conclusions [3]	corrosive [2] 74/5
57/5 59/12 62/10 74/6	civil [5] 19/10 19/17	communicated [1]	46/10 58/21 59/22	74/5
75/7	19/22 20/5 40/5	42/4	concrete [1] 41/16	cost [10] 17/3 25/3
cannot [2] 39/4 41/2	claim [2] 23/25 27/19		condemned [1]	29/15 30/9 31/11 32/3
capable [1] 66/15	claimant [1] 29/18	42/6	53/24	36/23 36/25 42/12
capacity [3] 2/10	claims [5] 24/14	community [3] 61/9	condition [1] 9/25	56/9
2/11 2/19	26/10 30/7 31/9 31/10		conditions [1] 8/24	cost.' [1] 17/10
cards [1] 41/22	clarification [1] 45/5 classes [1] 48/6	comparable [1] 30/12	confidence [2] 38/20 61/25	costings [2] 8/12 24/13
care [8] 2/7 28/18	clear [11] 6/23 6/23	compensate [3] 32/4		costs [4] 29/19 32/13
	31/21 48/3 56/6 56/18	34/24 39/2	38/14	32/15 33/4
70/2 70/7	58/8 60/12 65/19	compensated [1]	confirm [2] 18/17	could [33] 3/3 3/6 9/9
carefully [2] 67/3	66/25 67/6	32/1	18/19	13/5 13/21 16/15
74/16	clearance [1] 8/20	compensating [1]	confusing [1] 57/19	16/20 18/3 20/24 21/8
carry [1] 58/19	clearer [1] 56/21	29/1	consider [6] 16/2	21/18 28/20 29/7
case [19] 8/3 8/21 9/5 9/6 12/2 16/10	clearly [2] 8/1 73/16	compensation [67]	63/12 68/23 68/23	29/10 33/6 33/14
16/24 19/10 31/15	clinical [8] 28/20	5/4 5/11 6/11 6/22 7/3	69/3 74/12	36/15 38/1 41/18 42/3
31/16 33/11 35/4	29/15 29/17 30/4	8/4 8/21 12/20 15/17	considerable [2]	44/4 47/6 49/8 49/22
35/16 36/10 40/25	30/18 31/9 33/2 33/5	16/19 17/3 17/6 18/13		50/9 51/7 59/9 65/15
45/16 46/12 51/2 52/6	close [2] 41/22 43/20	21/2 21/10 21/22	consideration [2] 6/7	
categories [1] 26/14	closer [1] 63/3	26/10 26/19 27/4	27/24	67/19 69/5
category [3] 26/5	closure [1] 60/21	27/22 29/3 30/17 32/6		counsel [4] 48/4
67/11 69/9	CNST [1] 30/3	32/21 33/10 34/8	33/21	48/15 63/15 73/22
cause [1] 54/12	CO [1] 8/25	34/16 34/17 34/18	considered [3] 10/11	
caused [1] 18/9	coaster [1] 70/16	34/24 35/5 36/11	34/18 47/6	42/15 46/1
ceases [1] 49/21	cohort [1] 56/23 cohorts [1] 52/19	37/14 38/1 38/3 38/6 38/25 40/25 43/7	consistent [3] 11/4 59/1 59/21	couple [1] 37/9
century [1] 51/17	COLL0000022 [1]	43/14 43/16 44/8	constituent [3] 39/14	coupling [1] 45/8
certainly [12] 18/25	40/20	44/19 45/16 46/11	57/24 58/6	15/25 25/1 32/5 33/22
21/12 26/9 28/1 33/8	COLL0000026 [1]	46/11 46/12 46/18	constitutional [3]	37/2 64/5 71/24
35/17 35/18 54/4	64/23	46/25 48/5 48/13 51/2		Covid [1] 28/18
56/11 57/4 69/14 71/7	COLL0000027 [1]	51/25 52/20 58/12	constraints [1] 61/22	creating [1] 71/1
certainty [1] 38/13	64/23	60/5 60/13 60/21	contain [1] 41/16	credible [2] 23/14
Chair [6] 12/3 13/12	collective [2] 7/10	61/11 61/15 66/18	contained [1] 16/14	23/14
21/12 48/25 53/14 56/7	61/23	68/14 69/2 70/6 71/6	contaminated [2]	criminally [2] 30/25
	column [5] 3/11 3/16	73/17 74/11	16/20 54/20	31/23
L			I	(22) called - criminally

(22) called - criminally

С	62/14 67/4 67/4 71/15	45/20 47/7 49/16	60/14 62/12 62/24	Education [2] 9/10
critical [1] 52/4	decision-making [12]	60/16 74/22	63/1 63/2 63/18 68/20	
crunch [1] 13/19	2/23 5/18 8/10 12/18	die [3] 54/4 54/10	69/11 70/7 70/25	effect [5] 30/10 34/12
current [2] 25/10	15/9 34/1 36/19 37/13	54/22	71/10 72/15 72/16	47/3 47/16 53/13
35/13	42/17 45/9 45/13	died [9] 24/22 27/16	73/3 74/14 75/4 75/8	effective [1] 7/16
currently [4] 26/12	71/15	37/4 52/23 52/24 54/6		effectively [2] 9/5
37/8 65/24 68/12	decisions [16] 5/10	65/3 65/5 73/23	58/4 59/23	49/18
	7/5 11/1 12/24 19/18	difference [9] 7/22	documents [3] 7/24	eight [2] 14/4 15/13
D	20/13 20/14 20/14	15/24 15/25 21/14	57/23 64/18	either [4] 22/2 34/23
damaged [1] 61/6	27/25 36/3 36/17 42/3	21/19 30/22 33/3 47/9		49/25 61/5
damages [1] 29/17	42/25 63/4 69/5 69/12	51/8	12/14 15/18 15/24	elected [1] 20/8
damaging [1] 41/14	declined [1] 17/11	differences [2] 7/24 21/17	28/3 37/12 41/23	election [2] 44/3 58/11
Dame [2] 39/1 56/12	defence [1] 35/23 degree [1] 39/18		56/18 56/23 62/18 68/20 68/21	
dashed [2] 56/17	delay [12] 13/11	different [11] 1/16 20/3 25/3 38/6 40/17	doesn't [4] 19/9	electronic [1] 3/6 elegantly [1] 57/8
70/17	13/19 37/11 37/13	48/11 59/8 63/1 69/24		element [2] 33/9
data [2] 25/23 28/3	37/14 44/4 49/18	70/1 70/10	doing [11] 20/7 38/7	57/11
date [7] 25/10 40/23	51/25 54/1 57/1 62/22		38/15 42/11 44/19	eligible [1] 67/15
50/4 59/13 60/1 66/10	74/5	21/22	46/12 57/10 62/19	else [3] 15/9 16/4
68/13	delayed [2] 34/16	difficult [4] 18/22	70/4 72/19 74/12	38/19
dated [2] 58/5 60/1	37/19	20/13 21/18 63/4	domain [1] 19/2	elsewhere [1] 70/11
dates [3] 10/1 10/2 43/24	delays [6] 14/9 35/1	difficulty [1] 39/20	don't [27] 3/25 13/17	email [6] 57/23 58/5
day [9] 15/13 20/8	44/17 70/21 71/1	direction [1] 20/15	15/19 18/19 18/20	59/2 59/7 59/9 59/13
29/2 29/2 33/23 33/23	72/12	directly [8] 22/24	18/22 20/11 22/1	emails [1] 13/9
71/3 71/3 72/3	delivered [1] 50/13	23/7 28/19 65/16	22/20 23/9 27/2 27/24	
days [3] 6/4 54/8	democracy [1] 20/7	65/16 69/16 69/22	31/1 37/23 38/9 39/7	emphasis [1] 10/12
73/11	demonstrate [1]	70/20	40/6 41/16 42/16	end [7] 16/6 18/5
deal [1] 7/16	62/17	disagree [1] 51/3	47/18 50/2 50/3 55/9	36/7 39/1 50/10 54/8
dealing [3] 33/12	denied [1] 37/19	disagreement [1]	59/7 62/13 73/4 73/6	74/20
49/6 72/5	department [11] 4/12		done [8] 12/17 35/4	engagement [1] 12/7
dealt [2] 20/11 73/23	7/22 9/4 9/10 9/10	disappoint [1] 55/9	36/11 37/4 50/9 56/10	
Dear [2] 53/9 60/2	17/5 18/16 22/9 28/17 39/25 40/3	disaster [1] 61/7	66/22 72/21	43/4 58/10 72/21
death [3] 67/12 68/19	departmental [3]	discount [3] 29/21 29/21 30/10	Dorricott [1] 39/14 doubled [1] 18/4	ensure [5] 36/17 41/8 56/17 58/12 61/15
74/1	3/21 4/23 9/17	discuss [3] 21/2 21/4		entire [3] 15/17 25/17
deaths [7] 66/10	depending [1] 18/4	64/6	75/2	31/11
67/21 68/2 68/5 68/8	described [4] 5/17	discussed [8] 7/9	down [5] 16/10 21/6	entirely [2] 48/3
68/12 69/7	19/13 70/2 73/18	7/24 10/22 12/4 19/15	29/13 34/24 65/21	63/20
decades [11] 23/2 24/7 24/16 32/22 34/9	decentileterer [4] 10/05	64/1 65/10 70/15	downstairs [1] 1/17	entirety [1] 57/21
34/13 41/14 44/2 50/4	description [2] 4/13		dramatic [1] 51/13	entitled [1] 27/4
53/19 70/22	42/16	60/12	drastic [1] 21/9	essential [1] 45/12
deceased [1] 56/2	deserve [5] 32/1 32/6	discussions [9] 5/12	drawn [2] 5/13 28/15	essentially [3] 11/21
December [4] 8/3	53/20 61/14 74/11	6/8 8/25 42/18 49/3	dreadfully [1] 53/19	13/16 28/25
8/19 40/19 40/22	design [1] 43/16	56/6 62/11 74/6 74/7	during [4] 4/2 8/6	established [2] 34/6
December 2022 [1]	designed [1] 52/2	dispassionate [1]	67/21 68/2	66/2
40/19	desire [2] 19/1 20/18	65/9	duty [5] 70/15 70/19	estates [1] 27/16
decide [3] 56/23	desk [1] 36/3	distant [1] 40/8	71/271/1172/10	estimate [3] 25/2
60/21 70/6	despair [1] 61/5 despite [1] 37/4	do [74] 11/8 11/8 11/21 13/6 13/7 14/23	dying [4] 6/3 37/21 40/13 54/7	32/15 68/4
decided [5] 35/6 36/1	detail [1] 23/24	16/10 17/13 17/19	·	estimated [1] 68/5 estimates [1] 21/7
36/10 45/8 55/13	detailed [6] 5/12	18/12 20/2 20/4 20/5	E	estimating [1] 54/7
deciding [1] 45/23	11/21 12/5 23/22 25/5		each [6] 32/25 67/12	even [7] 37/4 41/25
decision [45] 2/23	42/11	26/21 27/1 28/7 31/13		
3/13 5/18 8/10 9/16 9/18 12/9 12/18 14/23	details [6] 8/11 8/12	33/1 33/23 35/3 35/4	earlier [8] 8/17 34/9	74/9
15/9 22/1 34/1 34/17	13/20 41/16 42/4	35/14 36/17 36/24	34/13 49/7 64/2 67/13	event [1] 63/8
35/3 36/19 37/13	62/11	39/17 40/1 40/7 41/7	68/6 69/4	ever [3] 55/16 65/1
37/14 37/22 37/23	devolved [2] 25/10	42/17 42/24 44/14	early [2] 16/6 75/7	71/1
38/24 42/8 42/17 44/7	27/10	45/20 45/23 46/20	earmarked [1] 61/11	every [18] 6/4 11/17
44/9 44/25 45/9 45/13	Diana [2] 39/1 56/12	47/7 47/20 48/7 48/20		20/15 20/20 30/19
45/18 46/3 46/6 46/24	did [11] 1/5 14/24	48/25 49/25 51/23	35/18	31/11 31/12 33/2
47/13 48/1 49/2 50/12	16/8 17/18 44/14	53/22 54/16 55/6	economic [7] 10/16 12/25 33/18 33/22	33/10 37/21 45/25
51/10 54/20 56/19	44/15 47/19 51/4 59/10 59/19 74/21	55/22 55/22 57/5 57/16 58/12 58/14	35/15 36/6 42/14	54/7 56/7 67/10 71/3 72/2 72/5 72/5
57/16 57/20 62/14	didn't [7] 31/25 33/7	58/15 58/20 59/18	economy [1] 4/19	everyone [4] 7/12
				(23) critical - ovoryono

(23) critical - everyone

E	F	16/25 17/2 18/7 19/8	62/25	go [22] 3/6 3/14 3/21
everyone [3] 36/9	fact [12] 6/2 19/18	23/23 34/19 52/21	frustratingly [2] 11/7	3/25 10/7 14/11 20/24
59/19 63/16	36/9 36/13 44/12	55/2 61/12 71/22	11/9	25/23 29/7 33/14
everything [5] 23/1	44/19 46/9 47/12	Financial Times [3]	full [13] 22/8 23/18	35/21 40/20 43/22
62/20 69/3 74/2 75/4	47/14 47/19 48/17	16/16 17/2 19/8	46/3 46/23 47/25	55/6 62/10 63/4 64/22
evidence [32] 2/10	51/16	find [6] 11/7 23/3 36/14 40/8 45/11 74/8	48/13 54/12 57/15 57/16 58/17 60/21	66/5 66/13 67/8 67/16 67/19
2/11 2/19 3/4 6/23	factor [1] 6/24	findings [2] 58/19	61/16 70/9	goes [5] 9/21 32/6
10/22 10/24 14/19	facts [1] 22/8	67/17	fully [7] 20/15 20/19	35/7 37/6 69/3
19/12 24/5 24/10	failed [1] 54/16	finish [1] 54/2	41/9 41/18 71/9 71/14	going [42] 3/10 5/6
24/24 27/17 31/22	failing [1] 34/10	finishing [1] 38/8	74/7	5/8 12/9 19/11 22/16
34/12 38/16 45/3	failure [1] 60/8	first [14] 12/21 22/22	functions [1] 29/2	23/20 24/13 26/16
47/22 50/18 58/18 60/24 61/1 61/20 62/4	fair [5] 36/20 48/2 48/14 50/2 74/11	25/11 26/1 32/3 32/11		27/18 29/12 32/12
64/6 64/7 67/13 68/7	fairly [2] 50/5 59/9	33/7 35/2 45/14 47/2	35/23	35/10 37/18 42/23
70/13 73/12 73/14	fall [4] 34/18 36/17	47/8 58/4 64/15 73/8	funded [2] 5/12 70/3	43/2 45/4 45/12 46/17
74/18	56/15 68/16	fiscal [9] 10/10 10/16		46/19 48/18 48/24
evolved [1] 47/21	falls [2] 34/2 67/11	13/2 18/11 33/19	5/3 5/14 5/24 8/10 9/1	49/9 49/11 51/8 51/13
examined [1] 18/7	families [10] 13/22	33/22 35/15 36/6	9/7 46/22 61/11	53/2 56/7 57/9 57/16
example [5] 31/5	23/7 37/5 39/24 42/7	42/14	further [20] 3/25 13/18 16/21 20/21	57/22 59/23 62/11 62/14 62/24 63/22
55/12 69/6 69/20 70/1	44/7 44/16 53/20	fits [1] 34/1 five [2] 51/1 63/21	21/6 27/3 27/25 29/24	64/15 64/16 64/22
examples [3] 52/25	54/18 55/19	five months [1] 51/1	41/15 47/10 48/6 48/8	65/17 71/24 73/1
69/20 70/2	far [13] 8/13 12/12	flag [1] 64/17	57/1 59/5 61/22 63/11	gone [1] 33/13
exceptionally [1]	18/25 37/18 38/3 40/8	flagged [1] 49/10	64/14 65/10 68/16	good [11] 1/10 3/22
54/13	43/9 53/23 60/9 60/14	flagging [1] 32/17	72/11	13/6 19/24 22/2 26/8
Exchequer [2] 2/18	68/18 72/8 74/13 fast [4] 37/20 40/15	flying [1] 13/9	future [3] 29/24 31/4	26/9 52/5 52/12 57/20
existing [1] 66/22	51/18 55/6	focus [3] 24/13 30/2	40/9	61/14
expectations [2]	father [1] 55/21	32/1	G	got [6] 9/19 17/16
56/17 70/17	fathers [1] 55/20	follow [2] 7/10 21/6		35/8 45/14 55/7 70/11
expected [2] 21/4	fault [1] 61/13	following [3] 8/9 15/2	gap [1] 11/3	government [98]
26/10	fear [2] 34/7 44/15	26/1	gathering [1] 24/23 gave [7] 2/10 2/11	Government's [15]
expecting [2] 23/22	feared [1] 6/21	forecast [2] 18/8 19/20	10/1 50/18 60/25 62/4	7/19 8/1 8/2 12/11 18/11 34/15 34/21
35/23	feasibility [1] 57/7	Foreign [1] 2/14	70/1	35/1 40/24 47/16
experience [6] 22/5	February [2] 10/10	form [3] 19/25 42/1	general [13] 2/24 4/9	49/18 52/11 59/4 63/1
23/8 32/8 39/10 39/13		73/19	8/14 26/7 34/1 34/20	74/25
44/3	feed [1] 33/21 feel [3] 47/7 59/5	former [6] 2/11 7/20	36/18 36/22 37/9	governments [7]
experienced [1] 70/21	61/22	19/16 54/23 59/15	43/23 44/3 49/6 67/23	
expert [4] 24/3 24/25	feels [1] 40/11	60/3	generally [1] 22/17	50/25 51/15 60/15
67/18 67/24	fiercely [1] 70/3	forward [4] 29/22	genuinely [2] 5/10	63/2
experts [1] 68/1	fifth [2] 41/1 70/15	62/24 65/12 72/25	42/24	grain [1] 22/5
explain [4] 1/10	fighting [1] 61/10	four [7] 1/12 6/4 11/20 25/10 27/10	get [8] 4/9 4/19 17/20 21/23 30/15 38/2	grasp [2] 23/23 54/17
23/24 72/13 73/15	figure [6] 18/3 25/21	51/1 54/8	42/24 44/17	grass [3] 40/7 56/4 62/1
explained [3] 26/5	30/2 30/8 30/11 68/5	four days [2] 6/4	gets [1] 49/18	grateful [1] 49/11
73/16 74/3	figures [14] 1/12	54/8	give [28] 5/8 5/9 7/4	greater [2] 41/12
explaining [1] 7/11	18/9 21/23 24/8 24/10	fourfold [1] 21/18	12/12 13/21 19/11	71/2
explanation [1] 47/3 explanations [1]	25/14 25/14 26/6 26/7 26/15 28/9 32/13	framework [6] 5/4	27/23 27/24 28/19	group [9] 11/14
41/19	32/17 32/19	15/17 26/19 37/15	38/13 41/24 42/16	11/18 11/24 24/3 35/9
explore [3] 33/25	final [30] 12/8 13/11	43/14 43/16	42/21 44/10 52/10	53/24 54/13 60/10
44/23 49/12	13/12 13/17 35/10	Francis [4] 21/15	56/20 58/13 58/18	67/18
explored [2] 61/19	38/4 38/8 43/9 45/12	38/1 43/14 44/13	58/20 60/24 61/24 62/15 63/15 63/16	group's [1] 67/24
69/4	45/18 45/22 46/10	Francis' [7] 12/20 13/15 15/1 42/9 45/14	65/2 70/9 73/12 74/11	groups [5] 26/25 26/25 49/2 55/7 56/13
exploring [1] 74/24	46/13 46/24 47/5 48/1	50/11 50/13	given [23] 1/5 3/23	groupthink [2] 19/15
express [1] 24/1	48/5 49/7 49/16 49/19	frank [1] 42/18	13/5 14/19 15/12 17/4	
expressed [2] 32/20	49/20 52/1 52/4 56/24	free [1] 7/17	19/17 23/1 28/6 34/11	guide [4] 26/8 26/9
51/19	57/22 59/1 69/1 70/12	freedom [1] 58/20	37/7 37/21 40/6 40/13	26/9 57/8
extra [1] 4/6 extraordinary [1]	71/13 75/5 finally [5] 11/23 14/4	freely [1] 7/21	41/14 44/2 45/3 45/24	
44/4	14/22 61/14 62/18	Friday [2] 1/1 73/11	47/24 61/20 62/20	H
extremely [1] 51/11	finances [3] 3/24	front [1] 1/13	73/13 75/4	had [36] 2/17 3/2
	16/22 17/4	fruition [1] 73/19	gives [4] 8/4 15/21 32/12 51/22	3/22 10/2 12/4 12/5 13/24 14/19 23/4
	financial [11] 16/16	frustrate [1] 20/18 frustrating [2] 62/10	giving [2] 2/19 64/6	27/16 27/16 29/3
			3.4	
				(24) everyone - had

(24) everyone ... - had

Н	have [184]	64/20	45/4 65/23	57/8 69/25
had [24] 31/19	haven't [5] 27/25	HMT [1] 9/2	I assure [1] 41/6	I misunderstood [1]
31/21 32/22 34/13	35/8 44/7 45/8 49/2	hold [3] 28/3 34/6	I believe [4] 62/3	48/21
34/16 35/19 36/20	having [20] 6/8 7/16	39/12	62/3 62/5 72/7	I move [1] 40/17
36/23 37/4 38/24 39/6	10/19 13/8 15/4 15/21	holding [1] 17/6	I believed [1] 62/5	I name [1] 58/7
39/9 39/12 40/5 42/9	15/22 31/21 31/21	Home [1] 8/20	I came [1] 7/20	I need [1] 50/3
42/10 43/5 43/6 43/8	32/3 34/7 39/12 39/13	hon [2] 41/6 60/7	I can [17] 5/25 8/1	l now [1] 58/19
47/16 47/20 47/21	42/22 43/15 49/4	honest [1] 59/7	17/17 18/19 18/23	I obviously [1] 11/11
47/22 74/21	55/16 65/9 71/8 74/22	hope [10] 8/4 43/9	20/9 22/1 23/9 24/23	I originally [1] 39/19
hadn't [3] 6/20 6/24	HCV [2] 24/20 24/20	45/5 61/5 61/24 62/22	26/17 27/2 27/24	I possibly [1] 7/8
32/11	he [11] 15/21 16/9	62/23 63/5 69/9 72/21	30/20 44/12 58/12	I probably [1] 4/15
half [4] 3/8 25/22	16/9 16/10 21/3 22/15		62/9 75/5	I pushed [1] 58/16
51/16 67/20	40/23 40/24 41/2 41/5	horrific [1] 46/23	I can't [7] 5/13 36/6	I reasonably [1] 75/6
Hancock [3] 14/20	50/18	hospital [3] 30/5 31/9	36/8 44/10 59/12	I referred [1] 67/9
53/6 60/7	heads [2] 17/20 38/2	32/14	62/10 75/7	I right [1] 48/16
hand [7] 3/10 16/17	health [19] 2/7 3/2	house [5] 27/12	I cannot [2] 39/4 41/2	I said [3] 13/25 33/16
18/2 20/9 29/9 29/10	6/13 7/20 7/22 8/6	40/19 41/7 41/15	I completely [2] 42/6	74/20
29/11	17/5 19/16 27/9 27/12	56/12	44/5	I saw [1] 24/17
handed [2] 53/23	28/17 31/17 33/5	how [22] 5/11 8/13	I could [1] 13/21	I say [3] 48/7 49/15
60/9	39/23 39/25 53/16	11/15 12/12 15/24	I dealt [1] 73/23	74/15
handful [1] 64/14	54/23 59/15 60/3	17/6 21/18 23/23	I did [3] 16/8 59/10	I shall [2] 75/4 75/8
hands [1] 36/1	healthy [1] 4/19	26/18 30/23 33/25	59/19	I should [3] 26/20
	heaped [1] 70/23	35/14 40/2 41/23	I didn't [2] 45/20	58/7 62/13
happen [7] 15/6 17/18 18/21 18/23	hear [1] 59/22	42/23 46/4 46/24	74/22	I shouldn't [1] 21/25
19/3 39/9 39/15	heard [3] 10/21 47/2	55/15 60/12 61/3	I do [14] 11/8 11/8	I spoke [1] 7/21
	47/22	68/16 70/13	13/6 33/1 39/17 46/20	I stress [1] 10/3
happened [12] 6/20 11/15 12/1 14/22	hearings [4] 54/9	However [2] 58/14	48/20 48/25 58/14	I suppose [1] 5/15
14/23 16/11 27/11	74/19 74/21 74/22	64/15	62/12 62/24 63/1 63/2	I then [6] 6/9 8/8 28/8
32/11 34/9 35/22 46/5	heart [2] 20/9 33/23	HSOC0029912 [1]	72/16	37/9 52/16 69/13
55/16	heft [1] 15/22	59/25	I don't [16] 3/25	l think [83]
	held [2] 2/4 21/1	huge [4] 11/21 33/6	18/19 22/1 22/20 23/9	I thought [1] 14/1
happening [5] 16/4 22/22 32/9 41/12	help [4] 27/25 28/23	57/12 58/19	27/2 27/24 37/23 38/9	I totally [1] 71/10
72/16	46/19 74/13	Humphrey [1] 20/17	47/18 50/2 50/3 59/7	I understand [7] 2/5
	helped [1] 73/14	hundred [1] 14/5	62/13 73/4 73/6	30/4 40/4 45/6 57/5
happens [1] 16/3	helpful [3] 7/8 24/25	hundreds [2] 13/9	I explored [1] 69/4	68/21 70/5
hard [1] 72/13	51/11	37/3	I fully [2] 71/9 74/7	I understood [1] 48/2
harder [1] 55/24	helps [1] 32/23	HUNT [33] 1/25 2/3	I gave [1] 62/4	I want [7] 2/22 2/25
harm [8] 28/20 30/18	honatitis [4] 24/8		I had [2] 13/24 14/19	
32/2 32/11 33/2 41/14 54/13 72/2	26/14 27/14 27/21	19/16 21/1 21/11	I happen [2] 39/9	59/21
	hepatitis B [1] 26/14	25/16 26/8 29/12	39/15	I wanted [2] 44/23
harm' [1] 30/9	hepatitis C [3] 24/8	30/14 31/22 32/17	I hasten [1] 57/24	65/19
harmed [5] 29/1 31/25 32/6 32/22	27/14 27/21	38/23 39/6 41/11 45/6	I have [17] 7/9 7/18	I was [14] 6/3 8/6
	her [3] 9/17 58/7 58/7	48/18 48/25 53/3	12/3 12/5 20/10 22/12	14/2 14/18 14/22
32/25	here [7] 1/13 1/20	54/25 57/22 61/18	31/15 39/20 48/21	14/23 16/8 39/23
has [68] 1/9 6/5 7/2 7/6 8/2 11/5 11/10	16/4 17/14 19/8 36/9	63/8 63/12 64/14	49/7 52/22 61/19	39/24 40/3 48/17
12/1 12/13 12/16 13/6	59/2	64/24 69/4 73/1 73/5	62/15 63/7 65/6 72/3	48/18 53/2 60/15
13/19 15/5 18/4 18/14	niatus [1] 46/8	76/3	75/2	I wasn't [2] 47/12
18/24 19/6 19/10 21/1	high [3] 30/25 31/1	hurtful [1] 65/15	I hope [7] 8/4 43/9	50/10
22/1 23/1 24/3 25/7	39/18	hymn [1] 3/18	62/22 62/23 63/5 69/9	I will [5] 10/17 38/10
27/9 29/3 32/5 33/13	higher [1] 24/20	<u> </u>	72/21	50/2 58/12 74/15
35/6 35/9 35/12 35/22	highly [1] 52/4	<u> </u>	I implore [1] 61/8	I won't [1] 32/12
36/7 36/8 36/10 37/18	bic [10] 8/18 12/15	I absolutely [2] 26/21	I just [11] 1/3 14/8	I would [15] 14/17
	16/6 24/2 44/14 58/20		20/21 23/12 33/20	20/4 26/22 33/17 35/2
39/21 41/15 41/20 41/21 43/4 45/13	58/21 70/5 70/16	I agree [1] 23/5	33/25 52/12 58/1	39/8 40/5 43/3 56/3
	73/18	l also [1] 59/11	64/17 67/6 67/15	57/10 59/18 62/16
45/13 45/15 47/16	historic [2] 71/18	l am [10] 11/9 22/20	I know [5] 24/9 25/7	73/7 73/9 73/20
48/25 49/10 49/22	71/19	40/13 52/6 52/22 53/3	46/17 51/3 55/9	I wrote [3] 6/2 14/19
50/19 51/16 51/24	history [1] 61/7	58/10 62/17 66/25	I leave [1] 36/16	16/8
52/18 54/11 56/12	hit [1] 17/1	70/14	I look [1] 14/17	l'm [44] 3/10 5/8 5/8
56/19 57/2 58/22 61/3	HIV [5] 24/7 24/19	I appeared [1] 13/23	I made [1] 39/22	6/15 8/10 8/11 10/4
62/15 70/13 70/20	27/21 65/3 65/5	I appreciate [6]	I may [5] 5/9 7/12	11/18 12/9 17/15
70/21 72/8 73/1 73/16 73/18 73/24 75/1 75/4	HM [3] 29/21 30/10	15/14 22/19 40/11	20/1 33/20 73/20	17/25 18/16 18/25
	64/20	40/12 69/2 74/2	I mean [6] 15/25	21/11 23/8 23/11
hasten [1] 57/24	HM Treasury [1]	I asked [3] 11/14	27/23 34/22 49/15	23/20 23/22 26/6 27/8

(25) had... - I'm

<u></u>				1
1	23/19 30/2 31/2 33/3	34/9 34/13 38/8 38/24	32/12 33/21 40/7 40/8	iust [59] 1/3 4/22
l	41/23 42/20 44/6	39/2 39/12 39/23 40/1	52/4 56/4 62/1 62/8	7/15 8/8 8/12 8/15
l'm [24] 29/12				
31/15 36/21 43/24	46/16 55/22 60/12	43/18 43/19 43/21	62/10 71/14	8/22 9/16 10/6 11/11
45/4 48/24 49/9 49/11	impunity [1] 49/23	43/22 43/25 44/18	intrinsic [1] 49/3	14/8 15/8 17/24 20/19
	incentive [1] 32/8	44/22 44/25 45/10	investigation [1]	20/21 23/12 23/20
49/11 50/6 51/20			22/14	
55/16 57/4 57/22 59/8	incidents [1] 30/7	45/20 45/21 46/10		25/6 26/7 27/6 28/8
59/23 64/15 64/16	include [1] 25/18	46/17 47/5 47/16	invitation [1] 36/16	29/5 30/14 31/16
	included [3] 25/19	47/17 47/22 49/21	invite [2] 32/18 51/21	31/21 32/18 33/14
64/22 69/14 69/19	26/15 27/13	49/24 53/14 54/2 54/6		33/20 33/25 36/25
71/13 72/24 72/25				
l've [2] 17/16 20/11	increase [1] 51/13	54/9 54/11 57/15	involve [1] 36/15	37/1 37/9 38/10 40/2
I've dealt [1] 20/11	increased [1] 29/22	58/14 58/17 58/22	involved [10] 15/15	40/22 46/4 47/23
	increasing [1] 29/18	59/10 59/22 60/25	15/22 17/21 18/10	48/10 48/14 49/4 49/6
idea [5] 1/11 3/22	incredible [1] 37/5	61/16 62/4 64/18	47/24 55/12 56/22	52/12 55/11 56/3 58/1
17/16 55/12 56/21				
identification [1]	incredibly [1] 22/21	72/19 75/10	62/21 66/3 72/8	62/6 64/14 64/15
23/10	indeed [4] 7/9 16/10	Inquiry's [8] 21/13	Irrespective [1]	64/17 64/20 65/2
	21/15 65/11	21/14 45/10 45/18	29/14	66/13 67/6 67/15
identify [1] 24/4	independent [4]	49/23 66/14 66/20	is [336]	69/13 69/15 72/25
identifying [1] 27/6	24/25 55/13 69/21	67/17		73/20 74/11
if [75] 3/6 3/7 3/8			isn't [5] 7/12 11/11	
3/13 3/19 4/8 5/16	70/3	INQY0000453 [1]	30/17 54/25 70/7	justice [18] 6/4 35/3
	independently [1]	66/4	issue [13] 5/21 11/13	35/14 36/11 37/4
5/22 5/23 6/15 7/12	12/25	INQY0000454 [1]	11/23 12/4 31/16	37/19 37/19 39/22
8/15 9/17 10/6 10/7				
13/21 14/17 15/19	INDEX [1] 75/11	67/16	38/14 39/10 43/11	53/18 54/5 54/23
16/2 16/15 19/6 20/1	indicated [1] 22/15	INQY0000456 [1]	44/21 59/4 62/18	55/19 56/10 58/13
	indicates [1] 46/14	25/8	65/22 72/6	60/22 62/19 63/3
20/23 20/25 21/6 25/6	indication [2] 22/16	INQY1000235 [1] 3/3		70/14
25/23 26/13 26/17				
28/5 29/5 29/7 29/8	47/15	inside [2] 45/19	17/21 43/3 61/16	justification [1] 71/6
29/10 31/5 31/18 32/5	individual [2] 18/17	62/11	it [242]	justly [1] 20/12
	67/10	insider [1] 17/8	it certainly [1] 69/14	
33/7 33/14 33/20 35/7	individuals [4] 24/6	insight [1] 15/21	it's [4] 7/11 31/8 32/1	K
35/17 35/19 37/3 39/8				kooping [1] /1/18
40/5 40/20 41/1 41/25	51/20 52/19 66/21	insignificant [1]	49/17	keeping [1] 41/18
42/4 42/23 48/10 50/8	inertia [1] 19/14	15/16	items [1] 28/18	key [1] 67/17
	inevitably [1] 37/15	instance [1] 54/1	its [23] 29/2 35/9	kick [1] 40/7
51/7 52/6 54/11 56/22	infected [33] 5/4	institutional [1]	37/12 41/22 41/22	kicked [2] 56/4 62/1
57/3 58/2 58/10 63/9				kind [9] 12/12 13/1
63/19 63/22 65/13	10/14 15/17 22/24	19/13	45/13 45/15 45/21	
65/21 66/5 66/8 66/13	24/7 24/16 24/19	intensive [1] 49/17	47/5 47/20 49/21 50/7	
	25/13 25/17 25/22	intent [1] 62/18	57/7 57/21 58/15	28/10 30/15 40/2
66/25 67/8 67/15	26/3 26/11 26/12	intention [1] 41/6	58/18 58/22 59/10	68/13
67/19 68/17 73/20				
74/12	26/18 27/7 27/21	interaction [1] 39/16	59/11 59/22 61/16	kindly [1] 24/17
ignore [3] 36/6 36/8	37/16 38/13 41/8	interest [1] 7/1	67/17 74/4	kinds [2] 23/17 32/24
	41/25 50/5 52/9 53/12	interests [2] 1/16	itself [2] 41/13 66/19	Kingdom [1] 25/17
49/22	53/13 54/7 56/1 56/2	52/8		Kit [1] 15/20
imagine [1] 45/20			J	knew [3] 16/5 38/17
immediate [4] 53/13	60/6 61/3 61/9 65/3	interim [59] 12/3		
53/21 54/21 66/11	65/5 67/21	12/21 14/21 14/25	JEREMY [3] 1/25	53/2
	infections [3] 32/14	15/4 15/7 15/15 21/12	39/6 76/3	know [49] 6/1 12/22
immediately [1]	68/2 70/23	25/24 26/1 26/3 26/16	Jeremy Hunt [1] 39/6	13/9 15/9 15/19 15/25
10/24	influence [1] 29/20		job [4] 4/10 4/15 4/16	
impact [1] 37/10				18/19 18/20 20/11
imperative [2] 10/13	information [8] 14/6	34/3 35/11 42/10 43/5		
38/12	16/14 22/18 25/10	43/8 45/15 46/11 47/2	John [1] 10/24	22/3 22/17 22/20
	28/7 41/17 41/24 71/1	47/8 47/15 47/18 48/6	Johnson [2] 39/1	22/22 24/9 25/7 26/24
implacable [1] 7/6	injustice [14] 33/13	48/9 48/24 50/21	56/12	28/7 33/1 35/22 35/22
implement [2] 43/17			joint [1] 53/5	35/24 35/25 36/7
57/14	37/16 51/17 53/23	51/11 52/16 52/18		
implementation [1]	54/16 60/9 62/6 70/22	52/19 52/24 53/11	journalists [2] 19/7	37/18 39/6 41/12 42/8
	70/22 71/16 71/19	55/5 55/5 55/8 56/16	23/15	44/18 46/17 50/3 51/3
43/21	71/20 72/2 72/11	56/24 57/15 58/23	journey [1] 12/12	51/6 52/18 55/9 59/18
implementing [2]		59/20 60/5 66/5 66/8	judgment [2] 35/13	61/6 61/9 61/10 62/3
37/14 42/12	injustice' [1] 60/17			
implications [6]	injustices [1] 32/9	66/15 67/2 67/10 68/9		62/6 62/13 63/14
	innocent [2] 53/24	68/10 68/16 71/21	July [7] 1/1 2/14 2/15	65/18 70/8 71/24
10/10 12/23 13/1 13/2	60/10	71/25 73/22 74/1 74/9		72/18
13/3 42/14			58/6	knowing [1] 37/4
implore [1] 61/8	inquiries [2] 23/8	internal [1] 6/18		
importance [2] 26/24	57/6	interpret [1] 23/19	July 16 [1] 20/23	knows [1] 59/19
42/6	inquiry [58] 1/14 1/16	interpretations [1]	July 2018 [1] 2/14	
	2/12 6/20 6/25 7/2	49/8	July 2019 [1] 2/15	L
important [14] 11/12		into [15] 14/11 15/21	July 2023 [1] 1/1	lack [4] 34/11 41/10
22/6 22/21 23/16			June [2] 10/19 50/11	41/13 71/1
	28/3 28/7 31/3 34/7	19/1 22/14 29/10		
1	1			1

L	legislation [1] 42/2	looking [6] 2/25 6/13	masked [1] 49/18	26/10 26/19 33/21
landed [1] 34/15	length [6] 12/23 42/1	20/14 22/4 24/13 25/1	material [1] 19/24	34/24 35/16 36/23
Langstaff [2] 53/14	44/4 55/13 69/13	looks [1] 59/13	materialising [1]	41/19 41/21 42/3
58/20	69/16	losses [1] 53/1	27/20	42/12 48/7 48/8 49/24
language [1] 65/9	less [4] 36/24 36/25 41/11 41/17	lost [2] 55/21 73/24 lot [14] 4/8 12/1	maternity [3] 31/5 31/10 31/11	51/25 52/3 65/8 66/1 67/14 67/15
large [11] 9/14 9/23	let [3] 1/10 28/7 61/8	14/11 15/5 28/11	Matt [3] 14/20 53/6	Mike [1] 39/14
13/2 13/4 14/10 18/10	let's [5] 9/10 9/13	28/11 39/10 39/15	60/7	Mike Dorricott [1]
24/8 46/2 47/25 55/18	39/1 39/2 63/22	40/9 40/10 46/20 47/8		39/14
62/21	letter [15] 6/2 8/16	49/5 55/23	14/20 53/6 60/7	million [2] 9/13 9/13
last [31] 2/12 3/4 6/10 7/7 7/9 7/21 8/23	8/18 8/19 16/8 53/3	lots [4] 4/10 4/10	matter [6] 7/1 34/2	mind [3] 10/24 52/22
10/17 10/22 14/3	53/5 54/23 57/25	7/24 42/4	48/8 58/24 64/15 73/9	
14/19 15/7 15/13	59/14 59/24 60/7	lucky [1] 58/10	matters [4] 42/1 42/5	mindset [1] 19/21
19/12 25/25 28/13	60/12 60/20 65/13	Μ	50/1 67/23	minimise [1] 56/25
29/16 30/22 31/22	letters [6] 64/19 64/24 64/25 65/1 65/7		may [36] 5/9 7/12	minister [17] 3/15
33/17 34/5 35/25	65/14	13/7 19/7 19/19 22/1	10/18 10/20 11/4 12/21 16/11 16/17	4/8 5/20 11/25 12/16 16/1 16/5 22/15 39/11
50/10 50/14 53/4	level [6] 5/11 11/17	23/6 24/1 25/24 26/15		47/3 53/8 53/9 54/3
59/23 60/3 60/18	19/4 19/6 19/10 19/11	26/23 27/19 27/25	24/7 24/15 33/20	58/10 60/4 72/5 73/17
60/25 67/25 74/21	levels [1] 46/18	28/3 28/12 35/9 39/22		ministerial [10] 8/17
lasting [1] 54/14	liability [1] 30/23	40/18 40/23 41/15	39/19 39/21 48/3	11/13 11/17 11/22
late [1] 54/17 later [6] 10/17 12/10	lies [1] 33/23	41/20 41/21 43/4 44/7	48/11 51/21 52/9	11/23 17/19 18/24
12/22 14/5 17/25	life [3] 18/22 35/21	45/19 46/3 47/13 49/2	02,1000,2201,001,0	
61/17	71/8	50/12 55/5 56/6 60/5	64/9 65/18 67/16	ministers [20] 7/14
latter [1] 33/11	like [17] 5/5 9/24	62/15 66/16 67/1 68/10 68/17	68/15 73/14 73/20	10/23 12/25 16/25
Lauren [1] 65/4	10/21 20/6 20/17 22/18 35/7 39/14	magnitude [4] 15/16	74/5 74/10 me [18] 1/10 7/11	18/7 18/12 18/21 18/25 20/6 20/18
Lawrence [14] 3/3	40/11 42/20 48/10	21/19 38/18 72/15	11/11 13/23 16/7	20/18 34/11 40/6
3/9 8/15 8/23 10/7	57/11 59/13 60/20	main [1] 58/8	24/17 36/5 40/2 49/10	
16/15 20/24 29/7 29/10 40/20 58/4	73/7 73/9 73/20	major [2] 6/24 73/12	51/4 51/19 52/9 57/8	55/14 59/3 72/7
64/23 66/4 67/19	likely [8] 9/22 19/9	Major's [1] 10/24	59/13 62/17 63/11	minor [1] 73/9
lawyers [1] 1/15	24/6 24/14 24/15 25/3		65/12 75/1	minutes [3] 63/18
lead [3] 6/22 8/25	42/12 54/10	make [42] 4/17 4/20	mean [15] 15/25	63/22 63/22
44/4	limit [2] 9/13 9/18	9/6 9/16 11/24 12/24 13/16 13/16 14/21	18/20 23/5 27/23 31/5	
leadership [1] 58/11	line [2] 3/11 9/24 line 22 [1] 3/11	15/24 18/22 20/18	34/22 47/12 49/15 54/9 56/18 56/22 57/8	31/14 31/19 33/6 33/7
leads [1] 44/23	lines [1] 35/21	21/18 31/3 33/7 35/3	57/11 69/23 69/25	48/21
leak [2] 22/7 23/8	listening [8] 11/7	35/12 36/3 37/22 41/7		model [1] 69/25
leaked [2] 22/19 23/4	13/22 38/19 42/20	44/9 45/17 45/21 46/5	10/14	modelling [4] 18/7
leaks [14] 17/15 17/17 18/16 18/19	55/10 62/10 65/19	46/14 46/24 47/15	means [2] 4/21 63/23	
18/21 19/3 19/19	73/15	48/1 49/5 50/21 56/10		modern [1] 33/5
19/23 20/2 22/4 22/14	little [5] 6/9 8/8 23/20	56/19 56/23 57/17	measured [1] 30/19	moment [4] 1/19
22/19 22/25 23/17	33/20 38/17	57/20 58/8 59/22	mechanism [3] 22/25	
learn [3] 31/1 31/3	live [1] 1/18	65/19 67/4 72/11 74/8 75/5		money [23] 3/20 4/21 9/14 9/16 9/22 13/3
45/12	lives [1] 61/5 living [3] 20/7 25/22	makes [2] 15/25 27/3	media [2] 23/1 32/20 meeting [1] 11/16	14/11 15/14 18/12
learning [2] 31/13	56/1	making [26] 2/23	meetings [10] 8/11	28/11 28/12 31/18
31/19	long [19] 13/18 14/1	5/18 8/10 12/18 13/8	11/15 11/22 12/4 13/9	
learnt [1] 47/21 least [8] 32/20 38/21	14/3 29/20 33/13	15/9 27/14 27/15 34/1	14/5 21/2 38/18 40/9	45/24 45/24 45/25
42/3 44/1 53/15 57/4	37/18 38/5 39/3 39/13	34/22 36/19 37/13	42/22	55/12 55/17 55/18
60/19 74/12	40/7 44/18 46/9 56/4	41/9 42/17 43/11	Melanie [1] 58/7	62/21
leave [6] 26/13 36/16	60/15 61/3 62/1 70/13	44/25 45/9 45/13 51/25 53/10 57/16	Member [1] 2/5	month [1] 11/3
38/21 54/14 64/2	71/4 72/8		Members [1] 41/6 memorable [1] 19/13	months [7] 12/6 14/4 17/24 43/22 44/1
68/11	long-term [1] 29/20 longer [4] 7/6 49/22	71/15	memorable [1] 19/13 memory [2] 24/18	50/20 51/1
leaving [2] 12/8	63/23 71/3	Malthouse's [1]	30/21	moral [14] 8/3 8/21
73/24	look [20] 5/5 8/15	15/20	merit [2] 48/7 48/7	16/24 36/10 40/13
left [8] 1/9 1/15 3/10 12/18 16/17 18/2 29/9	8/22 10/6 14/17 17/22	many [12] 1/12 11/6	met [2] 11/20 39/13	40/25 45/16 51/2
29/10	19/23 25/6 26/11	11/15 20/3 26/18 37/3		70/15 70/19 71/2 71/6
left-hand [5] 3/10	26/19 28/8 28/21 29/5	42/8 49/13 50/25 63/2	middle [1] 50/14	71/11 72/9
16/17 18/2 29/9 29/10	29/5 31/5 32/24 50/8	68/16 71/21 March [1] 50/14	might [31] 5/5 5/19	Mordaunt [4] 37/25
legacy [1] 54/15	57/23 63/4 66/4 looked [3] 5/6 8/17	markedly [1] 21/22	11/9 16/9 17/3 19/2 19/11 19/20 19/21	39/3 43/13 44/13 more [30] 3/20 5/9
legal [2] 29/19 65/13	21/11	Mary [2] 1/19 1/24	22/8 23/25 24/18	5/15 13/7 15/5 18/3

[
M	39/3 43/13	28/25 29/2 29/4 29/19		open [2] 7/17 31/20
more [24] 18/22	Ms Palmer [1] 65/19	30/18 31/12 31/16	obtaining [1] 4/2	openness [1] 34/11
	Ms Richards [5] 1/22	32/13 32/15 32/22	obviously [13] 3/21	operational [1] 66/19
22/17 27/2 28/12	2/2 7/12 39/9 76/4	32/25 33/2 61/7	11/11 24/8 25/18	opportunity [7] 51/22
28/19 31/10 31/19				
33/11 35/18 36/13	much [13] 12/17	NHS Resolution [3]	26/14 28/11 38/16	54/14 54/17 61/8
37/1 38/17 46/18 49/5	15/24 24/20 30/23	28/24 28/25 29/4	47/2 49/15 55/17	63/10 74/13 74/14
	31/13 36/13 40/2 42/7	NHS-related [1]	58/11 58/24 63/8	opposed [3] 6/14
54/4 54/5 54/13 54/18	46/4 48/4 55/21 56/5	32/13	occasion [1] 4/5	30/5 44/1
54/22 57/9 62/7 65/11				
74/22 75/7	74/17	no [24] 3/23 4/3 4/10	occasionally [1]	opposition [1] 7/6
	must [1] 41/7	4/16 5/10 7/5 17/16	17/15	options [4] 8/12
morning [1] 42/24	my [17] 5/15 7/22	18/24 19/24 20/17	occupies [1] 1/7	10/11 21/4 38/6
most [4] 18/20 24/20	10/3 12/2 17/18 22/5	24/1 32/5 33/23 49/21		options,' [1] 17/8
44/16 71/7				
mother [1] 55/21	23/7 28/15 32/8 39/14		October [1] 2/18	or [45] 1/19 2/10 5/11
mothers [1] 55/20	41/6 45/23 48/7 57/22	68/15 70/5 71/6 71/25	off [2] 28/17 38/8	8/12 9/19 10/2 17/18
	59/21 66/25 75/8	73/1 73/4 75/2	office [6] 8/25 9/5	18/4 18/17 18/18
motivation [1] 23/4	myself [2] 11/24	None [1] 52/13	12/16 53/17 57/24	18/24 18/25 19/18
motives [1] 20/2	17/18	nor [2] 35/22 52/20	69/20	20/18 22/24 23/23
move [2] 23/20 40/17	17/18			
moving [1] 51/18	N	normal [2] 9/8 14/10	offices [1] 61/14	24/7 24/20 27/16
		not [99]	official [4] 11/17 17/9	27/21 30/21 31/24
MP [1] 60/7	Nadhim [1] 15/10	note [3] 10/19 25/9	19/10 72/5	34/24 36/22 37/7
MR [45] 1/25 2/3 3/5	Nadhim Zahawi [1]	26/20	officials [10] 10/12	40/24 41/16 44/13
5/15 8/16 8/18 10/17	15/10			
10/23 12/14 15/20		nothing [3] 40/1	11/21 16/21 17/2 17/5	49/25 50/5 51/1 54/3
19/16 21/11 24/1	name [2] 7/21 58/7	53/20 55/2	17/13 17/14 19/22	56/24 57/6 61/5 61/24
	names [1] 18/17	notice [1] 73/11	20/10 72/8	61/25 64/8 67/4 67/22
25/16 26/8 29/12	narrower [1] 48/19	novel [2] 12/23 69/18	often [1] 22/5	68/3 68/11 69/15
30/14 31/22 32/17	national [2] 4/17	November [1] 14/3	Oh [1] 59/17	73/24 73/25
38/23 38/25 40/19				
40/23 41/11 41/15	69/20	now [43] 4/14 6/11	Okay [3] 50/15 50/20	oral [1] 38/16
45/6 48/18 48/25 53/3	naturally [1] 1/6	7/2 10/20 12/14 12/18	59/17	order [2] 41/8 72/17
	nature [2] 17/4 74/24	13/16 15/14 16/25	on [69] 1/5 3/8 5/13	organisation [1]
54/25 57/22 59/24	nebulous [1] 11/7	17/13 18/3 21/11	6/16 8/19 8/24 9/16	66/22
61/18 61/19 63/8				
63/12 64/14 64/24	necessary [8] 14/9	27/20 30/4 35/22	10/2 10/9 10/10 10/12	
65/2 65/18 69/4 73/1	38/10 46/19 47/7	36/12 36/24 38/21	10/18 10/19 12/23	27/13 57/13 66/2
	51/10 62/19 71/3	38/25 39/2 41/10	14/14 17/18 20/2 20/9	original [1] 70/23
73/1 73/5 76/3	72/16	41/19 42/10 43/1 43/1		originally [2] 39/19
Mr and [2] 65/2 65/18	need [28] 3/25 6/4			
Mr Burnham [2]		43/19 44/20 44/23	23/20 24/5 24/13 25/9	
38/25 61/19	14/24 29/5 31/13	46/13 51/18 55/7	26/10 26/21 27/25	other [20] 19/14
	37/22 37/24 38/14	58/19 60/23 61/4	28/2 28/5 29/12 29/20	20/19 27/4 28/16
Mr Burnham's [1]	42/2 42/17 47/14 49/4	61/18 63/10 64/1	30/2 31/10 32/18	32/13 34/11 41/20
59/24	50/3 54/2 54/20 55/6	64/17 65/6 65/12	33/13 34/17 37/8	45/2 45/4 45/21 49/20
Mr Hunt [28] 2/3 3/5				
5/15 10/17 10/23	55/19 57/12 57/13	65/21 68/8 74/2	37/18 38/10 38/18	53/21 55/7 57/25
19/16 21/11 25/16	58/19 60/16 61/10	number [21] 1/12	42/8 43/15 44/6 44/7	58/13 59/3 59/15
	62/7 62/7 62/20 63/8	3/17 3/17 7/23 10/23	44/15 45/15 46/18	68/21 70/18 70/22
26/8 29/12 30/14	66/1 66/21	15/23 22/12 24/14	47/3 47/16 49/2 49/25	
31/22 32/17 41/11	needed [2] 3/20 14/1	24/15 24/21 25/24	51/9 51/13 53/2 53/14	
45/6 48/18 48/25 53/3				
54/25 57/22 61/18	needs [2] 6/15 52/8	26/9 28/14 51/20	57/9 58/1 59/23 60/11	
63/8 63/12 64/14	neglect [1] 53/25	54/10 57/12 61/18	60/25 62/11 64/21	otherwise [4] 1/17
	negligence [3] 29/16	65/6 67/1 67/23 68/8	67/3 69/13 70/8 73/11	7/15 19/25 37/23
64/24 69/4 73/1 73/5	30/4 30/5	Number 10 [2] 3/17	74/15 75/1	our [17] 1/7 4/21 15/1
MR JEREMY HUNT	nervous [1] 43/4	15/23		17/20 18/22 21/25
[2] 1/25 76/3			once [1] 5/9	
Mr Quin [7] 8/16 8/18	never [4] 27/19 32/8	Number 10's [1] 7/23		28/1 28/5 29/20 36/1
12/14 24/1 40/19	50/19 52/20	Number 11 [1] 3/17	13/23 13/25 14/25	38/2 48/1 51/9 52/15
	new [7] 10/25 54/3	numbers [12] 19/1	17/8 18/1 20/21 22/9	56/5 57/9 57/21
40/23 41/15	57/12 57/13 66/1	23/25 24/6 24/17	22/9 22/12 23/9 29/6	our thought [1]
Mr Skelton [1] 73/1	66/21 67/7	25/11 26/12 26/15	31/2 36/22 41/19 54/7	52/15
Mr Zahawi's [1]				
15/20	news [2] 52/5 52/12	26/22 27/7 28/3 56/22		out [20] 19/25 23/3
MRIC000001 [1]	newspaper [5] 16/13	67/14	57/19 57/23 58/16	25/9 25/11 33/16
	18/1 20/21 22/4 42/24	nurse [1] 31/11	60/15 67/1 70/10	33/24 36/1 42/1 42/5
58/5	next [13] 3/16 5/1		73/18	45/24 52/2 53/23 60/9
Mrs [2] 65/2 65/18	5/16 16/23 31/7 44/3	0		61/19 65/14 66/12
MRSA [1] 32/14			ongoing [2] 45/7	
Ms [8] 1/22 2/2 7/12	44/23 48/19 53/18	oath [1] 1/20	70/25	67/11 67/23 69/19
39/3 39/9 43/13 65/19	58/15 58/23 65/22	objective [1] 57/21	only [5] 7/13 16/25	71/13
	66/13	observations [1]	29/5 36/7 63/21	outcome [1] 47/5
76/4	NHS [18] 14/1 14/2	49/25	onto [3] 12/10 39/7	outset [1] 50/3
Ms Mordaunt [2]	16/19 28/23 28/24	obstetrician [1]	48/18	outside [5] 4/4 4/6
L	1	L	1	(28) more - outside

0	69/17 69/22	55/20 55/25 56/3	pointed [1] 65/25	pretty [1] 3/22
outside [3] 5/6	Parliamentary [1]	56/24 62/10 66/10	points [1] 29/6	previous [4] 18/5
40/11 46/1	69/21 part [18] 8/17 11/18	68/22 71/7 72/13 73/23 74/11	policies [1] 19/18 policy [4] 8/19 10/10	30/12 38/21 66/25
over [18] 12/5 17/6	15/18 16/7 22/9 36/18		40/10 57/5	previously [7] 2/25 15/6 27/20 30/9 33/16
23/2 23/19 24/6 24/16	49/3 55/25 56/5 60/15		politely [1] 20/1	38/17 52/20
25/23 29/16 32/10	62/17 65/25 66/7	13/21 26/8 28/8 28/19		primarily [1] 12/18
32/22 38/14 41/14	70/19 71/14 73/12	32/23 36/16 49/13	44/2	primary [2] 30/6 42/2
50/4 50/25 52/8 53/19	73/22 74/2	73/8	politicians [3] 15/22	Prime [15] 3/15 4/8
70/21 74/4 over-interpret [1]	participants [8] 1/13	period [9] 5/18 6/13	20/8 62/7	5/20 11/25 16/1 16/5
23/19	22/13 28/14 63/10	8/6 12/5 15/13 38/5	position [17] 1/7 7/15	22/15 39/11 47/3 53/8
overall [1] 3/23	63/14 64/13 72/25	46/9 53/16 70/25	7/19 8/2 8/2 13/10	53/9 54/3 58/10 60/4
overdue [1] 39/3	76/5	periods [1] 38/21	21/21 44/20 45/1 45/3	
overwhelming [1]	particular [7] 7/5	permission [1] 58/7	51/5 59/8 67/4 71/22	Prime Minister [15]
20/4	19/24 26/7 28/15	permit [1] 51/4	72/1 73/23 74/8	3/15 4/8 5/20 11/25
own [12] 9/17 12/2	43/24 52/22 64/16	person [7] 6/3 22/7 23/11 23/16 39/10	positive [1] 54/15	16/1 16/5 22/15 39/11 47/3 53/8 53/9 54/3
12/10 12/11 27/8	particularly [1] 71/15 partners [5] 25/15	45/25 54/7	possession [2] 22/8 23/18	58/10 60/4 73/17
34/21 35/1 39/14	25/18 26/4 53/12	personal [1] 39/15	possibility [3] 56/14	principle [4] 21/24
45/15 49/18 55/21	68/11	Personally [1] 30/24	65/23 66/1	34/2 36/18 42/3
65/11	passage [1] 29/6	persons [3] 25/13	possible [10] 4/20	prior [2] 27/10 27/16
Р	passed [2] 39/15	25/22 26/3	6/5 15/6 40/16 42/7	priorities [1] 73/18
- pace [4] 11/5 11/11	60/19	perspective [7] 3/1	44/10 59/12 59/20	prioritisation [1] 59/2
15/6 37/8	passing [1] 70/8	4/12 4/14 12/15 12/16	63/6 69/12	prioritise [1] 26/25
package [2] 48/5	passionately [1]	14/14 21/13	possibly [10] 7/8	priority [4] 5/19 16/3
49/4	53/17	persuaded [2] 39/11	26/8 37/20 37/24	59/4 62/2
packages [1] 21/3	past [1] 60/24	39/19	44/21 46/15 55/6	private [1] 42/19
page [15] 3/6 3/7 3/8	pay [6] 4/17 4/18	phrase [6] 10/17 11/5		privilege [1] 1/21
6/18 8/22 20/24 25/13	4/19 33/9 34/8 50/12	31/24 33/17 35/2	postpone [1] 5/17	probably [2] 1/11
25/23 29/7 29/9 40/21	payable [1] 34/25 payment [9] 43/5	71/18 phrases [1] 31/23	Postponing [1] 54/22	4/15
66/6 67/19 67/20 76/2	56/24 66/8 67/10	pick [9] 3/7 3/10	posture [1] 50/24 potential [9] 18/10	problem [3] 23/12 34/21 35/7
page 3 [1] 67/19	67/12 68/9 68/14	20/25 29/8 29/12	24/13 30/16 38/2	proceed [2] 8/24
page 4 [2] 20/24	68/18 69/7	40/18 41/1 66/9 69/13		65/17
40/21	payments [37] 14/21	picture [2] 22/10	56/9 56/20	proceeding [1] 9/25
page 5 [1] 29/7 page 61 [1] 66/6	15/7 15/15 25/24	23/18	potentially [13] 7/1	process [23] 4/2 4/7
page 7 [1] 3/6	25/25 26/3 26/16	piece [1] 14/7	9/23 13/1 13/4 13/5	4/14 5/7 8/8 8/13 9/4
page 7 [1] 5/0 page 87 [1] 6/18	26/23 27/1 27/15	place [5] 32/3 32/11	36/15 42/4 44/3 46/2	10/4 10/25 11/20
pages [1] 6/17	27/15 28/12 29/17	33/8 52/7 61/16	47/24 55/18 56/21	15/19 19/23 36/19
pages 15 [1] 6/17	47/15 47/19 48/6 48/9		62/21	42/17 44/8 45/9 46/14
paid [7] 30/21 30/23	48/24 50/22 52/17	places [1] 18/8	pounds [2] 30/16	56/15 56/18 57/19
35/5 43/7 46/10 46/11	52/19 53/11 53/15	plainly [1] 52/12	30/19	62/25 63/14 71/15
59/20	54/1 55/5 56/19 56/23 59/20 60/5 66/15	plan [3] 14/2 14/3 71/23	power [2] 58/18 60/23	processes [9] 2/24 12/11 12/11 14/12
painfully [1] 40/12	68/10 68/16 69/8	plans [1] 18/11	powers [2] 49/22	37/13 57/12 57/17
Palmer [2] 65/4	71/22 71/25 74/1 74/9		49/24	57/18 63/5
65/19	payouts [2] 21/7	42/23	PPE [1] 28/18	produce [1] 50/18
papers [1] 40/10 paragraph [14] 8/23	54/21	please [22] 1/7 3/3	practical [1] 56/11	produced [2] 12/3
10/8 10/9 16/23 20/25	penalising [1] 34/25	3/9 8/15 8/22 10/7	practice [4] 9/24 42/5	
29/13 33/15 33/16	Penny [2] 37/25	16/15 20/22 20/24	47/4 62/8	production [1] 45/10
41/1 58/9 66/9 66/13	44/13	21/6 28/7 28/21 28/21		products [2] 67/22
67/8 67/25	Penny Mordaunt [2]	29/7 29/9 40/20 40/21		68/3
paragraph 11 [4]	37/25 44/13	58/4 63/25 66/4 67/16		Programme [1]
10/8 10/9 33/15 33/16	people [48] 1/17 8/4	67/19	precise [1] 31/24	60/11
parallel [1] 48/10	20/2 20/3 22/22 23/1 23/15 24/15 24/18	pleasure [1] 31/21	precisely [2] 6/21 44/14	progress [15] 11/22 13/6 13/8 41/7 41/9
parcel [1] 36/19	26/11 26/23 27/4	plight [1] 70/18 pm [6] 1/2 63/24	precision [1] 48/8	41/16 41/17 41/20
pardon [1] 48/20	27/19 28/2 29/1 31/25		prefer [1] 32/10	43/4 43/11 49/5 51/7
parent [1] 73/25	32/4 32/21 37/3 37/21	75/9	prepared [1] 73/10	57/20 74/6 74/8
parents [7] 52/23 52/23 65/2 65/4 66/12	39/13 39/16 40/13	point [11] 15/11	present [3] 18/13	promise [2] 50/16
73/24 73/25	41/12 41/18 42/20	26/17 28/1 28/15	61/12 71/20	50/17
Parliament [7] 2/5	42/21 43/3 47/9 48/6	36/18 40/17 49/21	press [3] 1/15 7/17	proper [2] 27/23
22/23 22/24 23/6 54/3	48/11 50/4 54/5 54/10		52/3	63/17
	54/13 55/7 55/10	71/13	pretend [1] 37/23	properly [1] 72/4
		•	•	(29) outside - properly

(29) outside... - properly

Ρ	70/12	received [8] 10/5	registration [4] 56/15	67/7
proposing [2] 6/16	questions [16] 1/22	10/9 10/19 26/3 52/20		requires [1] 3/13
72/24	2/22 33/25 37/10	55/2 71/21 71/25	regular [1] 12/7	resistance [1] 19/18
proposition [2] 34/20	57/22 63/7 63/11 63/15 64/13 64/14	receiving [2] 10/18 61/13	related [2] 32/13 40/17	Resolution [4] 28/23 28/24 28/25 29/4
36/18	64/17 65/6 72/24 73/2		relates [1] 65/22	resolve [13] 37/21
provided [3] 10/2	73/3 76/5	recognise [10] 6/1	relation [18] 9/7 15/7	37/24 40/15 44/21
24/9 64/19	quick [2] 6/5 46/14	13/13 22/2 26/24 50/2		51/17 60/13 61/3
provides [2] 48/13	quickly [21] 10/13	55/22 60/17 66/10	28/14 28/18 30/5 30/6	62/18 63/5 71/11 72/9
63/10 providing [1] 28/6	15/24 16/3 16/12 27/2	67/12 70/13	34/3 37/10 47/10 59/6	72/10 72/15
provision [5] 29/20	37/24 38/13 38/22	recognised [3] 47/14		resolved [2] 20/12
29/22 29/24 30/3	40/15 59/12 59/20	68/9 70/16	69/7	35/19
32/21	60/5 60/8 60/16 63/6	recognising [3]	relationship [1]	resolving [1] 62/23
provisos [1] 62/15	66/17 69/12 71/11	10/15 33/18 48/11	43/20	respect [2] 28/6
psychological [1]	72/10 72/22 75/6	recognition [1] 70/18	68/19	73/25
41/14	Quin [7] 8/16 8/18 12/14 24/1 40/19	recommendation [15] 11/24 39/22	relatively [2] 9/15	respects [1] 73/9 respond [2] 10/14
public [11] 3/23 6/20	40/23 41/15	47/20 53/22 55/4 55/8		64/25
6/25 10/15 16/22 17/4	quite [8] 10/21 11/2	56/16 66/7 66/8 66/20		responding [1] 29/15
19/1 28/5 29/15 38/24	12/6 20/1 22/5 23/18	67/9 67/12 68/17	69/9	response [7] 8/16
58/17	39/24 51/13	68/18 70/9	relevant [1] 28/20	15/3 47/8 50/18 58/3
publication [1] 15/2 publications [1]	quoted [1] 17/14	recommendation 12	remain [4] 1/8 4/13	61/21 74/25
23/14	R	[2] 56/16 66/7	42/5 64/1	responsibility [7]
publicity [1] 52/3		recommendations	remaining [1] 30/12	7/10 37/20 50/7 51/16
publish [2] 59/11	radical [1] 21/13	[25] 6/22 7/2 13/12	remains [2] 29/20	61/1 61/24 71/5
72/22	raise [1] 64/15 raised [4] 12/19	15/1 21/14 34/3 35/10		responsible [9] 19/4 22/7 22/17 23/11
published [6] 13/13	56/11 56/13 70/17	45/22 47/6 49/23 51/6	remarkable [1] 39/13	23/17 29/1 29/4 40/3
13/18 15/5 16/16 38/4	range [3] 21/8 28/15	55/11 56/7 56/8 57/14		46/21
54/19	69/25	58/25 59/11 67/1	reminded [1] 70/14	rest [2] 24/19 24/20
purdah [1] 44/4	rare [1] 1/20	68/22 69/1 70/5	Removing [1] 30/9	restraint [1] 34/19
purpose [6] 13/7 13/8 13/14 19/22	rarely [1] 23/9	recommended [2]	repeatedly [1] 11/6	result [7] 23/19 24/22
30/14 32/17	rate [3] 29/22 29/24	52/19 53/13	report [52] 12/3 12/9	25/2 46/6 53/19 61/6
purposes [2] 24/10	30/10	reductions [1] 29/22	13/12 13/15 13/17	71/9
31/3	rates [1] 29/21	refer [3] 3/16 9/18	14/25 15/4 21/12	return [1] 54/3
purse [1] 29/15	rather [5] 15/9 24/14 25/6 36/22 65/12	10/18	21/15 24/3 26/2 27/3	review [6] 4/7 4/23
pursuant [1] 25/25		reference [6] 16/23 16/24 21/1 24/2 40/18	28/22 29/8 34/4 35/11	5/1 5/7 5/16 15/1 reviews [3] 4/3 4/5
push [1] 15/23	reached [1] 12/13	55/14	43/9 44/14 45/11	4/22
pushed [1] 58/16	reaching [1] 10/25	references [2] 4/1	45/12 47/2 47/5 47/8	Richards [5] 1/22 2/2
put [17] 6/16 9/24	read [7] 31/21 33/16	6/15	49/7 49/16 49/19	7/12 39/9 76/4
19/1 26/17 28/10 32/23 34/20 38/10	38/10 54/19 58/2 59/7	referred [6] 30/8	49/21 50/11 50/13	right [19] 14/13 23/5
39/8 40/10 53/2 54/15	59/9	41/10 66/2 67/9 67/13		29/11 30/17 32/5 33/5
58/1 59/23 64/20	ready [1] 43/17	68/6	52/18 52/24 55/5 55/9	33/10 35/16 35/19
72/25 74/3	realistic [2] 26/18	referring [1] 30/14	56/16 58/23 59/1	36/13 39/12 46/2
Putin [1] 36/2	27/18 really [10] 23/12	refers [1] 41/3	61/17 66/5 66/14 67/2	46/21 47/23 48/16
puts [1] 71/7	23/16 27/6 32/18	reflect [2] 74/15 75/1 reflecting [1] 67/3	69/22 70/16 73/22 75/5	54/15 60/14 60/24 68/25
putting [2] 33/5 62/8	42/22 49/17 50/2	reflections [1] 37/7	reported [1] 54/11	right-hand [1] 29/11
Q	51/12 69/3 73/21	reflects [1] 7/18	reporting [1] 52/3	righting [1] 33/12
	reason [11] 16/7	refusal [1] 71/4	reports [6] 12/21	RILT0002136 [1]
quadrupled [1] 18/4 Quality [2] 70/2 70/7	16/11 19/2 19/24 22/3	refuse [2] 34/23	21/9 21/17 21/21	17/23
question [24] 5/15	25/4 30/25 31/23	53/22	45/15 69/16	rise [2] 19/11 29/16
6/19 10/3 12/8 22/12	34/23 49/14 51/24	refused [1] 34/6	represent [1] 12/6	rising [1] 29/19
34/17 37/10 44/6	reasonable [2] 47/23		representatives [3]	RLIT0002137 [1]
44/24 45/4 48/3 48/17	51/5 reasonably [3] 50/9	70/17	1/14 65/10 65/14	16/15 BLIT0002147 [4]
48/19 48/21 48/25	66/17 75/6	regarded [1] 9/6 regarding [1] 8/10	represented [1] 11/19	RLIT0002147 [1] 20/22
49/1 49/6 52/16 54/25	reasons [2] 20/3	register [1] 26/13	representing [1] 1/15	
60/13 65/22 69/13	58/16	registered [5] 25/22	represents [1] 48/13	28/21
71/16 73/21 Questioned [2] 2/2	reassurance [1]	26/12 28/2 56/25	reputation [1] 52/7	Robert [16] 12/20
76/4	61/25	65/24	request [1] 73/21	13/15 14/25 21/15
questioning [1]	reassure [1] 56/3	registering [1] 65/23	requests [1] 4/11	35/11 38/1 42/9 43/14
120000000101	recall [1] 47/18	registrants [1] 25/12	require [2] 58/18	44/13 45/14 50/11
•				(30) proposing - Pobort

(30) proposing - Robert

R	says [7] 8/23 16/17	65/1 65/15 65/16	54/12	28/10 30/15 33/21
	21/15 31/6 31/9 41/5	74/23	since [9] 2/5 2/18	36/9 41/24 42/3 46/16
Robert [5] 50/13	60/1	senior [2] 15/22 16/2	12/2 14/15 27/15	47/21 48/11 49/13
51/9 70/1 70/15 71/10	scale [2] 13/5 18/5	sense [9] 12/12 20/7	27/15 51/12 54/6	52/25 54/6 55/10 56/6
Robert's [2] 51/6	scandal [18] 6/6	20/10 23/22 30/15	60/19	59/17 66/2 69/5 73/9
55/8	16/20 20/12 21/8	39/21 44/10 55/1	singing [1] 3/18	Somebody [1] 29/2
role [3] 2/23 11/12	21/10 24/22 35/20	68/16	single [5] 20/15	someone [4] 1/6 3/2
28/24	39/17 46/23 50/7	sensible [1] 65/11	29/19 45/25 56/8 70/4	22/8 32/5
roles [1] 2/4	52/13 52/14 53/12	sent [6] 25/7 57/25	sir [32] 10/24 12/20	something [25] 4/8
roller [1] 70/16	53/20 60/6 61/4 71/9	59/14 64/24 65/13	13/15 14/24 14/25	6/11 6/20 11/1 15/23
room [3] 1/16 45/25	72/9	65/16	15/2 20/17 21/15	16/3 16/4 16/9 19/21
46/1	scaremongering [1]	September [1] 16/6	35/11 38/1 42/9 43/14	
rough [1] 28/9	19/23	series [1] 41/3	44/13 45/14 50/11	57/3 57/6 57/11 59/19
round [4] 8/17 9/12	scenarios [1] 25/4	seriousness [1]	50/13 51/3 51/6 51/9	61/25 62/1 64/16
11/1 17/20	scheme [12] 17/3	62/17	53/14 53/22 55/8	65/15 66/23 72/3
route [1] 45/21	17/7 25/12 26/11	servants [2] 19/22	58/20 63/7 63/19 70/1	
routes [1] 38/2	27/10 30/17 43/21	20/5	70/15 71/10 72/24	sometimes [1] 22/10
Rt [1] 60/7	48/5 61/15 66/18 69/2		73/3 74/16 74/18	somewhat [1] 65/8
rule [1] 4/3	74/10	Service [3] 19/10	Sir Brian [2] 51/3	son [1] 65/3
rules [1] 1/4	schemes [12] 25/11	19/18 40/5	74/16	soon [3] 44/10 44/21
run [1] 40/1	25/20 27/10 28/2	session [1] 31/22	Sir Brian's [3] 14/24	72/20
running [1] 42/16	29/17 52/21 55/3	set [15] 4/23 11/13	15/2 53/22	sooner [2] 6/21
S	55/25 65/24 66/16	12/24 29/21 38/24	Sir Humphrey-like [1]	
	66/23 67/7	48/12 57/13 57/13	20/17	sorry [3] 5/8 50/16
sadly [3] 39/14 54/4	scope [1] 74/10	57/17 57/18 57/19	Sir John Major's [1]	66/25
60/19	screen [7] 6/16 29/12		10/24	sort [4] 18/24 20/17
said [22] 5/19 6/10	38/10 53/2 58/2 59/23		Sir Robert [15] 12/20	
13/25 17/8 17/11	64/21	sets [3] 25/9 25/11	13/15 14/25 21/15	sorts [1] 36/14
19/19 33/16 35/11 35/12 51/5 51/8 51/9	sea [1] 43/6	61/19	35/11 42/9 43/14	sought [2] 15/12 24/4
58/22 60/14 65/8	seated [1] 64/1	setting [1] 65/14	44/13 45/14 50/11	soulless [1] 65/8
66/14 69/15 70/12	seats [1] 1/8	settlement [1] 48/14		sound [3] 9/14 10/21
74/15 74/20 75/1 75/4	second [25] 12/2	several [1] 21/2	71/10	42/19
salt [1] 71/7	12/21 14/25 15/4	shall [2] 75/4 75/8	Sir Robert Francis	sounds [1] 28/11
same [9] 1/4 3/18	17/22 21/11 27/3 33/9		[1] 38/1	source [1] 18/15
7/17 15/16 45/4 49/10	34/3 35/11 42/10 43/8		Sir Robert's [2] 51/6	sources [3] 5/23
55/1 59/14 64/21	45/14 51/11 52/18	she [4] 9/12 9/16	55/8	22/14 24/24
satisfied [1] 40/14	52/24 55/4 55/8 56/16		situation [11] 5/10	speak [1] 7/18
save [1] 33/7	57/14 58/8 58/22 66/5	sheet [1] 3/18	6/1 9/21 13/17 20/19	specific [2] 55/4
saving [1] 32/3	66/9 67/2	short [2] 64/11 73/11	35/15 38/4 42/10 43/1	
saw [1] 24/17	secondary [1] 29/17	shortly [1] 14/18	48/10 61/12	speculate [1] 20/2
say [60] 3/11 4/10	secrecy [1] 42/20	should [31] 15/1	six [2] 12/5 50/20	speeding [1] 15/19
4/16 8/1 9/10 9/13	Secretaries [5] 19/16	19/24 22/13 26/20	six months [1] 50/20	speedy [1] 10/21
9/19 13/2 14/8 14/17	53/16 54/24 59/15	27/4 34/9 34/14 34/23	Skelton [1] 73/1	spend [4] 4/21 31/6
17/18 18/15 18/23	60/4	35/4 35/5 35/6 36/9	Skipton [2] 27/14	31/10 31/18
20/1 20/4 20/9 22/1	Secretary [11] 2/7	36/11 39/20 39/23	28/4	spending [14] 3/13
22/15 24/23 26/22	2/14 3/2 6/12 7/20 8/7	39/25 45/20 46/13	Skipton Fund [2]	4/3 4/4 4/7 4/11 4/12
27/2 27/20 31/16	9/11 11/19 27/9 27/11		27/14 28/4	4/22 4/23 5/1 5/6 5/16
32/18 33/3 36/5 36/20	39/23	58/7 58/14 58/15	slight [1] 33/3	9/12 9/17 28/16
38/9 38/11 39/20 40/5	secure [2] 4/6 9/1	58/24 60/14 60/16	slightly [2] 28/19	Spending Reviews
42/21 43/3 44/12	securing [1] 5/3	62/13 66/15 66/18	40/17	[1] 4/3
46/16 46/17 48/7	security [1] 1/5	74/1	slow [2] 11/2 11/9	spoke [1] 7/21
49/15 50/3 50/3 51/4	see [21] 8/23 16/17	shouldn't [2] 18/23	slowly [1] 40/12	stage [5] 3/1 9/6
51/21 52/12 53/7 56/3	18/2 20/11 21/18	21/25	small [5] 9/15 11/13	12/22 35/8 44/17
57/10 58/3 58/8 59/5	25/16 25/25 46/22	show [1] 62/7	11/17 11/23 35/9	staggering [1] 32/15
59/10 61/22 62/13		showed [3] 7/24 40/2		stalling [1] 7/13
62/16 63/19 63/22	54/22 55/19 58/5	47/20	social [3] 2/7 28/18	stance [2] 6/10 8/6
71/19 74/6 74/15	59/12 62/23 64/2	siblings [1] 66/12	39/21	standard [1] 9/23
74/22 75/7	66/14 67/9 67/20	sic [1] 57/15	solution [2] 72/22	Standards [1] 69/21
say-so [1] 17/18	seeing [2] 22/10 74/9	side [2] 29/9 29/10	72/22	start [9] 1/3 2/22 2/25
saying [10] 9/22	seek [1] 27/21	significant [2] 5/18	solutions [1] 43/16	5/2 38/2 49/16 54/15
21/25 31/25 32/7 37/6	seem [2] 11/9 19/9	30/13	solves [1] 23/12	57/15 57/17
39/1 39/3 39/6 50/17	seemed [1] 65/11	similar [2] 19/17	some [26] 2/22 10/1	started [1] 54/6
59/8	seems [1] 21/18	34/12	12/19 12/22 13/21	starting [3] 26/17
	seen [6] 15/20 52/24	simply [2] 53/23	13/24 15/21 16/13	28/1 56/14
				•

(31) Robert... - starting

S	31/23 32/14 41/25	talk [3] 12/22 32/25	33/6 34/21 35/25	60/16 60/18 60/20
state [13] 2/7 3/2	52/1 53/1 54/1 63/15	57/9	37/19 37/25 38/6 44/5	
3/23 6/13 9/11 19/16	suffered [7] 23/1	talked [7] 3/19 32/19	45/12 51/19 52/10	62/8 62/9 63/11 63/15
27/9 27/12 34/10 50/7	23/7 37/17 42/7 53/1	34/10 43/15 61/1 69/2		64/23 64/25 66/17
53/24 60/10 71/5	53/19 58/13	69/14	68/10 69/9 72/18	71/24
statement [14] 10/1	suffering [3] 37/15 53/25 66/11	talking [10] 13/6 14/10 14/14 14/15	their [17] 1/14 20/5 20/8 23/4 32/7 32/22	thing [4] 27/23 36/5 39/12 64/21
10/6 15/10 15/20	suggest [3] 49/9	15/8 19/7 28/9 30/15	37/5 49/9 53/20 54/18	
15/21 21/20 22/2 24/2	63/11 68/15	36/21 64/21	55/21 61/10 61/12	13/23 13/24 13/25
33/14 38/9 40/18		talks [1] 17/6	62/8 65/10 70/18	18/21 46/4 46/16
40/23 45/1 71/18	49/7 51/24 73/25	tangible [1] 73/19	70/23	47/21 51/18 72/14
statements [2] 41/15 45/2	suggesting [1] 26/20		them [12] 16/14 20/6	think [106]
statistical [3] 24/5	suggestion [1] 26/21		20/15 20/16 28/10	think: [1] 42/23
25/6 67/17	suggestions [1] 27/3	56/9	55/4 58/2 58/16 61/13	
statisticians [1] 24/4	suggests [1] 43/19	taxpayer's [1] 55/17	63/5 64/20 64/22	thinking [5] 21/25
statisticians' [1]	sum [1] 30/13	taxpayers [2] 13/3	themes [1] 61/18	47/17 47/20 52/11
24/25	summary [4] 4/1 24/9		then [62] 2/14 2/17	57/9
statistics [1] 67/24	25/7 67/17 summer [6] 3/4 7/7	taxpayers' [1] 45/24 team [2] 17/19 64/19	3/16 3/17 3/19 3/21 3/25 5/22 6/9 8/8	thinks [2] 45/11 58/23
steer [1] 40/6	15/7 26/1 28/13 53/4	Telegraph [3] 18/1	10/18 10/19 11/22	third [5] 20/25 29/13
stenographers [1]	sums [13] 9/14 9/16	18/14 19/8	11/23 13/18 14/4	44/17 49/10 51/19
63/9	9/22 13/1 13/3 14/11	tell [13] 1/3 5/22 5/23	14/20 16/5 16/23	this [158]
step [2] 53/18 56/11	15/14 31/18 36/14	5/25 10/4 10/9 19/20	16/24 17/11 17/22	those [72] 5/4 7/25
stepped [1] 16/10	46/2 47/24 55/18	23/15 30/20 38/19	19/6 21/6 22/17 25/14	
steps [4] 10/21 41/3 58/15 58/23	62/21	45/6 52/9 58/15	25/23 27/8 28/8 29/11	15/7 15/15 15/17
stick [1] 7/14	Sunak [1] 21/3	tells [2] 45/1 45/3	30/1 34/17 35/7 35/12	16/19 17/17 18/15
still [13] 17/20 35/10	Sunday [3] 18/1 19/8		35/12 37/9 38/5 39/11	21/15 21/23 22/14
41/17 41/22 41/25	20/23	ten [1] 12/4	41/2 41/5 42/13 44/18	22/16 22/24 23/17
42/5 43/22 45/7 61/9	Sunday Times [1]	term [4] 14/1 14/3	50/25 51/9 51/12	24/10 24/17 25/1 25/2
67/2 71/20 71/22	20/23	19/13 29/20	51/22 52/16 57/17 58/2 60/4 62/5 64/23	25/17 26/6 26/12 26/18 26/22 26/23
72/14	supertanker [1] 11/1 supplied [1] 24/17	4/9 4/22 5/3 8/9 8/14	65/22 66/2 66/12 67/8	
sting [1] 52/2	support [6] 52/21	9/4 12/10 16/25 18/16		32/13 32/17 32/25
stop [2] 32/2 32/9	52/21 55/2 66/16	21/16 21/19 23/25	69/13 69/25 70/17	33/7 36/17 37/15
stories [1] 23/13	66/23 70/18		theoretical [1] 24/15	38/19 41/8 41/24
story [2] 52/5 52/13 straight [2] 35/21	supports [1] 38/20	28/20 30/18 32/13	there [79]	42/12 42/15 49/2 50/1
73/21	suppose [1] 5/15		there's [6] 3/14 11/16	52/8 52/25 53/14
straightforward [1]	sure [13] 4/17 4/21	43/23 45/10 49/4	13/18 16/23 26/4	53/18 55/1 55/7 55/25
49/1	13/16 22/21 23/11	49/23 51/18 55/11	66/12	56/8 56/15 56/23
stream [1] 1/18	27/8 31/3 52/6 52/22	57/10 61/3 61/23 69/1		57/10 57/17 58/1
stress [3] 10/3 23/12	53/3 56/10 69/14 75/5		thereby [2] 32/3 66/11	58/13 58/25 61/2 61/5 62/15 63/7 65/21
39/4	surgery [1] 29/3 surprised [1] 39/24	terrible [12] 6/5 20/12 33/12 35/20	therefore [5] 5/13	65/23 68/24 69/24
stretched [1] 16/21	suspicion [5] 39/18	50/7 52/14 52/14	51/20 54/25 68/1 71/6	
strictly [1] 14/9	40/2 40/4 41/10 41/13		Theresa [3] 39/11	72/25 73/15
strong [2] 20/7 39/21	sworn [2] 2/1 76/3	62/6 72/9	39/19 39/21	thought [7] 14/1
structure [3] 11/12 55/16 69/1	system [4] 3/12	testimony [1] 52/25		24/21 51/6 52/15 57/3
structured [1] 17/7	42/15 48/12 48/12	than [20] 3/20 5/9	19/3 19/19 23/5 23/13	
struggled [1] 47/9	systems [3] 31/17	15/6 15/9 18/3 20/19	26/15 31/18 32/9 46/4	
study [2] 12/20 43/15	31/20 33/5	24/14 24/21 25/6	51/17 55/17 57/9 63/4	
submissions [2] 12/5	Т	28/12 31/10 36/22	63/5 64/24 65/7 65/12	
14/6	tactic [1] 7/13	36/24 38/17 45/21 53/21 54/5 62/7 65/12	65/14 66/15 66/21	27/19 37/3 60/20
substance [1] 64/7	take [15] 1/19 5/3 9/9		they [52] 1/5 1/11	three [4] 11/3 12/6 25/21 54/23
substantial [1] 4/4	18/6 26/20 32/12 36/9	thank [12] 1/9 10/8	1/13 9/15 16/2 18/11	three months [1]
substantially [1]	51/4 52/2 61/8 63/8	29/11 65/20 73/4 73/6		12/6
36/24	63/9 65/21 69/11 71/3		19/20 19/21 20/6 20/6	
substantively [1] 69/24	taken [10] 12/9 38/24	74/17 75/2 75/8	20/11 20/13 21/4 22/8	
successful [3] 23/9	41/4 50/25 51/16	that [472]	22/9 22/10 25/19	24/4 30/18 32/22
23/10 28/4	56/19 61/3 69/6 71/14		26/25 27/13 27/20	35/10 36/12 37/6 38/6
successive [4] 34/16	72/8	7/1 9/12 11/2 11/4	31/17 32/6 32/7 32/8	43/2 55/15 56/7 58/22
34/22 36/21 60/15	takes [2] 62/24 72/3	11/20 12/1 13/2 14/14		63/5 64/22 66/16
such [9] 21/16 28/16	taking [3] 8/5 37/13 74/4	16/14 22/2 22/25	38/14 41/16 42/21 48/7 50/21 54/5 56/24	66/22 68/13
	, .	201212112028140112		
				(32) state - thus

(32) state - thus

48/18 69/9 69/11 26/22 65/9 70/9 46/7 46/13 48/14 50/8	T thus [2] 60/9 68/18 tied [1] 70/20 tight [1] 17/4 time [25] 3/16 5/18 6/12 7/17 14/15 14/18 16/6 18/21 22/20 32/12 33/13 34/18 35/23 36/14 38/21 44/18 46/9 47/13 48/12 58/11 59/14 59/17 70/25 74/4 74/4 timeliness [1] 74/25 times [7] 11/20 16/16 17/2 19/8 20/23 32/10 73/12 timescales [4] 5/16 5/23 15/8 44/11 timetable [1] 41/2 timing [2] 35/25 71/16 today [6] 1/5 2/20 60/11 60/18 62/5 67/5 today's [1] 24/10 together [6] 40/10 60/3 68/24 69/3 69/12 74/3 told [22] 3/1 4/1 4/5 4/7 4/11 5/20 6/11 6/19 7/6 10/11 17/2 18/14 19/12 19/14 34/5 34/8 37/7 38/16 58/23 59/3 61/23 63/23 tomorrow [2] 42/24 60/25 tone [2] 65/9 73/13 too [9] 27/20 37/18 43/9 49/12 54/17 60/14 71/4 72/8 75/2 took [3] 8/6 47/1 73/22 top [1] 3/16 topic [1] 44/23 total [8] 18/3 21/7 25/2 25/14 25/16 26/5 28/12 31/10 totally [2] 5/25 71/10 tough [1] 71/8 towards [3] 3/7 43/11 53/18 tragically [1] 24/22 transcript [2] 3/4 6/18 translates [1] 30/11 transparent [1] 31/20 Treasury [21] 2/23 3/13 3/14 3/22 6/10 6/12 6/21 7/5 9/7 9/19 11/18 11/19 17/5 17/14 18/18 18/25 21/2 21/7 29/21 30/10 6/4/20	Treasury's [8] 2/23 4/10 4/15 4/16 4/20 7/23 12/10 21/20 treated [1] 50/5 treatment [2] 32/23 61/7 trespassing [1] 21/24 true [2] 60/18 69/23 trust [2] 41/11 41/13 trusts [1] 30/5 truth [1] 23/15 try [4] 28/10 32/2 40/15 41/24 trying [11] 17/20 17/25 23/3 23/15 25/2 43/2 44/8 50/6 56/8 57/8 57/20 turn [3] 16/13 28/8 52/4 turning [1] 11/1 Turton [2] 65/2 65/18 tweet [1] 54/19 two [14] 1/19 17/24 21/9 21/17 21/21 21/23 41/19 49/8 56/20 57/23 59/15 64/19 69/20 69/24 two months [1] 17/24 U UK [1] 68/3 UK's [1] 16/21 Ukraine [1] 35/24 ultimately [1] 5/5 unacceptable [2] 52/7 54/12 unbelievably [1] 71/8 uncertainty [4] 67/14 68/4 71/23 72/1 uncomfortable [1] 36/5 undeniable [1] 34/8 under [4] 17/24 25/21 27/11 29/17 underlying [1] 12/17 understand [33] 2/5 5/25 6/6 7/9 8/13 9/3 14/13 20/15 20/19 30/4 30/17 38/23 39/17 40/4 41/23 43/3 44/5 44/9 45/6 46/13 46/20 47/9 52/22 55/23 56/9 57/5 62/9 62/20 62/20 68/20 68/21 70/5 70/19 understandig [5] 6/23 21/21 28/24 42/13 46/4 understands [1] 66/24 understandig [5] 6/23 21/21 28/24 42/13 46/4 understands [1] 66/24 understandig [5] 6/23 21/21 28/24 42/13 46/4 understands [1] 66/24 understandig [5] 6/23 21/21 28/24 42/13 46/4 understandig [5] 6/24 understandig [5] 6/25 127 14 15 16 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	35/20 unrecognised [4] 66/11 68/13 68/19 69/7 unspeakable [1] 53/1 until [9] 1/8 5/17 39/1 49/17 50/10 52/1 54/8 54/11 72/19 unusual [1] 69/15 unwillingness [1] 34/15 unwise [1] 20/1 up [32] 3/7 3/10 4/23 6/16 9/18 11/13 12/24 15/19 19/7 20/25 23/13 25/10 29/9 29/12 32/17 38/10 38/24 40/18 41/1 48/12 49/10 53/2 57/13 57/13 57/17 57/18 57/19 63/19 63/20 64/17 66/9 69/13 update [1] 41/7 updated [1] 10/2 upon [9] 18/5 40/23 43/17 43/19 43/25 44/21 49/8 70/22 70/23 upper [2] 18/8 19/20 urge [3] 14/20 53/10 54/17 urgency [6] 6/1 13/13 39/4 40/13 55/1 55/3 us [35] 3/1 4/1 4/5	V variation [1] 21/9 various [1] 1/15 very [73] 5/12 6/7 6/23 7/21 8/1 9/23 11/12 12/19 13/2 13/4 14/10 16/2 17/9 17/21 19/3 19/9 20/1 20/5 20/7 20/13 20/14 22/2 22/6 23/8 23/8 23/13 23/14 24/24 27/1 28/9 30/12 31/2 33/13 36/5 36/12 37/22 38/5 39/17 39/18 39/21 40/15 42/7 42/11 43/7 44/5 44/5 44/18 46/2 46/8 46/16 47/25 50/24 50/24 50/25 51/15 51/18 52/12 55/10 55/18 55/18 55/21 56/5 56/6 57/8 62/9 62/25 63/4 63/4 64/20 72/13 74/17 via [1] 65/13 victims [13] 21/3 34/25 53/11 53/12 53/20 53/24 54/4 54/18 54/20 54/22 60/6 60/10 60/19 view [6] 7/22 7/23 7/23 48/7 59/21 63/1 viewed [1] 10/20 views [2] 7/25 25/1 vital [1] 53/18 Vladimir [1] 36/2 Vladimir Putin [1] 36/2 vulnerable [1] 54/13 W wait [3] 39/1 45/13 54/2 waited [1] 60/14 waiting [10] 12/8	50/10 66/25 waste [2] 31/12 33/1 wasteful [1] 31/24 watch [1] 27/11 watching [1] 1/17 water [1] 34/24 way [23] 3/12 4/1 7/18 9/8 11/8 22/3 23/14 26/17 32/7 35/2 39/8 40/1 40/8 42/25 50/6 56/1 62/18 65/7 65/11 65/17 70/4 73/14 73/16 we [236] we're [1] 16/1 We've [1] 60/14
--	--	--	--	---	--

(33) thus... - what

W	13/22 15/10 17/13	10/7 33/15	14/4 14/19 15/13	
what [23] 51/6 51/8	20/2 22/7 22/8 22/16	WITN3499035 [1]	19/12 29/16 30/19	
56/3 57/10 57/16	23/4 23/7 23/11 23/15	53/5	30/22 31/12 32/25	
58/15 58/23 59/3	23/16 23/25 24/6	WITN3499036 [1]	33/2 33/10 34/5 35/24	
60/11 61/6 61/13 62/3	24/15 24/21 26/3	8/15	35/25 44/3 49/17	
62/8 62/14 63/18 65/8	26/14 26/15 27/4	witness [6] 1/7 10/1	50/10 50/14 54/8	
65/18 66/7 70/7 73/17	27/16 27/16 27/19	15/10 24/2 33/14 64/4		
74/15 75/1 75/4	28/2 28/3 29/1 29/2		year's [1] 31/22	
what's [5] 12/17	31/25 32/14 32/21	witnesses [4] 1/21	years [7] 30/12 34/7	
19/19 22/22 29/11	39/10 39/14 39/16 42/7 43/4 45/2 46/21	19/14 49/7 58/18	35/19 42/8 50/25 53/17 53/24	
41/12	48/6 50/4 52/20 52/25	woman [1] 65/4	years' [1] 27/17	
whatever [9] 5/5 9/6	53/18 55/1 55/19	50/16 75/6	yes [18] 2/13 2/16	
19/2 31/24 42/2 48/5	55/20 56/4 56/15	word [3] 4/15 12/14	2/21 4/20 14/17 20/25	
48/12 57/18 74/10	50/12 61/2 65/2 65/24		28/25 30/20 31/15	
whatsoever [1] 52/13	67/11 67/14 70/6 71/7	words [5] 60/18 61/4		
when [37] 4/6 5/1 7/2	71/21 71/21 71/25	62/7 70/19 70/22	66/25 68/25 69/19	
7/20 13/11 13/23	73/15 73/23	work [31] 11/22 12/1		
14/10 14/13 14/22	whoever [1] 64/9		yesterday [1] 58/1	
16/8 18/21 19/3 22/3 22/18 27/11 30/15	whole [10] 6/19 7/15	14/11 14/14 15/5 25/5	yesterday's [1] 60/1	
32/24 34/13 36/17	7/18 8/2 8/5 22/10	27/9 36/12 37/20 38/7	yet [9] 35/8 41/20	
38/4 38/23 39/19	32/1 46/1 49/4 74/9	44/20 45/6 45/15	45/7 54/12 54/18 57/2	
39/22 41/24 43/13	wholly [1] 12/24	46/13 46/25 49/17	64/8 69/7 73/18	
45/13 45/19 45/23	whom [1] 24/19	49/21 51/13 55/15	you [313]	
47/17 49/14 51/5 53/4	whose [4] 52/23	55/23 58/15 58/20	you've [1] 15/19	
54/8 57/19 60/25	52/23 61/5 65/4	59/10 62/19 72/16	young [2] 65/3 65/4	
61/16 63/16	why [21] 6/24 7/12	72/20 72/21	your [56] 1/8 1/12	
where [19] 5/10 5/14	30/25 37/19 37/25	worked [3] 38/6 42/1 42/5	1/15 1/18 3/1 3/4 4/11 4/14 6/23 8/16 8/19	
9/16 12/4 13/17 18/12	38/7 39/17 44/5 46/4	workforce [2] 14/2	10/1 10/6 10/12 12/16	
18/19 23/10 38/4 38/5	51/24 54/25 55/3	14/3	13/12 14/14 19/12	
42/11 42/16 43/1 46/8	65/14 67/3 72/18	working [6] 11/5 17/7		
57/9 64/1 71/24 73/23	73/16 74/3	22/9 37/9 40/12 40/14		
73/24			32/18 33/14 36/3 36/4	
whether [10] 17/13 18/17 22/13 22/15	25/18 33/18 48/17	9/8 11/9	37/7 38/8 38/9 38/16	
22/23 56/24 58/25	49/2 69/8	world [1] 31/17	38/20 44/2 45/1 48/20	
65/15 69/5 69/15	will [57] 1/12 1/19	worried [2] 17/9 56/4		
which [62] 5/8 5/20	1/22 5/2 5/11 8/9 8/23		60/18 61/4 61/14	
10/2 11/5 11/6 11/18	9/5 9/11 9/12 9/23	worse [1] 72/11	61/20 61/20 64/7	
12/21 16/5 16/10	10/17 11/6 11/24 15/18 16/17 24/1	worst [1] 61/6	65/11 65/13 65/25 67/13 68/6 70/13	
17/22 17/25 18/3 18/5	24/14 25/24 26/11	worth [3] 7/11 27/17 53/15	71/18 72/19 73/14	
18/7 20/22 22/20 24/4	27/8 29/24 38/10	would [90]	74/6 75/2	
24/17 25/7 25/8 25/9	38/23 39/7 41/11	wouldn't [8] 4/15	yours [1] 58/6	
26/5 26/21 27/10	42/19 43/7 44/2 46/5	7/16 17/19 22/15	yourself [2] 68/6 74/8	
27/13 30/8 30/11 31/6	46/16 46/25 50/2 51/3	34/18 36/25 67/6	YouTube [1] 1/17	
31/18 37/8 39/4 40/3 40/23 40/23 41/13	52/24 54/3 54/12	74/23		
43/17 44/16 44/24	54/22 55/9 58/12	wounds [1] 71/7	<u>Z</u>	
45/1 47/24 48/13	60/24 61/13 61/14	write [2] 8/17 28/17	Zahawi [1] 15/10	
48/17 49/11 49/11	61/16 62/23 63/15	write-off [1] 28/17	Zahawi's [1] 15/20	
51/11 60/15 61/12	63/23 63/23 64/2 64/2	write-round [1] 8/17	Zero [1] 13/25	
61/18 62/17 64/18	64/20 66/13 67/9	writing [2] 16/7 53/10	zoom [2] 3/8 29/10	
64/25 65/7 66/5 67/8	67/20 72/21 74/15 75/2	written [4] 13/24 23/13 64/19 65/7		
69/22 70/19 72/3 73/8	wish [1] 27/21	wrong [4] 22/11		
73/9 73/13 73/14	within [11] 4/21 5/21	33/10 33/12 51/22		
74/11	10/20 15/12 16/14	wrongs [1] 60/24		
while [1] 30/12	25/12 25/19 26/15	wrote [10] 6/2 14/19		
whilst [2] 10/15 33/18	56/15 64/20 67/11	16/8 53/3 53/6 57/23		
Whitehall [6] 3/12	without [11] 7/4 9/21	59/14 60/3 60/6 60/20		
11/14 13/10 18/9	21/24 32/7 37/6 39/20	Y		
51/14 51/18	42/22 54/22 55/14			
who [62] 1/6 3/2	60/21 70/8	year [27] 2/12 6/10 10/22 11/15 13/24		
	WITN3499034 [2]	10/22 11/13 13/24		
				(34) what zo