1	Thursday, 23 September 2021	1	saying today.
2	(10.00 am)	2	A helpful overview of the regime was provided in
3	Presentation by Counsel to the Inquiry on	3	the evidence of Lord Fowler and in his witness
4	the Pharmaceutical Companies	4	statement. Lord Fowler said in his statement that he
5	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes, Mr Hill.	5	was drawing on the findings of the BSE Inquiry, and
6	MR HILL: Today, sir, we move on to the presentations from	6	particularly volume 7, chapter 2. That described the
7	counsel to the Inquiry on the pharmaceutical	7	position in 1988 to 1989. Lord Fowler's understanding
8	companies. That will be the pattern of work for the	8	was that, in broad terms, that was an accurate
9	next couple of weeks. Before turning to the	9	summation of the situation before that as well, and
10	individual companies, we thought it would be helpful	10	that is also our understanding.
11	to present an overview of the licensing regime as it	11	So as to avoid reinventing the wheel, I'm going
12	stood in the 1970s and the 1980s in particular. This	12	to read from that statement to provide the initial
13	is an attempt to assist everybody in understanding	13	overview, then we'll go through in a little bit more
14	what is coming next. It is not a comprehensive	14	detail some of the points.
15	discussion of all aspects of licensing. That would	15	So, Soumik, could we have on the screen, please,
16	take many days and perhaps wouldn't be of such great	16	WITN0771001, page 35.
17	assistance. Instead, this is intended just to give	17	So this is the evidence of Lord Fowler in his
18	a neutral overview to assist in understanding the	18	written statement. What he says about the regime is
19	presentations and the evidence that is going to follow	19	this:
20	in the next couple of days and weeks.	20	"(1) The licensing regime was established by the
21	Further evidence about the licensing regime is	21	Medicines Act 1968.
22	going to be heard by you later in this Inquiry and	22	"(2) In essence, a medicinal product could not
23	this presentation is not intended in any way to	23	be sold unless it had been granted a 'product licence'
24	pre-empt that evidence. It may be that things that	24	by the Licensing Authority. The Licensing Authority
25	you hear subsequently change your view of what we are	25	was in principle the relevant Minister, although in
20	1	20	
	I		2
1	practice his or her functions were delegated to	1	medicines, in practice his or her functions were
1 2	practice his or her functions were delegated to officials in the Medicines Division of [the Department	1 2	medicines, in practice his or her functions were delegated to officials working in the Medicines
1 2 3	officials in the Medicines Division of [the Department	2	delegated to officials working in the Medicines
2	officials in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (medicines for human use). They received	2 3	delegated to officials working in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (and, after
2 3 4	officials in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (medicines for human use). They received advice from a number of committees of experts set up	2 3 4	delegated to officials working in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (and, after April 1989, officials working for the Medicines
2 3 4 5	officials in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (medicines for human use). They received advice from a number of committees of experts set up under Section 4 of the Medicines Act, known as the	2 3 4 5	delegated to officials working in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (and, after April 1989, officials working for the Medicines Control Agency (MCA)), subject to the normal legal
2 3 4 5 6	officials in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (medicines for human use). They received advice from a number of committees of experts set up under Section 4 of the Medicines Act, known as the 'Section 4 committees'.	2 3 4 5 6	delegated to officials working in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (and, after April 1989, officials working for the Medicines Control Agency (MCA)), subject to the normal legal principles relating to the extent to which ministerial
2 3 4 5 6 7	officials in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (medicines for human use). They received advice from a number of committees of experts set up under Section 4 of the Medicines Act, known as the 'Section 4 committees'. "(3) Responsibility for the granting, renewal,	2 3 4 5 6 7	delegated to officials working in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (and, after April 1989, officials working for the Medicines Control Agency (MCA)), subject to the normal legal principles relating to the extent to which ministerial functions may be delegated.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	officials in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (medicines for human use). They received advice from a number of committees of experts set up under Section 4 of the Medicines Act, known as the 'Section 4 committees'. "(3) Responsibility for the granting, renewal, variation, suspension and revocation of licences was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	delegated to officials working in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (and, after April 1989, officials working for the Medicines Control Agency (MCA)), subject to the normal legal principles relating to the extent to which ministerial functions may be delegated. "(5) The arrangements meant that product
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	officials in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (medicines for human use). They received advice from a number of committees of experts set up under Section 4 of the Medicines Act, known as the 'Section 4 committees'. "(3) Responsibility for the granting, renewal, variation, suspension and revocation of licences was given by the Medicines Act to the 'Licensing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	delegated to officials working in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (and, after April 1989, officials working for the Medicines Control Agency (MCA)), subject to the normal legal principles relating to the extent to which ministerial functions may be delegated. "(5) The arrangements meant that product licences were physically granted by officials, not by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	officials in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (medicines for human use). They received advice from a number of committees of experts set up under Section 4 of the Medicines Act, known as the 'Section 4 committees'. "(3) Responsibility for the granting, renewal, variation, suspension and revocation of licences was given by the Medicines Act to the 'Licensing Authority'. Under the Act 'the Health Ministers' and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	delegated to officials working in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (and, after April 1989, officials working for the Medicines Control Agency (MCA)), subject to the normal legal principles relating to the extent to which ministerial functions may be delegated. "(5) The arrangements meant that product licences were physically granted by officials, not by the Minister, although I remained accountable for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	officials in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (medicines for human use). They received advice from a number of committees of experts set up under Section 4 of the Medicines Act, known as the 'Section 4 committees'. "(3) Responsibility for the granting, renewal, variation, suspension and revocation of licences was given by the Medicines Act to the 'Licensing Authority'. Under the Act 'the Health Ministers' and 'the Agriculture Ministers', ie the Secretary of State	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	delegated to officials working in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (and, after April 1989, officials working for the Medicines Control Agency (MCA)), subject to the normal legal principles relating to the extent to which ministerial functions may be delegated. "(5) The arrangements meant that product licences were physically granted by officials, not by the Minister, although I remained accountable for them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	officials in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (medicines for human use). They received advice from a number of committees of experts set up under Section 4 of the Medicines Act, known as the 'Section 4 committees'. "(3) Responsibility for the granting, renewal, variation, suspension and revocation of licences was given by the Medicines Act to the 'Licensing Authority'. Under the Act 'the Health Ministers' and 'the Agriculture Ministers', ie the Secretary of State for Health, the Minister of Agriculture, Fisheries and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	delegated to officials working in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (and, after April 1989, officials working for the Medicines Control Agency (MCA)), subject to the normal legal principles relating to the extent to which ministerial functions may be delegated. "(5) The arrangements meant that product licences were physically granted by officials, not by the Minister, although I remained accountable for them. "The Medicines Act 1968 required that Ministers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	officials in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (medicines for human use). They received advice from a number of committees of experts set up under Section 4 of the Medicines Act, known as the 'Section 4 committees'. "(3) Responsibility for the granting, renewal, variation, suspension and revocation of licences was given by the Medicines Act to the 'Licensing Authority'. Under the Act 'the Health Ministers' and 'the Agriculture Ministers', ie the Secretary of State for Health, the Minister of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food and the corresponding Ministers in Northern	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	delegated to officials working in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (and, after April 1989, officials working for the Medicines Control Agency (MCA)), subject to the normal legal principles relating to the extent to which ministerial functions may be delegated. "(5) The arrangements meant that product licences were physically granted by officials, not by the Minister, although I remained accountable for them. "The Medicines Act 1968 required that Ministers establish a Medicines Commission, made up of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	officials in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (medicines for human use). They received advice from a number of committees of experts set up under Section 4 of the Medicines Act, known as the 'Section 4 committees'. "(3) Responsibility for the granting, renewal, variation, suspension and revocation of licences was given by the Medicines Act to the 'Licensing Authority'. Under the Act 'the Health Ministers' and 'the Agriculture Ministers', ie the Secretary of State for Health, the Minister of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food and the corresponding Ministers in Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales, comprised the Licensing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	delegated to officials working in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (and, after April 1989, officials working for the Medicines Control Agency (MCA)), subject to the normal legal principles relating to the extent to which ministerial functions may be delegated. "(5) The arrangements meant that product licences were physically granted by officials, not by the Minister, although I remained accountable for them. "The Medicines Act 1968 required that Ministers establish a Medicines Commission, made up of professionals with 'wide and recent experience' in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	officials in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (medicines for human use). They received advice from a number of committees of experts set up under Section 4 of the Medicines Act, known as the 'Section 4 committees'. "(3) Responsibility for the granting, renewal, variation, suspension and revocation of licences was given by the Medicines Act to the 'Licensing Authority'. Under the Act 'the Health Ministers' and 'the Agriculture Ministers', ie the Secretary of State for Health, the Minister of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food and the corresponding Ministers in Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales, comprised the Licensing Authority, although any one of them acting alone was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	delegated to officials working in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (and, after April 1989, officials working for the Medicines Control Agency (MCA)), subject to the normal legal principles relating to the extent to which ministerial functions may be delegated. "(5) The arrangements meant that product licences were physically granted by officials, not by the Minister, although I remained accountable for them. "The Medicines Act 1968 required that Ministers establish a Medicines Commission, made up of professionals with 'wide and recent experience' in the practice of medicine and pharmacy. The Commission was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	officials in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (medicines for human use). They received advice from a number of committees of experts set up under Section 4 of the Medicines Act, known as the 'Section 4 committees'. "(3) Responsibility for the granting, renewal, variation, suspension and revocation of licences was given by the Medicines Act to the 'Licensing Authority'. Under the Act 'the Health Ministers' and 'the Agriculture Ministers', ie the Secretary of State for Health, the Minister of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food and the corresponding Ministers in Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales, comprised the Licensing Authority, although any one of them acting alone was permitted to perform its functions. In practice, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	delegated to officials working in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (and, after April 1989, officials working for the Medicines Control Agency (MCA)), subject to the normal legal principles relating to the extent to which ministerial functions may be delegated. "(5) The arrangements meant that product licences were physically granted by officials, not by the Minister, although I remained accountable for them. "The Medicines Act 1968 required that Ministers establish a Medicines Commission, made up of professionals with 'wide and recent experience' in the practice of medicine and pharmacy. The Commission was required to advise the Ministers making up the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	officials in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (medicines for human use). They received advice from a number of committees of experts set up under Section 4 of the Medicines Act, known as the 'Section 4 committees'. "(3) Responsibility for the granting, renewal, variation, suspension and revocation of licences was given by the Medicines Act to the 'Licensing Authority'. Under the Act 'the Health Ministers' and 'the Agriculture Ministers', ie the Secretary of State for Health, the Minister of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food and the corresponding Ministers in Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales, comprised the Licensing Authority, although any one of them acting alone was permitted to perform its functions. In practice, the functions of the Licensing Authority in relation to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	delegated to officials working in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (and, after April 1989, officials working for the Medicines Control Agency (MCA)), subject to the normal legal principles relating to the extent to which ministerial functions may be delegated. "(5) The arrangements meant that product licences were physically granted by officials, not by the Minister, although I remained accountable for them. "The Medicines Act 1968 required that Ministers establish a Medicines Commission, made up of professionals with 'wide and recent experience' in the practice of medicine and pharmacy. The Commission was required to advise the Ministers making up the Licensing Authority on matters relating to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	officials in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (medicines for human use). They received advice from a number of committees of experts set up under Section 4 of the Medicines Act, known as the 'Section 4 committees'. "(3) Responsibility for the granting, renewal, variation, suspension and revocation of licences was given by the Medicines Act to the 'Licensing Authority'. Under the Act 'the Health Ministers' and 'the Agriculture Ministers', ie the Secretary of State for Health, the Minister of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food and the corresponding Ministers in Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales, comprised the Licensing Authority, although any one of them acting alone was permitted to perform its functions. In practice, the functions of the Licensing Authority in relation to medicines for human use in the UK were, throughout the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	delegated to officials working in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (and, after April 1989, officials working for the Medicines Control Agency (MCA)), subject to the normal legal principles relating to the extent to which ministerial functions may be delegated. "(5) The arrangements meant that product licences were physically granted by officials, not by the Minister, although I remained accountable for them. "The Medicines Act 1968 required that Ministers establish a Medicines Commission, made up of professionals with 'wide and recent experience' in the practice of medicine and pharmacy. The Commission was required to advise the Ministers making up the Licensing Authority on matters relating to the execution of the Act and on medicines generally where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	officials in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (medicines for human use). They received advice from a number of committees of experts set up under Section 4 of the Medicines Act, known as the 'Section 4 committees'. "(3) Responsibility for the granting, renewal, variation, suspension and revocation of licences was given by the Medicines Act to the 'Licensing Authority'. Under the Act 'the Health Ministers' and 'the Agriculture Ministers', ie the Secretary of State for Health, the Minister of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food and the corresponding Ministers in Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales, comprised the Licensing Authority, although any one of them acting alone was permitted to perform its functions. In practice, the functions of the Licensing Authority in relation to medicines for human use in the UK were, throughout the period of 1985-96, performed by the Secretary of State	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	delegated to officials working in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (and, after April 1989, officials working for the Medicines Control Agency (MCA)), subject to the normal legal principles relating to the extent to which ministerial functions may be delegated. "(5) The arrangements meant that product licences were physically granted by officials, not by the Minister, although I remained accountable for them. "The Medicines Act 1968 required that Ministers establish a Medicines Commission, made up of professionals with 'wide and recent experience' in the practice of medicine and pharmacy. The Commission was required to advise the Ministers making up the Licensing Authority on matters relating to the execution of the Act and on medicines generally where the Commission considered it expedient or when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	officials in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (medicines for human use). They received advice from a number of committees of experts set up under Section 4 of the Medicines Act, known as the 'Section 4 committees'. "(3) Responsibility for the granting, renewal, variation, suspension and revocation of licences was given by the Medicines Act to the 'Licensing Authority'. Under the Act 'the Health Ministers' and 'the Agriculture Ministers', ie the Secretary of State for Health, the Minister of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food and the corresponding Ministers in Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales, comprised the Licensing Authority, although any one of them acting alone was permitted to perform its functions. In practice, the functions of the Licensing Authority in relation to medicines for human use in the UK were, throughout the period of 1985-96, performed by the Secretary of State for Health."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	delegated to officials working in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (and, after April 1989, officials working for the Medicines Control Agency (MCA)), subject to the normal legal principles relating to the extent to which ministerial functions may be delegated. "(5) The arrangements meant that product licences were physically granted by officials, not by the Minister, although I remained accountable for them. "The Medicines Act 1968 required that Ministers establish a Medicines Commission, made up of professionals with 'wide and recent experience' in the practice of medicine and pharmacy. The Commission was required to advise the Ministers making up the Licensing Authority on matters relating to the execution of the Act and on medicines generally where the Commission considered it expedient or when requested by Ministers. It also acted as an appeal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	officials in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (medicines for human use). They received advice from a number of committees of experts set up under Section 4 of the Medicines Act, known as the 'Section 4 committees'. "(3) Responsibility for the granting, renewal, variation, suspension and revocation of licences was given by the Medicines Act to the 'Licensing Authority'. Under the Act 'the Health Ministers' and 'the Agriculture Ministers', ie the Secretary of State for Health, the Minister of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food and the corresponding Ministers in Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales, comprised the Licensing Authority, although any one of them acting alone was permitted to perform its functions. In practice, the functions of the Licensing Authority in relation to medicines for human use in the UK were, throughout the period of 1985-96, performed by the Secretary of State for Health."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	delegated to officials working in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (and, after April 1989, officials working for the Medicines Control Agency (MCA)), subject to the normal legal principles relating to the extent to which ministerial functions may be delegated. "(5) The arrangements meant that product licences were physically granted by officials, not by the Minister, although I remained accountable for them. "The Medicines Act 1968 required that Ministers establish a Medicines Commission, made up of professionals with 'wide and recent experience' in the practice of medicine and pharmacy. The Commission was required to advise the Ministers making up the Licensing Authority on matters relating to the execution of the Act and on medicines generally where the Commission considered it expedient or when requested by Ministers. It also acted as an appeal body in respect of advice given to the Licensing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	officials in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (medicines for human use). They received advice from a number of committees of experts set up under Section 4 of the Medicines Act, known as the 'Section 4 committees'. "(3) Responsibility for the granting, renewal, variation, suspension and revocation of licences was given by the Medicines Act to the 'Licensing Authority'. Under the Act 'the Health Ministers' and 'the Agriculture Ministers', ie the Secretary of State for Health, the Minister of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food and the corresponding Ministers in Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales, comprised the Licensing Authority, although any one of them acting alone was permitted to perform its functions. In practice, the functions of the Licensing Authority in relation to medicines for human use in the UK were, throughout the period of 1985-96, performed by the Secretary of State for Health." I will come back to that date. I think that is just an artefact of a report, and is something which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	delegated to officials working in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (and, after April 1989, officials working for the Medicines Control Agency (MCA)), subject to the normal legal principles relating to the extent to which ministerial functions may be delegated. "(5) The arrangements meant that product licences were physically granted by officials, not by the Minister, although I remained accountable for them. "The Medicines Act 1968 required that Ministers establish a Medicines Commission, made up of professionals with 'wide and recent experience' in the practice of medicine and pharmacy. The Commission was required to advise the Ministers making up the Licensing Authority on matters relating to the execution of the Act and on medicines generally where the Commission considered it expedient or when requested by Ministers. It also acted as an appeal body in respect of advice given to the Licensing Authority by the Section 4 committees.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	officials in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (medicines for human use). They received advice from a number of committees of experts set up under Section 4 of the Medicines Act, known as the 'Section 4 committees'. "(3) Responsibility for the granting, renewal, variation, suspension and revocation of licences was given by the Medicines Act to the 'Licensing Authority'. Under the Act 'the Health Ministers' and 'the Agriculture Ministers', ie the Secretary of State for Health, the Minister of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food and the corresponding Ministers in Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales, comprised the Licensing Authority, although any one of them acting alone was permitted to perform its functions. In practice, the functions of the Licensing Authority in relation to medicines for human use in the UK were, throughout the period of 1985-96, performed by the Secretary of State for Health." I will come back to that date. I think that is just an artefact of a report, and is something which also applied up to the earlier period as well.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	delegated to officials working in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (and, after April 1989, officials working for the Medicines Control Agency (MCA)), subject to the normal legal principles relating to the extent to which ministerial functions may be delegated. "(5) The arrangements meant that product licences were physically granted by officials, not by the Minister, although I remained accountable for them. "The Medicines Act 1968 required that Ministers establish a Medicines Commission, made up of professionals with 'wide and recent experience' in the practice of medicine and pharmacy. The Commission was required to advise the Ministers making up the Licensing Authority on matters relating to the execution of the Act and on medicines generally where the Commission considered it expedient or when requested by Ministers. It also acted as an appeal body in respect of advice given to the Licensing Authority by the Section 4 committees. "(7) The Committee on the Review of Medicines
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	officials in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (medicines for human use). They received advice from a number of committees of experts set up under Section 4 of the Medicines Act, known as the 'Section 4 committees'. "(3) Responsibility for the granting, renewal, variation, suspension and revocation of licences was given by the Medicines Act to the 'Licensing Authority'. Under the Act 'the Health Ministers' and 'the Agriculture Ministers', ie the Secretary of State for Health, the Minister of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food and the corresponding Ministers in Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales, comprised the Licensing Authority, although any one of them acting alone was permitted to perform its functions. In practice, the functions of the Licensing Authority in relation to medicines for human use in the UK were, throughout the period of 1985-96, performed by the Secretary of State for Health." I will come back to that date. I think that is just an artefact of a report, and is something which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	delegated to officials working in the Medicines Division of [the Department of Health] (and, after April 1989, officials working for the Medicines Control Agency (MCA)), subject to the normal legal principles relating to the extent to which ministerial functions may be delegated. "(5) The arrangements meant that product licences were physically granted by officials, not by the Minister, although I remained accountable for them. "The Medicines Act 1968 required that Ministers establish a Medicines Commission, made up of professionals with 'wide and recent experience' in the practice of medicine and pharmacy. The Commission was required to advise the Ministers making up the Licensing Authority on matters relating to the execution of the Act and on medicines generally where the Commission considered it expedient or when requested by Ministers. It also acted as an appeal body in respect of advice given to the Licensing Authority by the Section 4 committees.

(1) Pages 1 - 4

Т		ected Blood Inqui	ry 23 September 2021
1	before the Medicines Act introduced licensing	1	three parallel structures: medical staff,
2	requirements. At that time these products were	2	pharmaceutical staff and administrative staff.
3	granted licences of right.	3	Responsibility for staff and these structures was
4	"(8) The Committee on Dental and Surgical	4	essentially divided along professional/administrative
5	Materials (CDSM) advised on questions of the safety,	5	lines. The professional staff reported to the Senior
6	quality and efficacy of dental and surgical materials.	6	Principal Medical Officer (SPMO) or the Chief
7	"(9) The Committee on the Safety of Medicines	7	Pharmaceutical Officer and the administrative staff
8	(CSM) advised on questions of the safety, quality and	8	reported to the Under Secretary.
9	efficacy of human medicines that fell outside the	9	"(12) The National Institute for Biological
10	remit of the CDSM and the CRM. The Biologicals	10	Standards and Control (NIBSC) was established under
11	Sub-Committee was one of the Sub-Committees reporting	11	the Biological Standards Act 1975 in order to secure
12	to the CSM.	12	high standards of quality, safety and efficacy and
13	"(10) The Licensing Authority was required to	13	consistency of biological substances used in
14	consult the relevant section committee (or if there	14	medicines. In fulfilling this role it devised
15	was none, the Medicines Commission) in certain	15	standards for the quality, purity and potency of
16	circumstances, for example, when it was minded to	16	biological substances, tested batches of biological
17	refuse an application for a product licence or	17	products on behalf of DHSS, carried out research and
18	suspend, vary or revoke a licence. Otherwise,	18	advised a number of bodies, including Medicines
19	officials had a discretion whether to seek advice from	19	Division of DHSS and its Section 4 committees. NIBSC
20	the Section 4 committees in relation to any particular	20	staff were members of the BSC [and the] CSM.
21	product.	21	"(13) EC regulation of human medicinal products
22	"(11) The licensing regime for human and	22	was introduced with the adoption of Council Directive
23	medicinal products was operated by officials in the	23	65/65/EEC."
24	Medicines Division in [the Department of Health and	24	That was introduced on 26 January 1965, so
25	Social Security]. This Division was organised in	25	before the United Kingdom entered what was then the
	5		6
1	European Economic Community.	1	"(14) This Chapter of the BSE report goes on to
2	"Its framework was similar to that of the	2	refer to the Evans-Cunliffe report commissioned in
3	Medicines Act: it was based on the grant of	3	1987"
4	a 'marketing authorisation' by the competent authority	4	That is something, sir, that we will come back
5	of the Member State in question (ie a decentralised	5	to shortly.
6	system). No product within the scope of the Directive	6	That, sir, is where I leave Lord Fowler's
7	can be placed on the market in a Member State unless	7	evidence. The key points that we take from that

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

an authorisation had been issued by the competent authority of that Member State. No new legislation was introduced to implement Directive 65/65/EEC."

I take that to mean no new legislation within the United Kingdom.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"The competent authority of the [United Kingdom] for the purposes of the Directive was the Licensing Authority. Additional measures were introduced in 1975, including mechanisms for the recognition by all member states of product licences granted by an individual state. The Committee for Proprietary Medicinal Products (CPMP), a scientific committee, was also established; this advised the Commission ..."

Pause there to note that that's the European Commission:

"... on issues of safety, quality and efficacy in much the same way as the CSM advised the Licensing Authority in the UK.

evidence on the structures are these: first, products were licensed by the Licensing Authority, in particular that was a reference to the Secretary of State for Health, but also other Ministers. In practice, the function was delegated to officials working within the Medicines Division of the DHSS. From 1989, the functions were undertaken by the Medicines Control Agency, following a reform of the Medicines Division. The Medicines Control Agency was a self-financing agency within the Department of Health.

Those officials to whom that function was delegated could take advice from what we'll refer to as the Section 4 committees, they were listed in Lord Fowler's evidence but, for our purposes, the key ones are the Committee on the Safety of Medicines and the subcommittee, which is the Biologicals Subcommittee of the Committee on the Safety of

8

(2) Pages 5 - 8

1	Medicines.	1	Authority would be the one that was taking
2	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: The Biologicals Subcommittee was	2	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So ultimately the minister or the
3	a subcommittee of the CSM?	3	Licensing Authority, all the ministers who composed
4	MR HILL: That's right.	4	that authority, would have to make a decision?
5	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So it would give its advice or	5	MR HILL: That's right, sir.
6	recommendation to the CSM and the CSM would, as	6	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Did it ever happen?
7	a Section 4 committee, give its advice to the	7	MR HILL: There were certainly appeals to the Medicines
8	Licensing Authority; is that correct?	8	Commission. I don't I can't say off the top of my
9	MR HILL: That is correct, sir. We will come on to	9	head how many of those were successful or what the
10	a little bit of evidence from Sir Joseph Smith shortly	10	outcome of those was. As it was advisory, it would
11	that describes that in practice.	11	not necessarily be a firm appeal allowed or appeal
12	There was also a body called the	12	dismissed; it may be our advice is that further
13	Medicines Commission. This also provided advice,	13	information needs to be gained on this particular
14	including on how to set up the Section 4 committees	14	topic. But if that information is gained then we
15	and what they should be doing and how they should be	15	would recommend that a licence be given. So it's not
16	constituted. It also acted as a de facto appeals	16	a judicial body, as it were, saying yes or no, instead
17	body: if the CSM or the CSM(B) advice was to reject an	17	it's got a wider remit.
18	application the company could then appeal to the	18	In addition to those bodies there is the
19	Medicines Commission.	19	National Institute for Biological Standards and
20	In addition to those bodies	20	Control. This was established under a separate Act
21	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: What was the effect if it allowed an	21	but it carried out certain functions which are
22	appeal?	22	relevant to licensing. It provided advice to the CSM
23	MR HILL: The effect would be that its advice to the	23	and the CSM(B). The staff from the NIBSC might sit on
24	minister would be that, for example, a product licence	24	some of the Section 4 committees, and it would also
25	should be granted, but ultimately the Licensing	25	carry out testing, for example, as part of the
	9		10
1	licensing process.	1	That's Section 19 (5). That is, as I say,
_			

That, sir, is the outline. I would like now to just go into a little bit more detail about some of the provisions of the Medicines Act 1968, and you'll be pleased to hear, and I'm sure everybody will be pleased to hear, that I don't intend to go through every one of the 300 pages of it, but we will just pick up a few of the points from it.

First, the question of what factors were relevant to determining the application for a licence. Second 19 of the Act provides a non-exhaustive list, and the three key themes are safety, efficacy and quality, and you will have heard those mentioned in Lord Fowler's evidence.

That's found in Section 19(1). Those are also the three areas on which the Section 4 committees were permitted to advise. That is, Section 4(3)(a).

For imported products, the Licensing Authority would also consider, and I quote:

"... the methods, standards and conditions of manufacture of those products ..." $% \begin{center} \end{center} \begin{center} \end{center}$

That's Section 19(3).

The manufacturer's operation, premises, equipment and the qualification of its staff and of its recordkeeping were also to be considered.

That's Section 19 (5). That is, as I say, a non-exhaustive list. There isn't a single checklist which the Licensing Authority had to go through, but those are matters that the legislation said that it should consider when fulfilling its functions.

The Act also specified certain factors that the Licensing Authority should not take into account. Section 22, that's 20(2), provided that the Licensing Authority could not refuse a licence on the grounds of price, and cannot make a licence provisional on the product being sold at a particular price.

So it is not the function of the Licensing Authority to try to regulate the price of the medicines.

Section 19(2) provided that when assessing how efficacious a product is -- "efficacious" is the word used in the Act; I take it to mean how effective the product was at doing what the product should be doing, so how effective the indigestion pill is at getting rid of indigestion.

When assessing how efficacious the product is, the Licensing Authority should not take into account whether or not another product is equally or more efficacious, although when it's discussing or considering the safety of a product, it could take

(3) Pages 9 - 12

effective or was more effective.

So the Licensing Authority is not there to say: we're not going to licence this product because there is another one that does the same thing just as well. But if there is a safety concern, that is

a matter that it can take into account.

into account the fact that a safer product was just as

The next point that I would turn to is something that was mentioned by Lord Fowler, that the Licensing Authority shall not refuse a licence on grounds of safety, quality and efficacy, without consulting the relevant Section 4 committee, or if there wasn't time, the Medicines Commission.

Now, that's in Section 20(3). That meant that the Medicines Division could grant a licence without consulting the Section 4 committees, but it couldn't refuse one. That may be of some relevance to something that comes up later in the presentations.

The Licensing Authority can make a licence provisional on undertakings from a manufacturer of an imported product, and some of the types of undertaking that could be sought were given in Section 19(3) of the Act. They are things like the licence won't be granted unless the manufacturer of the product gives an undertaking to permit inspection of the

manufacturer's premises by or on behalf of the Licensing Authority. The undertaking could be to comply with certain prescribed conditions that are part of the licence, but, as we've seen, you can't use that to get around the prohibition on setting the price of the product.

The undertaking might be to give a declaration that the product complies with the laws of the country in which it is manufactured.

The length of time that the licence lasts was set by Section 24 of the Act. The rule was that a licence granted under the Act would last for five years. The licence holder could apply for it to be renewed. Where an application under the Act for renewal had been made, then the licence, and I quote:

"... shall not cease to be in force ... before the licensing authority have determined the application."

That's section 24(6).

The Inquiry's understanding of these provisions is that if a product was granted a licence in, say, 1975, that licence would run until 1980. If, before 1980, the licence holder applied for a renewal, then the product would continue to be licensed until that application was considered and decided, even if that

ran past 1980.

In such circumstances -- and we will see this in some of the papers that we look at shortly -- the renewed licence would run from the time of the expiry of the old licence, rather than the time that the decision was made.

As I say, sir, that is our understanding. There may be further evidence that you hear on this which changes that understanding. At present, that is how we understand the process to have worked.

There was a power to suspend, vary or revoke a licence subject to the procedures set out in the Act, and that power and those procedures are found at Sections 28 to 30.

A licence could be suspended, varied or revoked on a number of grounds, including that the application for the licence was false or incomplete,
Section 28(3)(a); that there had been a material breach of a provision of the licence,
section 28(3)(b); and, and I quote here:

"... that medicinal products of any description to which the licence relates can no longer be regarded as products which can safely be administered for the purposes indicated in the licence, or can no longer be regarded as efficacious for those purposes."

That's section 28(3)(g).

I also note, sir, that appropriate ministers were given powers to make regulations governing product labelling and packaging, and those powers were backed by a criminal sanction for some breaches.

That's at sections 85 to 91.

That is the overview of how products would be licensed under the Act, but it's important to note that there are exemptions which are contained in the Medicines Act 1968 as well.

The position was that, without a licence, a company was, in effect, prohibited from selling, supplying, exporting or importing a product, unless one of these exemptions applied, and prohibition is found in Section 7. For the Inquiry's purposes, two exemptions are of particular relevance. The first is the named patient basis, and the second is for clinical trials exemption. We turn first to the named patient basis.

The Act allowed doctors and dentists -- there is also some provisions for pharmacists as well, but for the purposes of simplicity, sir, I'm just going to refer to doctors during this presentation.

Sir, the Act allows doctors to import a medicinal product to his order, and I quote "for 16"

'

(4) Pages 13 - 16

1	administration to a particular patient of his".	1	So that is, 1978, 10 years after the initial
2	That's at Section 9(1) and a similar provision	2	Act. You see the introduction of this order to put in
3	is at section 13(1).	3	place those provisions.
4	So the doctor can import a product without	4	From June 1984, further restrictions were
5	a licence if it's to be administered to one of his	5	introduced in the form of The Medicines (Exemption
6	patients the gender is expressed in the Act.	6	from Licences) (Importation) Order 1984.
7	So that was the basic position as set out in the	7	It might be helpful to have this onscreen,
8	1968 Act.	8	please, Soumik. It's PRSE0000177.
9	In November 1978, certain restrictions were	9	If we look, sir, first at the top of the
10	introduced on products imported under a named patient	10	statutory instrument, you can see its title. You can
11	basis by the Medicines (Exemption from Licences)	11	see that it was made on 14 May 1984, it was laid
12	(Importation) Order 1978. That is SI 1978-1461.	12	before Parliament on 16 May 1984, and it came into
13	In brief, those restrictions were: the importer	13	operation on 6 June 1984.
14	of the product had to inform the Licensing Authority	14	Then you can see underneath the various
15	within 21 days of the first receipt of a product.	15	ministers who have been involved in the implementation
16	That's Article 3(a).	16	of this legislation and, as per Lord Fowler's
17	The second restriction was that no advertising	17	statement, the first reference is:
18	or representation was allowed for such products. They	18	"The Secretary of State concerned with health in
19	could only be provided, and I quote the statutory	19	England, the Secretaries of State respectively
20	instruments:	20	concerned with health and with agriculture in Wales
21	" in response to a bona fide unsolicited	21	and in Scotland, the Minister of Agriculture,
22	[offer]."	22	Fisheries and Food, the Department of Health and
23	The third restriction is that written records	23	Social Services for Northern Ireland and the
24	should be kept and maintained, and would be made	24	Department of Agriculture for Northern Ireland, acting
25	available to the Licensing Authority on request.	25	jointly, in exercise of powers conferred by the
	17		18
1	Medicines Act"	1	importation, a notice in writing which states his
1 2	Medicines Act" Have made the order that follows.	1 2	importation, a notice in writing which states his intention to import that medicinal product for sale or
	Have made the order that follows.		intention to import that medicinal product for sale or
2	Have made the order that follows. Further work, sir, will be undertaken on trying	2	intention to import that medicinal product for sale or supply in the circumstances specified"
2	Have made the order that follows. Further work, sir, will be undertaken on trying to understand how the Act operated in practice across	2	intention to import that medicinal product for sale or supply in the circumstances specified" So whereas previously the requirement was to let
2 3 4	Have made the order that follows. Further work, sir, will be undertaken on trying to understand how the Act operated in practice across the four countries of the United Kingdom, but it can	2 3 4	intention to import that medicinal product for sale or supply in the circumstances specified" So whereas previously the requirement was to let the Licensing Authority know once you have received
2 3 4 5	Have made the order that follows. Further work, sir, will be undertaken on trying to understand how the Act operated in practice across the four countries of the United Kingdom, but it can be seen here that there is a joint and a central	2 3 4 5	intention to import that medicinal product for sale or supply in the circumstances specified" So whereas previously the requirement was to let the Licensing Authority know once you have received the product, now you have to give prior notice.
2 3 4 5 6	Have made the order that follows. Further work, sir, will be undertaken on trying to understand how the Act operated in practice across the four countries of the United Kingdom, but it can be seen here that there is a joint and a central approach to this piece of legislation, and it is our	2 3 4 5 6	intention to import that medicinal product for sale or supply in the circumstances specified" So whereas previously the requirement was to let the Licensing Authority know once you have received the product, now you have to give prior notice. We can see, in 4(1)(a)(i), (ii), (iii) and (iv),
2 3 4 5 6 7	Have made the order that follows. Further work, sir, will be undertaken on trying to understand how the Act operated in practice across the four countries of the United Kingdom, but it can be seen here that there is a joint and a central approach to this piece of legislation, and it is our understanding that most licensing decisions were taken	2 3 4 5 6 7	intention to import that medicinal product for sale or supply in the circumstances specified" So whereas previously the requirement was to let the Licensing Authority know once you have received the product, now you have to give prior notice. We can see, in 4(1)(a)(i), (ii), (iii) and (iv), some of the information that you needed to provide
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Have made the order that follows. Further work, sir, will be undertaken on trying to understand how the Act operated in practice across the four countries of the United Kingdom, but it can be seen here that there is a joint and a central approach to this piece of legislation, and it is our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	intention to import that medicinal product for sale or supply in the circumstances specified" So whereas previously the requirement was to let the Licensing Authority know once you have received the product, now you have to give prior notice. We can see, in 4(1)(a)(i), (ii), (iii) and (iv),
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Have made the order that follows. Further work, sir, will be undertaken on trying to understand how the Act operated in practice across the four countries of the United Kingdom, but it can be seen here that there is a joint and a central approach to this piece of legislation, and it is our understanding that most licensing decisions were taken centrally, most were taken within the Department of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	intention to import that medicinal product for sale or supply in the circumstances specified" So whereas previously the requirement was to let the Licensing Authority know once you have received the product, now you have to give prior notice. We can see, in 4(1)(a)(i), (ii), (iii) and (iv), some of the information that you needed to provide when giving that prior notice: the name of a product, each active constituent then at point (iii), this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Have made the order that follows. Further work, sir, will be undertaken on trying to understand how the Act operated in practice across the four countries of the United Kingdom, but it can be seen here that there is a joint and a central approach to this piece of legislation, and it is our understanding that most licensing decisions were taken centrally, most were taken within the Department of Health, and we will look to see whether or not there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	intention to import that medicinal product for sale or supply in the circumstances specified" So whereas previously the requirement was to let the Licensing Authority know once you have received the product, now you have to give prior notice. We can see, in 4(1)(a)(i), (ii), (iii) and (iv), some of the information that you needed to provide when giving that prior notice: the name of a product, each active constituent then at point (iii), this is important because it's introduced by this Act for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Have made the order that follows. Further work, sir, will be undertaken on trying to understand how the Act operated in practice across the four countries of the United Kingdom, but it can be seen here that there is a joint and a central approach to this piece of legislation, and it is our understanding that most licensing decisions were taken centrally, most were taken within the Department of Health, and we will look to see whether or not there was any variation over the course of the evidence that we hear.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	intention to import that medicinal product for sale or supply in the circumstances specified" So whereas previously the requirement was to let the Licensing Authority know once you have received the product, now you have to give prior notice. We can see, in 4(1)(a)(i), (ii), (iii) and (iv), some of the information that you needed to provide when giving that prior notice: the name of a product, each active constituent then at point (iii), this is important because it's introduced by this Act for the first time, the quantity of that medicinal product
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Have made the order that follows. Further work, sir, will be undertaken on trying to understand how the Act operated in practice across the four countries of the United Kingdom, but it can be seen here that there is a joint and a central approach to this piece of legislation, and it is our understanding that most licensing decisions were taken centrally, most were taken within the Department of Health, and we will look to see whether or not there was any variation over the course of the evidence that we hear. If we turn, please, Soumik, to the second page.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	intention to import that medicinal product for sale or supply in the circumstances specified" So whereas previously the requirement was to let the Licensing Authority know once you have received the product, now you have to give prior notice. We can see, in 4(1)(a)(i), (ii), (iii) and (iv), some of the information that you needed to provide when giving that prior notice: the name of a product, each active constituent then at point (iii), this is important because it's introduced by this Act for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Have made the order that follows. Further work, sir, will be undertaken on trying to understand how the Act operated in practice across the four countries of the United Kingdom, but it can be seen here that there is a joint and a central approach to this piece of legislation, and it is our understanding that most licensing decisions were taken centrally, most were taken within the Department of Health, and we will look to see whether or not there was any variation over the course of the evidence that we hear. If we turn, please, Soumik, to the second page. We can see that this is referring back to it is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	intention to import that medicinal product for sale or supply in the circumstances specified" So whereas previously the requirement was to let the Licensing Authority know once you have received the product, now you have to give prior notice. We can see, in 4(1)(a)(i), (ii), (iii) and (iv), some of the information that you needed to provide when giving that prior notice: the name of a product, each active constituent then at point (iii), this is important because it's introduced by this Act for the first time, the quantity of that medicinal product which is to be imported. Then the name and the address of the manufacturer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Have made the order that follows. Further work, sir, will be undertaken on trying to understand how the Act operated in practice across the four countries of the United Kingdom, but it can be seen here that there is a joint and a central approach to this piece of legislation, and it is our understanding that most licensing decisions were taken centrally, most were taken within the Department of Health, and we will look to see whether or not there was any variation over the course of the evidence that we hear. If we turn, please, Soumik, to the second page. We can see that this is referring back to it is a statutory language and it refers back to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	intention to import that medicinal product for sale or supply in the circumstances specified" So whereas previously the requirement was to let the Licensing Authority know once you have received the product, now you have to give prior notice. We can see, in 4(1)(a)(i), (ii), (iii) and (iv), some of the information that you needed to provide when giving that prior notice: the name of a product, each active constituent then at point (iii), this is important because it's introduced by this Act for the first time, the quantity of that medicinal product which is to be imported. Then the name and the address of the manufacturer. Then on to (4)(b):
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Have made the order that follows. Further work, sir, will be undertaken on trying to understand how the Act operated in practice across the four countries of the United Kingdom, but it can be seen here that there is a joint and a central approach to this piece of legislation, and it is our understanding that most licensing decisions were taken centrally, most were taken within the Department of Health, and we will look to see whether or not there was any variation over the course of the evidence that we hear. If we turn, please, Soumik, to the second page. We can see that this is referring back to it is a statutory language and it refers back to the previous articles within this order, and indeed to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	intention to import that medicinal product for sale or supply in the circumstances specified" So whereas previously the requirement was to let the Licensing Authority know once you have received the product, now you have to give prior notice. We can see, in 4(1)(a)(i), (ii), (iii) and (iv), some of the information that you needed to provide when giving that prior notice: the name of a product, each active constituent then at point (iii), this is important because it's introduced by this Act for the first time, the quantity of that medicinal product which is to be imported. Then the name and the address of the manufacturer. Then on to (4)(b): "the person importing a medicinal product which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Have made the order that follows. Further work, sir, will be undertaken on trying to understand how the Act operated in practice across the four countries of the United Kingdom, but it can be seen here that there is a joint and a central approach to this piece of legislation, and it is our understanding that most licensing decisions were taken centrally, most were taken within the Department of Health, and we will look to see whether or not there was any variation over the course of the evidence that we hear. If we turn, please, Soumik, to the second page. We can see that this is referring back to it is a statutory language and it refers back to the previous articles within this order, and indeed to the Act, and it's talking about the exemption on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	intention to import that medicinal product for sale or supply in the circumstances specified" So whereas previously the requirement was to let the Licensing Authority know once you have received the product, now you have to give prior notice. We can see, in 4(1)(a)(i), (ii), (iii) and (iv), some of the information that you needed to provide when giving that prior notice: the name of a product, each active constituent then at point (iii), this is important because it's introduced by this Act for the first time, the quantity of that medicinal product which is to be imported. Then the name and the address of the manufacturer. Then on to (4)(b): "the person importing a medicinal product which is the subject of that exemption has given or sent to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Have made the order that follows. Further work, sir, will be undertaken on trying to understand how the Act operated in practice across the four countries of the United Kingdom, but it can be seen here that there is a joint and a central approach to this piece of legislation, and it is our understanding that most licensing decisions were taken centrally, most were taken within the Department of Health, and we will look to see whether or not there was any variation over the course of the evidence that we hear. If we turn, please, Soumik, to the second page. We can see that this is referring back to it is a statutory language and it refers back to the previous articles within this order, and indeed to the Act, and it's talking about the exemption on the named patient basis.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	intention to import that medicinal product for sale or supply in the circumstances specified" So whereas previously the requirement was to let the Licensing Authority know once you have received the product, now you have to give prior notice. We can see, in 4(1)(a)(i), (ii), (iii) and (iv), some of the information that you needed to provide when giving that prior notice: the name of a product, each active constituent then at point (iii), this is important because it's introduced by this Act for the first time, the quantity of that medicinal product which is to be imported. Then the name and the address of the manufacturer. Then on to (4)(b): "the person importing a medicinal product which is the subject of that exemption has given or sent to the licensing authority, together with the notice
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Have made the order that follows. Further work, sir, will be undertaken on trying to understand how the Act operated in practice across the four countries of the United Kingdom, but it can be seen here that there is a joint and a central approach to this piece of legislation, and it is our understanding that most licensing decisions were taken centrally, most were taken within the Department of Health, and we will look to see whether or not there was any variation over the course of the evidence that we hear. If we turn, please, Soumik, to the second page. We can see that this is referring back to it is a statutory language and it refers back to the previous articles within this order, and indeed to the Act, and it's talking about the exemption on the named patient basis. The first point that I would draw out is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	intention to import that medicinal product for sale or supply in the circumstances specified" So whereas previously the requirement was to let the Licensing Authority know once you have received the product, now you have to give prior notice. We can see, in 4(1)(a)(i), (ii), (iii) and (iv), some of the information that you needed to provide when giving that prior notice: the name of a product, each active constituent then at point (iii), this is important because it's introduced by this Act for the first time, the quantity of that medicinal product which is to be imported. Then the name and the address of the manufacturer. Then on to (4)(b): "the person importing a medicinal product which is the subject of that exemption has given or sent to the licensing authority, together with the notice referred to in paragraph 1(a) an undertaking in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Have made the order that follows. Further work, sir, will be undertaken on trying to understand how the Act operated in practice across the four countries of the United Kingdom, but it can be seen here that there is a joint and a central approach to this piece of legislation, and it is our understanding that most licensing decisions were taken centrally, most were taken within the Department of Health, and we will look to see whether or not there was any variation over the course of the evidence that we hear. If we turn, please, Soumik, to the second page. We can see that this is referring back to it is a statutory language and it refers back to the previous articles within this order, and indeed to the Act, and it's talking about the exemption on the named patient basis. The first point that I would draw out is that you can see in 4(1)(a) that there is now a requirement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	intention to import that medicinal product for sale or supply in the circumstances specified" So whereas previously the requirement was to let the Licensing Authority know once you have received the product, now you have to give prior notice. We can see, in 4(1)(a)(i), (ii), (iii) and (iv), some of the information that you needed to provide when giving that prior notice: the name of a product, each active constituent then at point (iii), this is important because it's introduced by this Act for the first time, the quantity of that medicinal product which is to be imported. Then the name and the address of the manufacturer. Then on to (4)(b): "the person importing a medicinal product which is the subject of that exemption has given or sent to the licensing authority, together with the notice referred to in paragraph 1(a) an undertaking in writing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Have made the order that follows. Further work, sir, will be undertaken on trying to understand how the Act operated in practice across the four countries of the United Kingdom, but it can be seen here that there is a joint and a central approach to this piece of legislation, and it is our understanding that most licensing decisions were taken centrally, most were taken within the Department of Health, and we will look to see whether or not there was any variation over the course of the evidence that we hear. If we turn, please, Soumik, to the second page. We can see that this is referring back to it is a statutory language and it refers back to the previous articles within this order, and indeed to the Act, and it's talking about the exemption on the named patient basis. The first point that I would draw out is that you can see in 4(1)(a) that there is now a requirement that in order to make use of the named person	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	intention to import that medicinal product for sale or supply in the circumstances specified" So whereas previously the requirement was to let the Licensing Authority know once you have received the product, now you have to give prior notice. We can see, in 4(1)(a)(i), (ii), (iii) and (iv), some of the information that you needed to provide when giving that prior notice: the name of a product, each active constituent then at point (iii), this is important because it's introduced by this Act for the first time, the quantity of that medicinal product which is to be imported. Then the name and the address of the manufacturer. Then on to (4)(b): "the person importing a medicinal product which is the subject of that exemption has given or sent to the licensing authority, together with the notice referred to in paragraph 1(a) an undertaking in writing "(i) [that the] quantity of that medicinal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Have made the order that follows. Further work, sir, will be undertaken on trying to understand how the Act operated in practice across the four countries of the United Kingdom, but it can be seen here that there is a joint and a central approach to this piece of legislation, and it is our understanding that most licensing decisions were taken centrally, most were taken within the Department of Health, and we will look to see whether or not there was any variation over the course of the evidence that we hear. If we turn, please, Soumik, to the second page. We can see that this is referring back to it is a statutory language and it refers back to the previous articles within this order, and indeed to the Act, and it's talking about the exemption on the named patient basis. The first point that I would draw out is that you can see in 4(1)(a) that there is now a requirement that in order to make use of the named person exemption:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	intention to import that medicinal product for sale or supply in the circumstances specified" So whereas previously the requirement was to let the Licensing Authority know once you have received the product, now you have to give prior notice. We can see, in 4(1)(a)(i), (ii), (iii) and (iv), some of the information that you needed to provide when giving that prior notice: the name of a product, each active constituent then at point (iii), this is important because it's introduced by this Act for the first time, the quantity of that medicinal product which is to be imported. Then the name and the address of the manufacturer. Then on to (4)(b): "the person importing a medicinal product which is the subject of that exemption has given or sent to the licensing authority, together with the notice referred to in paragraph 1(a) an undertaking in writing "(i) [that the] quantity of that medicinal product which is imported in accordance with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Have made the order that follows. Further work, sir, will be undertaken on trying to understand how the Act operated in practice across the four countries of the United Kingdom, but it can be seen here that there is a joint and a central approach to this piece of legislation, and it is our understanding that most licensing decisions were taken centrally, most were taken within the Department of Health, and we will look to see whether or not there was any variation over the course of the evidence that we hear. If we turn, please, Soumik, to the second page. We can see that this is referring back to it is a statutory language and it refers back to the previous articles within this order, and indeed to the Act, and it's talking about the exemption on the named patient basis. The first point that I would draw out is that you can see in 4(1)(a) that there is now a requirement that in order to make use of the named person exemption: " the person importing a medicinal product	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	intention to import that medicinal product for sale or supply in the circumstances specified" So whereas previously the requirement was to let the Licensing Authority know once you have received the product, now you have to give prior notice. We can see, in 4(1)(a)(i), (ii), (iii) and (iv), some of the information that you needed to provide when giving that prior notice: the name of a product, each active constituent then at point (iii), this is important because it's introduced by this Act for the first time, the quantity of that medicinal product which is to be imported. Then the name and the address of the manufacturer. Then on to (4)(b): "the person importing a medicinal product which is the subject of that exemption has given or sent to the licensing authority, together with the notice referred to in paragraph 1(a) an undertaking in writing "(i) [that the] quantity of that medicinal product which is imported in accordance with the notice referred to in paragraph 1(a), does not exceed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Have made the order that follows. Further work, sir, will be undertaken on trying to understand how the Act operated in practice across the four countries of the United Kingdom, but it can be seen here that there is a joint and a central approach to this piece of legislation, and it is our understanding that most licensing decisions were taken centrally, most were taken within the Department of Health, and we will look to see whether or not there was any variation over the course of the evidence that we hear. If we turn, please, Soumik, to the second page. We can see that this is referring back to it is a statutory language and it refers back to the previous articles within this order, and indeed to the Act, and it's talking about the exemption on the named patient basis. The first point that I would draw out is that you can see in 4(1)(a) that there is now a requirement that in order to make use of the named person exemption:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	intention to import that medicinal product for sale or supply in the circumstances specified" So whereas previously the requirement was to let the Licensing Authority know once you have received the product, now you have to give prior notice. We can see, in 4(1)(a)(i), (ii), (iii) and (iv), some of the information that you needed to provide when giving that prior notice: the name of a product, each active constituent then at point (iii), this is important because it's introduced by this Act for the first time, the quantity of that medicinal product which is to be imported. Then the name and the address of the manufacturer. Then on to (4)(b): "the person importing a medicinal product which is the subject of that exemption has given or sent to the licensing authority, together with the notice referred to in paragraph 1(a) an undertaking in writing "(i) [that the] quantity of that medicinal product which is imported in accordance with the

(5) Pages 17 - 20

		The Infected Blood Inc	quiry 23 September 2021
1	exceeding 3 months."	1	The next provision is that the person seeking
2	Again, sir, this is a new provision which is	2	the exemption:
3	introduced in the Act, and you can see that the effect	3	" will inform the Licensing Authority
4	is to limit the amount of product that can be imported	4	forthwith of any matter coming to his attention which
5	on a named-patient basis. Our understanding	5	might reasonably cause the licensing authority to
6	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: On one occasion?	6	believe that the medicinal product can no longer be
7	MR HILL: Precisely, sir. Our understanding of that is	7	regarded either as a product which can be safely
8	that it is 25 courses of treatment for that named	8	administered to human beings or as a product which is
9	patient, so if you have two named patients, then you	9	of satisfactory quality for such administration"
10	can have another 25.	10	Again, sir, that is a new provision, and you
11	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: But is there anything to stop the	ne 11	will see that it echos, not precisely, but it echos
12	clinician concerned, as he gets towards the end of the		the wording of the 1968 Act about when you can revoke
13	three months, saying, "I'm going to do this for	13	or vary or suspend a licence.
14	another three months"?	14	The next provision, and this is an updating of
15	MR HILL: No, but I would require	15	the provision that was in the 1978 order, and I quote:
16	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Further communication?	16	"he will not at any time issue or cause another
17	MR HILL: Yes, yes.	17	person to issue any advertisements or make any
18	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So it requires further writing or	f 18	representation in respect of that medicinal product
19	letters?	19	and that he will sell or supply that medicinal product
20	MR HILL: Yes, but what it does allow, for the first time,	20	only in response to a bona fide unsolicited
21	is for the Department to be aware, if it is able to	21	[offer]"
22	trace through those letters, of the quantity of	22	Then the next provision is about written records
23	material that is being imported on the named patient	23	and you can see it goes into more detail than the 1978
24	basis. So far as I'm aware, the previous legislation,	24	Act does about what those records should contain and
25	primary and secondary, didn't allow for that.	25	includes the name and quantity of a medicinal product,
	21		22
1	the name and address of the manufacturer, and if	1	to human beings or is not a product which is of
2	different the supplier, assembler:	2	satisfactory quality for such administration."
3	"in respect of [a supply or sale] of that		SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So this is the teeth which the
4	medicinal product, specifying the name and address o		Authority has in respect of prior notice? So instead
5	the person to whom that medicinal product is sold or	5	of telling the authority you've brought the product in
6	supplied, the quantity and the date of such sale or	6	and may well have used it, you now have to say, "I'm
7	supply"	7	going to do it", and the authority can say, "Well,
8	There is also a provision that follows that	8	sorry, this order doesn't apply". If the order
9	those records should be kept for five years.	9	doesn't apply, you don't have exemption; you don't
•	and to the state of the state o		account apply, you don't have exemption, you don't

The next Article to which I will draw your attention, sir, is 4(c). Then is again a requirement in order to get the exemption, and for the exemption to hold, and I quote:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"the licensing authority have not, before the end of the specified period, given or sent to the person proposing to import that medicinal product a notice in writing stating that the provisions of this Order shall not apply to anything which the said person proposes to do which consists of importing or selling or supplying that medicinal product because --

"(i) any conditions specified in the preceding sub-paragraphs of this paragraph is not satisfied, or

"(ii) the licensing authority have reasonable cause to believe that that medicinal product cannot be regarded as a product which can safely be administered 10 have exemption, you can't do it.

MR HILL: That's correct, sir.

SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Right.

13 MR HILL: Though the following provision is that the 14 specified period means 28 days. So the person seeking 15 the exemption provides the notice, if within that 16 28 days the Licensing Authority doesn't do what you 17 have just said, then the exemption will apply. So 18 it's not a case that you have to wait for the 19 Licensing Authority to say yes. 20

SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: They've got to act fairly quickly? 21

22 Sir, the final point I would draw your attention 23 to, paragraph -- Article 6 of the order, right at the 24 end. You can see:

"The Medicines (Exemption from

25

24 (6) Pages 21 - 24

1	Licences)(Importation) Order 1978 is revoked."	1	MR HILL: I would agree with all of that, sir, save for
2	That was the previous order that we were talking	2	the question about whether or not unsafe products were
3	about, so this supplanted it. We will see that it is	3	used. There may be a feeling that it has been used to
4	signed by Kenneth Clarke, Minister of State for the	4	circumvent the licensing procedure too much. That
5	Department of Health and Social Security and the	5	could give rise to the use of unsafe products, or it
6	Secretary of State for Wales, Nicholas Edwards,	6	could just be a concern that there is a potential or
7	George Younger, Secretary of State for Scotland,	7	a risk of products which haven't gone through the same
8	Michael Jopling, Minister of Agriculture, Fisheries	8	checks for safety, efficacy and quality of being
9	and Food, the Permanent Secretary of the Department of	9	imported into the UK market.
10	Health and Social Services for Northern Ireland, and	10	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I wasn't suggesting it was
11	the Department of Agriculture for Northern Ireland,	11	an inference that could be drawn.
12	Under-Secretary. So that, sir, then is the 1984	12	MR HILL: No.
13	order.	13	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: But I was suggesting that at least
14	You may feel, sir, that in the	14	it opened your eyes to the possibility that that might
15	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Do we have any information as to why	15	have been in the minds of those who were proposing
16	it was only six years after the earlier order that	16	this order.
17	this was introduced?	17	MR HILL: Certainly, sir, and there is evidence that you
18	MR HILL: It is something, sir, that we will be exploring,	18	will hear in the coming days which I think supports
19	a theme to explore during the presentations that we're	19	that. Obviously, others will have their own view of
20	about to embark into.	20	that evidence but it will be my submission that there
21	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I mean, it gives rise to a suspicion	21	is evidence that supports it.
22	that the Department thought that there might be good	22	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Thank you.
23	reason to control the named-person exemption, because	23	MR HILL: If we turn away from the named-patient exemption
24	it had led, in some cases, it was thought or	24	to the second exemption, and that is clinical trials.
25	suspected, to unsafe products being used.	25	The 1968 Act set out at section 31 and sections 35 to
	25		26
1	39 a regime in which a clinical trial could be	1	Licensing Authority had agreed to that exemption, then
2	undertaken. These were supplemented over time by	2	the product could be used as well. So that's not
_			are breaking as many as men as

39 a regime in which a clinical trial could be undertaken. These were supplemented over time by various orders made by ministers, including the medicines exemption from licences, clinical trials order of 1974, which is SI1974/298.

I won't try, sir, to take you through all of the relevant provisions but the initial position under the 1968 Act was that a product licence -- a product could be used in a clinical trial if, firstly, a product licence authorised its use in that trial, so there was an actual licence which said it could be done. That's section 31(4)(a), or if a clinical trial certificate -- sometimes referred to as a CTC -- was issued, so that's section 31(4)(b), so if a person who is seeking to include a product in a trial applies for a certificate rather than a licence.

Sections 31(5) and 31(6), in our understanding, allow a product to be used in a clinical trial on a named-patient basis. So if you're importing the product on the named-patient basis, that patient can be entered into a clinical trial.

Under Article 2(4) of the 1974 order, if a person intending to sell, supply or import the product notified the Licensing Authority of a proposed clinical trial and provided details of it, and the Licensing Authority had agreed to that exemption, the the product could be used as well. So that's not a certificate, it's not a licence, it's a notification and agreement procedure.

In March 1981, the regime was changed by the Medicines Exemptions from Licences Clinical Trials Order of 1981. That introduced a clinical trials exemption scheme, sometimes referred to in the papers as a CTX, whereby the Licensing Authority could exempt a supplier from a product from a need to hold a clinical trial certificate for three years if certain undertakings were given, Articles 3 to 5 of the 1981 order.

The supplier would apply for the exemption providing details of the product and for trial, together with a certificate signed by a doctor, that's article 4. There would be a period of 35 days in which the Licensing Authority could inform the supplier that the scheme did not apply and, at the end of that period, the exemption would take effect. That's Article 4. So, again a similar negative consent procedure, rather than positive asset.

The supplier was required to inform the Licensing Authority of any adverse reactions or other matters that might reasonably cause the Licensing

(7) Pages 25 - 28

	THE II	necica biood inqui	y 25 Ocptember 2021
1	Authority to think that the product was not safe or	1	WITN5281001. This is the written statement of
2	satisfactory. That's Article 4(1)(c). Again, similar	2	Sir Joseph Smith dated 25 June 2021. This version,
3	to the 1984 order that we have just looked at.	3	sir, is the unsigned but approved evidence that we
4	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: In advance or in retrospect?	4	disclosed prior to witnesses giving evidence in the
5	MR HILL: Well, the adverse reactions obviously has to be	5	summer. For these purposes, I don't think it matters,
6	in retrospect but any other matter that might	6	sir, I don't think this evidence is in any way
7	reasonably cause the Licensing Authority to think that	7	contentious, it is merely Sir Joseph discussing some
8	the product was not safe or satisfactory, that would	8	aspects of how the licensing process works.
9	seem to be an ongoing obligation.	9	If we could turn first, please, Soumik, to page
10	The Licensing Authority had powers to terminate	10	3, and paragraph 0.2. We can see, Sir Joseph setting
11	the exemption, including on grounds of safety. That's	11	out the positions that he held. He was the director
12	Article 5(2). So you may feel, sir, that, in contrast	12	of the National Institute for Biological Standards and
13	to the named-patient basis, where we see a tightening	13	Control, that's NIBSC, from 1976 to August 1985. He
14	of restrictions there is a greater degree of	14	was the Director of the Public Health Laboratory
15	flexibility which is introduced by these orders over	15	Service from August 1985 to 1992. He sat on the
16	time, in terms of the clinical trials process.	16	Committee on the Safety of Medicines from 1978 to
17	So those were the legislative provisions, sir.	17	1986, and he was the Chairman of the Committee on the
18	I'm now going to turn to a little evidence about how	18	Safety of Medicines Subcommittee on Biological
19	the process operated in practice. It's intended to	19	Products from 1981 to 1986.
20	provide an introduction about the general structures	20	You have heard evidence, sir, of the meeting of
21	and processes, further evidence is going to be called	21	13 July 1983 of the Committee on the Safety of
22	in due course and you will, of course, sir, want to	22	Medicines Biologicals Subcommittee and it was
23	consider all that before making any findings. This is	23	Sir Joseph who was in the chair at that meeting where
24	really just by way of introduction.	24	the steps in response to AIDS were discussed.
25	The first bit of evidence, please, Soumik, is	25	If we could turn to paragraph 2.7, which is
	29		30
1	forgive me, Soumik it's on page 8. Sir Joseph	1	process, which was applied by the Licensing Authority
2	describes the way in which the work of the NIBSC was	2	of the DHSS to manufacturers of certain biological
3	divided. We go down to 2.9 on the same page, he talks	3	products and required them to submit to the NIBSC, on
-	g pge, 10 talle	_	,

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

divided. We go down to 2.9 on the same page, he talks about:

"The wide variety of different biological products necessitated a corresponding range of scientific disciplines at the NIBSC. During my time as Director, the Institute had five scientific Divisions ..."

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He lists them. For our purposes the important one is blood products.

He then goes on, at the bottom of that paragraph and the next page, to talk about how the work of that Department can be broadly divided into three areas: standardisation, control and research. For today's purposes it is the controlled work of the NIBSC which is of interest to us. That is at 2.11. I read from his statement, he says:

"The control work of the NIBSC included the evaluation of medicinal products before and after licensing, and advice was given to the Licensing Authority and the Committee on the Safety of Medicines on applications for product licences and clinical trials certificates for biological products. The NIBSC also had a part to play in the batch release

products and required them to submit to the NIBSC, on a batch-to-batch basis, protocols describing the results of in-process tests made during the manufacture, and, in the majority of cases, samples of all such batches. The samples could include, in addition to the finished product, bulk and in-process materials, the control of which is essential to ensure the quality and safety of biological medicinal products. A batch release order could require that marketing or supply of any batch shall not take place without the issue of a formal release certificate by the Board on behalf of the Licensing Authority. This type of order was known as a 'full stop order'. Such orders were usually judged to be necessary for new biological products, and sometimes remained in force permanently, as in the case of potentially hazardous products, such as live virus vaccines. In other cases, satisfactory control could be maintained by scrutiny of protocols only. Once satisfactory evidence had been provided for the manufacture produced a product of consistently acceptable quality

been partially relaxed or completely withdrawn. 32

and related safety, a batch release order might have

1	"The testing carried out by NIBSC on biological	1	Sir Joseph Joseph made an earlier reference. This is
2	products used in human medicines included testing	2	again about the work of the Blood Products Division.
3	against the appropriate biological standard the	3	What he says is this:
4	potency of submitted batches of biological products	4	"Apart from testing for purity and potency of
5	which were the subject of a UK product licence or	5	samples of blood products examined by the NIBSC, tests
6	clinical trials certificate application in the United	6	for thrombogenicity (the tendency of a material to
7	Kingdom, but may also have included other tests	7	generate blood clotting and/or thrombus, when in
8	relating to the purity and potency of the product"	8	contact with the blood) could be carried out, as well
9	He addresses those in paragraph 2.20, which	9	as tests for certain blood borne infections where
10	I won't take you to yet.	10	these were available. For example, when I was
11	Paragraph 2.15, please, Soumik, on the next	11	Director, samples were tested for hepatitis B antigen,
12	page. This is about the Blood Products Division.	12	and later, when a test became available, for HTLV III.
13	Sir Joseph Joseph said this:	13	It appears from the NIBSC report for April 1984 to
14	"The Blood Products Division was established as	14	March 1985, that samples were being tested for HTLV
15	a separate entity within the NIBSC on 1 October 1976,	15	III by this time"
16	with the appointment of Dr Duncan Thomas as its Head	16	There is it follows a quotation from the
17	and the transfer of scientific and technical staff	17	report which says:
18	from the former Division of Hormones and Blood	18	"A total of 124 batches of manufacturers'
19	Products, which until then had been responsible for	19	products was submitted, a slight fall from last year,
20	the control of haematological materials. When I was	20	which was probably mainly due to the switch by
21	Director, the Blood Products Division was responsible	21	manufacturers at the end of 1984 to Factor VIII
22	for controlling certain blood products, for preparing	22	preparations subjected to heat treatment The
23	International and British Standards, and for carrying	23	batches included 18 from the Blood Products
24	out related research."	24	Laboratory, Elstree, and 10 batches from the Protein
25	I'll turn now to paragraph 2.20, to which	25	Fractionation Centre, Edinburgh. Tests at NIBSC on
	33		34

5

15

16

21

22

23

24

25

these materials gave negative results for HTLV III and hepatitis B."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Turning to the remit functions and activities of the Committee on the Safety of Medicines and the Biologicals Subcommittee and his roles on those committees, Sir Joseph says this:

"The CSM's primary role was to consider questions relating to medicines licensing. The CSM would regularly consider applications for product licences and clinical trial certificates made by drug manufacturers. These applications, including applications to vary existing product licences as well as applications for product licences for new products, were referred to the CSM by the Licensing Authority strictly speaking the Secretary of State, but in practice the Medicines Division of the DHSS. Applications for consideration by the CSM went in the first instance to the Secretariat of the CSM and would then be presented to the appropriate sub-committee. The main sub-committee dealing with most pharmaceutical products and a second sub-committee dealing with biological products (the CSM(B)).

"As explained above ... biological products were products which could not be assessed by physical and chemical means alone, and required biological

1 standards against which to measure their potency.

2 This would include vaccines, certain antibiotics,

hormones and blood products. The CSM(B) was composed

4 of senior members of expertise appropriate to its work

out, that of assessing the safety of biological

6 medicines and their risk-benefit balance. They were 7

experienced in assessing the necessary biological,

8 clinical and epidemiological evidence contained in the

9 cases submitted for their consideration. Their

10 expertise included clinical infectious diseases, 11

clinical and experimental virology and bacteriology, 12

haematology, endocrinology, epidemiology and the

13 production of biological medicines. The 14

Sub-Committee's evaluations also benefited from the

assessments made by the medical and scientific staff

of the Medicines Division of the DHSS as well as the views of the professional staff of the NIBSC. The

17 advice of the administrative and legal staff of the

18

19 Medicines Division could also be taken into account, 20

particularly regarding the requirements of the

Medicines Act, for example the need for

confidentiality.

"The conclusions and recommendations of the CSM(B) would accompany the application papers when they were considered by the CSM. The CSM would in

35

36

(9) Pages 33 - 36

1	turn make recommendations to the Licensing Authority."	1	with the CSM(B) in relation to its recommendations."
2	That is, sir, as we discussed earlier. I would	2	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So, in effect, it was
3	also draw your attention, sir, to a little later in	3	a nodding through type process?
4	the statement, paragraph 3.53 at page 44, please,	4	MR HILL: After consideration of the papers, yes.
5	Soumik. This is a further comment that Sir Joseph	5	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes, they'd read the papers.
6	Smith makes. This in the context of the 13 July 1983	6	MR HILL: They'd read the papers.
7	meeting, but from the way the evidence is presented	7	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Or had the papers to read anyway.
8	I think that it has a wider relevance as well.	8	MR HILL: You will have further evidence, sir, from people
9	Paragraph 3.52:	9	who worked both on the CSM(B) and worked with the
10	"I am asked at question 12(b)"	10	CSM(B), which will, we hope, provide more information
11	That is a Rule 9 request that we sent him.	11	about how things worked in practice. That is the
12	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: We're on the wrong thank you.	12	evidence that we have from Sir Joseph at this point.
13	MR HILL: Thank you.	13	The final piece of evidence that I would draw
14	"I am asked at question 12(b), what, if any,	14	your attention to, sir, at this stage, is the
15	discussion there was at the CSM of the CSM(B)	15	Evans-Cunliffe report, which was mentioned in
16	conclusions and, if there was any discussion, whether	16	Lord Fowler's evidence.
17	there is any reason why it was not recorded in the	17	Soumik, can we have, please, WITN0771006. This
18	minutes."	18	is the front page of the report, and you can see it is
19	As I say, sir, that relates to the 13 July	19	by Dr Evans and PW Cunliffe, published in
20	meeting:	20	December 1987.
21	"I cannot recall there being much discussion on	21	If we go to page 10, please, Soumik.
22	this occasion, or indeed on other occasions when the	22	We can see the context of the report. I will
23	CSM(B) presented recommendations to the CSM, although	23	read from it:
24	the CSM would have read carefully any written	24	"Introduction.
25	information provided, CSM members generally agreed	25	"In the spring of 1987, the Medicines Act 1968
	37		38
1	was almost 20 years old, and the Medicines Division of	1	Section 4 Committees') of which the Committee on
2	the DHSS (which is the government department charged	2	Safety of Medicines is probably the best known.
3	with implementation of licensing of medicines under	3	Medicines Division comprises some 300 civil servants
4	the Act) was showing signs of overload. We were asked	4	including 165 administrators, 97 pharmaceutical staff
5	by Ministers to study the arrangements for the control	5	(mainly pharmacists) and 24 doctors, the most senior
6	of medicines, with the following terms of reference:	6	being two Grade 3 officers namely a Senior Principal
7	"To examine the issues for DHSS arising from	7	Medical Officer and the administrative
8	the continued increase in licence applications and	8	Under-Secretary."
9	other work under the Medicines Act and to recommend	9	I don't go to it now, sir, but table 3 at
10	ways of dealing expeditiously with this work, while	10	page 54 of this report contains more of a breakdown of
11	maintaining adequate standards for the safety,	11	how the size of the Medicines Division changed over
12	efficacy and quality of human medicines in the	12	the years.
13	United Kingdom."	13	A little further down page 11 we can see that
14	So that, sir, is what they were asked to do, and	14	the problem that had given rise to this report. This
15	why they were asked to do it.	15	paragraph, sir, is also instructive in terms of the
16	If we could turn, please, to paragraph 2.2.	16	different types of licences that could be applied for.
17	This gives a little insight into the size of the	17	It's not a case that there was simply one form of
18	Medicines Division at the time sorry, page 11.	18	application.
19	Thank you, Soumik.	19	What the report says is this:
20	Paragraph 2.2 says:	20	"There has been a progressive increase in the
21	"In all these activities, the greater part of	21	number of applications. Analysis is complicated by
22	the work in assessing applications and in issuing	22	several factors, viz:
23	licences on behalf of Ministers is done in Medicines	23	"i) different kinds of application impose quite
24	Division of DHSS, assisted by the Medicines Commission	24	different burdens upon the Division. The assessment
25	and a number of expert statutory committees ('the	25	of a novel kind of medicine (a 'New Active Substance')
	39	1	40 (10) Pages 37 - 40
			() 3

1	usually requires much more work than does that of	1	without attempting any correction for this increase in
1 2	usually requires much more work than does that of the simpler ('Abridged') application for a medicine	1 2	without attempting any correction for this increase in complexity. The growth overall approximates to 5% per
3	based on a familiar active ingredient; Clinical Trials	3	year. Table 3 shows how the Division's staff
4	Certificate and Exemption Certificates, Variations and	4	increased over the same period, with a commendable
5	Notifications are different again."	5	increase in efficiency."
6	I'll pause there, sir, just to point out some of	6	I won't take you to those tables, sir, but
7	that terminology: the abridged application and the	7	they're for everybody to see if they wish to:
8	applications to vary an existing licence; two	8	"The growing workload has brought problems. In
9	different matters to a new active substance licence	9	particular, the time taken to deal with an
10	which requires consideration from scratch.	10	application, measured from its receipt to the grant of
11	If we go over to subparagraph (iii) on the next	11	licence, has grown to embarrassing dimensions"
12	page, please, Soumik. Further evidence of the	12	A further table is given to show that:
13	complexity, the report says:	13	"These times currently considerably exceed the
14	"iii) even within one category say, Abridged	14	period stipulated in EHC directives yet they are not
15	applications there are marked differences in the	15	necessary for the careful scrutiny of the data
16	complexity of the professional work needed in the	16	submitted nor do they contribute to its rigorous
17	Division. Such differences are hard to quantify, but	17	assessment; indeed, the public is the loser because
18	the industry and DHSS staff agree that both New Active	18	new medicines take so long to get in the patients'
19	Substance and major Abridged applications are steadily	19	hands. The delays are also commercially detrimental
20	becoming more complex. For example, medicines	20	to the applicant companies; when it is remembered that
21	produced by recombinant DNA techniques present the	21	a fairly run-of-the-mill new medicine might earn
22	assessors with quite new kinds of problem to solve."	22	1 million a year, and a very successful new active
23	Reading on, the report authors say:	23	substance perhaps 50 million per year during its short
24	"Table 2 shows DHSS figures for the numbers of	24	patent life, it can be seen that each additional
25	applications received each year from 1976 to 1987,	25	month's delay in issuing licences is costing companies
	41		42
1	thousands, even millions, of pounds annually. And, of	1	built our recommendations for improvement: but it is
2	course, the taxpayer has an interest in a thriving UK	2	important to remember when reading them that the
3	pharmaceutical industry."	3	overall record of medicines control in the UK is
4	Paragraph 2.6 makes the point that delays of	4	a good one, and its reputation stands deservedly high.
5	this kind are not confined to the UK but also found	5	All countries have problems with delays and
6	elsewhere.	6	bureaucracy, and notwithstanding their complaints the
7	Then if we go, please, to chapter to the	7	consensus of those we consulted was that the UK system
8	following page, page 13, which is the chapter on	8	is still one of the best in the world it is by no
9	"Complaints & Findings". I'm not going to go through	9	means the slowest, and its record in protecting the
10	all of the findings of the report or all of its	10	public without inhibiting therapeutic innovation and
11	recommendations, but this does, sir, I think, help to	11	progress is second to none. What follows, then, is
12	show a snapshot of what people were thinking about	12	intended as constructive criticism to help make a good
13	some aspects of the licensing system at the time when	13	system better.
14	this report was being prepared in about 1987.	14	"3.2. The principal complaints and difficulties
15	Paragraph 3.1:	15	made known to us were:-
16	"In this and the following chapter we summarise	16	"3.2.1 by senior management of DHSS.
17	the current problems in relation to the control of	17	": increasing workload is causing overload and
18	medicines as perceived by those we consulted"	18	delays
19	Pause there to say, sir, that there is a list of	19	": too many applications are incomplete,
20	those who were consulted at the back of the report:	20	slovenly or premature imposed constraints (eg the
21	" and discuss our own findings and conclusion	21	Treasury headcount) forbid taking on necessary staff
22	about the strengths and weaknesses of the existing	22	": difficulty in recruiting suitably experienced
23	arrangements. Many of these conclusions are critical.	23	professional assessors
24	Necessarily, we give the criticisms full weight and	24	": appeals against licence refusals are very
25	space, for they are the foundation on which we have	25	time-consuming."
	43		

43

(11) Pages 41 - 44

1	So that's what the senior management of the DHSS	1	": lack of secretarial and other support for
2	said.	2	professional staff
3	The "consumer interests", so-called, said that	3	": inadequate computing and unreliable database
4	the:	4	": structure of the division impedes good
5	": legislation was more favourable to the health	5	working and effective management."
6	of the pharmaceutical industry than to health of the	6	Finally, on the following page, principal
7	consumer	7	complaints by "others":
8	": more medicines are approved than are needed	8	": the scope of the legislation should be
9	": undue secrecy about the nature and working of	9	extended to bring additional items under control."
10	the medicine control process	10	Then if we go to paragraph 3.4, the report's
11	":undue secrecy about the grounds on which	11	authors talk about where there weren't complaints:
12	licensing decisions are taken	12	"Rather to our surprise there were two
13	":flaccid enforcement of the legal powers re	13	significant omissions from the list of criticisms.
14	premotion and advertising"	14	Even though we gave ample opportunity for the issue to
15	The complaints by the industry were of:	15	be raised, those we consulted did not particularly
16	": delays	16	condemn the amount of data required in support of
17	": over-formalised procedures with too little	17	licence applications, for new drugs. And we found
18	informal communication	18	that although many of those we consulted would like to
19	": over-zealous pursuit of unnecessary detail	19	see the Medicines Act 1968 changed in one respect or
20	('nit-picking')	20	another (some favouring tightening its provisions,
21	": professional assessors lack experience.	21	others the reverse) there was almost universal
22	": frequent errors in documentation."	22	reluctance to see its amendment lest more be lost than
23	The principal complaints and difficulties from	23	was gained by disturbing the present balance of
24	the staff of the Medicines Division were the:	24	conflicting interests."
25	": poor quality of many applications	25	Those then, sir, are the times of criticisms
	45		46

that the report's authors received during their work. I stress this is from 1987, so it is a snapshot in time, and it may not apply earlier, it may not apply later. I also stress that this is a report into the licensing of medicinal products broadly, across the board, and not just blood products.

Finally, sir, if we could go to pages 6 and 7 -- please, Soumik. Could we have page 7 on the split screen with it, please.

I won't go through all these, sir, but these are a summary of the recommendations that were made. You can see that they are divided into organisational recommendations, new technology, staffing and personnel, improved procedures.

Then if we go -- I won't ask for it to be brought up, but there are also recommendations about expert drug reaction monitoring, expert advisory committees, appeals and finance.

So that is the series of recommendations that the report made. I confess I haven't followed through precisely how they were implemented, but I do note that it was a couple of years later that the Medicines Control Agency took effect within the Department of Health, taking on the work that had previously been done by the Medicines Division.

That, sir, concludes the presentation that I'm giving now on licensing, the overview. Much more can and, I'm sure, will be said on licensing during the course of the evidence that you hear, and on the other functions of some of the bodies that we have mentioned. But, for now, I will leave it there.

I note the time, sir, and we'll be moving on to the pharmaceutical companies shortly.

SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes, well we'll take a break until 11.45.

11 MR HILL: Thank you, sir.

12 (11.10 am)

(A short break)

14 (11.45 am)

MR HILL: Sir, we turn then to the presentations from your team on the pharmaceutical companies, and these will take place over the next two weeks. Perhaps it will help if I say a word about what will and will not be covered in that time, and about the approach that we have taken.

The first phase of these presentations will be a company-by-company approach, looking at the main importers of blood products to the UK market in the 1970s and the 1980s in particular. Those being Immuno, Hyland, Armour, Air Cutter and Abbott Alpha.

(12) Pages 45 - 48

There will also be a presentation on the UK-based company, Speywood, which is a slightly different category.

The focus of these presentations will be on the following matters. First, the UK licensing of the products, and the use of the products within the UK; second, communication of risk in respect of those products, particularly within the UK; third, the donors whose plasma was used to produce the products; and fourth, other contacts and communication between the companies and people, organisations, within the UK.

That is a general outline of the structure to help give some shape to it. There is obviously a lot of overlap between those areas, and so there is not a clear delineation, but those are the types of areas that we will be considering.

Due to the materials that are available to us, we are able to say more about some companies than we are about others and we are able to say more about some areas for some companies than we are for others. To give an example, Immuno, who we will be talking about today, we have quite a lot of documentation about the licensing process, so it is a good way of exploring that process through the Immuno documents.

For Hyland, we have a little more about donors, so it is not a case that we will be able to say exactly the same sets of things about the different companies. But we hope to cover the ground fairly expeditiously and, we hope, without too much repetition. The going will be slow at first but we hope we will be able to pick up the pace as we go on.

In November, there will be a further presentation, and that presentation will be about the response of those companies -- not so much Speywood, but the five companies that I mentioned before -- the response of them to the growing knowledge of the risk of infection from the use of their products and, in particular, infection with AIDS.

That presentation will be a cumulative one, drawing on materials from all of the countries. We think that is a better way of reflecting the narrative of the events and it avoids repeating certain meetings, certain documents, again and again.

In this first set of presentations, which, as I said, concentrate very much on the UK picture, we will touch upon the corporate structures of these companies but we do so for two main reasons. The first is to help explain what companies were doing at different times, which company was doing what at

a particular point in time and, in particular, we would invite everybody to keep in mind the distinction to be made between the parent company, based in these instances in the United States -- or in the case of Immuno, in Vienna -- and a UK-based subsidiary or a company that is connected with the parent company, which is based in the United Kingdom, and the interaction between those different entities will be of some interest.

The UK-based companies, as we will see from the papers, were often reliant on the parent company not just for the product but also for the data that was used in the licensing process and for the packaging and for the labelling.

The second reason why we will touch upon the corporate structures is to explain where and why the Inquiry has sought materials from particular sources, what lawyers call "the disclosure exercise". It helps to understand what has been looked for, what has been found, and how we have approached that process. The picture is invariably complex and it will not be something that we go into in detail in the oral presentations, but witness statements will be disclosed to help people to understand where it is that we have looked and why we have looked there.

That is all part of the transparency that is fundamental to this Inquiry's work.

So those are the reasons why we will touch upon the corporate structure. The companies, over time, were carved up, they were sold, they were resold, and we are not seeking to give you a corporate history of those companies. We are not making any submissions to you on which company, if any, has inherited any liability or culpability, legal or otherwise. That is no part of these presentations.

A word, sir, of the sources that we have used. They are voluminous but they are incomplete. The Core Participants will be aware that there is a documentary on relativity connected with all these presentations where the documents have been placed and there are many thousands of documents which have been placed on it.

Where material is located overseas, you have no powers of compulsion under the Inquiry's Act 2005, you have relied on the cooperation of the organisations involved. It has not always been possible to identify all of the documents that we would have liked to have seen. That is inevitable after 40-plus years. Some documents are missing, some documents may have been destroyed, some documents your Inquiry team may have,

(13) Pages 49 - 52

but we have been unable to locate the specific facts from 1978 that we are looking for, because it's somewhere within this vast mass of materials that we've had. I can say that it is not for want of trying.

If we say that we can't identify a document, we mean just that: that we haven't been able to find it.

We're not implying anything untoward about the reasons why we haven't been able to find it.

Our approach to the documents has been to bring our independent analysis as your counsel to it, we have sought to identify material and themes that will assist you in discharging the terms of reference of the Inquiry. It's not an exhaustive process and I should say that myself and Ms Richards are indebted to the work that has been done of the wider Inquiry legal team in making these presentations.

Others may disagree with our analysis. They may identify other documents, other themes that they consider to be important, and we welcome those being brought to our attention. We also note that the Core Participants will have an opportunity to make their own submissions. You will also hear further evidence from witnesses who are connected to these areas. We do not seek to pre-empt that evidence in these

presentations, and all should keep in mind that a witness may come along and give an explanation about a document which hasn't occurred to us.

You, sir, I'm sure, will consider all of the evidence that is presented to you, both by us, the submissions of others and by the witnesses. The fact that in these presentations a document is referred to doesn't give it a special status, and the fact that a document is not included doesn't mean that it is not important. You will consider it all, sir, and it will be a matter for you as to which conclusions you draw from them.

With that presentation complete, sir, I'd like to turn to the first of the companies that we're going to look at. That is a company called Immuno. Those present here today will have seen that on the timetable we have grouped together Immuno and Hyland and Travenol. The reason for that is that, in later years, a single entity was involved in owning all three of those companies. That didn't happen until the 1990s, there is then some further splitting of the companies, which I don't need to go into now. It is important to note that for the key periods in which we are interested in these presentations, that is to say the 1970s and the 1980s, these companies were

competitors and they were not part of the same group. So Hyland was competing directly against Immuno in selling factor products.

In terms of the corporate structure for Immuno, all I will say is this, very briefly. A company called Immuno International AG was founded in 1960, and its principal place of business was Vienna, so this is an Austrian company. It was the parent company of a UK-based company which was originally called Serological Products Ltd. That was incorporated on 27 January 1972. That company later changed its name to Immuno Ltd, and that was 31 December 1976. Both in this presentation and in others the fact that a company is called "X Ltd" is an indication that that is a UK-based company. As I have said, there is a distinction always to be kept in mind between the international company and the UK-based one.

The first managing director of Immuno Ltd was a man called Norman Berry. He later became the chair of the company, the chairman, from 1984, and the managing director from that time was Peter Coombes. He had previously been a marketing manager. One other name that comes up in the documents that I raise now is Robert Nicholson, who, in the mid-'80s had taken

Mr Coombes' former role of being the marketing manager of Immuno Ltd, all of these men within the UK-based company.

For Immuno AG, some of the names that we see in the documents coming up are Dr Otto Schwarz, who was managing director, production for Immuno AG, the Vienna-based company; Dr H Eibl -- I hope you will excuse the pronunciation -- the director of research and development at Immuno AG, the Vienna-based company; and also a Mrs Diernhofer, who we understand headed the licensing and registration department of Immuno AG.

Those are names that we will see as we go through.

The company -- at points I will refer to "Immuno" generally to try to encapture work that was done by both Immuno AG and Immuno Ltd. Trying to break it up every single time that but I say the word will perhaps be a little repetitious, so at points I will refer to "Immuno".

Immuno provided three main products to the UK market that we're going to consider in the coming presentation. They were Kryobulin, which was a Factor VIII concentrate; Prothromplex, which was a Factor IX concentrate, it also contained Factor II

(14) Pages 53 - 56

1 and Factor X, but it was predominantly used for 1 a further layer of complexity, there are different 2 2 Factor IX concentrate; and a product called FEIBA, methods within each of those phases for heat treating 3 3 the product. Immuno referred to products by -- with which was used for inhibitor patients. 4 FEIBA stands for Factor VIII Inhibitor Bypass --4 the preface "TIM", which I think stands for Thermal 5 I forget the "A", sir, forgive me, it will come back 5 Inactivation Method, and so a -- you may see 6 6 a reference to Kryobulin as TIM 2, as opposed to to me as we go along. 7 So those are the three main products but within 7 Kryobulin TIM 4. Now TIM 2 is for the second method 8 8 those products, over different times, different of dry heating Kryobulin, and method 4 would be the 9 9 variants of them are presented to the UK market and fourth method of dry heating Kryobulin. 10 presented to the licensers. There are generally 10 But then you will have Kryobulin also referred 11 three-phases. The first phase is the original 11 to as Kryobulin Neo TIM 2 or Neo TIM 4, sometimes 12 product, so Kryobulin or Prothromplex, in 12 S-TIM 2 or S-TIM 4, and that is the second method of 13 13 a non-heat-treated form. steam heat and activation of the product. So you get 14 The second stage is a dry heat-treated form of 14 different variants even within the different types of heating. 15 15 the product. Dry heat treatment refers to the way in 16 which the product was heated in order to try to get --16 For that reason, sir, it gets somewhat complex, 17 17 to encourage viral inactivation, so the second phase and at points I will stop, and I'm sure that you will 18 18 interrupt, and ask to clarify exactly which product is of dry heat product. 19 The third phase is of a steam or vapour heated 19 that we are talking about. Usually it is possible to 20 20 product, and we will come on to the distinction tell, not always. 21 between those terms in due course. That is 21 But the overall structure is of unheated 22 22 a different method of heating those products, which, products, dry-heated products and then steam or 23 23 as the name suggests, uses a wet or moist heat from vapour-heated products, as time progresses through the

24

25

6

in which products were licensed by Immuno, starting with Kryobulin, the Factor VIII product.

steam or vapour, rather than the dry heat of an oven.

57

Those are the three distinct phases, but to add

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As I go through, sir, I will give references to documents. I'm not going to bring them all-up because there are an awful lot of them, but I will place on the record the references so that people can go and check on relativity and see the documents to which we're referring.

Immuno AG began producing Kryobulin, which was formerly known as Kryobulin Human Antihaemophilic Fraction in 1965. Initially it was sold to on the Austrian market but the product was exported and sold abroad from 1969 onwards. The reference for that is SHPL0000071 181, page 39.

The sales figures indicate that Kryobulin was available in the United Kingdom, albeit in the small supply, from 1970. If we could bring this up, please, Soumik, its SHPL0000071_185, and first of all page 4, please. We can see many of the documents are in German, of course, and this is sales of Kryobulin, 1970, and we can see the first entry is for Heidelberg, but the second entry is Crown Agents London, the 100, 250 and 500, that's for different size of the products which are being sold. Six units sold to Crown Agents, and then also --

1 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: You say six units, you mean six

58

With that introduction, sir, I turn to the way

2 bottles of the 500, whatever it is, or --

'70s and into the '80s.

3 MR HILL: That is my best understanding, sir.

4 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Because, at this stage, I don't think the international unit had yet been introduced.

think the international unit had yet been introduced, had it?

7 MR HILL: That's right, there is a standardisation, that

8 comes later.

9 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: About 1971, I think it was, but
 10 I may be wrong.

MR HILL: Somewhere around there but, here, my bestunderstanding of this is that this is six bottles or

13 six vials of the 500 sized Kryobulin.

14 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Presumably this would have been on

15 a named-patient basis, would it?16 MR HILL: There was certainly no licence by this stage,

17 1968 --

18 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So it would have to be?

19 MR HILL: Unless it was clinical trials.

20 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes.

21 **MR HILL**: But one of the exemptions would have to have 22 applied.

23 So we can see Crown Agents have bought that, and 24 then underneath what I think is a Dr Mibachan in 25 London, one unit, whatever that may be, a vial, it may

60 (15) Pages 57 - 60

	The integr	ca Biooa iii	20 000100112021
1	be a bottle.	1	subsequently becomes head of blood products at NIBSC.
2	If we go to, Soumik, to page 1, that's 1970,	2	What he says is:
3	that's the first time we have them appearing on the	3	"Dear Mr Berry,
4	sheets and we do have some earlier sheets as well.	4	"Thank you for your letter of December 20th,
5	1971 we can see the Crown Agents have now bought	5	1972. There is no objection to you supplying Dr Biggs
6	three of the 500 sized units, Mr Newcession in London	6	with Kryobulin for the treatment of named haemophiliac
7	has bought two. If we could just expand that page	7	patients."
8	a little, please, we can see that is being sold also	8	So going back to your earlier question, sir,
9	in Milan and Pisa and we can also see Dr Mannucci's	9	this seems to be the product being supplied on
10	name in Milan, further down the list as well.	10	a named-patient basis. I suspect Dr Biggs might be
11	Then page 6, please, Soumik. This is 1972, and	11	an error for Dr Briggs.
12	we can see now it being sold to St Thomas' hospital	12	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: It may be Dr Rosemary Biggs,
13	and to the Crown Agents, to the Royal Free Hospital.	13	mightn't it?
14	Now, that's the Royal Free are buying a different	14	MR HILL: Sorry, yes, I've written her as Briggs, but yes,
15	product called Bebulin, which I understand to be an	15	Dr Rosemary Biggs, at the Oxford Haemophilia Centre.
16	immunoglobulin but the Crown Agents and St Thomas' are	16	Now, that's from December 1972 and in the same
17	buying Kryobulin.	17	month we have the first application for a product
18	Again, we can see it also being sold in Madrid,	18	licence for Kryobulin. If we could have on the
19	in Warsaw and in Pisa.	19	screen, please, Soumik, MHRA0033325_002.
20	Thank you, Soumik, if we could have the next	20	This, I show you, sir, to show what
21	document, please MHRA0033323_003. This is a letter	21	an application looks like, as of 1972. You can see
22	dated 22 December 1972. You can see it is sent to	22	the application is made by Serological Products Ltd,
23	Mr Berry, who is the managing director of Immuno Ltd,	23	that's the company of which Mr Berry was the managing
24	the UK-based company and it comes from Duncan Thomas,	24	director, the UK-based company. So it's the UK-based
25	who was mentioned in Sir Joseph Smith's evidence too	25	company that makes the application but, as we will see
	61		62
1	from the documents, relies on material from the	1	can see for the different sized units of the products
2	Vienna-based company.	2	it gives directions about how it should be
3	You can see the contents there of what is	3	reconstituted with water, so that you can then apply
4	provided with the application, there is a summary of	4	and inject the product.
5	the particulars of the product, the specimens of the	5	If we could turn, please, to page 6, Soumik. We
6	inner labels, of the solvent labels, of the outer	6	have the physical characteristics, which is
7	labels, the draft directions circular is the leaflet	7	a description of the product, and then the clinical
8	that goes with the document, and then some scientific	8	use and the recommended clinical use is including:
9	evidence about the methods of manufacture or the	9	"Treatment of bleeding caused by Factor VIII
10	methods of analysis, stability report, and copies of	10	deficiency in patients with:
11	various studies.	11	" Haemophilia A.
12	If we could go over, please, to the next page,	12	" von Willebrand's Disease.
13	Soumik. You can see this is the if we could expand	13	" Haemophilia caused by Factor VIII
14	that, please summary of the particulars of	14	inhibitors", and so forth.
15	a product, and it includes the fact that the licence	15	We then turn on the next page to the clinical
16	is sought for five years, which is the time stipulated	16	recommendations on the route of administration through
17	by the 1968 report.	17	injection and recommended dosages. Over the following
18	Then onto the next page, please, Soumik. These	18	pages you have the dosages for different situations
19	are the various details that accompanied the	19	for bruising, for heavy bleeding into muscles, for
20	application, what the licence is required to	20	haematuria, for major surgery, and so forth.
21	authorise, the product, and you can see the different	21	If we could turn, please, to page 10, Soumik,
22	sizes 100 units, 250 units, 500 units, the	22	recommendations there for flow rates for injections,
-00			

24

25

and details of how the product is manufactured and

assembled. This, I hasten to add, is a summary and --

though it is backed by far more documentation, as we

64

23

24

25

pharmaceutical form, the description of the product,

63

Then onto the next page, please, Soumik. You

and its composition.

(16) Pages 61 - 64

1	saw from the contents page .	1	there are a couple of features within it that I wish
2	Page 11, please, Soumik. The summary of how	2	to draw your attention to.
3	quality control is exercised, and you will see there	3	Can we have onscreen, please, Soumik,
4	at 14(a) it says:	4	SHPL0000071_181.
5	"A detailed report on analyses carried out will	5	We can see from the first page this is Mr Berry
6	be given in Part 3, Section B."	6	sending to Mrs Diernhofer of Immuno AG, who was in
7	So these are the detailed reports that follow on	7	charge of their licensing department, a replacement
8	from the summary.	8	copy of the admissions that went with the Kryobulin
9	Page 12, please, Soumik. A description of the	9	application.
10	containers and of the labelling and, again, reference	10	If we could turn, please, to page 25. The
11	is made to the documents supporting the application	11	section on "Manufacture and composition". It's said
12	where these are set out. We will be looking in some	12	here, this is part of the application, and sorry,
13	detail at the labelling in due course.	13	Soumik, if we could just expand it; my fault. We can
14	Then, finally, please, Soumik, page 13. We see	14	see this is enclosure number 4 and it's the draft of
15	"Method of sale and supply":	15	the circular, so that's the leaflet that accompanies
16	"The product will be made available [at]:	16	the document and provides details, to those who read
17	"Hospitals, and Haemophilia Treatment Centres."	17	it, about the document about the product, sorry.
18	The application is signed by Norman Berry, the	18	The section on the "Manufacture and composition"
19	managing director of Serological Products Ltd, dated	19	says this:
20	1 December 1972. We know from a covering letter that	20	"Kryobulin is prepared from pooled plasma of
21	it was sent to the Department on 8 December 1972.	21	healthy donors and freeze-dried for stabilisation.
22	I don't ask for that to be brought up but the	22	All donors, whose plasma is used for the production of
23	reference is MHRA0003323_009.	23	Kryobulin, are tested at each donation for their GPT
24	I won't go through all of the supporting	24	level and the absence of AU/SH/HA antigens (Hepatitis
25	documentation that accompanied the application but	25	Associated Antigen). Any donor, who has a history of
	65		66
1	a pathological transaminase level or a positive	1	reactions may occur"
1 2	AU/SH/HA antigen test, is permanently excluded from	1 2	reactions may occur" The first is an allergic reaction. Then if we
	AU/SH/HA antigen test, is permanently excluded from the donor programme. Despite these precautions, the		
2	AU/SH/HA antigen test, is permanently excluded from the donor programme. Despite these precautions, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis can	2	The first is an allergic reaction. Then if we
2 3	AU/SH/HA antigen test, is permanently excluded from the donor programme. Despite these precautions, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis can only be diminished, and not completely eliminated."	2	The first is an allergic reaction. Then if we go over to the next page, the second point listed, and
2 3 4 5 6	AU/SH/HA antigen test, is permanently excluded from the donor programme. Despite these precautions, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis can	2 3 4 5 6	The first is an allergic reaction. Then if we go over to the next page, the second point listed, and I quote: "Despite the precautions taken in the selection of donors, the risk of transmission of homologous
2 3 4 5	AU/SH/HA antigen test, is permanently excluded from the donor programme. Despite these precautions, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis can only be diminished, and not completely eliminated."	2 3 4 5	The first is an allergic reaction. Then if we go over to the next page, the second point listed, and I quote: "Despite the precautions taken in the selection of donors, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis cannot be entirely excluded when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	AU/SH/HA antigen test, is permanently excluded from the donor programme. Despite these precautions, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis can only be diminished, and not completely eliminated." SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Just so that we can follow, when it's AU/SH/HA, those are acronyms, are they? MR HILL: Yes. We understand them to mean Australian	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The first is an allergic reaction. Then if we go over to the next page, the second point listed, and I quote: "Despite the precautions taken in the selection of donors, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis cannot be entirely excluded when administering human coagulation factors."
2 3 4 5 6 7	AU/SH/HA antigen test, is permanently excluded from the donor programme. Despite these precautions, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis can only be diminished, and not completely eliminated." SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Just so that we can follow, when it's AU/SH/HA, those are acronyms, are they? MR HILL: Yes. We understand them to mean Australian Antigen, Serum Hepatitis Antigen and Hepatitis	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The first is an allergic reaction. Then if we go over to the next page, the second point listed, and I quote: "Despite the precautions taken in the selection of donors, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis cannot be entirely excluded when administering human coagulation factors." The application was considered by the Committee
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	AU/SH/HA antigen test, is permanently excluded from the donor programme. Despite these precautions, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis can only be diminished, and not completely eliminated." SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Just so that we can follow, when it's AU/SH/HA, those are acronyms, are they? MR HILL: Yes. We understand them to mean Australian Antigen, Serum Hepatitis Antigen and Hepatitis Associated Antigen.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The first is an allergic reaction. Then if we go over to the next page, the second point listed, and I quote: "Despite the precautions taken in the selection of donors, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis cannot be entirely excluded when administering human coagulation factors." The application was considered by the Committee on the Safety of Medicines' Subcommittee on Biologics
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	AU/SH/HA antigen test, is permanently excluded from the donor programme. Despite these precautions, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis can only be diminished, and not completely eliminated." SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Just so that we can follow, when it's AU/SH/HA, those are acronyms, are they? MR HILL: Yes. We understand them to mean Australian Antigen, Serum Hepatitis Antigen and Hepatitis Associated Antigen. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	The first is an allergic reaction. Then if we go over to the next page, the second point listed, and I quote: "Despite the precautions taken in the selection of donors, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis cannot be entirely excluded when administering human coagulation factors." The application was considered by the Committee on the Safety of Medicines' Subcommittee on Biologics in January 1973, and a report was prepared for that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	AU/SH/HA antigen test, is permanently excluded from the donor programme. Despite these precautions, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis can only be diminished, and not completely eliminated." SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Just so that we can follow, when it's AU/SH/HA, those are acronyms, are they? MR HILL: Yes. We understand them to mean Australian Antigen, Serum Hepatitis Antigen and Hepatitis Associated Antigen. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. Thank you. MR HILL: Actually, I should add that that is our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	The first is an allergic reaction. Then if we go over to the next page, the second point listed, and I quote: "Despite the precautions taken in the selection of donors, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis cannot be entirely excluded when administering human coagulation factors." The application was considered by the Committee on the Safety of Medicines' Subcommittee on Biologics in January 1973, and a report was prepared for that committee by Dr Duncan Thomas. That report is at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	AU/SH/HA antigen test, is permanently excluded from the donor programme. Despite these precautions, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis can only be diminished, and not completely eliminated." SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Just so that we can follow, when it's AU/SH/HA, those are acronyms, are they? MR HILL: Yes. We understand them to mean Australian Antigen, Serum Hepatitis Antigen and Hepatitis Associated Antigen. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. Thank you. MR HILL: Actually, I should add that that is our understanding, rather than something we have taken	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	The first is an allergic reaction. Then if we go over to the next page, the second point listed, and I quote: "Despite the precautions taken in the selection of donors, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis cannot be entirely excluded when administering human coagulation factors." The application was considered by the Committee on the Safety of Medicines' Subcommittee on Biologics in January 1973, and a report was prepared for that committee by Dr Duncan Thomas. That report is at MHRA0033322_060. I don't ask for it to be brought up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	AU/SH/HA antigen test, is permanently excluded from the donor programme. Despite these precautions, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis can only be diminished, and not completely eliminated." SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Just so that we can follow, when it's AU/SH/HA, those are acronyms, are they? MR HILL: Yes. We understand them to mean Australian Antigen, Serum Hepatitis Antigen and Hepatitis Associated Antigen. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. Thank you. MR HILL: Actually, I should add that that is our understanding, rather than something we have taken from the document.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The first is an allergic reaction. Then if we go over to the next page, the second point listed, and I quote: "Despite the precautions taken in the selection of donors, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis cannot be entirely excluded when administering human coagulation factors." The application was considered by the Committee on the Safety of Medicines' Subcommittee on Biologics in January 1973, and a report was prepared for that committee by Dr Duncan Thomas. That report is at MHRA0033322_060. I don't ask for it to be brought up. In the report, Dr Thomas notes that the pool
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	AU/SH/HA antigen test, is permanently excluded from the donor programme. Despite these precautions, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis can only be diminished, and not completely eliminated." SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Just so that we can follow, when it's AU/SH/HA, those are acronyms, are they? MR HILL: Yes. We understand them to mean Australian Antigen, Serum Hepatitis Antigen and Hepatitis Associated Antigen. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. Thank you. MR HILL: Actually, I should add that that is our understanding, rather than something we have taken from the document. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Well, it seems reasonable. They're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	The first is an allergic reaction. Then if we go over to the next page, the second point listed, and I quote: "Despite the precautions taken in the selection of donors, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis cannot be entirely excluded when administering human coagulation factors." The application was considered by the Committee on the Safety of Medicines' Subcommittee on Biologics in January 1973, and a report was prepared for that committee by Dr Duncan Thomas. That report is at MHRA0033322_060. I don't ask for it to be brought up. In the report, Dr Thomas notes that the pool size, was 1,000 donors between the ages of 18 and 65,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	AU/SH/HA antigen test, is permanently excluded from the donor programme. Despite these precautions, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis can only be diminished, and not completely eliminated." SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Just so that we can follow, when it's AU/SH/HA, those are acronyms, are they? MR HILL: Yes. We understand them to mean Australian Antigen, Serum Hepatitis Antigen and Hepatitis Associated Antigen. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. Thank you. MR HILL: Actually, I should add that that is our understanding, rather than something we have taken from the document. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Well, it seems reasonable. They're all examples or all different ways of expressing the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	The first is an allergic reaction. Then if we go over to the next page, the second point listed, and I quote: "Despite the precautions taken in the selection of donors, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis cannot be entirely excluded when administering human coagulation factors." The application was considered by the Committee on the Safety of Medicines' Subcommittee on Biologics in January 1973, and a report was prepared for that committee by Dr Duncan Thomas. That report is at MHRA0033322_060. I don't ask for it to be brought up. In the report, Dr Thomas notes that the pool size, was 1,000 donors between the ages of 18 and 65, with plasma obtained via plasmapheresis from six
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	AU/SH/HA antigen test, is permanently excluded from the donor programme. Despite these precautions, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis can only be diminished, and not completely eliminated." SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Just so that we can follow, when it's AU/SH/HA, those are acronyms, are they? MR HILL: Yes. We understand them to mean Australian Antigen, Serum Hepatitis Antigen and Hepatitis Associated Antigen. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. Thank you. MR HILL: Actually, I should add that that is our understanding, rather than something we have taken from the document. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Well, it seems reasonable. They're all examples or all different ways of expressing the same thing, pretty much, that it's something in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	The first is an allergic reaction. Then if we go over to the next page, the second point listed, and I quote: "Despite the precautions taken in the selection of donors, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis cannot be entirely excluded when administering human coagulation factors." The application was considered by the Committee on the Safety of Medicines' Subcommittee on Biologics in January 1973, and a report was prepared for that committee by Dr Duncan Thomas. That report is at MHRA0033322_060. I don't ask for it to be brought up. In the report, Dr Thomas notes that the pool size, was 1,000 donors between the ages of 18 and 65, with plasma obtained via plasmapheresis from six centres in Austria and Germany. So a pool size of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	AU/SH/HA antigen test, is permanently excluded from the donor programme. Despite these precautions, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis can only be diminished, and not completely eliminated." SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Just so that we can follow, when it's AU/SH/HA, those are acronyms, are they? MR HILL: Yes. We understand them to mean Australian Antigen, Serum Hepatitis Antigen and Hepatitis Associated Antigen. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. Thank you. MR HILL: Actually, I should add that that is our understanding, rather than something we have taken from the document. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Well, it seems reasonable. They're all examples or all different ways of expressing the same thing, pretty much, that it's something in the blood which indicates the presence of hepatitis.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The first is an allergic reaction. Then if we go over to the next page, the second point listed, and I quote: "Despite the precautions taken in the selection of donors, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis cannot be entirely excluded when administering human coagulation factors." The application was considered by the Committee on the Safety of Medicines' Subcommittee on Biologics in January 1973, and a report was prepared for that committee by Dr Duncan Thomas. That report is at MHRA0033322_060. I don't ask for it to be brought up. In the report, Dr Thomas notes that the pool size, was 1,000 donors between the ages of 18 and 65, with plasma obtained via plasmapheresis from six centres in Austria and Germany. So a pool size of 1,000 donors, based in Austria and Germany.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	AU/SH/HA antigen test, is permanently excluded from the donor programme. Despite these precautions, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis can only be diminished, and not completely eliminated." SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Just so that we can follow, when it's AU/SH/HA, those are acronyms, are they? MR HILL: Yes. We understand them to mean Australian Antigen, Serum Hepatitis Antigen and Hepatitis Associated Antigen. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. Thank you. MR HILL: Actually, I should add that that is our understanding, rather than something we have taken from the document. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Well, it seems reasonable. They're all examples or all different ways of expressing the same thing, pretty much, that it's something in the blood which indicates the presence of hepatitis. MR HILL: That's right, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The first is an allergic reaction. Then if we go over to the next page, the second point listed, and I quote: "Despite the precautions taken in the selection of donors, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis cannot be entirely excluded when administering human coagulation factors." The application was considered by the Committee on the Safety of Medicines' Subcommittee on Biologics in January 1973, and a report was prepared for that committee by Dr Duncan Thomas. That report is at MHRA0033322_060. I don't ask for it to be brought up. In the report, Dr Thomas notes that the pool size, was 1,000 donors between the ages of 18 and 65, with plasma obtained via plasmapheresis from six centres in Austria and Germany. So a pool size of 1,000 donors, based in Austria and Germany. In his medical comment, Dr Thomas wrote that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	AU/SH/HA antigen test, is permanently excluded from the donor programme. Despite these precautions, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis can only be diminished, and not completely eliminated." SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Just so that we can follow, when it's AU/SH/HA, those are acronyms, are they? MR HILL: Yes. We understand them to mean Australian Antigen, Serum Hepatitis Antigen and Hepatitis Associated Antigen. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. Thank you. MR HILL: Actually, I should add that that is our understanding, rather than something we have taken from the document. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Well, it seems reasonable. They're all examples or all different ways of expressing the same thing, pretty much, that it's something in the blood which indicates the presence of hepatitis. MR HILL: That's right, sir. If we could turn to page 29 now, please, Soumik.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	The first is an allergic reaction. Then if we go over to the next page, the second point listed, and I quote: "Despite the precautions taken in the selection of donors, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis cannot be entirely excluded when administering human coagulation factors." The application was considered by the Committee on the Safety of Medicines' Subcommittee on Biologics in January 1973, and a report was prepared for that committee by Dr Duncan Thomas. That report is at MHRA0033322_060. I don't ask for it to be brought up. In the report, Dr Thomas notes that the pool size, was 1,000 donors between the ages of 18 and 65, with plasma obtained via plasmapheresis from six centres in Austria and Germany. So a pool size of 1,000 donors, based in Austria and Germany. In his medical comment, Dr Thomas wrote that the submission was, and I quote, "deficient in certain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	AU/SH/HA antigen test, is permanently excluded from the donor programme. Despite these precautions, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis can only be diminished, and not completely eliminated." SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Just so that we can follow, when it's AU/SH/HA, those are acronyms, are they? MR HILL: Yes. We understand them to mean Australian Antigen, Serum Hepatitis Antigen and Hepatitis Associated Antigen. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. Thank you. MR HILL: Actually, I should add that that is our understanding, rather than something we have taken from the document. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Well, it seems reasonable. They're all examples or all different ways of expressing the same thing, pretty much, that it's something in the blood which indicates the presence of hepatitis. MR HILL: That's right, sir. If we could turn to page 29 now, please, Soumik. This is part of the same document, the draft circular.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The first is an allergic reaction. Then if we go over to the next page, the second point listed, and I quote: "Despite the precautions taken in the selection of donors, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis cannot be entirely excluded when administering human coagulation factors." The application was considered by the Committee on the Safety of Medicines' Subcommittee on Biologics in January 1973, and a report was prepared for that committee by Dr Duncan Thomas. That report is at MHRA0033322_060. I don't ask for it to be brought up. In the report, Dr Thomas notes that the pool size, was 1,000 donors between the ages of 18 and 65, with plasma obtained via plasmapheresis from six centres in Austria and Germany. So a pool size of 1,000 donors, based in Austria and Germany. In his medical comment, Dr Thomas wrote that the submission was, and I quote, "deficient in certain respects", that's the end of the quotation, including
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	AU/SH/HA antigen test, is permanently excluded from the donor programme. Despite these precautions, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis can only be diminished, and not completely eliminated." SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Just so that we can follow, when it's AU/SH/HA, those are acronyms, are they? MR HILL: Yes. We understand them to mean Australian Antigen, Serum Hepatitis Antigen and Hepatitis Associated Antigen. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. Thank you. MR HILL: Actually, I should add that that is our understanding, rather than something we have taken from the document. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Well, it seems reasonable. They're all examples or all different ways of expressing the same thing, pretty much, that it's something in the blood which indicates the presence of hepatitis. MR HILL: That's right, sir. If we could turn to page 29 now, please, Soumik. This is part of the same document, the draft circular. This is the section on the side effects. It says	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The first is an allergic reaction. Then if we go over to the next page, the second point listed, and I quote: "Despite the precautions taken in the selection of donors, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis cannot be entirely excluded when administering human coagulation factors." The application was considered by the Committee on the Safety of Medicines' Subcommittee on Biologics in January 1973, and a report was prepared for that committee by Dr Duncan Thomas. That report is at MHRA0033322_060. I don't ask for it to be brought up. In the report, Dr Thomas notes that the pool size, was 1,000 donors between the ages of 18 and 65, with plasma obtained via plasmapheresis from six centres in Austria and Germany. So a pool size of 1,000 donors, based in Austria and Germany. In his medical comment, Dr Thomas wrote that the submission was, and I quote, "deficient in certain respects", that's the end of the quotation, including minimal information regarding manufacturing process
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	AU/SH/HA antigen test, is permanently excluded from the donor programme. Despite these precautions, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis can only be diminished, and not completely eliminated." SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Just so that we can follow, when it's AU/SH/HA, those are acronyms, are they? MR HILL: Yes. We understand them to mean Australian Antigen, Serum Hepatitis Antigen and Hepatitis Associated Antigen. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. Thank you. MR HILL: Actually, I should add that that is our understanding, rather than something we have taken from the document. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Well, it seems reasonable. They're all examples or all different ways of expressing the same thing, pretty much, that it's something in the blood which indicates the presence of hepatitis. MR HILL: That's right, sir. If we could turn to page 29 now, please, Soumik. This is part of the same document, the draft circular. This is the section on the side effects. It says that:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The first is an allergic reaction. Then if we go over to the next page, the second point listed, and I quote: "Despite the precautions taken in the selection of donors, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis cannot be entirely excluded when administering human coagulation factors." The application was considered by the Committee on the Safety of Medicines' Subcommittee on Biologics in January 1973, and a report was prepared for that committee by Dr Duncan Thomas. That report is at MHRA0033322_060. I don't ask for it to be brought up. In the report, Dr Thomas notes that the pool size, was 1,000 donors between the ages of 18 and 65, with plasma obtained via plasmapheresis from six centres in Austria and Germany. So a pool size of 1,000 donors, based in Austria and Germany. In his medical comment, Dr Thomas wrote that the submission was, and I quote, "deficient in certain respects", that's the end of the quotation, including minimal information regarding manufacturing process and little clinical evidence of effectiveness.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	AU/SH/HA antigen test, is permanently excluded from the donor programme. Despite these precautions, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis can only be diminished, and not completely eliminated." SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Just so that we can follow, when it's AU/SH/HA, those are acronyms, are they? MR HILL: Yes. We understand them to mean Australian Antigen, Serum Hepatitis Antigen and Hepatitis Associated Antigen. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. Thank you. MR HILL: Actually, I should add that that is our understanding, rather than something we have taken from the document. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Well, it seems reasonable. They're all examples or all different ways of expressing the same thing, pretty much, that it's something in the blood which indicates the presence of hepatitis. MR HILL: That's right, sir. If we could turn to page 29 now, please, Soumik. This is part of the same document, the draft circular. This is the section on the side effects. It says	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The first is an allergic reaction. Then if we go over to the next page, the second point listed, and I quote: "Despite the precautions taken in the selection of donors, the risk of transmission of homologous serum hepatitis cannot be entirely excluded when administering human coagulation factors." The application was considered by the Committee on the Safety of Medicines' Subcommittee on Biologics in January 1973, and a report was prepared for that committee by Dr Duncan Thomas. That report is at MHRA0033322_060. I don't ask for it to be brought up. In the report, Dr Thomas notes that the pool size, was 1,000 donors between the ages of 18 and 65, with plasma obtained via plasmapheresis from six centres in Austria and Germany. So a pool size of 1,000 donors, based in Austria and Germany. In his medical comment, Dr Thomas wrote that the submission was, and I quote, "deficient in certain respects", that's the end of the quotation, including minimal information regarding manufacturing process

(17) Pages 65 - 68

1 Dr Thomas' report recommended that a product 1 The advice about treatment in certain specific 2 2 licence be deferred pending further information being circumstances should be omitted; some of the 3 3 provided. statements, eg that about haematuria, are in absolute 4 One of the members of the Subcommittee was 4 terms and will sooner or later prove to be 5 5 Dr William Maycock, who was, among other things, the misleading." 6 6 director of BPL at the time, later So that is, as we understand it, a criticism of 7 Sir William Maycock, Dr Lane's predecessor. But he 7 the way that the labels were produced in terms of the 8 wrote to Dr Thomas on 4 January 1973. That letter is 8 effectiveness of the product. Unfortunately, and 9 9 at DHSC0100026_163, expressing general agreement with perhaps inevitably, page 30 and 31 don't translate 10 Dr Thomas' report. 10 into the documents that we have, so we can't see 11 I won't go further into that letter, because we 11 exactly what it is that he is talking about there. 12 also have another document, which I'll ask Soumik to 12 A little further down the document: 13 13 bring up, which is MHRA0033322_057. We can see in the "Hepatitis: The pool size in terms of donors is 14 top left corner this is described as Dr Maycock's 14 small that that used in the preparation of Hemofil but 15 15 comments and we know from his letter to Dr Thomas that a residual of icterogenicity, after the exclusion of 16 Dr Maycock was unable to attend of the CSM(B) in 16 HBAg positive donors, will remain. It does not 17 17 person, so it appears that he sent his comments necessarily follow that this risk will be less than 18 through ahead of time. 18 that attached to Hemofil." 19 On the labels and leaflet he says this: 19 So that is a risk of something that could cause 20 20 "The manner in which advice regarding treatment hepatitis. The reference to Hemofil we will no doubt 21 is presented is unacceptable. Any advice about the 21 come on to tomorrow, but, as Dr Maycock indicates, it 22 22 use of this preparation for preventing and controlling was made with a larger pool of donors. Dr Maycock 23 23 haemorrhage in haemophilia should be in general terms. saying here that doesn't necessarily mean that there The advice in the first two paragraphs (pp 30 and 31) 24 24 isn't a risk of a smaller pool of donors that the 25 is acceptable and can be expanded in certain respects. 25 Immuno product was using. 69 70 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: He is drawing specific attention to 1 1 That is the view expressed by Dr Maycock, and 2 the pool size being smaller, and that being related to 2 it's expressed from 4 January 1973. It's not 3 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the risk of becoming jaundiced. MR HILL: Yes. Yes. he is.

5 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So he does show a clear awareness --6 I would expect it anyway from what we've heard thus 7 far in relation to risk -- that the pool size is 8 related to risk. 9

MR HILL: Yes, he does, sir. But his conclusion being 10 that it doesn't necessarily follow that the risk will 11 be less in this product than in Hemofil. And that, of 12 course, must be seen in the context of this product, 13 and as we know, the donor pool size was said to be 1,000.

SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes.

4

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR HILL: In his conclusion he says this:

"I am in favour of granting a licence if Serological Products Ltd can supply the data regarding stability, the information mentioned above about the method of preparation, and more detailed information about its clinical use. Its sale should be restricted to haemophilia centres and hospitals and, initially, to a number of selected haemophilia centres so that the clinical efficacy of Kryobulin can be critically appraised."

dissimilar to the view of Dr Thomas.

Despite those views and the proposal that further information be obtained before a final decision, the Subcommittee on Biologicals at their January 1973 meeting recommended the grant of a product licence subject to three provisions, one being that the supply of the product be restricted to hospitals and haemophilia centres. The Subcommittee on Toxicity [and] Clinical Trials supported the granting of the licence and the CSM main committee advised that the licence be granted in February 1973, subject to the provisions recommended by the CSM(B).

The document is DHSC0003952_048, page 13. It's not clear from the papers that we have reviewed why Dr Thomas' recommendation that the licence be deferred pending further information was not followed.

I would also add a further reference, sir: the minutes of the CSM(B) meeting themselves are at DHSC0105593_002.

I note from those minutes that it was intended to ask the Austrian authorities to carry out an inspection of Immuno's premises on behalf of the Licensing Authority and you will recall, sir, that

> 72 (18) Pages 69 - 72

1	there is a provision for an undertaking to that effect	1	MR HILL: That is my understanding, yes, sir. The letter
2	in the 1968 Act.	2	of intent is said to act as a full product licence.
3	The same meeting approved the licence	3	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So the effective date is
4 5	application for Hemofil, which we will refer to	4	22 March '73.
5 6	tomorrow. The DHSS	5 6	MR HILL: Yes, sir. References for the transcript for
7		7	that are SHPL0000071_170, SHPL0000071_172, and
•	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So you've told me thus far that the		MHRA0033322_061.
8 9	advice to the Licensing Authority was, in	8 9	I would also note, sir, that the licence and this is clear from the last of those references
10	February '73, that a licence be granted, and you've told me that was at the same time as the licence for		
11	Hemofil, which plainly was in the minds of Dr Maycock.	10	I gave was that Kryobulin could be supplied through
12		11 12	hospitals and haemophilia treatment centres, but it
	When was it actually granted?	13	wasn't restricted to a small number of haemophilia
13	MR HILL: Well, the Serological Products Ltd were	14	treatment centres, as Dr Maycock had suggested.
14	informed on 22 March 1973 that the licence was going		On 2 July 1973, Norman Berry wrote to the DHSS
15	to be granted, and they were given a product licence	15	to inform that Kryobulin was now on the UK market.
16	number	16	That is MHRA0033322_014.
17	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So the date for my purposes will be	17	Soumik, can we have onscreen, please,
18	22 March '73, would it?	18	DHSC0003741_025.
19	MR HILL: That is the date from which that letter was	19	We jump forward a little, sir, to October 1973.
20	allowed to stand as a full product licence, until the	20	This is a letter from the Department of Health and the
21	full product licence itself, which was issued on	21	Welsh Office jointly, to "Secretaries of: - Regional
22	21 June 1973. But de facto it is licensed from	22	Hospital Boards, [the] Welsh Hospital Board [and]
23	22 March 1973.	23	Boards of Governors [and the] Hospital Management
24	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So under the Act, between March and	24 25	Committee". It is entitled "HUMAN CONCENTRATED
25	June, the product could be distributed, could it?	25	FACTOR VIII FREEZE DRIED". It comes from the or
	73		74
1	it's sent on behalf of the director of the hospital	1	international units of each.
2	supply branch, who was GE John at that time.	2	If I give the references for that information,
3	The letter says:	3	it's DHSC0003741_024, and DHSC0100005_044.
4	"Dear Sir	4	So that is a contract by the Department of
5	"HUMAN CONCENTRATE FACTOR VIII FREEZE DRIED.	5	Health and the Welsh Office to import 5 million
6	"Central contracts for a period of one year	6	international units, we suspect, of Kryobulin and of
7	commencing on 1 November 1973 have been placed with	7	Hemofil.
8	Serological Products Ltd and Travenol Laboratories Ltd	8	That is an annual contract.
9	for the supply of packs of Human Concentrated	9	If we could turn now, please, Soumik, to
10	Factor VIII Freeze Dried to Haemophilia Centres in the	10	DHSC0003719_118.
11	United Kingdom."	11	Again, we come forward a little in time to
12	And then full details are given in an	12	21 December 1976, and a memorandum which is sent from
13	attachment. The letter also says:	13	GA Drew of the supply department of the Department of
14	"It is stressed that the contracts provide FOR	14	Health and Social Security, to Dr Waiter, entitled
15	SUPPLY ONLY TO HAEMOPHILIA CENTRES"	15	"Factor VIII":
16	And that is emphasised in capitals and	16	"Further to my minutes dated 3 November 1976,
17	underlined.	17	sales figures for October, together with total figures
18	We will come back to this central contract later	18	for the contract year, are set out below."
19	in the evidence, when dealing with the civil servants	19	I pause there, sir. So we have a monthly sales
20	and the Government decision-making at the time. But	20	figure in the left-hand column, a total sales figure
21	it is a contract which has been placed with the two	21	for the year in the right-hand column, and it's
22	companies mentioned. We know from other documents	22	expressed to be the 12 months to 31 October 1976.
23	that the products are Kryobulin and Hemofil. We also	23	Then added in hand on the far side is a column
24	know from other correspondence that the supply was	24	entitled "Value".
25	for or was proposed to be for 5 million	25	We can see there the amounts of the different
	75		76 (19) Pages 73 - 76

1	products being sold at that time. The first entry is	1	international units is X, if you buy 100,000 units,
2	for Armour, which is for Factor VIII, in	2	it's Y. So it's not always possible
3	October: 271,902; international units for the year:	3	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Well, you'd normally expect
4	897,308 units. The value is stated to be £71,785.	4	a reduction for bulk.
5	It's not clear whether that is a value for the month	5	MR HILL: You would.
6	or for the year.	6	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: But if you look at these figures,
7	The next	7	just very roughly, it would look as though Armour was
8	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Well, I think it is, actually,	8	a bit cheaper than Immuno.
9	because if you look at the bottom, you see "October	9	MR HILL: Yes, and that is something which is reflected in
10	Sales", "Abbott", "Nil", the value was nil	10	the papers, as we go through.
11	MR HILL: Oh, yes, you're quite right, sir. Yes, it is	11	Travenol, the second of the products listed
12	the annual figure.	12	this is Hemofil is 865,680 units for the month,
13	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: It would have to be, I think. And	13	5,231,146 units for the year. That's an adjusted
14	you could work out from that, I suppose, the cost per	14	figure, as can be seen from the asterisk. The value
15	unit.	15	is £627,738.
16	MR HILL: Yes. There are some documents that we have	16	Immuno, Kryobulin, 238,123 units in the month,
17	which do refer to costs per unit, but it's very	17	4,098,815 for the year, value £491,041.
18	difficult to try to pin those down to a point in time	18	Abbott, as you've said, sir, no units for the
19	and whether or not they eventually made their way into	19	month, for the year 383,624, at a value of £38,308.
20	a contract.	20	So the cumulative total is just over
21	The other point that I would raise about the	21	10.5 million units, at a cost of just over
22	cost per unit calculations is that we've seen from	22	£1.2 million.
23	other material that sometimes there is a tapered	23	Taking the story of Kryobulin forward,
24	pricing system, that if you buy a certain amount of	24	Serological Products Ltd applied for a variation to
25	the product then the cost per unit if you buy 50,000	25	the product licence on 10 October 1975, and it was
	77		78

approved on 14 October 1975, and that changed the testing method for detecting the presence of hepatitis antigens from electrophoresis to a radioimmunoassay, RIA. So that is October 1975. The references are MHRA0033321_097, and MHRA0003321_099.

There was a further variation of the licence in April 1976, concerning increased solubility. That's MHRA0033321_076. And the same reference for a further variation in August 1976, which registered the change of the company name from Serological Products Ltd to Immuno Ltd.

You can see there, sir, the point that was picked up in the Cunliffe Evans report earlier, that there is a wide disparity about the types of application that are being made for variations of licences. Some are purely administrative, changing the name of a company, some are of scientific importance, such as the introduction of RIA testing.

If we could have on the screen, please, Soumik, MHRA0033321_085. This is a further application for a variation of the licence. You can see at the top left-hand corner the:

"Licence number: 0215/0003."

And you can also see that it's for Kryobulin.

This is a standard form that you're required to use to

make such applications.

There, we can see the proposed change that is in the licence, and it is to change the source of the plasma from the present situation, which is that the plasma was obtained from plasmapheresis stations, five in Austria and two in Germany, and the proposed change is for licensed plasmapheresis stations in the United States of America, so the source of the plasma used to make the Kryobulin would be American plasma.

As will be seen in some of the documents that we go through, I think it is worth flagging now, this is not an application to cease to provide European plasma-based Kryobulin; it is an application to allow either European plasma-based Kryobulin or American-based plasma Kryobulin. The reason for the change is set out on the form. It says:

"It is possible to sell Factor VIII Concentrates produced from plasma of US origin at lower prices than European-based material. Because of the preference in the UK market for this lower priced material, we also wish to make it available. Packs of Kryobulin from alternative source material will be of a clearly distinguishable colour eg blue as compared with present red. We will continue to make available European as well as the proposed new concentrate

(20) Pages 77 - 80

The date of the application is 11 November 1976, Voy rorded sarlier, air, the Armour product, which was produced from the American based plasma, speciated to be available at a lower unit cost. SR RRAN LANGSTAFF: Vos. And the Washington of the American based plasma, speciated to be available at a lower unit cost. SR RRAN LANGSTAFF: Vos. And the Washington of the American based plasma, of the And Sourish, if I could ask to have on the split screen, SHFU000071964, and also, or the other side of the screen, SHFU000071964, and also, or the other side of the screen, SHFU000071964, and also, or the other side of the screen, SHFU000071964, and also, or the other side of the screen, SHFU000071964, and also, or the other side of the screen, SHFU000071964, and also, or the other side of the screen, SHFU000071964, and also, or the other side of the screen, SHFU000071964, and also, or the other side of the screen, SHFU000071964, and also, or the other side of the screen, SHFU000071964, and also, or the other side of the screen, SHFU000071964, and also, or the other side of the screen, SHFU000071964, and also, or the other side of the screen, SHFU000071964, and also, or the other side of the screen, SHFU000071964, and also, or the other side of the screen, SHFU000071964, and also, or the other side of the screen, SHFU000071964, and a translation the lengths the screen of the screen, if work from the English version. It is an emonancian of the side the screen of the screen, SHFU000071964, and a translation the screen of the screen, SHFU000071964, and a translation the screen the screen of the screen of the screen the screen of the screen of the screen of the screen of the screen the screen of th	1	derived from American Plasma."	1	Mr Berry was at this meeting. It's merely referring
solvent content in Kryobuluin. But the point of which as product from the American-based plasma, appeared to be available at a lover unit cock. 5 RRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. 6 RRIAN LANGSTAFF: Wes. 7 RRIAN LANGSTAFF: Wes. 8 from a document that was originally in German. 8 from a document that was originally in German. 8 from a document that was originally in German. 9 And, Sournik, if Loud ask to have on the split. 10 screen, SHPLOGOODT LOB3, and also, on the other side. 11 of the screen, SHPLOGOODT LOB3, and also, on the other side. 12 The february two types of KRYOBULIN 1 and KRYYOBULIN 2. 13 German, the document on the left is the original in. 14 Inquiry has obtained. If everybody will excuse me,. 15 If work from the English version. 16 It is a memorandum of a meeting with Dr Schwarz. 17 Inferred to him arrier, the head of research and developent—forghe me. Journal, and the publication is again cited. 18 development—forghe me. Journal, and the point of minute to the publication of the control of the screen of productor, on 24 November 1978. The subject is 'NRYOBULIN 2- made from US Licensed Source Plasma (proven to have a significantly higher and the publication is again cited. 19 The development—forghe me. Journal publication is again cited. 19 The memorandum begins by referring to a telephone conversation between Dr Schwarz and development and two others. 20 Dr Ebrage and Mr Lendway. 21 The memorandum begins by referring to a telephone conversation between Dr Schwarz and development and the others. 22 Dr Ebrage and Mr Lendway. 23 The memorandum begins by referring to a telephone conversation between Dr Schwarz and development and the others. 24 The memorandum begins by referring to a telephone conversation between Dr Schwarz and development and by the beginned of the publication is again cited. 18 The memorandum begins by referring to a telephone conversation between Dr Schwarz and development and the conversation between Dr Schwarz and development and the conversation between Dr Schwarz	2	The date of the application is 11 November 1976.	2	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
which was produced from the American-based plasms, appared to be available to a lower unit cost. SIR BRAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. MR HILL: We get further insight into this application 7 MR HILL: We get further insight into this application 7 MR HILL: We get further insight into this application 7 MR HILL: We get further insight into this application 7 MR HILL: Into my interpretation of that is 5 November 1976. This company has obtained in the unit of the signature is made by Ms Dismhofer. That 1976 and the signature is made by Ms Dismhofer. That 1976 and the signature is made by Ms Dismhofer. That 1976 and the signature is made by Ms Dismhofer. That 1976 and the signature is made by Ms Dismhofer. That 29 November 1976. The company has plasmate in the UK because it was the further made for passant in the UK because it was the further made for passant in the UK because it was the further made for passant in the UK because it was the further made for the patient of the replication of the retrieval of the made in the made in the made in the late of the patient of the patient of the retrieval and the content of the further with the publication by a "KRYOBULIN Tande from European plasma (with a lower hepatitis risk." Publication is 12 Mr Bernam, the document on the left is the original in 12 Mr Bernam, the document on the left is the original in 12 Mr Bernam, the document on the left is the original in 12 Mr Bernam, the document of a meeting with Dr Schwarz. Mr Bernam, the document of a meeting with Dr Schwarz. Mr Berny, I amphasise that there is no exidence that 25 Mr Berny, I amphasise that there is no exidence that 25 Mr Berny, I amphasise that there is no exidence that 25 Mr Berny, I amphasise that there is no exidence that 25 Mr Berny, I amphasise that the world with the document is was the signature in the UK the Would but you less 6 And the signature is made by Ms Derinder, That: Mr Berny, I amphasise that there is no exidence that 25 Mr Berny, I amphasise that there is no exidence that 25 Mr Berny	3		3	·
5 RIS RBHAL ANDSTAFF: Yes. 7 MR HILL: We get further insight: into this application 7 it says this. 8 from a document that was originally in German. 9 And, Sounis, if I could ask to have on the split 9 concentrate with the sold — KPYCOBULIN 1 and KRYCOBULIN 1 of the screen. SHPL0000071,083, and also, on the other side 10 2. 10 of the screen. SHPL0000071,083, and also, on the other side 10 2. 11 The document on the left is the original in 12 a lawer hepatitis risk - publication by" 12 The document on the left is the original in 13 German. The document on the left is the original in 14 read what that publication by" 13 German the document on the left is the original in 14 read what that publication cited, but we can't read what that publication cited, but we can't read what that publication is again cited. 14 Inquiry has obtained. If everybody will excuse me, 14 read what that publication is again cited. 15 If it is a memonaturul of a meeting with DT Schwarz. 16 Plasma (provoed to have a significantly higher hepatitis risk	4	·	4	
7 MR HILL: We get further insight into bia spolication from a document that was originally in German. 8 from a document that was originally in German. 9 And, Soumik, if Louid sax to have on the split 9 concentrate will be sold — KRYOBULIN 1 and KRYOBULIN 2 crossens, SHPL0000071, 083, and also, on the other side 10 2 "KRYOBULIN 1 - made from European plasma (with 12 document on the left is the original in 12 a lower hepatitis risk - publication by" 19 German, the document on the left is the original in 13 And there is a publication by" 19 And there is a publication by" 19 And there is a publication by" 19 If work from the English version. 19 If work from the English version. 19 If is a memoradium of a meeling with Dr Schwarz. 19 If is a memoradium of a meeling with Dr Schwarz. 19 If is a memoradium of a meeling with Dr Schwarz. 19 If is a memoradium of a meeling with Dr Schwarz. 19 If is a memoradium of a meeling with Dr Schwarz. 10 If is a memoradium of a meeling with Dr Schwarz. 10 If it is a memoradium of a meeling with Dr Schwarz. 11 If work from the English version. 12 If it is a memoradium of a meeling with Dr Schwarz. 13 If it is a memoradium because the part of the part of the publication is again.cited: 14 RYOBULIN 2 will be significantly cheaper than NRYOBULIN 2 will be significantly cheaper tha	5	appeared to be available at a lower unit cost.	5	plasma comes on the next page please, Soumik in
from a document that was originally in German. And, Soumik, if I could ask to have on the split screen, SHPL0001071, 693, and also, on the other side of the screen, SHPL0001094. The document on the effis the original in German, the document on the effis the original in German, the document on the effis the original in German, the document on the effis the original in Injury has obtained. If everybody will excuse me, If it is a memorardum of a weight of the common of the injury is a framework. If is a memorardum of a weight of the document of the injury is a framework. If is a memorardum of a weight of the document of the injury is a significant original in the linguity has obtained. If everybody will excuse me, If work from the English version. If is a memorardum of a weight original in the linguity is a framework. If is a memorardum of a weight original in the linguity is a framework. If is a memorardum of a weight original in the linguity is a framework. If is a memorardum or a few of research and development – forgive me – sorry, the managing and development – forgive me – sorry, the managing and development – forgive me – sorry, the managing and development – forgive me – sorry, the managing and development – forgive me – sorry, the managing and development – forgive me – sorry, the managing and development – forgive me – sorry, the managing and development – forgive me – sorry, the managing and development – forgive me – sorry, the managing and development – forgive me – sorry, the managing and development – forgive me – sorry, the managing and development – forgive me – sorry, the managing and development – forgive me – sorry, the managing and the publication is a significantly higher the publication is a significantly higher the publication of the development – forgive me – sorry, the managing and the significant of the forgive me – sorry, the managing and the forgive me – sorry the managing and the forgive me – sorry the managing and the forgive me – sorry the managing and the forgive me	6	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes.	6	a "Note for the Registration Department".
And, Sournik, if I could ask to have on the split screen, SHPL0000710, 083, and also, on the other side 1 of the screen, SHPL00007010, 083, and also, on the other side 1 of the screen, SHPL00007010, 084, and also, on the other side 1 of the screen, SHPL00007010, 084, and also, on the other side 1 of the screen, SHPL00007010, 084, and the screen services of the screen, SHPL00007010, or the sight is a translation the inquity has obtained. If everybody will excuse me, 1 inquiry has obtained, if everybody will excuse me, 1 inquiry has obtained, if everybody will excuse me, 1 inquiry has obtained, if everybody will excuse me, 1 inguiry has obtained, if everybody will excuse me, 1 inguiry has obtained, if everybody will excuse me, 1 inguiry has obtained, if everybody will excuse me, 1 inguiry has obtained, if everybody will excuse me, 1 inguiry has obtained, if everybody will excuse me, 1 inguiry has obtained,	7	MR HILL: We get further insight into this application	7	It says this:
screen, SHPL000071_033, and also, on the other side of the screen, SHPL0001094. The document on the left is the original in German, the document on the left is the original in German, the document on the left is the original in Inquiry has obtained. If everybody will excuse me, I'll work from the English version. I'll referred to him earlier, the head of research and development - forgive me sonry, the managing director of production, one 24 November 1976. The subject is "KRYOBULIN England". Also present are Dr Schwarz and Mrs Diemhofer and two others, Dr Estenger and Mr Lendrovay. Dr Estenger and Mr Lendrovay. The memorandum begins by referring to at telephone conversation between Dr Schwarz and The memorandum begins by referring to at telephone conversation between Dr Schwarz and The memorandum begins by referring to at telephone conversation between Dr Schwarz and The memorandum begins by referring to at telephone conversation between Dr Schwarz and The memorandum begins by referring to at telephone conversation between Dr Schwarz and The memorandum begins by referring to at telephone conversation between Dr Schwarz and The memorandum begins by referring to at telephone conversation between Dr Schwarz and The memorandum begins by referring to at telephone conversation between Dr Schwarz and The memorandum begins by referring to at telephone conversation between Dr Schwarz and The memorandum begins by referring to at telephone conversation between Dr Schwarz and The memorandum begins by referring to the street of the signature is made by Ms Diemhofer. That reflects the thinking within immuno A Gin The street of the signature is made by Ms Diemhofer. That reflects the thinking within immuno A Gin The street of the signature is made by Ms Diemhofer. That reflects the thinking within immuno A Gin The street of the signature is made	8	from a document that was originally in German.	8	"In the future two types of KRYOBULIN
of the screen, SHPL0001904. The document on the left is the original in 12 a lower hepatitis risk - publication by 1. German, the document on the right is a translation the 13 And there is a publication and the 14 inquiry has obtained. If everybody will excuse me, 14 read what that publication is: 15 "RYXOBULN 12 made from US Licensed Source 16 It is a memorandum of a meeting with Dr Schwarz. 17 Ireferred to him earlier, the head of research and 18 development - forgive me - sorry, the managing 18 director of production, on 24 November 1976. The 19 "KRYOBULN 2 will be significantly higher hepatitis risk" 17 hepatitis risk 18 And the publication is: 18 And the publication is again cited: 19 WKYOBULN 2 will be significantly cheaper than 19 WKYOBULN 2 will be significantly cheaper than 19 WKYOBULN 12 will be significantly cheaper than 19 WKYOBULN 12 will be significantly cheaper than 19 WKYOBULN 12 will be significantly cheaper than 19 WKYOBULN 14 will disappear from the 19 WKYOBULN 14 will be 20 will will be 20 will be 20 will will b	9	And, Soumik, if I could ask to have on the split	9	concentrate will be sold KRYOBULIN 1 and KRYOBULIN
The document on the left is the original in German, the document on the right is a translation the Inquiry has obtained. If everybody will excuse me, If work from the English version. If work from the English version. If it is a memorandum of a meeting with D1 Schwarz. If referred to him earlier, the head of research and development – forgive me – sorry, the managing development – forgive me – sorry, the managing director of production, or 24 November 1976. The subject is "KRYOBULIN England". Also present are D1 Schwarz and Mirs Diernhofer and two others, D1 Fschwarz and Mirs Diernhofer and two others, D2 Dr Eshiger and Mr Lendvay. D1 The memorandum begins by referring to at elephone conversation between D7 Schwarz and Mr Berry, I emphasise that there is no evidence that Mr Berry, I emphasise that there is no evidence that and the signature is made by Mis Diernhofer. That reflects the thinking with Inmuruno AG in — stage product for padients in the UK because it was cheaper? MR HILL: That is my interpretation of that document, yes, SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes, And the UK use after they may have known, but at this stage it was after the Granda Word in Action programme in 1976. Was the Granda Word in Action programme in 1975. Yes; thank you. MR HILL: November 1976. This corning a couple of weeks the Granda Word in Action programme in 1975. Yes; thank you. MR HILL: Turning the hack to some of the documents about the licensing application. I worn't ask to bring all of three up. 28 Dr Brann LangsTafer: Yes, And the UK Licensing Authority's — well, we'll find out in due course what the levens of the product Ryobolin 2, the Amenca	10	screen, SHPL0000071_083, and also, on the other side	10	2.
German, the document on the right is a translation the Inquiry has obtained. If everybody will excuse me, It is a memorandum of a meeting with Dr Schwarz. It is a memorandum of a meeting with Dr Schwarz. It referred to him earlier, the head of research and development – forgive me – sorry, the managing director of production, on 24 November 1976. The subject is "RKYOBUL NE Regland". Also present are Dr Schwarz and Mrs Diemhofer and two others, Dr Eisinger and Mrs Diemhofer and two others, Dr Eisinger and Mrs Diemhofer and two others, Dr Eisinger and Mrs Lendvay. The memorandum begins by referring to a stelephone conversation between Dr Schwarz and Mrs Berny. I emphasise that there is no evidence that Mrs Berny. I emphasise that there is no evidence that 81. You can see the date of that is 5 November 1976 and the signature is made by Ms Diemhofer. That reflects the thinking within Immuno AG in – safe product for patients in the UK because it was cheaper? MR HILL: That is my interpretation of that document, yes safe product for patients in the UK because it was cheaper? MR HILL: November 1976. This coming a couple of weeks after the application. I word ask to bring all of these up. MR HILL: November 1976. This coming a couple of weeks after the application. I word ask to bring all of these up. MR HILL: That is my interpretation of the document, see the Granada World in Action programme in 1976. Yes, thank you. MR HILL: Invining the hack to some of the documents about the licenseing application. I word ask to bring all of these up. MR HILL: That is my word the manage to the UK Licensing Authority to make that change. MR HILL: That is my him program in 1976. Yes, thank you. MR HILL: That is my him back to some of the documents about the licenseing application. I word ask to bring all of these up. MR HILL: That is make that change. MR HILL: The pass as a service of the document was privated to the word. The application is again cited. And the spatial transport to have a safer to the discussion of the doc	11	of the screen, SHPL0001094.	11	"KRYOBULIN 1 - made from European plasma (with
Inquiry has obtained. If everybody will excuse me, I'll work from the English version. I'll referred by him earlier, the head of research and development – forgive me – sorry, the managing of development – forgive me – sorry, the managing of development – forgive me – sorry, the managing of development – forgive me – sorry, the managing of development – forgive me – sorry, the managing of development – forgive me – sorry, the managing of development – forgive me – sorry, the managing of development – forgive me – sorry, the managing of development – forgive me – sorry, the managing of development – forgive me – sorry, the managing of development – forgive me – sorry, the managing of development – forgive me – sorry, the managing of the proposed of the proposed of the formation hash the face of that is 5 November 1976 and the signature is made by Mb Diernhofer. That reflects the thinking within Immuno AG in – sortion of the supprison of the UK, that the Uk would buy a less safe product for patients in the UK because it was cheaper? MR HILL: That is my interpretation of that document, yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And this was in 1976? MR HILL: Nor do I. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And this was in 1975? MR HILL: Nor do I. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And this was in 1975? Yes, thank you. MR HILL: Training then back to some of the documents about the learning Authority to make that change. MR HILL: Training then back to some of the documents about the literance application. I vervel was after the Caranada World in Action programme in 1975. Yes, thank you. MR HILL: Training then back to some of the documents about the literance application. I vervel was after the Caranada World in Action programme in 1975. Yes, thank you. MR HILL: Training then back to some of the documents about the literance application. I vervel was after the	12	The document on the left is the original in	12	a lower hepatitis risk - publication by"
15 I'll work from the English version. 16 It is a memorandum of a meeting with Dr Schwarz. 17 Irefered to him senting, the head of research and 18 development – Forgive me – sorry, the managing 19 director of production, on 24 November 1976. The 20 subject is "KRYOBULIN England". Also present are 21 Dr Schwarz and Mrs Diernhofer and two others, 22 Dr Eisinger and Mr Lendvay. 23 The memorandum begins by referring to 24 a telephone conversation between Dr Schwarz and 25 Mr Berry. I emphasise that there is no evidence that 26 Mr Berry. I emphasise that there is no evidence that 27 Agroup of the special state of that is 5 November 1976 28 and the signature is made by Ms Diernhofer, That 29 and the signature is made by Ms Diernhofer, That 30 reflects the thinking within Immuno AG in – 31 signature is made by Ms Diernhofer, That 40 signature is made by Ms Diernhofer, That 41 Pouc can see the date of that is 5 November 1976 42 and the signature is made by Ms Diernhofer, That 43 reflects the thinking within Immuno AG in – 44 SIR RANA LANGSTAFF: So Immuno considered, from their 45 experience in the UK, that the UK would buy a less 46 safe product for patients in the UK because it was 47 chapagir? 48 RRHILL: Nord ol. 49 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Well, I don't, at the moment, see 40 who their inference can be drawn. 40 MR HILL: Nord ol. 40 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And this was in 1976. 41 MR HILL: Nord ol. 42 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And the UK Licensing 43 Authority's – well, well find out in due course what 44 they may have known, but at this stage it was after 45 the Granada World in Action programme in 1975. 46 Yes; thank you. 47 West, Itank you. 48 RRHILL: Turning then back to some of the documents about 49 the licensing application. I worlt ask to bring all 40 of these up. 41 Licensing application. I worlt ask to bring all 41 of these up. 42 29 November 1976, Immuno Lid wrote to the DHSS 43 proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America 44 they may have known, but at this stage it was after 45 the Carnada World	13	German, the document on the right is a translation the	13	And there is a publication cited, but we can't
It is a memorandum of a meeting with Dr Schwarz. It referred to him earlier, the head of research and development – forgive me – sorry, the managing director of production, on 24 November 1976. The subject is "KRYOBULIN England". Also present are 20 KRYOBULIN 1 will be significantly cheaper than Subject is "KRYOBULIN England". Also present are 21 Dr Schwarz and Mrs Diernhofer and two others, 22 Dr Eisinger and Mr Lendvay. 23 The memorandum begins by referring to 23 at elephone conversation between Dr Schwarz and 24 It goes to on to say that the respective label information hasn't been definitely determined. 81 You can see the date of that is 5 November 1976 and the signature is made by Mis Diernhofer. That reflects the thinking within Immuno AG in – 2 going to look at the signature is made by Mis Diernhofer. That reflects the thinking within Immuno AG in – 3 going to look at the signature is made by Mis Diernhofer. That reflects the thinking within Immuno AG in – 4 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So Immuno considered, from their experience in the UK, that the UK would buy a less safe product for patients in the UK because it was cheaper? 7 the memoral search of the document, yes what other inference can be drawn. 10 Immuno replication in the UK because it was cheaper? 10 MR HILL: That is my interpretation of that document, yes what other inference can be drawn. 10 Immuno replication in the UK is the first of the Missing plasma source, including design of these up. 10 MR HILL: Nov do I. 11 Immuno replication in Action programme in 1975. Yes; thank you. 12 MR HILL: Invaring then back to some of the documents about the licensing application. I won't ask to bring all of these up. 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS proposing to name the product Knyobulin 2, the America 1974 Size RRIAN LANGSTAFF: So you are saying that their the moment? 1975 Size Proposing to name the product Knyobulin 2, the America 1975 Size RRIAN LANGSTAFF: So you are saying that their the moment? 1976 Size proposing to name the product Knyobu	14	Inquiry has obtained. If everybody will excuse me,	14	read what that publication is:
17 Ireferred to him earlier, the head of research and development - Torgive me - zorry, the managing development - Zorry, the Endevir of Sorry, the Licensing Authority to make that change. 20	15	I'll work from the English version.	15	"KRYOBULIN 2 - made from US Licensed Source
development – forgive me – sorry, the managing director of production, on 24 November 1976. The 19 "KRYOBULIN 2 will be significantly cheaper than 19 "KRYOBULIN 2 will be significantly cheaper from the 19 "KRYOBULIN 2 will be significantly cheaper from the 19 "KRYOBULIN 2 will be significantly cheaper from the 19 "KRYOBULIN 2 will be significantly cheaper from the 19 "KRYOBULIN 2 will be significantly cheaper from the 19 "KRYOBULIN 2 will be significantly cheaper from the 19 "KRYOBULIN 2 will be significantly cheaper from the 19 "KRYOBULIN 2 will be significantly cheaper from the 19 "KRYOBULIN 2 will sepheat will accept a higher risk of hepatitis for a lower-priced product. In the lower-priced product for product for hit the significantly details of the proposed and the product for proposed and the s	16	It is a memorandum of a meeting with Dr Schwarz.	16	Plasma (proven to have a significantly higher
director of production, on 24 November 1976. The subject is "KRYOBULIN England". Also present are 20 KRYOBULIN 1 beause the British market will accept a higher risk of hepatitis for a lower priced product. Dr Elsinger and Mr Lendvay. 22 In the long-term, KRYOBULIN 1 will disappear from the British market." 23 The memorandum begins by referring to 23 British market." 24 It goes to on to say that the respective label information hasn't been definitely determined. 81 82 1 You can see the date of that is 5 November 1976 2 and the signature is made by Ms Diemhofer. That 2 packaging. The reference is SHPL0000271_069. I'm not reflects the thinking within Immuno AG in	17	I referred to him earlier, the head of research and	17	hepatitis risk"
subject is "KRYOBULIN England". Also present are Dr Schwarz and Mrs Diernhofer and two others. The memorandum begins by referring to a telephone conversation between Dr Schwarz and Mr Berry. I emphasise that there is no evidence that B1 You can see the date of that is 5 November 1976 and the signature is made by Ms Diernhofer. That reflects the thinking within Immuno AG in— SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So Immuno considered, from their experience in the UK, that the UK would buy a less safe product for patients in the UK because it was RR HILL: That is my interpretation of that document, yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And this was in 1976? MR HILL: Nor do I. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And this was in 1976? MR HILL: Nor do I. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And the UK Licensing Althority's — well, we'll find out in due course what they may have known, but at this stage it was after the application. I won't ask to bring all of these up. 20 KRYOBULIN 1 because it he high is higher risk of hepatitis for a lower-priced product. In the long-term, KRYOBULIN 1 will disappear from the British market: will accept a higher risk of hepatitis for a lower-priced product. In the long-term, KRYOBULIN 1 will disappear from the British market: will accept a higher risk of hepatitis for a lower-priced product. In the long-term, KRYOBULIN 1 will disappear from the British market: RryCBULIN 1 because it he high risk of hepatitis for a lower-priced product. In the long-term, KRYOBULIN 1 will disappear from the British market: RryCBULIN 1 because it he high the bendes, and the legach aging and he plass from the British market. RryCBULIN 1 because it he high tempored product. It he long-term, KRYOBULIN 1 will disappear from the British market. Rrich languary that the respective label information had been made to the product of the packaging now, sir, but I will be coming back to it in due course	18	development forgive me sorry, the managing	18	And the publication is again cited:
21 Dr Schwarz and Mrs Diernhofer and two others, 22 Dr Elsinger and Mr Lendvay. 23 The memorandum begins by referring to 24 a telephone conversation between Dr Schwarz and 25 Mr Berry. I emphasise that there is no evidence that 26 Mr Berry. I emphasise that there is no evidence that 27 Bround the signature is made by Ms Diernhofer. That 28 Tyou can see the date of that is 5 November 1976 29 and the signature is made by Ms Diernhofer. That 29 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So Immuno considered, from their 29 experience in the UK, that the UK would buy a less 29 safe product for patients in the UK because it was 29 that DHLL: That is my interpretation of that document, yes. 30 MR HILL: That is my interpretation of that document, yes. 31 MR HILL: Nor do I. 32 In single and Mr Lendvay. 32 It goes to on to say that the respective label information hasn't been definitely determined. 32 It goes to on to say that the respective label information hasn't been definitely determined. 32 It goes to on to say that the respective label information hasn't been definitely determined. 32 It goes to on to say that the respective label information hasn't been definitely determined. 32 It goes to on to say that the respective label information hasn't been definitely determined. 32 It goes to on to say that the respective label information hasn't been definitely determined. 32 It goes to on to say that the respective label information hasn't been definitely determined. 32 It goes to on to say that the respective label information hasn't been definitely determined. 32 Product, and it provided samples of the proposed passaging. The reference is SHPL0000271_069. I'm not going to look at the packaging. The reference is SHPL0000271_077. 33 principal packaging now, sir, but I will be course with the application has been made to the UK. 44 proposed passaging now, sir, but I will be coming a couple of weeks after the application has been made to the UK. 45 plasma as and I quote the word, "obtainable" rom lement of the word, "obtainable" to make the p	19	director of production, on 24 November 1976. The	19	"KRYOBULIN 2 will be significantly cheaper than
Dr Elsinger and Mr Lendvay. The memorandum begins by referring to 23 British market.* It goes to on to say that the respective label information hasn't been definitely determined. 81 You can see the date of that is 5 November 1976 and the signature is made by Mis Diemhofer. That 2 packaging. The reference is SHPL0000271_069. I'm not reflects the thinking within Immuno AG in SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So Immuno considered, from their 2 experience in the UK, that the UK would buy a less 5 safe product for patients in the UK because it was 6 safe product for patients in the UK because it was 6 concerning the proposed datall of which plasmapheresis stations Immuno intended to use. The reference is SHPL0000271_077. MR HILL: November 1976. This coming a couple of weeks after the application has been made to the UK Licensing 4 Authority's well, well find out in due course what the Granada World in Action programme in 1975. Yes, thank you. 20 In the long-term, KRYOBULIN 1 will disappear from the British market.* It goes to on to say that the respective label information hasn't been definitely determined. 8 It goes to on to say that the respective label information hasn't been definitely determined. 8 20 1 It goes to on to say that the respective label information hasn't been definitely determined. 8 22 1 It goes to on to say that the respective label information hasn't been definitely determined. 8 22 1 It goes to not to say that the respective label information hasn't been definitely determined. 8 22 1 It goes to not to say that the respective label information hasn't been definitely determined. 8 22 1 It goes to not to say that the respective label information hasn't been definitely determined. 8 22 1 In the long-term, that the respective label information hasn't been definitely determined. 8 24 1 It goes to not bay that the respective label information hasn't been definitely determined. 8 22 1 product, and it provided samples of the proposed pascaging. The reference is SHPL0000271_069. I'm	20	subject is "KRYOBULIN England". Also present are	20	KRYOBULIN 1 because the British market will accept
The memorandum begins by referring to a telephone conversation between Dr Schwarz and All tigoes to on to say that the respective label information hasn't been definitely determined. B1 You can see the date of that is 5 November 1976 and the signature is made by Ms Diemhofer. That areflects the thinking within Immuno AG in SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So Immuno considered, from their experience in the UK, that the UK would buy a less safe product for patients in the UK because it was chapear? MR HILL: That is my interpretation of that document, yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Well, I don't, at the moment, see what other inference can be drawn. MR HILL: Nor do I. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And this was in 1976? MR HILL: Nor wember 1976. This coming a couple of weeks after the application has been made to the UK Licensing Authority to make that change. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And the UK Licensing Authority's well, well find out in due course what the Granada World in Action programme in 1975. Yes; thank you. MR HILL: Truining then back to some of the documents about the licensing application. I won't ask to bring all of these up. 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America British market.* It goes to on to say that the respective label information hasn't been definitely determined. 24 It goes to on to say that the respective label information hasn't been definitely determined. 25 Broduct, and it provided samples of the proposed packaging. The reference is SHPL0000271_069. I'm not going to look at the packaging, now, sir, but I will be coming pack to it in due course. 5 On 26 January 1977, AP Fletcher, a senior 6 medical officer at the DHSS, wrote to immuno Ltd about the application. He requested additional information concerning the proposed plasma source, including details of which plasmapheresis stations limmuno intended to use. The reference is SHPL0000271_077. Immuno replied on 1 February 1977, saying that Immuno replied on 1 February 1977, s	21	Dr Schwarz and Mrs Diernhofer and two others,	21	a higher risk of hepatitis for a lower-priced product.
a telephone conversation between Dr Schwarz and Mr Berry. I emphasise that there is no evidence that 81 You can see the date of that is 5 November 1976 and the signature is made by Ms Diernhofer. That reflects the thinking within Immuno AG in	22	Dr Elsinger and Mr Lendvay.	22	In the long-term, KRYOBULIN 1 will disappear from the
Mr Berry. I emphasise that there is no evidence that 81 82 1 You can see the date of that is 5 November 1976 2 and the signature is made by Ms Diemhofer. That 3 reflects the thinking within Immuno AG in 4 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF. So Immuno considered, from their 5 experience in the UK, that the UK would buy a less 6 safe product for patients in the UK because it was 7 cheaper? 8 MR HILL: That is my interpretation of that document, yes. 9 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF. Well, I don't, at the moment, see what other inference can be drawn. 10 what other inference can be drawn. 11 Immuno replied on 1 February 1977, saying that 12 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF. Yes. And this was in 1976? 13 MR HILL: Nor do I. 14 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF. Yes. And this was in 1976? 15 MR HILL: Nowember 1976. This coming a couple of weeks 16 after the application has been made to the UK 17 Licensing Authority to make that change. 18 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And the UK Licensing 19 Authority's – well, we'll find out in due course what 19 the Granada World in Action programme in 1975. 20 Yes; thank you. 21 MR HILL: Turning then back to some of the documents about 22 the licensing application. I won't ask to bring all 23 of these up. 24 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS 25 proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America 26 In said that it was "obtainable" 27 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So you are saying that that's where they were getting it from at the moment?	23	The memorandum begins by referring to	23	British market."
You can see the date of that is 5 November 1976 and the signature is made by Ms Diemhofer. That reflects the thinking within Immuno AG in — SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So Immuno considered, from their experience in the UK, that the UK would buy a less safe product for patients in the UK because it was cheaper? MR HILL: That is my interpretation of that document, yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Well, I don't, at the moment, see what other inference can be drawn. MR HILL: Nor do I. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And this was in 1976? MR HILL: November 1976. This coming a couple of weeks after the application has been made to the UK Licensing Authority o make that change. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And the UK Licensing Authority's – well, well find out in due course what the Granada World in Action programme in 1975. Yes; thank you. MR HILL: Turning then back to some of the documents about the licensing application. I won't ask to bring all of these up. 29 November 1976, Immuno Lid wrote to the DHSS proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America 1 product, and it provided samples of the proposed pack to it in due course. Coming back to it in due c	24	a telephone conversation between Dr Schwarz and	24	It goes to on to say that the respective label
1 You can see the date of that is 5 November 1976 2 and the signature is made by Ms Diernhofer. That 3 reflects the thinking within Immuno AG in 4 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So Immuno considered, from their 5 experience in the UK, that the UK would buy a less 6 safe product for patients in the UK because it was 7 cheaper? 7 MR HILL: That is my interpretation of that document, yes. 8 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Well, I don't, at the moment, see 9 what other inference can be drawn. 10 what other inference can be drawn. 11 Immuno replied on 1 February 1977, saying that 12 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And this was in 1976? 13 MR HILL: November 1976. This coming a couple of weeks 14 after the application has been made to the UK 15 Licensing Authority to make that change. 16 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And the UK Licensing 17 Authority's - well, we'll find out in due course what 18 they may have known, but at this stage it was after 19 the Granada World in Action programme in 1975. 20 Yes; thank you. 21 MR HILL: Turning the back to some of the documents about 22 the licensing application. I won't ask to bring all 23 of these up. 24 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS 25 proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America 1 product, and it provided samples of the proposed plasma phereace is SHPL0000271_069. I'm not 2 packaging. The reference is SHPL0000271_069. I'm not 2 packaging. The reference is SHPL0000271_071. In the coming back to it in due course. 2 product, and it provided samging now, sir, but I will be 2 coming back to lit in due course. 3 poing to look at the packaging now, sir, but I will be 4 coming back to lit in due course. 4 coming back to lit in due course. 5	25	Mr Berry. I emphasise that there is no evidence that	25	information hasn't been definitely determined.
and the signature is made by Ms Diernhofer. That reflects the thinking within Immuno AG in SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So Immuno considered, from their experience in the UK, that the UK would buy a less safe product for patients in the UK because it was cheaper? MR HILL: That is my interpretation of that document, yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Well, I don't, at the moment, see what other inference can be drawn. MR HILL: Nor do I. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And this was in 1976? MR HILL: November 1976. This coming a couple of weeks after the application has been made to the UK Licensing Authority to make that change. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And the UK Licensing Authority's well, we'll find out in due course what they may have known, but at this stage it was after the Granada World in Action programme in 1975. Yes; thank you. MR HILL: Turning then back to some of the documents about the licensing application. I won't ask to bring all of these up. 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America 20 packaging. The reference is SHPL0000271_008. I'm not going to look at the packaging now, sir, but I will be coming back to it in due course. 3 going to look at the packaging now, sir, but I will be coming back to it in due course. 4 coming back to it in due course. 5 On 26 January 1977, AP Fletcher, a senior medical officer at the DHSS, wrote to Immuno Ltd about the application. He requested additional information concerning the proposed plasma source, including details of which plasmapheresis stations Immuno intended to use. The reference is SHPL0000271_077. Immuno replied on 1 February 1977, saying that plasma was, and I quote the word, "obtainable" from licensed plasmapheresis stations located across the United States, including Hawaii, but at that time the company was obtaining plasma from stations in New York, Baltimore, Birmingham, Philadelphia and Knoxville. The reference is SHPL0000271_073. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. MR HILL: Understand that the document		81		82
and the signature is made by Ms Diernhofer. That reflects the thinking within Immuno AG in SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So Immuno considered, from their experience in the UK, that the UK would buy a less safe product for patients in the UK because it was cheaper? MR HILL: That is my interpretation of that document, yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Well, I don't, at the moment, see what other inference can be drawn. MR HILL: Nor do I. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And this was in 1976? MR HILL: November 1976. This coming a couple of weeks after the application has been made to the UK Licensing Authority to make that change. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And the UK Licensing Authority's well, we'll find out in due course what they may have known, but at this stage it was after the Granada World in Action programme in 1975. Yes; thank you. MR HILL: Turning then back to some of the documents about the licensing application. I won't ask to bring all of these up. 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America 20 packaging. The reference is SHPL0000271_008. I'm not going to look at the packaging now, sir, but I will be coming back to it in due course. 3 going to look at the packaging now, sir, but I will be coming back to it in due course. 4 coming back to it in due course. 5 On 26 January 1977, AP Fletcher, a senior medical officer at the DHSS, wrote to Immuno Ltd about the application. He requested additional information concerning the proposed plasma source, including details of which plasmapheresis stations Immuno intended to use. The reference is SHPL0000271_077. Immuno replied on 1 February 1977, saying that plasma was, and I quote the word, "obtainable" from licensed plasmapheresis stations located across the United States, including Hawaii, but at that time the company was obtaining plasma from stations in New York, Baltimore, Birmingham, Philadelphia and Knoxville. The reference is SHPL0000271_073. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. MR HILL: Understand that the document				
going to look at the packaging now, sir, but I will be coming back to it in due course. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So Immuno considered, from their experience in the UK, that the UK would buy a less safe product for patients in the UK because it was cheaper? MR HILL: That is my interpretation of that document, yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Well, I don't, at the moment, see what other inference can be drawn. MR HILL: Nor do I. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And this was in 1976? MR HILL: November 1976. This coming a couple of weeks after the application has been made to the UK Licensing Authority to make that change. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And the UK Licensing Authority's well, we'll find out in due course what the Granada World in Action programme in 1975. Yes; thank you. MR HILL: Turning then back to some of the documents about the licensing application. I won't ask to bring all of these up. 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America 3 going to look at the packaging now, sir, but I will be coming back to it in due course. 4 coming back to it in due course. 5 On 28 January 1977, AP Fletcher, a senior medical officer at the DHSS proposing back to it in due course. 6 medical officer at the DHSS, wrote to Immuno Ltd about the application. He requested additional information concerning the proposed plasma source, including habout the application. He requested additional information concerning the proposed plasma source, including habout the application in the proposed plasma source, including habout the application in ferration of that document, see details of which plasmapheresis stations Immuno intended to use. The reference is SHPL0000271_077. 10 Immuno replied on 1 February 1977, saying that United States, including Hawaii, but at that time the company was obtaining plasma from stations in New York, Baltimore, Birmingham, Philadelphia and Knoxville. The reference is SHPL0000271_073. 11 Immuno replied on 1 February 1977, saying that Market the ap	1	You can see the date of that is 5 November 1976	1	product, and it provided samples of the proposed
SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So Immuno considered, from their experience in the UK, that the UK would buy a less 5 On 26 January 1977, AP Fletcher, a senior medical officer at the DHSS, wrote to Immuno Ltd about the paper? 7 the application. He requested additional information concerning the proposed plasma source, including details of which plasmapheresis stations Immuno what other inference can be drawn. 10 intended to use. The reference is SHPL0000271_077. 11 Immuno replied on 1 February 1977, saying that 12 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And this was in 1976? 12 plasma was, and I quote the word, "obtainable" from 18 Immuno replied on 1 February 1977, saying that 19 plasma was, and I quote the word, "obtainable" from 19 plasma has been made to the UK 14 United States, including plasma from stations in 19 New York, Baltimore, Birmingham, Philadelphia and 19 Knoxville. The reference is SHPL0000271_073. 18 they may have known, but at this stage it was after 19 the Granada World in Action programme in 1975. 19 Yes; thank you. 19 Yes; thank you. 19 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS 19 proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America 19 Indicate the product Kryobulin 2, the America 19 Indicate 1975, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS 19 proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America 1975 after the product Kryobulin 2, the America 1975 and the was "obtainable" 1975 and the was "obtainable" 1975 and the was "obtainable" 1975 and the moment?			2	, , , ,
safe product for patients in the UK, that the UK would buy a less safe product for patients in the UK because it was cheaper? MR HILL: That is my interpretation of that document, yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Well, I don't, at the moment, see what other inference can be drawn. MR HILL: November 1976. This coming a couple of weeks after the application has been made to the UK Licensing Authority to make that change. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And the UK Licensing Authority's well, well find out in due course what they may have known, but at this stage it was after the Granada World in Action programme in 1975. Yes; thank you. MR HILL: Turning then back to some of the documents about the licensing application. I won't ask to bring all of these up. 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America 5 On 26 January 1977, AP Fletcher, a senior medical officer at the DHSS, wrote to Immuno Ltd about the application. He requested additional information concerning the proposed plasma source, including details of which plasmapheresis stations linchunded to use. The reference is SHPL0000271_077. Immuno replied on 1 February 1977, AP Fletcher, a senior medical officer at the DHSS, wrote to Immuno Ltd about the application. He requested additional information concerning the proposed plasma source, including details of which plasmapheresis stations linchunded to use. The reference is SHPL0000271_077. Immuno replied on 1 February 1977, AP Fletcher, a senior concerning the proposed plasma source, including details of which plasmapheresis stations linchunded to use. The reference is SHPL0000271_077. Immuno replied on 1 February 1977, AP Fletcher, a senior the application. He requested additional information concerning the proposed plasma source, including details of which plasmapheresis stations linchunded to use. The reference is SHPL0000271_077. Immuno replied on 1 February 1977, asying that the word, "otainable" from linched to use. The reference is SHPL000271_077.	3		3	
6 safe product for patients in the UK because it was 7 cheaper? 8 MR HILL: That is my interpretation of that document, yes. 9 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Well, I don't, at the moment, see what other inference can be drawn. 10 what other inference can be drawn. 11 MR HILL: Nor do I. 12 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And this was in 1976? 13 MR HILL: November 1976. This coming a couple of weeks after the application has been made to the UK 14 Licensing Authority to make that change. 15 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And the UK Licensing Authority's well, we'll find out in due course what the Granada World in Action programme in 1975. 16 Yes; thank you. 17 MR HILL: Turning then back to some of the documents about the licensing application. I won't ask to bring all of these up. 18 medical officer at the DHSS ocnocerning the proposed plasma source, including details of which plasmapheresis stations Immuno intended to use. The reference is SHPL0000271_077. 19 Immuno replied on 1 February 1977, saying that 19 plasma was, and I quote the word, "obtainable" from 10 intended to use. The reference is SHPL0000271_077. 11 Immuno replied on 1 February 1977, saying that 12 plasma was, and I quote the word, "obtainable" from 13 licensed plasmapheresis stations located across the 14 United States, including Hawaii, but at that time the 15 company was obtaining plasma from stations in 16 New York, Baltimore, Birmingham, Philadelphia and 17 Knoxville. The reference is SHPL0000271_073. 18 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: They are all large urban centres. 19 MR HILL: 1 understand that the document was phrased so as 18 not to limit Kryobulin to those centres in due course. 19 It said that it was "obtainable" 20 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS 21 proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America 22 they were getting it from the moment?	4		4	
7 cheaper? 8 MR HILL: That is my interpretation of that document, yes. 9 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Well, I don't, at the moment, see what other inference can be drawn. 10 what other inference can be drawn. 11 Immuno replied on 1 February 1977, saying that 12 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And this was in 1976? 13 MR HILL: November 1976. This coming a couple of weeks after the application has been made to the UK Licensing Authority to make that change. 15 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And the UK Licensing Authority's well, we'll find out in due course what 16 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And the UK Licensing 17 Authority's well, we'll find out in due course what 18 they may have known, but at this stage it was after 19 the application. He requested additional information 20 details of which plasma source, including 21 details of which plasma source, including 21 details of which plasma source, including 22 details of which plasma source, including 23 details of which plasma source, including 24 details of which plasma source, including 25 details of which plasma source, including 26 details of which plasmapheresis stations Immuno 26 intended to use. The reference is SHPL0000271_077. 27 Immuno replied on 1 February 1977, saying that 28 plasma was, and I quote the word, "obtainable" from 29 licensed plasma source, including 29 New York, altimuno replied on 1 February 1977, saying that 29 New York, plasma pheresis stations located across the 29 Licensing aplication has been made to the UK 29 Now HILL: Turning then back to some of the documents about 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS 29 November 197	5		5	·
8 MR HILL: That is my interpretation of that document, yes. 9 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Well, I don't, at the moment, see what other inference can be drawn. 10 what other inference can be drawn. 11 Immuno replied on 1 February 1977, saying that 12 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And this was in 1976? 13 MR HILL: November 1976. This coming a couple of weeks after the application has been made to the UK Licensing Authority to make that change. 16 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And the UK Licensing Authority's well, we'll find out in due course what 17 Authority's well, we'll find out in due course what 18 they may have known, but at this stage it was after 19 the Granada World in Action programme in 1975. 20 Yes; thank you. 21 MR HILL: Turning then back to some of the documents about 22 the licensing application. I won't ask to bring all 23 of these up. 24 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America 8 concerning the proposed plasma source, including details of which plasmapheresis stations Immuno intended to use. The reference is SHPL0000271_077. 11 Immuno replied on 1 February 1977, saying that 12 plasma was, and I quote the word, "obtainable" from 13 licensed plasmapheresis stations Immuno 14 United States, including Hawaii, but at that time the 15 company was obtaining plasma from stations in 16 New York, Baltimore, Birmingham, Philadelphia and 17 Knoxville. The reference is SHPL0000271_073. 18 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: They are all large urban centres. 19 MR HILL: Yes. 20 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. 21 MR HILL: I understand that the document was phrased so as 22 not to limit Kryobulin to those centres in due course. 23 It said that it was "obtainable" 24 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So you are saying that that's where 25 they were getting it from				
9 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Well, I don't, at the moment, see what other inference can be drawn. 10 what other inference can be drawn. 11 Immuno replied on 1 February 1977, saying that 12 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And this was in 1976? 13 MR HILL: November 1976. This coming a couple of weeks after the application has been made to the UK Licensing Authority to make that change. 15 Licensing Authority to make that change. 16 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And the UK Licensing Authority's well, we'll find out in due course what they may have known, but at this stage it was after the Granada World in Action programme in 1975. Yes; thank you. 18 MR HILL: Turning then back to some of the documents about the licensing application. I won't ask to bring all of these up. 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America 9 details of which plasmapheresis stations located across the 10 Immuno replied on 1 February 1977, saying that 11 Immuno replied on 1 February 1977, saying that 12 plasma was, and I quote the word, "obtainable" from 13 Immuno replied on 1 February 1977, saying that 14 plasma was, and I quote the word, "obtainable" from 14 United States, including Hawaii, but at that time the 15 company was obtaining plasma from stations in 16 New York, Baltimore, Birmingham, Philadelphia and 17 Knoxville. The reference is SHPL0000271_073. 18 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: They are all large urban centres. 19 MR HILL: Yes. 20 Yes; thank you. 21 MR HILL: I understand that the document was phrased so as 22 not to limit Kryobulin to those centres in due course. 23 It said that it was "obtainable" 24 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS 25 proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America 26 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So you are saying that that's where 27 they were getting it from at the moment?		•		
what other inference can be drawn. MR HILL: Nor do I. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And this was in 1976? MR HILL: November 1976. This coming a couple of weeks after the application has been made to the UK Licensing Authority to make that change. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And the UK Licensing Authority's well, we'll find out in due course what they may have known, but at this stage it was after the Granada World in Action programme in 1975. Yes; thank you. MR HILL: Turning then back to some of the documents about the licensing application. I won't ask to bring all of these up. 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America 10 intended to use. The reference is SHPL0000271_077. 11 Immuno replied on 1 February 1977, saying that 12 plasma was, and I quote the word, "obtainable" from 13 licensed plasmapheresis stations located across the 14 United States, including Hawaii, but at that time the 15 company was obtaining plasma from stations in 16 New York, Baltimore, Birmingham, Philadelphia and 17 Knoxville. The reference is SHPL0000271_073. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: They are all large urban centres. 18 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: They are all large urban centres. 19 MR HILL: I understand that the document was phrased so as 19 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS 10 proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America 10 limmuno replied on 1 February 1977, saying that				* ' ' '
MR HILL: Nor do I. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And this was in 1976? MR HILL: November 1976. This coming a couple of weeks after the application has been made to the UK Licensing Authority to make that change. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And the UK Licensing Authority's well, we'll find out in due course what they may have known, but at this stage it was after the Granada World in Action programme in 1975. Yes; thank you. MR HILL: Turning then back to some of the documents about the licensing application. I won't ask to bring all of these up. MR HILL: November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America Inmuno replied on 1 February 1977, saying that plasma was, and I quote the word, "obtainable" from licensed plasmapheresis stations located across the United States, including Hawaii, but at that time the company was obtaining plasma from stations in New York, Baltimore, Birmingham, Philadelphia and Knoxville. The reference is SHPL0000271_073. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: They are all large urban centres. MR HILL: Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. MR HILL: I understand that the document was phrased so as not to limit Kryobulin to those centres in due course. It said that it was "obtainable" SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So you are saying that that's where they were getting it from				
SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And this was in 1976? MR HILL: November 1976. This coming a couple of weeks after the application has been made to the UK Licensing Authority to make that change. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And the UK Licensing Authority's well, we'll find out in due course what they may have known, but at this stage it was after the Granada World in Action programme in 1975. Yes; thank you. MR HILL: Turning then back to some of the documents about the licensing application. I won't ask to bring all of these up. 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America 19 Ilicensed plasma was, and I quote the word, "obtainable" from licensed plasmapheresis stations located across the United States, including Hawaii, but at that time the company was obtaining plasma from stations in New York, Baltimore, Birmingham, Philadelphia and Knoxville. The reference is SHPL0000271_073. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: They are all large urban centres. MR HILL: Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. MR HILL: I understand that the document was phrased so as not to limit Kryobulin to those centres in due course. It said that it was "obtainable" 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America 25 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So you are saying that that's where they were getting it from at the moment?				-
MR HILL: November 1976. This coming a couple of weeks after the application has been made to the UK Licensing Authority to make that change. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And the UK Licensing Authority's well, we'll find out in due course what they may have known, but at this stage it was after the Granada World in Action programme in 1975. Yes; thank you. MR HILL: Turning then back to some of the documents about the licensing application. I won't ask to bring all of these up. 13 licensed plasmapheresis stations located across the United States, including Hawaii, but at that time the company was obtaining plasma from stations in New York, Baltimore, Birmingham, Philadelphia and Knoxville. The reference is SHPL0000271_073. 18 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: They are all large urban centres. 19 MR HILL: Yes. Yes; thank you. MR HILL: I understand that the document was phrased so as not to limit Kryobulin to those centres in due course. It said that it was "obtainable" 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So you are saying that that's where they were getting it from at the moment?				
after the application has been made to the UK Licensing Authority to make that change. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And the UK Licensing Authority's well, we'll find out in due course what they may have known, but at this stage it was after the Granada World in Action programme in 1975. Yes; thank you. MR HILL: Turning then back to some of the documents about the licensing application. I won't ask to bring all of these up. 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America 14 United States, including Hawaii, but at that time the company was obtaining plasma from stations in New York, Baltimore, Birmingham, Philadelphia and Knoxville. The reference is SHPL0000271_073. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: They are all large urban centres. MR HILL: Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. MR HILL: I understand that the document was phrased so as not to limit Kryobulin to those centres in due course. It said that it was "obtainable" 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America 15 company was obtaining plasma from stations in New York, Baltimore, Birmingham, Philadelphia and Knoxville. The reference is SHPL0000271_073. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: They are all large urban centres. MR HILL: I understand that the document was phrased so as not to limit Kryobulin to those centres in due course. It said that it was "obtainable" SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So you are saying that that's where they were getting it from at the moment?				•
Licensing Authority to make that change. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And the UK Licensing Authority's well, we'll find out in due course what they may have known, but at this stage it was after the Granada World in Action programme in 1975. Yes; thank you. MR HILL: Turning then back to some of the documents about the licensing application. I won't ask to bring all of these up. 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America 15 company was obtaining plasma from stations in New York, Baltimore, Birmingham, Philadelphia and Knoxville. The reference is SHPL0000271_073. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: They are all large urban centres. MR HILL: Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. MR HILL: I understand that the document was phrased so as not to limit Kryobulin to those centres in due course. It said that it was "obtainable" 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So you are saying that that's where they were getting it from at the moment?		.		
SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. And the UK Licensing Authority's well, we'll find out in due course what they may have known, but at this stage it was after the Granada World in Action programme in 1975. Yes; thank you. MR HILL: Turning then back to some of the documents about the licensing application. I won't ask to bring all of these up. 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America 16 New York, Baltimore, Birmingham, Philadelphia and Knoxville. The reference is SHPL0000271_073. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: They are all large urban centres. MR HILL: Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. MR HILL: I understand that the document was phrased so as not to limit Kryobulin to those centres in due course. It said that it was "obtainable" SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So you are saying that that's where they were getting it from at the moment?				
Authority's well, we'll find out in due course what they may have known, but at this stage it was after the Granada World in Action programme in 1975. Yes; thank you. MR HILL: Turning then back to some of the documents about the licensing application. I won't ask to bring all of these up. Yes November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America Authority's well, we'll find out in due course what Knoxville. The reference is SHPL0000271_073. RR HILL: The reference is SHPL0000271_073. RR HILL: The reference is SHPL0000271_073. RR HILL: Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. MR HILL: I understand that the document was phrased so as not to limit Kryobulin to those centres in due course. It said that it was "obtainable" SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So you are saying that that's where they were getting it from at the moment?				
they may have known, but at this stage it was after the Granada World in Action programme in 1975. Yes; thank you. MR HILL: Turning then back to some of the documents about the licensing application. I won't ask to bring all of these up. Yes November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: They are all large urban centres. MR HILL: Yes. MR HILL: I understand that the document was phrased so as not to limit Kryobulin to those centres in due course. It said that it was "obtainable" SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So you are saying that that's where they were getting it from at the moment?		ū		
the Granada World in Action programme in 1975. Yes; thank you. MR HILL: Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. MR HILL: I understand that the document was phrased so as not to limit Kryobulin to those centres in due course. It said that it was "obtainable" 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America MR HILL: Yes. MR HILL: Yes. MR HILL: Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. MR HILL: I understand that the document was phrased so as not to limit Kryobulin to those centres in due course. It said that it was "obtainable" SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So you are saying that that's where they were getting it from at the moment?		• •		
Yes; thank you. 20 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. MR HILL: Turning then back to some of the documents about the licensing application. I won't ask to bring all of these up. 21 MR HILL: I understand that the document was phrased so as not to limit Kryobulin to those centres in due course. 22 It said that it was "obtainable" 23 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So you are saying that that's where proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America 24 Proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America 25 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So you are saying that that's where they were getting it from at the moment?				
MR HILL: Turning then back to some of the documents about the licensing application. I won't ask to bring all of these up. MR HILL: I understand that the document was phrased so as not to limit Kryobulin to those centres in due course. It said that it was "obtainable" 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America MR HILL: I understand that the document was phrased so as not to limit Kryobulin to those centres in due course. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So you are saying that that's where they were getting it from at the moment?				
the licensing application. I won't ask to bring all of these up. 23 of these up. 24 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS 25 proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America 26 not to limit Kryobulin to those centres in due course. 27 It said that it was "obtainable" 28 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So you are saying that that's where they were getting it from at the moment?				
of these up. 23 It said that it was "obtainable" 24 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS 25 proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America 28 It said that it was "obtainable" 29 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So you are saying that that's where they were getting it from at the moment?		_		
24 29 November 1976, Immuno Ltd wrote to the DHSS 24 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So you are saying that that's where 25 proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America 25 they were getting it from at the moment?				
proposing to name the product Kryobulin 2, the America 25 they were getting it from at the moment?		•		
92				
83 84 (21) Pages 81 - 84	25		25	
		83		84 (21) Pages 81 - 84

1	MR HILL: At that time, yes, correct.	1	he added that it was necessary to have
2	Now it appears that the DHSS either didn't	2	a distinguishable pack. As I say, we will come on
3	receive or didn't register the letter sent on 1	3	again to look at the packaging in due course.
4	February 1977. As a result, no action appears to have	4	Subsequent licensing documents
5	been taken on the licence for about a year. The	5	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Can you just remind me of what you
6	reference to that is SHPL0000271_059.	6	told me earlier. The criteria for licensing included
7	In a letter to the DHSS on 16 February 1978, so	7	safety, efficacy and quality?
8	we are now more than a year down the line, resending	8	MR HILL: Yes.
9	the letter of the previous February, Mr Berry noted	9	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And so far as efficacy was
10	that Immuno wished to continue to use plasma from	10	concerned, you told me that the regime was one that
11	Austria and Germany, but sought I quote his words	11	didn't draw any particular distinction between one
12	here "the ability to also use American plasma in the	12	product and another on the grounds of efficacy,
13	same way that our competitors do".	13	although on the grounds of safety you told me that
14	The reference to that is SHPL0000271_058.	14	you could take account that another product is safer
15	We don't have, or have not found, more	15	and just as or more efficacious?
16	accurately, the discussion that took place within the	16	MR HILL: That's correct, sir.
17	CSM(B) or the CSM or the Medicines Division about the	17	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I see. So in deciding whether to
18	application. We continue to look, and if others can	18	amend the licence, the Licensing Authority would, on
19	find it one would be very interested to see it.	19	that basis, here have to consider whether another
20	What we do have is a letter dated 7 April 1978	20	product was safer than the American-sourced product,
21	from Mr Berry to Immuno, informing them, and I quote:	21	and just as or more efficacious?
22	"We are now authorised to issue Factor VIII	22	MR HILL: It certainly could consider that, sir.
23	concentrate from American plasma."	23	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes.
24	The reference is SHPL0000271_057. That suggests	24	MR HILL: At that time, as of 1978
25	that the variation application had been approved, and	25	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes.
	85		86
	MD IIII I		40.70
	MR HILL: there were a number of licences that were in	1	resent on 16 February 1978

3

24

25

2 place for products using American-based plasma as 3 well. 4 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. 5 MR HILL: We know, or we --6 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: This would, in effect, be licensing 7 Immuno to produce a less safe product, assuming that 8 it is as efficacious, on that assumption? 9 MR HILL: Certainly according to the internal Immuno AG 10 documents, it was a product that they considered to 11 have a significantly higher hepatitis risk. 12 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. MR HILL: Those documents, of course, were internal. 13 14 Those particular documents weren't seen by the 15 Licensing Authority, but the Licensing Authority would 16 have been aware of the distinction between European 17 plasma and American plasma. 18 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. 19 MR HILL: The documents that we do have suggest that the 20 variation was approved on 28 March 1978. That's 21 SHPL0000376_005. 22 So after the period of a year's delay, when the

information seems to have either been lost in the post

or not processed at the DHSS end, there is

a relatively short period between the letter being

87

23

24

25

4 their five-year term and would have to be renewed, the 5 ones for Hemofil and Kryobulin? 6 MR HILL: Yes, it would have been. 7 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And the review criteria would 8 include exactly the same criteria? 9 MR HILL: I hesitate about exactly the same --10 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: That's why I'm asking. MR HILL: I can go back to the Act over lunch and check. 11 12 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I'd be grateful if you would. 13 MR HILL: I will do so, sir. 14 Something that is not clear to us from the 15 documents that we have looked at, is whether or not, 16 in this period when the licence was being considered, 17 whether or not Kryobulin from American plasma was 18 available on a named-patient basis. We have one 19 document, with the reference SHPL0000271_072, which 20 is -- actually, Soumik, perhaps we can bring that up, 21 it might be helpful: SHPL0000271_072. We can see that 22 this is a letter which is sent on 25 November 1976 to 23 HM Customs and Excise, so this is around the time of

SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Would it have been around about

March '78 that the licenses were coming to the end of

88

Immuno Ltd. The name is crossed out but we know that

the original variation application. It's sent by

1	that is Mr Berry, the managing director:	1	concentrates in their positivity for HBsAG. In fact
2	"Dear Sirs,	2	at the moment everything including the
3	"We are proposing to import Kryobulin	3	cryoprecipitates comes out clearly negative by Austria
4	Factor VIII Concentrate for the treatment of	4	II."
5	haemophilia A.	5	I don't seek to try to decipher that now.
6	"This material is processed in Vienna in Austria	6	"One point in your letter was not correct, in
7	from human plasma obtained in the USA.	7	that all Kryobulin batches supplied to this country
8	"Please let us know our liability for Duty on	8	are at present prepared solely from Austrian or German
9	this product.	9	donors."
10	"An early answer is requested as the material is	10	As of April 1977, it was Dr McGrath's
11	urgently required for use in UK hospitals."	11	understanding at NIBSC that all of the Kryobulin was
12	There is a reply, sir, which is to the effect	12	still from European plasma. He was, we can see,
13	that no duty was payable. So we know that they were	13	correcting Dr Kirk on that point, we don't know the
14	asking about that in November 1976. But we also have	14	reason why that correction was necessary, and it is
15	another document could we have this onscreen as	15	a situation where we cannot say to you, sir, whether
16	well, please, Soumik, HHFT0000925_002. This is	16	or not American Kryobulin was being imported into the
17	a letter dated 20 April 1977, so a few months after	17	UK on a named-patient basis. Those are snippets of
18	the application to vary the licence, and a few months	18	evidence we have on that point.
19	after that letter to customs about duty. It's sent by	19	Turning back then, to the wider story of
20	Dr David McGrath of NIBSC and it's to Dr Kirk at the	20	Kryobulin and drawing on a point that you raised
21	Treloar Haemophilia Centre. He says:	21	earlier, sir. There was a separate application to
22	"Dear Peter	22	renew the licence on 23 December 1977, the reference
23	"Many thanks for your letter. I completely	23	is MHRA0033321_076 and the same stem, _077.
24	agree with your proposals as I can see no group	24	The renewed licence was issued on 27
25	differences between the commercial Factor VIII	25	October 1978, but with a stated renewal date of 22
	89		90
1	March 1978. So two points from that, sir. First,	1	as of 1980.
2	it's that process that we were talking about in the	2	The bottom of that page, please, Soumik, "Prices
3	1968 Act coming into effect. If you have applied for	3	available on request", so I can't tell you the unit
4	a renewal before your licence expires then it can be,	4	price here, unfortunately.
5	in effect, retrospectively run from the time of the		SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So a price list says we're not
6	expiration. The second point is that the renewal of	6	telling you what the price is?
7	the licence, which was approved on 27 October 1978 and		MR HILL: Yes, sir.
8	backdated to 22 March 1978 is distinct from the	8	I'll turn now, sir, to more information about
9	decision which was taken on the variation of the	9	how much Kryobulin was being used at around this time,
10	licence, which was dated 28 March 1978. These are	10	1980.
11	two, formerly two separate decisions, the extent to	11	If we could have, please, RFLT0000363. The next
12	which those making them knew or were aware of the	12	three documents I'm going to show you are all annual
13	other variations is something that we don't know at	13	returns for the UK Haemophilia Centre Directors
14	this stage.	14	Organisation, which were from three different
15	Could we have onscreen, please, Soumik,	15	hospitals: Royal Free, one for Northern Ireland and
16	DHSC0046258_098. We can see here Immuno's price list	16	one for the Royal Victorian Infirmary in Newcastle.
17	from 1980, and if we could go, please, to page 6.	17	It's just to give you a snapshot of how use varied
18	Listed in the price list are "Kryobulin (red pack	18	across the country at this time.
19	plasma source Europe)", the product licence is the	19	We can see that this table is for haemophilia A
20	same product licence we were discussing earlier,	20	patients. We understand it to include those who were
21	0215/0003.	21	treated for factor inhibitors as well. If we could
22	Then further down, "Kryobulin (blue pack	22	expand, please, to show the whole document there,

24

25

Soumik. I won't go through all of the different

products, but we can see that Immuno, the total used

at hospital in-patients at the Royal Free was 424,585

23

24

25

plasma source -- America)", you can see the same

91

Kryobulin which are both available on the same licence

product licence. So these are two variants of

(23) Pages 89 - 92

	The Infe	ected Blood In	quiry 23 September 2021
1	international units, and for home treatment, 594,814	1	which used, instead, Profilate Factor VIII and Koate
2	international units. So approximately 1 million units	2	in particular. All of those are from 1980.
3	in total, which made it the second most used	3	If we could take that down now, please, Soumik.
4	commercial product, and indeed second most used	4	In 1981, the licence for Kryobulin was altered to
5	product, full stop, after Factor VIII.	5	allow points of sale to include retail pharmacists,
6	What this doesn't do is breakdown between red	6	the references are MHRA0033321, page 3, and
7	and blue Kryobulin.	7	SHPL000036_005.
8	The next one, please, Soumik, is HCDO00001394	8	There was an application to renew Kryobulin on 2
9	let me try again HCDO0001394, thank you.	9	March 1983, which was approved on 8 September 1983.
10	Now, in Northern Ireland, we can see here Immuno	10	The references are MHRA0033321_110 and the same stem
11	is used to the extent of 63,809 international units	11	_026.
12	for in-patients, 597,761 international units for home	12	The final document I'd like to show you before
13	treatment. That makes it the most used of the	13	lunch, sir, if I may, if we have time, is from the
14	products in Northern Ireland. Interestingly, Hemofil,	14	DHSS in 1983. It is DHSC0002229_055. This is
15	which is just above it in the table is used to	15	a document that we will return to. The context of it
16	a similar but slightly less amount, 520,887 units but	16	is, as we can see from the introduction, is that,
17	all of that was used for in-patients, none of it was	17	following a meeting on 3 June 1983:
18	used for home treatment.	18	" HSIB [which is part of the DHSS] circulated
19	Finally, HCDO0001451. This is the Royal	19	the questions listed in Dr Walford's minute of 14 June
20	Victoria Infirmary in Newcastle. These figures are	20	to suppliers and possible suppliers of coagulation
21	caveated with an asterisk which says "See attached	21	[factors]."
22	note". We don't have that note, unfortunately, but	22	We will come back, sir, to that minute and the
23	the point I would take from this is that Immuno, there	23	questions that were being asked of those
24	is no entry next to it, it doesn't appear to have been	24	manufacturers.
25	used at the Royal Victoria Infirmary in Newcastle,	25	We will see, if you can expand, please,
	93		94
	55		
1	Soumik thank you that the following firms are	1	a table which is based upon the replies that have been
2	suppliers of blood products to the UK, Alpha, Armour,	2	given by the companies. We can see that they were
3	Travenol, Immuno and Miles Laboratories Cutter.	3	asked for their annual UK sales. Kryobulin Red, which
4	"Annual imports of [Factor VIII] by the above	4	is the product made from German or Austrian plasma, is
5	firms total about 42-50 million units.	5	0.9 million international units; Kryobulin Blue, the
6	"With the exception of Immuno the firms state	6	product made from American plasma, is 4.1 million
7	they do not or have ceased to collect in 'Epidemic'	7	units. So 82 per cent of Kryobulin, as of 1983, was
8	areas. All state that their collection centres are	8	the American plasma. I note the time, sir.
9	FDA licensed."	9	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. Well, we'll take a break until
10	We'll come back to the replies, as I say, sir,	10	2.05. 2.05.
11	about that. That's something that we will deal with	11	(1.08 pm)
12	in particular in the November presentations about the	12	(The luncheon adjournment)
13	response to the risk and particularly the risk of	13	(2.05 pm)
14	AIDS.	14	MR HILL: Sir, you asked before lunch whether the same
15	"The plasma in each case is pooled prior to	15	criteria about safety, efficacy and quality applied to
16	processing. In the case of Immuno products, European	16	a consideration of a renewal of a licence under the
17	plasma and USA plasma are pooled separately.	17	1968 Act
18	"The origin of all plasma is identifiable.	18	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes.
19	"Each has given assurances that future sales	19	MR HILL: as they did in consideration of the original
20	will comply with FDA guidelines."	20	licence. The short answer to the question is that,
	, ,		

22

23

24

25

purposes. If we look at the entry for Immuno, this is $$95\$

this is the point I wish to draw out for present

further company, Cutter, haven't replied.

Another point that we will come back to. A

If we could go to the next page, please, sir,

21

22

23

24

25

96 (24) Pages 93 - 96

yes, they do. That can be found in Section 24, and

particularly Section 24(4) of the 1968 Act, which says

that when a renewal application is being considered,

Soumik, if we could have up, please,

Section 19 of the Act applies.

1	RLIT0000691, page 11. This is Section 19 of the Act	1	question whether medicinal products of another
2	and so that you have the exact statutory language,	2	description would or might be equally or more
3	sir, we can see Section 19(1) says that:	3	efficacious for that purpose:
4	"Subject to the following provisions of this	4	"Provided that nothing in this subsection shall
5	Part of the Act, in dealing with an application for	5	be construed as requiring the licensing authority, in
6	a product licence, the licensing authority shall in	6	considering the safety of medicinal products of
7	particular take into consideration	7	a particular description, in relation to a purpose for
8	"(a) the safety of medicinal products of each	8	which they are proposed to be administered, to leave
9	description to which the application relates;	9	out of account any question whether medicinal products
10	"(b) the efficacy of medicinal products of each	10	of another description, being equally or more
11	such description for the purposes for which the	11	efficacious of that purpose, would be or might be
12	products are proposed to be administered; and	12	safer in relation to that purpose."
13	"(c) the quality of medicinal products of each	13	That is what I tried to summarise earlier, sir,
14	such description, according to the specification and	14	in, I hope, slightly more user friendly language,
15	the method or proposed method of manufacture of the	15	about when you can or can't take the efficacy of
16	products, and the provisions proposed for securing	16	another product into consideration.
17	that the products as sold or supplied will be of that	17	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. Yes, thank you.
18	quality."	18	MR HILL: As I say, sir, that applies both to renewal and
19	Soumik, if we could expand, please, and go on to	19	to the original application.
20	(2). This is the point about when you can take	20	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. The criteria don't exclude
21	efficacy of another product into account:	21	cost being a consideration, but they don't mention it
22	"In taking into consideration the efficacy for	22	and they give primacy to those three points.
23	a particular purpose of medicinal products of	23	MR HILL: Yes, cost comes up somewhere else.
24	a description to which such an application relates,	24	So it's Section 20 that deals with the point
25	the licensing authority shall leave out of account any	25	about costs, which is that:
	97		98

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 "The licensing authority cannot refuse a licence 2 on the grounds of price and cannot make a licence 3 provisional on the product being sold at a particular price." 4 5 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So the Licensing Authority could 6 make it a requirement of the licence, could they, that 7 the licensed party informed those who were to receive 8 the product that there was a -- sorry, that the 9 information that would be given to the public, that 10 there was a safer product manufactured by the same 11 company at a different but higher price. 12 MR HILL: I can see no reason why that couldn't be made a condition of the licence. 13 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: One --14 15 MR HILL: They could, of course -- one of the ways of 16 doing that would be to specify as a condition of the 17 licence --18 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. 19 MR HILL: -- or as part of a negotiation which leads to 20 the final licence, but in your product sheet you put 21 a statement to that effect. We will go on to to see

that is an option that is open to them. What they
 can't do is fix the price.
 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. Thank you.

SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. Thank you.

MR HILL: One further document, sir, before we move to heat-treated products. Could we have up onscreen, please, Soumik, HCDO0000403. I'm grateful for this having been brought to my attention. This is the "Minutes of the Eighth Meeting of the Haemophilia Reference Centre", held 6 April 1979, at St Thomas' Hospital. We can see those who are present, Professor Blackburn in the chair, and then names that will be familiar to you and those who are watching this.

If we could turn, please, to page 2, the bottom

If we could turn, please, to page 2, the bottom of page 2, item 5. The minutes record this:

"Concerning factor VIII concentrates (Kryobulin) supplied my Immuno Ltd.

"It was pointed out that the company was now selling Kryobulin factor VIII at two prices, the cheaper preparation being made from American plasma. The implication is that the cheaper product carries the higher risk of plasma viral hepatitis. This has worried some of the Directors. Professor Ingram has been in contact with Mr Berry of Immuno who had said that their action was aimed at making available to clinicians material which may carry less risk of

100 (25) Pages 97 - 100

in some of the evidence that we look at this afternoon

a specific requirement being made by the Licensing

Authority about a particular statement, which should

22

23

24

1	transmitting hepatitis."	1	the purpose was.
2	There was then some general discussion about the	2	MR HILL: According to this minute.
3	price of Factor VIII and the fluctuation in prices.	3	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes.
4	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: That expression of the reason for	4	MR HILL: Yes.
5	providing two priced products was that the it was	5	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Only if he's reported properly and
6	to make available the safer product, though more	6	accurately, that will be so.
7	expensive, as opposed to the inference from the German	7	MR HILL: The other point that I would make, sir, is that
8	document translated, which was that it was exactly the	8	the application that was made to the Licensing
9	other way around. It was Immuno seeking to give or	9	Authority if we could have it onscreen, please,
10	put on the market something which was cheaper because,	10	Soumik MHRA0033321_085. So this is the application
11	well, it was riskier, from American plasma, but their	11	to change to allow American plasma, and if we could go
12	main object was not safety, it was cheapness.	12	down to the reasons, please:
13	MR HILL: Yes, sir, I would agree with that analysis. The	13	"It is possible to sell"
14	other point	14	So this is the stated reason as part of the
15	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: If that's right, and if Mr Berry had	15	application to the Licensing Authority, why they are
16	been properly informed and if it's properly reported	16	seeking this change:
17	what he said to Professor Ingram, it's almost	17	"It is possible to sell Factor VIII Concentrates
18	deceptive. I don't know about the "almost".	18	produced from plasma of US origin at lower prices than
19	MR HILL: There is no evidence for Mr Berry that we have	19	European based material. Because of the preference in
20	that Mr Berry was informed of those Immuno AG	20	the UK market for this lower priced material, we also
21	documents. We simply don't know whether or not he was	21	wish to make it available. Packs of Kryobulin from
22	aware of that discussion.	22	alternative source material will be of a clearly
23	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes.	23	distinguishable colour and we will continue to
24	MR HILL: What we can say from	24	make available European as well as the proposed new
25	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: But he is making a claim for what	25	concentrate derived from American plasma."
	101		102
4	OID DDIANT ANGOTATE. Ve-	4	discussed. If we could have already to the country
1	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes.	1	discussed. If we could turn, please, to the second
2	MR HILL: That is the stated reason, and if we could go	2	page sorry, the fourth page. Under the heading
3	the signature has been, for obvious reasons, redacted	3	"Virus inactivated coagulation products", this states:
4	on this version. I have a version which seems to be	4	"Dr Schwarz tried to give a survey of the
5	signed by Norman Berry.	5	various heat and steam inactivation procedures."
6	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: By Mr Berry?	6	This is what I alluded to earlier, sir, the
7	MR HILL: By Mr Berry.	7	different types of treatment which were available as
8	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes.	8	of November 1984. We can look at them here:
9	MR HILL: That's 11 November 1976.	9	"TIM 2"
10	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Thank you.	10	This is the dry heated treatment:
11	Well, I think it would be in the public interest	11	" 10 hours, 60°C, dry state.
12	to remove that GRO-C cover.	12	"TIM 3 10 hours, 60°C, dry state"
13	MR HILL: We will make arrangements for that to be so.	13	I pause there to note that although those
14	Of course, if any Core Participant wishes to raise any	14	details at the same, there are other ways in which the
15	objection	15	two methods differ:
16	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Of course. I'll listen to any	16	"TIM 4 10 hours, 80°C, dry state.
17	objection there is to that course.	17	"Neo TIM 2 1 hour, 60°C, 200 mbar."
18	MR HILL: I turn now, sir, to the issue of heat treatment.	18	That is a reference to it being steam or
19	Soumik, can we have onscreen, please,	19	vapour heated under pressure, and the 200 millibars is
20	SHPL0000068_070.	20	for pressure.
21	We can see this is a note of a discussion from	21	"Neo TIM 3 5 to 10 hours, 60°C"
22	24 November 1984, so we've come forward a few years in	22	Again under pressure.
23	time. Present are Dr Eibl, Dr Schwarz, Mr Berry and	23	And:
24	others, including Mrs Diernhofer. This is a meeting	24	"Neo TIM 4 1 hour, 80°C 250 mbar +
25	in which the heat treatment of Kryobulin was	25	10 hours, 60°C [at] 200 mbar." 104 (26) Pages 101 - 104

1	So those are the different ways in which Immuno	1	authorities in order to maintain our market position
2	were seeking viral inactivation in their products.	2	and to achieve a slight price increase."
3	Different times, different methods of heating,	3	The document then goes on to discuss ways of
4	different temperatures. And in one instance	4	heating FEIBA and Prothromplex.
5	a different pressure as well. This applies to	5	If we could turn then SHPL0000067_028. It's
6	Kryobulin but it also applies to the other products	6	a letter dated 26 November 1984, so two days after
7	that we will consider in due course.	7	that meeting where the discussion was taking place
8	What the meeting then goes on to say is this:	8	about the viral inactivation techniques. It is
9	"As to methods TIM 2 and TIM 3, as well as TIM 4	9	a letter from the DHSS, and particularly from Dr Mary
10	[these are the dry heated treatments] we may run into	10	Duncan of the DHSS, to Mr Nicholson, that's
11	difficulties with respect to patent protection. There	11	Robert Nicholson of Immuno Ltd, the British company.
12	exists a Hyland patent for this kind of inactivation.	12	What it says this is this:
13		13	"Dear Mr Nicholson.
	For methods Neo TIM 2, 3 and 4, we have already	13 14	"HEAT-TREATED FACTOR VIII.
14 15	applied for patents.		
15 16	"As Mr Berry explained it will be essential to	15 16	"Following our recent telephone conversation may
16 47	amend our product licence applications to introduce	16	I confirm that the licensing authority wishes to
17	inactivated products if we do not want to lose the	17	encourage all companies involved in the production of
18	British market. Lane [that's a reference to Dr Lane	18	Factor VIII to use a dry heat treatment process in the
19	of BPL] promised that products that have not been	19	course of manufacture.
20	virus-inactivated will not be used after April 1985.	20	"We are inviting each company to consider this
21	Bloom [that's Professor Bloom] also stated that he	21	proposal and, hopefully, to make early (abridged)
22	will no longer use any products that have not been	22	application for a new product licence.
23	inactivated after his stock has run out.	23	"I look forward to hearing from you in the near
24	"It was decided that, for the time being, data	24	future."
25	for Kryobulin TIM 2 should be submitted to the	25	This, sir, is in the context of the
	105		106
1	understanding that had developed during the autumn of	1	dry-heated products, licence application goes in in
2	1984 that HTLV-III could be inactivated by heat	2	December 1984, and it is approved on 7 February 1985.
3	treatment. So we can see there are discussions taking	3	So that they're on the transcript, the
4	place within Immuno AG and between Immuno AG and	4	references are MHRA0033320_066, SHPL0000271_021,
5	Immuno Ltd, and also encouragement from the Licensing	5	SHPL0000271_020, and SHPL0000271_011.
J			An insight into the way that the process was
6			All insight into the way that the process was
6 7	Authority, the Department of Health, to put forward	6 7	working at this time is provided by a document that
7	applications for dry-heated-treated licences. The	7	working at this time is provided by a document that
7 8	applications for dry-heated-treated licences. The following month, December 1984, Immuno applied to	7 8	was sent on 8 January 1985, and could we have, please,
7 8 9	applications for dry-heated-treated licences. The following month, December 1984, Immuno applied to change the Kryobulin product licence to introduce	7 8 9	was sent on 8 January 1985, and could we have, please, Soumik, MHRA0019502.
7 8 9 10	applications for dry-heated-treated licences. The following month, December 1984, Immuno applied to change the Kryobulin product licence to introduce a heat-treatment step. That was said to be done "to	7 8 9 10	was sent on 8 January 1985, and could we have, please, Soumik, MHRA0019502. This is a letter which is sent from Dr Duncan
7 8 9 10 11	applications for dry-heated-treated licences. The following month, December 1984, Immuno applied to change the Kryobulin product licence to introduce a heat-treatment step. That was said to be done "to reduce the risk of transmission of viral diseases".	7 8 9 10 11	was sent on 8 January 1985, and could we have, please, Soumik, MHRA0019502. This is a letter which is sent from Dr Duncan Thomas of the National Institute for Biological
7 8 9 10 11	applications for dry-heated-treated licences. The following month, December 1984, Immuno applied to change the Kryobulin product licence to introduce a heat-treatment step. That was said to be done "to reduce the risk of transmission of viral diseases". They proposed renaming the product Kryobulin TIM 2.	7 8 9 10 11 12	was sent on 8 January 1985, and could we have, please, Soumik, MHRA0019502. This is a letter which is sent from Dr Duncan Thomas of the National Institute for Biological Standards and Control, the head of the Blood Products
7 8 9 10 11 12	applications for dry-heated-treated licences. The following month, December 1984, Immuno applied to change the Kryobulin product licence to introduce a heat-treatment step. That was said to be done "to reduce the risk of transmission of viral diseases". They proposed renaming the product Kryobulin TIM 2. In a letter dated 18 January 1985, the Licensing	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	was sent on 8 January 1985, and could we have, please, Soumik, MHRA0019502. This is a letter which is sent from Dr Duncan Thomas of the National Institute for Biological Standards and Control, the head of the Blood Products Division, and we've heard his name a couple of times
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	applications for dry-heated-treated licences. The following month, December 1984, Immuno applied to change the Kryobulin product licence to introduce a heat-treatment step. That was said to be done "to reduce the risk of transmission of viral diseases". They proposed renaming the product Kryobulin TIM 2. In a letter dated 18 January 1985, the Licensing Authority required Immuno to confirm in writing that	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	was sent on 8 January 1985, and could we have, please, Soumik, MHRA0019502. This is a letter which is sent from Dr Duncan Thomas of the National Institute for Biological Standards and Control, the head of the Blood Products Division, and we've heard his name a couple of times today. It's sent to Dr Duncan of the Medicines
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	applications for dry-heated-treated licences. The following month, December 1984, Immuno applied to change the Kryobulin product licence to introduce a heat-treatment step. That was said to be done "to reduce the risk of transmission of viral diseases". They proposed renaming the product Kryobulin TIM 2. In a letter dated 18 January 1985, the Licensing Authority required Immuno to confirm in writing that the data sheet for the Kryobulin TIM 2 would include	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	was sent on 8 January 1985, and could we have, please, Soumik, MHRA0019502. This is a letter which is sent from Dr Duncan Thomas of the National Institute for Biological Standards and Control, the head of the Blood Products Division, and we've heard his name a couple of times today. It's sent to Dr Duncan of the Medicines Division, the same woman who had sent the letter that
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	applications for dry-heated-treated licences. The following month, December 1984, Immuno applied to change the Kryobulin product licence to introduce a heat-treatment step. That was said to be done "to reduce the risk of transmission of viral diseases". They proposed renaming the product Kryobulin TIM 2. In a letter dated 18 January 1985, the Licensing Authority required Immuno to confirm in writing that the data sheet for the Kryobulin TIM 2 would include a statement that the product had been heated for	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	was sent on 8 January 1985, and could we have, please, Soumik, MHRA0019502. This is a letter which is sent from Dr Duncan Thomas of the National Institute for Biological Standards and Control, the head of the Blood Products Division, and we've heard his name a couple of times today. It's sent to Dr Duncan of the Medicines Division, the same woman who had sent the letter that we have just looked in November, encouraging
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	applications for dry-heated-treated licences. The following month, December 1984, Immuno applied to change the Kryobulin product licence to introduce a heat-treatment step. That was said to be done "to reduce the risk of transmission of viral diseases". They proposed renaming the product Kryobulin TIM 2. In a letter dated 18 January 1985, the Licensing Authority required Immuno to confirm in writing that the data sheet for the Kryobulin TIM 2 would include a statement that the product had been heated for 10 hours at 60 degrees.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	was sent on 8 January 1985, and could we have, please, Soumik, MHRA0019502. This is a letter which is sent from Dr Duncan Thomas of the National Institute for Biological Standards and Control, the head of the Blood Products Division, and we've heard his name a couple of times today. It's sent to Dr Duncan of the Medicines Division, the same woman who had sent the letter that we have just looked in November, encouraging applications for heat treatment. This is what
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	applications for dry-heated-treated licences. The following month, December 1984, Immuno applied to change the Kryobulin product licence to introduce a heat-treatment step. That was said to be done "to reduce the risk of transmission of viral diseases". They proposed renaming the product Kryobulin TIM 2. In a letter dated 18 January 1985, the Licensing Authority required Immuno to confirm in writing that the data sheet for the Kryobulin TIM 2 would include a statement that the product had been heated for 10 hours at 60 degrees. I pause there, sir, to say that this is an	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	was sent on 8 January 1985, and could we have, please, Soumik, MHRA0019502. This is a letter which is sent from Dr Duncan Thomas of the National Institute for Biological Standards and Control, the head of the Blood Products Division, and we've heard his name a couple of times today. It's sent to Dr Duncan of the Medicines Division, the same woman who had sent the letter that we have just looked in November, encouraging applications for heat treatment. This is what Dr Thomas says:
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	applications for dry-heated-treated licences. The following month, December 1984, Immuno applied to change the Kryobulin product licence to introduce a heat-treatment step. That was said to be done "to reduce the risk of transmission of viral diseases". They proposed renaming the product Kryobulin TIM 2. In a letter dated 18 January 1985, the Licensing Authority required Immuno to confirm in writing that the data sheet for the Kryobulin TIM 2 would include a statement that the product had been heated for 10 hours at 60 degrees. I pause there, sir, to say that this is an example of the types of requirement that the Licensing	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	was sent on 8 January 1985, and could we have, please, Soumik, MHRA0019502. This is a letter which is sent from Dr Duncan Thomas of the National Institute for Biological Standards and Control, the head of the Blood Products Division, and we've heard his name a couple of times today. It's sent to Dr Duncan of the Medicines Division, the same woman who had sent the letter that we have just looked in November, encouraging applications for heat treatment. This is what Dr Thomas says: "Dear Mary,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	applications for dry-heated-treated licences. The following month, December 1984, Immuno applied to change the Kryobulin product licence to introduce a heat-treatment step. That was said to be done "to reduce the risk of transmission of viral diseases". They proposed renaming the product Kryobulin TIM 2. In a letter dated 18 January 1985, the Licensing Authority required Immuno to confirm in writing that the data sheet for the Kryobulin TIM 2 would include a statement that the product had been heated for 10 hours at 60 degrees. I pause there, sir, to say that this is an example of the types of requirement that the Licensing Authority can make in terms of how a product is	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	was sent on 8 January 1985, and could we have, please, Soumik, MHRA0019502. This is a letter which is sent from Dr Duncan Thomas of the National Institute for Biological Standards and Control, the head of the Blood Products Division, and we've heard his name a couple of times today. It's sent to Dr Duncan of the Medicines Division, the same woman who had sent the letter that we have just looked in November, encouraging applications for heat treatment. This is what Dr Thomas says: "Dear Mary, "Heat-Treated Factor VIII
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	applications for dry-heated-treated licences. The following month, December 1984, Immuno applied to change the Kryobulin product licence to introduce a heat-treatment step. That was said to be done "to reduce the risk of transmission of viral diseases". They proposed renaming the product Kryobulin TIM 2. In a letter dated 18 January 1985, the Licensing Authority required Immuno to confirm in writing that the data sheet for the Kryobulin TIM 2 would include a statement that the product had been heated for 10 hours at 60 degrees. I pause there, sir, to say that this is an example of the types of requirement that the Licensing Authority can make in terms of how a product is presented to those who are using it.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	was sent on 8 January 1985, and could we have, please, Soumik, MHRA0019502. This is a letter which is sent from Dr Duncan Thomas of the National Institute for Biological Standards and Control, the head of the Blood Products Division, and we've heard his name a couple of times today. It's sent to Dr Duncan of the Medicines Division, the same woman who had sent the letter that we have just looked in November, encouraging applications for heat treatment. This is what Dr Thomas says: "Dear Mary, "Heat-Treated Factor VIII" "Thank you for your letters of December 18th and
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	applications for dry-heated-treated licences. The following month, December 1984, Immuno applied to change the Kryobulin product licence to introduce a heat-treatment step. That was said to be done "to reduce the risk of transmission of viral diseases". They proposed renaming the product Kryobulin TIM 2. In a letter dated 18 January 1985, the Licensing Authority required Immuno to confirm in writing that the data sheet for the Kryobulin TIM 2 would include a statement that the product had been heated for 10 hours at 60 degrees. I pause there, sir, to say that this is an example of the types of requirement that the Licensing Authority can make in terms of how a product is presented to those who are using it. That was agreed by Mr Berry on 23 January,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	was sent on 8 January 1985, and could we have, please, Soumik, MHRA0019502. This is a letter which is sent from Dr Duncan Thomas of the National Institute for Biological Standards and Control, the head of the Blood Products Division, and we've heard his name a couple of times today. It's sent to Dr Duncan of the Medicines Division, the same woman who had sent the letter that we have just looked in November, encouraging applications for heat treatment. This is what Dr Thomas says: "Dear Mary, "Heat-Treated Factor VIII "Thank you for your letters of December 18th and 27th, 1984. I have now had a chance to look at three
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	applications for dry-heated-treated licences. The following month, December 1984, Immuno applied to change the Kryobulin product licence to introduce a heat-treatment step. That was said to be done "to reduce the risk of transmission of viral diseases". They proposed renaming the product Kryobulin TIM 2. In a letter dated 18 January 1985, the Licensing Authority required Immuno to confirm in writing that the data sheet for the Kryobulin TIM 2 would include a statement that the product had been heated for 10 hours at 60 degrees. I pause there, sir, to say that this is an example of the types of requirement that the Licensing Authority can make in terms of how a product is presented to those who are using it. That was agreed by Mr Berry on 23 January, a variation application was approved on	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	was sent on 8 January 1985, and could we have, please, Soumik, MHRA0019502. This is a letter which is sent from Dr Duncan Thomas of the National Institute for Biological Standards and Control, the head of the Blood Products Division, and we've heard his name a couple of times today. It's sent to Dr Duncan of the Medicines Division, the same woman who had sent the letter that we have just looked in November, encouraging applications for heat treatment. This is what Dr Thomas says: "Dear Mary, "Heat-Treated Factor VIII "Thank you for your letters of December 18th and 27th, 1984. I have now had a chance to look at three sets of data relating to this product, provided
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	applications for dry-heated-treated licences. The following month, December 1984, Immuno applied to change the Kryobulin product licence to introduce a heat-treatment step. That was said to be done "to reduce the risk of transmission of viral diseases". They proposed renaming the product Kryobulin TIM 2. In a letter dated 18 January 1985, the Licensing Authority required Immuno to confirm in writing that the data sheet for the Kryobulin TIM 2 would include a statement that the product had been heated for 10 hours at 60 degrees. I pause there, sir, to say that this is an example of the types of requirement that the Licensing Authority can make in terms of how a product is presented to those who are using it. That was agreed by Mr Berry on 23 January, a variation application was approved on 7 February 1985. So we can see, November,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	was sent on 8 January 1985, and could we have, please, Soumik, MHRA0019502. This is a letter which is sent from Dr Duncan Thomas of the National Institute for Biological Standards and Control, the head of the Blood Products Division, and we've heard his name a couple of times today. It's sent to Dr Duncan of the Medicines Division, the same woman who had sent the letter that we have just looked in November, encouraging applications for heat treatment. This is what Dr Thomas says: "Dear Mary, "Heat-Treated Factor VIII "Thank you for your letters of December 18th and 27th, 1984. I have now had a chance to look at three sets of data relating to this product, provided respectively by Miles, Travenol and Immuno. While all
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	applications for dry-heated-treated licences. The following month, December 1984, Immuno applied to change the Kryobulin product licence to introduce a heat-treatment step. That was said to be done "to reduce the risk of transmission of viral diseases". They proposed renaming the product Kryobulin TIM 2. In a letter dated 18 January 1985, the Licensing Authority required Immuno to confirm in writing that the data sheet for the Kryobulin TIM 2 would include a statement that the product had been heated for 10 hours at 60 degrees. I pause there, sir, to say that this is an example of the types of requirement that the Licensing Authority can make in terms of how a product is presented to those who are using it. That was agreed by Mr Berry on 23 January, a variation application was approved on	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	was sent on 8 January 1985, and could we have, please, Soumik, MHRA0019502. This is a letter which is sent from Dr Duncan Thomas of the National Institute for Biological Standards and Control, the head of the Blood Products Division, and we've heard his name a couple of times today. It's sent to Dr Duncan of the Medicines Division, the same woman who had sent the letter that we have just looked in November, encouraging applications for heat treatment. This is what Dr Thomas says: "Dear Mary, "Heat-Treated Factor VIII "Thank you for your letters of December 18th and 27th, 1984. I have now had a chance to look at three sets of data relating to this product, provided

1	Factor VIII, and appear to be producing a satisfactory	1	they also actually studied effect of heat-treatment on
2	product, there are marked discrepancies between them	2	two of the three AIDS-related viruses (LAV and ARV).
3	in relation to the temperature and length of time for	3	If they find it necessary to heat to 68°C for
4	which the product is heated. The other obvious	4	72 hours, one wonders why Immuno believe that they can
5	discrepancy is in the extent to which the companies	5	get away with only heating to 60°C for 10 hours.
6	have used marker viruses to assess the efficacy of	6	While it seems reasonable to conclude that all of the
7	their heat treatment. For example, Miles Laboratories	7	regimens of heat treatment reduce infectivity,
8	have used five marker viruses and heated for 72 hours	8	particularly in relation to AIDS, one is very struck
9	at 68°C. In contrast, Immuno used only one marker	9	by the variation in quality of the data provided. In
10	virus and heated their product for only 10 hours at	10	particular, I am worried about the paucity of data
11	60°C. Travenol studied four marker viruses and	11	provided by Immuno in relation to the efficacy of
12	heat-treated their product for 72 hours at 60°C. Just	12	their heat treatment. As you know, we have looked at
13	to add to the confusion, I learned yesterday that all	13	most of the heat-treated Factor VIII in the
14	Scottish-produced Factor VIII is now currently being	14	laboratory, and find no significant difference from
15	heated for two hours at 68°C! I must confess that	15	the untreated material in relation to solublity, assay
16	I find this all rather worrying, particularly as the	16	characteristics, evidence for neo-antigens, etc.
17	Licensing Authority has decided to deal with the	17	"I hope these few comments are of some help to
18	matter 'in house' and not refer to the Committee for	18	you in your deliberations!
19	advice.	19	"With all good wishes,
20	"On the basis of the data provided, I am [most]	20	"Yours sincerely,
21	impressed with that supplied by Miles Laboratories	21	"Duncan Thomas."
22	(Koate, Cutter)."	22	We take from that, sir, aside from Dr Thomas'
23	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: "Most" or is it "more"?	23	comments on the various products, that the licence
24	MR HILL: I'm sorry, "more":	24	applications were being considered, as he put it,
25	"Not only did they use several marker viruses,	25	in-house, by the Medicines Division of the Department
	109		110

of Health and Social Services, not being sent out to the Committee on the Safety of Medicines. We will, no doubt, hear further evidence on that, the context, of course, is the pressing need to do something in response to the risk of AIDS.

Mr Thomas' concerns about the quality of the data being provided by Immuno seemed to have been shared with at least some of those in the company.

Soumik can we have onscreen, please, SHPL0000048_026. This is dated 5 March 1985, and it is a letter that is being sent from Mr Berry as chairman, and Mr Coombes as managing director of Immuno Ltd to Dr Eibl and Dr Schwarz in Immuno AG. In respect of Kryobulin, the letter says this:

"Subject to minor alterations in title and direction circular, we have been awarded a Product Licence Modification. We have, however, been informed both unofficially and officially that the information submitted by us for this modification was most inadequate and but for the panic situation which existed to get everyone on heat-treated material as quickly as possible, we would have been turned down. They expected far better proof of inactivation with evidence obtained against 6, 7 or 8 different viruses. They wanted greater evidence shown by clinical

evaluation that the product remained equally effective and with no increase in side effects."

A little later on the same document, towards the bottom of that page, Mr Berry and Mr Coombes go on:

"You will recall that on receipt of both of these amendments [this is referring to Kryobulin and Prothromplex], we phoned and complained about the paucity of information, but you insisted that you were providing all that is needed. It is obvious that the American companies were lavish in supply of detail and our submission had compared most unfavourably. The nadir of our submission was the Gammabulin Product Licence Application."

That was an immunoglobulin.

"Since then our reputation for poor submissions has improved and in fact Endobulin has received a measure of commendation. The Kryobulin and especially the Prothromplex amendments have had a further adverse affect on our reputation."

This is a comment which is echoed in later correspondence about concerns expressed by those in Immuno Ltd about the amount of data, the amount of information, that was being provided to support applications by Immuno AG.

We go a little further down the page, we see,

112 (28) Pages 109 - 112

1 under the heading of "Pricing", on Factor VIII they 1 treated material and are trying to avoid a position 2 2 write: where they backed the wrong horse. Alpha are, 3 "We have been endeavouring to get 14p per unit, 3 however, selling this material at 14p and are hoping but Armour, Cutter and Alpha are selling at 12p. This 4 4 to increase their price to somewhere 15p and 20p." 5 morning we have learned that Hyland have re-entered 5 So that, sir, is a reflection of what was being 6 6 the fray at 10.5p. communicated between Immuno Ltd and Immuno AG at that 7 "We understand that you do not wish to reduce 7 8 8 the price to us in order to protect your German In a letter to doctors on 11 March 1985, 9 9 business. If, however, these competitors decide to Mr Nicholson notified them that Immuno could supply 10 attack you in Germany with lower prices there, then 10 heat-treated Kryobulin, which would henceforth be 11 will be no business there, nor any in Great Britain. 11 known as Kryobulin Heat-treated. So not TIM 2, the 12 "We are also losing established customers to 12 name that was given was Kryobulin Heat-treated. The 13 reference is PRSE0002530. 13 Alpha who maintain that their wet heated method 14 removes the risk of non A, non B hepatitis as well as 14 Moving forward to October 1985, there was 15 15 AIDS. This has the support of the Royal Free Hospital discussion within Immuno about proposing changing the 16 and St Thomas', both of whom have used the material 16 viral inactivation method from dry heat treatment to 17 for 6 months on virgin patients without transmitting 17 what was then described as steam heat treatment. 18 NANB." 18 A fax from Immuno AG to Immuno Ltd stated that this 19 The paragraph below that says: 19 was both because test results showed superior HTLV-III 20 "When the Ministry first contacted us concerning 20 inactivation through the use of steam treatment, and, 21 the issue of heated material, they made it clear they 21 and I quote, "for reasons of patent law". 22 22 The reference is SHPL0000050_013. You will were looking for dry heated products. They now say 23 23 that this was merely to avoid severe losses if they recall, sir, from some of the documents that we looked 24 had specified wet heated. It is our view, however, 24 at earlier that there was a concern that there 25 that they are embarrassed by the new claims for wet 25 wouldn't be patent protection for dry heated treatment 114 113

but there would be potentially for steam for wet heated treatment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For Kryobulin it was necessary to get a variation in the existing licence in order to provide a steam treated Factor VIII product, because the licence specified the dry heat method. The reference for that is SHPL0000050_011.

It may help at this point, sir, to go to a document which shows which products were available when in the UK, and on which basis.

Soumik, could we have SHPL0000066_001.

12 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I'm sorry just to ask you to pause 13 for a moment, because I'm just thinking about what 14 you've just told me about SHPL0000050 011, which was 15 that it indicated that the Immuno would have to show 16 that the wet heated Kryobulin was superior to the dry 17 heated.

MR HILL: Yes, sir, so it's -- in order to get the variation of the licence there was an expectation that you would have to explain why at it that you're now burying this licence which you obtained just some eight to ten months earlier. That would require them to show that the steam treated method was superior or -- certainly the phrase "superior" was used in the document, but --

SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I'm just, in my mind, puzzled by the

2 comparison between this application having to show

that the varied product was superior, and the

4 variation earlier on -- which we were discussing just

5 before lunch and immediately after -- as to the

6 variation of source material for the product, where no

7 such requirement seems to have been mentioned.

8 MR HILL: No, sir.

9 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: From what you've shown me from

the Act, it's not a requirement as such to show that

11 a product or licensing is superior to a previous 12

3

10

24

13 MR HILL: No, sir, I think this was an expectation within 14 Immuno Ltd about what they were going to need to

demonstrate based on their knowledge of how the

15 16 process worked. It wasn't a mandatory requirement.

17 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So it's a form of practical 18 reflection on what they saw or thought was happening,

19 which may or may not be right?

MR HILL: Yes. 20

21 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes, I see. Thank you.

22 MR HILL: The document that we have onscreen now, we can

23 see is sent from Immuno AG to Immuno Ltd, sent to

Mr Coombes. It's dated 20 December 1989. It attaches

25 a list of all copies of Kryobulin and Prothromplex

> 116 (29) Pages 113 - 116

4	Acute Maw acute this decument because it have	4	
1 2	texts. We'll come back this document because it helps us to understand how the warnings developed in the	1 2	products, despite the applications that we're going to come on to discuss.
3	data sheets.	3	But this document appears to show that that was
4	But at the end of the file at page 80,	4	nonetheless supplied, presumably on a named patient
5	please, Soumik we have a fax which was sent the	5	basis, possibly on a clinical trial basis.
6	other way, from Mr Coombes to Immuno AG. It again	6	The first application to switch to steam
7	refers to pack inserts and it comes from	7	treatment was made on 5 March 1986. The reference is
8	November 1989. And it is, seemingly, a list of the	8	MHRA0033320 040.
9	products that were available on the UK market, when	9	The pharmaceutical assessor raised several
10	they were available, and the status of those products.	10	points on receipt of the application, raised them with
11	We can see the Kryobulin, the non-treated	11	Immuno, and these included a request for further
12	product, which was licensed, was available until	12	information on the moisture content in the steam
13	December 1984. The dry heated Kryobulin was supplied	13	treatment process, and it was noted that the assessor
14	on an unlicensed basis between December 1984 to	14	was not convinced that steam treatment offers any
15	March 1985. That ties in with the dates that we've	15	•
16	just been discussing.	16	advantage over dry heat in terms of viral inactivation. The reference is SHPL0000065_028.
17	Then Kryobulin dry heated licensed, supplied	17	The Committee on Safety of Medicines
18	from March 1985 to December 1986. Then Kryobulin	18	
19			Subcommittee on Biological Materials assessed the application in July 1986. They considered that they
20	TIM 3 (steam), so this is a steam treated product, unlicensed, supplied from October 1986 "to date". The	19 20	
21	date of that is November 1989.	20	were unable to recommend the variation on the grounds
22		22	of quality and safety, and requested further
23	Without wishing to give away the next step in	22	information about the process and justification of the
23 24	the story, that shows that while Immuno retained	23 24	steam treatment. They also noted, and I quote here: "The term 'steam treatment' is a misnomer and is
	a licence for dry heated products in the UK, they did	24 25	
25	not, in the 1980s, have a licence for steam treated	25	unacceptable."
	117		118
1	The reference is MHRA0033320_044.	1	currently available from Immuno". He stressed that
2	The application was rejected on 11 July 1986,	2	the product for which the licence was sought was
3	SHPL0000271_008.	3	totally different, in terms of heat treatment and
4	We will come back to the terminology, steam	4	viral inactivation profiles, from that which had been
5	treatment or vapour treatment, when we come to look at	5	rejected in March 1986.
6	the packaging.	6	He stated that the concentration of HTLV-III was
7	Immuno prepared a variation application to	7	reduced by six log steps after vapour heating for
8	change to what was now termed a "vapour heated	8	three hours, but to ensure an even greater level of
9	product", after getting that rejection. The reference	9	safety, in his words, it was heated for a further
10	is SHPL0000141_220.	10	seven hours.
11	In a memo which is at that reference it was	11	The reference is MHRA0033319_017.
12	stated that:	12	The sum total of that is that the product was
13	"It [was] essential that we obtain the Kryobulin	13	heated for 10 hours at 60 degrees Celsius in the
14	licence amendment as soon as possible as we are now no	14	presence of moisture.
15	longer able to apply for Factor VIII contracts due to	15	The variation application included information
16	the fact that we do not have a licensed product."	16	that the donors were tested for antibodies for
17	The variation application the next variation	17	HTLV-III using FDA approved tests.
18	application was sent in a letter on 23 December 1986.	18	It was stated, and I quote here actually,,
19	The term used was "vapour heated product". It was	19	Soumik, can we have this on the screen, please,
20	a method 3 product, so a steam TIM 3 product. It was	20	MHRA0033320_006.
21	differentiated from the March 1986 variation, which	20	That, sir, is now I'm sure people will now
22	had been unsuccessful, and Mr Berry said in his	22	begin to recognise this as a variation application
23	submissions to the Department that the proposed	23	form. You can see that it's to vapour heated
23 24		23 24	Factor VIII, method 3.
44			
25	changes would introduce into the UK, in his words, "the most rigorously treated Factor VIII concentrate	25	If we could go, please, Soumik, to page 3 of

(30) Pages 117 - 120

1	that. This is what it said about the underneath	1	Licence for this preparation."
2	the headings "Precautions and warnings":	2	I won't go through all of the points which are
3	"The careful selection of donors and plasma and	3	raised as to why they came to that conclusion, but
4	the vapour heated treatment process which has been	4	I would highlight point 12 which is on the
5	shown capable of reducing artificially introduced	5	following page, Soumik.
6	HTLV-III by 6 log steps, suggests that in the light of	6	"There was concern regarding the transmission of
7	present knowledge the transmission of HTLV-III can be	7	Hepatitis B by this product."
8	excluded.	8	There was also a remark to the company from the
9	"The above measures will certainly reduce the	9	Subcommittee:
10	risk of transmission of viral hepatitis. This is	10	"In view of the number and importance of
11	being demonstrated by the fact that upwards of 20	11	variations contained in this application a fuller and
12	naive patients have been followed up by ALT tests for	12	clearer list of where changes in application and
13	4 months and have not acquired NANB infection but	13	quality control standards and procedures should have
14	transmission cannot be entirely ruled out."	14	been given."
15	So that is what was said or proposed to be said	15	Again, the complaint about Immuno not providing
16	in the warnings were an application to be granted.	16	sufficient information to back up the applications.
17	The application was considered by the CSM	17	Mr Coombes from Immuno Ltd wrote to Immuno AG on
18	Subcommittee on Biologicals in July 1987.	18	20 July 1987 to relay the fact that the variation was
19	Soumik, could we have up, please,	19	going to be rejected, and to give details of
20	MHRA0033319_044.	20	conversations he had had as to why it was going to be
21	You can see that the Subcommittee recommendation	21	rejected. One of the things that he said in that
22	was that:	22	letter is that the Subcommittee were currently looking
23	"On the evidence before [them], the Subcommittee	23	at products heated at 80 degrees for 72 hours, and
24	on grounds relating to quality and safety were unable	24	therefore products heated for 10 hours at 60 degrees
25		25	
20	to recommend the grant of the variation of the Product	20	were looked upon with great suspicion. He adds:
	121		122
4	NThe cooling and the state of the state of the state of	4	. South and an application to approve the application of the south
1	"They know that dry heated products at	1	a further an application to renew the existing dry
2	60 degrees for 30 hours have caused seroconversion in	2	heat-treated licence was made on 3 March 1988. Now,
2	60 degrees for 30 hours have caused seroconversion in the United Kingdom."	2 3	heat-treated licence was made on 3 March 1988. Now, the submission that accompanied the application
2 3 4	60 degrees for 30 hours have caused seroconversion in the United Kingdom." Which I take to be a reference to Factor VIII.	2 3 4	heat-treated licence was made on 3 March 1988. Now, the submission that accompanied the application pointed out that the dry-heated product was no longer
2 3 4 5	60 degrees for 30 hours have caused seroconversion in the United Kingdom." Which I take to be a reference to Factor VIII. We'll come on to that in due course. The reference is	2 3 4 5	heat-treated licence was made on 3 March 1988. Now, the submission that accompanied the application pointed out that the dry-heated product was no longer sold in the United Kingdom, and Immuno were currently
2 3 4 5 6	60 degrees for 30 hours have caused seroconversion in the United Kingdom." Which I take to be a reference to Factor VIII. We'll come on to that in due course. The reference is at SHPL0000141_151, and SHPL0000141_158.	2 3 4 5 6	heat-treated licence was made on 3 March 1988. Now, the submission that accompanied the application pointed out that the dry-heated product was no longer sold in the United Kingdom, and Immuno were currently preparing data for an abridged licence application for
2 3 4 5 6 7	60 degrees for 30 hours have caused seroconversion in the United Kingdom." Which I take to be a reference to Factor VIII. We'll come on to that in due course. The reference is at SHPL0000141_151, and SHPL0000141_158. There was a meeting between representatives of	2 3 4 5 6 7	heat-treated licence was made on 3 March 1988. Now, the submission that accompanied the application pointed out that the dry-heated product was no longer sold in the United Kingdom, and Immuno were currently preparing data for an abridged licence application for a vapour-heated product which they hoped to sell
2 3 4 5 6	60 degrees for 30 hours have caused seroconversion in the United Kingdom." Which I take to be a reference to Factor VIII. We'll come on to that in due course. The reference is at SHPL0000141_151, and SHPL0000141_158.	2 3 4 5 6	heat-treated licence was made on 3 March 1988. Now, the submission that accompanied the application pointed out that the dry-heated product was no longer sold in the United Kingdom, and Immuno were currently preparing data for an abridged licence application for
2 3 4 5 6 7	60 degrees for 30 hours have caused seroconversion in the United Kingdom." Which I take to be a reference to Factor VIII. We'll come on to that in due course. The reference is at SHPL0000141_151, and SHPL0000141_158. There was a meeting between representatives of Immuno Ltd and Immuno AG, and members of the Licensing Authority, to discuss the variation application, not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	heat-treated licence was made on 3 March 1988. Now, the submission that accompanied the application pointed out that the dry-heated product was no longer sold in the United Kingdom, and Immuno were currently preparing data for an abridged licence application for a vapour-heated product which they hoped to sell
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	60 degrees for 30 hours have caused seroconversion in the United Kingdom." Which I take to be a reference to Factor VIII. We'll come on to that in due course. The reference is at SHPL0000141_151, and SHPL0000141_158. There was a meeting between representatives of Immuno Ltd and Immuno AG, and members of the Licensing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	heat-treated licence was made on 3 March 1988. Now, the submission that accompanied the application pointed out that the dry-heated product was no longer sold in the United Kingdom, and Immuno were currently preparing data for an abridged licence application for a vapour-heated product which they hoped to sell instead. The references are MHRA0033319_001, and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	60 degrees for 30 hours have caused seroconversion in the United Kingdom." Which I take to be a reference to Factor VIII. We'll come on to that in due course. The reference is at SHPL0000141_151, and SHPL0000141_158. There was a meeting between representatives of Immuno Ltd and Immuno AG, and members of the Licensing Authority, to discuss the variation application, not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	heat-treated licence was made on 3 March 1988. Now, the submission that accompanied the application pointed out that the dry-heated product was no longer sold in the United Kingdom, and Immuno were currently preparing data for an abridged licence application for a vapour-heated product which they hoped to sell instead. The references are MHRA0033319_001, and the same stem, _ 002.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	60 degrees for 30 hours have caused seroconversion in the United Kingdom." Which I take to be a reference to Factor VIII. We'll come on to that in due course. The reference is at SHPL0000141_151, and SHPL0000141_158. There was a meeting between representatives of Immuno Ltd and Immuno AG, and members of the Licensing Authority, to discuss the variation application, not just Kryobulin but also Prothromplex and FEIBA. That	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	heat-treated licence was made on 3 March 1988. Now, the submission that accompanied the application pointed out that the dry-heated product was no longer sold in the United Kingdom, and Immuno were currently preparing data for an abridged licence application for a vapour-heated product which they hoped to sell instead. The references are MHRA0033319_001, and the same stem, _ 002. The point here, sir, is that they have the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	60 degrees for 30 hours have caused seroconversion in the United Kingdom." Which I take to be a reference to Factor VIII. We'll come on to that in due course. The reference is at SHPL0000141_151, and SHPL0000141_158. There was a meeting between representatives of Immuno Ltd and Immuno AG, and members of the Licensing Authority, to discuss the variation application, not just Kryobulin but also Prothromplex and FEIBA. That took place on 12 August 1987.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	heat-treated licence was made on 3 March 1988. Now, the submission that accompanied the application pointed out that the dry-heated product was no longer sold in the United Kingdom, and Immuno were currently preparing data for an abridged licence application for a vapour-heated product which they hoped to sell instead. The references are MHRA0033319_001, and the same stem,002. The point here, sir, is that they have the licence for a dry-heated product, even though they're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	60 degrees for 30 hours have caused seroconversion in the United Kingdom." Which I take to be a reference to Factor VIII. We'll come on to that in due course. The reference is at SHPL0000141_151, and SHPL0000141_158. There was a meeting between representatives of Immuno Ltd and Immuno AG, and members of the Licensing Authority, to discuss the variation application, not just Kryobulin but also Prothromplex and FEIBA. That took place on 12 August 1987. Immuno undertook to withdraw the applications	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	heat-treated licence was made on 3 March 1988. Now, the submission that accompanied the application pointed out that the dry-heated product was no longer sold in the United Kingdom, and Immuno were currently preparing data for an abridged licence application for a vapour-heated product which they hoped to sell instead. The references are MHRA0033319_001, and the same stem, _ 002. The point here, sir, is that they have the licence for a dry-heated product, even though they're not selling that product in the UK they want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	60 degrees for 30 hours have caused seroconversion in the United Kingdom." Which I take to be a reference to Factor VIII. We'll come on to that in due course. The reference is at SHPL0000141_151, and SHPL0000141_158. There was a meeting between representatives of Immuno Ltd and Immuno AG, and members of the Licensing Authority, to discuss the variation application, not just Kryobulin but also Prothromplex and FEIBA. That took place on 12 August 1987. Immuno undertook to withdraw the applications and agreed to submit an abridged product licence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	heat-treated licence was made on 3 March 1988. Now, the submission that accompanied the application pointed out that the dry-heated product was no longer sold in the United Kingdom, and Immuno were currently preparing data for an abridged licence application for a vapour-heated product which they hoped to sell instead. The references are MHRA0033319_001, and the same stem, _ 002. The point here, sir, is that they have the licence for a dry-heated product, even though they're not selling that product in the UK they want to maintain the licence so that they can then apply to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	60 degrees for 30 hours have caused seroconversion in the United Kingdom." Which I take to be a reference to Factor VIII. We'll come on to that in due course. The reference is at SHPL0000141_151, and SHPL0000141_158. There was a meeting between representatives of Immuno Ltd and Immuno AG, and members of the Licensing Authority, to discuss the variation application, not just Kryobulin but also Prothromplex and FEIBA. That took place on 12 August 1987. Immuno undertook to withdraw the applications and agreed to submit an abridged product licence application for Kryobulin, including expert reports.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	heat-treated licence was made on 3 March 1988. Now, the submission that accompanied the application pointed out that the dry-heated product was no longer sold in the United Kingdom, and Immuno were currently preparing data for an abridged licence application for a vapour-heated product which they hoped to sell instead. The references are MHRA0033319_001, and the same stem, _002. The point here, sir, is that they have the licence for a dry-heated product, even though they're not selling that product in the UK they want to maintain the licence so that they can then apply to vary it to produce the steam-treated product, rather
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	60 degrees for 30 hours have caused seroconversion in the United Kingdom." Which I take to be a reference to Factor VIII. We'll come on to that in due course. The reference is at SHPL0000141_151, and SHPL0000141_158. There was a meeting between representatives of Immuno Ltd and Immuno AG, and members of the Licensing Authority, to discuss the variation application, not just Kryobulin but also Prothromplex and FEIBA. That took place on 12 August 1987. Immuno undertook to withdraw the applications and agreed to submit an abridged product licence application for Kryobulin, including expert reports. The reference is MHRA0033319_040, and SHPL0000141_136. The formal rejection of the application came on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	heat-treated licence was made on 3 March 1988. Now, the submission that accompanied the application pointed out that the dry-heated product was no longer sold in the United Kingdom, and Immuno were currently preparing data for an abridged licence application for a vapour-heated product which they hoped to sell instead. The references are MHRA0033319_001, and the same stem,002. The point here, sir, is that they have the licence for a dry-heated product, even though they're not selling that product in the UK they want to maintain the licence so that they can then apply to vary it to produce the steam-treated product, rather than starting from scratch and putting in a new licence application. It should be noted that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	60 degrees for 30 hours have caused seroconversion in the United Kingdom." Which I take to be a reference to Factor VIII. We'll come on to that in due course. The reference is at SHPL0000141_151, and SHPL0000141_158. There was a meeting between representatives of Immuno Ltd and Immuno AG, and members of the Licensing Authority, to discuss the variation application, not just Kryobulin but also Prothromplex and FEIBA. That took place on 12 August 1987. Immuno undertook to withdraw the applications and agreed to submit an abridged product licence application for Kryobulin, including expert reports. The reference is MHRA0033319_040, and SHPL0000141_136. The formal rejection of the application came on 16 September 1987, the reference is MHRA0033321_021.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	heat-treated licence was made on 3 March 1988. Now, the submission that accompanied the application pointed out that the dry-heated product was no longer sold in the United Kingdom, and Immuno were currently preparing data for an abridged licence application for a vapour-heated product which they hoped to sell instead. The references are MHRA0033319_001, and the same stem,002. The point here, sir, is that they have the licence for a dry-heated product, even though they're not selling that product in the UK they want to maintain the licence so that they can then apply to vary it to produce the steam-treated product, rather than starting from scratch and putting in a new licence application. It should be noted that the Licensing Authority were made fully aware of the fact
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	60 degrees for 30 hours have caused seroconversion in the United Kingdom." Which I take to be a reference to Factor VIII. We'll come on to that in due course. The reference is at SHPL0000141_151, and SHPL0000141_158. There was a meeting between representatives of Immuno Ltd and Immuno AG, and members of the Licensing Authority, to discuss the variation application, not just Kryobulin but also Prothromplex and FEIBA. That took place on 12 August 1987. Immuno undertook to withdraw the applications and agreed to submit an abridged product licence application for Kryobulin, including expert reports. The reference is MHRA0033319_040, and SHPL0000141_136. The formal rejection of the application came on 16 September 1987, the reference is MHRA0033321_021. I'm not going to go through those documents,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	heat-treated licence was made on 3 March 1988. Now, the submission that accompanied the application pointed out that the dry-heated product was no longer sold in the United Kingdom, and Immuno were currently preparing data for an abridged licence application for a vapour-heated product which they hoped to sell instead. The references are MHRA0033319_001, and the same stem,002. The point here, sir, is that they have the licence for a dry-heated product, even though they're not selling that product in the UK they want to maintain the licence so that they can then apply to vary it to produce the steam-treated product, rather than starting from scratch and putting in a new licence application. It should be noted that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	60 degrees for 30 hours have caused seroconversion in the United Kingdom." Which I take to be a reference to Factor VIII. We'll come on to that in due course. The reference is at SHPL0000141_151, and SHPL0000141_158. There was a meeting between representatives of Immuno Ltd and Immuno AG, and members of the Licensing Authority, to discuss the variation application, not just Kryobulin but also Prothromplex and FEIBA. That took place on 12 August 1987. Immuno undertook to withdraw the applications and agreed to submit an abridged product licence application for Kryobulin, including expert reports. The reference is MHRA0033319_040, and SHPL0000141_136. The formal rejection of the application came on 16 September 1987, the reference is MHRA0033321_021. I'm not going to go through those documents, sir, but the references are there, and if people are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	heat-treated licence was made on 3 March 1988. Now, the submission that accompanied the application pointed out that the dry-heated product was no longer sold in the United Kingdom, and Immuno were currently preparing data for an abridged licence application for a vapour-heated product which they hoped to sell instead. The references are MHRA0033319_001, and the same stem, _002. The point here, sir, is that they have the licence for a dry-heated product, even though they're not selling that product in the UK they want to maintain the licence so that they can then apply to vary it to produce the steam-treated product, rather than starting from scratch and putting in a new licence application. It should be noted that the Licensing Authority were made fully aware of the fact that this is the approach that they were seeking to take.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the United Kingdom." Which I take to be a reference to Factor VIII. We'll come on to that in due course. The reference is at SHPL0000141_151, and SHPL0000141_158. There was a meeting between representatives of Immuno Ltd and Immuno AG, and members of the Licensing Authority, to discuss the variation application, not just Kryobulin but also Prothromplex and FEIBA. That took place on 12 August 1987. Immuno undertook to withdraw the applications and agreed to submit an abridged product licence application for Kryobulin, including expert reports. The reference is MHRA0033319_040, and SHPL0000141_136. The formal rejection of the application came on 16 September 1987, the reference is MHRA0033321_021. I'm not going to go through those documents, sir, but the references are there, and if people are interested in how the licensing process worked and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	heat-treated licence was made on 3 March 1988. Now, the submission that accompanied the application pointed out that the dry-heated product was no longer sold in the United Kingdom, and Immuno were currently preparing data for an abridged licence application for a vapour-heated product which they hoped to sell instead. The references are MHRA0033319_001, and the same stem, _ 002. The point here, sir, is that they have the licence for a dry-heated product, even though they're not selling that product in the UK they want to maintain the licence so that they can then apply to vary it to produce the steam-treated product, rather than starting from scratch and putting in a new licence application. It should be noted that the Licensing Authority were made fully aware of the fact that this is the approach that they were seeking to take. Soumik, if we could have onscreen, please,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	60 degrees for 30 hours have caused seroconversion in the United Kingdom." Which I take to be a reference to Factor VIII. We'll come on to that in due course. The reference is at SHPL0000141_151, and SHPL0000141_158. There was a meeting between representatives of Immuno Ltd and Immuno AG, and members of the Licensing Authority, to discuss the variation application, not just Kryobulin but also Prothromplex and FEIBA. That took place on 12 August 1987. Immuno undertook to withdraw the applications and agreed to submit an abridged product licence application for Kryobulin, including expert reports. The reference is MHRA0033319_040, and SHPL0000141_136. The formal rejection of the application came on 16 September 1987, the reference is MHRA0033321_021. I'm not going to go through those documents, sir, but the references are there, and if people are interested in how the licensing process worked and the way in which discussions took place between	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	heat-treated licence was made on 3 March 1988. Now, the submission that accompanied the application pointed out that the dry-heated product was no longer sold in the United Kingdom, and Immuno were currently preparing data for an abridged licence application for a vapour-heated product which they hoped to sell instead. The references are MHRA0033319_001, and the same stem, _ 002. The point here, sir, is that they have the licence for a dry-heated product, even though they're not selling that product in the UK they want to maintain the licence so that they can then apply to vary it to produce the steam-treated product, rather than starting from scratch and putting in a new licence application. It should be noted that the Licensing Authority were made fully aware of the fact that this is the approach that they were seeking to take. Soumik, if we could have onscreen, please, SHPL0000141_097. The situation as of 7 July 1988, as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the United Kingdom." Which I take to be a reference to Factor VIII. We'll come on to that in due course. The reference is at SHPL0000141_151, and SHPL0000141_158. There was a meeting between representatives of Immuno Ltd and Immuno AG, and members of the Licensing Authority, to discuss the variation application, not just Kryobulin but also Prothromplex and FEIBA. That took place on 12 August 1987. Immuno undertook to withdraw the applications and agreed to submit an abridged product licence application for Kryobulin, including expert reports. The reference is MHRA0033319_040, and SHPL0000141_136. The formal rejection of the application came on 16 September 1987, the reference is MHRA0033321_021. I'm not going to go through those documents, sir, but the references are there, and if people are interested in how the licensing process worked and the way in which discussions took place between representatives of the company and representatives of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	heat-treated licence was made on 3 March 1988. Now, the submission that accompanied the application pointed out that the dry-heated product was no longer sold in the United Kingdom, and Immuno were currently preparing data for an abridged licence application for a vapour-heated product which they hoped to sell instead. The references are MHRA0033319_001, and the same stem,002. The point here, sir, is that they have the licence for a dry-heated product, even though they're not selling that product in the UK they want to maintain the licence so that they can then apply to vary it to produce the steam-treated product, rather than starting from scratch and putting in a new licence application. It should be noted that the Licensing Authority were made fully aware of the fact that this is the approach that they were seeking to take. Soumik, if we could have onscreen, please, SHPL0000141_097. The situation as of 7 July 1988, as we understand it from the documents that we have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the United Kingdom." Which I take to be a reference to Factor VIII. We'll come on to that in due course. The reference is at SHPL0000141_151, and SHPL0000141_158. There was a meeting between representatives of Immuno Ltd and Immuno AG, and members of the Licensing Authority, to discuss the variation application, not just Kryobulin but also Prothromplex and FEIBA. That took place on 12 August 1987. Immuno undertook to withdraw the applications and agreed to submit an abridged product licence application for Kryobulin, including expert reports. The reference is MHRA0033319_040, and SHPL0000141_136. The formal rejection of the application came on 16 September 1987, the reference is MHRA0033321_021. I'm not going to go through those documents, sir, but the references are there, and if people are interested in how the licensing process worked and the way in which discussions took place between representatives of the company and representatives of the Licensing Authority, those documents provide some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	heat-treated licence was made on 3 March 1988. Now, the submission that accompanied the application pointed out that the dry-heated product was no longer sold in the United Kingdom, and Immuno were currently preparing data for an abridged licence application for a vapour-heated product which they hoped to sell instead. The references are MHRA0033319_001, and the same stem,002. The point here, sir, is that they have the licence for a dry-heated product, even though they're not selling that product in the UK they want to maintain the licence so that they can then apply to vary it to produce the steam-treated product, rather than starting from scratch and putting in a new licence application. It should be noted that the Licensing Authority were made fully aware of the fact that this is the approach that they were seeking to take. Soumik, if we could have onscreen, please, SHPL0000141_097. The situation as of 7 July 1988, as we understand it from the documents that we have looked at, is that Immuno Ltd were still providing UK
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the United Kingdom." Which I take to be a reference to Factor VIII. We'll come on to that in due course. The reference is at SHPL0000141_151, and SHPL0000141_158. There was a meeting between representatives of Immuno Ltd and Immuno AG, and members of the Licensing Authority, to discuss the variation application, not just Kryobulin but also Prothromplex and FEIBA. That took place on 12 August 1987. Immuno undertook to withdraw the applications and agreed to submit an abridged product licence application for Kryobulin, including expert reports. The reference is MHRA0033319_040, and SHPL0000141_136. The formal rejection of the application came on 16 September 1987, the reference is MHRA0033321_021. I'm not going to go through those documents, sir, but the references are there, and if people are interested in how the licensing process worked and the way in which discussions took place between representatives of the company and representatives of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	heat-treated licence was made on 3 March 1988. Now, the submission that accompanied the application pointed out that the dry-heated product was no longer sold in the United Kingdom, and Immuno were currently preparing data for an abridged licence application for a vapour-heated product which they hoped to sell instead. The references are MHRA0033319_001, and the same stem,002. The point here, sir, is that they have the licence for a dry-heated product, even though they're not selling that product in the UK they want to maintain the licence so that they can then apply to vary it to produce the steam-treated product, rather than starting from scratch and putting in a new licence application. It should be noted that the Licensing Authority were made fully aware of the fact that this is the approach that they were seeking to take. Soumik, if we could have onscreen, please, SHPL0000141_097. The situation as of 7 July 1988, as we understand it from the documents that we have

(31) Pages 121 - 124

1	in the UK at that time, but it was unlicensed steam	1	FEIBA being a different product.
2	treated product, so presumably it was being provided	2	So we could see there that steam-treated
3	on a named-patient basis, possibly on a clinical trial	3	Kryobulin was still being provided, but there were
4	basis. What is said in this letter to Dr Schwarz is	4	concerns amongst Immuno Ltd that its market share was
5	as follows:	5	diminishing rapidly.
6	"We have been informed this week that the	6	There are further letters I won't go through
7	Regional Haemophilia Directors in the UK have sent	7	them all, I will give the references in a second
8	a circular letter to all Haemophilia Centres informing	8	the general tenor of which is Immuno Ltd contacting
9	that the only Factor VIII products to be used in the	9	Immuno AG, urging the need to sort out licence
10	UK are as follows, in order of priority:	10	applications and to get a licence in order to protect
11	"1. Factor VIIIY [from] BPL	11	market share for Kryobulin within the UK.
12	"2. Haemate P [from] Behring	12	The references are SHPL0000141_086, the letter
13	"3. Profilate [from] Alpha	13	dated 27 September 1988; SHPL0000010_005; and
14	"A customer of ours who has constantly been in	14	SHPL0000106_165. That final reference contains a note
15	touch with us to establish when we are going to obtain	15	from Mr Coombes to Immuno AG saying that their current
16	a Licence, has contacted one of the Regional	16	licences could be cancelled, these are his words:
17	Haemophilia Directors in response to this letter and	17	" if the DHSS realise that Kryobulin and
18	has been informed that if she uses unlicensed products	18	FEIBA are dry heated and Prothromplex is
19	then she will not have any backing from the	19	non-heat-treated."
20	Haemophilia Directors and must take sole	20	So he's concerned about the cancellation of
21	responsibility for its use. She is, therefore, now	21	those licences. It should be added that the
22	having to decide whether to change to another product.	22	dry-heated Kryobulin was not being sold even though
23	We will have to be very careful that this situation	23	the licence still existed.
24	does not sent to Feiba as this currently provides	24	There was an abridged product licence for
25	a very important part of our turnover."	25	Kryobulin was made on 24 May 1990, so sometime after
	125		126

those initial letters. The reference is SHPL0000068_001. This was, again, referred to as a "method 3 product".

So that application was made on 24 May 1990. The next correspondence that we have, which is relevant to the licensing, comes from 30 April 1991, so nearly a year later, and it is from the Medicines Control Agency, who, as we know have taken on the work of the Medicines Division. That renewed the existing Kryobulin heat-treated licence. So we understand that to be a renewal of the dry heat-treated product. That is SHPL0000067_001.

The application to vary that licence to a vapour-heated product was considered by the Committee on Safety of Medicines on 27 June 1991, and on 8 July 1991 they informed Immuno that they were unable to advise the grant of a product licence on grounds relating to safety and quality. The reference is MHRA0034575_058. Several reasons were cited, including concern over the transmission of hepatitis B and non-A, non-B hepatitis and also, in quotes, "the risk/benefit ratio was unacceptable".

Mr Coombes subsequently wrote to Immuno AG on 31 July 1991, the reference is SHPL0000106_094. What he said in the letter was, and I quote: **

"I suspect that six log steps is no longer acceptable to the Licensing Authorities, and we will not be able to show a significant improvement from dry heat."

He noted in the letter that: **

"By contrast, another product, Monoclate was claiming a reduction of HTLV spiked virus over 15 log steps."

So the concern is expressed by Mr Coombes in this letter, in effect, that the viral inactivation method that Immuno were seeking to have licensed, compared unfavourably with those that, by that time, were on the market and being considered by the Licensing Authority. He thought that they were going to have great difficulty in getting a licence.

There were further meetings with the Medicines Control Agency, SHPL0000106_080, in which there was a discussion about why the Medicines Control Agency were reluctant to grant the licence. I won't go through it, people are invited to consider and read it again as it shows an insight into how this works. But, to sum it up shortly, it's fair to say that the MCA were not persuaded that vapour heating was effective. They said that there was a spectrum between, on the one hand, dry-heated products at the

(32) Pages 125 - 128

1	lesser end of effectiveness, and what was referred to	1	going forward. I am about to turn to Prothromplex.
2	as pasturisation, so that's heating in a solution, at	2	I'm conscious of the time, I don't know if you wish me
3	the other end, which was safer, and the MCA considered	3	to continue or to take a break at this stage.
4	that steam treatment was vapour treatment was	4	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Well, if it's a convenient moment to
5	somewhere in the middle and they couldn't quite place	5	take a break, yes, we'll take a break until 3.20.
6	where on the scale it was. That was one of the	6	MR HILL: Thank you, sir.
7	reasons why they were not allowing the application.	7	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Make it 3.25, to allow people time.
8	As we move into 1992, I won't go through all of	8	25 past.
9	the correspondence, there was a decision taken that	9	(3.01 pm)
10	the Kryobulin heat-treated licence should be given up,	10	(A short break)
11	and an acceptance that there was no way that they	11	(3.25 pm)
12	could be used in the future by Immuno Ltd, and	12	MR HILL: Sir, we turn now to Prothromplex, which was the
13	an acceptance, it seems, that the steam-treated	13	Factor IX product. Serological Products Ltd applied
14	product sorry, the vapour-treated product was not	14	from a product licence for Prothromplex on
15	going to get through the Licensing Authority. The	15	20 December 1972, SHPL0000197_078. It was stated that
16	references are SHPL0000148_001; SHPL0000067_006; and	16	it was manufactured in Austria and would be imported
17	SHPL0000067_005.	17	into the United Kingdom. The warning was given in
18	The licences for Kryobulin heat-treated and	18	similar to terms that we've seen before, and I quote:
19	indeed for Prothromplex were cancelled by the MCA on	19	"Despite the precautions taken in the selection
20	14 April 1992.	20	of donors the risk of transmission of homologous serum
21	That, sir, is the story of Kryobulin. It's been	21	hepatitis cannot be entirely excluded."
22	slow going but hopefully, having seen some of these	22	That is SHPL0000197_092. At the same time there
23	documents, there will be no need to go back to them	23	was an application for a clinical trial certificate
24	and there will be a greater understanding of how the	24	for Prothromplex for a particular for its use in
25	process works and we'll pick up some pace, I hope,	25	a particular purpose. That's SHPL0000665_019. So two

applications: product licence and clinical trial certificate.

The application was successful and a licence was issued in August 1973. One document that falls between the two periods, which is of interest, is at SHPL0000665_142, if we have that onscreen, please, Soumik. This is a letter or a fax sent from Mr Berry to Dr Eibl on 17 March 1973 and in relation to Bebulin, which I understand to be an immunoglobulin, and Prothromplex. It says:

"The committee of Safety of Medicines ask that we

"Test the final products for absence of HAA ..."

Which is Hepatitis Associated Antigens, so as well as testing for donors you test for final product as well.

If we look at point 7:

"The Committee sees the justification of some risk of hepatitis in treating a haemophiliac who would otherwise die from Haemorrhage."

So we can see the risk/benefit analysis as reported by Mr Berry as having been considered.

The licence, as I say, was issued on 14 August 1973, SHPL0000197_078, and the clinical trial certificate was also issued on the same date,

SHPL0000665_019. The formal licence was issued on 10 December 1973, SHPL0000197_004. So the same process again, but a letter of intent to issue a licence stands as the licence.

It is sometimes said or thought, sir, that the United Kingdom was practically self-sufficient in Factor IX, but we can see from Prothromplex that the product was used in the United Kingdom for the treatment of patients who required Factor IX.

If we look at BAYP0000022_069. This is a document, we don't know the hospital from which this comes but, for reasons I'll explain, we suspect it comes from a Scottish hospital. It comes from a department of haematology and blood transfusion and it the gives totals of products used in 1975. We can see the Factor VIII there lists cryoprecipitate, Hemofil, Kryobulin and Edinburgh concentrate (PFC), which is why we suspect this probably, given that it's in 1975, is a Scottish hospital. If we go down, we can see Factor IX -- sorry, just go up a little there, Soumik, thank you.

So the Factor IX totals, by the far most used product is Edinburgh Defix, provided by the Protein Fractionation Centre, 403,600 units. Prothromplex, stated as being from Immuno in Vienna, is 30,000

e, 25 stated as being from

1	international units. So we can see that the product	1	looked at earlier, sir, this is the DHSS document
2	is being used.	2	collating the information that was providing in
3	Indeed, if we look at OXUH0000212_002 sorry,	3	response to Dr Walford's questionnaire of the
4	OXUH0000212_002. Thanks. These are the annual	4	manufacturers. If we go to page 2, please, Soumik.
5	returns of 1978, as collected by the UK Haemophilia	5	This is dated 28 June 1983. If we look at the heading
6	Centres, and collected, in particular, by the Oxford	6	for Immuno, we can see annual sales for Prothromplex
7	centre. If we look at page 3, please, Soumik. We can	7	of 0.8 million international units, and it is stated
8	see that Prothromplex is listed as one of the	8	to be for Factor IX, although this is a table which
9	materials used for haemophilia A patients with	9	doesn't go into a great detail, it may also have been
10	Factor VIII antibodies. So for antibody patients	10	being used for Factor VIII inhibitor patients.
11	12,000 units of Prothromplex have been used.	11	Interestingly, in this table, it is stated that
12	If we could go to page 5, please, Soumik. While	12	Prothromplex is made from European or US plasma.
13	we are on this document and because we will be coming	13	Now, we don't know the basis for why that is
14	it to later, we can see at the same time for FEIBA,	14	said. It's something that we will continue to
15	this is for Factor VIII antibody patients,	15	investigate. But you will have seen from the previous
16	1.928 million units of FEIBA used at this time, and	16	reference, September 1981, the understanding was that
17	we'll come back to that in due course.	17	there was permission only to use European plasma. It
18	The Prothromplex product licence was renewed on	18	could be a mistake on the table, we don't know. But
19	17 August 1978, SHPL0000377, and in September 1981 we	19	that is something that we will continue to examine.
20	have a letter from Mr Berry which states that	20	Again, I note FEIBA there, 1.5 million
21	Immuno AG "only have permission to use European plasma	21	international units provided in 1983 on an annual
22	for Prothromplex". That is SHPL0000271_040. So only	22	basis.
23	European plasma at that time, 1981.	23	By November 1984, Immuno were preparing to
24	If we could have onscreen, please,	24	submit a variation for Prothromplex licence, which was
25	DHSC0002229_055, this is the same document that we	25	for a dry heated product, heated at 10 hours at
	133	20	134
	100		104
1	80 degrees So this was what was known as the TIM 4	1	Even though that application was unsuccessful
1 2	80 degrees. So this was what was known as the TIM 4, the method 4 dry heated product.	1 2	Even though that application was unsuccessful, there is evidence that Prothromplex TIM 4, the dry
2	the method 4 dry heated product.	2	there is evidence that Prothromplex TIM 4, the dry
2 3	the method 4 dry heated product. The reference is SHPL0000168_020,	2 3	there is evidence that Prothromplex TIM 4, the dry heated TIM 4 product, was nonetheless available in the
2 3 4	the method 4 dry heated product. The reference is SHPL0000168_020, SHPL0000168_022, and SHPL0000068_070.	2 3 4	there is evidence that Prothromplex TIM 4, the dry heated TIM 4 product, was nonetheless available in the United Kingdom, presumably on a named patient basis.
2 3 4 5	the method 4 dry heated product. The reference is SHPL0000168_020, SHPL0000168_022, and SHPL0000068_070. It's not entirely clear when the variation was	2 3 4 5	there is evidence that Prothromplex TIM 4, the dry heated TIM 4 product, was nonetheless available in the United Kingdom, presumably on a named patient basis. Could we have this onscreen, please, Soumik
2 3 4 5 6	the method 4 dry heated product. The reference is SHPL0000168_020, SHPL0000168_022, and SHPL0000068_070. It's not entirely clear when the variation was submitted. It was being prepared in November '84, we	2 3 4 5 6	there is evidence that Prothromplex TIM 4, the dry heated TIM 4 product, was nonetheless available in the United Kingdom, presumably on a named patient basis. Could we have this onscreen, please, Soumik SHPL0000067_054.
2 3 4 5 6 7	the method 4 dry heated product. The reference is SHPL0000168_020, SHPL0000168_022, and SHPL0000068_070. It's not entirely clear when the variation was submitted. It was being prepared in November '84, we don't quite know when it was submitted, but we do have	2 3 4 5 6 7	there is evidence that Prothromplex TIM 4, the dry heated TIM 4 product, was nonetheless available in the United Kingdom, presumably on a named patient basis. Could we have this onscreen, please, Soumik SHPL0000067_054. We have a fax from Mr Nicholson of Immuno Ltd to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the method 4 dry heated product. The reference is SHPL0000168_020, SHPL0000168_022, and SHPL0000068_070. It's not entirely clear when the variation was submitted. It was being prepared in November '84, we don't quite know when it was submitted, but we do have a note from 5 March 1985 to say that the application	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	there is evidence that Prothromplex TIM 4, the dry heated TIM 4 product, was nonetheless available in the United Kingdom, presumably on a named patient basis. Could we have this onscreen, please, Soumik SHPL0000067_054. We have a fax from Mr Nicholson of Immuno Ltd to Immuno AG, 21 December 1984, particularly to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the method 4 dry heated product. The reference is SHPL0000168_020, SHPL0000168_022, and SHPL0000068_070. It's not entirely clear when the variation was submitted. It was being prepared in November '84, we don't quite know when it was submitted, but we do have a note from 5 March 1985 to say that the application had been rejected. The reference is SHPL0000048_026.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	there is evidence that Prothromplex TIM 4, the dry heated TIM 4 product, was nonetheless available in the United Kingdom, presumably on a named patient basis. Could we have this onscreen, please, Soumik SHPL0000067_054. We have a fax from Mr Nicholson of Immuno Ltd to Immuno AG, 21 December 1984, particularly to Mrs Diernhofer of the licensing department. It says:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the method 4 dry heated product. The reference is SHPL0000168_020, SHPL0000168_022, and SHPL0000068_070. It's not entirely clear when the variation was submitted. It was being prepared in November '84, we don't quite know when it was submitted, but we do have a note from 5 March 1985 to say that the application had been rejected. The reference is SHPL0000048_026. We looked earlier, sir, at some of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	there is evidence that Prothromplex TIM 4, the dry heated TIM 4 product, was nonetheless available in the United Kingdom, presumably on a named patient basis. Could we have this onscreen, please, Soumik SHPL0000067_054. We have a fax from Mr Nicholson of Immuno Ltd to Immuno AG, 21 December 1984, particularly to Mrs Diernhofer of the licensing department. It says: "Thank you for your combined efforts in getting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the method 4 dry heated product. The reference is SHPL0000168_020, SHPL0000168_022, and SHPL0000068_070. It's not entirely clear when the variation was submitted. It was being prepared in November '84, we don't quite know when it was submitted, but we do have a note from 5 March 1985 to say that the application had been rejected. The reference is SHPL0000048_026. We looked earlier, sir, at some of the documentation about the urgency with which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	there is evidence that Prothromplex TIM 4, the dry heated TIM 4 product, was nonetheless available in the United Kingdom, presumably on a named patient basis. Could we have this onscreen, please, Soumik SHPL0000067_054. We have a fax from Mr Nicholson of Immuno Ltd to Immuno AG, 21 December 1984, particularly to Mrs Diernhofer of the licensing department. It says: "Thank you for your combined efforts in getting new heat-treated packaging done for Kryobulin, FEIBA
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the method 4 dry heated product. The reference is SHPL0000168_020, SHPL0000168_022, and SHPL0000068_070. It's not entirely clear when the variation was submitted. It was being prepared in November '84, we don't quite know when it was submitted, but we do have a note from 5 March 1985 to say that the application had been rejected. The reference is SHPL0000048_026. We looked earlier, sir, at some of the documentation about the urgency with which heat-treated products were being approved at that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	there is evidence that Prothromplex TIM 4, the dry heated TIM 4 product, was nonetheless available in the United Kingdom, presumably on a named patient basis. Could we have this onscreen, please, Soumik SHPL0000067_054. We have a fax from Mr Nicholson of Immuno Ltd to Immuno AG, 21 December 1984, particularly to Mrs Diernhofer of the licensing department. It says: "Thank you for your combined efforts in getting new heat-treated packaging done for Kryobulin, FEIBA and Prothromplex. Our initial stocks have not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the method 4 dry heated product. The reference is SHPL0000168_020, SHPL0000168_022, and SHPL0000068_070. It's not entirely clear when the variation was submitted. It was being prepared in November '84, we don't quite know when it was submitted, but we do have a note from 5 March 1985 to say that the application had been rejected. The reference is SHPL0000048_026. We looked earlier, sir, at some of the documentation about the urgency with which heat-treated products were being approved at that time, in terms of Factor VIII. But despite the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there is evidence that Prothromplex TIM 4, the dry heated TIM 4 product, was nonetheless available in the United Kingdom, presumably on a named patient basis. Could we have this onscreen, please, Soumik SHPL0000067_054. We have a fax from Mr Nicholson of Immuno Ltd to Immuno AG, 21 December 1984, particularly to Mrs Diernhofer of the licensing department. It says: "Thank you for your combined efforts in getting new heat-treated packaging done for Kryobulin, FEIBA and Prothromplex. Our initial stocks have not arrived."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the method 4 dry heated product. The reference is SHPL0000168_020, SHPL0000168_022, and SHPL0000068_070. It's not entirely clear when the variation was submitted. It was being prepared in November '84, we don't quite know when it was submitted, but we do have a note from 5 March 1985 to say that the application had been rejected. The reference is SHPL0000048_026. We looked earlier, sir, at some of the documentation about the urgency with which heat-treated products were being approved at that time, in terms of Factor VIII. But despite the application taking place in exactly the same period,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there is evidence that Prothromplex TIM 4, the dry heated TIM 4 product, was nonetheless available in the United Kingdom, presumably on a named patient basis. Could we have this onscreen, please, Soumik SHPL0000067_054. We have a fax from Mr Nicholson of Immuno Ltd to Immuno AG, 21 December 1984, particularly to Mrs Diernhofer of the licensing department. It says: "Thank you for your combined efforts in getting new heat-treated packaging done for Kryobulin, FEIBA and Prothromplex. Our initial stocks have not arrived." Then it says:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the method 4 dry heated product. The reference is SHPL0000168_020, SHPL0000168_022, and SHPL0000068_070. It's not entirely clear when the variation was submitted. It was being prepared in November '84, we don't quite know when it was submitted, but we do have a note from 5 March 1985 to say that the application had been rejected. The reference is SHPL0000048_026. We looked earlier, sir, at some of the documentation about the urgency with which heat-treated products were being approved at that time, in terms of Factor VIII. But despite the application taking place in exactly the same period, the Prothromplex application was refused, and one of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	there is evidence that Prothromplex TIM 4, the dry heated TIM 4 product, was nonetheless available in the United Kingdom, presumably on a named patient basis. Could we have this onscreen, please, Soumik SHPL0000067_054. We have a fax from Mr Nicholson of Immuno Ltd to Immuno AG, 21 December 1984, particularly to Mrs Diernhofer of the licensing department. It says: "Thank you for your combined efforts in getting new heat-treated packaging done for Kryobulin, FEIBA and Prothromplex. Our initial stocks have not arrived." Then it says: "We were slightly concerned that the PL and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the method 4 dry heated product. The reference is SHPL0000168_020, SHPL0000168_022, and SHPL0000068_070. It's not entirely clear when the variation was submitted. It was being prepared in November '84, we don't quite know when it was submitted, but we do have a note from 5 March 1985 to say that the application had been rejected. The reference is SHPL0000048_026. We looked earlier, sir, at some of the documentation about the urgency with which heat-treated products were being approved at that time, in terms of Factor VIII. But despite the application taking place in exactly the same period, the Prothromplex application was refused, and one of the reasons given was the need for, and I quote	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	there is evidence that Prothromplex TIM 4, the dry heated TIM 4 product, was nonetheless available in the United Kingdom, presumably on a named patient basis. Could we have this onscreen, please, Soumik SHPL0000067_054. We have a fax from Mr Nicholson of Immuno Ltd to Immuno AG, 21 December 1984, particularly to Mrs Diernhofer of the licensing department. It says: "Thank you for your combined efforts in getting new heat-treated packaging done for Kryobulin, FEIBA and Prothromplex. Our initial stocks have not arrived." Then it says: "We were slightly concerned that the PL and PA nos. [so that's product licence and product
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the method 4 dry heated product. The reference is SHPL0000168_020, SHPL0000168_022, and SHPL0000068_070. It's not entirely clear when the variation was submitted. It was being prepared in November '84, we don't quite know when it was submitted, but we do have a note from 5 March 1985 to say that the application had been rejected. The reference is SHPL0000048_026. We looked earlier, sir, at some of the documentation about the urgency with which heat-treated products were being approved at that time, in terms of Factor VIII. But despite the application taking place in exactly the same period, the Prothromplex application was refused, and one of the reasons given was the need for, and I quote "clinical proof that heat treating does not cause	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	there is evidence that Prothromplex TIM 4, the dry heated TIM 4 product, was nonetheless available in the United Kingdom, presumably on a named patient basis. Could we have this onscreen, please, Soumik SHPL0000067_054. We have a fax from Mr Nicholson of Immuno Ltd to Immuno AG, 21 December 1984, particularly to Mrs Diernhofer of the licensing department. It says: "Thank you for your combined efforts in getting new heat-treated packaging done for Kryobulin, FEIBA and Prothromplex. Our initial stocks have not arrived." Then it says: "We were slightly concerned that the PL and PA nos. [so that's product licence and product application numbers] appeared on the Kryobulin TIM 2
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the method 4 dry heated product. The reference is SHPL0000168_020, SHPL0000168_022, and SHPL0000068_070. It's not entirely clear when the variation was submitted. It was being prepared in November '84, we don't quite know when it was submitted, but we do have a note from 5 March 1985 to say that the application had been rejected. The reference is SHPL0000048_026. We looked earlier, sir, at some of the documentation about the urgency with which heat-treated products were being approved at that time, in terms of Factor VIII. But despite the application taking place in exactly the same period, the Prothromplex application was refused, and one of the reasons given was the need for, and I quote "clinical proof that heat treating does not cause thrombogenicity". So this is a recurrent concern with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there is evidence that Prothromplex TIM 4, the dry heated TIM 4 product, was nonetheless available in the United Kingdom, presumably on a named patient basis. Could we have this onscreen, please, Soumik SHPL0000067_054. We have a fax from Mr Nicholson of Immuno Ltd to Immuno AG, 21 December 1984, particularly to Mrs Diernhofer of the licensing department. It says: "Thank you for your combined efforts in getting new heat-treated packaging done for Kryobulin, FEIBA and Prothromplex. Our initial stocks have not arrived." Then it says: "We were slightly concerned that the PL and PA nos. [so that's product licence and product application numbers] appeared on the Kryobulin TIM 2 and [product licence] no. on the Prothromplex TIM 4 as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the method 4 dry heated product. The reference is SHPL0000168_020, SHPL0000168_022, and SHPL0000068_070. It's not entirely clear when the variation was submitted. It was being prepared in November '84, we don't quite know when it was submitted, but we do have a note from 5 March 1985 to say that the application had been rejected. The reference is SHPL0000048_026. We looked earlier, sir, at some of the documentation about the urgency with which heat-treated products were being approved at that time, in terms of Factor VIII. But despite the application taking place in exactly the same period, the Prothromplex application was refused, and one of the reasons given was the need for, and I quote "clinical proof that heat treating does not cause thrombogenicity". So this is a recurrent concern with heat-treated Factor IX products that, by treating	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there is evidence that Prothromplex TIM 4, the dry heated TIM 4 product, was nonetheless available in the United Kingdom, presumably on a named patient basis. Could we have this onscreen, please, Soumik SHPL0000067_054. We have a fax from Mr Nicholson of Immuno Ltd to Immuno AG, 21 December 1984, particularly to Mrs Diernhofer of the licensing department. It says: "Thank you for your combined efforts in getting new heat-treated packaging done for Kryobulin, FEIBA and Prothromplex. Our initial stocks have not arrived." Then it says: "We were slightly concerned that the PL and PA nos. [so that's product licence and product application numbers] appeared on the Kryobulin TIM 2 and [product licence] no. on the Prothromplex TIM 4 as both these are still unlicensed products.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the method 4 dry heated product. The reference is SHPL0000168_020, SHPL0000168_022, and SHPL0000068_070. It's not entirely clear when the variation was submitted. It was being prepared in November '84, we don't quite know when it was submitted, but we do have a note from 5 March 1985 to say that the application had been rejected. The reference is SHPL0000048_026. We looked earlier, sir, at some of the documentation about the urgency with which heat-treated products were being approved at that time, in terms of Factor VIII. But despite the application taking place in exactly the same period, the Prothromplex application was refused, and one of the reasons given was the need for, and I quote "clinical proof that heat treating does not cause thrombogenicity". So this is a recurrent concern with heat-treated Factor IX products that, by treating those products in order to try to inactivate HIV, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	there is evidence that Prothromplex TIM 4, the dry heated TIM 4 product, was nonetheless available in the United Kingdom, presumably on a named patient basis. Could we have this onscreen, please, Soumik SHPL0000067_054. We have a fax from Mr Nicholson of Immuno Ltd to Immuno AG, 21 December 1984, particularly to Mrs Diernhofer of the licensing department. It says: "Thank you for your combined efforts in getting new heat-treated packaging done for Kryobulin, FEIBA and Prothromplex. Our initial stocks have not arrived." Then it says: "We were slightly concerned that the PL and PA nos. [so that's product licence and product application numbers] appeared on the Kryobulin TIM 2 and [product licence] no. on the Prothromplex TIM 4 as both these are still unlicensed products. "We will probably have to send a letter with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the method 4 dry heated product. The reference is SHPL0000168_020, SHPL0000168_022, and SHPL0000068_070. It's not entirely clear when the variation was submitted. It was being prepared in November '84, we don't quite know when it was submitted, but we do have a note from 5 March 1985 to say that the application had been rejected. The reference is SHPL0000048_026. We looked earlier, sir, at some of the documentation about the urgency with which heat-treated products were being approved at that time, in terms of Factor VIII. But despite the application taking place in exactly the same period, the Prothromplex application was refused, and one of the reasons given was the need for, and I quote "clinical proof that heat treating does not cause thrombogenicity". So this is a recurrent concern with heat-treated Factor IX products that, by treating those products in order to try to inactivate HIV, you may be increasing the risk of causing blood clots as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there is evidence that Prothromplex TIM 4, the dry heated TIM 4 product, was nonetheless available in the United Kingdom, presumably on a named patient basis. Could we have this onscreen, please, Soumik SHPL0000067_054. We have a fax from Mr Nicholson of Immuno Ltd to Immuno AG, 21 December 1984, particularly to Mrs Diernhofer of the licensing department. It says: "Thank you for your combined efforts in getting new heat-treated packaging done for Kryobulin, FEIBA and Prothromplex. Our initial stocks have not arrived." Then it says: "We were slightly concerned that the PL and PA nos. [so that's product licence and product application numbers] appeared on the Kryobulin TIM 2 and [product licence] no. on the Prothromplex TIM 4 as both these are still unlicensed products. "We will probably have to send a letter with each consignment so that customers do not believe the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the method 4 dry heated product. The reference is SHPL0000168_020, SHPL0000168_022, and SHPL0000068_070. It's not entirely clear when the variation was submitted. It was being prepared in November '84, we don't quite know when it was submitted, but we do have a note from 5 March 1985 to say that the application had been rejected. The reference is SHPL0000048_026. We looked earlier, sir, at some of the documentation about the urgency with which heat-treated products were being approved at that time, in terms of Factor VIII. But despite the application taking place in exactly the same period, the Prothromplex application was refused, and one of the reasons given was the need for, and I quote "clinical proof that heat treating does not cause thrombogenicity". So this is a recurrent concern with heat-treated Factor IX products that, by treating those products in order to try to inactivate HIV, you may be increasing the risk of causing blood clots as a result of the nature of the protein changing in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there is evidence that Prothromplex TIM 4, the dry heated TIM 4 product, was nonetheless available in the United Kingdom, presumably on a named patient basis. Could we have this onscreen, please, Soumik SHPL0000067_054. We have a fax from Mr Nicholson of Immuno Ltd to Immuno AG, 21 December 1984, particularly to Mrs Diernhofer of the licensing department. It says: "Thank you for your combined efforts in getting new heat-treated packaging done for Kryobulin, FEIBA and Prothromplex. Our initial stocks have not arrived." Then it says: "We were slightly concerned that the PL and PA nos. [so that's product licence and product application numbers] appeared on the Kryobulin TIM 2 and [product licence] no. on the Prothromplex TIM 4 as both these are still unlicensed products. "We will probably have to send a letter with each consignment so that customers do not believe the products are already licensed."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the method 4 dry heated product. The reference is SHPL0000168_020, SHPL0000168_022, and SHPL0000068_070. It's not entirely clear when the variation was submitted. It was being prepared in November '84, we don't quite know when it was submitted, but we do have a note from 5 March 1985 to say that the application had been rejected. The reference is SHPL0000048_026. We looked earlier, sir, at some of the documentation about the urgency with which heat-treated products were being approved at that time, in terms of Factor VIII. But despite the application taking place in exactly the same period, the Prothromplex application was refused, and one of the reasons given was the need for, and I quote "clinical proof that heat treating does not cause thrombogenicity". So this is a recurrent concern with heat-treated Factor IX products that, by treating those products in order to try to inactivate HIV, you may be increasing the risk of causing blood clots as a result of the nature of the protein changing in the heat treatment process.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	there is evidence that Prothromplex TIM 4, the dry heated TIM 4 product, was nonetheless available in the United Kingdom, presumably on a named patient basis. Could we have this onscreen, please, Soumik SHPL0000067_054. We have a fax from Mr Nicholson of Immuno Ltd to Immuno AG, 21 December 1984, particularly to Mrs Diernhofer of the licensing department. It says: "Thank you for your combined efforts in getting new heat-treated packaging done for Kryobulin, FEIBA and Prothromplex. Our initial stocks have not arrived." Then it says: "We were slightly concerned that the PL and PA nos. [so that's product licence and product application numbers] appeared on the Kryobulin TIM 2 and [product licence] no. on the Prothromplex TIM 4 as both these are still unlicensed products. "We will probably have to send a letter with each consignment so that customers do not believe the products are already licensed." Evidence, sir, that the unlicensed product is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the method 4 dry heated product. The reference is SHPL0000168_020, SHPL0000168_022, and SHPL0000068_070. It's not entirely clear when the variation was submitted. It was being prepared in November '84, we don't quite know when it was submitted, but we do have a note from 5 March 1985 to say that the application had been rejected. The reference is SHPL0000048_026. We looked earlier, sir, at some of the documentation about the urgency with which heat-treated products were being approved at that time, in terms of Factor VIII. But despite the application taking place in exactly the same period, the Prothromplex application was refused, and one of the reasons given was the need for, and I quote "clinical proof that heat treating does not cause thrombogenicity". So this is a recurrent concern with heat-treated Factor IX products that, by treating those products in order to try to inactivate HIV, you may be increasing the risk of causing blood clots as a result of the nature of the protein changing in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there is evidence that Prothromplex TIM 4, the dry heated TIM 4 product, was nonetheless available in the United Kingdom, presumably on a named patient basis. Could we have this onscreen, please, Soumik SHPL0000067_054. We have a fax from Mr Nicholson of Immuno Ltd to Immuno AG, 21 December 1984, particularly to Mrs Diernhofer of the licensing department. It says: "Thank you for your combined efforts in getting new heat-treated packaging done for Kryobulin, FEIBA and Prothromplex. Our initial stocks have not arrived." Then it says: "We were slightly concerned that the PL and PA nos. [so that's product licence and product application numbers] appeared on the Kryobulin TIM 2 and [product licence] no. on the Prothromplex TIM 4 as both these are still unlicensed products. "We will probably have to send a letter with each consignment so that customers do not believe the products are already licensed."

(34) Pages 133 - 136

1	product.	1	MR HILL: Yes.
2	A further document may assist, sir.	2	If we could have a further document up,
3	PRSE0002530.	3	PRSE0002530, tab 102.
4	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Just pausing there for a moment. If	4	Now, this a document which is dated
5	the named patient basis was the only basis for supply,	5	11 March 1985. The opening paragraph informs doctors,
6	then it seems rather odd that there is a consignment,	6	and it's a "Dear doctor" letter, that the product
7	references to a consignment, and that customers don't	7	licence for heat-treated Kryobulin had been granted,
8	believe the products are already licensed, because by	8	and then sorry, if we could go back to the full
9	definition if it's for a named patient, that's what	9	thank you, Soumik.
10	will have been applied for by the clinician and they	10	It then goes on to discuss some other aspect of
11	know perfectly well that it's not licensed, which is	11	the Kryobulin element.
12	why they're asking for a named patient basis supply.	12	Then it goes on to say:
13	MR HILL: That's correct, sir, there is a possibility that	13	"As you may know, we have held a Product Licence
14	the concern would be that the customer knew that	14	for our Factor IX concentrate, Prothromplex, for over
15	a licence had been applied for, and that if they	15	11 years, but at the present time our standard product
16	received a box which has the licence number on it,	16	is no longer available within the UK. We are in the
17	they would understandably assume that the licence had	17	process of seeking an amendment to our Licence to
18	been granted. So it may be that that was	18	supply heat-treated Prothromplex TIM 4., but until
19	Mr Nicholson's concern and that was why he was	19	this has been approved, we can only supply this on
20	intending to send the letter.	20	a doctor/named patient basis."
21	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. So the product they wanted,	21	Then it goes back to Kryobulin.
22	they were getting, but this is a bit of information	22	I draw this to your attention, sir, for your
23	which just needed to be right?	23	consideration about the prohibition, as we have
24	MR HILL: Yes.	24	discussed, on advertising and making representations
25	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: see.	25	about a product. We make no submission on that now,
	137		138
	101		100
1	but it is a matter that you may wish to consider. But	1	can move quickly and the price looks very
2	what this does show is that the non-heat-treated	2	interesting."
3	Prothromplex was no longer available in the UK, that	3	That is a reference to the other form of
4	Prothromplex TIM 4 could be supplied on a doctor/named	4	heat-treated product which, as far as I understand,
5	patient basis, even though it was not licensed.	5	was not made available in the UK. But the previous
6	A little context for that letter comes from	6	paragraph is the context to which I wished to draw
7	a fax sent from Immuno Ltd to Immuno AG the following	7	your attention.
8	day. That letter was dated 11 March 1985; this fax is	8	On 4 April 1985, the previous correspondence
9	12 March 1985.	9	coming from the previous month, Immuno AG informed
10	Soumik, it's SHPL0000048_029. If we go down to	10	Immuno Ltd that they shouldn't take any further action
11	the second paragraph, the first paragraph concerns	11	on licence variations for Prothromplex, because there
12	a different form of heat treatment which I won't	12	was an expectation that they would soon receive some
13	trouble everybody with now.	13	additional data about viral inactivation against
14	It says:	14	HTLV-III. That's SHPL0000048_025.
15	"As we predicted hospitals are now changing over	15	So, as of April 1985: no licence and stop
16	to heat-treated Factor IX as there is no health	16	seeking to make any variations to the licence until we
17	service product available. There is a market for at	17	have this new data.
18	least 12 million units and our competitors are already	18	SHPL0000048_024, please, Soumik.
19	taking this business with their competitive prices.	19	11 April 1985. Mr Berry faxing Immuno AG
20	I appreciate your problems with prices in other	20	saying:
21	countries but we cannot understand why this does not	21	"We need further evidence that anti-viral
22	seem to bother all of the other blood product	22	treatment photograph Prothromplex and FEIBA does not
23	companies, Alpha, Travenol, Cutter, Armour who also	23	cause thrombogenicity. We are selling substantial
24	sell in Europe.	24	quantities of both products and if you will advise us
25	"We could do well the Prothromplex TIM 2 if we	25	on what tests will clarify the situation, we can
	139	ı	140 (35) Pages 137 - 140

4	annual to be see the see the see	4	letter and Wife and the decreased that are deat
1	arrange to have these done."	1	letter, as we will from the documents, that product,
2	So substantial products of Prothromplex being	2	too, was unlicensed.
3 4	sold presumably on a named patient basis, at a time	3 4	So the last licensed variant of Prothromplex in the UK was sold in December 1984.
5	when there had been an instruction not to take any further action on the licence.	5	If we could just leave that up for a moment.
6		6	The Prothromplex product licence was renewed on
7	Soumik, if we could have on screen, please, SHPL000066_01. It's page 80. This is the same	7	13 September 1985, SHPL0000377, again that was given
8		8	
9	document that we saw earlier, which provides	9	retrospective effect, so this is for the
10	an overview of when products were available in the UK, and the nature of those products, and we can see for	10	non-heat-treated product that the licence remains so that they can apply for variations to it.
11	Prothromplex that there was a licensed	11	The TIM 4, steam treated, which was introduced
12	non-heat-treated version available until	12	in January 1987, that was heated at one hour for
13	December 1984. That's when the non-heat-treated	13	one hour at 80 degrees and for 10 hours at 60 degrees,
14	ceased to be available. Then Prothromplex, TIM 4, dry	14	and it was increasingly to that product that Immuno
15	heated, unlicensed, from December 1984 to	15	sought to change the licence.
16	November 1985. So at the time that we are talking	16	If we could yes, we can take that down now,
17	about here in these letters it seems to be the	17	please, Soumik, thank you.
18	dry-heated TIM 4 which is being provided on	18	There was another variation application
19	an unlicensed basis.	19	submitted on 23 December 1986, MHRA0033300_033, but
20	Prothromplex Steam 2, January 1986 to	20	that was withdrawn on 8 September 1987,
21	September 1986, on an unlicensed basis forgive me,	21	SHPL0000106 220.
22	I'd said earlier that I didn't think that that was	22	The non-heat-treated licence was renewed on
23	provided, but it was and Prothromplex TIM 4, steam	23	21 February 1989, SHPL0000377. Even though the
24	treated, January 1987 to the date of the letter, which	24	product wasn't being sold, it is still there to allow
25	is November 1989, and although it is not stated in the	25	for the variation applications to be made.
	141		142
	171		172
1	Mr Nicholson commented that he was rather surprised	1	not have viruses in them inactivated.
2	that that licence was renewed. The reference to that	2	MR HILL: Yes, sir, I think we will investigate it
3	is SHPL0000175_009.	3	further. A possible answer is that the advantage to
4	There was a further application to vary the	4	a company of maintaining that licence, even if they're
5	Prothromplex licence in September 1990,	5	not selling the product, is that the licence is then
6	SHPL0000311_039, and this was the steam-treated TIM 4	6	there and they can apply to vary it.
7	variant. The CSM, Committee on Safety of Medicines,	7	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: This isn't a question really about
8	considered that application, and concluded that they	8	the advantage to the company.
9	were unable to advise the grant of the variation on	9	MR HILL: Yes.
10	grounds of safety and quality. They provided several	10	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: It's a question about the reason for
11	reasons, including the view that:	11	the Licensing Authority giving a licence. Why would
12	"In view of a potential for hepatitis	12	you give a licence to a company for unheat-treated
13	transmission by the product, the risk/benefit ratio	13	product if, at the time, the only products which were
14	was inappropriate."	14	supposed to be distributed, at least for Factor VIII
15	The reference is MHRA0034575_060.	15	and probably for Factor IX, were those which had been
16	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Is there any documentation, which we	16	heat-treated.
17	have from the Licensing Authority itself, about the	17	MR HILL: I don't want to pre-empt the evidence that may
18	renewal of licences for non-heat-treated product in	18	be given later
19	the mid-'80s and again in '89, '84 and '89 '85 and	19	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: But, I mean, that's the subject of
20	'89.	20	inactivation, there may well be some reason for it and
21	MR HILL: We can check to try to find further detail.	21	I'm just wondering if you knew it at this point.
22	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: But, on the face of it, it looks	22	MR HILL: I don't have any firm evidence. What may have
23	a little it looks as though it might require	23	been in their minds, and we can see it from the
24	further investigation because it could well be that	24	Cunliffe report, is that it's easier for the Licensing
	-		-
25	these are products which, not being heat-treated, may	25	Authority to consider an abridged product licence when

(36) Pages 141 - 144

granted. It might be that it is more efficient, quicker, to do that than to get rid of the licence and start again from scratch. The licences can be dependent upon an undertaking that a product is not actually being sold at that time, so there may be an understanding that that is why. But that is something that we have to look into, sir. There is no evidence that I have seen that any of these untreated methods by the Licensing Authority. And by the early 90s there is an acceptance that they're going to give up these licences. And as we've heard, and I've cited the references before, the licences for both Prothromplex and Kryobulin were cancelled on 14 April 1992. One point which is perhaps worth making at this stage is that although a licence wasn't granted for of these untreated products were actually being				
and see if it is sufficient to allow the licence to be granted. It might be that it is more efficient, quicker, to do that then to get ind of the licence and start again from carctaft. The licences can be dependent upon an undertaking that a product is not actually being sold at that time, so there may be an understanding that that is, why. But that is something that we have to look into, sir. There is no evidence that I have seen that any of these untreated products were actually being imported and used in the UK at that time. SIR RRAN LANGSTAFF: No, well, I follow that. It's just for ather curious— The sine of welchood ther than the something licensing regime because the Licensing Authority can licensing regime because the bear in three licensing regime because the Licensing Authority can licensing regime because the bear in three licensing regime because the licensing Authority can licensing regime because the	1			
4 Immuno Lid that there wasn't going to be an acceptance of their steam treated methods by the Licensing steam of their steam treated methods by the Licensing steam of their steam treated methods by the Licensing steam of their steam treated methods by the Licensing steam of the st	2	steam-treating method or the dry-heat-treating method	2	
It might be that it is more efficient, quicker, again from scratch. The licences can be dependent upon an undertaking that a product is not actually being sold at that time, so there may be an understanding that a product is not actually upon an undertaking that a product is not actually being sold at that time, so there may be an understanding that that is why. But that is something that we have to look into, sir. There is no evidence that I have seen that any of these unfreated products were actually being of these unfreated products were occupied to the seen that any of these unfreated products were occupied to the seen that any of these unfreated products, there is some evidence that it subsequently came to be considered by some, at least, as one of the more effective rather curious— 15 SIR BRANL LANGSTAFF: — and I suppose it fits in with the licensing regime because the Licensing Authority can licensing regime because the Licensing Au	3	and see if it is sufficient to allow the licence to be	3	there was for Kryobulin, an increasing acceptance from
to do that than to get ind of the licence and start again from scratch. The licences can be dependent again from scratch. The licences can be dependent being sold at that time, so there may be an understanding that time, so there may be an understanding that that is why. But that is something understanding that that is why. But that is something that we have to look into, sir. There is no evidence that I have seen that any of these untreated products were actually being of these untreated products were actually being inported and used in the UK at that time. There is no evidence that I have seen that any of these untreated products were actually being imported and used in the UK at that time. There is no evidence that I have seen that any of these untreated products were actually being imported and used in the UK at that time. There is no evidence that it subsequently came to be considered by some, at least, as one of the more effective rather curious - 16 rather curious - 17 rather is one of the more effective rather curious - 16 rather curious - 16 rather curious - 17 rather is one of the more effective rather curious - 16 rather curious - 17 rather is one of the more effective rather curious - 16 rather curious - 17 rather is one of the more effective rather curious - 16 rather curious - 17 rather is one of the more effective rather curious - 16 rather curious - 17 rather is one of the more effective rather curious - 16 rather curious - 17 rather is one of the more effective rather curious - 17 rather is one of the more effective rather curious - 18 rather is one of the more effective rather curious - 18 rather is one of the more effective rather curious - 18 rather is o	4	granted.	4	Immuno Ltd that there wasn't going to be an acceptance
again from scratch. The licences can be dependent upon an undertaking that a product is not actually being sold at that time, so there may be an understanding that that is why. But that is something that we have to look into, sir. There is no evidence that I have seen that any of these unfreated products were actually being imported and used in the UK at that time. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: No, well, I follow that: It's just rather curious MR RHLL: Yes SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: and I suppose it fits in with the licensing regime because the Licensing Authority can take into account matters other than the three licensing regime because the Licensing Authority can take into account matters other than the three licensing regime because the Licensing Authority can take into account matters other than the three licensing regime because the Licensing Authority can take into account matters other than the three licensing regime because the Licensing Authority can take into account matters other than the three licensing regime because the Licensing Authority can take into account matters other than the three licensing regime because the Licensing Authority can take into account matters other than the three licensing regime because the Licensing Authority are not not voe yethows raised in the correspondence like the licence renewal had been approved. 11 January 2000: 11 January 2000: 12 Prefrangs we need to begin by defining which line products were considered to be safer as time went on. Whell Dr Foster is this, and this is dated 146 11 January 2000: 1 products did transmit equalible in the UK is unclear products we now regard as being hepatitis C safe. 13 Solventidetergent treated. 14 Experimental the licence renewal had been approved. 25 Experimental the licence renewal had been approved. 26 "Perhaps we need to begin by defining which licensing the products were considered to be safer as time went on Wight and Manuacci. The two licensing the products of the Internet Product. It is diffusion that had been appr	5	It might be that it is more efficient, quicker,	5	of their steam treated methods by the Licensing
being sold at that time, so there may be an understanding that that time, so there may be an understanding that that time, so there may be an understanding that that is me, so there may be an understanding that that is me, so there may be an understanding that that is me, so there may be an understanding that that is me, so there may be an understanding that that is me, so there may be an understanding that that is me, so there may be an understanding that that is me, the sound that is the sound that is me, and the sound that is the sound that is the sound that the sound that is th	6	to do that than to get rid of the licence and start	6	Authority. And by the early 90s there is an
being sold at that time, so there may be an understanding that that is why. But that is something to understanding that that is why. But that is something to the whave to look into, sir. There is no evidence that I have seen that any of these untreated products were actually being to the state of the s	7	again from scratch. The licences can be dependent	7	acceptance that they're going to give up these
10 understanding that that is why. But that is something 11 that we have to look into, sir. 12 There is no evidence that I have seen that any 13 of these untreated products were actually being 13 the TIM 4 steam vapour heated product, there is some imported and used in the UK at that time. 14 steam vapour heated product, there is some imported and used in the UK at that time. 15 SIR RRIAN LANGSTAFF: No, well, I follow that. It's just 15 some, at least, as one, at least, as one the more effective after curious and I suppose it fits in with the 18 Lindsay and to Penrose. He was sometime Head of 19 licensing regime because the Licensing Authority can 19 Research and Development at the PFC in Edinburgh. 16 In this email Dr Foster is responding to a question that has been asked of him, seemingly about 21 from people within Inmuno Ld, saying that they were 24 which products we now regard as being hepatitis C safe. 25 surprised that the licence renewal had been approved. 26 "Perhaps we need to begin by defining which 145 used to be products we now regard as being hepatitis C safe. 3 (possibly around 1986-87). 27 "Perhaps we need to begin by defining which 2 TIM 4 version became available in the UK is unclear products we now regard as being hepatitis C safe. 3 (possibly around 1986-87). 28 "Perhaps we need to begin by defining which 2 TIM 4 version became available in the UK is unclear (possibly around 1986-87). 39 (possibly around 1986-87). 40 According to recognised international experts 4 "Therefore, apart from 8Y (that was a product of the products we now regard as being hepatitis C safe. 3 (possibly around 1986-87). 41 According to recognised international experts 4 "Therefore, apart from 8Y (that was a product of a factor VIII the products were available in the UK is unclear (possibly around 1986-87). 41 According to recognised international experts 4 "Therefore, apart	8	upon an undertaking that a product is not actually	8	licences. And as we've heard, and I've cited the
that we have to look into, sir. There is no evidence that I have seen that any of these untreated products were actually being in of these untreated products were actually being imported and used in the UK at that time. If a vidence that It subsequently came to be considered by some, at least, as one of the more effective rather curious - 16 heat-treating method hat that time. 14 evidence that it subsequently came to be considered by some, at least, as one of the more effective rather curious - 16 heat-treating method at that time. We have an email of the more effective rather curious - 17 from Dr Peter Foster, who has given evidence both to the licrosing regime because the Licensing Authority can look and the principal ones. I'll wait to hear in due course. 19 from Dr Peter Foster, who has given evidence both to licrosing regime because the Licensing Authority can look are one or two eyebrows raised in the correspondence 20 from people within Immuno Ltd, saying that they were 21 make the Certainly - as we've seen, there 22 In this email Dr Foster is responding to from people within Immuno Ltd, saying that they were 24 which products were considered to be safer as time 145 went on. What Dr Foster is this, and this is dated 145 went on. What Dr Foster is this, and this is dated 145 products we now regard as being hepatitis C safe. 3 (possibly around 1986-87). 4 According to recognised international experts 4 manual for foster is difficult to imagine that this is decided 15 manual for the products were now regard as being hepatitis C safe. 3 (possibly around 1986-87). 4 According to recognised international experts 4 manual for foster is difficult to imagine that this outled there. 3 for July and the product hat the content of the product of and Horowitz), these would have been: 5 produced by BPLI, the only hepatitis-C safe' 6 manual for foster as we can tell from the papers, which the difficult to imagine that this would have seen available in Tengland 5 manual for foster as we can tell from the papers, which	9	being sold at that time, so there may be an	9	references before, the licences for both Prothromplex
There is no evidence that I have seen that any of these untreated products were actually being 13 the TIM 4 steam vapour heated product; there is some widence that time UK at that time. 14 evidence that it seed evidence that it me. We have an email for market it is some, at least, as one of the more effective rather curious — 16 heat-treating methods at that time. We have an email from Dr Peter Foster, who has given evidence both to licensing regime because the Licensing Authority can 19 Research and Development at the PFC in Edinburgh. 19 Research and Development at the PFC in Edinburgh. 19 Research and Development at the PFC in Edinburgh. 19 Research and Development at the PFC in Edinburgh. 19 Research and Development at the PFC in Edinburgh. 19 Research and Development at the PFC in Edinburgh. 19 Research and Development at the PFC in Edinburgh. 19 Research and Development at the PFC in Edinburgh. 19 Research and Development at the PFC in Edinburgh. 19 Research and Development at the PFC in Edinburgh. 19 Research and Development at the PFC in Edinburgh. 19 Research and Development at the PFC in Edinburgh. 19 Research and Development at the PFC in Edinburgh. 19 Research and Development at the PFC in Edinburgh. 19 Research and Development at the PFC in Edinburgh. 19 Research and Development at the PFC in Edinburgh. 19 Research and Development at the PFC in Edinburgh. 19 Research and Development at the PFC in Edinburgh. 19 Research and Development at the PFC in Edinburgh. 19 Research and Development and the PFC in Edinburgh. 19 Research and Development at the PFC in Edinburgh. 19 Research and Development and the PFC in Edinburgh. 19 Research and Development	10	understanding that that is why. But that is something	10	and Kryobulin were cancelled on 14 April 1992.
of these untreated products were actually being imported and used in the UK at that time. 14 evidence that it subsequently came to be considered by some, at least, as one of the more effective rather curious 16 retarder curious 17 MR HILL: Yes. 18 BRIAN LANGSTAFF: No, well, I follow that. It's just rather curious 19 licensing regime because the Licensing Authority can be licensing regime because the Licensing Authority can lake into account matters other than the three principal ones. Ill wait to hear in due course. 20 If we could have on screen, please, Soumik, principal ones. Ill wait to hear in due course. 21 MR HILL: Yes, there is certainly as we've seen, there are one or two eyebrows raised in the correspondence are one or two eyebrows raised in the correspondence from people within Immuno Ltd, saying that they were the products were onsidered to be safer as time surprised that the licence renewal had been approved. 11 January 2000: 1 products did transmit hepatitis precisely when the licence renewal had been approved. 2 "Perhaps we need to begin by defining which are products we now regard as being hepatitis C safe. 3 (Mannucci and Horowitz), these would have been: 5 (Mannucci and Horowitz), these would have been: 6 "a. Solvent/deterpent treated. 7 b. 80 [degrees Centigrade] dry heated. 7 c. Pasteurised [at] 60 [degrees Centigrade] 8 Till 4 your circle of all the imported Factor VIIII flevy to have been available in [England and Wales] prior to 1989 would have been infection in a difficult to imagine that this observations on this, and these are made in no way critically of Dr Foster. But the Till H product it is difficult to imagine that this observations on this, and these are made in no way critically of Dr Foster. But the Till H product that is made acouple of observations on this, and these are made in no way critically of Dr Foster. But the Till H product that is available, and these are made in no way critically of Dr Foster. But the Till H product that was in the	11	that we have to look into, sir.	11	One point which is perhaps worth making at this
imported and used in the UK at that time. 15 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: No, well, I follow that. It's just rare rurious	12	There is no evidence that I have seen that any	12	stage is that although a licence wasn't granted for
SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: No, well, I follow that. It's just rather curious 16 rather curious 17 MR HILL: Yes. 18 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: and I suppose it fits in with the licensing regime because the Licensing Authority can 19 Research and Development at the PFC in Edinburgh. 19 Lindsay and to Penrose. He was sometime Head of licensing regime because the Licensing Authority can 19 Research and Development at the PFC in Edinburgh. 19 Research and Deve	13	of these untreated products were actually being	13	the TIM 4 steam vapour heated product, there is some
rather curious 17 MR HILL: Yes. 18 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: and I suppose it fits in with the 18 Lindsay and to Penrose. He was sometime Head of 19 licensing regime because the Licensing Authority can 19 Research and Development at the PFC in Edinburgh. 20 take into account matters other than the three 20 If we could have on screen, please, Soumik, 21 principal ones. I'll wait to hear in due course. 22 MR HILL: Yes, there is certainly as we've seen, there 23 are one or two eyebrows raised in the correspondence 24 from people within Immuno Ltd, saying that they were 25 surprised that the licence renewal had been approved. 26 The Haps we need to begin by defining which 27 If yes, there is certainly as we've seen, there 28 went on. What Dr Foster is responding to 29 a question that has been asked of him, seemingly about 20 that he licence renewal had been approved. 20 The Haps we reconsidered to be safer as time 21 surprised that the licence renewal had been approved. 22 The Haps we need to begin by defining which 23 products we now regard as being hepatitis C safe. 24 According to recognised international experts 25 (Mannucci and Horowitz), these would have been: 26 "a. Solvent/detergent treated. 27 The 80 (Jedgrees Centigrade) from the experts 28 "c. Pasteurised [at] 60 (degrees Centigrade) 29 for Joh. 20 And he cites Horowitz and Mannucci. The two 20 And he cites Horowitz and Mannucci article is 21 And he cites Horowitz and Mannucci. The two 22 articles are PRSE302445. That's the Horowitz article 23 title from the Question and we've seen it from the papers, 24 we've looked at, was some time around January 1867, 25 Western and the Mannucci article is the furth of the papers, 26 we've looked at, was some time around January 1867, 27 Pasteurised Factor VIII from Germany was not 28 The further point that I make about this is that.	14	imported and used in the UK at that time.	14	evidence that it subsequently came to be considered by
17 MR HILL: Yes. 17 from Dr Peter Foster, who has given evidence both to 18 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: and I suppose it fits in with the 18 Lindsay and to Penrose. He was sometime Head of 20 take into account matters other than the three 19 Research and Development at the PFC in Edinburgh. 21 take into account matters other than the three 20 if we could have on screen, please, Soumik, 21 principal ones. I'll wait to hear in due course. 21 MACK0002301_022. 22 MR HILL: Yes, there is certainly as we've seen, there 22 In this email Dr Foster is responding to 23 are one or two eyebrows raised in the correspondence 23 a question that has been asked of him, seemingly about which products were considered to be safer as time 25 surprised that the licence renewal had been approved. 25 went on. What Dr Foster is this, and this is dated 1 11 January 2000: 1 products did transmit hepatitis precisely when the 2 "Perhaps we need to begin by defining which 2 TilM 4 version became available in the UK is unclear 3 (possibly around 1986-87). 4 According to recognised international experts 4 "Therefore, apart from 8Y [that was a product <td>15</td> <td>SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: No, well, I follow that. It's just</td> <td>15</td> <td>some, at least, as one of the more effective</td>	15	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: No, well, I follow that. It's just	15	some, at least, as one of the more effective
SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: and I suppose it fits in with the licensing regime because the Licensing tegime because the Licensing Authority can take into account matters other than the three 20 If we could have on screen, please, Soumik, 21 principal ones. I'll wait to hear in due course. 21 MACK0002301 (222. 22 MR HILL: Yes, there is certainly as we've seen, there are one or two eyebrows raised in the correspondence are one or two eyebrows raised in the correspondence 23 a question that has been asked of him, seemingly about from people within Immuno Ltd, saying that they were 24 which products were considered to be safer as time which products were considered to be safer as time 34 which products were considered to be safer as time 35 went on. What Dr Foster is this, and this is dated 36 which products were one regard as being hepatitis C safe. 36 (possibly around 1986-87). 37 Time 4 version became available in the UK is unclear 37 products we now regard as being hepatitis C safe. 37 (possibly around 1986-87). 38 (possibly around 1986-87). 39 Therefore, apart from 8Y [that was a product 37 produced by BPL], the only 'hepatitis-C safe' 39 (possibly around 1986-87). 39 Therefore, apart from 8Y [that was a product 38 produced by BPL], the only 'hepatitis-C safe' 39 (possibly around 1986-87). 39 Therefore, apart from 8Y [that was a product 39 produced by BPL], the only 'hepatitis-C safe' 39 and Walespiror to 1989 would have been available in [England 39 the safe and the safe an	16	rather curious	16	heat-treating methods at that time. We have an email
licensing regime because the Licensing Authority can take into account matters other than the three 20 If we could have on screen, please, Soumik, principal ones. I'll wait to hear in due course. 21 MACK0002301_022. MR HILL: Yes, there is certainly as we've seen, there 22 In this email Dr Foster is responding to 23 a question that has been asked of him, seemingly about 4 from people within Immuno Ltd, saying that they were 24 which products were considered to be safer as time 25 surprised that the licence renewal had been approved. 25 went on. What Dr Foster is this, and this is dated 145 146 1 11 January 2000: 1 products did transmit hepatitis precisely when the 2 Perhaps we need to begin by defining which 2 TIM 4 version became available in the UK is unclear 3 products we now regard as being hepatitis C safe. 3 (possibly around 1986-87). 4 According to recognised international experts 4 "Therefore, apart from 8Y [that was a product 5 (Mannucci and Horowitz), these would have been: 5 produced by BPL], the only 'hepatitis-C safe' 6 "a. Solvent/detergent treated. 6 Factor VIII likely to have been available in [England 7 b. 80 [degrees Centigrade] dry heated. 7 and Wales] prior to 1989 would have been Immuno's 8 "c. Pasteurised [at] 60 [degrees Centigrade 8 TIM 4 product it is difficult to imagine that this 9 would have accounted for all the imported Factor VIII 1 Now, I pause there, sir, to make a couple of 0 deservations on this, and these are made in no way articles are PRSE302445. That's the Horowitz article is 14 was in the UK, so far as we can tell from the papers, 15 DHSC0038508_045. 15 was the Factor VIII product, Prothromplex, not the 16 Dr Foster goes on to say: 16 Factor VIII product, Kryobulin. The date from which 17 "[Solvent detergent] products did not have 18 we've looked at, was some time around January 1987,	17	MR HILL: Yes.	17	from Dr Peter Foster, who has given evidence both to
licensing regime because the Licensing Authority can take into account matters other than the three 20 If we could have on screen, please, Soumik, principal ones. I'll wait to hear in due course. 21 MACK0002301_022. MR HILL: Yes, there is certainly as we've seen, there 22 In this email Dr Foster is responding to 23 are one or two eyebrows raised in the correspondence 23 a question that has been asked of him, seemingly about 4 from people within Immuno Ltd, saying that they were 24 which products were considered to be safer as time 25 surprised that the licence renewal had been approved. 25 went on. What Dr Foster is this, and this is dated 145 146 146 146 146 146 146 146 146 146 146	18	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: and I suppose it fits in with the	18	
take into account matters other than the three principal ones. I'll wait to hear in due course. MRHILL: Yes, there is certainly as we've seen, there are one or two eyebrows raised in the correspondence are or or two eyebrows raised in the correspondence are or or two eyebrows raised in the correspondence are or or two eyebrows raised in the correspondence are or or two eyebrows raised in the correspondence are or or two eyebrows raised in the correspondence are or or two eyebrows raised in the correspondence are or or two eyebrows raised in the correspondence are or or two eyebrows raised in the correspondence are or or two eyebrows raised in the correspondence are or or two eyebrows raised in the correspondence are or or two eyebrows raised in the correspondence are or or two eyebrows raised in the correspondence are or or two eyebrows raised in the correspondence are or or two eyebrows raised in the correspondence are or or two eyebrows raised in the correspondence are or or two eyebrows raised in the correspondence are or or two eyebrows raised the theory says and the seal are or or two eyebrows raised the theory says and the seal are or	19		19	•
21 principal ones. I'll wait to hear in due course. 22 MR HILL: Yes, there is certaintly as we've seen, there 23 are one or two eyebrows raised in the correspondence 24 from people within Immuno Ltd, saying that they were 25 surprised that the licence renewal had been approved. 26 Techaps we need to begin by defining which 27 Techaps we need to begin by defining which 28 Techaps we need to begin by defining which 39 products we now regard as being hepatitis C safe. 40 According to recognised international experts 50 (Mannucci and Horowitz), these would have been: 51 Tender of the total degrees Centigrade of the safe and Wales) proto to 1989 yould have been immuno's 52 mount of the ties Horowitz and Mannucci. The two 53 articles are PRSE302445. That's the Horowitz article to ited there. And the Mannucci article is 64 DF Coster goes on to say: 65 DF Coster goes on to say: 66 Teactor VIII from Germany was not 67 Tender on the According to recognised international experts 79 According to recognised international experts 80 Tilm 4 version became available in the UK is unclear (possibly around 1986-87). 81 Therefore, apart from 8Y [that was a product in the UK is unclear (possibly around 1986-87). 82 Therefore, apart from 8Y [that was a product in the UK is unclear (possibly around 1986-87). 83 Therefore, apart from 8Y [that was a product in the UK is unclear (possibly around 1986-87). 84 Therefore, apart from 8Y [that was a product in the UK is unclear (possibly around 1986-87). 85 Therefore, apart from 8Y [that was a product in the UK is unclear (possibly around 1986-87). 86 Therefore, apart from 8Y [that was a product in the UK is unclear (possibly around 1986-87). 86 Therefore, apart from 8Y [that was a product in the UK is unclear (possibly around 1986-87). 87 Therefore, apart from 8Y [that was a product in the UK is unclear (possibly around 1986-87). 88 Therefore, apart from 8Y [that was a product in the UK is unclear (possibly around 1986-87). 89 Therefore, apart from 8Y [that was a product in the UK is un	20		20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
are one or two eyebrows raised in the correspondence from people within Immuno Ltd, saying that they were surprised that the licence renewal had been approved. 1 11 January 2000: 1 1 products did transmit hepatitis precisely when the 146 1 11 January 2000: 1 1 products did transmit hepatitis precisely when the 146 1 2 "Perhaps we need to begin by defining which 2 TIM 4 version became available in the UK is unclear products we now regard as being hepatitis C safe. 3 (possibly around 1986-87). 4 According to recognised international experts 4 "Therefore, apart from 8Y (that was a product (Mannucci and Horowitz), these would have been: 5 produced by BPL], the only 'hepatitis-C safe' 6 "a. Solvent/detergent treated. 6 Factor VIII likely to have been available in [England 7 "b. 80 (degrees Centigrade] dry heated. 7 and Wales) prior to 1989 would have been Immuno's 8 "c. Pasteurised [at] 60 (degrees Centigrade 8 TIM 4 product it is difficult to imagine that this observations on this, and these are made in no way or striced there. And the dies Horowitz and Mannucci. The two 12 observations on this, and these are made in no way articles are PRSE302445. That's the Horowitz article 13 critically of Dr Foster. But the TIM 4 product that 14 cited there. And the Mannucci article is 14 was in the UK, so far as we can tell from the papers, 15 DHSC0038508_045. 15 was the Factor IX product, Prothromplex, not the 16 Dr Foster goes on to say: 16 Factor VIII product, Kryobulin. The date from which 17 "[Solvent detergent] products did not have 18 marketing approval in the UK prior to 1989 at the 18 we've looked at, was some time around January 1987, 9 which ties in there with what Dr Foster was saying. 19 earliest. 19 which ties in there with what Dr Foster was saying. 19 articles in there with what Dr Foster was saying. 19 articles in there with what Dr Foster was saying. 19 articles in there with what Dr Foster was saying. 19 articles in there with what Dr Foster was saying. 19 articles in there with what Dr Foster wa	21	principal ones. I'll wait to hear in due course.	21	•
are one or two eyebrows raised in the correspondence from people within Immuno Ltd, saying that they were surprised that the licence renewal had been approved. 1 11 January 2000: 1 1 products did transmit hepatitis precisely when the 146 1 11 January 2000: 1 1 products did transmit hepatitis precisely when the 2 "Perhaps we need to begin by defining which 3 products we now regard as being hepatitis C safe. 4 According to recognised international experts 4 "Therefore, apart from 8Y [that was a product 5 (Mannucci and Horowitz), these would have been: 5 produced by BPL], the only 'hepatitis-C safe' 6 "a. Solvent/detergent treated. 6 Factor VIII likely to have been available in [England and Wales] prior to 1989 would have been immuno's "c. Pasteurised [at] 60 [degrees Centigrade] 4 would have accounted for all the imported Factor VIII (TIM 4)." 9 would have accounted for all the imported Factor VIII 1 Now, I pause there, sir, to make a couple of 0 desired articles are PRSE302445. That's the Horowitz article cited there. And the Mannucci article is 14 was in the UK, so far as we can tell from the papers, 15 DHSC0038508_045. 15 was the Factor IX product, Prothromplex, not the 16 Dr Foster goes on to say: 16 Factor VIII product, Kryobulin. The date from which 17 "[Solvent detergent] products did not have 18 marketing approval in the UK prior to 1989 at the 18 we've looked at, was some time around January 1987, 9 which ties in there with what Dr Foster was saying. 19 earliest. 20 "Pasteurised Factor VIII from Germany was not 20 The further point that I make about this is that	22	MR HILL: Yes, there is certainly as we've seen, there	22	In this email Dr Foster is responding to
from people within Immuno Ltd, saying that they were surprised that the licence renewal had been approved. 1 11 January 2000: 1 1	23	-	23	
surprised that the licence renewal had been approved. 145 146 1 11 January 2000: 1	24		24	
11 January 2000: 2 "Perhaps we need to begin by defining which 3 products we now regard as being hepatitis C safe. 4 According to recognised international experts 5 (Mannucci and Horowitz), these would have been: 6 "a. Solvent/detergent treated. 7 "b. 80 [degrees Centigrade] dry heated. 8 "C. Pasteurised [at] 60 [degrees Centigrade] 9 for] 10h. 9 would have accounted for all the imported Factor VIII 10 "d. Vapour heated (Immuno) - final version 11 (TIIM 4)." 11 Now, I pause there, sir, to make a couple of 12 And he cites Horowitz and Mannucci. The two 13 articles are PRSE302445. That's the Horowitz article 14 cited there. And the Mannucci article is 15 DHSC0038508_045. 16 Dr Foster goes on to say: 16 Factor VIII product, what I make about this is that 18 marketing approval in the UK prior to 1989 at the 19 earliest. 20 "Pasteurised Factor VIII from Germany was not 20 The further point that I make about this is that	25		25	·
2 "Perhaps we need to begin by defining which 3 products we now regard as being hepatitis C safe: 4 According to recognised international experts 5 (Mannucci and Horowitz), these would have been: 6 "a. Solvent/detergent treated. 7 "b. 80 [degrees Centigrade] dry heated. 8 "c. Pasteurised [at] 60 [degrees Centigrade 9 for] 10h. 9 would have accounted for all the imported Factor VIII 10 "d. Vapour heated (Immuno) - final version 11 (TIM 4)." 11 Now, I pause there, sir, to make a couple of 12 And he cites Horowitz and Mannucci. The two 13 articles are PRSE302445. That's the Horowitz article 14 cited there. And the Mannucci article is 15 DHSC0038508_045. 16 Dr Foster goes on to say: 17 [Solvent detergent] products did not have 18 marketing approval in the UK prior to 1989 at the 19 earliest. 20 "Pasteurised Factor VIII from Germany was not 2 TIM 4 version became available in the UK is unclear (possibly around 1986-87). 4 "Therefore, apart from 8Y [that was a product 7 produced by BPL], the only 'hepatitis-C safe' 6 Factor VIII likely to have been available in [England and Wales] prior to 1989 would have been Immuno's 7 and Wales] prior to 1989 would have been Immuno's 8 TIM 4 product it is difficult to imagine that this 9 would have accounted for all the imported Factor VIII 9 would have accounted for all the imported Factor VIII 10 used at this time." 11 Now, I pause there, sir, to make a couple of 12 observations on this, and these are made in no way 13 articles are PRSE302445. That's the Horowitz article 13 critically of Dr Foster. But the TIM 4 product that 14 cited there. And the Mannucci article is 15 was the Factor IX product, Prothromplex, not the 16 Dr Foster goes on to say: 16 Factor VIII product, Kryobulin. The date from which 17 it is available, and we've seen it from the documents 18 marketing approval in the UK prior to 1989 at the 18 we've looked at, was some time around January 1987, 19 earliest. 19 which ties in there with what Dr Foster was saying.		145		146
2 "Perhaps we need to begin by defining which 3 products we now regard as being hepatitis C safe. 4 According to recognised international experts 5 (Mannucci and Horowitz), these would have been: 6 "a. Solvent/detergent treated. 7 "a. Solvent/detergent treated. 8 "c. Pasteurised [at] 60 [degrees Centigrade] dry heated. 9 for] 10h. 9 would have accounted for all the imported Factor VIII 10 "d. Vapour heated (Immuno) - final version 11 (TIM 4)." 11 Now, I pause there, sir, to make a couple of 12 And he cites Horowitz and Mannucci. The two 13 articles are PRSE302445. That's the Horowitz article 14 cited there. And the Mannucci article is 15 TIM 4 version became available in the UK is unclear 16 (possibly around 1986-87). 17 (TIM 4)." 18 Now, I pause there, sir, to make a couple of 19 would have accounted for all the imported Factor VIII 2 Observations on this, and these are made in no way 2 articles are PRSE302445. That's the Horowitz article 3 critically of Dr Foster. But the TIM 4 product that 4 cited there. And the Mannucci article is 4 was in the UK, so far as we can tell from the papers, 4 was in the UK, so far as we can tell from the papers, 5 DHSC0038508_045. 5 DH Foster goes on to say: 6 Factor VIII product, Kryobulin. The date from which 7 "[Solvent detergent] products did not have 8 marketing approval in the UK prior to 1989 at the 9 tit is available, and we've seen it from the documents 18 marketing approval in the UK prior to 1989 at the 19 which ties in there with what Dr Foster was saying. 19 earliest. 10 The further point that I make about this is that				
products we now regard as being hepatitis C safe. According to recognised international experts (Mannucci and Horowitz), these would have been: (Factor VIII likely to have been available in [England and Wales] prior to 1989 would have been lmmuno's TIM 4 product it is difficult to imagine that this would have accounted for all the imported Factor VIII (TIM 4)." (TIM 4)." (TIM 4)." (TIM 4)." 11 Now, I pause there, sir, to make a couple of observations on this, and these are made in no way critically of Dr Foster. But the TIM 4 product that was in the UK, so far as we can tell from the papers, DHSC0038508_045. 15 was the Factor IX product, Prothromplex, not the Dr Foster goes on to say: (Factor VIII product, Kryobulin. The date from which it is available, and we've seen it from the documents marketing approval in the UK prior to 1989 at the earliest. 19 which ties in there with what Dr Foster was saying. The further point that I make about this is that	1	11 January 2000:	1	products did transmit hepatitis precisely when the
According to recognised international experts (Mannucci and Horowitz), these would have been: (Mannucci and Horowitz), these would have been in England (Manucci and Horowitz of Bactor VIII in Interest in the imported Factor VIII in Interest Interest.	2	"Perhaps we need to begin by defining which	2	TIM 4 version became available in the UK is unclear
(Mannucci and Horowitz), these would have been: "a. Solvent/detergent treated. "b. 80 [degrees Centigrade] dry heated. "c. Pasteurised [at] 60 [degrees Centigrade "d. Vapour heated (Immuno) - final version "TIM 4)." (TIM 4)." 11 Now, I pause there, sir, to make a couple of And he cites Horowitz and Mannucci. The two articles are PRSE302445. That's the Horowitz article tited there. And the Mannucci article is DHSC0038508_045. Dr Foster goes on to say: DHSC0038508_1045. Dr Foster goes on to say: "Solvent detergent] products did not have marketing approval in the UK prior to 1989 at the arrivable and was not the same and walked been Immuno's and Wales] prior to 1989 would have been available in [England 7 and Wales] prior to 1989 would have been available in [England 7 and Wales] prior to 1989 would have been Immuno's 8 TIM 4 product it is difficult to imagine that this 9 would have accounted for all the imported Factor VIII 10 used at this time." 11 Now, I pause there, sir, to make a couple of 12 observations on this, and these are made in no way 13 critically of Dr Foster. But the TIM 4 product that 14 was in the UK, so far as we can tell from the papers, 15 Was the Factor IX product, Prothromplex, not the 16 Factor VIII product, Kryobulin. The date from which 17 it is available, and we've seen it from the documents 18 marketing approval in the UK prior to 1989 at the 19 which ties in there with what Dr Foster was saying. 19 The further point that I make about this is that	3	products we now regard as being hepatitis C safe.	3	(possibly around 1986-87).
"a. Solvent/detergent treated. "b. 80 [degrees Centigrade] dry heated. "c. Pasteurised [at] 60 [degrees Centigrade "d. Vapour heated (Immuno) - final version "d. Vapour heated (Immuno) - final version "TIM 4) " "TIM 4 product it is difficult to imagine that this would have accounted for all the imported Factor VIII west at this time." "In wow, I pause there, sir, to make a couple of And he cites Horowitz and Mannucci. The two articles are PRSE302445. That's the Horowitz article cited there. And the Mannucci article is DHSC0038508_045. DHSC0038508_045. Dr Foster goes on to say: "Solvent detergent] products did not have marketing approval in the UK prior to 1989 at the marketing approval in the UK prior to 1989 at the marketing approval in the UK prior to 1989 at the marketing approval in the UK prior to 1989 at the "Pasteurised Factor VIII from Germany was not "Pasteurised Factor VIII from Germany was not "Battor VIII likely to have been available in [England and Wales] prior to 1989 would have been Immuno's TIM 4 product it is difficult to imagine that this would have accounted for all the imported Factor VIII Now, I pause there, sir, to make a couple of 10 used at this time." 11 Now, I pause there, sir, to make a couple of 12 observations on this, and these are made in no way 13 critically of Dr Foster. But the TIM 4 product that was in the UK, so far as we can tell from the papers, was the Factor IX product, Prothromplex, not the Factor VIII product, Kryobulin. The date from which it is available, and we've seen it from the documents we've looked at, was some time around January 1987, which ties in there with what Dr Foster was saying. The further point that I make about this is that	4	According to recognised international experts	4	"Therefore, apart from 8Y [that was a product
7 and Wales] prior to 1989 would have been Immuno's 8 "c. Pasteurised [at] 60 [degrees Centigrade	5	(Mannucci and Horowitz), these would have been:	5	produced by BPL], the only 'hepatitis-C safe'
8 "c. Pasteurised [at] 60 [degrees Centigrade	6	"a. Solvent/detergent treated.	6	Factor VIII likely to have been available in [England
for] 10h. "d. Vapour heated (Immuno) - final version (TIM 4)." And he cites Horowitz and Mannucci. The two articles are PRSE302445. That's the Horowitz article cited there. And the Mannucci article is DHSC0038508_045. Dr Foster goes on to say: "[Solvent detergent] products did not have marketing approval in the UK prior to 1989 at the earliest. "Pasteurised Factor VIII from Germany was not yearliest. yeould have accounted for all the imported Factor VIII used at this time." Now, I pause there, sir, to make a couple of observations on this, and these are made in no way critically of Dr Foster. But the TIM 4 product that was in the UK, so far as we can tell from the papers, was the Factor IX product, Prothromplex, not the Factor VIII product, Kryobulin. The date from which it is available, and we've seen it from the documents we've looked at, was some time around January 1987, which ties in there with what Dr Foster was saying. The further point that I make about this is that	7	"b. 80 [degrees Centigrade] dry heated.	7	and Wales] prior to 1989 would have been Immuno's
"d. Vapour heated (Immuno) - final version (TIM 4)." And he cites Horowitz and Mannucci. The two articles are PRSE302445. That's the Horowitz article cited there. And the Mannucci article is DHSC0038508_045. Dr Foster goes on to say: Dr Foster goes on to say: "[Solvent detergent] products did not have marketing approval in the UK prior to 1989 at the earliest. "Pasteurised Factor VIII from Germany was not "Used at this time." Now, I pause there, sir, to make a couple of observations on this, and these are made in no way critically of Dr Foster. But the TIM 4 product that was in the UK, so far as we can tell from the papers, was the Factor IX product, Prothromplex, not the Factor VIII product, Kryobulin. The date from which it is available, and we've seen it from the documents we've looked at, was some time around January 1987, which ties in there with what Dr Foster was saying. The further point that I make about this is that	8	"c. Pasteurised [at] 60 [degrees Centigrade	8	TIM 4 product it is difficult to imagine that this
10 "d. Vapour heated (Immuno) - final version 11 (TIM 4)." 12 And he cites Horowitz and Mannucci. The two 13 articles are PRSE302445. That's the Horowitz article 14 cited there. And the Mannucci article is 15 DHSC0038508_045. 16 Dr Foster goes on to say: 17 [Solvent detergent] products did not have 18 marketing approval in the UK prior to 1989 at the 19 earliest. 20 "Pasteurised Factor VIII from Germany was not 21 used at this time." 21 Now, I pause there, sir, to make a couple of 22 observations on this, and these are made in no way 23 critically of Dr Foster. But the TIM 4 product that 24 was in the UK, so far as we can tell from the papers, 25 was the Factor IX product, Prothromplex, not the 26 Factor VIII product, Kryobulin. The date from which 27 it is available, and we've seen it from the documents 28 we've looked at, was some time around January 1987, 39 which ties in there with what Dr Foster was saying. 30 The further point that I make about this is that	9	for] 10h.	9	
11 Now, I pause there, sir, to make a couple of 12 And he cites Horowitz and Mannucci. The two 13 articles are PRSE302445. That's the Horowitz article 14 cited there. And the Mannucci article is 15 DHSC0038508_045. 16 Dr Foster goes on to say: 16 Factor VIII product, Kryobulin. The date from which 17 "[Solvent detergent] products did not have 18 marketing approval in the UK prior to 1989 at the 19 earliest. 20 "Pasteurised Factor VIII from Germany was not 20 The further point that I make about this is that	10	-	10	used at this time."
And he cites Horowitz and Mannucci. The two articles are PRSE302445. That's the Horowitz article cited there. And the Mannucci article is DHSC0038508_045. Dr Foster goes on to say: Tigolvent detergent] products did not have marketing approval in the UK prior to 1989 at the marketing approval in the UK prior Will from Germany was not And he cites Horowitz and Mannucci. The two to observations on this, and these are made in no way critically of Dr Foster. But the TIM 4 product that was in the UK, so far as we can tell from the papers, was the Factor IX product, Prothromplex, not the Factor VIII product, Kryobulin. The date from which it is available, and we've seen it from the documents we've looked at, was some time around January 1987, which ties in there with what Dr Foster was saying. The further point that I make about this is that	11		11	Now, I pause there, sir, to make a couple of
articles are PRSE302445. That's the Horowitz article cited there. And the Mannucci article is DHSC0038508_045. Dr Foster goes on to say: "[Solvent detergent] products did not have marketing approval in the UK prior to 1989 at the earliest. "Pasteurised Factor VIII from Germany was not articles are PRSE302445. That's the Horowitz article to critically of Dr Foster. But the TIM 4 product that was in the UK, so far as we can tell from the papers, the was the Factor IX product, Prothromplex, not the Factor VIII product, Kryobulin. The date from which it is available, and we've seen it from the documents we've looked at, was some time around January 1987, which ties in there with what Dr Foster was saying. The further point that I make about this is that		,		
cited there. And the Mannucci article is DHSC0038508_045. Dr Foster goes on to say: "[Solvent detergent] products did not have marketing approval in the UK prior to 1989 at the earliest. "Pasteurised Factor VIII from Germany was not 14 was in the UK, so far as we can tell from the papers, was the Factor IX product, Prothromplex, not the Factor VIII product, Kryobulin. The date from which it is available, and we've seen it from the documents we've looked at, was some time around January 1987, which ties in there with what Dr Foster was saying. The further point that I make about this is that				•
DHSC0038508_045. Dr Foster goes on to say: 16 Factor VIII product, Kryobulin. The date from which 17 "[Solvent detergent] products did not have 18 marketing approval in the UK prior to 1989 at the 19 earliest. 19 was the Factor IX product, Kryobulin. The date from which 17 it is available, and we've seen it from the documents 18 we've looked at, was some time around January 1987, 19 earliest. 19 which ties in there with what Dr Foster was saying. 20 The further point that I make about this is that				•
Dr Foster goes on to say: 16 Factor VIII product, Kryobulin. The date from which 17 "[Solvent detergent] products did not have 18 marketing approval in the UK prior to 1989 at the 19 earliest. 19 which ties in there with what Dr Foster was saying. 20 "Pasteurised Factor VIII from Germany was not 20 The further point that I make about this is that				• • •
17 "[Solvent detergent] products did not have 18 marketing approval in the UK prior to 1989 at the 19 earliest. 19 which ties in there with what Dr Foster was saying. 20 "Pasteurised Factor VIII from Germany was not 20 The further point that I make about this is that		_		
marketing approval in the UK prior to 1989 at the searliest. marketing approval in the UK prior to 1989 at the searliest. marketing approval in the UK prior to 1989 at the searliest. marketing approval in the UK prior to 1989 at the searliest. marketing approval in the UK prior to 1989 at the searliest. marketing approval in the UK prior to 1989 at the search		,		
earliest. 19 which ties in there with what Dr Foster was saying. 20 "Pasteurised Factor VIII from Germany was not 20 The further point that I make about this is that				
20 "Pasteurised Factor VIII from Germany was not 20 The further point that I make about this is that				•
ET generally aradone because of the very low				·
22 yield/small quantities exported (I have a copy of 22 this was a hepatitis-safe product does not mean that				-
23 Behringwerke's export figures for this period which 23 the Licensing Authority somehow got it wrong at the				
24 prove the point) 24 time: they were working with different data and were		. ,		responding to the application that they had in front
Of times the point)		. ,		
prove the point). 24 time; they were working with different data and were 25 "The parties Impure vapour heated (TIM 2/TIM 3)" 26 respending to the application that they had in front				

25

25

"The earlier Immuno vapour heated (TIM 2/TIM 3)

147

(37) Pages 145 - 148

responding to the application that they had in front

148

1	of them.	1	back to his earlier work.
2	I will just cite a couple of other articles just	2	That, sir, is all I say about Prothromplex.
3	by reference to their URNs. IPSN40156_093, which is	3	I turn now to FEIBA, which is the Factor VIII
4	an article from Professor Mannucci and others from	4	Inhibitor Bypassing Fraction, which is what FEIBA
5	a British Journal of Haematology in 1988, volume 68,	5	stood for. The reason I couldn't remember what the
6	pages 47 to 430. That includes a study of the TIM 3	6	"A" stood for was because the "A" doesn't seem to
7	product, which found that four of the 28 previously	7	stand for anything. But it's Factor VIII inhibitor
8	untreated patients in Italy who were given that	8	Bypassing Fraction, so a product that was primarily
9	product developed hepatitis B.	9	intended to assist those who needed Factor VIII that
10	We've seen the documents, references to the	10	had developed inhibitors.
11	Licensing Authority being concerned about the	11	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I think it may stand for "agent".
12	transmission of hepatitis B, and that may be where	12	MR HILL: It may, yes, sir.
13	those concerns came from. However, the findings of	13	We know from a letter dated 12 August 1975 that
14	that study were challenged by Immuno, two references	14	there was notification to doctors of a new product
15	SHPL40174_002 and SHPL0000106_099. The scientists	15	called Fraction R, and that's an early name for FEIBA.
16	including scientists from Immuno questioned the way in	16	That's at CBLA0008057. That's the first reference
17	which the Mannucci trial had been conducted, and about	17	that we have been able to find to FEIBA in the UK
18	the findings of hepatitis B that were associated with	18	market, so that's 1975.
19	the TIM 3 product. As of April 1991, Immuno's	19	A 1976 report on the clinical application of
20	position was that the Italian study, Mannucci study	20	FEIBA detailed how it had been administered to 52
21	couldn't be said to be "appropriate to document the	21	patients in 17 European haemophilia centres, including
22	virus safety of Kryobulin". So they were contesting	22	the Manchester Royal Infirmary, that's
23	that study and I've already given a reference to	23	SHPL0000086_031. So we can see from that that by 1976
24	a subsequent study by Professor Mannucci which	24	it was certainly being used in trials in the UK.
25	primarily concerned the TIM 4 product, but also refers	25	Immuno applied for a product licence for FEIBA
20	149	20	150
	148		130
1	on 30 March 1977, SHPL0000086_011. That application	1	please, Soumik, OXUH0000212_002, the annual returns
2	was considered by the Committee on Safety of	2	for 1978, which we've seen before. If we could go on,
3	Medicines, and a provisional decision was communicated	3	please, to page 3. There we can see 1.928 million
4	on 9 August 1977, in which the CSM indicated that they	4	units of FEIBA in 1978, an unlicensed product.
5	may be unable to recommend the product be granted	5	SHPL0000085_095, please, Soumik.
6	a licence, because the application was:	6	This is a fax dated 18 June 1980, sent from
7	" inadequate to judge the product on safety,	7	Mr Berry of Immuno Ltd to Immuno AG, and it says this:
8	quality and efficacy."	8	"in view of the long discussion i have had with
9	That's SHPL0000085_114. So it is not saying	9	our medical advisor, and due to the fact that
10	that it wasn't safe, efficacious and of acceptable	10	professor bloom has written to us as follows"
11	quality, but that they had inadequate material to		•
12	quality, but that they had inadequate material to	11	It says:
	judge whether it was met those criteria.	11 12	It says: " i am completely revising my treatment
13			•
	judge whether it was met those criteria. Immuno met with representatives of the Licensing	12 13	" i am completely revising my treatment
14	judge whether it was met those criteria. Immuno met with representatives of the Licensing Authority in September 1977, and they were told at	12 13 14	" i am completely revising my treatment schedules for our patients with inhibitors and in the immediate future our first line of attack for high
	judge whether it was met those criteria. Immuno met with representatives of the Licensing Authority in September 1977, and they were told at that time, or it was hinted at that time, that they	12 13	" i am completely revising my treatment schedules for our patients with inhibitors and in the immediate future our first line of attack for high responders will be with feiba. at least this is the
14 15	judge whether it was — met those criteria. Immuno met with representatives of the Licensing Authority in September 1977, and they were told at that time, or it was hinted at that time, that they would succeed with an application for a clinical trial	12 13 14 15	" i am completely revising my treatment schedules for our patients with inhibitors and in the immediate future our first line of attack for high
14 15 16 17	judge whether it was — met those criteria. Immuno met with representatives of the Licensing Authority in September 1977, and they were told at that time, or it was hinted at that time, that they would succeed with an application for a clinical trial certificate, but they would need to provide more	12 13 14 15 16	" i am completely revising my treatment schedules for our patients with inhibitors and in the immediate future our first line of attack for high responders will be with feiba. at least this is the only material that has given us significant controlled
14 15 16	judge whether it was — met those criteria. Immuno met with representatives of the Licensing Authority in September 1977, and they were told at that time, or it was hinted at that time, that they would succeed with an application for a clinical trial	12 13 14 15 16 17	" i am completely revising my treatment schedules for our patients with inhibitors and in the immediate future our first line of attack for high responders will be with feiba. at least this is the only material that has given us significant controlled clinical trial."
14 15 16 17 18	judge whether it was — met those criteria. Immuno met with representatives of the Licensing Authority in September 1977, and they were told at that time, or it was hinted at that time, that they would succeed with an application for a clinical trial certificate, but they would need to provide more information if they wanted a full product licence.	12 13 14 15 16 17	" i am completely revising my treatment schedules for our patients with inhibitors and in the immediate future our first line of attack for high responders will be with feiba. at least this is the only material that has given us significant controlled clinical trial." What Mr Berry says in light of that is, and
14 15 16 17 18 19	judge whether it was — met those criteria. Immuno met with representatives of the Licensing Authority in September 1977, and they were told at that time, or it was hinted at that time, that they would succeed with an application for a clinical trial certificate, but they would need to provide more information if they wanted a full product licence. That reference is SHPL0000085_111. So the	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	" i am completely revising my treatment schedules for our patients with inhibitors and in the immediate future our first line of attack for high responders will be with feiba. at least this is the only material that has given us significant controlled clinical trial." What Mr Berry says in light of that is, and I quote:
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	judge whether it was — met those criteria. Immuno met with representatives of the Licensing Authority in September 1977, and they were told at that time, or it was hinted at that time, that they would succeed with an application for a clinical trial certificate, but they would need to provide more information if they wanted a full product licence. That reference is SHPL0000085_111. So the Licensing Authority also saying that they wanted	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	" i am completely revising my treatment schedules for our patients with inhibitors and in the immediate future our first line of attack for high responders will be with feiba. at least this is the only material that has given us significant controlled clinical trial." What Mr Berry says in light of that is, and I quote: "i intend applying for a product licence for
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	judge whether it was met those criteria. Immuno met with representatives of the Licensing Authority in September 1977, and they were told at that time, or it was hinted at that time, that they would succeed with an application for a clinical trial certificate, but they would need to provide more information if they wanted a full product licence. That reference is SHPL0000085_111. So the Licensing Authority also saying that they wanted convincing trial reports concerning efficacy they	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	" i am completely revising my treatment schedules for our patients with inhibitors and in the immediate future our first line of attack for high responders will be with feiba. at least this is the only material that has given us significant controlled clinical trial." What Mr Berry says in light of that is, and I quote: "i intend applying for a product licence for feiba."
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	judge whether it was — met those criteria. Immuno met with representatives of the Licensing Authority in September 1977, and they were told at that time, or it was hinted at that time, that they would succeed with an application for a clinical trial certificate, but they would need to provide more information if they wanted a full product licence. That reference is SHPL0000085_111. So the Licensing Authority also saying that they wanted convincing trial reports concerning efficacy — they need more information if they're going to give	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	" i am completely revising my treatment schedules for our patients with inhibitors and in the immediate future our first line of attack for high responders will be with feiba. at least this is the only material that has given us significant controlled clinical trial." What Mr Berry says in light of that is, and I quote: "i intend applying for a product licence for feiba." So he's going to apply for that licence, the
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	judge whether it was — met those criteria. Immuno met with representatives of the Licensing Authority in September 1977, and they were told at that time, or it was hinted at that time, that they would succeed with an application for a clinical trial certificate, but they would need to provide more information if they wanted a full product licence. That reference is SHPL0000085_111. So the Licensing Authority also saying that they wanted convincing trial reports concerning efficacy — they need more information if they're going to give a licence.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	" i am completely revising my treatment schedules for our patients with inhibitors and in the immediate future our first line of attack for high responders will be with feiba. at least this is the only material that has given us significant controlled clinical trial." What Mr Berry says in light of that is, and I quote: "i intend applying for a product licence for feiba." So he's going to apply for that licence, the date is June 1980. The application wasn't made until

151

(38) Pages 149 - 152

152

1	A further reference is at SHPL0000209, which is	1	particular they noted that adequate evidence of
2	to part 4 of the application which summarises	2	efficacy in relation to several claims should be
3	clinical data and includes a note that:	3	provided in addition to complete data of the
4	"In nine cases the transmission of hepatitis, as	4	manufacturing process and on product labelling."
5	a result of the FEIBA treatment, could not be	5	That is DHSC0105547_002.
6	excluded."	6	Immuno were informed of the CSM's decision by
7	The Committee on Safety of Medicines considered	7	letter of 19 March 1982, SHPL0000093_018.
8	the application in February 1982. The assessment	8	On 26 October 1982, Immuno wrote to the CSM
9	prepared by the Subcommittee on Biologicals noted that	9	providing additional information, and that included
10	the product had been available on a named patient	10	the fact that the United States had issued a product
11	basis for six years, and said this:	11	licence for FEIBA, and that had been done on
12	"Nearly 1 million units were used in the NHS in	12	24 September 1982, and we can see from the other
13	the last complete year for which records are available	13	documents I've cited that the product by this time was
14	and the total use over the last six years is	14	also licensed in Brazil, Denmark, West Germany,
15	14.5 million units."	15	Switzerland and Austria.
16	That's DHSC0105547_002.	16	The same letter, of 26 October 1982, said that
17	The same assessment which was prepared for the	17	Immuno weren't going to advise the use of FEIBA for
18	Subcommittee on Biologicals gave the view that there	18	patients with Factor IX and IX A inhibitors anymore,
19	was evidence of efficacy of FEIBA, but while it was	19	just Factor VIII. That's SHPL0000104_037.
20	quite extensive it was largely anecdotal and	20	Further written representations were made to the
21	uncontrolled, meaning no controlled experiment was run	21	DHSS on 1 June 1983, SHPL0000093_009, and that
22	alongside the trial that was being done.	22	included a copy of a data sheet that warned that:
23	"The CSM main committee advised that they were	23	"Despite precautions taken in the selection of
24	unable to recommend that a product licence be granted	24	donors and the testing of donations, it is impossible
25	on grounds related to quality and efficacy. In	25	to exclude transmission of viral hepatitis."
	153		154

The further submissions were considered by the Committee on Safety of Medicines on 21 July 1983, and their conclusion was that it was still unable to advise that a licence be granted on the grounds of efficacy and quality. That was communicated to Immuno on 5 September 1983. SHPL0000104_026.

If we could have on screen, please, Soumik, SHPL0000085_037.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is a letter from Mr Berry to Immuno AG, informing them of the rejection of the licence. The first two paragraphs of that letter it says:

"We have now been informed that the Committee of Safety of Medicines are unable, on the grounds of efficacy and quality, to recommend the Licensing Authority to issue a Product Licence for FEIBA.

"You will notice that they have not included, 'on grounds of safety', so we will not be prevented from continuing to sell on a doctor/named patient basis."

That is dated 27 September 1983. I pause there to note, sir, that other licences, notably for Prothromplex, were rejected on the grounds of safety and, from the documents we have seen, I've seen no suggestion that they ceased to be sold on a named patient basis because of that.

155

SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Is there anything in the Act which

2 would lead to that conclusion?

3 MR HILL: Not as far as I'm aware.

SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So the only barrier might be,

assuming there is no other statute that might preclude
 the sale, might be the duty of a doctor to take

the sale, might be the duty of a doctor to take reasonable care for his patient -- the law of

8 negligence, in effect -- and if something is not

known -- or ought to be known as not reasonably safe,not using it.

MR HILL: Yes. But the other option that was available to
 the Licensing Authority, as we saw from the way that

the named patient secondary legislation developed, was

that by 1984, or 1985, that there was a process bywhich the Licensing Authority had a period, I forget

now if it was 28 or 35 days, but they had a period in

which they could say that they were not prepared to

18 allow --

17

4

19 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes.

20 MR HILL: -- the --

21 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: But this pre-dates that, doesn't it?

22 MR HILL: This is September 1983. Yes, it does. But that

would have been governed by the previous order, which
 meant that they at least -- the Licensing Authority

25 had to be notified, and they could have taken action

156 (39) Pages 153 - 156

1	thereafter when they were notified.	1	Directors in a trial for FEIBA, which seems to have
2	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Under what head?	2	been a retrospective trial to show how the product had
3	MR HILL:	3	operated. All of this was presented as evidence to
4	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: You can come back to me on that.	4	the Medicines Commission in order to try to get the
5	MR HILL: I will come back to you on that, but I	5	licence. The references are SHPL0000101_045, _046,
6	I think it may have involved the use of stop orders,	6	_024, and SHPL0000078_030.
7	but we will come back on that.	7	Sir, while efforts are being made for the
8	On 29 October 1984, so stepping forward a year,	8	non-heat-treated FEIBA application, there was also,
9	Mr Berry informed the Medicines Commission that he	9	going along in parallel, efforts to introduce
10	intended to make representations and have a hearing	10	a heat-treated version of the product in 1984, and it
11	about the FEIBA application, SHPL0000104_017. So this	11	was the method for heat treatment, dry heat treatment,
12	is the appeals process that the Act allowed for.	12	10 hours at 80 degrees, that was settled upon. That's
13	As part of the sorry, I've written down	13	SHPL000068_074. So those two processes going along in
14	29 October 1984, I suspect that actually should be	14	parallel.
15	29 October 1983.	15	By April 1985, Immuno Ltd were selling, and
16	Soumik, can we have SHPL0000104_017, please?	16	I quote: " substantial [quantities]" of
17	Sir, this is the the letter is from	17	heat-treated FEIBA, and they wanted "further evidence
18	29 October 1984. This refers to the point at which	18	that anti-viral treatment does not cause
19	Immuno had, as it were, got their ducks in a row in	19	thrombogenicity."
20	order to make the application to the Medicines	20	That is the document we looked at earlier in
21	Commission, because earlier correspondence, from	21	respect of Prothromplex. The reference is
22	earlier on in the year, shows that among the work that	22	SHPL0000048_024.
23	they did is they enlisted Dr Preston to act as a party	23	So substantial quantities of the heat-treated
24	to present the case to the Medicines Commission, and	24	product being sold.
25	they also involved a number of Haemophilia Centre	25	The hearing before the Medicines Commission took
20		25	
	157		158
1	place on 21 June 1985. By then, FEIBA had been	1	In the same report, Dr Adams notes that there is
2	available on a named patient basis for nine years.	2	a lack of control data in respect of efficacy, but
3	There is a pharmaceutical examiner's report at	3	says that there seems to be a general professional
4	SHPL0000078_010, and a medical assessment, which I'll	4	confidence in the product.
5	ask for Soumik to put on the screen, please, it's	5	On 22 August 1985, DHSS informed Immuno that the
6	SHPL0000078_011. This, if we could go down to,	6	commission agreed to advise the Licensing Authority
7	please, paragraph 7.1. I don't have the page number,	7	that a product licence be granted. That is
8	so scroll through. One up, please.	8	SHPL0000104_010. That licence was granted on
9	So this was prepared by a Dr P Adams, and among	9	17 October 1985, SHPL0000109_049.
10	the things that is said about heat-treated the heat	10	It's clear from the papers that that licence is
11	treatment is the efficacy of the heat treatment is	11	for the dry-heated FEIBA. During the time that the
12	only demonstrated in relation to the virus ATC C VR 68	12	licensing process has unfulled, as we know, Immuno
13	at 60 degrees Centigrade for 10 hours it would be	13	have moved towards steam or vapour-treated products
14	normal practice to demonstrate the heat treatment	14	and so, as with the other products, there then follows
15	method effective against a wider (reading to the	15	a process of seeking an amendment to the licence to
16	words) company does not claim total viral	16	change it too steam-treated product. We can see that
17	sterility but says "despite the measures taken to	17	at SHPL0000050_011.
18	reduce the risk the transmission of viral hepatitis or	18	There was discussion at around this time,
19	other viral infections cannot be ruled out"."	19	October 1985, about whether or not to apply
20	I we could go to the next page, please:	20	straightaway for an amendment or whether to wait for
	"Despite this caution it is currently thought if	21	a period of time, given that the product has only just
		22	been licensed for dry-heated heat treatment and the
21	the method of heat treatment is performed as stated in		•
21 22	the method of heat treatment is performed as stated in this document there is little risk of the AIDS	23	latter view was one that was expressed by Immuno I to
21 22 23	this document there is little risk of the AIDS	23 24	latter view was one that was expressed by Immuno Ltd. That's SHPI 0000067 030
21 22	·	23 24 25	latter view was one that was expressed by Immuno Ltd. That's SHPL0000067_030. By July 1986, it appears that Immuno Ltd had

1	either applied for a variation to allow for vapour or	1	product specific steam treatments and their policy is
2	steam treatment, or had intimated to the Licensing	2	to change over to steam treatment in all countries.
3	Authority that they were going to do so. The	3	They are no longer able to produce the dry heated
4	reference is SHPL0000102_142. It was in respect of	4	product for the UK as all other countries have already
5	that if that the Committee on Safety of Medicines sent	5	changed over to steam treatment, including the USA
6	a letter expressing concerns about the product, and	6	where the product is known as Feiba vapour heated and
7	included the fact that they considered steam treatment	7	was licensed in March 1986. The product specific
8	to be, as they put it, a misnomer and unacceptable.	8	steam treatment for Feiba is 10 hours at 60 degrees
9	Again, we'll come back to that.	9	[Celsius] at an excess pressure of 190 [millibars] and
10	Immuno wrote to doctors on 21 July 1986	10	a further treatment for 1 hour at 80 degrees [Celsius]
11	informing that, henceforth, they would only be	11	at an excess pressure of 375 [millibars].
12	supplying FEIBA steam-treated, as Immuno AG were no	12	"From today's date we shall only be supplying
13	longer able to produce the dry-heated product for the	13	Feiba steam treated. This can only be issued on a
14	UK. If we could have that up, please, Soumik,	14	doctor/patient basis until we have amended our current
15	SBTS0000330_115.	15	Product Licence. The price for Feiba steam treated
16	I'll remind you again, sir, of the prohibitions	16	will be maintained at 30 [pence] per unit.
17	on advertising and making representations about	17	"We have applied for a variation to our Product
18	an licensed product. 21 July 1986:	18	Licence and we will inform you as soon as this hasbeen
19	"Dear Doctor	19	approved."
20	"We wrote to you in January this year informing	20	That was sent by Peter Coombes, at that time the
21	you that FEIBA heat treated was licensed for sale in	21	managing director.
22	the United Kingdom. This product is heat-treated by	22	The application for an amended licence for FEIBA
23	a dry heat method for 10 hours at 80 degrees	23	vapour heated was submitted at the end of November
24	[Celsius].	24	1986. That's SHPL0000094_004.
25	"Our company in Austria has now developed	25	On 12 August 1987, Immuno met with members of
	161		162

the Licensing Authority and they discussed the Kryobulin Prothromplex and FEIBA licence applications. We've looked at the documents about that meeting. I won't take you back to them. The reference is MHRA0033319_040. Immuno withdrew the FEIBA and Prothromplex variation applications on 8 September 1987, that's SHPL0000106_220.

So far as we can tell, Immuno submitted no further documents to the DHSS in relation to FEIBA vapour heated during 1988 and 1989. There were letters at this time going between Immuno Ltd and Immuno AG expressing concern about the licensing situation, and we referred to those earlier. Immuno Ltd saying, "We need to sort out licences in the UK if we're going to be able to continue selling our products here".

In July 1990, Immuno submitted two applications. One was to -- or two sets of applications. One was to vary the FEIBA product licence to change it to vapour heating and the other was to renew the existing dry-heated FEIBA product licence, even though that product was not being sold in the United Kingdom at that time. The references are SHPL0000106_098 and SHPL0000311_055.

A further reference, SHPL0000102_119. In the

application it was said that:

"It cannot be precluded with certainty that both known or unknown viruses which may occur in plasma are transmitted through factor concentrates."

But it also added that:

"Clinical safety studies have shown no cases of hepatitis B, NANB or HIV transmission in the product."

The CSM considered Immuno's variation application in June 1991 and concluded, again, that they were unable to advise the grant of the variation, this time on grounds of safety and quality. The reference is MHRA0034575_059.

They provided several reasons, including that the rationale for the second vapour-heating step, which is one hour at 80 degrees, hadn't been provided. That comparative viral inactivation data had not been presented for the dry-heat process and that each plasma donation had not been tested for HIV 2 antibodies. Immuno were informed of the decision on 19 July 1991, SHPL0000268_045.

Further correspondence took place between Immuno AG and Immuno Ltd, SHPL0000106_094, and there was a meeting on 8 October 1991 between Immuno, the Medicines Control Agency, SHPL0000106_080. It is fair to say that Immuno and Immuno -- Immuno AG and Immuno

164 (41) Pages 161 - 164

	1 Ltd were both more optimistic about the prospects of	1	Licence that they're now meant to be steam treated was
	2 getting a revised licence for FEIBA and continued to	2	granted on 10 June 1993. SHPL0000109_001.
	3 seek to do so at a time when they had pretty much	3	From our analysis of that chronology, it follows
	4 given up on Kryobulin and Prothromplex.	4	that between the introduction of FEIBA in the
	5 That is shown by the setting up of the task	5	mid-1970s to the UK market and the granting of the
	force to try to obtain the licence in December 1991.	6	variation licence in June 1993, the only time at which
	7 That's SHPL0000106_073.	7	variants of FEIBA were provided for use in the UK
	8 The new application was submitted on 26 March	8	under a contemporary licence was a 10-month period
	9 1992, SHPL0000266_041, and also in 1992 there was an	9	between October 1985, the date of the first licence
	application to renew the licence under an EU	10	and July 1986, the point at which dry heated FEIBA
	legislation as well, MHRA0029398. The Subcommittee on	11	ceased to be provided. For the rest of this period,
	12 Biologicals assessed Immuno's March 1992 submissions	12	approximately 18 years, FEIBA variants were supplied
	in April 1993 and recommended that the variation	13	on a named patient basis.
	14 application be approved. The reference is	14	We do note, however, that the licences were
	15 MHRA0027402.	15	granted in 1985 and 1993 for products which had been
	16 The Subcommittee remarks included that:	16	previously supplied on a named patient basis.
	17 "From the evidence presented it cannot be	17	That, sir, concludes what I have to say about
	presumed that the lyophilisation process will	18	FEIBA, and about the licensing process in respect of
	19 consistently contribute to the removal of	19	
		20	the Immuno products. I appreciate it has been something of a slog
	3	20	.,
	,	21	today. The next step in the presentation will be to
	relevance later, sir.		talk about the way in which risk was communicated in
	The Medicines Control Agency informed Immuno on	23	the labels in the leaflets of the various products
	4 May 1993 that the application had been approved,	24	including the way that the distinction between
•	25 that's SHPL0000266_039, and the variation to the	25	European Kryobulin and American Kryobulin, if it can
	165		166
	Be put in those terms, was expressed.	1	
	2 I'm conscious of the time, sir, and I'm in your	2	
	hands.	3	INDEX
	4 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Very well. Thank you very much. 10	4	
	5 o'clock tomorrow. 10 o'clock.	5	Presentation by Counsel to the
		6	Companies
	6 (4.33 pm) 7 (The hearing was adjourned until 10 am on Friday,	7	
	8 24 September 2021)	8 9	
	9		
	10	10	
	11	11	
	12	12	
	13	13	
	14	14	
	15	15	
	16	16	
	17	17	
	18	18	
	19	19	
	20	20	
	21	21	
	22	22	
	23	23	
	24	24	
2	25	25	
	167		168 (42) Pages 165 - 168

MR HILL: [112] 1/6	103/1 103/6 103/8 103/10 103/16 109/23	008 [1] 119/3 009 [3] 65/23 143/3	093 [1] 149/3 094 [2] 127/24 164/22		18th [1] 108/21 19 [10] 11/11 11/15
9/4 9/9 9/23 10/5 10/7	115/12 116/1 116/9 116/17 116/21 130/4	154/21 01 [1] 141/7	095 [1] 152/5 097 [2] 79/5 124/21	11.45 [2] 48/10 48/14	11/22 12/1 12/15 13/22 96/24 97/1 97/3
21/7 21/15 21/17	130/7 137/4 137/21	010 [2] 159/4 160/8		110 [1] 94/10 111 [1] 151/19	164/20
21/20 24/11 24/13	137/25 143/16 143/22	011 [6] 108/5 115/7	099 [2] 79/5 149/15	114 [1] 151/9	19 March 1982 [1]
24/21 25/18 26/1 26/12 26/17 26/23	144/7 144/10 144/19	115/14 151/1 159/6		115 [1] 161/15	154/7
29/5 37/13 38/4 38/6	145/15 145/18 150/11	160/17	1	118 [1] 76/10	190 [1] 162/9
38/8 48/11 48/15 60/3	156/1 156/4 156/19	013 [1] 114/22	1 December 1972 [1]	119 [1] 163/25	1960 [1] 55/6
60/7 60/11 60/16	156/21 157/2 157/4 167/4	014 [1] 74/16 017 [3] 120/11 157/11	65/20 1 February 1977 [1]	12 [8] 6/9 37/10 37/14 65/9 122/4 123/11	1965 [2] 6/24 59/11
60/19 60/21 62/14	107/4	157/16	84/11	150/13 162/25	1968 [15] 2/21 4/12
67/8 67/12 67/19 71/4	<u></u>	018 [1] 154/7	1 June 1983 [1]	12 March 1985 [1]	26/25 27/8 38/25
71/9 71/16 73/13 73/19 74/1 74/5 77/11	' 70s [1] 58/24	019 [2] 130/25 132/1	154/21	139/9	46/19 60/17 63/17
77/16 78/5 78/9 81/7	'73 [3] 73/9 73/18 74/4		1 million [3] 42/22	12 million [1] 139/18	73/2 91/3 96/17 96/22
83/8 83/11 83/13	'78 [1] 88/3	021 [2] 108/4 123/17	93/2 153/12	12 months [1] 76/22	1968 Act [1] 17/8
83/21 84/19 84/21	'80s [3] 55/25 58/24 143/19	0215/0003 [1] 91/21 022 [2] 135/4 146/21	1 November 1973 [1] 75/7	12,000 [1] 133/11 124 [1] 34/18	 1969 [1] 59/13 1970 [3] 59/17 59/21
85/1 86/8 86/16 86/22	'84 [2] 135/6 143/19	024 [4] 76/3 140/18	1 October 1976 [1]	12p [1] 113/4	61/2
86/24 87/1 87/5 87/9	'84 and [1] 143/19	158/6 158/22	33/15	13 [6] 6/21 17/3 37/19	1970s [4] 1/12 48/24
87/13 87/19 88/6 88/9 88/11 88/13 92/7	' 85 [1] 143/19	025 [2] 74/18 140/14	1,000 [2] 68/18 71/14	43/8 65/14 72/15	54/25 166/5
96/14 96/19 98/18	'85 and [1] 143/19	026 [4] 94/11 111/10	1,000 donors [1]	13 July 1983 [2]	1971 [2] 60/9 61/5
98/23 99/12 99/15	'89 [3] 143/19 143/19	135/9 155/6	68/15	30/21 37/6	1972 [9] 55/11 61/11
99/19 100/4 101/13	143/20 'Abridged' [1] 41/2	028 [2] 106/5 118/16 029 [1] 139/10	1.08 pm [1] 96/11 1.2 million [1] 78/22	13 September 1985 [1] 142/7	61/22 62/5 62/16 62/21 65/20 65/21
101/19 101/24 102/2	'Epidemic' [1] 95/7	030 [2] 158/6 160/24	1.5 million [1] 134/20	136 [1] 123/15	130/15
102/4 102/7 103/2	'full [1] 32/15	031 [1] 150/23	1.928 million [2]	14 [4] 8/1 65/4 94/19	1973 [15] 68/11 69/8
103/7 103/9 103/13 103/18 109/24 115/18	'hepatitis [1] 148/5	033 [1] 142/19	133/16 152/3	146/10	72/2 72/7 72/13 73/14
116/8 116/13 116/20	'hepatitis-C [1] 148/5	037 [2] 154/19 155/8	10 [10] 5/13 34/24	14 April 1992 [1]	73/22 73/23 74/14
116/22 130/6 130/12	'in [1] 109/18	039 [2] 143/6 165/25	38/21 64/21 161/23	129/20	74/19 75/7 131/4
137/13 137/24 138/1	'Licensing [1] 3/9 'marketing [1] 7/4	040 [4] 118/8 123/15 133/22 163/5	162/8 166/2 167/4 167/5 167/7	14 August 1973 [1] 131/24	131/8 131/24 132/2 1974 [2] 27/5 27/22
143/21 144/2 144/9					1314[2] 2113 21122
	New 111 40/25	1 041 [1] 165/9	10 December 19/3 [1]	14 May 1984 [1] 18/11	l 1975 [11] 6/11 7/16
144/17 144/22 145/17	'New [1] 40/25 'nit [1] 45/20	041 [1] 165/9 044 [3] 76/3 119/1	132/2	14 May 1984 [1] 18/11 14 October 1975 [1]	1975 [11] 6/11 7/16 14/22 78/25 79/1 79/4
144/17 144/22 145/17 145/22 150/12 156/3	'nit [1] 45/20 'nit-picking' [1] 45/20	044 [3] 76/3 119/1 121/20	132/2 10 hours [14] 104/11	14 May 1984 [1] 18/11 14 October 1975 [1] 79/1	
144/17 144/22 145/17 145/22 150/12 156/3 156/11 156/20 156/22	'nit [1] 45/20 'nit-picking' [1] 45/20 'on [1] 155/17	044 [3] 76/3 119/1 121/20 045 [3] 147/15 158/5	132/2 10 hours [14] 104/11 104/12 104/16 104/21	14 October 1975 [1] 79/1 14.5 million [1]	14/22 78/25 79/1 79/4 83/19 132/15 132/19 150/13 150/18
144/17 144/22 145/17 145/22 150/12 156/3	'nit [1] 45/20 'nit-picking' [1] 45/20 'on [1] 155/17 'product [1] 2/23	044 [3] 76/3 119/1 121/20 045 [3] 147/15 158/5 164/20	132/2 10 hours [14] 104/11 104/12 104/16 104/21 104/25 107/17 109/10	14 October 1975 [1] 79/1 14.5 million [1] 153/15	14/22 78/25 79/1 79/4 83/19 132/15 132/19 150/13 150/18 1976 [20] 30/13 33/15
144/17 144/22 145/17 145/22 150/12 156/3 156/11 156/20 156/22 157/3 157/5 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: [112]	'nit [1] 45/20 'nit-picking' [1] 45/20 'on [1] 155/17 'product [1] 2/23 'Section [1] 3/6	044 [3] 76/3 119/1 121/20 045 [3] 147/15 158/5 164/20 046 [1] 158/5	132/2 10 hours [14] 104/11 104/12 104/16 104/21 104/25 107/17 109/10 110/5 120/13 122/24	14 October 1975 [1] 79/1 14.5 million [1] 153/15 142 [2] 131/6 161/4	14/22 78/25 79/1 79/4 83/19 132/15 132/19 150/13 150/18 1976 [20] 30/13 33/15 41/25 55/13 76/12
144/17 144/22 145/17 145/22 150/12 156/3 156/11 156/20 156/22 157/3 157/5 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: [112] 1/5 9/2 9/5 9/21 10/2	'nit [1] 45/20 'nit-picking' [1] 45/20 'on [1] 155/17 'product [1] 2/23 'Section [1] 3/6 'Section 4 [1] 3/6	044 [3] 76/3 119/1 121/20 045 [3] 147/15 158/5 164/20 046 [1] 158/5 048 [1] 72/15	132/2 10 hours [14] 104/11 104/12 104/16 104/21 104/25 107/17 109/10 110/5 120/13 122/24 134/25 142/13 158/12	14 October 1975 [1] 79/1 14.5 million [1] 153/15 142 [2] 131/6 161/4 1461 [1] 17/12	14/22 78/25 79/1 79/4 83/19 132/15 132/19 150/13 150/18 1976 [20] 30/13 33/15 41/25 55/13 76/12 76/16 76/22 79/7 79/9
144/17 144/22 145/17 145/22 150/12 156/3 156/11 156/20 156/22 157/3 157/5 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: [112] 1/5 9/2 9/5 9/21 10/2 10/6 21/6 21/11 21/16	'nit [1] 45/20 'nit-picking' [1] 45/20 'on [1] 155/17 'product [1] 2/23 'Section [1] 3/6	044 [3] 76/3 119/1 121/20 045 [3] 147/15 158/5 164/20 046 [1] 158/5	132/2 10 hours [14] 104/11 104/12 104/16 104/21 104/25 107/17 109/10 110/5 120/13 122/24	14 October 1975 [1] 79/1 14.5 million [1] 153/15 142 [2] 131/6 161/4	14/22 78/25 79/1 79/4 83/19 132/15 132/19 150/13 150/18 1976 [20] 30/13 33/15 41/25 55/13 76/12 76/16 76/22 79/7 79/9
144/17 144/22 145/17 145/22 150/12 156/3 156/11 156/20 156/22 157/3 157/5 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: [112] 1/5 9/2 9/5 9/21 10/2 10/6 21/6 21/11 21/16 21/18 24/3 24/12	'nit [1] 45/20 'nit-picking' [1] 45/20 'on [1] 155/17 'product [1] 2/23 'Section [1] 3/6 'Section 4 [1] 3/6 'steam [1] 118/24 'the [3] 3/10 3/11 39/25	044 [3] 76/3 119/1 121/20 045 [3] 147/15 158/5 164/20 046 [1] 158/5 048 [1] 72/15 049 [1] 160/9 054 [1] 136/6 055 [3] 94/14 133/25	132/2 10 hours [14] 104/11 104/12 104/16 104/21 104/25 107/17 109/10 110/5 120/13 122/24 134/25 142/13 158/12 159/13 10 October 1975 [1] 78/25	14 October 1975 [1] 79/1 14.5 million [1] 153/15 142 [2] 131/6 161/4 1461 [1] 17/12 14p [2] 113/3 114/3 15 [1] 128/7 151 [1] 123/6	14/22 78/25 79/1 79/4 83/19 132/15 132/19 150/13 150/18 1976 [20] 30/13 33/15 41/25 55/13 76/12 76/16 76/22 79/7 79/9 81/2 81/19 83/1 83/12 83/13 83/24 88/22 89/14 103/9 150/19
144/17 144/22 145/17 145/22 150/12 156/3 156/11 156/20 156/22 157/3 157/5 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: [112] 1/5 9/2 9/5 9/21 10/2 10/6 21/6 21/11 21/16 21/18 24/3 24/12 24/20 25/15 25/21	'nit [1] 45/20 'nit-picking' [1] 45/20 'on [1] 155/17 'product [1] 2/23 'Section [1] 3/6 'Section 4 [1] 3/6 'steam [1] 118/24 'the [3] 3/10 3/11 39/25 'To [1] 39/7	044 [3] 76/3 119/1 121/20 045 [3] 147/15 158/5 164/20 046 [1] 158/5 048 [1] 72/15 049 [1] 160/9 054 [1] 136/6 055 [3] 94/14 133/25 163/24	132/2 10 hours [14] 104/11 104/12 104/16 104/21 104/25 107/17 109/10 110/5 120/13 122/24 134/25 142/13 158/12 159/13 10 October 1975 [1] 78/25 10 years [1] 18/1	14 October 1975 [1] 79/1 14.5 million [1] 153/15 142 [2] 131/6 161/4 1461 [1] 17/12 14p [2] 113/3 114/3 15 [1] 128/7 151 [1] 123/6 158 [1] 123/6	14/22 78/25 79/1 79/4 83/19 132/15 132/19 150/13 150/18 1976 [20] 30/13 33/15 41/25 55/13 76/12 76/16 76/22 79/7 79/9 81/2 81/19 83/1 83/12 83/13 83/24 88/22 89/14 103/9 150/19 150/23
144/17 144/22 145/17 145/22 150/12 156/3 156/11 156/20 156/22 157/3 157/5 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: [112] 1/5 9/2 9/5 9/21 10/2 10/6 21/6 21/11 21/16 21/18 24/3 24/12	'nit [1] 45/20 'nit-picking' [1] 45/20 'on [1] 155/17 'product [1] 2/23 'Section [1] 3/6 'Section 4 [1] 3/6 'steam [1] 118/24 'the [3] 3/10 3/11 39/25	044 [3] 76/3 119/1 121/20 045 [3] 147/15 158/5 164/20 046 [1] 158/5 048 [1] 72/15 049 [1] 160/9 054 [1] 136/6 055 [3] 94/14 133/25 163/24 057 [2] 69/13 85/24	132/2 10 hours [14] 104/11 104/12 104/16 104/21 104/25 107/17 109/10 110/5 120/13 122/24 134/25 142/13 158/12 159/13 10 October 1975 [1] 78/25 10 years [1] 18/1 10-month [1] 166/8	14 October 1975 [1] 79/1 14.5 million [1] 153/15 142 [2] 131/6 161/4 1461 [1] 17/12 14p [2] 113/3 114/3 15 [1] 128/7 151 [1] 123/6 158 [1] 123/6	14/22 78/25 79/1 79/4 83/19 132/15 132/19 150/13 150/18 1976 [20] 30/13 33/15 41/25 55/13 76/12 76/16 76/22 79/7 79/9 81/2 81/19 83/1 83/12 83/13 83/24 88/22 89/14 103/9 150/19 150/23 1977 [9] 84/5 84/11
144/17 144/22 145/17 145/22 150/12 156/3 156/11 156/20 156/22 157/3 157/5 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: [112] 1/5 9/2 9/5 9/21 10/2 10/6 21/6 21/11 21/16 21/18 24/3 24/12 24/20 25/15 25/21 26/10 26/13 26/22 29/4 37/12 38/2 38/5 38/7 48/9 60/1 60/4	'nit [1] 45/20 'nit-picking' [1] 45/20 'on [1] 155/17 'product [1] 2/23 'Section [1] 3/6 'Section 4 [1] 3/6 'steam [1] 118/24 'the [3] 3/10 3/11 39/25 'To [1] 39/7	044 [3] 76/3 119/1 121/20 045 [3] 147/15 158/5 164/20 046 [1] 158/5 048 [1] 72/15 049 [1] 160/9 054 [1] 136/6 055 [3] 94/14 133/25 163/24 057 [2] 69/13 85/24 058 [2] 85/14 127/19	132/2 10 hours [14] 104/11 104/12 104/16 104/21 104/25 107/17 109/10 110/5 120/13 122/24 134/25 142/13 158/12 159/13 10 October 1975 [1] 78/25 10 years [1] 18/1 10-month [1] 166/8 10.00 [1] 1/2	14 October 1975 [1] 79/1 14.5 million [1] 153/15 142 [2] 131/6 161/4 1461 [1] 17/12 14p [2] 113/3 114/3 15 [1] 128/7 151 [1] 123/6 158 [1] 123/6 15p [1] 114/4 16 February 1978 [2]	14/22 78/25 79/1 79/4 83/19 132/15 132/19 150/13 150/18 1976 [20] 30/13 33/15 41/25 55/13 76/12 76/16 76/22 79/7 79/9 81/2 81/19 83/1 83/12 83/13 83/24 88/22 89/14 103/9 150/19 150/23 1977 [9] 84/5 84/11 85/4 89/17 90/10
144/17 144/22 145/17 145/22 150/12 156/3 156/11 156/20 156/22 157/3 157/5 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: [112] 1/5 9/2 9/5 9/21 10/2 10/6 21/6 21/11 21/16 21/18 24/3 24/12 24/20 25/15 25/21 26/10 26/13 26/22 29/4 37/12 38/2 38/5 38/7 48/9 60/1 60/4 60/9 60/14 60/18	'nit [1] 45/20 'nit-picking' [1] 45/20 'on [1] 155/17 'product [1] 2/23 'Section [1] 3/6 'Section 4 [1] 3/6 'steam [1] 118/24 'the [3] 3/10 3/11 39/25 'To [1] 39/7 'wide [1] 4/14	044 [3] 76/3 119/1 121/20 045 [3] 147/15 158/5 164/20 046 [1] 158/5 048 [1] 72/15 049 [1] 160/9 054 [1] 136/6 055 [3] 94/14 133/25 163/24 057 [2] 69/13 85/24	132/2 10 hours [14] 104/11 104/12 104/16 104/21 104/25 107/17 109/10 110/5 120/13 122/24 134/25 142/13 158/12 159/13 10 October 1975 [1] 78/25 10 years [1] 18/1 10-month [1] 166/8	14 October 1975 [1] 79/1 14.5 million [1] 153/15 142 [2] 131/6 161/4 1461 [1] 17/12 14p [2] 113/3 114/3 15 [1] 128/7 151 [1] 123/6 158 [1] 123/6	14/22 78/25 79/1 79/4 83/19 132/15 132/19 150/13 150/18 1976 [20] 30/13 33/15 41/25 55/13 76/12 76/16 76/22 79/7 79/9 81/2 81/19 83/1 83/12 83/13 83/24 88/22 89/14 103/9 150/19 150/23 1977 [9] 84/5 84/11 85/4 89/17 90/10 90/22 151/1 151/4
144/17 144/22 145/17 145/22 150/12 156/3 156/11 156/20 156/22 157/3 157/5 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: [112] 1/5 9/2 9/5 9/21 10/2 10/6 21/6 21/11 21/16 21/18 24/3 24/12 24/20 25/15 25/21 26/10 26/13 26/22 29/4 37/12 38/2 38/5 38/7 48/9 60/1 60/4 60/9 60/14 60/18 60/20 62/12 67/6	'nit [1] 45/20 'nit-picking' [1] 45/20 'on [1] 155/17 'product [1] 2/23 'Section [1] 3/6 'Section 4 [1] 3/6 'steam [1] 118/24 'the [3] 3/10 3/11 39/25 'To [1] 39/7 'wide [1] 4/14 0 0.2 [1] 30/10 0.8 million [1] 134/7	044 [3] 76/3 119/1 121/20 045 [3] 147/15 158/5 164/20 046 [1] 158/5 048 [1] 72/15 049 [1] 160/9 054 [1] 136/6 055 [3] 94/14 133/25 163/24 057 [2] 69/13 85/24 058 [2] 85/14 127/19 059 [2] 85/6 164/12	132/2 10 hours [14] 104/11 104/12 104/16 104/21 104/25 107/17 109/10 110/5 120/13 122/24 134/25 142/13 158/12 159/13 10 October 1975 [1] 78/25 10 years [1] 18/1 10-month [1] 166/8 10.00 [1] 1/2 10.5 million [1] 78/21	14 October 1975 [1] 79/1 14.5 million [1] 153/15 142 [2] 131/6 161/4 1461 [1] 17/12 14p [2] 113/3 114/3 15 [1] 128/7 151 [1] 123/6 158 [1] 123/6 15p [1] 114/4 16 February 1978 [2] 85/7 88/1	14/22 78/25 79/1 79/4 83/19 132/15 132/19 150/13 150/18 1976 [20] 30/13 33/15 41/25 55/13 76/12 76/16 76/22 79/7 79/9 81/2 81/19 83/1 83/12 83/13 83/24 88/22 89/14 103/9 150/19 150/23 1977 [9] 84/5 84/11 85/4 89/17 90/10 90/22 151/1 151/4
144/17 144/22 145/17 145/22 150/12 156/3 156/11 156/20 156/22 157/3 157/5 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: [112] 1/5 9/2 9/5 9/21 10/2 10/6 21/6 21/11 21/16 21/18 24/3 24/12 24/20 25/15 25/21 26/10 26/13 26/22 29/4 37/12 38/2 38/5 38/7 48/9 60/1 60/4 60/9 60/14 60/18 60/20 62/12 67/6 67/11 67/15 71/1 71/5	'nit [1] 45/20 'nit-picking' [1] 45/20 'on [1] 155/17 'product [1] 2/23 'Section [1] 3/6 'Section 4 [1] 3/6 'steam [1] 118/24 'the [3] 3/10 3/11 39/25 'To [1] 39/7 'wide [1] 4/14 0 0.2 [1] 30/10 0.8 million [1] 134/7 0.9 million [1] 96/5	044 [3] 76/3 119/1 121/20 045 [3] 147/15 158/5 164/20 046 [1] 158/5 048 [1] 72/15 049 [1] 160/9 054 [1] 136/6 055 [3] 94/14 133/25 163/24 057 [2] 69/13 85/24 058 [2] 85/14 127/19 059 [2] 85/6 164/12 060 [2] 68/13 143/15 061 [1] 74/7 066 [1] 108/4	132/2 10 hours [14] 104/11 104/12 104/16 104/21 104/25 107/17 109/10 110/5 120/13 122/24 134/25 142/13 158/12 159/13 10 October 1975 [1] 78/25 10 years [1] 18/1 10-month [1] 166/8 10.00 [1] 1/2 10.5 million [1] 78/21 10.5p [1] 113/6 100 [2] 59/23 63/22 100,000 [1] 78/1	14 October 1975 [1] 79/1 14.5 million [1] 153/15 142 [2] 131/6 161/4 1461 [1] 17/12 14p [2] 113/3 114/3 15 [1] 128/7 151 [1] 123/6 158 [1] 123/6 15p [1] 114/4 16 February 1978 [2] 85/7 88/1 16 May 1984 [1] 18/12 16 September 1987 [1] 123/17	14/22 78/25 79/1 79/4 83/19 132/15 132/19 150/13 150/18 1976 [20] 30/13 33/15 41/25 55/13 76/12 76/16 76/22 79/7 79/9 81/2 81/19 83/1 83/12 83/13 83/24 88/22 89/14 103/9 150/19 150/23 1977 [9] 84/5 84/11 85/4 89/17 90/10 90/22 151/1 151/4 151/14 1978 [22] 17/9 17/12 18/1 22/15 22/23 25/1
144/17 144/22 145/17 145/22 150/12 156/3 156/11 156/20 156/22 157/3 157/5 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: [112] 1/5 9/2 9/5 9/21 10/2 10/6 21/6 21/11 21/16 21/18 24/3 24/12 24/20 25/15 25/21 26/10 26/13 26/22 29/4 37/12 38/2 38/5 38/7 48/9 60/1 60/4 60/9 60/14 60/18 60/20 62/12 67/6	'nit [1] 45/20 'nit-picking' [1] 45/20 'on [1] 155/17 'product [1] 2/23 'Section [1] 3/6 'Section 4 [1] 3/6 'steam [1] 118/24 'the [3] 3/10 3/11 39/25 'To [1] 39/7 'wide [1] 4/14 0 0.2 [1] 30/10 0.8 million [1] 134/7 0.9 million [1] 96/5 0003 [2] 79/23 91/21	044 [3] 76/3 119/1 121/20 045 [3] 147/15 158/5 164/20 046 [1] 158/5 048 [1] 72/15 049 [1] 160/9 054 [1] 136/6 055 [3] 94/14 133/25 163/24 057 [2] 69/13 85/24 058 [2] 85/14 127/19 059 [2] 85/6 164/12 060 [2] 68/13 143/15 061 [1] 74/7 066 [1] 108/4 069 [2] 84/2 132/10	132/2 10 hours [14] 104/11 104/12 104/16 104/21 104/25 107/17 109/10 110/5 120/13 122/24 134/25 142/13 158/12 159/13 10 October 1975 [1] 78/25 10 years [1] 18/1 10-month [1] 166/8 10.00 [1] 1/2 10.5 million [1] 78/21 10.5p [1] 113/6 100 [2] 59/23 63/22 100,000 [1] 78/1 102 [1] 138/3	14 October 1975 [1] 79/1 14.5 million [1] 153/15 142 [2] 131/6 161/4 1461 [1] 17/12 14p [2] 113/3 114/3 15 [1] 128/7 151 [1] 123/6 158 [1] 123/6 15p [1] 114/4 16 February 1978 [2] 85/7 88/1 16 May 1984 [1] 18/12 16 September 1987 [1] 123/17 163 [1] 69/9	14/22 78/25 79/1 79/4 83/19 132/15 132/19 150/13 150/18 1976 [20] 30/13 33/15 41/25 55/13 76/12 76/16 76/22 79/7 79/9 81/2 81/19 83/1 83/12 83/13 83/24 88/22 89/14 103/9 150/19 150/23 1977 [9] 84/5 84/11 85/4 89/17 90/10 90/22 151/1 151/4 151/14 1978 [22] 17/9 17/12 18/1 22/15 22/23 25/1 30/16 53/2 85/7 85/20
144/17 144/22 145/17 145/22 150/12 156/3 156/11 156/20 156/22 157/3 157/5 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: [112] 1/5 9/2 9/5 9/21 10/2 10/6 21/6 21/11 21/16 21/18 24/3 24/12 24/20 25/15 25/21 26/10 26/13 26/22 29/4 37/12 38/2 38/5 38/7 48/9 60/1 60/4 60/9 60/14 60/18 60/20 62/12 67/6 67/11 67/15 71/1 71/5 71/15 73/7 73/17 73/24 74/3 77/8 77/13 78/3 78/6 81/6 83/4	'nit [1] 45/20 'nit-picking' [1] 45/20 'on [1] 155/17 'product [1] 2/23 'Section [1] 3/6 'Section 4 [1] 3/6 'steam [1] 118/24 'the [3] 3/10 3/11 39/25 'To [1] 39/7 'wide [1] 4/14 0 0.2 [1] 30/10 0.8 million [1] 134/7 0.9 million [1] 96/5 0003 [2] 79/23 91/21 001 [6] 115/11 124/8	044 [3] 76/3 119/1 121/20 045 [3] 147/15 158/5 164/20 046 [1] 158/5 048 [1] 72/15 049 [1] 160/9 054 [1] 136/6 055 [3] 94/14 133/25 163/24 057 [2] 69/13 85/24 058 [2] 85/14 127/19 059 [2] 85/6 164/12 060 [2] 68/13 143/15 061 [1] 74/7 066 [1] 108/4 069 [2] 84/2 132/10 070 [2] 103/20 135/4	132/2 10 hours [14] 104/11 104/12 104/16 104/21 104/25 107/17 109/10 110/5 120/13 122/24 134/25 142/13 158/12 159/13 10 October 1975 [1] 78/25 10 years [1] 18/1 10-month [1] 166/8 10.00 [1] 1/2 10.5 million [1] 78/21 10.5p [1] 113/6 100 [2] 59/23 63/22 100,000 [1] 78/1 102 [1] 138/3 10h [1] 147/9	14 October 1975 [1] 79/1 14.5 million [1] 153/15 142 [2] 131/6 161/4 1461 [1] 17/12 14p [2] 113/3 114/3 15 [1] 128/7 151 [1] 123/6 158 [1] 123/6 15p [1] 114/4 16 February 1978 [2] 85/7 88/1 16 May 1984 [1] 18/12 16 September 1987 [1] 123/17 163 [1] 69/9 165 [2] 40/4 126/14	14/22 78/25 79/1 79/4 83/19 132/15 132/19 150/13 150/18 1976 [20] 30/13 33/15 41/25 55/13 76/12 76/16 76/22 79/7 79/9 81/2 81/19 83/1 83/12 83/13 83/24 88/22 89/14 103/9 150/19 150/23 1977 [9] 84/5 84/11 85/4 89/17 90/10 90/22 151/1 151/4 151/14 1978 [22] 17/9 17/12 18/1 22/15 22/23 25/1 30/16 53/2 85/7 85/20 86/24 87/20 88/1
144/17 144/22 145/17 145/22 150/12 156/3 156/11 156/20 156/22 157/3 157/5 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: [112] 1/5 9/2 9/5 9/21 10/2 10/6 21/6 21/11 21/16 21/18 24/3 24/12 24/20 25/15 25/21 26/10 26/13 26/22 29/4 37/12 38/2 38/5 38/7 48/9 60/1 60/4 60/9 60/14 60/18 60/20 62/12 67/6 67/11 67/15 71/1 71/5 71/15 73/7 73/17 73/24 74/3 77/8 77/13 78/3 78/6 81/6 83/4 83/9 83/12 83/16	'nit [1] 45/20 'nit-picking' [1] 45/20 'on [1] 155/17 'product [1] 2/23 'Section [1] 3/6 'Section 4 [1] 3/6 'steam [1] 118/24 'the [3] 3/10 3/11 39/25 'To [1] 39/7 'wide [1] 4/14 0 0.2 [1] 30/10 0.8 million [1] 134/7 0.9 million [1] 96/5 0003 [2] 79/23 91/21	044 [3] 76/3 119/1 121/20 045 [3] 147/15 158/5 164/20 046 [1] 158/5 048 [1] 72/15 049 [1] 160/9 054 [1] 136/6 055 [3] 94/14 133/25 163/24 057 [2] 69/13 85/24 058 [2] 85/14 127/19 059 [2] 85/6 164/12 060 [2] 68/13 143/15 061 [1] 74/7 066 [1] 108/4 069 [2] 84/2 132/10 070 [2] 103/20 135/4 072 [2] 88/19 88/21	132/2 10 hours [14] 104/11 104/12 104/16 104/21 104/25 107/17 109/10 110/5 120/13 122/24 134/25 142/13 158/12 159/13 10 October 1975 [1] 78/25 10 years [1] 18/1 10-month [1] 166/8 10.00 [1] 1/2 10.5 million [1] 78/21 10.5p [1] 113/6 100 [2] 59/23 63/22 100,000 [1] 78/1 102 [1] 138/3 10h [1] 147/9 11 [7] 5/22 39/18	14 October 1975 [1] 79/1 14.5 million [1] 153/15 142 [2] 131/6 161/4 1461 [1] 17/12 14p [2] 113/3 114/3 15 [1] 128/7 151 [1] 123/6 158 [1] 123/6 15p [1] 114/4 16 February 1978 [2] 85/7 88/1 16 May 1984 [1] 18/12 16 September 1987 [1] 123/17 163 [1] 69/9 165 [2] 40/4 126/14 17 [2] 131/8 150/21	14/22 78/25 79/1 79/4 83/19 132/15 132/19 150/13 150/18 1976 [20] 30/13 33/15 41/25 55/13 76/12 76/16 76/22 79/7 79/9 81/2 81/19 83/1 83/12 83/13 83/24 88/22 89/14 103/9 150/19 150/23 1977 [9] 84/5 84/11 85/4 89/17 90/10 90/22 151/1 151/4 151/14 1978 [22] 17/9 17/12 18/1 22/15 22/23 25/1 30/16 53/2 85/7 85/20 86/24 87/20 88/1 90/25 91/1 91/7 91/8
144/17 144/22 145/17 145/22 150/12 156/3 156/11 156/20 156/22 157/3 157/5 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: [112] 1/5 9/2 9/5 9/21 10/2 10/6 21/6 21/11 21/16 21/18 24/3 24/12 24/20 25/15 25/21 26/10 26/13 26/22 29/4 37/12 38/2 38/5 38/7 48/9 60/1 60/4 60/9 60/14 60/18 60/20 62/12 67/6 67/11 67/15 71/1 71/5 71/15 73/7 73/17 73/24 74/3 77/8 77/13 78/3 78/6 81/6 83/4 83/9 83/12 83/16 84/18 84/20 84/24	'nit [1] 45/20 'nit-picking' [1] 45/20 'on [1] 155/17 'product [1] 2/23 'Section [1] 3/6 'Section 4 [1] 3/6 'steam [1] 118/24 'the [3] 3/10 3/11 39/25 'To [1] 39/7 'wide [1] 4/14 0 0.2 [1] 30/10 0.8 million [1] 134/7 0.9 million [1] 96/5 0003 [2] 79/23 91/21 001 [6] 115/11 124/8 127/2 127/12 129/16 166/2 002 [10] 62/19 72/21	044 [3] 76/3 119/1 121/20 045 [3] 147/15 158/5 164/20 046 [1] 158/5 048 [1] 72/15 049 [1] 160/9 054 [1] 136/6 055 [3] 94/14 133/25 163/24 057 [2] 69/13 85/24 058 [2] 85/14 127/19 059 [2] 85/6 164/12 060 [2] 68/13 143/15 061 [1] 74/7 066 [1] 108/4 069 [2] 84/2 132/10 070 [2] 103/20 135/4	132/2 10 hours [14] 104/11 104/12 104/16 104/21 104/25 107/17 109/10 110/5 120/13 122/24 134/25 142/13 158/12 159/13 10 October 1975 [1] 78/25 10 years [1] 18/1 10-month [1] 166/8 10.00 [1] 1/2 10.5 million [1] 78/21 10.5p [1] 113/6 100 [2] 59/23 63/22 100,000 [1] 78/1 102 [1] 138/3 10h [1] 147/9 11 [7] 5/22 39/18 40/13 65/2 97/1 103/9 140/19	14 October 1975 [1] 79/1 14.5 million [1] 153/15 142 [2] 131/6 161/4 1461 [1] 17/12 14p [2] 113/3 114/3 15 [1] 128/7 151 [1] 123/6 158 [1] 123/6 15p [1] 114/4 16 February 1978 [2] 85/7 88/1 16 May 1984 [1] 18/12 16 September 1987 [1] 123/17 163 [1] 69/9 165 [2] 40/4 126/14	14/22 78/25 79/1 79/4 83/19 132/15 132/19 150/13 150/18 1976 [20] 30/13 33/15 41/25 55/13 76/12 76/16 76/22 79/7 79/9 81/2 81/19 83/1 83/12 83/13 83/24 88/22 89/14 103/9 150/19 150/23 1977 [9] 84/5 84/11 85/4 89/17 90/10 90/22 151/1 151/4 151/14 1978 [22] 17/9 17/12 18/1 22/15 22/23 25/1 30/16 53/2 85/7 85/20 86/24 87/20 88/1
144/17 144/22 145/17 145/22 150/12 156/3 156/11 156/20 156/22 157/3 157/5 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: [112] 1/5 9/2 9/5 9/21 10/2 10/6 21/6 21/11 21/16 21/18 24/3 24/12 24/20 25/15 25/21 26/10 26/13 26/22 29/4 37/12 38/2 38/5 38/7 48/9 60/1 60/4 60/9 60/14 60/18 60/20 62/12 67/6 67/11 67/15 71/1 71/5 71/15 73/7 73/17 73/24 74/3 77/8 77/13 78/3 78/6 81/6 83/4 83/9 83/12 83/16 84/18 84/20 84/24 86/5 86/9 86/17 86/23	'nit [1] 45/20 'nit-picking' [1] 45/20 'on [1] 155/17 'product [1] 2/23 'Section [1] 3/6 'Section 4 [1] 3/6 'steam [1] 118/24 'the [3] 3/10 3/11 39/25 'To [1] 39/7 'wide [1] 4/14 0 0.2 [1] 30/10 0.8 million [1] 134/7 0.9 million [1] 96/5 0003 [2] 79/23 91/21 001 [6] 115/11 124/8 127/2 127/12 129/16 166/2 002 [10] 62/19 72/21 89/16 124/9 133/3	044 [3] 76/3 119/1 121/20 045 [3] 147/15 158/5 164/20 046 [1] 158/5 048 [1] 72/15 049 [1] 160/9 054 [1] 136/6 055 [3] 94/14 133/25 163/24 057 [2] 69/13 85/24 058 [2] 85/14 127/19 059 [2] 85/6 164/12 060 [2] 68/13 143/15 061 [1] 74/7 066 [1] 108/4 069 [2] 84/2 132/10 070 [2] 103/20 135/4 072 [2] 88/19 88/21 073 [2] 84/17 165/7 074 [1] 158/13 076 [2] 79/8 90/23	132/2 10 hours [14] 104/11 104/12 104/16 104/21 104/25 107/17 109/10 110/5 120/13 122/24 134/25 142/13 158/12 159/13 10 October 1975 [1] 78/25 10 years [1] 18/1 10-month [1] 166/8 10.00 [1] 1/2 10.5 million [1] 78/21 10.5p [1] 113/6 100 [2] 59/23 63/22 100,000 [1] 78/1 102 [1] 138/3 10h [1] 147/9 11 [7] 5/22 39/18 40/13 65/2 97/1 103/9 140/19 11 January 2000 [1]	14 October 1975 [1] 79/1 14.5 million [1] 153/15 142 [2] 131/6 161/4 1461 [1] 17/12 14p [2] 113/3 114/3 15 [1] 128/7 151 [1] 123/6 158 [1] 123/6 15p [1] 114/4 16 February 1978 [2] 85/7 88/1 16 May 1984 [1] 18/12 16 September 1987 [1] 123/17 163 [1] 69/9 165 [2] 40/4 126/14 17 [2] 131/8 150/21 17 August 1978 [1] 133/19 17 October 1985 [1]	14/22 78/25 79/1 79/4 83/19 132/15 132/19 150/13 150/18 1976 [20] 30/13 33/15 41/25 55/13 76/12 76/16 76/22 79/7 79/9 81/2 81/19 83/1 83/12 83/13 83/24 88/22 89/14 103/9 150/19 150/23 1977 [9] 84/5 84/11 85/4 89/17 90/10 90/22 151/1 151/4 151/14 1978 [22] 17/9 17/12 18/1 22/15 22/23 25/1 30/16 53/2 85/7 85/20 86/24 87/20 88/1 90/25 91/1 91/7 91/8 91/10 133/5 133/19 152/2 152/4
144/17 144/22 145/17 145/22 150/12 156/3 156/11 156/20 156/22 157/3 157/5 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: [112] 1/5 9/2 9/5 9/21 10/2 10/6 21/6 21/11 21/16 21/18 24/3 24/12 24/20 25/15 25/21 26/10 26/13 26/22 29/4 37/12 38/2 38/5 38/7 48/9 60/1 60/4 60/9 60/14 60/18 60/20 62/12 67/6 67/11 67/15 71/1 71/5 71/15 73/7 73/17 73/24 74/3 77/8 77/13 78/3 78/6 81/6 83/4 83/9 83/12 83/16 84/18 84/20 84/24	'nit [1] 45/20 'nit-picking' [1] 45/20 'on [1] 155/17 'product [1] 2/23 'Section [1] 3/6 'Section 4 [1] 3/6 'steam [1] 118/24 'the [3] 3/10 3/11 39/25 'To [1] 39/7 'wide [1] 4/14 0 0.2 [1] 30/10 0.8 million [1] 134/7 0.9 million [1] 96/5 0003 [2] 79/23 91/21 001 [6] 115/11 124/8 127/2 127/12 129/16 166/2 002 [10] 62/19 72/21 89/16 124/9 133/3 133/4 149/15 152/1	044 [3] 76/3 119/1 121/20 045 [3] 147/15 158/5 164/20 046 [1] 158/5 048 [1] 72/15 049 [1] 160/9 054 [1] 136/6 055 [3] 94/14 133/25 163/24 057 [2] 69/13 85/24 058 [2] 85/14 127/19 059 [2] 85/6 164/12 060 [2] 68/13 143/15 061 [1] 74/7 066 [1] 108/4 069 [2] 84/2 132/10 070 [2] 103/20 135/4 072 [2] 88/19 88/21 073 [2] 84/17 165/7 074 [1] 158/13 076 [2] 79/8 90/23 077 [2] 84/10 90/23	132/2 10 hours [14] 104/11 104/12 104/16 104/21 104/25 107/17 109/10 110/5 120/13 122/24 134/25 142/13 158/12 159/13 10 October 1975 [1] 78/25 10 years [1] 18/1 10-month [1] 166/8 10.00 [1] 1/2 10.5 million [1] 78/21 10.5p [1] 113/6 100 [2] 59/23 63/22 100,000 [1] 78/1 102 [1] 138/3 10h [1] 147/9 11 [7] 5/22 39/18 40/13 65/2 97/1 103/9 140/19 11 January 2000 [1]	14 October 1975 [1] 79/1 14.5 million [1] 153/15 142 [2] 131/6 161/4 1461 [1] 17/12 14p [2] 113/3 114/3 15 [1] 128/7 151 [1] 123/6 158 [1] 123/6 15p [1] 114/4 16 February 1978 [2] 85/7 88/1 16 May 1984 [1] 18/12 16 September 1987 [1] 123/17 163 [1] 69/9 165 [2] 40/4 126/14 17 [2] 131/8 150/21 17 August 1978 [1] 133/19 17 October 1985 [1] 160/9	14/22 78/25 79/1 79/4 83/19 132/15 132/19 150/13 150/18 1976 [20] 30/13 33/15 41/25 55/13 76/12 76/16 76/22 79/7 79/9 81/2 81/19 83/1 83/12 83/13 83/24 88/22 89/14 103/9 150/19 150/23 1977 [9] 84/5 84/11 85/4 89/17 90/10 90/22 151/1 151/4 151/14 1978 [22] 17/9 17/12 18/1 22/15 22/23 25/1 30/16 53/2 85/7 85/20 86/24 87/20 88/1 90/25 91/1 91/7 91/8 91/10 133/5 133/19 152/2 152/4 1978-1461 [1] 17/12
144/17 144/22 145/17 145/22 150/12 156/3 156/11 156/20 156/22 157/3 157/5 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: [112] 1/5 9/2 9/5 9/21 10/2 10/6 21/6 21/11 21/16 21/18 24/3 24/12 24/20 25/15 25/21 26/10 26/13 26/22 29/4 37/12 38/2 38/5 38/7 48/9 60/1 60/4 60/9 60/14 60/18 60/20 62/12 67/6 67/11 67/15 71/1 71/5 71/15 73/7 73/17 73/24 74/3 77/8 77/13 78/3 78/6 81/6 83/4 83/9 83/12 83/16 84/18 84/20 84/24 86/5 86/9 86/17 86/23 86/25 87/4 87/6 87/12 87/18 88/2 88/7 88/10 88/12 92/5 96/9 96/18	'nit [1] 45/20 'nit-picking' [1] 45/20 'on [1] 155/17 'product [1] 2/23 'Section [1] 3/6 'Section 4 [1] 3/6 'steam [1] 118/24 'the [3] 3/10 3/11 39/25 'To [1] 39/7 'wide [1] 4/14 0 0.2 [1] 30/10 0.8 million [1] 134/7 0.9 million [1] 96/5 0003 [2] 79/23 91/21 001 [6] 115/11 124/8 127/2 127/12 129/16 166/2 002 [10] 62/19 72/21 89/16 124/9 133/3 133/4 149/15 152/1 153/16 154/5	044 [3] 76/3 119/1 121/20 045 [3] 147/15 158/5 164/20 046 [1] 158/5 048 [1] 72/15 049 [1] 160/9 054 [1] 136/6 055 [3] 94/14 133/25 163/24 057 [2] 69/13 85/24 058 [2] 85/14 127/19 059 [2] 85/6 164/12 060 [2] 68/13 143/15 061 [1] 74/7 066 [1] 108/4 069 [2] 84/2 132/10 070 [2] 103/20 135/4 072 [2] 88/19 88/21 073 [2] 84/17 165/7 074 [1] 158/13 076 [2] 79/8 90/23 077 [2] 84/10 90/23 078 [2] 130/15 131/24	132/2 10 hours [14] 104/11 104/12 104/16 104/21 104/25 107/17 109/10 110/5 120/13 122/24 134/25 142/13 158/12 159/13 10 October 1975 [1] 78/25 10 years [1] 18/1 10-month [1] 166/8 10.00 [1] 1/2 10.5 million [1] 78/21 10.5p [1] 113/6 100 [2] 59/23 63/22 100,000 [1] 78/1 102 [1] 138/3 10h [1] 147/9 11 [7] 5/22 39/18 40/13 65/2 97/1 103/9 140/19 11 January 2000 [1] 147/1 11 July 1986 [1]	14 October 1975 [1] 79/1 14.5 million [1] 153/15 142 [2] 131/6 161/4 1461 [1] 17/12 14p [2] 113/3 114/3 15 [1] 128/7 151 [1] 123/6 158 [1] 123/6 15p [1] 114/4 16 February 1978 [2] 85/7 88/1 16 May 1984 [1] 18/12 16 September 1987 [1] 123/17 163 [1] 69/9 165 [2] 40/4 126/14 17 [2] 131/8 150/21 17 August 1978 [1] 133/19 17 October 1985 [1] 160/9 170 [1] 74/6	14/22 78/25 79/1 79/4 83/19 132/15 132/19 150/13 150/18 1976 [20] 30/13 33/15 41/25 55/13 76/12 76/16 76/22 79/7 79/9 81/2 81/19 83/1 83/12 83/13 83/24 88/22 89/14 103/9 150/19 150/23 1977 [9] 84/5 84/11 85/4 89/17 90/10 90/22 151/1 151/4 151/14 1978 [22] 17/9 17/12 18/1 22/15 22/23 25/1 30/16 53/2 85/7 85/20 86/24 87/20 88/1 90/25 91/1 91/7 91/8 91/10 133/5 133/19 152/2 152/4 1978-1461 [1] 17/12 1979 [1] 100/9
144/17 144/22 145/17 145/22 150/12 156/3 156/11 156/20 156/22 157/3 157/5 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: [112] 1/5 9/2 9/5 9/21 10/2 10/6 21/6 21/11 21/16 21/18 24/3 24/12 24/20 25/15 25/21 26/10 26/13 26/22 29/4 37/12 38/2 38/5 38/7 48/9 60/1 60/4 60/9 60/14 60/18 60/20 62/12 67/6 67/11 67/15 71/1 71/5 71/15 73/7 73/17 73/24 74/3 77/8 77/13 78/3 78/6 81/6 83/4 83/9 83/12 83/16 84/18 84/20 84/24 86/5 86/9 86/17 86/23 86/25 87/4 87/6 87/12 87/18 88/2 88/7 88/10 88/12 92/5 96/9 96/18 98/17 98/20 99/5	'nit [1] 45/20 'nit-picking' [1] 45/20 'on [1] 155/17 'product [1] 2/23 'Section [1] 3/6 'Section 4 [1] 3/6 'steam [1] 118/24 'the [3] 3/10 3/11 39/25 'To [1] 39/7 'wide [1] 4/14 0 0.2 [1] 30/10 0.8 million [1] 134/7 0.9 million [1] 96/5 0003 [2] 79/23 91/21 001 [6] 115/11 124/8 127/2 127/12 129/16 166/2 002 [10] 62/19 72/21 89/16 124/9 133/3 133/4 149/15 152/1 153/16 154/5 003 [1] 61/21	044 [3] 76/3 119/1 121/20 045 [3] 147/15 158/5 164/20 046 [1] 158/5 048 [1] 72/15 049 [1] 160/9 054 [1] 136/6 055 [3] 94/14 133/25 163/24 057 [2] 69/13 85/24 058 [2] 85/14 127/19 059 [2] 85/6 164/12 060 [2] 68/13 143/15 061 [1] 74/7 066 [1] 108/4 069 [2] 84/2 132/10 070 [2] 103/20 135/4 072 [2] 88/19 88/21 073 [2] 84/17 165/7 074 [1] 158/13 076 [2] 79/8 90/23 077 [2] 84/10 90/23 078 [2] 130/15 131/24 080 [2] 128/17 164/24	132/2 10 hours [14] 104/11 104/12 104/16 104/21 104/25 107/17 109/10 110/5 120/13 122/24 134/25 142/13 158/12 159/13 10 October 1975 [1] 78/25 10 years [1] 18/1 10-month [1] 166/8 10.00 [1] 1/2 10.5 million [1] 78/21 10.5p [1] 113/6 100 [2] 59/23 63/22 100,000 [1] 78/1 102 [1] 138/3 10h [1] 147/9 11 [7] 5/22 39/18 40/13 65/2 97/1 103/9 140/19 11 January 2000 [1] 147/1 11 July 1986 [1] 119/2	14 October 1975 [1] 79/1 14.5 million [1] 153/15 142 [2] 131/6 161/4 1461 [1] 17/12 14p [2] 113/3 114/3 15 [1] 128/7 151 [1] 123/6 158 [1] 123/6 15p [1] 114/4 16 February 1978 [2] 85/7 88/1 16 May 1984 [1] 18/12 16 September 1987 [1] 123/17 163 [1] 69/9 165 [2] 40/4 126/14 17 [2] 131/8 150/21 17 August 1978 [1] 133/19 17 October 1985 [1] 160/9 170 [1] 74/6 172 [1] 74/6	14/22 78/25 79/1 79/4 83/19 132/15 132/19 150/13 150/18 1976 [20] 30/13 33/15 41/25 55/13 76/12 76/16 76/22 79/7 79/9 81/2 81/19 83/1 83/12 83/13 83/24 88/22 89/14 103/9 150/19 150/23 1977 [9] 84/5 84/11 85/4 89/17 90/10 90/22 151/1 151/4 151/14 1978 [22] 17/9 17/12 18/1 22/15 22/23 25/1 30/16 53/2 85/7 85/20 86/24 87/20 88/1 90/25 91/1 91/7 91/8 91/10 133/5 133/19 152/2 152/4 1978-1461 [1] 17/12 1979 [1] 100/9 1980 [9] 14/22 14/23 15/1 91/17 92/1 92/10
144/17 144/22 145/17 145/22 150/12 156/3 156/11 156/20 156/22 157/3 157/5 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: [112] 1/5 9/2 9/5 9/21 10/2 10/6 21/6 21/11 21/16 21/18 24/3 24/12 24/20 25/15 25/21 26/10 26/13 26/22 29/4 37/12 38/2 38/5 38/7 48/9 60/1 60/4 60/9 60/14 60/18 60/20 62/12 67/6 67/11 67/15 71/1 71/5 71/15 73/7 73/17 73/24 74/3 77/8 77/13 78/3 78/6 81/6 83/4 83/9 83/12 83/16 84/18 84/20 84/24 86/5 86/9 86/17 86/23 86/25 87/4 87/6 87/12 87/18 88/2 88/7 88/10 88/12 92/5 96/9 96/18 98/17 98/20 99/5 99/14 99/18 100/3	'nit [1] 45/20 'nit-picking' [1] 45/20 'on [1] 155/17 'product [1] 2/23 'Section [1] 3/6 'Section 4 [1] 3/6 'steam [1] 118/24 'the [3] 3/10 3/11 39/25 'To [1] 39/7 'wide [1] 4/14 0 0.2 [1] 30/10 0.8 million [1] 134/7 0.9 million [1] 96/5 0003 [2] 79/23 91/21 001 [6] 115/11 124/8 127/2 127/12 129/16 166/2 002 [10] 62/19 72/21 89/16 124/9 133/3 133/4 149/15 152/1 153/16 154/5 003 [1] 61/21 004 [2] 132/2 162/24 005 [5] 87/21 94/7	044 [3] 76/3 119/1 121/20 045 [3] 147/15 158/5 164/20 046 [1] 158/5 048 [1] 72/15 049 [1] 160/9 054 [1] 136/6 055 [3] 94/14 133/25 163/24 057 [2] 69/13 85/24 058 [2] 85/14 127/19 059 [2] 85/6 164/12 060 [2] 68/13 143/15 061 [1] 74/7 066 [1] 108/4 069 [2] 84/2 132/10 070 [2] 103/20 135/4 072 [2] 88/19 88/21 073 [2] 84/17 165/7 074 [1] 158/13 076 [2] 79/8 90/23 077 [2] 84/10 90/23 078 [2] 130/15 131/24	132/2 10 hours [14] 104/11 104/12 104/16 104/21 104/25 107/17 109/10 110/5 120/13 122/24 134/25 142/13 158/12 159/13 10 October 1975 [1] 78/25 10 years [1] 18/1 10-month [1] 166/8 10.00 [1] 1/2 10.5 million [1] 78/21 10.5p [1] 113/6 100 [2] 59/23 63/22 100,000 [1] 78/1 102 [1] 138/3 10h [1] 147/9 11 [7] 5/22 39/18 40/13 65/2 97/1 103/9 140/19 11 January 2000 [1] 147/1 11 July 1986 [1] 119/2 11 March 1985 [3] 114/8 138/5 139/8	14 October 1975 [1] 79/1 14.5 million [1] 153/15 142 [2] 131/6 161/4 1461 [1] 17/12 14p [2] 113/3 114/3 15 [1] 128/7 151 [1] 123/6 158 [1] 123/6 15p [1] 114/4 16 February 1978 [2] 85/7 88/1 16 May 1984 [1] 18/12 16 September 1987 [1] 123/17 163 [1] 69/9 165 [2] 40/4 126/14 17 [2] 131/8 150/21 17 August 1978 [1] 133/19 17 October 1985 [1] 160/9 170 [1] 74/6 172 [1] 74/6 18 [5] 34/23 68/15 107/13 152/6 166/12	14/22 78/25 79/1 79/4 83/19 132/15 132/19 150/13 150/18 1976 [20] 30/13 33/15 41/25 55/13 76/12 76/16 76/22 79/7 79/9 81/2 81/19 83/1 83/12 83/13 83/24 88/22 89/14 103/9 150/19 150/23 1977 [9] 84/5 84/11 85/4 89/17 90/10 90/22 151/1 151/4 151/14 1978 [22] 17/9 17/12 18/1 22/15 22/23 25/1 30/16 53/2 85/7 85/20 86/24 87/20 88/1 90/25 91/1 91/7 91/8 91/10 133/5 133/19 152/2 152/4 1978-1461 [1] 17/12 1979 [1] 100/9
144/17 144/22 145/17 145/22 150/12 156/3 156/11 156/20 156/22 157/3 157/5 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: [112] 1/5 9/2 9/5 9/21 10/2 10/6 21/6 21/11 21/16 21/18 24/3 24/12 24/20 25/15 25/21 26/10 26/13 26/22 29/4 37/12 38/2 38/5 38/7 48/9 60/1 60/4 60/9 60/14 60/18 60/20 62/12 67/6 67/11 67/15 71/1 71/5 71/15 73/7 73/17 73/24 74/3 77/8 77/13 78/3 78/6 81/6 83/4 83/9 83/12 83/16 84/18 84/20 84/24 86/5 86/9 86/17 86/23 86/25 87/4 87/6 87/12 87/18 88/2 88/7 88/10 88/12 92/5 96/9 96/18 98/17 98/20 99/5 99/14 99/18 100/3 101/4 101/15 101/23	'nit [1] 45/20 'nit-picking' [1] 45/20 'on [1] 155/17 'product [1] 2/23 'Section [1] 3/6 'Section 4 [1] 3/6 'steam [1] 118/24 'the [3] 3/10 3/11 39/25 'To [1] 39/7 'wide [1] 4/14 0 0.2 [1] 30/10 0.8 million [1] 134/7 0.9 million [1] 96/5 0003 [2] 79/23 91/21 001 [6] 115/11 124/8 127/2 127/12 129/16 166/2 002 [10] 62/19 72/21 89/16 124/9 133/3 133/4 149/15 152/1 153/16 154/5 003 [1] 61/21 004 [2] 132/2 162/24 005 [5] 87/21 94/7 126/13 129/17 152/25	044 [3] 76/3 119/1 121/20 045 [3] 147/15 158/5 164/20 046 [1] 158/5 048 [1] 72/15 049 [1] 160/9 054 [1] 136/6 055 [3] 94/14 133/25 163/24 057 [2] 69/13 85/24 058 [2] 85/14 127/19 059 [2] 85/6 164/12 060 [2] 68/13 143/15 061 [1] 74/7 066 [1] 108/4 069 [2] 84/2 132/10 070 [2] 103/20 135/4 072 [2] 88/19 88/21 073 [2] 84/17 165/7 074 [1] 158/13 076 [2] 79/8 90/23 077 [2] 84/10 90/23 077 [2] 84/10 90/23 078 [2] 130/15 131/24 080 [2] 128/17 164/24 083 [1] 81/10 085 [2] 79/20 102/10 086 [1] 126/12	132/2 10 hours [14] 104/11 104/12 104/16 104/21 104/25 107/17 109/10 110/5 120/13 122/24 134/25 142/13 158/12 159/13 10 October 1975 [1] 78/25 10 years [1] 18/1 10-month [1] 166/8 10.00 [1] 1/2 10.5 million [1] 78/21 10.5p [1] 113/6 100 [2] 59/23 63/22 100,000 [1] 78/1 102 [1] 138/3 10h [1] 147/9 11 [7] 5/22 39/18 40/13 65/2 97/1 103/9 140/19 11 January 2000 [1] 147/1 11 July 1986 [1] 119/2 11 March 1985 [3] 114/8 138/5 139/8 11 November 1976 [1]	14 October 1975 [1] 79/1 14.5 million [1] 153/15 142 [2] 131/6 161/4 1461 [1] 17/12 14p [2] 113/3 114/3 15 [1] 128/7 151 [1] 123/6 158 [1] 123/6 15p [1] 114/4 16 February 1978 [2] 85/7 88/1 16 May 1984 [1] 18/12 16 September 1987 [1] 123/17 163 [1] 69/9 165 [2] 40/4 126/14 17 [2] 131/8 150/21 17 August 1978 [1] 133/19 17 October 1985 [1] 160/9 170 [1] 74/6 172 [1] 74/6 18 [5] 34/23 68/15 107/13 152/6 166/12 181 [2] 59/14 66/4	14/22 78/25 79/1 79/4 83/19 132/15 132/19 150/13 150/18 1976 [20] 30/13 33/15 41/25 55/13 76/12 76/16 76/22 79/7 79/9 81/2 81/19 83/1 83/12 83/13 83/24 88/22 89/14 103/9 150/19 150/23 1977 [9] 84/5 84/11 85/4 89/17 90/10 90/22 151/1 151/4 151/14 1978 [22] 17/9 17/12 18/1 22/15 22/23 25/1 30/16 53/2 85/7 85/20 86/24 87/20 88/1 90/25 91/1 91/7 91/8 91/10 133/5 133/19 152/2 152/4 1978-1461 [1] 17/12 1979 [1] 100/9 1980 [9] 14/22 14/23 15/1 91/17 92/1 92/10 94/2 152/6 152/23 1980s [4] 1/12 48/24
144/17 144/22 145/17 145/22 150/12 156/3 156/11 156/20 156/22 157/3 157/5 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: [112] 1/5 9/2 9/5 9/21 10/2 10/6 21/6 21/11 21/16 21/18 24/3 24/12 24/20 25/15 25/21 26/10 26/13 26/22 29/4 37/12 38/2 38/5 38/7 48/9 60/1 60/4 60/9 60/14 60/18 60/20 62/12 67/6 67/11 67/15 71/1 71/5 71/15 73/7 73/17 73/24 74/3 77/8 77/13 78/3 78/6 81/6 83/4 83/9 83/12 83/16 84/18 84/20 84/24 86/5 86/9 86/17 86/23 86/25 87/4 87/6 87/12 87/18 88/2 88/7 88/10 88/12 92/5 96/9 96/18 98/17 98/20 99/5 99/14 99/18 100/3	'nit [1] 45/20 'nit-picking' [1] 45/20 'on [1] 155/17 'product [1] 2/23 'Section [1] 3/6 'Section 4 [1] 3/6 'steam [1] 118/24 'the [3] 3/10 3/11 39/25 'To [1] 39/7 'wide [1] 4/14 0 0.2 [1] 30/10 0.8 million [1] 134/7 0.9 million [1] 96/5 0003 [2] 79/23 91/21 001 [6] 115/11 124/8 127/2 127/12 129/16 166/2 002 [10] 62/19 72/21 89/16 124/9 133/3 133/4 149/15 152/1 153/16 154/5 003 [1] 61/21 004 [2] 132/2 162/24 005 [5] 87/21 94/7	044 [3] 76/3 119/1 121/20 045 [3] 147/15 158/5 164/20 046 [1] 158/5 048 [1] 72/15 049 [1] 160/9 054 [1] 136/6 055 [3] 94/14 133/25 163/24 057 [2] 69/13 85/24 058 [2] 85/14 127/19 059 [2] 85/6 164/12 060 [2] 68/13 143/15 061 [1] 74/7 066 [1] 108/4 069 [2] 84/2 132/10 070 [2] 103/20 135/4 072 [2] 88/19 88/21 073 [2] 84/17 165/7 074 [1] 158/13 076 [2] 79/8 90/23 077 [2] 84/10 90/23 078 [2] 130/15 131/24 080 [2] 128/17 164/24 083 [1] 81/10 085 [2] 79/20 102/10	132/2 10 hours [14] 104/11 104/12 104/16 104/21 104/25 107/17 109/10 110/5 120/13 122/24 134/25 142/13 158/12 159/13 10 October 1975 [1] 78/25 10 years [1] 18/1 10-month [1] 166/8 10.00 [1] 1/2 10.5 million [1] 78/21 10.5p [1] 113/6 100 [2] 59/23 63/22 100,000 [1] 78/1 102 [1] 138/3 10h [1] 147/9 11 [7] 5/22 39/18 40/13 65/2 97/1 103/9 140/19 11 January 2000 [1] 147/1 11 July 1986 [1] 119/2 11 March 1985 [3] 114/8 138/5 139/8	14 October 1975 [1] 79/1 14.5 million [1] 153/15 142 [2] 131/6 161/4 1461 [1] 17/12 14p [2] 113/3 114/3 15 [1] 128/7 151 [1] 123/6 158 [1] 123/6 15p [1] 114/4 16 February 1978 [2] 85/7 88/1 16 May 1984 [1] 18/12 16 September 1987 [1] 123/17 163 [1] 69/9 165 [2] 40/4 126/14 17 [2] 131/8 150/21 17 August 1978 [1] 133/19 17 October 1985 [1] 160/9 170 [1] 74/6 172 [1] 74/6 18 [5] 34/23 68/15 107/13 152/6 166/12	14/22 78/25 79/1 79/4 83/19 132/15 132/19 150/13 150/18 1976 [20] 30/13 33/15 41/25 55/13 76/12 76/16 76/22 79/7 79/9 81/2 81/19 83/1 83/12 83/13 83/24 88/22 89/14 103/9 150/19 150/23 1977 [9] 84/5 84/11 85/4 89/17 90/10 90/22 151/1 151/4 151/14 1978 [22] 17/9 17/12 18/1 22/15 22/23 25/1 30/16 53/2 85/7 85/20 86/24 87/20 88/1 90/25 91/1 91/7 91/8 91/10 133/5 133/19 152/2 152/4 1978-1461 [1] 17/12 1979 [1] 100/9 1980 [9] 14/22 14/23 15/1 91/17 92/1 92/10 94/2 152/6 152/23 1980s [4] 1/12 48/24
144/17 144/22 145/17 145/22 150/12 156/3 156/11 156/20 156/22 157/3 157/5 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: [112] 1/5 9/2 9/5 9/21 10/2 10/6 21/6 21/11 21/16 21/18 24/3 24/12 24/20 25/15 25/21 26/10 26/13 26/22 29/4 37/12 38/2 38/5 38/7 48/9 60/1 60/4 60/9 60/14 60/18 60/20 62/12 67/6 67/11 67/15 71/1 71/5 71/15 73/7 73/17 73/24 74/3 77/8 77/13 78/3 78/6 81/6 83/4 83/9 83/12 83/16 84/18 84/20 84/24 86/5 86/9 86/17 86/23 86/25 87/4 87/6 87/12 87/18 88/2 88/7 88/10 88/12 92/5 96/9 96/18 98/17 98/20 99/5 99/14 99/18 100/3 101/4 101/15 101/23	'nit [1] 45/20 'nit-picking' [1] 45/20 'on [1] 155/17 'product [1] 2/23 'Section [1] 3/6 'Section 4 [1] 3/6 'steam [1] 118/24 'the [3] 3/10 3/11 39/25 'To [1] 39/7 'wide [1] 4/14 0 0.2 [1] 30/10 0.8 million [1] 134/7 0.9 million [1] 96/5 0003 [2] 79/23 91/21 001 [6] 115/11 124/8 127/2 127/12 129/16 166/2 002 [10] 62/19 72/21 89/16 124/9 133/3 133/4 149/15 152/1 153/16 154/5 003 [1] 61/21 004 [2] 132/2 162/24 005 [5] 87/21 94/7 126/13 129/17 152/25	044 [3] 76/3 119/1 121/20 045 [3] 147/15 158/5 164/20 046 [1] 158/5 048 [1] 72/15 049 [1] 160/9 054 [1] 136/6 055 [3] 94/14 133/25 163/24 057 [2] 69/13 85/24 058 [2] 85/14 127/19 059 [2] 85/6 164/12 060 [2] 68/13 143/15 061 [1] 74/7 066 [1] 108/4 069 [2] 84/2 132/10 070 [2] 103/20 135/4 072 [2] 88/19 88/21 073 [2] 84/17 165/7 074 [1] 158/13 076 [2] 79/8 90/23 077 [2] 84/10 90/23 077 [2] 84/10 90/23 078 [2] 130/15 131/24 080 [2] 128/17 164/24 083 [1] 81/10 085 [2] 79/20 102/10 086 [1] 126/12	132/2 10 hours [14] 104/11 104/12 104/16 104/21 104/25 107/17 109/10 110/5 120/13 122/24 134/25 142/13 158/12 159/13 10 October 1975 [1] 78/25 10 years [1] 18/1 10-month [1] 166/8 10.00 [1] 1/2 10.5 million [1] 78/21 10.5p [1] 113/6 100 [2] 59/23 63/22 100,000 [1] 78/1 102 [1] 138/3 10h [1] 147/9 11 [7] 5/22 39/18 40/13 65/2 97/1 103/9 140/19 11 January 2000 [1] 147/1 11 July 1986 [1] 119/2 11 March 1985 [3] 114/8 138/5 139/8 11 November 1976 [1]	14 October 1975 [1] 79/1 14.5 million [1] 153/15 142 [2] 131/6 161/4 1461 [1] 17/12 14p [2] 113/3 114/3 15 [1] 128/7 151 [1] 123/6 158 [1] 123/6 15p [1] 114/4 16 February 1978 [2] 85/7 88/1 16 May 1984 [1] 18/12 16 September 1987 [1] 123/17 163 [1] 69/9 165 [2] 40/4 126/14 17 [2] 131/8 150/21 17 August 1978 [1] 133/19 17 October 1985 [1] 160/9 170 [1] 74/6 172 [1] 74/6 18 [5] 34/23 68/15 107/13 152/6 166/12 181 [2] 59/14 66/4	14/22 78/25 79/1 79/4 83/19 132/15 132/19 150/13 150/18 1976 [20] 30/13 33/15 41/25 55/13 76/12 76/16 76/22 79/7 79/9 81/2 81/19 83/1 83/12 83/13 83/24 88/22 89/14 103/9 150/19 150/23 1977 [9] 84/5 84/11 85/4 89/17 90/10 90/22 151/1 151/4 151/14 1978 [22] 17/9 17/12 18/1 22/15 22/23 25/1 30/16 53/2 85/7 85/20 86/24 87/20 88/1 90/25 91/1 91/7 91/8 91/10 133/5 133/19 152/2 152/4 1978-1461 [1] 17/12 1979 [1] 100/9 1980 [9] 14/22 14/23 15/1 91/17 92/1 92/10 94/2 152/6 152/23 1980s [4] 1/12 48/24

(43) MR HILL: - 1981

1	1992 [7] 30/15 129/8	23 December 1986 [2]	3 25 pm [1] 130/11	594,814 [1] 93/1	ΙΛ
	120/20 146/10 165/0	119/18 142/19	3.4 [1] 46/10	597,761 [1] 93/12	A
1981 [7] 28/13 30/19	165/9 165/12	23 January [1] 107/22			Abbott [3] 48/25
94/4 133/19 133/23	1993 [5] 165/13	238,123 [1] 78/16	3.53 [1] 37/4	6	77/10 78/18
134/16 152/24	165/24 166/2 166/6	24 [7] 14/11 14/19	30 [4] 15/14 69/24	6 June 1984 [1] 18/13	ability [1] 85/12
1982 [5] 153/8 154/7 154/8 154/12 154/16	166/15	40/5 96/21 96/22	70/9 162/16	6 months [1] 113/17	able [13] 21/21 49/19 49/20 50/2 50/6 53/7
1983 [15] 30/21 37/6	2	126/25 127/4	30 April 1991 [1]	60 [10] 104/11 104/12	53/9 119/15 128/3
94/9 94/9 94/14 94/17		24 November 1976 [1]		104/17 104/21 104/25	150/17 161/13 162/3
96/7 134/5 134/21	2.05 [2] 96/10 96/10	81/19	30 hours [1] 123/2	109/11 109/12 110/5	163/15
154/21 155/2 155/6	2.05 pm [1] 96/13	24 November 1984 [1]		147/8 162/8	about [111] 1/21 2/18
155/20 156/22 157/15	2.11 [1] 31/17	103/22	151/1	60 degrees [6] 107/17	11/3 19/17 22/12
1984 [29] 18/4 18/6	2.15 [1] 33/11	24 September [1]	30,000 [1] 132/25	120/13 122/24 123/2	22/22 22/24 25/3
18/11 18/12 18/13	2.2 [2] 39/16 39/20	167/8	300 [2] 11/7 40/3	142/13 159/13	25/20 26/2 29/18
25/12 29/3 34/13	2.20 [2] 33/9 33/25	24 September 1982 [1] 154/12	31 [7] 26/25 27/12	627,738 [1] 78/15 63,809 [1] 93/11	29/20 31/4 31/13
34/21 55/21 103/22	2.6 [1] 43/4 2.7 [1] 30/25		27/14 27/17 27/17 69/24 70/9	65 [1] 68/15	33/12 34/2 38/11
104/8 106/6 107/2	2.9 [1] 31/3	25 [7] 20/24 20/25 21/8 21/10 66/10	31 December 1976 [1]		43/12 43/14 43/22
107/8 108/2 108/22	20 [4] 12/8 13/14	88/22 130/8	55/13	7/10	45/9 45/11 46/11
117/13 117/14 134/23	98/24 121/11	25 June 2021 [1] 30/2		68 [5] 109/9 109/15	47/16 48/18 48/19
136/8 141/13 141/15	20 April 1977 [1]	250 [2] 59/23 63/22	127/24	110/3 149/5 159/12	49/19 49/20 49/20
142/4 156/14 157/8	89/17	250 mbar [1] 104/24	31 October 1976 [1]		49/23 49/24 50/1 50/3
157/14 157/18 158/10	20 December 1972 [1]	26 [3] 6/24 84/5 165/8	76/22	7	50/9 53/8 54/2 58/19
1985 [30] 30/13 30/15	130/15	26 November 1984 [1]	35 [3] 2/16 26/25	7 February 1985 [2]	60/9 63/9 64/2 66/17
34/14 105/20 107/13 107/24 108/2 108/8	20 December 1989 [1]		28/17	107/24 108/2	66/17 69/21 70/1 70/3
111/10 114/8 114/14	116/24	26 October 1982 [2]	35 days [1] 156/16	7.1 [1] 159/7	70/11 71/19 71/21 77/21 79/14 82/2
117/15 117/18 135/8	20 July 1987 [1]	154/8 154/16	375 [1] 162/11	71,785 [1] 77/4	83/21 84/6 85/5 85/17
138/5 139/8 139/9	122/18	27 [3] 55/11 90/24	38,308 [1] 78/19	72 [1] 109/12	88/2 88/9 89/14 89/19
140/8 140/15 140/19	20 years [1] 39/1	91/7	383,624 [1] 78/19	72 hours [3] 109/8	91/2 92/8 95/5 95/11
141/16 142/7 156/14	200 [2] 104/17 104/19	27 June 1991 [1]	39 [2] 27/1 59/14	110/4 122/23	95/12 96/15 97/20
158/15 159/1 160/5	200 mbar [1] 104/25	127/15	4	8	98/15 98/25 99/24
160/9 160/19 166/9	2000 [2] 147/1 148/21	27 September 1983		8 December 1972 [1]	101/2 101/18 106/8
166/15	2005 [1] 52/19 2021 [3] 1/1 30/2	[1] 155/20	4 January 1973 [2] 69/8 72/2	65/21	110/10 111/6 112/7
1985-96 [1] 3/19	167/8	27 September 1988 [1] 126/13	4 May [1] 165/24	8 January 1985 [1]	112/21 112/22 114/15
1986 [19] 30/17 30/19	20p [1] 114/4	271,902 [1] 77/3	4 months [1] 121/13	108/8	115/13 115/14 116/14
117/18 117/20 118/7	20th [1] 62/4	27th [1] 108/22	4,098,815 [1] 78/17	8 September 1983 [1]	118/22 121/1 122/15
118/19 119/2 119/18	21 [1] 161/18	28 [8] 15/14 15/18	4.1 million [1] 96/6	94/9	126/20 128/18 130/1
119/21 120/5 141/20	21 days [1] 17/15	15/20 16/1 91/10	4.33 [1] 167/6	8 September 1987 [1]	135/11 138/23 138/25
141/21 142/19 160/25	21 December 1976 [1]	134/5 149/7 156/16	40-plus [1] 52/23	142/20	140/13 141/17 143/17
161/10 161/18 162/7	76/12	28 days [2] 24/14	403,600 [1] 132/24	80 [8] 104/16 104/24	144/7 144/10 146/23
162/24 166/10 1986-87 [1] 148/3	21 December 1984 [1]		42-50 million [1] 95/5	117/4 141/7 147/7	148/20 149/11 149/17 150/2 157/11 159/10
1987 [16] 8/3 38/20	136/8	28 March 1978 [1]	424,585 [1] 92/25	161/23 162/10 164/15	160/19 161/6 161/17
38/25 41/25 43/14	21 February 1989 [1]	87/20	430 [1] 149/6	80 degrees [4] 122/23	163/3 163/12 165/1
47/2 121/18 122/18	142/23	29 [1] 67/20	44 [1] 37/4	135/1 142/13 158/12	166/17 166/18 166/22
123/11 123/17 141/24	21 July 1983 [1]	29 November 1976 [1]		82 [1] 96/7	above [5] 35/23 71/19
142/12 142/20 148/18	155/2	83/24	491,041 [1] 78/17	85 [1] 16/6	93/15 95/4 121/9
162/25 163/7	21 July 1986 [1]	29 October 1983 [1]	5	865,680 [1] 78/12 87 [1] 148/3	abridged [8] 41/7
1988 [6] 2/7 124/2	161/10 21 June 1973 [1]	157/15	5 March 1986 [1]	897,308 [1] 77/4	41/14 41/19 106/21
124/21 126/13 149/5	73/22	29 October 1984 [3]	118/7	8Y [1] 148/4	123/13 124/6 126/24
163/10	21 June 1985 [1]	157/8 157/14 157/18 298 [1] 27/5	5 million [2] 75/25		144/25
1989 [11] 2/7 4/4 8/14	159/1		76/5	9	abroad [1] 59/13
116/24 117/8 117/21	22 [6] 12/8 61/22	3	5 September 1983 [1]	9 August 1977 [1]	absence [2] 66/24
141/25 142/23 147/18	73/14 90/25 91/8	3 months [1] 21/1	155/6	151/4	131/13
148/7 163/10	160/5	3 November 1976 [1]	5,231,146 [1] 78/13	90s [1] 146/6	absolute [1] 70/3
1990 [4] 126/25 127/4	22 March '73 [2]	76/16	50 [1] 42/23	91 [1] 16/6	accept [1] 82/20
143/5 163/17	73/18 74/4	3.01 pm [1] 130/9	50,000 [1] 77/25	96 [1] 3/19	acceptable [4] 32/23
1990s [1] 54/21	22 March 1973 [1]	3.1 [1] 43/15	500 [5] 59/23 60/2	97 [1] 40/4	69/25 128/2 151/10
1991 [9] 127/6 127/15 127/16 127/24 149/19	73/23	3.2 [1] 44/14	60/13 61/6 63/22		acceptance [5] 129/11 129/13 146/3
164/9 164/20 164/23	220 [3] 119/10 142/21	3.2.1 [1] 44/16	52 [1] 150/20		146/4 146/7
165/6	163/7	3.20 [1] 130/5	520,887 [1] 93/16	:flaccid [1] 45/13	accompanied [3]
	23 [2] 1/1 90/22	3.25 [1] 130/7	54 [1] 40/10	:undue [1] 45/11	
	L				 (44) 1981 accompanied

(44) 1981... - accompanied

Α accompanied... [3] 63/19 65/25 124/3 accompanies [1] 66/15 accompany [1] 36/24 accordance [1] 20/22 according [4] 87/9 97/14 102/2 147/4 account [10] 12/7 12/22 13/1 13/7 36/19 86/14 97/21 97/25 98/9 145/20 accountable [1] 4/10 accounted [1] 148/9 accurate [1] 2/8 accurately [2] 85/16 102/6 achieve [1] 106/2 acquired [1] 121/13 acronyms [1] 67/7 across [4] 19/4 47/5 84/13 92/18 act [56] 2/21 3/5 3/9 3/10 4/12 4/18 5/1 6/11 7/3 10/20 11/4 11/11 12/6 12/17 13/23 14/11 14/12 14/14 15/13 16/8 16/10 16/20 16/24 17/6 17/8 18/2 19/1 19/4 19/17 20/11 21/3 22/12 22/24 24/20 26/25 27/8 36/21 38/25 39/4 39/9 46/19 52/19 73/2 73/24 74/2 88/11 91/3 96/17 96/22 96/24 97/1 97/5 116/10 156/1 157/12 157/23 acted [3] 3/25 4/20 9/16 acting [2] 3/15 18/24 action [6] 83/19 85/4 100/24 140/10 141/5 156/25 activation [1] 58/13 active [6] 20/10 40/25 41/3 41/9 41/18 42/22 activities [2] 35/3 39/21 actual [1] 27/11 actually [9] 67/12 73/12 77/8 88/20 110/1 120/18 145/8 145/13 157/14 Adams [2] 159/9 160/1 add [5] 57/25 64/24 67/12 72/19 109/13

126/21 164/5 addition [4] 9/20 10/18 32/8 154/3 additional [6] 7/15 42/24 46/9 84/7 140/13 154/9 address [3] 20/14 23/1 23/4 addresses [1] 33/9 adds [1] 122/25 adequate [2] 39/11 154/1 adjourned [1] 167/7 adjournment [1] 96/12 adjusted [1] 78/13 administered [7] 15/23 17/5 22/8 23/25 97/12 98/8 150/20 administering [1] administration [5] 17/1 22/9 24/2 64/16 159/25 administrations [1] 20/25 administrative [6] 6/2 6/4 6/7 36/18 40/7 79/16 administrators [1] admissions [1] 66/8 adoption [1] 6/22 advance [1] 29/4 advantage [3] 118/15 144/3 144/8 adverse [3] 28/24 29/5 112/18 advertisements [1] 22/17 advertising [4] 17/17 45/14 138/24 161/17 advice [19] 3/4 4/21 5/19 8/20 9/5 9/7 9/13 9/17 9/23 10/12 10/22 31/21 36/18 69/20 69/21 69/24 70/1 73/8 109/19 advise [9] 4/16 11/17 127/17 140/24 143/9 154/17 155/4 160/6 164/10 advised [7] 5/5 5/8 6/18 7/20 7/24 72/13 153/23 advisor [1] 152/9 advisory [2] 10/10 47/17 affect [1] 112/19 after [20] 4/3 18/1 25/16 31/20 38/4

52/23 70/15 83/14

83/18 87/22 89/17 89/19 93/5 105/20 105/23 106/6 116/5 119/9 120/7 126/25 afternoon [1] 99/22 **AG [35]** 55/6 56/4 56/6 56/9 56/12 56/17 59/9 66/6 83/3 87/9 101/20 107/4 107/4 111/13 112/24 114/6 114/18 116/23 117/6 122/17 123/8 126/9 126/15 127/23 133/21 136/8 139/7 140/9 140/19 152/7 155/9 161/12 163/12 164/22 164/25 again [28] 21/2 22/10 23/11 28/21 29/2 34/2 41/5 50/19 50/19 61/18 65/10 76/11 82/18 86/3 93/9 104/22 117/6 122/15 127/2 128/21 132/3 134/20 142/7 143/19 145/7 161/9 161/16 164/9 against [7] 33/3 36/1 44/24 55/2 111/24 140/13 159/15 agency [10] 4/5 8/15 8/16 8/17 47/23 127/8 128/17 128/18 164/24 165/23 agent [1] 150/11 Agents [6] 59/22 59/25 60/23 61/5 61/13 61/16 ages [1] 68/15 agree [4] 26/1 41/18 89/24 101/13 agreed [5] 28/1 37/25 107/22 123/13 160/6 agreement [2] 28/4 69/9 agriculture [7] 3/11 3/12 18/20 18/21 18/24 25/8 25/11 ahead [1] 69/18 AIDS [8] 30/24 50/14 95/14 110/2 110/8 111/5 113/15 159/23 AIDS-related [1] 110/2 aimed [1] 100/24 Air [1] 48/25 albeit [1] 59/16 all [57] 1/15 7/16 10/3 26/1 27/6 29/23 32/7

39/21 43/10 43/10

44/5 47/10 50/16 52/1

52/14 52/22 54/1 54/4

altered [1] 94/4

alternative [2] 80/22

an error [1] 62/11

162/4

54/10 54/19 55/5 56/2 102/22 59/4 59/18 65/24 66/22 67/16 67/16 83/22 84/18 90/7 90/11 92/12 92/23 93/17 94/2 95/8 95/18 146/12 106/17 108/24 109/13 | always [4] 52/21 109/16 110/6 110/19 112/9 116/25 122/2 125/8 126/7 129/8 139/22 146/1 148/9 150/2 158/3 162/2 all-up [1] 59/4 105/16 allergic [1] 68/2 allow [11] 21/20 21/25 162/22 27/18 80/13 94/5 102/11 130/7 142/24 145/3 156/18 161/1 160/20 allowed [6] 9/21 10/11 amendments [2] 16/20 17/18 73/20 112/6 112/18 157/12 allowing [1] 129/7 83/25 91/23 **allows [1]** 16/24 alluded [1] 104/6 almost [4] 39/1 46/21 101/17 101/18 alone [2] 3/15 35/25 along [5] 6/4 54/2 57/6 158/9 158/13 alongside [1] 153/22 Alpha [7] 48/25 95/2 113/4 113/13 114/2 125/13 139/23 86/20 already [7] 105/13 136/22 137/8 139/18 157/22 159/9 145/1 149/23 162/4 also [68] 2/10 3/23 4/20 7/20 8/11 9/12 9/13 9/16 10/24 11/15 112/22 11/19 11/25 12/6 16/2 amounts [1] 76/25 16/21 23/8 31/25 33/7 36/14 36/19 37/3 40/15 42/19 43/5 47/4 126/24 47/16 49/1 51/12 53/21 53/23 56/10 129/11 129/13 56/25 58/10 59/25 61/8 61/9 61/18 69/12 72/19 74/8 75/13 75/23 79/24 80/20 160/15 160/20 81/10 81/20 85/12 89/14 102/20 105/6 105/21 107/5 110/1 113/12 118/23 122/8 130/23 151/16 123/10 127/21 131/25 134/9 139/23 149/25 151/20 154/14 157/25 158/8 164/5 165/9 ALT [1] 121/12 alterations [1] 111/15 an awful [1] 59/5

an exhaustive [1] although [12] 2/25 53/14 3/15 3/24 4/10 12/24 an indication [1] 37/23 46/18 86/13 55/15 104/13 134/8 141/25 an inference [1] 26/11 55/16 58/20 78/2 141/4 am [11] 1/2 37/10 37/14 48/12 48/14 29/20 71/17 109/20 110/10 130/1 152/12 167/7 161/18 amend [2] 86/18 amended [2] 162/14 53/22 amendment [5] 46/22 119/14 138/17 160/15 141/9 145/8 America [3] 80/8 American [20] 80/9 80/15 81/1 81/4 82/4 85/12 85/23 86/20 87/2 87/17 88/17 90/16 96/6 96/8 100/19 101/11 102/11 102/25 112/10 166/25 American-based [3] 80/15 81/4 87/2 American-sourced [1] among [3] 69/5 amongst [1] 126/4 amount [6] 21/4 46/16 77/24 93/16 112/22 96/20 144/3 ample [1] 46/14 an abridged [2] 124/6 158/18 an acceptance [2] an accurate [1] 2/8 an actual [1] 27/11 an amendment [2] 133/15 an annual [1] 134/21 an application [5] 67/10 62/21 94/8 97/5 an artefact [1] 3/22 an article [1] 149/4 59/10 an asterisk [1] 93/21 an attempt [1] 1/13 an Austrian [1] 55/8 an earlier [1] 34/1

an insight [1] 128/21 an instruction [1] an introduction [1] an licensed [1] an ongoing [1] 29/9 an opportunity [1] an option [1] 100/1 an oven [1] 57/24 an overview [2] 1/11 an undertaking [1] an unlicensed [2] 141/19 141/21 analyses [1] 65/5 analysis [7] 40/21 53/11 53/18 63/10 101/13 131/21 166/3 anecdotal [1] 153/20 annual [9] 76/8 77/12 92/12 95/4 96/3 133/4 134/6 134/21 152/1 annually [1] 43/1 another [19] 12/23 13/5 21/10 21/14 22/16 46/20 69/12 86/12 86/14 86/19 89/15 95/21 97/21 98/1 98/10 98/16 125/22 128/6 142/18 answer [3] 89/10 anti [2] 140/21 158/18 anti-viral [2] 140/21 antibiotics [1] 36/2 antibodies [3] 120/16 133/10 164/19 antibody [2] 133/10 antigen [6] 34/11 66/25 67/2 67/9 67/9 antigens [4] 66/24 79/3 110/16 131/14 Antihaemophilic [1] any [42] 1/23 3/15 5/20 15/21 19/11 22/4 22/16 22/17 22/17 23/21 25/15 28/24 29/6 29/23 30/6 32/12 37/14 37/16 37/17

(45) accompanied... - any

added [4] 76/23 86/1

Α any... [23] 37/24 42/1 52/7 52/8 52/8 66/25 69/21 86/11 97/25 98/9 103/14 103/14 103/16 105/22 113/11 118/14 125/19 140/10 140/16 141/4 143/16 144/22 145/12 anymore [1] 154/18 anything [5] 21/11 23/18 53/8 150/7 156/1 anyway [2] 38/7 71/6 AP [1] 84/5 apart [2] 34/4 148/4 appeal [5] 4/20 9/18 9/22 10/11 10/11 appeals [5] 9/16 10/7 44/24 47/18 157/12 appear [2] 93/24 109/1 appeared [2] 81/5 136/17 appearing [1] 61/3 appears [6] 34/13 69/17 85/2 85/4 118/3 160/25 applicant [1] 42/20 application [109] 5/17 9/18 11/10 14/14 14/18 14/25 15/16 33/6 36/24 40/18 40/23 41/2 41/7 42/10 62/17 62/21 62/22 62/25 63/4 63/20 65/11 65/18 65/25 66/9 66/12 68/9 73/4 79/15 79/20 80/12 80/13 81/2 81/7 82/4 83/14 83/22 84/7 85/18 85/25 88/24 89/18 90/21 94/8 96/23 97/5 97/9 97/24 98/19 102/8 102/10 102/15 106/22 107/23 108/1 112/13 116/2 118/6 118/10 118/19 119/2 119/7 119/17 119/18 120/15 120/22 121/16 121/17 122/11 122/12 123/9 123/14 123/16 123/25 124/1 124/3 124/6 124/16 127/4 127/13 129/7 130/23 131/3 135/8 135/14 135/15 135/25 136/1 136/17 142/18 143/4 143/8 148/25 150/19 151/1 151/6

153/8 157/11 157/20 158/8 162/22 164/1 164/9 165/8 165/10 165/14 165/24 applications [33] 31/23 35/9 35/11 35/12 35/13 35/17 39/8 39/22 40/21 41/8 41/15 41/19 41/25 44/19 45/25 46/17 80/1 105/16 107/7 107/25 108/17 110/24 112/24 118/1 122/16 123/12 126/10 131/1 142/25 163/2 163/6 163/17 163/18 applied [17] 3/23 14/23 16/14 32/1 40/16 60/22 78/24 91/3 96/15 105/14 107/8 130/13 137/10 137/15 150/25 161/1 162/17 applies [5] 27/15 96/24 98/18 105/5 105/6 apply [16] 14/13 23/18 24/8 24/9 24/17 28/14 28/19 47/3 47/3 64/3 119/15 124/13 142/10 144/6 152/22 160/19 applying [1] 152/20 appointment [1] 33/16 appraised [1] 71/25 appreciate [2] 139/20 166/20 approach [5] 19/7 48/19 48/22 53/10 124/18 approached [1] 51/20 appropriate [5] 16/2 33/3 35/19 36/4 149/21 approval [1] 147/18 approved [17] 30/3 45/8 73/3 79/1 85/25 87/20 91/7 94/9 107/23 108/2 120/17 135/12 138/19 145/25 162/19 165/14 165/24 approximately [2] 93/2 166/12 approximates [1] 42/2 April [17] 4/4 34/13 79/7 85/20 89/17 90/10 100/9 105/20 127/6 129/20 140/8 140/15 140/19 146/10 149/19 158/15 165/13

April 1976 [1] 79/7 April 1977 [1] 90/10 April 1978 [1] 85/20 April 1979 [1] 100/9 April 1985 [5] 105/20 140/8 140/15 140/19 158/15 April 1989 [1] 4/4 April 1991 [1] 149/19 April 1992 [1] 146/10 are [156] 1/25 8/8 8/23 10/21 11/12 11/15 12/4 13/23 14/3 15/13 16/9 16/9 16/16 41/5 41/15 41/17 41/19 42/14 42/19 43/5 43/23 43/25 44/19 44/24 45/8 45/8 45/12 46/25 47/10 47/12 47/16 49/16 49/18 49/19 49/20 49/20 49/21 52/3 52/6 52/7 52/12 52/12 52/15 52/24 53/2 53/15 53/24 54/24 56/5 56/13 57/7 57/9 57/10 57/25 58/1 58/19 59/5 59/19 59/24 61/14 61/16 63/19 65/7 65/12 66/1 66/23 67/7 67/7 67/24 70/3 72/20 74/6 75/12 75/23 76/18 77/16 79/4 79/15 79/16 79/17 81/20 84/18 84/24 85/8 85/22 89/3 90/8 90/17 91/10 91/18 91/24 91/25 92/12 93/20 94/2 94/6 94/10 95/1 95/8 95/17 97/12 98/8 100/10 100/12 102/15 103/23 104/14 105/1 105/10 106/20 107/3 107/21 108/4 108/25 109/2 110/17 113/4 113/12 113/25 114/1 114/2 114/3 119/14 122/2 123/19 123/19 124/8 125/10 125/15 126/6 126/12 126/16 126/18 128/20 129/16 133/4 133/13 136/19 136/22 137/8 138/16 139/15 139/18 140/23 141/16 143/25 145/23 147/13 148/12 153/13 155/13 158/5 158/7 162/3 163/23 164/3 areas [7] 11/16 31/14 49/15 49/16 49/21 associated [4] 66/25 53/24 95/8 67/10 131/14 149/18

arising [1] 39/7 Armour [7] 48/25 77/2 assuming [2] 87/7 78/7 81/3 95/2 113/4 139/23 around [9] 14/5 60/11 88/2 88/23 92/9 101/9 asterisk [2] 78/14 148/3 148/18 160/18 arrange [1] 141/1 arrangements [4] 4/8 attached [2] 70/18 39/5 43/23 103/13 arrived [1] 136/13 artefact [1] 3/22 article [11] 17/16 23/10 24/23 27/22 28/17 28/21 29/2 29/12 147/13 147/14 149/4 article 4 [3] 28/17 28/21 29/2 articles [4] 19/16 28/12 147/13 149/2 artificially [1] 121/5 **ARV [1]** 110/2 as [205] aside [1] 110/22 ask [11] 47/15 58/18 65/22 68/13 69/12 72/23 81/9 83/22 115/12 131/11 159/5 asked [9] 37/10 37/14 39/4 39/14 39/15 94/23 96/3 96/14 146/23 asking [3] 88/10 89/14 137/12 aspect [1] 138/10 aspects [3] 1/15 30/8 43/13 assay [1] 110/15 assembled [1] 64/24 assembler [1] 23/2 assess [1] 109/6 assessed [3] 35/24 118/18 165/12 assessing [5] 12/15 12/21 36/5 36/7 39/22 assessment [5] 40/24 42/17 153/8 153/17 159/4 assessments [1] 36/15 assessor [2] 118/9 118/13 assessors [3] 41/22 44/23 45/21 asset [1] 28/22 assist [5] 1/13 1/18 53/13 137/2 150/9 assistance [1] 1/17 assisted [1] 39/24

assume [1] 137/17 156/5 assumption [1] 87/8 assurances [1] 95/19 93/21 **ATC [1]** 159/12 93/21 attaches [1] 116/24 attachment [1] 75/13 attack [2] 113/10 152/14 attempt [1] 1/13 attempting [1] 42/1 attend [1] 69/16 attention [11] 22/4 23/11 24/22 37/3 38/14 53/21 66/2 71/1 100/7 138/22 140/7 **AU [3]** 66/24 67/2 67/7 AU/SH/HA [3] 66/24 67/2 67/7 August [11] 30/13 30/15 79/9 123/11 131/4 131/24 133/19 150/13 151/4 160/5 162/25 August 1973 [1] 131/4 August 1975 [1] 150/13 August 1976 [1] 79/9 August 1985 [3] 30/13 30/15 160/5 August 1987 [1] 123/11 Australian [1] 67/8 Austria [9] 68/17 68/18 80/6 85/11 89/6 90/3 130/16 154/15 161/25 Austrian [5] 55/8 59/12 72/23 90/8 96/4 authorisation [1] 7/8 authorisation' [1] 7/4 authorise [1] 63/21 authorised [2] 27/10 85/22 authorities [3] 72/23 106/1 128/2 authority [96] 2/24 2/24 3/15 3/17 3/25 4/17 4/22 5/13 7/4 7/9 7/13 7/15 7/25 8/9 9/8 10/1 10/3 10/4 11/18 12/3 12/7 12/9 12/13 12/22 13/3 13/10 13/19 14/2 14/17 17/14 17/25 19/25 20/5 20/18 22/3 22/5

23/14 23/23 24/4 24/5 24/7 24/16 24/19 27/24 28/1 28/9 28/18 28/24 29/1 29/7 29/10 31/22 32/1 32/14 35/14 37/1 72/25 73/8 83/15 86/18 87/15 87/15 97/6 97/25 98/5 99/1 99/5 99/24 102/9 102/15 106/16 107/6 107/14 107/20 109/17 123/9 123/23 124/17 128/14 129/15 143/17 144/11 144/25 145/19 146/6 148/23 149/11 151/14 151/20 155/15 156/12 156/15 156/24 160/6 161/3 163/1 Authority' [1] 3/10 Authority's [1] 83/17 authors [3] 41/23 46/11 47/1 autumn [1] 107/1 available [39] 17/25 34/10 34/12 49/18 59/16 65/16 80/21 80/24 81/5 88/18 91/25 92/3 100/24 101/6 102/21 102/24 104/7 115/9 117/9 117/10 117/12 120/1 124/25 136/3 138/16 139/3 139/17 140/5 141/9 141/12 141/14 147/21 148/2 148/6 148/17 153/10 153/13 156/11 159/2 avoid [3] 2/11 113/23 114/1 avoids [1] 50/18 awarded [1] 111/16 aware [8] 21/21 21/24 52/13 87/16 91/12 101/22 124/17 156/3 awareness [1] 71/5 away [3] 26/23 110/5 117/22 awful [1] 59/5

back [29] 3/21 8/4 19/14 19/15 43/20 57/5 62/8 75/18 83/21 84/4 88/11 90/19 94/22 95/10 95/21 117/1 119/4 122/16 129/23 133/17 138/8 138/21 148/21 150/1 157/4 157/5 157/7 161/9 163/4 backdated [1] 91/8 backed [3] 16/5 64/25

(46) any... - backed

151/16 152/23 153/2

7/8 14/15 15/18 18/15 121/11 125/2 126/1 **bleeding [2]** 64/9 brought [7] 24/5 42/8 В 26/3 26/15 32/22 126/3 126/22 128/13 47/16 53/21 65/22 64/19 backed... [1] 114/2 32/25 33/19 40/20 132/25 133/2 134/10 blood [22] 31/11 68/13 100/7 backing [1] 125/19 47/24 51/19 51/19 135/6 135/12 136/24 33/12 33/14 33/18 bruising [1] 64/19 bacteriology [1] 52/15 52/16 52/21 141/2 141/18 142/24 33/21 33/22 34/2 34/5 | **BSC [1]** 6/20 36/11 52/24 53/1 53/7 53/9 BSE [2] 2/5 8/1 143/25 145/9 145/13 34/7 34/8 34/9 34/23 balance [2] 36/6 53/10 53/16 55/23 147/3 149/11 150/24 36/3 47/6 48/23 62/1 built [1] 44/1 46/23 60/5 60/14 68/24 75/7 153/22 158/7 158/24 67/18 95/2 108/12 bulk [2] 32/8 78/4 Baltimore [1] 84/16 75/21 82/25 83/14 159/24 163/22 132/14 135/21 139/22 | burdens [1] 40/24 barrier [1] 156/4 85/5 85/25 87/16 beings [2] 22/8 24/1 bloom [3] 105/21 bureaucracy [1] 44/6 based [27] 7/3 41/3 87/23 88/2 88/6 93/24 believe [5] 22/6 23/24 105/21 152/10 burying [1] 115/21 49/1 51/3 51/5 51/7 blue [4] 80/23 91/22 96/1 100/7 100/23 110/4 136/21 137/8 business [4] 55/7 51/10 55/9 55/15 101/16 103/3 105/19 113/9 113/11 139/19 below [2] 76/18 93/7 96/5 55/18 56/2 56/7 56/9 105/22 107/16 111/7 113/19 blue as [1] 80/23 but [140] 8/11 8/22 61/24 62/24 62/24 111/16 111/17 111/22 benefit [4] 36/6 board [3] 32/14 47/6 9/25 10/14 10/21 11/7 63/2 68/18 80/13 113/3 116/7 117/16 127/22 131/21 143/13 74/22 12/3 13/6 13/16 14/4 80/14 80/15 80/19 119/22 120/4 121/4 benefited [1] 36/14 Boards [2] 74/22 16/8 16/21 19/5 21/11 81/4 87/2 96/1 102/19 121/12 122/14 125/6 Berry [33] 55/20 74/23 21/15 21/20 22/11 116/15 125/14 125/18 129/21 61/23 62/3 62/23 bodies [4] 6/18 9/20 26/13 26/20 27/7 29/6 basic [1] 17/7 131/22 133/11 134/9 65/18 66/5 74/14 10/18 48/5 30/3 33/7 35/15 37/7 basis [40] 16/17 135/9 136/25 137/10 81/25 82/1 85/9 85/21 body [4] 4/21 9/12 40/9 41/17 42/6 43/5 16/19 17/11 19/18 137/15 137/18 138/7 89/1 100/23 101/15 9/17 10/16 43/11 44/1 47/10 21/5 21/24 27/19 138/19 141/4 144/15 101/19 101/20 103/5 bona [2] 17/21 22/20 47/16 47/21 48/6 27/20 29/13 32/4 144/23 145/25 146/23 103/6 103/7 103/23 borne [1] 34/9 49/16 50/4 50/6 50/11 60/15 62/10 86/19 147/5 148/6 148/7 105/15 107/22 111/11 both [17] 38/9 41/18 50/23 51/12 51/23 88/18 90/17 109/20 149/17 150/17 150/20 112/4 119/22 131/7 54/5 55/13 56/17 52/12 53/1 56/18 57/1 115/10 117/14 118/5 153/10 154/11 155/12 131/22 133/20 140/19 91/25 98/18 111/18 57/7 57/25 58/10 118/5 125/3 125/4 152/7 152/18 155/9 112/5 113/16 114/19 58/21 59/5 59/12 156/23 158/2 159/1 134/13 134/22 136/4 160/22 164/15 164/16 157/9 136/19 140/24 146/9 59/22 60/9 60/11 137/5 137/5 137/12 164/18 165/24 166/15 best [4] 40/2 44/8 146/17 164/2 165/1 60/21 61/16 62/14 138/20 139/5 141/3 166/20 60/3 60/11 bother [1] 139/22 62/25 65/22 65/25 141/19 141/21 153/11 before [22] 1/9 2/9 5/1 better [3] 44/13 50/17 bottle [1] 61/1 69/7 70/14 70/21 71/9 155/19 155/25 159/2 6/25 14/16 14/22 111/23 **bottles [2]** 60/2 60/12 73/22 74/11 75/20 162/14 166/13 166/16 77/17 78/6 82/3 82/13 18/12 23/14 29/23 between [29] 49/10 **bottom** [5] 31/12 77/9 batch [6] 31/25 32/4 83/18 84/3 84/14 31/20 50/11 72/5 91/4 49/15 51/3 51/8 55/17 92/2 100/13 112/4 32/4 32/11 32/12 94/12 96/14 100/4 57/21 68/15 73/24 bought [3] 60/23 61/5 85/11 87/15 88/25 32/24 116/5 121/23 130/18 81/24 86/11 87/16 89/14 90/25 92/24 batches [7] 6/16 32/7 146/9 152/2 158/25 87/25 89/25 93/6 box [1] 137/16 93/16 93/16 93/22 33/4 34/18 34/23 began [1] 59/9 107/4 109/2 114/6 BPL [4] 69/6 105/19 98/21 99/11 99/20 34/24 90/7 begin [2] 120/22 116/2 117/14 123/7 125/11 148/5 101/11 101/25 105/6 BAYP0000022 [1] 147/2 123/21 128/25 131/5 branch [1] 75/2 111/20 112/8 113/4 132/10 begins [1] 81/23 163/11 164/21 164/23 Brazil [1] 154/14 115/1 115/25 117/4 be [267] behalf [6] 6/17 14/1 166/4 166/9 166/24 breach [1] 15/19 118/3 120/8 121/13 be 1,000 [1] 71/14 Biggs [4] 62/5 62/10 32/14 39/23 72/24 breaches [1] 16/5 122/3 123/10 123/19 **Bebulin [2]** 61/15 break [8] 48/9 48/13 75/1 62/12 62/15 125/1 126/3 128/22 131/9 Behring [1] 125/12 129/22 132/3 132/7 56/18 96/9 130/3 biological [24] 6/9 became [3] 34/12 Behringwerke's [1] 6/11 6/13 6/16 6/16 130/5 130/5 130/10 132/12 134/15 134/18 55/20 148/2 147/23 10/19 30/12 30/18 breakdown [2] 40/10 135/7 135/13 137/22 because [29] 13/4 being [69] 12/11 31/5 31/24 32/2 32/10 93/6 138/15 138/18 139/1 20/11 23/20 25/23 21/23 25/25 26/8 32/17 33/1 33/3 33/4 **brief [1]** 17/13 139/1 139/21 140/5 42/17 53/2 59/4 60/4 34/14 37/21 40/6 35/22 35/23 35/25 141/23 142/19 143/22 briefly [1] 55/5 69/11 77/9 80/19 43/14 48/24 53/20 36/5 36/7 36/13 Briggs [2] 62/11 144/19 145/10 148/13 82/20 83/6 101/10 56/1 59/24 61/8 61/12 108/11 118/18 149/25 150/7 151/11 62/14 102/19 114/19 115/5 61/18 62/9 69/2 71/2 Biologicals [10] 5/10 bring [7] 46/9 53/10 151/17 153/19 156/11 115/13 117/1 133/13 71/2 71/9 72/9 77/1 8/24 9/2 30/22 35/5 59/4 59/17 69/13 156/16 156/21 156/22 137/8 140/11 143/24 157/5 157/7 159/17 79/15 87/25 88/16 72/6 121/18 153/9 83/22 88/20 145/19 147/21 150/6 90/16 92/9 94/23 153/18 165/12 Britain [1] 113/11 160/2 164/5 151/6 155/25 157/21 96/23 98/10 98/21 Biologics [1] 68/10 British [6] 33/23 buy [4] 77/24 77/25 becomes [1] 62/1 99/3 99/23 100/19 Birmingham [1] 84/16 82/20 82/23 105/18 78/1 83/5 becoming [2] 41/20 104/18 105/24 109/14 bit [6] 2/13 9/10 11/3 106/11 149/5 buying [2] 61/14 110/24 111/1 111/7 29/25 78/8 137/22 broad [1] 2/8 61/17 been [97] 2/23 4/25 broadly [2] 31/14 47/5 by [152] 1/3 1/22 2/20 111/11 112/23 114/5 Blackburn [1] 100/11

(47) backed... - can

2/24 3/9 3/19 4/9 4/9

4/20 4/22 5/23 7/4 7/8

13/9 14/1 14/11 16/5

7/16 7/17 8/9 8/14

17/11 18/25 20/11

25/4 27/2 27/3 28/5

28/16 29/15 29/24

34/5 34/15 34/20

35/10 35/14 35/17

35/24 36/15 36/25

38/19 39/5 39/24

40/21 41/21 43/18

46/23 47/25 48/22

59/1 60/16 62/22

63/17 64/9 64/13

64/25 65/18 68/9

81/23 82/12 83/2

87/14 88/24 89/19

99/23 103/5 103/6

103/7 107/2 107/22

108/7 108/24 109/21

110/9 110/11 110/25

111/7 111/19 111/25

116/1 120/7 121/6

112/21 112/24 113/25

121/11 121/12 121/17

122/7 127/14 128/6

128/9 128/12 128/13

129/12 129/19 131/22

132/22 132/23 133/5

133/6 134/23 135/19

137/8 137/10 143/13

149/14 149/24 150/23

146/5 146/6 146/14

147/2 148/5 149/3

151/2 153/9 154/6

154/13 155/1 156/14

156/14 156/23 158/15

160/25 161/22 162/20

Bypassing [2] 150/4

calculations [1] 77/22

called [11] 9/12 29/21

45/3 54/15 55/6 55/10

came [5] 18/12 122/3

123/16 146/14 149/13

can [137] 7/7 13/7

13/19 15/22 15/23

15/24 17/4 18/10

call [2] 51/18 82/2

55/14 55/20 57/2

61/15 150/15

159/1 159/9 160/23

165/5 168/4

150/8

Bypass [1] 57/4

90/3 95/4 96/2 99/10

44/8 44/16 45/15 46/7

54/5 54/6 56/17 58/3

68/12 72/1 72/14 76/4

32/1 32/13 32/20 33/1

carried [5] 6/17 10/21 130/23 131/2 131/25 113/21 135/5 160/10 144/4 144/8 144/12 C commercial [2] 89/25 33/1 34/8 65/5 151/17 159/16 161/25 clearer [1] 122/12 93/4 can... [129] 18/10 carries [1] 100/20 certificates [3] 31/24 clearly [3] 80/22 90/3 comparative [1] commercially [1] 18/14 19/5 19/14 carry [3] 10/25 72/23 35/10 41/4 102/22 42/19 164/16 19/20 20/7 21/3 21/4 100/25 **chair [3]** 30/23 55/20 clinical [40] 16/18 commission [17] 4/13 compared [3] 80/23 21/10 22/6 22/7 22/12 carrying [1] 33/23 26/24 27/1 27/4 27/9 100/11 4/15 4/19 5/15 7/20 112/11 128/12 22/23 23/25 24/7 chairman [3] 30/17 27/12 27/18 27/21 7/22 9/13 9/19 10/8 carved [1] 52/5 comparison [1] 116/2 24/24 27/20 30/10 case [8] 24/18 32/18 55/21 111/12 27/25 28/6 28/7 28/11 13/13 39/24 157/9 competent [3] 7/4 7/8 31/14 38/17 38/18 40/17 50/2 51/4 95/15 challenged [1] 149/14 29/16 31/23 33/6 157/21 157/24 158/4 38/22 40/13 42/24 95/16 157/24 chance [1] 108/22 35/10 36/8 36/10 158/25 160/6 competing [1] 55/2 47/12 48/2 53/4 59/6 cases [6] 25/24 32/6 change [17] 1/25 79/9 36/11 41/3 60/19 64/7 commissioned [1] 8/2 competitive [1] 59/19 59/21 60/23 32/20 36/9 153/4 80/2 80/3 80/6 80/16 64/8 64/15 68/23 committee [37] 4/23 139/19 61/5 61/8 61/9 61/12 71/21 71/24 72/11 5/4 5/7 5/11 5/14 7/18 164/6 82/4 83/15 102/11 competitors [4] 55/1 61/18 61/22 62/21 102/16 107/9 119/8 85/13 113/9 139/18 category [2] 41/14 111/25 118/5 125/3 7/19 8/23 8/25 9/7 63/3 63/13 63/21 64/1 49/3 125/22 142/15 160/16 130/23 131/1 131/24 13/12 30/16 30/17 complained [1] 112/7 64/3 66/3 66/5 66/13 cause [9] 22/5 22/16 162/2 163/19 135/17 150/19 151/16 30/21 31/22 35/4 complaint [1] 122/15 67/4 67/6 69/13 69/25 35/19 35/20 35/21 23/24 28/25 29/7 152/17 153/3 164/6 complaints [7] 43/9 **changed [6]** 28/5 71/18 71/24 74/17 70/19 135/17 140/23 40/11 46/19 55/12 44/6 44/14 45/15 clinician [2] 21/12 40/1 68/9 68/12 72/12 76/25 78/14 79/12 158/18 79/1 162/5 137/10 74/24 109/18 111/2 45/23 46/7 46/11 79/21 79/24 80/2 83/1 caused [3] 64/9 64/13 changes [3] 15/9 clinicians [2] 100/25 118/17 127/15 131/11 complete [3] 54/13 83/10 85/18 86/5 123/2 119/24 122/12 124/24 131/18 143/7 151/2 153/13 154/3 88/11 88/20 88/21 causing [2] 44/17 changing [4] 79/16 **clots [1]** 135/21 153/7 153/23 155/2 completely [4] 32/25 89/24 90/12 91/4 135/21 114/15 135/22 139/15 **clotting [1]** 34/7 155/12 161/5 67/5 89/23 152/12 91/16 91/23 92/19 complex [3] 41/20 caution [1] 159/21 chapter [5] 2/6 8/1 coagulation [3] 68/8 Committee's [1] 92/24 93/10 94/16 caveated [1] 93/21 43/7 43/8 43/16 94/20 104/3 36/14 51/21 58/16 94/25 96/2 96/21 97/3 CBLA0008057 [1] characteristics [2] collating [1] 134/2 committees [13] 3/4 complexity [4] 41/13 97/20 98/15 99/12 150/16 64/6 110/16 collect [1] 95/7 4/22 5/11 5/20 6/19 41/16 42/2 58/1 100/10 101/24 103/19 8/21 9/14 10/24 11/16 CDSM [2] 5/5 5/10 charge [1] 66/7 collected [2] 133/5 complicated [1] 40/21 103/21 104/8 107/3 cease [2] 14/16 80/12 charged [1] 39/2 13/16 35/6 39/25 133/6 complies [1] 14/8 107/20 107/24 110/4 comply [2] 14/3 95/20 ceased [4] 95/7 cheaper [6] 78/8 collection [1] 95/8 47/18 111/9 116/22 117/11 141/14 155/24 166/11 82/19 83/7 100/19 committees' [2] 3/6 colour [2] 80/23 composed [2] 10/3 120/19 120/23 121/7 Celsius [4] 120/13 100/20 101/10 102/23 40/1 36/3 121/21 124/13 131/21 column [3] 76/20 161/24 162/9 162/10 cheapness [1] 101/12 communicated [4] composition [3] 132/7 132/15 132/20 check [3] 59/7 88/11 76/21 76/23 114/6 151/3 155/5 63/24 66/11 66/18 cent [1] 96/7 133/1 133/7 133/14 Centigrade [3] 147/7 143/21 combined [1] 136/10 166/22 comprehensive [1] 134/6 138/19 140/1 147/8 159/13 checklist [1] 12/2 come [24] 3/21 8/4 communication [4] 1/14 140/25 141/10 142/10 central [3] 19/6 75/6 checks [1] 26/8 9/9 54/2 57/5 57/20 21/16 45/18 49/7 comprised [1] 3/14 142/16 143/21 144/6 75/18 chemical [1] 35/25 70/21 75/18 76/11 49/10 comprises [1] 40/3 144/23 145/7 145/19 86/2 94/22 95/10 compulsion [1] 52/19 centrally [1] 19/9 Chief [1] 6/6 Community [1] 7/1 148/14 148/21 150/23 95/21 103/22 117/1 centre [8] 34/25 62/15 chronology [1] 166/3 companies [30] 1/4 **computing [1]** 46/3 152/3 154/12 157/4 89/21 92/13 100/9 circular [5] 63/7 66/15 118/2 119/4 119/5 1/8 1/10 42/20 42/25 concentrate [13] 157/16 160/16 162/13 132/24 133/7 157/25 67/21 111/16 125/8 123/5 133/17 157/4 48/8 48/16 49/11 50/21 56/24 56/25 163/8 166/25 centres [15] 65/17 circulated [1] 94/18 157/5 157/7 161/9 49/19 49/21 50/3 57/2 75/5 80/25 82/9 can't [9] 10/8 14/4 68/17 71/22 71/23 circumstances [4] comes [14] 13/18 50/10 50/11 50/23 85/23 89/4 102/25 24/10 53/6 70/10 72/10 74/11 74/13 5/16 15/2 20/3 70/2 55/24 60/8 61/24 50/24 51/10 52/4 52/7 119/25 132/17 138/14 82/13 92/3 98/15 75/10 75/15 84/18 74/25 82/5 90/3 98/23 54/14 54/20 54/22 CONCENTRATED [2] circumvent [1] 26/4 100/2 84/22 95/8 125/8 cite [1] 149/2 117/7 127/6 132/12 54/25 75/22 96/2 74/24 75/9 cancellation [1] 133/6 150/21 cited [6] 82/13 82/18 132/13 132/13 139/6 106/17 108/25 109/5 concentrates [5] 126/20 certain [16] 5/15 127/19 146/8 147/14 coming [11] 1/14 22/4 112/10 139/23 168/5 80/17 90/1 100/15 cancelled [3] 126/16 10/21 12/6 14/3 17/9 154/13 26/18 56/5 56/22 company [46] 9/18 102/17 164/4 129/19 146/10 28/12 32/2 33/22 34/9 cites [1] 147/12 83/13 84/4 88/3 91/3 16/12 48/22 48/22 concentration [1] cannot [13] 12/10 36/2 50/18 50/19 civil [2] 40/3 75/19 133/13 140/9 49/2 50/25 51/3 51/6 120/6 23/24 37/21 68/7 68/20 69/25 70/1 51/6 51/11 52/8 54/15 claim [2] 101/25 commencing [1] 75/7 concern [10] 13/6 90/15 99/1 99/2 77/24 159/16 commendable [1] 55/5 55/8 55/9 55/9 26/6 114/24 122/6 121/14 130/21 139/21 55/11 55/14 55/15 certainly [9] 10/7 claiming [1] 128/7 42/4 127/20 128/9 135/18 159/19 164/2 165/17 claims [2] 113/25 26/17 60/16 86/22 commendation [1] 55/17 55/21 56/3 56/7 137/14 137/19 163/12 capable [1] 121/5 87/9 115/24 121/9 112/17 56/10 56/15 61/24 154/2 concerned [8] 18/18 capitals [1] 75/16 145/22 150/24 clarify [2] 58/18 comment [3] 37/5 62/23 62/24 62/25 18/20 21/12 86/10 care [1] 156/7 certainty [1] 164/2 140/25 68/19 112/20 63/2 79/10 79/17 126/20 136/15 149/11 careful [3] 42/15 **certificate** [12] 27/13 Clarke [1] 25/4 commented [1] 143/1 84/15 95/22 99/11 149/25 121/3 125/23 27/16 28/3 28/11 clear [9] 49/16 71/5 comments [4] 69/15 100/17 106/11 106/20 concerning [5] 79/7 carefully [1] 37/24 28/16 32/13 33/6 41/4 72/16 74/9 77/5 88/14 69/17 110/17 110/23 84/8 100/15 113/20 111/8 122/8 123/22

(48) can... - concerning

35/24 36/19 39/16 73/17 73/19 74/3 81/2 C considering [3] 12/25 contribute [2] 42/16 criticism [2] 44/12 165/19 40/16 47/7 47/8 59/17 70/6 83/1 90/25 117/20 49/17 98/6 concerning... [1] control [27] 4/5 6/10 61/7 61/20 62/18 criticisms [3] 43/24 117/21 131/25 141/24 consignment [3] 151/21 136/21 137/6 137/7 8/15 8/16 10/20 25/23 63/12 63/13 64/5 46/13 46/25 148/16 152/23 162/12 concerns [6] 111/6 consistency [1] 6/13 30/13 31/15 31/19 64/21 66/10 66/13 CRM [2] 4/24 5/10 166/9 112/21 126/4 139/11 consistently [2] 32/23 32/9 32/20 33/20 39/5 67/20 70/19 73/25 crossed [1] 88/25 dated [19] 30/2 61/22 149/13 161/6 43/17 44/3 45/10 46/9 73/25 74/10 76/9 Crown [6] 59/22 59/25 165/19 65/19 76/16 85/20 conclude [1] 110/6 consists [1] 23/19 47/23 65/3 108/12 77/14 79/19 81/9 60/23 61/5 61/13 89/17 91/10 106/6 concluded [2] 143/8 86/14 86/22 89/15 constantly [1] 125/14 122/13 127/8 128/17 61/16 107/13 111/10 116/24 164/9 **constituent** [1] 20/10 128/18 160/2 164/24 91/15 91/17 92/11 cryoprecipitate [1] 126/13 134/5 138/4 concludes [2] 48/1 constituted [1] 9/16 165/23 92/21 94/3 95/23 132/16 139/8 146/25 150/13 166/17 constraints [1] 44/20 controlled [3] 31/16 96/25 97/19 99/5 99/6 cryoprecipitates [1] 152/6 155/20 conclusion [6] 43/21 152/16 153/21 99/15 100/5 100/13 constructive [1] 90/3 dates [2] 117/15 71/9 71/16 122/3 44/12 controlling [2] 33/22 102/9 102/11 103/2 CSM [41] 5/8 5/12 156/21 155/3 156/2 construed [1] 98/5 69/22 104/1 106/5 107/2 6/20 7/24 9/3 9/6 9/6 David [1] 89/20 conclusions [4] 36/23 consult [1] 5/14 convenient [1] 130/4 108/8 114/9 115/11 9/17 9/17 10/22 10/23 day [1] 139/8 37/16 43/23 54/11 consulted [5] 43/18 conversation [2] 120/25 121/19 124/20 35/8 35/14 35/17 days [9] 1/16 1/20 condemn [1] 46/16 81/24 106/15 35/18 35/22 36/3 43/20 44/7 46/15 126/2 126/16 129/12 17/15 24/14 24/16 condition [2] 99/13 46/18 conversations [1] 133/12 133/24 134/18 36/24 36/25 36/25 26/18 28/17 106/6 99/16 **consulting [2]** 13/12 122/20 136/5 138/2 138/8 37/15 37/15 37/23 156/16 conditions [3] 11/20 13/16 convinced [1] 118/14 139/4 139/25 141/6 37/23 37/24 37/25 de [2] 9/16 73/22 14/3 23/21 consumer [2] 45/3 convincing [1] 151/21 142/5 142/16 143/24 38/1 38/9 38/10 69/16 deal [3] 42/9 95/11 conducted [1] 149/17 45/7 Coombes [10] 55/22 146/20 151/25 152/2 72/12 72/14 72/20 109/17 conferred [1] 18/25 111/12 112/4 116/24 153/5 155/7 156/17 85/17 85/17 121/17 **consuming [1]** 44/25 dealing [5] 35/20 confess [2] 47/20 contact [2] 34/8 117/6 122/17 126/15 156/25 159/6 159/20 143/7 151/4 153/23 35/22 39/10 75/19 109/15 100/23 127/23 128/9 162/20 161/14 154/8 164/8 97/5 confidence [1] 160/4 CSM's [2] 35/7 154/6 couldn't [5] 13/16 contacted [2] 113/20 Coombes' [1] 56/1 deals [1] 98/24 confidentiality [1] cooperation [1] 52/20 99/12 129/5 149/21 CTC [1] 27/13 Dear [8] 62/3 75/4 125/16 36/22 CTX [1] 28/9 contacting [1] 126/8 copies [2] 63/10 150/5 89/2 89/22 106/13 confined [1] 43/5 116/25 contacts [1] 49/10 Council [1] 6/22 culpability [1] 52/9 108/19 138/6 161/19 confirm [2] 106/16 copy [3] 66/8 147/22 counsel [4] 1/3 1/7 cumulative [2] 50/15 contain [1] 22/24 December [25] 38/20 107/14 contained [4] 16/9 154/22 53/11 168/4 78/20 55/13 61/22 62/4 conflicting [1] 46/24 Core [3] 52/12 53/21 Cunliffe [5] 8/2 38/15 36/8 56/25 122/11 **countries** [6] 19/5 62/16 65/20 65/21 confusion [1] 109/13 103/14 44/5 50/16 139/21 76/12 90/22 107/8 **containers** [1] 65/10 38/19 79/13 144/24 connected [3] 51/6 corner [2] 69/14 79/22 contains [2] 40/10 162/2 162/4 curious [1] 145/16 108/2 108/21 116/24 52/14 53/24 126/14 corporate [5] 50/22 country [3] 14/8 90/7 current [3] 43/17 117/13 117/14 117/18 conscious [2] 130/2 contaminating [1] 51/16 52/4 52/6 55/4 92/18 126/15 162/14 119/18 130/15 132/2 165/20 correct [7] 9/8 9/9 couple [8] 1/9 1/20 currently [8] 42/13 136/8 141/13 141/15 consensus [1] 44/7 47/22 66/1 83/13 contemporary [1] 24/11 85/1 86/16 90/6 108/25 109/14 120/1 142/4 142/19 165/6 consent [1] 28/22 137/13 122/22 124/5 125/24 166/8 108/13 148/11 149/2 December 1972 [2] consider [16] 11/19 content [2] 82/3 correcting [1] 90/13 course [24] 19/11 159/21 61/22 62/16 12/5 29/23 35/7 35/9 118/12 correction [2] 42/1 29/22 29/22 43/2 48/4 December 1977 [1] customer [2] 125/14 53/20 54/4 54/10 contentious [1] 30/7 90/14 57/21 59/20 65/13 137/14 90/22 56/22 86/19 86/22 contents [2] 63/3 65/1 correspondence [9] 71/12 83/17 84/4 customers [3] 113/12 December 1984 [7] 105/7 106/20 128/20 **contesting [1]** 149/22 75/24 112/21 127/5 84/22 86/3 87/13 136/21 137/7 107/8 108/2 117/13 139/1 144/25 context [8] 37/6 38/22 129/9 140/8 145/23 99/15 103/14 103/16 117/14 141/13 141/15 customs [2] 88/23 considerably [1] 71/12 94/15 106/25 146/1 157/21 164/21 103/17 105/7 106/19 89/19 142/4 42/13 111/3 139/6 140/6 corresponding [2] 111/4 123/5 133/17 Cutter [6] 48/25 95/3 December 1986 [1] consideration [11] continue [9] 14/24 3/13 31/6 145/21 95/22 109/22 113/4 117/18 35/17 36/9 38/4 41/10 December 1987 [1] 80/24 85/10 85/18 cost [7] 77/14 77/22 courses [2] 20/25 139/23 96/16 96/19 97/7 102/23 130/3 134/14 77/25 78/21 81/5 21/8 38/20 97/22 98/16 98/21 D December 20th [1] 134/19 163/15 98/21 98/23 cover [2] 50/4 103/12 138/23 continued [2] 39/8 costing [1] 42/25 covered [1] 48/19 data [23] 42/15 46/16 considered [25] 4/19 165/2 costs [2] 77/17 98/25 covering [1] 65/20 51/12 71/18 99/25 decentralised [1] 7/5 11/25 14/25 36/25 105/24 107/15 108/23 continuing [1] 155/18 could [105] 2/15 2/22 **CPMP [1]** 7/19 deceptive [1] 101/18 68/9 68/24 83/4 87/10 8/20 9/18 12/9 12/25 contract [6] 75/18 109/20 110/9 110/10 criminal [1] 16/5 decide [2] 113/9 88/16 96/23 110/24 75/21 76/4 76/8 76/18 13/15 13/22 14/2 criteria [6] 86/6 88/7 111/7 112/22 117/3 125/22 118/19 121/17 127/14 88/8 96/15 98/20 124/6 140/13 140/17 decided [3] 14/25 77/20 14/13 15/15 17/19 128/13 129/3 131/22 contracts [3] 75/6 26/5 26/6 26/11 27/1 151/12 148/24 153/3 154/3 105/24 109/17 143/8 146/14 146/24 154/22 160/2 164/16 deciding [1] 86/17 75/14 119/15 27/8 27/11 28/2 28/9 critical [1] 43/23 151/2 153/7 155/1 database [1] 46/3 contrast [3] 29/12 28/18 30/9 30/25 32/7 critically [2] 71/24 decipher [1] 90/5 161/7 164/8 148/13 date [16] 3/21 23/6 decision [9] 10/4 15/6 109/9 128/6 32/11 32/20 34/8

(49) concerning... - decision

r			T		
D	deservedly [1] 44/4	didn't [6] 21/25 54/20	discuss [5] 43/21	162/14	101/18 101/21 130/2
decision [7] 72/6	despite [9] 67/3 68/5	85/2 85/3 86/11	106/3 118/2 123/9	doctor/patient [1]	132/11 134/13 134/18
75/20 91/9 129/9	72/4 118/1 130/19	141/22	138/10	162/14	135/7 137/7 144/17
151/3 154/6 164/19	135/13 154/23 159/17	die [1] 131/20	discussed [5] 30/24	doctors [8] 16/20	144/22 159/7
decision-making [1]	159/21	Diernhofer [6] 56/10 66/6 81/21 83/2	37/2 104/1 138/24 163/1	16/23 16/24 40/5	donation [2] 66/23
75/20	destroyed [1] 52/25 detail [9] 2/14 11/3	103/24 136/9	discussing [5] 12/24	114/8 138/5 150/14 161/10	donations [1] 154/24
decisions [3] 19/8	22/23 45/19 51/22	differ [1] 104/15	30/7 91/20 116/4	document [45] 53/6	done [10] 27/11 39/23
45/12 91/11	65/13 112/10 134/9	difference [1] 110/14	117/16	54/3 54/7 54/9 61/21	47/25 53/16 56/17
declaration [1] 14/7	143/21	differences [3] 41/15	discussion [13] 1/15	63/8 66/16 66/17	107/10 136/11 141/1
deferred [2] 69/2	detailed [4] 65/5 65/7	41/17 89/25	37/15 37/16 37/21	67/14 67/21 69/12	153/22 154/11
72/17	71/20 150/20	different [38] 23/2	85/16 101/2 101/22	70/12 72/15 81/8	donor [3] 66/25 67/3
deficiency [1] 64/10	details [9] 27/25	31/5 40/16 40/23	103/21 106/7 114/15	81/12 81/13 83/8	71/13
deficient [1] 68/20 defining [1] 147/2	28/15 63/19 64/23	40/24 41/5 41/9 49/2	128/18 152/8 160/18	84/21 88/19 89/15	donors [18] 49/9 50/1
definitely [1] 82/25	66/16 75/12 84/9	50/3 50/25 51/8 57/8	discussions [2] 107/3	92/22 94/12 94/15	66/21 66/22 68/6
definition [1] 137/9	104/14 122/19	57/8 57/22 58/1 58/14	123/21	100/4 101/8 106/3	68/15 68/18 68/24
Defix [1] 132/23	detecting [1] 79/2	58/14 59/23 61/14	Disease [1] 64/12	108/7 112/3 115/9	70/13 70/16 70/22
degree [1] 29/14	detergent [2] 147/6	63/21 64/1 64/18	diseases [2] 36/10	115/25 116/22 117/1	70/24 90/9 120/16
degrees [16] 107/17	147/17	67/16 76/25 92/14	107/11	118/3 131/4 132/11	121/3 130/20 131/15
120/13 122/23 122/24	determined [2] 14/17	92/23 99/11 104/7	dismissed [1] 10/12	133/13 133/25 134/1	154/24
123/2 135/1 142/13	82/25 determining [1] 11/10	105/1 105/3 105/3 105/4 105/5 111/24	disparity [1] 79/14 dissimilar [1] 72/3	137/2 138/2 138/4 141/8 149/21 158/20	dosages [2] 64/17 64/18
142/13 147/7 147/8	detrimental [1] 42/19	120/3 126/1 139/12	distinct [2] 57/25 91/8	159/23	doubt [2] 70/20 111/3
158/12 159/13 161/23	developed [6] 107/1	148/24	distinction [6] 51/2	documentary [1]	down [16] 31/3 40/13
162/8 162/10 164/15	117/2 149/9 150/10	differentiated [1]	55/16 57/20 86/11	52/13	61/10 70/12 77/18
delay [2] 42/25 87/22	156/13 161/25	119/21	87/16 166/24	documentation [6]	85/8 91/22 94/3
delays [5] 42/19 43/4 44/5 44/18 45/16	development [3] 56/9	difficult [2] 77/18	distinguishable [3]	45/22 49/23 64/25	102/12 111/22 112/25
delegated [5] 3/1 4/2	81/18 146/19	148/8	80/23 86/2 102/23	65/25 135/11 143/16	132/19 139/10 142/16
4/7 8/12 8/20	devised [1] 6/14	difficulties [3] 44/14	distributed [2] 73/25	documents [42] 49/25	
deliberations [1]	DHSC0002229 [2]	45/23 105/11	144/14	50/19 52/15 52/16	Dr [62] 33/16 38/19
110/18	94/14 133/25	difficulty [2] 44/22	disturbing [1] 46/23	52/22 52/24 52/24	56/5 56/7 60/24 61/9
delineation [1] 49/16	DHSC0003719 [1] 76/10	128/15 dimensions [1] 42/11	divided [4] 6/4 31/3 31/14 47/12	52/25 53/10 53/19 55/24 56/5 59/4 59/7	62/5 62/10 62/11 62/12 62/15 68/12
demonstrate [2]	DHSC0003741 [2]	diminished [1] 67/5	division [31] 3/2 4/3	59/19 63/1 65/11	68/14 68/19 69/1 69/5
116/15 159/14	74/18 76/3	diminishing [1] 126/5	5/24 5/25 6/19 8/13	70/10 75/22 77/16	69/7 69/8 69/10 69/14
demonstrated [2]	DHSC0003952 [1]	direction [1] 111/16	8/16 13/15 33/12	80/10 83/21 86/4	69/15 69/16 70/21
121/11 159/12	72/15	directions [2] 63/7	33/14 33/18 33/21	87/10 87/13 87/14	70/22 72/1 72/3 72/17
Denmark [1] 154/14 dental [2] 5/4 5/6	DHSC0038508 [1]	64/2	34/2 35/16 36/16	87/19 88/15 92/12	73/11 74/13 76/14
dentists [1] 16/20	147/15	Directive [4] 6/22 7/6	36/19 39/1 39/18	101/21 114/23 123/18	81/16 81/21 81/22
department [28] 3/2	DHSC0046258 [1]	7/10 7/14	39/24 40/3 40/11	123/23 124/22 129/23	81/24 89/20 89/20
4/3 5/24 8/17 18/22	91/16	directives [1] 42/14	40/24 41/17 45/24	142/1 148/17 149/10	90/10 90/13 94/19
18/24 19/9 21/21 25/5	DHSC0100005 [1]	directly [1] 55/2	46/4 47/25 85/17	154/13 155/23 163/3	103/23 103/23 104/4
25/9 25/11 25/22	76/3 DHSC0100026 [1]	director [18] 30/11 30/14 31/8 33/21	108/13 108/15 110/25 127/9	163/9 does [18] 13/5 20/23	105/18 106/9 108/10 108/14 108/18 110/22
31/14 39/2 47/23	69/9	34/11 55/19 55/22	Division's [1] 42/3	21/20 22/24 41/1	111/13 111/13 125/4
56/11 65/21 66/7	DHSC0105547 [2]	56/6 56/8 61/23 62/24	Divisions [1] 31/9	43/11 70/16 71/5 71/9	131/8 134/3 146/17
74/20 76/4 76/13	153/16 154/5	65/19 69/6 75/1 81/19		125/24 135/17 139/2	146/22 146/25 147/16
76/13 82/6 107/6	DHSC0105593 [1]	89/1 111/12 162/21	do [34] 21/13 23/19	139/21 140/22 148/22	148/13 148/19 157/23
110/25 119/23 132/14	72/21	Directors [6] 92/13	24/7 24/10 24/16	156/22 158/18 159/16	159/9 160/1
136/9	DHSS [29] 6/17 6/19	100/22 125/7 125/17	25/15 39/14 39/15	doesn't [12] 24/8 24/9	
dependent [1] 145/7 derived [2] 81/1	8/13 32/2 35/16 36/16	125/20 158/1	42/16 47/21 50/23	24/16 54/8 54/9 70/23	Dr Biggs [2] 62/5
102/25	39/2 39/7 39/24 41/18	disagree [1] 53/18	53/25 61/4 77/17	71/10 93/6 93/24	62/10
described [3] 2/6	41/24 44/16 45/1 73/6	disappear [1] 82/22	83/11 85/13 85/20	134/9 150/6 156/21	Dr Briggs [1] 62/11
69/14 114/17	74/14 83/24 84/6 85/2	discharging [1] 53/13		doing [6] 9/15 12/18	Dr David [1] 89/20
describes [2] 9/11	85/7 87/24 94/14	disciplines [1] 31/7	96/21 100/2 105/17	12/18 50/24 50/25	Dr Duncan [4] 33/16 68/12 108/10 108/14
31/2	94/18 106/9 106/10 126/17 134/1 154/21	disclosed [2] 30/4 51/24	111/4 113/7 119/16 135/7 136/21 139/25	99/16 don't [31] 10/8 11/6	Dr Eibl [3] 103/23
describing [1] 32/4	160/5 163/9	disclosure [1] 51/18	145/6 161/3 165/3	24/9 24/9 30/5 30/6	111/13 131/8
description [11]	did [10] 10/6 28/19	discrepancies [1]	166/14	40/9 54/22 60/4 65/22	Dr Elsinger [1] 81/22
15/21 63/23 64/7 65/9	46/15 96/19 109/25	109/2	doctor [9] 17/4 28/16		Dr Evans [1] 38/19
97/9 97/11 97/14 97/24 98/2 98/7 98/10	117/24 147/17 148/1	discrepancy [1] 109/5		90/5 90/13 91/13	Dr Foster [5] 146/22
31124 3012 3011 30110	151/24 157/23	discretion [1] 5/19	155/18 156/6 161/19	93/22 98/20 98/21	146/25 147/16 148/13

(50) decision... - Dr Foster

47/23 73/1 87/6 89/12 134/25 135/2 136/2 106/17 exclude [2] 98/20 D European-based [1] 141/14 141/18 145/2 91/3 91/5 99/21 110/1 encouragement [2] 80/19 154/25 Dr Foster... [1] 148/19 147/7 158/11 160/11 128/10 142/8 156/8 107/5 107/25 evaluation [2] 31/20 excluded [5] 67/2 Dr H [1] 56/7 160/22 161/13 161/23 effective [10] 12/17 encouraging [1] 68/7 121/8 130/21 112/1 Dr Kirk [2] 89/20 162/3 163/21 164/17 12/19 13/2 13/2 46/5 108/16 evaluations [1] 36/14 153/6 90/13 74/3 112/1 128/24 end [12] 21/12 23/15 Evans [4] 8/2 38/15 exclusion [1] 70/15 166/10 Dr Lane [1] 105/18 dry-heat [1] 164/17 146/15 159/15 24/24 28/19 34/21 38/19 79/13 excuse [2] 56/8 81/14 Dr Lane's [1] 69/7 dry-heat-treating [1] effectiveness [3] 68/21 87/24 88/3 Evans-Cunliffe [2] 8/2 **execution** [1] 4/18 Dr Mannucci's [1] 117/4 129/1 129/3 145/2 68/23 70/8 129/1 38/15 exempt [1] 28/9 dry-heated [10] 58/22 effects [3] 67/22 162/23 even [14] 14/25 41/14 exemption [25] 16/18 **Dr Mary [1]** 106/9 108/1 124/4 126/22 67/24 112/2 endeavouring [1] 43/1 46/14 58/14 17/11 18/5 19/17 Dr Maycock [6] 69/16 128/25 141/18 160/11 efficacious [11] 12/16 113/3 120/8 124/11 126/22 19/22 19/24 20/17 70/21 70/22 72/1 160/22 161/13 163/21 **Endobulin [1]** 112/16 136/1 139/5 142/23 22/2 23/12 23/12 24/9 12/16 12/21 12/24 73/11 74/13 endocrinology [1] 24/10 24/15 24/17 dry-heated-treated [1] 15/25 86/15 86/21 144/4 151/24 163/21 Dr Maycock's [1] 87/8 98/3 98/11 36/12 events [1] 50/18 24/25 25/23 26/23 107/7 69/14 ducks [1] 157/19 151/10 enforcement [1] eventually [1] 77/19 26/24 27/4 28/1 28/8 Dr McGrath's [1] due [15] 29/22 34/20 efficacy [29] 4/25 5/6 45/13 ever [1] 10/6 28/14 28/20 29/11 90/10 49/18 57/21 65/13 England [3] 18/19 5/9 6/12 7/23 11/12 every [2] 11/7 56/18 41/4 Dr Otto [1] 56/5 83/17 84/4 84/22 86/3 13/11 26/8 39/12 81/20 148/6 everybody [6] 1/13 exemptions [5] 16/9 Dr Peter Foster [1] 105/7 119/15 123/5 71/24 86/7 86/9 86/12 English [1] 81/15 11/5 42/7 51/2 81/14 16/14 16/16 28/6 146/17 133/17 145/21 152/9 96/15 97/10 97/21 enlisted [1] 157/23 139/13 60/21 Dr Preston [1] 157/23 **Duncan [7]** 33/16 97/22 98/15 109/6 ensure [2] 32/9 120/8 | everyone [1] 111/21 **exercise [2]** 18/25 Dr Rosemary [2] 61/24 68/12 106/10 110/11 151/8 151/21 entered [3] 6/25 27/21 everything [1] 90/2 51/18 62/12 62/15 108/10 108/14 110/21 153/19 153/25 154/2 113/5 evidence [60] 1/19 exercised [1] 65/3 Dr Schwarz [7] 81/16 during [11] 16/23 155/5 155/14 159/11 entirely [4] 68/7 1/21 1/24 2/3 2/17 8/7 exhaustive [3] 11/11 81/21 81/24 103/23 25/19 31/7 32/5 42/23 160/2 121/14 130/21 135/5 8/8 8/22 9/10 11/14 12/2 53/14 104/4 111/13 125/4 47/1 48/3 67/24 107/1 efficiency [1] 42/5 entities [1] 51/8 15/8 19/11 26/17 existed [2] 111/21 **Dr Thomas [6]** 68/14 entitled [3] 74/24 26/20 26/21 29/18 160/11 163/10 efficient [1] 145/5 126/23 68/19 69/8 69/15 72/3 duty [4] 89/8 89/13 efforts [3] 136/10 29/21 29/25 30/3 30/4 76/14 76/24 existing [7] 35/12 108/18 89/19 156/6 158/7 158/9 entity [2] 33/15 54/19 30/6 30/20 32/22 36/8 41/8 43/22 115/4 Dr Thomas' [4] 69/1 eg [3] 44/20 70/3 entry [5] 59/21 59/22 37/7 38/8 38/12 38/13 124/1 127/9 163/20 69/10 72/17 110/22 Ε 80/23 77/1 93/24 95/25 38/16 41/12 48/4 exists [1] 105/12 **Dr Waiter [1]** 76/14 each [15] 19/25 20/10 53/23 53/25 54/5 expand [6] 61/7 63/13 **EHC [1]** 42/14 epidemiological [1] Dr Walford's [2] 94/19 41/25 42/24 58/2 Eibl [4] 56/7 103/23 61/25 63/9 68/23 66/13 92/22 94/25 36/8 134/3 epidemiology [1] 66/23 76/1 95/15 111/13 131/8 75/19 81/25 90/18 97/19 Dr William Maycock 95/19 97/8 97/10 eight [1] 115/22 36/12 99/22 101/19 110/16 expanded [1] 69/25 [1] 69/5 97/13 106/20 136/21 Eighth [1] 100/8 equally [4] 12/23 98/2 111/3 111/24 111/25 expect [2] 71/6 78/3 draft [3] 63/7 66/14 164/17 either [6] 22/7 80/14 98/10 112/1 121/23 136/2 136/23 expectation [3] 67/21 earlier [28] 3/23 25/16 85/2 87/23 99/25 equipment [1] 11/24 140/21 144/17 144/22 115/19 116/13 140/12 draw [11] 19/19 23/10 145/12 146/14 146/17 34/1 37/2 47/3 61/4 161/1 error [1] 62/11 expected [1] 111/23 24/22 37/3 38/13 62/8 79/13 81/3 81/17 electrophoresis [1] errors [1] 45/22 153/19 154/1 158/3 **expedient** [1] 4/19 54/11 66/2 86/11 86/6 90/21 91/20 79/3 especially [1] 112/17 158/17 165/17 expeditiously [2] 95/24 138/22 140/6 98/13 104/6 114/24 element [1] 138/11 essence [1] 2/22 exact [1] 97/2 39/10 50/4 drawing [4] 2/5 50/16 115/22 116/4 134/1 eliminated [1] 67/5 exactly [7] 50/2 58/18 **essential** [3] 32/9 expensive [1] 101/7 71/1 90/20 135/10 141/8 141/22 else [1] 98/23 105/15 119/13 70/11 88/8 88/9 101/8 **experience** [2] 45/21 drawn [2] 26/11 83/10 147/25 150/1 157/21 essentially [1] 6/4 135/14 elsewhere [1] 43/6 Drew [1] 76/13 157/22 158/20 163/13 Elsinger [1] 81/22 establish [2] 4/13 examine [2] 39/7 experience' [1] 4/14 dried [4] 66/21 74/25 earliest [1] 147/19 Elstree [1] 34/24 125/15 134/19 experienced [2] 36/7 75/5 75/10 early [4] 89/10 106/21 email [2] 146/16 established [7] 2/20 examined [1] 34/5 44/22 drug [2] 35/10 47/17 146/6 150/15 experiment [1] 153/21 146/22 4/24 6/10 7/20 10/20 examiner's [1] 159/3 drugs [1] 46/17 earn [1] 42/21 embark [1] 25/20 33/14 113/12 example [9] 5/16 9/24 experimental [1] dry [49] 57/14 57/15 easier [1] 144/24 embarrassed [1] etc [1] 110/16 10/25 34/10 36/21 36/11 57/18 57/24 58/8 58/9 expert [4] 39/25 47/17 EC [1] 6/21 EU [1] 165/10 41/20 49/22 107/19 113/25 58/22 104/10 104/11 echoed [1] 112/20 embarrassing [1] Europe [2] 91/19 109/7 47/17 123/14 104/12 104/16 105/10 echos [2] 22/11 22/11 42/11 139/24 examples [1] 67/16 expertise [2] 36/4 106/18 107/7 108/1 **Economic** [1] 7/1 emphasise [1] 81/25 European [18] 7/1 exceed [2] 20/23 36/10 113/22 114/16 114/25 Edinburgh [4] 34/25 7/21 80/12 80/14 42/13 experts [2] 3/4 147/4 emphasised [1] 75/16 115/6 115/16 117/13 132/17 132/23 146/19 empt [3] 1/24 53/25 80/19 80/25 82/11 exceeding [1] 21/1 expiration [1] 91/6 117/17 117/24 118/15 Edwards [1] 25/6 144/17 87/16 90/12 95/16 exception [1] 95/6 expires [1] 91/4 123/1 124/1 124/4 **EEC [2]** 6/23 7/10 encapture [1] 56/16 102/19 102/24 133/21 excess [2] 162/9 expiry [1] 15/4 124/11 126/18 126/22 effect [17] 9/21 9/23 133/23 134/12 134/17 enclosure [1] 66/14 162/11 explain [4] 50/24 127/11 128/3 128/25 16/12 21/3 28/20 38/2 150/21 166/25 51/16 115/20 132/12 **encourage [2]** 57/17 Excise [1] 88/23

(51) Dr Foster... - explain

144/15 148/15 154/18 133/16 134/20 136/11 88/4 109/8 Ε fourth [3] 49/10 58/9 gained [3] 10/13 factor VIII [46] 34/21 140/22 150/3 150/4 five years [3] 14/13 104/2 10/14 46/23 explained [2] 35/23 57/4 59/2 64/9 64/13 150/15 150/17 150/20 23/9 63/16 Fowler [4] 2/3 2/4 Gammabulin [1] 105/15 74/25 75/5 75/10 150/25 151/24 152/4 2/17 13/9 five-year [1] 88/4 112/12 explanation [1] 54/2 76/15 77/2 80/17 152/15 152/21 153/5 fix [1] 100/2 Fowler's [6] 2/7 8/6 gave [4] 35/1 46/14 **explore** [1] 25/19 85/22 89/4 89/25 93/5 153/19 154/11 154/17 flagging [1] 80/11 8/22 11/14 18/16 74/10 153/18 exploring [2] 25/18 95/4 100/15 100/18 155/15 157/11 158/1 Fletcher [1] 84/5 38/16 GE [1] 75/2 49/25 101/3 102/17 106/14 158/8 158/17 159/1 flexibility [1] 29/15 Fraction [4] 59/11 gender [1] 17/6 export [1] 147/23 106/18 108/20 109/1 160/11 161/12 161/21 flow [1] 64/22 150/4 150/8 150/15 general [7] 29/20 exported [2] 59/12 109/14 110/13 113/1 162/6 162/8 162/13 fluctuation [1] 101/3 Fraction R [1] 150/15 49/13 69/9 69/23 147/22 115/5 119/15 119/25 162/15 162/22 163/2 focus [1] 49/4 Fractionation [2] 101/2 126/8 160/3 **exporting [1]** 16/13 120/24 123/4 125/9 163/5 163/9 163/19 follow [6] 1/19 65/7 34/25 132/24 generally [5] 4/18 expressed [8] 17/6 132/16 133/10 133/15 163/21 165/2 166/4 67/6 70/17 71/10 37/25 56/16 57/10 framework [1] 7/2 72/1 72/2 76/22 135/13 144/14 147/20 166/7 166/10 166/12 145/15 fray [1] 113/6 147/21 112/21 128/9 160/23 148/6 148/9 148/16 166/18 followed [3] 47/20 Free [5] 61/13 61/14 generate [1] 34/7 167/1 150/3 150/7 150/9 fell [1] 5/9 72/18 121/12 92/15 92/25 113/15 George [1] 25/7 expressing [4] 67/16 154/19 few [5] 11/8 89/17 following [16] 8/15 freeze [4] 66/21 74/25 George Younger [1] 69/9 161/6 163/12 Factor VIIIY [1] 24/13 39/6 43/8 43/16 89/18 103/22 110/17 75/5 75/10 25/7 expression [1] 101/4 125/11 fide [2] 17/21 22/20 46/6 49/5 64/17 67/25 | freeze-dried [1] 66/21 German [7] 59/20 extended [1] 46/9 Factor X [1] 57/1 figure [4] 76/20 76/20 94/17 95/1 97/4 frequent [1] 45/22 81/8 81/13 90/8 96/4 extensive [1] 153/20 factors [5] 11/9 12/6 77/12 78/14 106/15 107/8 122/5 Friday [1] 167/7 101/7 113/8 extent [4] 4/6 91/11 40/22 68/8 94/21 figures [7] 41/24 139/7 friendly [1] 98/14 Germany [7] 68/17 93/11 109/5 68/18 80/6 85/11 facts [1] 53/1 59/15 76/17 76/17 follows [9] 19/2 23/8 from [213] eyebrows [1] 145/23 78/6 93/20 147/23 34/16 44/11 125/5 front [2] 38/18 148/25 113/10 147/20 154/14 fair [2] 128/22 164/24 eyes [1] 26/14 fairly [3] 24/20 42/21 file [1] 117/4 125/10 152/10 160/14 fulfilling [2] 6/14 12/5 get [15] 14/5 23/12 final [9] 24/22 38/13 166/3 full [8] 43/24 73/20 42/18 57/16 58/13 fall [1] 34/19 72/5 94/12 99/20 Food [3] 3/13 18/22 73/21 74/2 75/12 93/5 81/7 110/5 111/21 face [1] 143/22 126/14 131/13 131/15 138/8 151/18 falls [1] 131/4 25/9 113/3 115/3 115/18 fact [15] 13/1 54/6 false [1] 15/17 147/10 forbid [1] 44/21 fuller [1] 122/11 126/10 129/15 145/6 54/8 55/14 63/15 90/1 familiar [2] 41/3 finally [4] 46/6 47/7 force [3] 14/16 32/17 fully [1] 124/17 158/4 112/16 119/16 121/11 65/14 93/19 gets [2] 21/12 58/16 100/12 165/6 function [3] 8/12 8/19 122/18 124/17 148/21 far [12] 21/24 64/25 finance [1] 47/18 forget [2] 57/5 156/15 12/12 getting [7] 12/19 152/9 154/10 161/7 functions [10] 3/1 71/7 73/7 76/23 86/9 financing [1] 8/17 forgive [4] 31/1 57/5 84/25 119/9 128/15 facto [2] 9/16 73/22 3/16 3/17 4/1 4/7 8/14 111/23 132/22 140/4 find [9] 53/7 53/9 81/18 141/21 136/10 137/22 165/2 factor [69] 34/21 55/3 form [11] 18/5 40/17 give [24] 1/17 9/5 9/7 148/14 156/3 163/8 83/17 85/19 109/16 10/21 12/5 35/3 48/5 56/24 56/25 56/25 fault [1] 66/13 110/3 110/14 143/21 57/13 57/14 63/23 fundamental [1] 52/2 14/7 20/6 26/5 43/24 57/1 57/2 57/4 59/2 favour [1] 71/17 150/17 79/25 80/16 116/17 further [60] 1/21 49/14 49/22 52/6 54/2 64/9 64/13 74/25 75/5 favourable [1] 45/5 findings [7] 2/5 29/23 120/23 139/12 140/3 10/12 15/8 18/4 19/3 54/8 59/3 76/2 92/17 75/10 76/15 77/2 favouring [1] 46/20 43/9 43/10 43/21 formal [3] 32/13 21/16 21/18 29/21 98/22 101/9 104/4 80/17 85/22 89/4 fax [7] 114/18 117/5 37/5 38/8 40/13 41/12 149/13 149/18 123/16 132/1 117/22 122/19 126/7 89/25 92/21 93/5 94/1 131/7 136/7 139/7 finished [1] 32/8 formalised [1] 45/17 42/12 50/8 53/23 144/12 146/7 151/22 95/4 100/15 100/18 139/8 152/6 firm [2] 10/11 144/22 formally [1] 3/24 54/21 58/1 61/10 69/2 given [32] 3/9 4/21 101/3 102/17 106/14 faxing [1] 140/19 firms [3] 95/1 95/5 former [2] 33/18 56/1 69/11 70/12 72/5 10/15 13/22 16/3 106/18 108/20 109/1 FDA [3] 95/9 95/20 95/6 formerly [2] 59/10 72/18 72/19 76/16 19/24 20/17 23/15 109/14 110/13 113/1 first [37] 8/8 11/9 120/17 91/11 79/6 79/8 79/20 81/7 28/12 31/21 40/14 115/5 119/15 119/25 features [1] 66/1 16/16 16/18 17/15 forth [2] 64/14 64/20 91/22 95/22 100/4 42/12 65/6 73/15 120/24 123/4 125/9 February [11] 72/13 18/9 18/17 19/19 forthwith [1] 22/4 111/3 112/18 112/25 75/12 95/19 96/2 99/9 125/11 130/13 132/7 73/9 84/11 85/4 85/7 20/12 21/20 29/25 forward [9] 74/19 118/11 118/21 120/9 114/12 122/14 129/10 132/9 132/16 132/20 85/9 88/1 107/24 30/9 35/18 48/21 49/5 76/11 78/23 103/22 123/25 124/1 126/6 130/17 132/18 135/16 132/22 133/10 133/15 106/23 107/6 114/14 108/2 142/23 153/8 50/6 50/20 50/24 128/16 137/2 138/2 142/7 144/18 146/17 134/8 134/10 135/13 February '73 [1] 73/9 54/14 55/19 57/11 130/1 157/8 140/10 140/21 141/5 149/8 149/23 152/16 135/19 138/14 139/16 February 1973 [1] 59/18 59/21 61/3 Foster [6] 146/17 143/4 143/21 143/24 160/21 165/4 144/14 144/15 147/20 62/17 66/5 68/2 69/24 146/22 146/25 147/16 144/3 148/20 153/1 gives [5] 13/24 25/21 72/13 148/6 148/9 148/15 February 1977 [1] 77/1 91/1 113/20 148/13 148/19 154/20 155/1 158/17 39/17 64/2 132/15 148/16 150/3 150/7 found [9] 11/15 15/13 162/10 163/9 163/25 85/4 118/6 139/11 150/16 giving [4] 20/9 30/4 150/9 154/18 154/19 152/14 155/11 166/9 February 1982 [1] 16/15 43/5 46/17 164/21 48/2 144/11 164/4 153/8 51/20 85/15 96/21 future [5] 82/8 95/19 firstly [1] 27/9 go [57] 2/13 11/3 11/6 Factor II [1] 56/25 feel [2] 25/14 29/12 106/24 129/12 152/14 Fisheries [3] 3/12 149/7 12/3 31/3 38/21 40/9 Factor IX [13] 57/2 feeling [1] 26/3 18/22 25/8 foundation [1] 43/25 41/11 43/7 43/9 46/10 130/13 132/7 132/9 feiba [51] 57/2 57/4 fits [1] 145/18 founded [1] 55/6 47/7 47/10 47/15 50/7 132/20 132/22 134/8 GA [1] 76/13 106/4 123/10 125/24 five [8] 14/13 23/9 four [3] 19/5 109/11 51/22 54/22 56/13 135/19 138/14 139/16 126/1 126/18 133/14 31/8 50/11 63/16 80/5 149/7 GA Drew [1] 76/13 57/6 59/3 59/6 61/2

(52) explained - go

122/25 128/15 134/9 125/8 125/17 125/20 122/25 127/25 128/5 114/12 124/2 127/10 131/19 143/12 147/3 G 148/1 148/22 149/9 133/5 133/9 150/21 128/14 137/19 143/1 127/11 129/10 129/18 greater [5] 29/14 go... [35] 63/12 65/24 39/21 111/25 120/8 157/25 146/18 147/12 157/9 135/12 135/19 136/11 149/12 149/18 153/4 68/3 69/11 78/10 haemophiliac [2] 62/6 he's [3] 102/5 126/20 138/7 138/18 139/16 154/25 159/18 164/7 129/24 80/11 88/11 91/17 GRO [1] 103/12 131/19 152/22 140/4 143/25 144/16 hepatitis-safe [1] 92/23 95/23 97/19 GRO-C [1] 103/12 158/17 158/23 159/10 haemorrhage [2] head [7] 10/9 33/16 148/22 99/21 102/11 103/2 ground [1] 50/4 her [3] 3/1 4/1 62/14 62/1 81/17 108/12 69/23 131/20 161/22 112/4 112/25 115/8 heat-treating [2] grounds [18] 12/9 hand [5] 76/20 76/21 146/18 157/2 here [17] 15/20 19/6 120/25 122/2 123/18 13/11 15/16 29/11 76/23 79/22 128/25 headcount [1] 44/21 108/25 146/16 54/16 60/11 66/12 126/6 128/19 129/8 45/11 86/12 86/13 hands [2] 42/19 167/3 headed [1] 56/11 heat-treatment [1] 70/23 85/12 86/19 129/23 132/19 132/20 99/2 118/20 121/24 happen [2] 10/6 54/20 heading [3] 104/2 110/1 91/16 92/4 93/10 133/12 134/4 134/9 104/8 118/23 120/18 127/18 143/10 153/25 happening [1] 116/18 113/1 134/5 heated [61] 57/16 138/8 139/10 146/1 155/4 155/13 155/17 57/19 58/22 58/23 124/10 141/17 163/16 hard [1] 41/17 headings [1] 121/2 152/2 159/6 159/20 155/22 164/11 has [46] 19/24 20/17 health [25] 3/3 3/10 104/10 104/19 105/10 hesitate [1] 88/9 goes [12] 8/1 22/23 group [2] 55/1 89/24 24/4 26/3 29/5 37/8 3/12 3/20 3/25 4/3 107/7 107/16 108/1 HHFT0000925 [1] 31/12 63/8 82/24 grouped [1] 54/17 40/20 42/8 42/11 43/2 5/24 8/11 8/18 18/18 109/4 109/8 109/10 89/16 105/8 106/3 108/1 51/17 51/19 51/19 growing [2] 42/8 18/20 18/22 19/10 109/15 113/13 113/21 high [3] 6/12 44/4 138/10 138/12 138/21 25/5 25/10 30/14 45/5 50/12 52/8 52/21 53/10 113/22 113/24 114/25 152/14 147/16 grown [1] 42/11 53/16 61/7 66/25 45/6 47/24 74/20 76/5 115/2 115/16 115/17 higher [5] 82/16 82/21 going [38] 1/19 1/22 growth [1] 42/2 75/21 81/14 83/14 76/14 107/6 111/1 117/13 117/17 117/24 87/11 99/11 100/21 2/11 13/4 16/22 21/13 guidelines [1] 95/20 95/19 100/21 100/22 139/16 119/8 119/19 120/9 highlight [1] 122/4 24/7 29/18 29/21 43/9 103/3 105/23 109/17 healthy [1] 66/21 120/13 120/23 121/4 Hill [1] 1/5 50/5 54/14 56/22 59/4 112/16 112/16 113/15 hear [10] 1/25 11/5 122/23 122/24 123/1 him [4] 37/11 81/17 62/8 73/14 84/3 92/12 HA [3] 66/24 67/2 67/7 121/4 125/14 125/16 11/6 15/8 19/12 26/18 124/4 124/7 124/11 82/2 146/23 116/14 118/1 122/19 HAA [1] 131/13 125/18 136/25 137/16 48/4 53/23 111/3 126/18 126/22 127/14 hinted [1] 151/15 122/20 123/18 125/15 had [67] 2/23 4/25 138/19 146/17 146/23 145/21 128/25 134/25 134/25 his [26] 2/3 2/4 2/17 128/14 129/15 129/22 5/19 7/8 12/3 14/15 152/10 152/16 160/12 heard [6] 1/22 11/13 135/2 136/3 141/15 3/1 4/1 16/25 17/1 130/1 146/4 146/7 15/18 17/14 25/24 141/18 142/12 146/13 160/21 161/25 166/20 30/20 71/6 108/13 17/5 20/1 22/4 31/18 151/22 152/22 154/17 28/1 29/10 31/8 31/25 35/5 68/19 69/15 hasbeen [1] 162/18 146/8 147/7 147/10 147/25 158/9 158/13 161/3 32/22 33/19 38/7 hasn't [2] 54/3 82/25 hearing [4] 106/23 160/11 160/22 161/13 69/17 71/9 71/16 163/11 163/15 40/14 47/24 53/4 85/11 105/23 108/13 hasten [1] 64/24 157/10 158/25 167/7 162/3 162/6 162/23 gone [1] 26/7 55/23 55/25 60/5 60/6 have [216] heat [79] 34/22 57/13 163/10 163/21 166/10 119/22 119/24 120/9 good [6] 25/22 44/4 74/13 85/25 100/23 haven't [5] 26/7 47/20 57/14 57/15 57/18 heating [12] 57/22 126/16 150/1 156/7 44/12 46/4 49/24 101/15 107/1 107/16 53/7 53/9 95/22 57/23 57/24 58/2 58/8 58/9 58/15 105/3 history [2] 52/6 66/25 110/19 HIV [3] 135/20 164/7 108/15 108/22 112/11 having [5] 100/7 58/13 100/5 103/18 106/4 110/5 120/7 got [4] 10/17 24/20 112/18 113/24 119/22 116/2 125/22 129/22 103/25 104/5 106/14 128/23 129/2 163/20 164/18 148/23 157/19 120/4 122/20 122/20 131/22 106/18 107/2 107/10 164/14 HM [1] 88/23 governed [1] 156/23 135/9 137/15 137/17 Hawaii [1] 84/14 108/17 108/20 108/25 heavy [1] 64/19 HM Customs [1] **governing** [1] 16/3 138/7 141/4 144/15 hazardous [1] 32/18 Heidelberg [1] 59/22 109/7 109/12 110/1 88/23 government [2] 39/2 145/25 148/25 149/17 110/3 110/7 110/12 held [3] 30/11 100/9 **HBAg [1]** 70/16 hold [2] 23/13 28/10 75/20 150/10 150/20 151/11 HBsAG [1] 90/1 110/13 111/21 114/10 138/13 holder [2] 14/13 14/23 Governors [1] 74/23 152/8 153/10 154/10 HCDO00001394 [1] 114/11 114/12 114/16 help [8] 43/11 44/12 home [3] 93/1 93/12 **GPT [1]** 66/23 154/11 156/15 156/16 93/8 114/17 115/6 118/15 48/18 49/14 50/24 93/18 Grade [1] 40/6 156/25 157/19 158/2 HCDO0000403 [1] 120/3 124/2 126/19 51/24 110/17 115/8 homologous [3] 67/4 **Granada** [1] 83/19 159/1 160/25 161/2 127/10 127/11 128/4 helpful [4] 1/10 2/2 68/6 130/20 100/6 grant [9] 7/3 13/15 164/16 164/18 165/3 hope [8] 38/10 50/4 HCDO0001394 [1] 129/10 129/18 135/12 18/7 88/21 42/10 72/7 121/25 165/24 166/15 50/5 50/6 56/7 98/14 93/9 135/17 135/19 135/23 helps [2] 51/18 117/1 127/17 128/19 143/9 hadn't [1] 164/15 HCDO0001451 [1] 136/11 138/7 138/18 Hemofil [12] 70/14 110/17 129/25 164/10 Haemate [1] 125/12 93/19 139/2 139/12 139/16 70/18 70/20 71/11 hoped [1] 124/7 granted [24] 2/23 4/9 73/4 73/11 75/23 76/7 haematological [1] he [51] 2/4 2/18 21/12 140/4 141/12 141/13 hopefully [2] 106/21 5/3 7/17 9/25 13/24 142/9 142/22 143/18 33/20 22/16 22/19 30/11 78/12 88/5 93/14 129/22 14/12 14/21 72/13 haematology [3] 30/11 30/13 30/15 143/25 144/16 145/2 132/17 hoping [1] 114/3 73/9 73/12 73/15 henceforth [2] 114/10 36/12 132/14 149/5 30/17 31/3 31/10 146/16 158/8 158/10 hormones [2] 33/18 121/16 137/18 138/7 haematuria [2] 64/20 31/12 31/18 33/9 34/3 158/11 158/11 158/17 161/11 36/3 145/4 146/12 151/5 70/3 158/23 159/10 159/10 hepatitis [36] 34/11 55/20 55/23 62/2 Horowitz [3] 147/5 153/24 155/4 160/7 35/2 66/24 67/4 67/9 haemophilia [25] 68/24 69/7 69/17 159/11 159/14 159/22 147/12 147/13 160/8 166/2 166/15 62/15 64/11 64/13 69/19 70/11 71/1 71/4 160/22 161/21 161/22 67/9 67/18 68/7 70/13 horse [1] 114/2 granting [4] 3/7 71/17 65/17 69/23 71/22 71/5 71/9 71/16 84/7 161/23 164/17 70/20 79/2 82/12 hospital [12] 61/12 72/12 166/5 71/23 72/10 74/11 86/1 89/21 90/12 heat-treated [28] 82/17 82/21 87/11 61/13 74/22 74/22 grateful [2] 88/12 74/12 75/10 75/15 101/17 101/21 101/25 57/14 100/5 106/14 100/21 101/1 113/14 74/23 75/1 92/25 100/6 89/5 89/21 92/13 108/20 109/12 110/13 100/10 113/15 132/11 105/21 110/24 120/1 121/10 122/7 127/20 great [5] 1/16 113/11 120/6 122/20 122/21 92/19 100/8 125/7 111/21 114/10 114/11 127/21 130/21 131/14 132/13 132/19

(53) go... - hospital

r	Υ	·	Υ		
H	I don't [13] 10/8 11/6	156/3 167/2 167/2	immediate [1] 152/14	123/8 124/23 126/4	143/14
	30/5 40/9 54/22 60/4	l've [6] 62/14 146/8	immediately [1] 116/5	126/8 129/12 136/7	include [7] 27/15 32/7
hospitals [7] 65/17	65/22 68/13 90/5	149/23 154/13 155/23	Immuno [151] 48/25	139/7 140/10 145/24	36/2 88/8 92/20 94/5
71/22 72/10 74/11	101/18 144/17 144/22	157/13	49/22 49/25 51/5	146/4 152/7 158/15	107/15
89/11 92/15 139/15	159/7	icterogenicity [1]	54/15 54/17 55/2 55/4	160/23 160/25	included [14] 31/19
hour [6] 104/17	l emphasise [1] 81/25		55/6 55/12 55/19 56/2	Immuno's [6] 72/24	33/2 33/7 34/23 36/10
104/24 142/12 142/13	I find [1] 109/16	identifiable [1] 95/18	56/4 56/6 56/9 56/12	91/16 148/7 149/19	54/9 86/6 118/11
162/10 164/15	I follow [1] 145/15	identify [4] 52/21 53/6		164/8 165/12	120/15 154/9 154/22
hours [24] 104/11	I forget [1] 57/5	53/12 53/19	56/20 56/21 58/3 59/1	immunoglobulin [3]	155/16 161/7 165/16
104/12 104/16 104/21	I gave [1] 74/10	ie [2] 3/11 7/5	59/9 61/23 66/6 70/25	61/16 112/14 131/9	includes [4] 22/25
104/25 107/17 109/8	I have [1] 55/16	if [138] 5/14 9/17 9/21	78/8 78/16 79/11 83/3	impedes [1] 46/4	63/15 149/6 153/3
109/10 109/12 109/15	I hope [1] 56/7	10/14 13/6 13/12	83/4 83/24 84/6 84/9	implement [1] 7/10	including [22] 6/18
110/4 110/5 120/8	I may [1] 60/10	14/21 14/22 14/25	84/11 85/10 85/21	implementation [2]	7/16 9/14 15/16 27/3
120/10 120/13 122/23	I mean [2] 25/21	17/5 18/9 19/13 21/9	87/7 87/9 88/25 92/24	18/15 39/3	29/11 35/11 40/4 64/8
122/24 123/2 134/25	144/19	21/21 23/1 24/8 24/15	93/10 93/23 95/3 95/6	implemented [1]	68/21 84/8 84/14 90/2
142/13 158/12 159/13	I note [1] 48/7	26/23 27/9 27/12	95/16 95/25 100/16	47/21	103/24 123/14 127/20
161/23 162/8		27/14 27/19 27/22	100/23 101/9 101/20		143/11 149/16 150/21
house [1] 110/25	I pause [2] 76/19			implicated [1] 159/24	
house' [1] 109/18	104/13	28/11 30/9 30/25	105/1 106/11 107/4	implication [1] 100/20	
how [31] 9/14 9/15	1 quote [7] 17/19	37/14 37/16 38/21	107/4 107/5 107/8	implying [1] 53/8	incomplete [3] 15/17
10/9 12/15 12/17	22/15 68/4 68/25	39/16 41/11 42/7 43/7	107/14 108/24 109/9	import [7] 16/24 17/4	44/19 52/12
12/19 12/21 15/9 16/7	135/16 152/19 158/16	46/10 47/7 47/15	110/4 110/11 111/7	20/2 23/16 27/23 76/5	incorporated [1]
19/4 29/18 30/8 31/13	I raise [1] 55/24	48/18 52/8 53/6 59/17	111/13 111/13 112/22	89/3	55/11
38/11 40/11 42/3	I referred [1] 81/17	61/2 61/7 61/20 62/18	112/24 114/6 114/6	importance [2] 79/18	increase [7] 39/8
47/21 51/20 64/2	I said [1] 50/21	63/12 63/13 64/5	114/9 114/15 114/18	122/10	40/20 42/1 42/5 106/2
64/23 65/2 92/9 92/17	I say [10] 12/1 15/7	64/21 66/10 66/13	114/18 115/15 116/14	important [8] 16/8	112/2 114/4
107/20 116/15 117/2	37/19 48/18 56/18	67/20 68/2 71/17 76/2	116/23 116/23 117/6	20/11 31/10 44/2	increased [2] 42/4
123/20 128/21 129/24	86/2 95/10 98/18	76/9 77/9 77/24 77/25	117/23 118/11 119/7	53/20 54/10 54/23	79/7
150/20 158/2	131/23 150/2	78/1 78/6 79/19 81/9	120/1 122/15 122/17	125/25	increasing [3] 44/17
however [7] 68/24	I see [2] 86/17 137/25	81/14 85/18 88/12	122/17 123/8 123/8	importation [4] 17/12	135/21 146/3
111/17 113/9 113/24	I should [1] 53/15	91/3 91/17 92/11	123/12 124/5 124/23	18/6 20/1 25/1	increasingly [1]
114/3 149/13 166/14	I stress [1] 47/2	92/21 94/3 94/13	126/4 126/8 126/9	imported [12] 11/18	142/14
HSIB [1] 94/18	I suppose [2] 77/14	94/13 94/25 95/23	126/15 127/16 127/23	13/21 17/10 20/13	indebted [1] 53/15
HTLV [11] 34/12	145/18	95/25 96/25 97/19	128/11 129/12 132/25	20/22 21/4 21/23 26/9	indeed [6] 19/16
34/14 35/1 107/2	I suspect [2] 62/10	100/13 101/15 101/15	133/21 134/6 134/23	90/16 130/16 145/14	37/22 42/17 93/4
114/19 120/6 120/17	128/1	101/16 102/5 102/9	136/7 136/8 139/7	148/9	129/19 133/3
121/6 121/7 128/7	I think [14] 3/21 26/18		139/7 140/9 140/10	importer [1] 17/13	independent [1]
140/14	37/8 43/11 58/4 60/24	104/1 105/17 106/5	140/19 142/14 145/24	importers [1] 48/23	53/11
HTLV-III [7] 107/2	77/8 77/13 80/11	110/3 113/9 113/23	146/4 147/10 147/25	importing [5] 16/13	indicate [1] 59/15
114/19 120/6 120/17	103/11 116/13 144/2	120/25 123/19 124/20	149/14 149/16 150/25	19/23 20/16 23/19	indicated [3] 15/24
121/6 121/7 140/14	150/11 157/6	125/18 126/17 130/2	151/13 152/7 152/7	27/19	115/15 151/4
human [16] 3/3 3/18	I turn [1] 150/3	130/4 131/6 131/17	154/6 154/8 154/17	imports [1] 95/4	indicates [2] 67/18
3/25 5/9 5/22 6/21	I understand [4]	132/10 132/19 133/3	155/5 155/9 157/19	impose [1] 40/23	70/21
22/8 24/1 33/2 39/12	61/15 84/21 131/9	133/7 133/12 133/24	158/15 160/5 160/12	imposed [1] 44/20	indication [1] 55/15
	140/4	134/4 134/5 137/4	160/23 160/25 161/10	impossible [1] 154/24	indigestion [2] 12/19
59/10 68/8 74/24 75/5	I won't [3] 33/10 69/11	137/9 137/15 138/2	161/12 162/25 163/5	impressed [1] 109/21	12/20
75/9 89/7 Hyland [6] 48/25 50/1	139/12	138/8 139/10 139/25	163/8 163/11 163/12	improved [2] 47/14	individual [2] 1/10
	I would [5] 11/2 19/19	140/24 141/6 142/5	163/13 163/17 164/19	112/16	7/18
54/17 55/2 105/12 113/5	71/6 93/23 122/4	142/16 144/4 144/13	164/21 164/22 164/23	improvement [2] 44/1	industry [4] 41/18
113/3	l'd [4] 54/13 88/12	144/21 145/3 146/20	164/25 164/25 164/25	128/3	43/3 45/6 45/15
	94/12 141/22	151/18 151/22 151/25	164/25 165/23 166/19	inactivate [1] 135/20	inevitable [1] 52/23
Langraciate [41	I'II [10] 33/25 41/6	152/2 155/7 156/8	Immuno AG [18] 83/3	inactivated [6] 104/3	inevitably [1] 70/9
	69,12 81/15 92/8	156/16 159/6 159/21	87/9 111/13 114/6	105/17 105/20 105/23	infection [3] 50/13
i	103/16 132/12 145/21	161/5 161/14 163/14	116/23 117/6 122/17	107/2 144/1	50/14 121/13
I can [3] 53/4 88/11	159/4 161/16	166/25	123/8 126/9 126/15	inactivation [15]	infections [2] 34/9
99/12	I'm [28] 2/11 11/5	ii [4] 20/7 23/23 56/25	127/23 133/21 136/8	57/17 58/5 104/5	159/19
I can't [2] 10/8 92/3	16/22 21/13 21/24	90/4	139/7 140/9 140/19	105/2 105/12 106/8	infectious [1] 36/10
I cannot [1] 37/21	24/6 29/18 43/9 48/1	iii [14] 20/7 20/10	152/7 155/9	111/23 114/16 114/20	infectivity [1] 110/7
I confirm [1] 106/16	48/3 54/4 58/17 59/4	34/12 34/15 35/1	Immuno Ltd [26]	118/16 120/4 128/10	inference [3] 26/11
	84/2 88/10 92/12	41/11 41/14 107/2	79/11 83/24 84/6	140/13 144/20 164/16	83/10 101/7
l couldn't [1] 150/5	100/6 109/24 115/12	114/19 120/6 120/17	88/25 100/16 107/5	inadequate [4] 46/3	Infirmary [4] 92/16
I didn't [1] 141/22	115/13 116/1 120/21	121/6 121/7 140/14	111/13 112/22 114/6	111/20 151/7 151/11	93/20 93/25 150/22
I do [1] 47/21	123/18 130/2 144/21	imagine [1] 148/8	114/18 116/14 122/17	inappropriate [1]	inform [6] 17/14 22/3
				hhhm-r-1	
L	A		·		

(54) hospitals - inform

58/9 58/10 58/11 59/2 Institute [5] 6/9 10/19 investigation [1] IX [15] 56/25 57/2 June 1983 [2] 94/17 30/12 31/8 108/11 143/24 130/13 132/7 132/9 134/5 59/9 59/10 59/15 inform... [4] 28/18 instruction [1] 141/4 invite [1] 51/2 132/20 132/22 134/8 June 1984 [1] 18/4 59/20 60/13 61/17 28/23 74/15 162/18 **instructive [1]** 40/15 135/19 138/14 139/16 just [46] 1/17 3/22 62/6 62/18 66/8 66/20 invited [1] 128/20 informal [1] 45/18 instrument [1] 18/10 inviting [1] 106/20 144/15 148/15 154/18 11/3 11/7 13/1 13/5 66/23 67/25 71/24 information [30] instruments [1] 17/20 involved [6] 18/15 16/22 24/17 26/6 29/3 154/18 74/10 74/15 75/23 10/13 10/14 20/8 52/21 54/19 106/17 29/24 41/6 47/6 51/12 intend [2] 11/6 152/20 76/6 78/16 78/23 25/15 37/25 38/10 intended [8] 1/17 1/23 157/6 157/25 53/7 61/7 66/13 67/6 79/24 80/9 80/13 68/22 69/2 71/19 January [16] 6/24 29/19 44/12 72/22 **IPSN40156 [1]** 149/3 78/7 78/20 78/21 86/5 80/14 80/15 80/21 71/20 72/5 72/18 76/2 84/10 150/9 157/10 Ireland [8] 3/14 18/23 55/11 68/11 69/8 72/2 86/15 86/21 92/17 81/20 82/3 82/8 82/9 82/25 84/7 87/23 92/8 72/7 84/5 107/13 intending [2] 27/23 18/24 25/10 25/11 93/15 108/16 109/12 82/9 82/11 82/15 99/9 111/18 112/8 107/22 108/8 141/20 137/20 92/15 93/10 93/14 115/12 115/13 115/14 82/19 82/20 82/22 112/23 118/12 118/22 intent [2] 74/2 132/3 isn't [3] 12/2 70/24 141/24 142/12 147/1 115/21 116/1 116/4 83/25 84/22 88/5 120/15 122/16 134/2 148/18 161/20 intention [1] 20/2 144/7 117/16 123/10 132/20 88/17 89/3 90/7 90/11 137/22 151/18 151/22 interaction [1] 51/8 issue [9] 22/16 22/17 January 1965 [1] 6/24 137/4 137/23 142/5 90/16 90/20 91/18 154/9 interest [6] 31/17 43/2 32/13 46/14 85/22 January 1972 [1] 144/21 145/15 149/2 91/22 91/25 92/9 93/7 informed [15] 73/14 103/18 113/21 132/3 55/11 51/9 82/4 103/11 149/2 154/19 160/21 94/4 94/8 96/3 96/5 99/7 101/16 101/20 January 1973 [2] 131/5 155/15 justification [2] 96/7 100/15 100/18 111/17 125/6 125/18 interested [3] 54/24 issued [10] 7/8 27/14 68/11 72/7 118/22 131/18 102/21 103/25 105/6 127/16 140/9 154/6 85/19 123/20 73/21 90/24 131/4 January 1977 [1] 84/5 105/25 107/9 107/12 155/12 157/9 160/5 January 1985 [1] interesting [1] 140/2 131/23 131/25 132/1 107/15 111/14 112/6 164/19 165/23 107/13 keep [2] 51/2 54/1 Interestingly [2] 154/10 162/13 112/17 114/10 114/11 informing [5] 85/21 January 1986 [1] Kenneth [1] 25/4 93/14 134/11 issues [2] 7/23 39/7 114/12 115/3 115/16 125/8 155/10 161/11 141/20 Kenneth Clarke [1] 116/25 117/11 117/13 interests [2] 45/3 issuing [2] 39/22 161/20 46/24 42/25 January 1987 [3] 25/4 117/17 117/18 119/13 informs [1] 138/5 internal [2] 87/9 87/13 it's [65] 10/15 10/17 141/24 142/12 148/18 | **kept [3]** 17/24 23/9 123/10 123/14 124/24 Ingram [2] 100/22 international [17] 12/24 16/8 17/5 18/8 | jaundiced [1] 71/3 55/16 126/3 126/11 126/17 101/17 19/17 20/11 24/18 John [1] 75/2 key [4] 8/7 8/22 11/12 33/23 55/6 55/17 60/5 126/22 126/25 127/10 ingredient [1] 41/3 joint [1] 19/6 54/23 76/1 76/6 77/3 78/1 28/3 28/3 29/19 31/1 129/10 129/18 129/21 inherited [1] 52/8 jointly [2] 18/25 74/21 kind [3] 40/25 43/5 93/1 93/2 93/11 93/12 40/17 53/2 53/14 132/17 136/11 136/17 inhibiting [1] 44/10 62/24 66/11 66/14 Jopling [1] 25/8 105/12 96/5 133/1 134/7 138/7 138/11 138/21 inhibitor [5] 57/3 57/4 134/21 147/4 67/7 67/17 72/2 72/2 Joseph [14] 9/10 30/2 kinds [2] 40/23 41/22 146/3 146/10 148/16 134/10 150/4 150/7 30/7 30/10 30/23 31/1 | **Kingdom [16]** 6/25 interpretation [1] 83/8 72/15 75/1 76/3 76/21 149/22 163/2 165/4 inhibitors [5] 64/14 33/13 33/13 34/1 34/1 7/12 7/13 19/5 33/7 interrupt [1] 58/18 77/5 77/17 78/2 78/2 166/25 166/25 92/21 150/10 152/13 intimated [1] 161/2 79/24 82/1 88/24 35/6 37/5 38/12 61/25 51/7 59/16 75/11 154/18 Journal [1] 149/5 123/3 124/5 130/17 into [39] 11/3 12/7 89/19 89/20 91/2 initial [5] 2/12 18/1 12/22 13/1 13/7 18/12 92/17 98/24 101/16 judge [2] 151/7 132/6 132/8 136/4 label [2] 82/24 99/25 27/7 127/1 136/12 22/23 25/20 26/9 101/17 106/5 108/14 151/12 161/22 163/22 labelling [6] 16/4 initially [3] 59/11 51/14 65/10 65/13 115/18 116/10 116/17 judged [1] 32/16 Kingdom.' [1] 39/13 27/21 31/14 36/19 71/22 82/2 39/17 47/4 47/12 judicial [1] 10/16 Kirk [2] 89/20 90/13 136/25 154/4 116/24 120/23 128/22 inject [1] 64/4 51/22 54/22 58/24 129/21 130/4 132/18 July [18] 30/21 37/6 knew [3] 91/12 137/14 labels [6] 63/6 63/6 injection [1] 64/17 64/19 69/11 70/10 134/14 135/5 137/9 37/19 74/14 118/19 144/21 63/7 69/19 70/7 injections [1] 64/22 119/2 121/18 122/18 know [26] 20/5 65/20 77/19 81/7 90/16 91/3 137/11 138/6 139/10 166/23 inner [1] 63/6 97/7 97/21 97/22 124/21 127/16 127/24 69/15 71/13 75/22 Laboratories [4] 75/8 141/7 144/10 144/24 innovation [1] 44/10 155/2 160/25 161/10 75/24 87/5 88/25 89/8 95/3 109/7 109/21 98/16 105/10 108/6 145/15 150/7 159/5 Inquiry [10] 1/3 1/7 161/18 163/17 164/20 89/13 90/13 91/13 119/24 123/24 128/21 160/10 laboratory [3] 30/14 1/22 2/5 51/17 52/25 166/10 101/18 101/21 110/12 34/24 110/14 129/8 130/17 134/9 Italian [1] 149/20 53/14 53/16 81/14 145/11 145/20 Italy [1] 149/8 July 1973 [1] 74/14 123/1 127/8 130/2 lack [3] 45/21 46/1 168/4 introduce [4] 105/16 July 1986 [3] 118/19 132/11 134/13 134/18 item [1] 100/14 160/2 Inquiry's [4] 14/20 laid [1] 18/11 107/9 119/24 158/9 160/25 161/18 135/7 137/11 138/13 items [1] 46/9 16/15 52/2 52/19 its [31] 3/16 6/19 7/2 introduced [15] 5/1 July 1987 [1] 121/18 150/13 160/12 Lane [2] 105/18 inserts [1] 117/7 July 1988 [1] 124/21 105/18 6/22 6/24 7/10 7/15 9/5 9/7 9/23 11/24 knowledge [3] 50/12 insight [5] 39/17 81/7 17/10 18/5 20/11 21/3 July 1991 [1] 127/16 116/15 121/7 **Lane's [1]** 69/7 11/25 12/5 18/10 108/6 123/24 128/21 25/17 28/7 29/15 60/5 27/10 33/16 36/4 38/1 **jump [1]** 74/19 known [12] 3/5 32/15 language [3] 19/15 insisted [1] 112/8 June [16] 18/4 18/13 40/2 44/15 59/10 97/2 98/14 121/5 142/11 42/10 42/16 42/23 inspection [2] 13/25 introduction [8] 18/2 30/2 73/22 73/25 83/18 114/11 135/1 43/10 44/4 44/9 46/20 large [1] 84/18 72/24 29/20 29/24 38/24 46/22 55/7 55/12 94/17 94/19 127/15 156/9 156/9 162/6 largely [1] 153/20 instance [2] 35/18 134/5 152/6 152/23 164/3 larger [1] 70/22 58/25 79/18 94/16 59/18 63/24 71/21 105/4 166/4 71/21 125/21 126/4 154/21 159/1 164/9 Knoxville [1] 84/17 last [6] 14/12 34/19 instances [1] 51/4 166/2 166/6 Koate [2] 94/1 109/22 74/9 142/3 153/13 invariably [1] 51/21 130/24 instead [5] 1/17 10/16 June 1980 [2] 152/6 investigate [2] 134/15 itself [2] 73/21 143/17 Kryobulin [112] 56/23 153/14 24/4 94/1 124/8 152/23 57/12 58/6 58/7 58/8 lasts [1] 14/10 144/2 iv [1] 20/7

(55) inform... - lasts

141/24 142/1 150/13 128/14 129/15 136/9 51/19 51/25 51/25 100/19 102/8 113/21 manufacturer's [2] 143/17 144/11 144/24 154/7 154/16 155/9 88/15 108/16 110/12 118/7 124/2 124/17 11/23 14/1 later [19] 1/22 13/18 155/11 157/17 161/6 145/19 145/19 146/5 114/23 122/25 124/23 126/25 127/4 134/12 manufacturers [5] 34/12 37/3 47/4 47/22 letters [7] 21/19 21/22 148/23 149/11 151/13 134/1 135/10 148/18 140/5 142/25 148/12 32/2 34/21 35/11 54/18 55/11 55/20 108/21 126/6 127/1 151/20 155/14 156/12 158/20 163/3 152/23 154/20 158/7 94/24 134/4 60/8 69/6 70/4 75/18 141/17 163/11 looking [5] 48/22 53/2 Madrid [1] 61/18 156/15 156/24 160/6 manufacturers' [1] 112/3 112/20 127/7 level [3] 66/24 67/1 160/12 161/2 163/1 65/12 113/22 122/22 main [8] 35/20 48/22 34/18 133/14 144/18 165/22 manufacturing [2] 120/8 163/12 166/18 looks [4] 62/21 140/1 50/23 56/21 57/7 latter [1] 160/23 liability [2] 52/9 89/8 Licensing Authority 143/22 143/23 72/12 101/12 153/23 68/22 154/4 LAV [1] 110/2 [1] 13/10 licence [190] Lord [10] 2/3 2/4 2/7 mainly [2] 34/20 40/5 many [9] 1/16 10/9 lavish [1] 112/10 licence' [1] 2/23 life [1] 42/24 2/17 8/6 8/22 11/14 maintain [3] 106/1 43/23 44/19 45/25 law [2] 114/21 156/7 licences [29] 3/8 4/9 light [2] 121/6 152/18 13/9 18/16 38/16 113/13 124/13 46/18 52/16 59/19 laws [1] 14/8 5/3 7/17 17/11 18/6 89/23 like [6] 11/2 13/23 Lord Fowler [4] 2/3 maintained [3] 17/24 lawyers [1] 51/18 25/1 27/4 28/6 31/23 46/18 54/13 62/21 2/4 2/17 13/9 32/20 162/16 March [31] 28/5 34/14 layer [1] 58/1 35/10 35/12 35/13 94/12 Lord Fowler's [6] 2/7 maintaining [2] 39/11 73/14 73/18 73/23 lead [1] 156/2 39/23 40/16 42/25 liked [1] 52/22 8/6 8/22 11/14 18/16 144/4 73/24 74/4 87/20 88/3 **leads [1]** 99/19 major [2] 41/19 64/20 38/16 91/1 91/8 91/10 94/9 79/16 87/1 107/7 likely [1] 148/6 leaflet [3] 63/7 66/15 limit [2] 21/4 84/22 majority [1] 32/6 126/16 126/21 129/18 lose [1] 105/17 111/10 114/8 117/15 69/19 143/18 145/7 146/8 Lindsay [1] 146/18 loser [1] 42/17 make [31] 10/4 12/10 117/18 118/7 119/21 leaflets [1] 166/23 losing [1] 113/12 146/9 155/21 163/14 line [2] 85/8 152/14 13/19 16/3 19/21 120/5 124/2 131/8 learned [2] 109/13 166/14 lines [1] 6/5 losses [1] 113/23 22/17 37/1 44/12 135/8 138/5 139/8 113/5 licensed [25] 8/9 list [11] 11/11 12/2 lost [2] 46/22 87/23 53/22 80/1 80/9 80/21 139/9 151/1 154/7 least [7] 26/13 111/8 lot [3] 49/14 49/23 14/24 16/8 59/1 73/22 43/19 46/13 61/10 80/24 83/15 99/2 99/6 162/7 165/8 165/12 139/18 144/14 146/15 80/7 82/15 84/13 95/9 91/16 91/18 92/5 101/6 102/7 102/21 March '78 [1] 88/3 59/5 152/15 156/24 99/7 117/12 117/17 116/25 117/8 122/12 low [1] 147/21 102/24 103/13 106/21 March 1973 [2] 73/14 leave [5] 8/6 48/6 119/16 128/11 136/22 listed [6] 8/21 68/3 lower [8] 80/18 80/20 107/20 107/25 130/7 97/25 98/8 142/5 137/8 137/11 139/5 78/11 91/18 94/19 81/5 82/12 82/21 138/25 140/16 148/11 March 1978 [3] 91/1 led [1] 25/24 102/18 102/20 113/10 148/20 157/10 157/20 141/11 142/3 154/14 133/8 91/8 91/10 left [4] 69/14 76/20 160/22 161/18 161/21 Ltd [48] 55/10 55/12 makes [4] 37/6 43/4 listen [1] 103/16 March 1981 [1] 28/5 79/22 81/12 162/7 lists [2] 31/10 132/16 55/14 55/19 56/2 62/25 93/13 March 1983 [1] 94/9 left-hand [2] 76/20 licensers [1] 57/10 little [21] 2/13 9/10 56/17 61/23 62/22 making [11] 4/16 March 1985 [4] 79/22 licenses [1] 88/3 11/3 29/18 37/3 39/17 65/19 71/18 73/13 29/23 52/7 53/17 111/10 117/15 117/18 legal [5] 4/5 36/18 licensing [127] 1/11 40/13 45/17 50/1 75/8 75/8 78/24 79/10 75/20 91/12 100/24 135/8 45/13 52/9 53/17 56/19 61/8 68/23 101/25 138/24 146/11 March 1986 [2] 1/15 1/21 2/20 2/24 79/11 83/24 84/6 legislation [10] 7/9 2/24 3/14 3/17 3/25 70/12 74/19 76/11 88/25 100/16 106/11 161/17 119/21 120/5 7/11 12/4 18/16 19/7 4/17 4/21 5/1 5/13 112/3 112/25 132/20 107/5 111/13 112/22 man [1] 55/20 March 1988 [1] 124/2 21/24 45/5 46/8 5/22 7/14 7/24 8/9 9/8 139/6 143/23 159/23 114/6 114/18 116/14 marked [2] 41/15 management [4] 156/13 165/11 9/25 10/3 10/22 11/1 live [1] 32/19 116/23 122/17 123/8 44/16 45/1 46/5 74/23 109/2 legislative [1] 29/17 11/18 12/3 12/7 12/8 124/23 126/4 126/8 manager [2] 55/23 locate [1] 53/1 marker [5] 109/6 Lendvay [1] 81/22 109/8 109/9 109/11 12/12 12/22 13/3 located [2] 52/18 129/12 130/13 136/7 56/1 length [2] 14/10 109/3 13/10 13/19 14/2 84/13 139/7 140/10 145/24 managing [10] 55/19 109/25 less [6] 70/17 71/11 14/17 17/14 17/25 log [4] 120/7 121/6 146/4 152/7 158/15 55/22 56/6 61/23 market [22] 4/25 7/7 83/5 87/7 93/16 19/8 19/25 20/5 20/18 128/1 128/7 160/23 160/25 163/11 62/23 65/19 81/18 26/9 48/23 56/22 57/9 100/25 22/3 22/5 23/14 23/23 London [3] 59/23 163/14 164/22 165/1 89/1 111/12 162/21 59/12 74/15 80/20 lesser [1] 129/1 24/16 24/19 26/4 60/25 61/6 lunch [4] 88/11 94/13 | Manchester [1] 82/20 82/23 101/10 lest [1] 46/22 27/24 28/1 28/9 28/18 long [3] 42/18 82/22 96/14 116/5 102/20 105/18 106/1 150/22 let [3] 20/4 89/8 93/9 28/24 28/25 29/7 luncheon [1] 96/12 mandatory [1] 116/16 117/9 126/4 126/11 152/8 letter [55] 61/21 62/4 29/10 30/8 31/21 long-term [1] 82/22 lyophilisation [1] manner [1] 69/20 128/13 139/17 150/18 65/20 69/8 69/11 Mannucci [7] 147/5 31/21 32/1 32/14 35/8 longer [11] 15/22 165/18 166/5 69/15 73/19 74/1 35/14 37/1 39/3 43/13 15/24 22/6 105/22 147/12 147/14 149/4 marketing [4] 32/12 74/20 75/3 75/13 85/3 M 45/12 47/5 48/2 48/3 119/15 124/4 128/1 149/17 149/20 149/24 55/23 56/1 147/18 85/7 85/9 85/20 87/25 MACK0002301 [1] 49/5 49/24 51/13 138/16 139/3 161/13 Mannucci's [1] 61/9 Mary [2] 106/9 108/19 88/22 89/17 89/19 56/11 66/7 72/25 73/8 146/21 162/3 manufacture [8] mass [1] 53/3 89/23 90/6 106/6 83/15 83/16 83/22 look [24] 15/3 18/9 made [45] 4/13 14/15 11/21 32/6 32/22 63/9 material [25] 15/18 106/9 107/13 108/10 15/6 17/24 18/11 19/2 66/11 66/18 97/15 86/4 86/6 86/18 87/6 19/10 54/15 77/9 78/6 21/23 34/6 52/18 108/15 111/11 111/14 27/3 32/5 34/1 35/10 87/15 87/15 97/6 78/7 84/3 85/18 86/3 106/19 53/12 63/1 77/23 114/8 119/18 122/22 97/25 98/5 99/1 99/5 95/25 99/22 104/8 36/15 44/15 47/11 80/19 80/20 80/22 manufactured [4] 125/4 125/8 125/17 47/20 51/3 62/22 99/23 102/8 102/15 106/23 108/22 119/5 14/9 64/23 99/10 89/6 89/10 100/25 126/12 127/25 128/5 106/16 107/5 107/13 131/17 132/10 133/3 65/11 65/16 70/22 130/16 102/19 102/20 102/22 128/10 131/7 132/3 77/19 79/15 82/11 107/19 109/17 116/11 133/7 134/5 145/1 manufacturer [4] 110/15 111/21 113/16 133/20 136/20 137/20 82/15 83/2 83/14 93/3 123/8 123/20 123/23 145/11 148/21 13/20 13/24 20/14 113/21 114/1 114/3 138/6 139/6 139/8 96/4 96/6 99/12 99/23 23/1 116/6 151/11 152/16 124/17 127/6 128/2 looked [15] 29/3

(56) later - material

143/19 mechanisms [1] 7/16 | memo [1] 119/11 Monoclate [1] 128/6 M medical [8] 6/1 6/6 mid-1970s [1] 166/5 month [8] 62/17 77/5 memorandum [3] materials [11] 5/5 5/6 36/15 40/7 68/19 84/6 76/12 81/16 81/23 middle [1] 129/5 78/12 78/16 78/19 32/9 33/20 35/1 49/18 might [19] 10/23 14/7 107/8 140/9 166/8 152/9 159/4 men [1] 56/2 50/16 51/17 53/3 medicinal [30] 2/22 mention [1] 98/21 18/7 22/5 25/22 26/14 month's [1] 42/25 118/18 133/9 mentioned [9] 11/13 28/25 29/6 32/24 monthly [1] 76/19 5/23 6/21 7/19 15/21 matter [6] 13/7 22/4 16/25 19/23 20/2 13/9 38/15 48/6 50/11 42/21 62/10 88/21 months [9] 21/1 21/13 29/6 54/11 109/18 20/12 20/16 20/21 61/25 71/19 75/22 98/2 98/11 143/23 21/14 76/22 89/17 139/1 145/5 156/4 156/5 22/6 22/18 22/19 116/7 89/18 113/17 115/22 matters [7] 4/17 12/4 22/25 23/4 23/5 23/16 merely [3] 30/7 82/1 156/6 121/13 28/25 30/5 41/9 49/5 23/20 23/24 31/20 113/23 mightn't [1] 62/13 more [34] 2/13 11/3 145/20 32/10 47/5 97/8 97/10 met [3] 151/12 151/13 Milan [2] 61/9 61/10 12/23 13/2 22/23 may [**54**] 1/24 4/7 Miles [4] 95/3 108/24 97/13 97/23 98/1 98/6 38/10 40/10 41/1 162/25 10/12 13/17 15/8 method [26] 57/22 109/7 109/21 41/20 45/5 45/8 46/22 18/11 18/12 24/6 medicine [5] 4/15 58/5 58/7 58/8 58/9 mill [1] 42/21 48/2 49/19 49/20 50/1 25/14 26/3 29/12 33/7 40/25 41/2 42/21 58/12 65/15 71/20 millibars [3] 104/19 64/25 71/20 85/8 47/3 47/3 52/24 52/25 85/15 86/15 86/21 45/10 162/9 162/11 79/2 97/15 97/15 53/18 53/18 54/2 58/5 medicines [100] 2/21 million [17] 42/22 92/8 98/2 98/10 98/14 113/13 114/16 115/6 60/10 60/25 60/25 3/2 3/3 3/5 3/9 3/18 115/23 119/20 120/24 42/23 75/25 76/5 101/6 109/23 109/24 62/12 68/1 83/18 4/1 4/2 4/4 4/12 4/13 127/3 128/11 135/2 78/21 78/22 93/2 95/5 145/5 146/15 151/17 94/13 100/25 105/10 4/18 4/23 4/25 5/1 5/7 145/2 145/2 158/11 96/5 96/6 133/16 151/22 165/1 106/15 115/8 116/19 5/9 5/15 5/24 6/14 159/15 159/22 161/23 134/7 134/20 139/18 morning [1] 113/5 116/19 126/25 127/4 6/18 7/3 8/13 8/15 methods [10] 11/20 152/3 153/12 153/15 most [14] 19/8 19/9 134/9 135/21 137/2 8/16 8/16 8/23 9/1 58/2 63/9 63/10 35/20 40/5 93/3 93/4 millions [1] 43/1 137/18 138/13 139/1 9/13 9/19 10/7 11/4 104/15 105/3 105/9 mind [4] 51/2 54/1 93/13 109/20 109/23 143/25 144/17 144/20 12/14 13/13 13/15 105/13 146/5 146/16 55/17 116/1 110/13 111/19 112/11 144/22 145/9 149/12 16/10 17/11 18/5 19/1 MHRA0003321 [1] minded [1] 5/16 119/25 132/22 150/11 150/12 151/5 24/25 27/4 28/6 30/16 79/5 minds [3] 26/15 73/11 move [4] 1/6 100/4 157/6 164/3 165/21 MHRA0003323 [1] 30/18 30/22 31/22 144/23 129/8 140/1 165/24 33/2 35/4 35/8 35/16 65/23 minimal [1] 68/22 moved [1] 160/13 May 1990 [2] 126/25 36/6 36/13 36/16 MHRA0019502 [1] minister [8] 2/25 3/12 moving [2] 48/7 127/4 36/19 36/21 38/25 108/9 4/10 9/24 10/2 18/21 114/14 Maycock [8] 69/5 69/7 Mr [48] 1/5 56/1 61/6 39/1 39/3 39/6 39/9 MHRA0027402 [1] 25/4 25/8 69/16 70/21 70/22 39/12 39/18 39/23 61/23 62/3 62/23 66/5 165/15 ministerial [1] 4/6 72/1 73/11 74/13 39/24 40/2 40/3 40/11 MHRA0029398 [1] ministers [11] 3/13 81/22 81/25 82/1 85/9 Maycock's [1] 69/14 41/20 42/18 43/18 165/11 4/12 4/16 4/20 8/11 85/21 89/1 100/23 mbar [3] 104/17 44/3 45/8 45/24 46/19 MHRA0033300 [1] 10/3 16/2 18/15 27/3 101/15 101/19 101/20 104/24 104/25 47/22 47/25 85/17 142/19 39/5 39/23 103/6 103/7 103/23 MCA [4] 4/5 128/23 108/14 110/25 111/2 MHRA0033319 [5] Ministers' [2] 3/10 105/15 106/10 106/13 129/3 129/19 118/17 127/7 127/9 120/11 121/20 123/15 3/11 107/22 111/6 111/11 McGrath [1] 89/20 127/15 128/16 128/18 124/8 163/5 Ministry [1] 113/20 111/12 112/4 112/4 McGrath's [1] 90/10 131/11 143/7 151/3 MHRA0033320 [4] 114/9 116/24 117/6 minor [1] 111/15 me [17] 31/1 57/5 153/7 155/2 155/13 108/4 118/8 119/1 minute [3] 94/19 119/22 122/17 126/15 57/6 73/7 73/10 81/14 157/9 157/20 157/24 120/20 94/22 102/2 127/23 128/9 131/7 81/18 86/5 86/6 86/10 MHRA0033321 [8] 158/4 158/25 161/5 minutes [6] 37/18 131/22 133/20 136/7 86/13 93/9 115/14 164/24 165/23 79/5 79/8 79/20 90/23 72/20 72/22 76/16 137/19 140/19 143/1 116/9 130/2 141/21 Medicines 94/6 94/10 102/10 100/8 100/14 152/7 152/18 155/9 157/4 Commission [1] 9/13 123/17 misleading [1] 70/5 157/9 mean [10] 7/11 12/17 Medicines' [1] 68/10 MHRA0033322 [4] misnomer [2] 118/24 Mr Berry [29] 61/23 25/21 53/7 54/9 60/1 meeting [17] 30/20 62/3 62/23 66/5 81/25 68/13 69/13 74/7 161/8 67/8 70/23 144/19 30/23 37/7 37/20 72/7 74/16 missing [1] 52/24 82/1 85/9 85/21 89/1 148/22 72/20 73/3 81/16 82/1 MHRA0033323 [1] mistake [1] 134/18 100/23 101/15 101/19 meaning [1] 153/21 94/17 100/8 103/24 101/20 103/6 103/7 61/21 mix [1] 136/25 means [3] 24/14 105/8 106/7 123/7 MHRA0033325 [1] modification [2] 103/23 105/15 107/22 35/25 44/9 163/3 164/23 62/19 111/17 111/19 111/11 112/4 119/22 meant [4] 4/8 13/14 meetings [2] 50/19 MHRA0034575 [3] moist [1] 57/23 131/7 131/22 133/20 156/24 166/1 127/19 143/15 164/12 moisture [2] 118/12 140/19 152/7 152/18 128/16 measure [2] 36/1 member [4] 7/5 7/7 Mibachan [1] 60/24 120/14 155/9 157/9 112/17 7/9 7/17 Michael [1] 25/8 moment [7] 83/9 Mr Coombes [8] measured [1] 42/10 111/12 112/4 116/24 members [6] 6/20 mid [3] 55/25 143/19 84/25 90/2 115/13 measures [3] 7/15 117/6 122/17 126/15 36/4 37/25 69/4 123/8 166/5 130/4 137/4 142/5 121/9 159/17 mid-'80s [2] 55/25 162/25 monitoring [1] 47/17 127/23 128/9

(57) materials - namely

Mr Coombes' [1] 56/1

Mr Lendvay [1] 81/22

Mr Newcession [1]

106/10 106/13 114/9

Mr Nicholson's [1]

Mrs [5] 56/10 66/6

81/21 103/24 136/9

Mrs Diernhofer [4]

66/6 81/21 103/24

Ms [2] 53/15 83/2

Ms Diernhofer [1]

Ms Richards [1]

much [12] 7/24 26/4

37/21 41/1 48/2 50/5

50/10 50/21 67/17

92/9 165/3 167/4

muscles [1] 64/19

my [14] 10/8 26/20

31/7 60/3 60/11 66/13

73/17 74/1 76/16 83/8

100/7 100/16 116/1

myself [1] 53/15

nadir [1] 112/12

naive [1] 121/12

name [16] 20/9 20/13

22/25 23/1 23/4 55/12

55/24 57/23 61/10

79/10 79/17 83/25

named [35] 16/17

16/18 17/10 19/18

21/23 25/23 26/23

27/19 27/20 29/13

60/15 62/6 62/10

88/18 90/17 118/4

125/3 136/4 137/5

137/9 137/12 138/20

139/4 141/3 153/10

155/18 155/25 156/13

159/2 166/13 166/16

named-patient [4]

26/23 27/20 29/13

named-person [1]

namely [1] 40/6

90/17

25/23

19/21 21/5 21/8 21/9

150/15

88/25 108/13 114/12

must [3] 71/12 109/15

Mr Thomas' [1] 111/6

Mr Nicholson [5]

136/7 143/1

137/19

136/9

83/2

53/15

125/20

152/12

Mr Hill [1] 1/5

95/23 117/22 119/17 103/21 104/13 126/14 66/14 71/23 73/16 77/3 Norman [4] 55/20 N 65/18 74/14 103/5 127/5 159/20 166/21 134/20 135/8 153/3 74/12 87/1 122/10 odd [1] 137/6 names [3] 56/4 56/13 **NHS [1]** 153/12 Norman Berry [1] 155/21 166/14 137/16 157/25 159/7 off [1] 10/8 100/11 offer [2] 17/22 22/21 NIBSC [19] 6/10 6/19 74/14 noted [8] 81/3 85/9 number 4 [1] 66/14 **NANB [3]** 113/18 10/23 30/13 31/2 31/7 Northern [8] 3/13 118/13 118/23 124/16 number and [1] offers [1] 118/14 121/13 164/7 18/23 18/24 25/10 Office [2] 74/21 76/5 31/16 31/19 31/25 128/5 153/9 154/1 122/10 narrative [1] 50/17 25/11 92/15 93/10 32/3 33/1 33/15 34/5 notes [2] 68/14 160/1 | number of [2] 40/21 officer [4] 6/6 6/7 40/7 National [4] 6/9 10/19 34/13 34/25 36/17 93/14 nothing [1] 98/4 74/12 30/12 108/11 62/1 89/20 90/11 Northern Ireland [7] notice [9] 20/1 20/6 number on [1] 137/16 officers [1] 40/6 nature [3] 45/9 135/22 Nicholas [1] 25/6 18/23 18/24 25/10 20/9 20/18 20/23 number: [1] 79/23 officially [1] 111/18 141/10 Nicholson [7] 55/25 25/11 92/15 93/10 23/17 24/4 24/15 number: 0215/0003 officials [8] 3/2 4/2 near [1] 106/23 4/4 4/9 5/19 5/23 8/12 106/10 106/11 106/13 93/14 155/16 **[1]** 79/23 nearly [2] 127/7 114/9 136/7 143/1 notification [2] 28/3 numbers [2] 41/24 8/19 nos [1] 136/16 153/12 Nicholson's [1] not [143] 1/14 1/23 150/14 136/17 often [1] 51/11 necessarily [5] 10/11 2/22 4/9 10/11 10/15 Notifications [1] 41/5 numbers of [1] 41/24 Oh [1] 77/11 137/19 43/24 70/17 70/23 nil [2] 77/10 77/10 12/7 12/9 12/12 12/22 notified [4] 27/24 old [2] 15/5 39/1 71/10 nine [2] 153/4 159/2 12/23 13/3 13/4 13/10 omissions [1] 46/13 114/9 156/25 157/1 necessary [8] 32/16 o'clock [2] 167/5 no [52] 7/6 7/9 7/11 14/16 19/10 20/23 notwithstanding [1] omitted [1] 70/2 36/7 42/15 44/21 86/1 10/16 15/22 15/24 20/25 22/11 22/16 44/6 167/5 once [2] 20/5 32/21 90/14 110/3 115/3 17/17 21/15 22/6 23/14 23/18 23/22 novel [1] 40/25 object [1] 101/12 one [57] 3/15 5/11 necessitated [1] 31/6 26/12 44/8 52/10 24/1 24/18 26/2 28/2 November [25] 17/9 objection [3] 62/5 10/1 11/7 13/5 13/17 need [13] 28/10 36/21 103/15 103/17 52/18 60/16 62/5 28/3 28/19 29/1 29/8 50/8 75/7 76/16 81/2 16/14 17/5 21/6 31/11 54/22 111/4 116/14 obligation [1] 29/9 70/20 78/18 81/25 32/12 35/24 37/17 81/19 83/1 83/13 40/17 41/14 44/4 44/8 126/9 129/23 135/16 85/4 89/13 89/24 40/17 42/14 43/5 43/9 83/24 88/22 89/14 observations [1] 46/19 50/15 55/18 140/21 147/2 151/17 93/24 99/12 101/19 46/15 47/3 47/3 47/6 95/12 103/9 103/22 148/12 55/23 60/21 60/25 151/22 163/14 105/22 110/14 111/2 48/18 49/15 50/2 104/8 106/6 107/24 observed [1] 67/24 69/4 72/8 75/6 85/19 needed [6] 20/8 41/16 obtain [3] 119/13 112/2 113/11 116/6 50/10 51/11 51/21 108/16 117/8 117/21 86/10 86/11 88/18 45/8 112/9 137/23 116/8 116/13 119/14 125/15 165/6 52/6 52/7 52/21 53/4 134/23 135/6 141/16 90/6 92/15 92/16 93/8 150/9 53/8 53/14 53/25 54/9 obtainable [2] 84/12 124/4 128/1 129/11 141/25 162/23 99/14 99/15 100/4 needs [1] 10/13 129/23 138/16 138/25 54/9 55/1 58/20 59/4 November '84 [1] 84/23 105/4 109/9 110/4 negative [3] 28/21 67/5 70/16 72/2 72/16 obtained [7] 68/16 110/8 122/21 125/16 139/3 139/16 140/15 135/6 35/1 90/3 72/5 80/5 81/14 89/7 145/12 145/15 148/12 72/18 77/5 77/19 78/2 November 1976 [5] 128/25 129/6 131/4 **negligence** [1] 156/8 111/24 115/21 153/21 155/23 156/5 80/12 84/2 84/22 83/1 83/13 88/22 133/8 135/15 135/24 negotiation [1] 99/19 obtaining [1] 84/15 161/12 162/3 163/8 85/15 87/24 88/14 89/14 103/9 142/12 142/13 145/23 neo [7] 58/11 58/11 164/6 88/15 88/17 90/6 November 1978 [1] obvious [3] 103/3 146/11 146/15 148/21 104/17 104/21 104/24 109/4 112/9 no. [1] 136/18 90/16 92/5 95/7 17/9 159/8 160/23 163/18 105/13 110/16 no. on [1] 136/18 101/12 101/21 105/17 November 1984 [2] obviously [3] 26/19 163/18 164/15 Neo TIM 2 [1] 105/13 nodding [1] 38/3 105/19 105/20 105/22 104/8 134/23 29/5 49/14 ones [3] 8/23 88/5 Neo TIM 3 [1] 104/21 occasion [2] 21/6 non [16] 11/11 12/2 109/18 109/25 111/1 November 1985 [1] 145/21 Neo TIM 4 [1] 104/24 57/13 113/14 113/14 37/22 113/7 114/11 116/10 141/16 ongoing [1] 29/9 neo-antigens [1] 117/11 126/19 127/21 116/19 117/25 118/14 November 1989 [3] occasions [1] 37/22 only [28] 17/19 22/20 110/16 127/21 139/2 141/12 119/16 121/13 122/15 117/8 117/21 141/25 occur [2] 68/1 164/3 25/16 32/21 67/5 neutral [1] 1/18 141/13 142/9 142/22 123/9 123/18 123/25 now [56] 11/2 13/14 occurred [1] 54/3 75/15 102/5 109/9 new [24] 7/9 7/11 21/2 124/12 125/19 125/24 19/20 20/6 24/6 29/18 | October [22] 33/15 143/18 158/8 109/10 109/25 110/5 22/10 32/16 35/13 74/19 76/17 76/22 non A [1] 113/14 126/22 128/3 128/23 33/25 40/9 48/2 48/6 124/25 125/9 133/21 41/9 41/18 41/22 77/9 78/25 79/1 79/4 non B [1] 113/14 129/7 129/14 135/5 54/22 55/24 58/7 61/5 133/22 134/17 137/5 42/18 42/21 42/22 90/25 91/7 114/14 non-A [1] 127/21 135/17 136/12 136/21 61/12 61/14 62/16 138/19 144/13 148/5 46/17 47/13 80/25 non-B [1] 127/21 137/11 139/5 139/21 67/20 74/15 76/9 117/20 154/8 154/16 152/16 156/4 159/12 84/16 102/24 106/22 157/8 157/14 157/15 non-heat-treated [8] 140/5 140/22 141/4 80/11 84/3 85/2 85/8 160/21 161/11 162/12 113/25 124/15 136/11 157/18 160/9 160/19 126/19 139/2 141/12 141/25 143/25 144/1 85/22 90/5 92/8 93/10 162/13 166/6 140/17 150/14 165/8 141/13 142/9 142/22 144/5 145/8 147/17 94/3 100/17 103/18 164/23 166/9 onscreen [14] 18/7 New York [1] 84/16 October 1973 [1] 143/18 158/8 147/20 148/15 148/22 108/22 109/14 113/22 66/3 74/17 89/15 Newcastle [3] 92/16 151/9 153/5 155/16 115/20 116/22 119/8 74/19 91/15 100/5 102/9 non-treated [1] 93/20 93/25 117/11 155/17 156/3 156/8 119/14 120/21 120/21 October 1975 [1] 79/4 103/19 111/9 116/22 Newcession [1] 61/6 none [3] 5/15 44/11 124/2 125/21 130/12 October 1978 [2] 124/20 131/6 133/24 156/9 156/10 156/17 next [29] 1/9 1/14 90/25 91/7 136/5 93/17 158/18 159/16 160/19 134/13 138/4 138/25 1/20 13/8 22/1 22/14 163/22 164/16 164/18 139/13 139/15 142/16 October 1985 [2] onto [2] 63/18 63/25 nonetheless [2] 118/4 22/22 23/10 31/13 147/3 148/11 150/3 114/14 160/19 136/3 notably [1] 155/21 onwards [1] 59/13 33/11 41/11 48/17 October 1986 [1] nor [3] 42/16 83/11 note [21] 7/21 16/2 155/12 156/16 161/25 open [1] 100/1 61/20 63/12 63/18 117/20 113/11 16/8 47/21 48/7 53/21 166/1 opened [1] 26/14 63/25 64/15 68/3 77/7 normal [2] 4/5 159/14 54/23 72/22 74/8 82/6 October: [1] 77/3 number [14] 3/4 6/18 opening [1] 138/5 82/5 92/11 93/8 93/24 15/16 39/25 40/21 October: 271,902 [1] operated [4] 5/23 19/4 normally [1] 78/3 93/22 93/22 96/8

(58) names - operated

27/22 28/7 28/13 29/3 10/25 15/12 17/7 61/2 61/7 61/11 63/12 20/23 past [2] 15/1 130/8 0 19/19 26/25 30/11 32/11 32/15 32/24 63/18 63/25 64/5 Paragraph 2.15 [1] Pasteurised [2] 147/8 operated... [2] 29/19 57/16 106/1 113/8 33/1 33/24 34/8 36/5 64/15 64/21 65/1 65/2 33/11 147/20 158/3 115/4 115/18 125/10 41/6 65/5 65/12 72/23 65/9 65/14 66/5 66/10 paragraph 2.2 [2] pasturisation [1] operation [2] 11/23 126/10 135/20 156/23 76/18 77/14 80/16 67/20 68/3 70/9 72/15 39/16 39/20 129/2 18/13 83/17 88/25 90/3 82/5 91/17 92/2 94/6 patent [5] 42/24 157/20 158/4 paragraph 2.20 [2] opportunity [2] 46/14 order' [1] 32/15 95/24 97/25 98/9 95/23 97/1 100/13 105/11 105/12 114/21 33/9 33/25 53/22 orders [4] 27/3 29/15 100/17 105/23 111/1 100/14 104/2 104/2 Paragraph 2.6 [1] 114/25 opposed [2] 58/6 32/16 157/6 121/14 124/4 126/9 112/4 112/25 117/4 43/4 patents [1] 105/14 101/7 Organisation [1] 159/19 163/14 120/25 122/5 133/7 paragraph 2.7 [1] pathological [1] 67/1 optimistic [1] 165/1 92/14 outcome [1] 10/10 133/12 134/4 141/7 30/25 patient [35] 16/17 option [2] 100/1 152/3 159/7 159/20 organisational [1] 16/19 17/1 17/10 outer [1] 63/6 Paragraph 3.1 [1] 156/11 outline [2] 11/2 49/13 47/12 page 1 [1] 61/2 43/15 19/18 21/5 21/9 21/23 or [139] 3/1 4/1 4/19 paragraph 3.4 [1] organisations [2] 26/23 27/19 27/20 outside [1] 5/9 page 10 [2] 38/21 5/14 5/17 5/18 6/6 9/5 49/11 52/20 27/20 29/13 60/15 oven [1] 57/24 46/10 9/17 10/2 10/9 10/11 organised [1] 5/25 over [25] 19/11 27/2 page 11 [4] 39/18 Paragraph 3.52 [1] 62/10 88/18 90/17 10/16 12/23 12/23 origin [3] 80/18 95/18 29/15 40/11 41/11 40/13 65/2 97/1 37/9 118/4 125/3 136/4 12/24 13/2 13/12 14/1 42/4 45/17 45/19 102/18 Page 12 [1] 65/9 137/5 137/9 137/12 paragraph 3.53 [1] 15/11 15/15 15/17 original [5] 57/11 48/17 52/4 57/8 63/12 page 13 [3] 43/8 37/4 138/20 139/5 141/3 15/24 16/13 17/18 81/12 88/24 96/19 64/17 68/3 78/20 65/14 72/15 paragraph 7.1 [1] 153/10 155/18 155/25 19/10 19/24 20/2 98/19 78/21 88/11 118/15 page 2 [3] 100/13 159/7 156/7 156/13 159/2 20/17 20/25 22/8 originally [2] 55/9 127/20 128/7 138/14 100/14 134/4 paragraph below [1] 162/14 166/13 166/16 22/13 22/13 22/16 81/8 139/15 153/14 162/2 page 25 [1] 66/10 113/19 patients [21] 17/6 22/17 22/19 23/3 23/5 other [43] 8/11 28/24 162/5 page 29 [1] 67/20 paragraph concerns 21/9 57/3 62/7 64/10 23/6 23/15 23/19 29/6 32/19 33/7 37/22 over-formalised [1] page 3 [3] 120/25 [1] 139/11 83/6 92/20 92/25 23/20 23/22 24/1 39/9 46/1 48/4 49/10 45/17 133/7 152/3 paragraph informs [1] 93/12 93/17 113/17 25/24 26/2 26/5 26/6 53/19 53/19 55/23 over-zealous [1] page 30 [1] 70/9 138/5 121/12 132/9 133/9 27/12 27/23 28/24 69/5 75/22 75/24 133/10 133/15 134/10 45/19 page 35 [1] 2/16 paragraph is [1] 29/1 29/4 29/8 32/12 page 39 [1] 59/14 149/8 150/21 152/13 77/21 77/23 81/10 overall [3] 42/2 44/3 140/6 32/25 33/5 34/7 37/22 paragraphs [3] 23/22 83/10 91/13 101/9 58/21 page 4 [1] 59/18 154/18 38/7 43/10 44/20 101/14 102/7 104/14 overlap [1] 49/15 page 44 [1] 37/4 69/24 155/11 patients' [1] 42/18 46/19 51/4 51/5 52/9 105/6 109/4 117/6 overload [2] 39/4 page 5 [1] 133/12 parallel [3] 6/1 158/9 pattern [2] 1/8 146/2 52/9 57/12 57/19 129/3 138/10 139/20 44/17 page 54 [1] 40/10 158/14 paucity [2] 110/10 57/23 57/24 58/11 parent [4] 51/3 51/6 139/22 140/3 145/20 page 6 [3] 61/11 64/5 overseas [1] 52/18 112/8 58/12 58/22 60/2 pause [9] 7/21 41/6 149/2 154/12 155/21 overview [7] 1/11 91/17 51/11 55/8 60/12 63/9 67/1 67/16 156/5 156/11 159/19 1/18 2/2 2/13 16/7 page 7 [1] 47/8 Parliament [1] 18/12 43/19 76/19 104/13 70/4 74/25 75/25 77/6 160/14 162/4 163/20 48/2 141/9 page 8 [1] 31/1 part [17] 10/25 14/4 107/18 115/12 148/11 77/19 80/14 85/3 others [12] 26/19 46/7 own [3] 26/19 43/21 page 80 [2] 117/4 31/25 39/21 52/1 155/20 85/15 85/17 85/17 46/21 49/20 49/21 pausing [1] 137/4 53/23 141/7 52/10 55/1 65/6 66/12 86/15 86/21 87/5 53/18 54/6 55/14 67/21 94/18 97/5 owning [1] 54/19 page of [1] 38/18 payable [1] 89/13 87/24 88/15 88/17 81/21 85/18 103/24 Oxford [2] 62/15 page this [1] 66/5 99/19 102/14 125/25 pence [1] 162/16 90/8 90/16 91/12 95/7 133/6 153/2 157/13 pending [2] 69/2 149/4 page to [1] 64/15 96/4 97/15 97/17 98/2 otherwise [3] 5/18 OXUH0000212 [3] pages [4] 11/7 47/7 partially [1] 32/25 72/18 98/2 98/10 98/11 Penrose [1] 146/18 52/9 131/20 133/3 133/4 152/1 64/18 149/6 Participant [1] 103/14 98/15 99/19 99/25 Otto [1] 56/5 pages 6 [1] 47/7 Participants [2] 52/13 people [10] 38/8 101/9 101/21 104/18 ought [1] 156/9 panic [1] 111/20 43/12 49/11 51/24 53/22 109/23 111/24 115/24 PA [1] 136/16 our [41] 2/10 8/22 papers [12] 15/3 28/8 particular [27] 1/12 59/6 120/21 123/19 116/11 116/18 116/19 10/12 15/7 19/7 21/5 PA nos [1] 136/16 36/24 38/4 38/5 38/6 5/20 8/10 10/13 12/11 128/20 130/7 145/24 119/5 121/15 130/3 per [10] 18/16 42/2 pace [2] 50/7 129/25 21/7 27/17 31/10 38/7 51/11 72/16 16/16 17/1 42/9 48/24 131/7 132/5 134/12 pack [4] 86/2 91/18 78/10 148/14 160/10 43/21 44/1 46/12 50/14 51/1 51/1 51/17 42/23 77/14 77/17 145/2 145/23 151/15 53/10 53/11 53/18 91/22 117/7 paragraph [24] 20/19 86/11 87/14 94/2 77/22 77/25 96/7 156/9 156/14 156/16 53/21 67/12 85/13 packaging [7] 16/4 20/23 23/22 24/23 95/12 97/7 97/23 98/7 113/3 162/16 159/18 160/13 160/19 89/8 105/16 106/1 51/13 84/2 84/3 86/3 99/3 99/24 110/10 30/10 30/25 31/12 perceived [1] 43/18 160/20 161/1 161/2 106/15 112/11 112/12 119/6 136/11 33/9 33/11 33/25 37/4 130/24 130/25 133/6 perfectly [1] 137/11 163/18 164/3 164/7 packs [3] 75/9 80/21 112/15 112/19 113/24 37/9 39/16 39/20 154/1 perform [1] 3/16 oral [1] 51/22 102/21 125/25 136/12 138/14 40/15 43/4 43/15 particularly [10] 2/6 performed [2] 3/19 order [38] 6/11 16/25 page [61] 2/16 19/13 138/15 138/17 139/18 46/10 113/19 138/5 36/20 46/15 49/8 159/22 17/12 18/2 18/6 19/2 30/9 31/1 31/3 31/13 152/9 152/13 152/14 139/11 139/11 140/6 95/13 96/22 106/9 perhaps [8] 1/16 19/16 19/21 22/15 161/25 162/14 162/17 33/12 37/4 38/18 159/7 109/16 110/8 136/8 42/23 48/17 56/19 23/12 23/18 24/8 24/8 38/21 39/18 40/10 163/15 166/3 paragraph 0.2 [1] particulars [2] 63/5 70/9 88/20 146/11 24/23 25/1 25/2 25/13 ours [1] 125/14 40/13 41/12 43/8 43/8 63/14 30/10 147/2 25/16 26/16 27/5 out [33] 6/17 10/21 46/6 47/8 59/14 59/18 | paragraph 1 [2] 20/19 | party [2] 99/7 157/23 period [19] 3/19 3/23

(59) operated... - period

Р period... [17] 23/15 24/14 28/17 28/20 42/4 42/14 75/6 87/22 87/25 88/16 135/14 147/23 156/15 156/16 160/21 166/8 166/11 periods [2] 54/23 131/5 Permanent [1] 25/9 permanently [2] 32/18 67/2 permission [2] 133/21 134/17 permit [1] 13/25 **permitted** [2] 3/16 11/17 person [13] 19/21 19/23 20/16 22/1 22/17 23/5 23/16 23/19 24/14 25/23 27/14 27/23 69/17 personnel [1] 47/14 persuaded [1] 128/23 Peter [4] 55/22 89/22 146/17 162/20 PFC [2] 132/17 146/19 pharmaceutical [14] 1/4 1/7 6/2 6/7 35/21 40/4 43/3 45/6 48/8 48/16 63/23 118/9 159/3 168/4 pharmacists [3] 16/21 40/5 94/5 pharmacy [1] 4/15 phase [4] 48/21 57/11 57/17 57/19 phases [3] 57/11 57/25 58/2 Philadelphia [1] 84/16 phone [1] 82/2 phoned [1] 112/7 photograph [1] 140/22 phrase [1] 115/24 phrased [1] 84/21 physical [2] 35/24 64/6 physically [1] 4/9 pick [3] 11/8 50/7 129/25 picked [1] 79/13 picking' [1] 45/20 picture [2] 50/21 51/21 piece [2] 19/7 38/13 pill [1] 12/19 pin [1] 77/18 Pisa [2] 61/9 61/19 **PL [1]** 136/15 place [15] 18/3 32/12

48/17 55/7 59/5 85/16 87/2 106/7 107/4 123/11 123/21 129/5 135/14 159/1 164/21 placed [6] 7/7 52/15 52/16 75/7 75/21 99/25 plainly [1] 73/11 plasma [51] 49/9 66/20 66/22 68/16 80/4 80/5 80/8 80/9 80/13 80/14 80/15 80/18 81/1 81/4 82/5 82/11 82/16 84/8 84/12 84/15 85/10 85/12 85/23 87/2 87/17 87/17 88/17 89/7 90/12 91/19 91/23 95/15 95/17 95/17 95/18 96/4 96/6 96/8 100/19 100/21 101/11 102/11 102/18 102/25 121/3 133/21 133/23 134/12 134/17 164/3 164/18 plasma-based [2] 80/13 80/14 plasmapheresis [5] 68/16 80/5 80/7 84/9 84/13 play [1] 31/25 please [82] 2/15 18/8 19/13 29/25 30/9 33/11 37/4 38/17 38/21 39/16 41/12 43/7 47/8 47/9 59/17 59/19 61/8 61/11 61/21 62/19 63/12 63/14 63/18 63/25 64/5 64/21 65/2 65/9 65/14 66/3 66/10 67/20 74/17 76/9 79/19 82/5 89/8 89/16 91/15 91/17 92/2 92/11 92/22 93/8 94/3 94/25 95/23 96/25 97/19 100/6 100/13 102/9 102/12 103/19 104/1 108/8 111/9 117/5 120/19 120/25 121/19 124/20 131/6 133/7 133/12 133/24 134/4 136/5 140/18 141/6 142/17 146/20 152/1 152/3 152/5 155/7 157/16 159/5 159/7 159/8 159/20 161/14 pleased [2] 11/5 11/6 **plus [1]** 52/23 pm [5] 96/11 96/13

130/9 130/11 167/6

point [36] 13/8 19/19 20/10 24/22 38/12 41/6 43/4 51/1 68/3 77/18 77/21 79/12 82/3 90/6 90/13 90/18 90/20 91/6 93/23 95/21 95/24 97/20 98/24 101/14 102/7 115/8 122/4 124/10 131/17 144/21 146/11 147/24 148/20 157/18 165/21 166/10 pointed [2] 100/17 124/4 points [11] 2/14 8/7 11/8 56/15 56/19 58/17 91/1 94/5 98/22 118/10 122/2 policy [1] 162/1 pool [8] 68/14 68/17 70/13 70/22 70/24 71/2 71/7 71/13 pooled [3] 66/20 95/15 95/17 poor [2] 45/25 112/15 position [7] 2/7 16/11 17/7 27/7 106/1 114/1 149/20 positions [1] 30/11 positive [3] 28/22 67/1 70/16 positivity [1] 90/1 **possibility** [2] 26/14 137/13 possible [10] 52/21 58/19 78/2 80/17 94/20 102/13 102/17 111/22 119/14 144/3 possibly [3] 118/5 125/3 148/3 post [1] 87/23 potency [5] 6/15 33/4 33/8 34/4 36/1 potential [2] 26/6 143/12 potentially [2] 32/18 115/1 pounds [1] 43/1 power [2] 15/11 15/13 powers [6] 16/3 16/4 18/25 29/10 45/13 52/19 pp [1] 69/24 practical [1] 116/17 practically [1] 132/6 practice [11] 3/1 3/16 4/1 4/15 8/12 9/11 19/4 29/19 35/16 38/11 159/14 pre [4] 1/24 53/25

144/17 156/21

pre-dates [1] 156/21

pre-empt [3] 1/24 53/25 144/17 precautions [5] 67/3 68/5 121/2 130/19 154/23 preceding [1] 23/21 precisely [4] 21/7 22/11 47/21 148/1 preclude [1] 156/5 precluded [1] 164/2 predecessor [1] 69/7 predicted [1] 139/15 predominantly [1] 57/1 preface [1] 58/4 preference [2] 80/19 102/19 premature [1] 44/20 premises [3] 11/23 14/1 72/24 premotion [1] 45/14 preparation [5] 69/22 70/14 71/20 100/19 122/1 preparations [1] 34/22 prepared [10] 43/14 66/20 68/11 90/8 119/7 135/6 153/9 153/17 156/17 159/9 preparing [3] 33/22 124/6 134/23 prescribed [1] 14/3 presence [3] 67/18 79/2 120/14 present [15] 1/11 15/9 20/9 24/4 30/4 95/15 41/21 46/23 54/16 80/4 80/24 81/20 90/8 95/24 100/10 103/23 121/7 138/15 157/24 presentation [13] 1/3 1/23 16/23 48/1 49/1 50/9 50/9 50/15 54/13 55/13 56/23 166/21 168/4 presentations [16] 1/6 1/19 13/18 25/19 48/15 48/21 49/4 50/20 51/23 52/10 52/14 53/17 54/1 54/7 54/24 95/12 presented [11] 35/19 37/7 37/23 54/5 57/9 57/10 69/21 107/21 158/3 164/17 165/17 pressing [1] 111/4 pressure [6] 104/19 104/20 104/22 105/5 162/9 162/11 Preston [1] 157/23

118/4 125/2 136/4

141/3 presumed [1] 165/18 pretty [2] 67/17 165/3 prevented [1] 155/17 preventing [1] 69/22 previous [10] 19/16 21/24 25/2 85/9 116/11 134/15 140/5 140/8 140/9 156/23 previously [5] 20/4 47/24 55/23 149/7 166/16 price [19] 12/10 12/11 12/13 14/6 91/16 91/18 92/4 92/5 92/6 99/2 99/4 99/11 100/2 101/3 106/2 113/8 114/4 140/1 162/15 priced [4] 80/20 82/21 5/23 6/17 6/21 7/19 101/5 102/20 prices [8] 80/18 92/2 100/18 101/3 102/18 113/10 139/19 139/20 pricing [2] 77/24 113/1 primacy [1] 98/22 primarily [2] 149/25 150/8 primary [2] 21/25 35/7 principal [7] 6/6 40/6 44/14 45/23 46/6 55/7 145/21 **principle** [1] 2/25 principles [1] 4/6 prior [8] 19/25 20/6 147/18 148/7 priority [1] 125/10 probably [5] 34/20 40/2 132/18 136/20 144/15 problem [2] 40/14 41/22 problems [4] 42/8 43/17 44/5 139/20 procedure [3] 26/4 28/4 28/22 procedures [6] 15/12 15/13 45/17 47/14 104/5 122/13 process [36] 11/1 15/10 29/16 29/19 30/8 32/1 32/5 32/8 38/3 45/10 49/24 49/25 51/13 51/20 53/14 68/22 91/2 106/18 108/6 116/16 118/13 118/22 121/4 123/20 129/25 132/3 135/23 138/17 154/4 presumably [5] 60/14 156/14 157/12 160/12 160/15 164/17 165/18

166/18 processed [2] 87/24 processes [2] 29/21 158/13 processing [1] 95/16 produce [5] 49/9 87/7 124/14 161/13 162/3 produced [8] 32/23 41/21 70/7 80/18 81/4 102/18 109/14 148/5 producing [2] 59/9 109/1 product [267] production [5] 36/13 56/6 66/22 81/19 106/17 products [139] 5/2 8/8 11/18 11/21 15/21 15/23 16/7 17/10 17/18 25/25 26/2 26/5 26/7 30/19 31/6 31/11 31/20 31/24 32/3 32/11 32/17 32/19 33/2 33/4 33/12 33/14 33/19 33/21 33/22 34/2 34/5 34/19 34/23 35/13 35/21 35/22 35/23 35/24 36/3 47/5 47/6 48/23 49/6 49/6 49/8 49/9 50/13 55/3 55/10 56/21 57/7 57/8 57/22 58/3 58/22 58/22 58/23 59/1 59/24 62/1 62/22 64/1 65/19 71/18 73/13 75/8 75/23 77/1 78/11 78/24 79/10 87/2 92/24 93/14 95/2 95/16 97/8 97/10 97/12 97/13 97/16 97/17 97/23 98/1 98/6 98/9 100/5 101/5 104/3 105/2 105/6 105/17 105/19 105/22 108/1 108/12 110/23 113/22 115/9 117/9 117/10 117/24 118/1 122/23 122/24 123/1 125/9 125/18 128/25 130/13 131/13 132/15 135/12 135/19 135/20 136/19 136/22 137/8 140/24 141/2 141/9 141/10 143/25 144/13 145/13 146/24 147/3 147/17 148/1 160/13 160/14 163/16 166/15 166/19 166/23 professional [8] 6/4 6/5 36/17 41/16 44/23

(60) period... - professional

Р 130/1 130/12 130/14 130/24 131/10 132/7 professional... [3] 132/24 133/8 133/11 45/21 46/2 160/3 133/18 133/22 134/6 professional/administ 134/12 134/24 135/15 rative [1] 6/4 136/2 136/12 136/18 professionals [1] 138/14 138/18 139/3 4/14 139/4 139/25 140/11 professor [7] 100/10 140/22 141/2 141/11 100/22 101/17 105/21 141/14 141/20 141/23 149/4 149/24 152/10 142/3 142/6 143/5 Professor Bloom [1] 146/2 146/9 148/15 105/21 150/2 155/22 158/21 Professor Ingram [2] 163/2 163/6 165/4 100/22 101/17 protocols [2] 32/4 Professor Mannucci 32/21 [2] 149/4 149/24 prove [2] 70/4 147/24 **Profilate [2]** 94/1 proven [1] 82/16 125/13 provide [9] 2/12 20/8 profiles [1] 120/4 29/20 38/10 75/14 programme [2] 67/3 80/12 115/5 123/23 83/19 151/17 progress [1] 44/11 provided [34] 2/2 9/13 progresses [1] 58/23 10/22 12/8 12/15 progressive [1] 40/20 17/19 27/25 32/22 prohibited [1] 16/12 37/25 56/21 63/4 69/3 prohibition [3] 14/5 84/1 98/4 108/7 16/14 138/23 108/23 109/20 110/9 prohibitions [1] 110/11 111/7 112/23 161/16 125/2 126/3 132/23 promised [1] 105/19 134/21 136/24 141/18 pronunciation [1] 141/23 143/10 154/3 56/8 164/13 164/15 166/7 **proof [2]** 111/23 166/11 135/17 provides [5] 11/11 properly [3] 101/16 24/15 66/16 125/24 101/16 102/5 141/8 proposal [2] 72/4 providing [7] 28/15 106/21 101/5 112/9 122/15 proposals [1] 89/24 124/23 134/2 154/9 proposed [15] 27/24 provision [11] 15/19 75/25 80/2 80/6 80/25 17/2 21/2 22/1 22/10 84/1 84/8 97/12 97/15 22/14 22/15 22/22 97/16 98/8 102/24 23/8 24/13 73/1 107/12 119/23 121/15 provisional [4] 12/10 proposes [1] 23/19 13/20 99/3 151/3 proposing [5] 23/16 provisions [12] 11/4 26/15 83/25 89/3 14/20 16/21 18/3 114/15 23/17 27/7 29/17 Proprietary [1] 7/18 46/20 72/8 72/14 97/4 prospects [1] 165/1 97/16 protect [2] 113/8 PRSE0000177 [1] 126/10 18/8 protecting [1] 44/9 PRSE0002530 [3] protection [2] 105/11 114/13 137/3 138/3 114/25 PRSE302445 [1] protein [3] 34/24 147/13 132/23 135/22 public [5] 30/14 42/17 Prothromplex [51] 44/10 99/9 103/11 56/24 57/12 106/4 publication [4] 82/12 112/7 112/18 116/25 82/13 82/14 82/18 123/10 126/18 129/19 published [1] 38/19

purely [1] 79/16 purity [3] 6/15 33/8 purpose [7] 97/23 98/3 98/7 98/11 98/12 102/1 130/25 purposes [12] 7/14 8/22 15/24 15/25 16/15 16/22 30/5 31/10 31/16 73/17 95/25 97/11 pursuit [1] 45/19 put [8] 18/2 99/20 101/10 107/6 110/24 159/5 161/8 167/1 putting [1] 124/15 puzzled [1] 116/1 PW [1] 38/19 PW Cunliffe [1] 38/19 qualification [1] 11/24 quality [33] 4/24 5/6 5/8 6/12 6/15 7/23 26/8 32/10 32/23 96/15 97/13 97/18 164/11 quantify [1] 41/17 quantity [6] 20/12 20/21 20/24 21/22 22/25 23/6 144/10 146/23

11/13 13/11 22/9 24/2 39/12 45/25 65/3 86/7 110/9 111/6 118/21 121/24 122/13 127/18 143/10 151/8 151/11 153/25 155/5 155/14 quantities [4] 140/24 147/22 158/16 158/23 question [12] 7/5 11/9 37/17 51/15 54/18 26/2 37/10 37/14 62/8 96/20 98/1 98/9 144/7 questioned [1] 149/16 150/5 questionnaire [1] 134/3 questions [5] 5/5 5/8 35/8 94/19 94/23 quicker [1] 145/5 quickly [3] 24/20 111/22 140/1 quite [7] 40/23 41/22 49/23 77/11 129/5 135/7 153/20 quotation [2] 34/16 68/21 quote [21] 11/19 14/15 15/20 16/25 receive [3] 85/3 99/7 17/19 22/15 23/13 140/12 68/4 68/20 68/25 received [6] 3/3 20/5 84/12 85/11 85/21 114/21 118/23 120/18 137/16

127/25 130/18 135/16 recent [2] 4/14 106/15 152/19 158/16 quotes [1] 127/21 R radioimmunoassay 41/21 [1] 79/3 raise [3] 55/24 77/21 103/14 raised [6] 46/15 90/20 118/9 118/10 122/3 145/23 ran [1] 15/1 range [1] 31/6 rapidly [1] 126/5 rarely [1] 67/24 rates [1] 64/22 rather [11] 15/5 27/16 | 64/8 64/17 69/1 72/7 28/22 46/12 57/24 67/13 109/16 124/14 137/6 143/1 145/16 ratio [2] 127/22 143/13 rationale [1] 164/14 re [2] 45/13 113/5 11/25 re-entered [1] 113/5 reaction [2] 47/17 68/2 153/13 reactions [3] 28/24 29/5 68/1 read [10] 2/12 31/17 37/24 38/5 38/6 38/7 93/6 96/3 38/23 66/16 82/14 128/20 reading [3] 41/23 44/2 110/7 113/7 121/9 159/15 159/18 realise [1] 126/17 really [2] 29/24 144/7 reason [14] 25/23 128/7 58/16 80/15 90/14 99/12 101/4 102/14 103/2 144/10 144/20 reasonable [4] 23/23 67/15 110/6 156/7 reasonably [4] 22/5 28/25 29/7 156/9 reasons [13] 50/23 52/3 53/8 102/12 103/3 114/21 127/19 129/7 132/12 135/16 135/24 143/11 164/13 recall [4] 37/21 72/25 112/5 114/23 receipt [4] 17/15 42/10 112/5 118/10

41/25 47/1 112/16

165/14 recognise [1] 120/22 references [20] 59/3 recognised [1] 147/4 59/6 74/5 74/9 76/2 79/4 94/6 94/10 108/4 recognition [1] 7/16 recombinant [1] 123/19 124/8 126/7 126/12 129/16 137/7 146/9 149/10 149/14 recommend [7] 10/15 39/9 118/20 121/25 158/5 163/23 151/5 153/24 155/14 referred [12] 20/19 recommendation [3] 20/23 27/13 28/8 9/6 72/17 121/21 35/14 54/7 58/3 58/10 recommendations 81/17 127/2 129/1 [12] 36/23 37/1 37/23 163/13 38/1 43/11 44/1 47/11 referring [5] 19/14 47/13 47/16 47/19 59/8 81/23 82/1 112/6 64/16 64/22 refers [5] 19/15 57/15 recommended [6] 117/7 149/25 157/18 reflected [1] 78/9 72/14 165/13 reflecting [1] 50/17 reconstituted [1] 64/3 reflection [2] 114/5 record [4] 44/3 44/9 116/18 59/6 100/14 reflects [1] 83/3 recorded [1] 37/17 reform [1] 8/15 refusals [1] 44/24 recordkeeping [1] refuse [5] 5/17 12/9 records [5] 17/23 13/10 13/17 99/1 22/22 22/24 23/9 refused [1] 135/15 regard [1] 147/3 recruiting [1] 44/22 regarded [4] 15/22 recurrent [1] 135/18 15/25 22/7 23/25 red [4] 80/24 91/18 regarding [5] 36/20 68/22 69/20 71/18 redacted [1] 103/3 122/6 regime [10] 1/11 1/21 reduce [5] 107/11 2/2 2/18 2/20 5/22 27/1 28/5 86/10 reduced [1] 120/7 145/19 reducing [1] 121/5 regimens [1] 110/7 reduction [2] 78/4 Regional [3] 74/21 125/7 125/16 refer [8] 8/2 8/20 register [1] 85/3 16/23 56/15 56/20 registered [1] 79/9 73/4 77/17 109/18 registration [2] 56/11 reference [58] 8/10 82/6 18/17 34/1 39/6 53/13 regularly [1] 35/9 58/6 59/13 65/10 regulate [1] 12/13 65/23 70/20 72/19 regulation [1] 6/21 regulations [1] 16/3 79/8 84/2 84/10 84/17 85/6 85/14 85/24 reinventing [1] 2/11 88/19 90/22 100/9 reject [1] 9/17 104/18 105/18 114/13 rejected [6] 119/2 114/22 115/7 118/7 120/5 122/19 122/21 118/16 119/1 119/9 135/9 155/22 119/11 120/11 123/4 rejection [3] 119/9 123/5 123/15 123/17 123/16 155/10 related [6] 32/24 126/14 127/1 127/18 127/24 134/16 135/3 33/24 71/2 71/8 110/2 135/9 140/3 143/2 153/25 143/15 149/3 149/23 relates [4] 15/22 150/16 151/19 152/24 37/19 97/9 97/24 relating [7] 4/6 4/17 153/1 158/21 161/4 33/8 35/8 108/23 163/4 163/25 164/12

(61) professional... - relating

<u></u>			Y		
	reply [1] 89/12	108/24	40/14	127/15 127/18 131/11	say: we're [1] 13/4
relating [2] 121/24	report [31] 3/22 8/1	respects [2] 68/21	risk [34] 26/7 36/6	143/7 143/10 149/22	saying [13] 2/1 10/16
127/18	8/2 34/13 34/17 38/15	69/25	49/7 50/12 67/4 68/6	151/2 151/7 153/7	21/13 70/23 84/11
relation [15] 3/17 5/20	38/18 38/22 40/10 40/14 40/19 41/13	responders [1] 152/15	70/17 70/19 70/24 71/3 71/7 71/8 71/10	155/2 155/13 155/22 161/5 164/6 164/11	84/24 126/15 140/20 145/24 148/19 151/9
38/1 43/17 71/7 98/7	41/23 43/10 43/14	responding [2]	82/12 82/17 82/21	safety' [1] 155/17	151/20 163/14
98/12 109/3 110/8	43/20 47/4 47/20	146/22 148/25	87/11 95/13 95/13	said [35] 2/4 12/4	says [37] 2/18 31/18
110/11 110/15 131/8	63/10 63/17 65/5	response [9] 17/21	100/21 100/25 107/11	23/18 24/17 27/11	34/3 34/17 35/6 39/20
154/2 159/12 163/9	68/11 68/12 68/14	22/20 30/24 50/10	111/5 113/14 121/10	33/13 45/2 45/3 48/3	40/19 41/13 62/2 65/4
relatively [1] 87/25	69/1 69/10 79/13	50/12 95/13 111/5	127/22 130/20 131/19	50/21 55/16 66/11	66/19 67/22 69/19
relativity [2] 52/14 59/7	144/24 150/19 159/3	125/17 134/3	131/21 135/21 143/13	71/13 74/2 78/18	71/16 75/3 75/13
relayed [1] 32/25	160/1	responsibility [3] 3/7	159/18 159/23 166/22	84/23 100/23 101/17	80/16 82/7 89/21 92/5
relay [4] 122/18	report's [2] 46/10 47/1	6/3 125/21	risk-benefit [1] 36/6	107/10 119/22 121/1	93/21 96/22 97/3
release [4] 31/25	reported [5] 6/5 6/8		risk/benefit [3] 127/22		106/12 108/18 111/14
32/11 32/13 32/24	101/16 102/5 131/22 reporting [1] 5/11	33/21 rest [1] 166/11	131/21 143/13 riskier [1] 101/11	125/4 127/25 128/24 132/5 134/14 141/22	113/19 131/10 136/9 136/14 139/14 152/7
relevance [4] 13/1/	reports [3] 65/7	restricted [3] 71/21	RLIT0000691 [1] 97/1	149/21 153/11 154/16	152/11 152/18 155/11
10/10/3//0/103/22	123/14 151/21	72/9 74/12	Robert [2] 55/25	159/10 164/1	159/17 160/3
relevant [7] 2/25 5/14	representation [2]	restriction [2] 17/17	106/11	sale [8] 20/2 23/3 23/6	
10/22 11/10 13/12 27/7 127/6	17/18 22/18	17/23	Robert Nicholson [2]	65/15 71/21 94/5	161/15
reliant [1] 51/11	representations [4]	restrictions [4] 17/9	55/25 106/11	156/6 161/21	scale [1] 129/6
relied [1] 52/20	138/24 154/20 157/10	17/13 18/4 29/14	role [3] 6/14 35/7 56/1	sales [9] 59/15 59/20	schedules [1] 152/13
relies [1] 63/1	161/17	result [3] 85/4 135/22	roles [1] 35/5	76/17 76/19 76/20	scheme [2] 28/8
reluctance [1] 46/22	representatives [4]	153/5	Rosemary [2] 62/12	77/10 95/19 96/3	28/19
reluctant [1] 128/19	123/7 123/22 123/22 151/13	results [3] 32/5 35/1 114/19	62/15 roughly [1] 78/7	134/6 same [37] 7/24 13/5	Schwarz [8] 56/5 81/16 81/21 81/24
remain [1] 70/16	reputation [3] 44/4	retail [1] 94/5	route [1] 64/16	26/7 31/3 42/4 50/3	103/23 104/4 111/13
remained [4] 4/10	112/15 112/19	retained [1] 117/23	row [1] 157/19	55/1 62/16 67/17	125/4
32/17 112/1 151/24	request [4] 17/25	retrospect [2] 29/4	Royal [9] 61/13 61/14	67/21 73/3 73/10 79/8	scientific [7] 7/19
remains [1] 142/9	37/11 92/3 118/11	29/6	92/15 92/16 92/25	85/13 88/8 88/9 90/23	31/7 31/8 33/17 36/15
remark [1] 122/8 remarks [1] 165/16	requested [4] 4/20	retrospective [2]	93/19 93/25 113/15	91/20 91/23 91/25	63/8 79/17
remember [2] 44/2	84/7 89/10 118/21	142/8 158/2	150/22	94/10 96/14 99/10	scientists [2] 149/15
150/5	require [4] 21/15	retrospectively [1]	rule [2] 14/11 37/11	104/14 108/15 112/3	149/16
remembered [1]	32/11 115/22 143/23	91/5	Rule 9 [1] 37/11	124/9 130/22 131/25 132/2 133/14 133/25	scope [2] 7/6 46/8
42/20	required [12] 4/12 4/16 5/13 28/23 32/3	return [1] 94/15 returns [3] 92/13	ruled [2] 121/14 159/19	135/14 141/7 153/17	Scotland [3] 3/14 18/21 25/7
remind [2] 86/5	35/25 46/16 63/20	133/5 152/1	run [7] 14/22 15/4	154/16 160/1	Scottish [3] 109/14
161/16	79/25 89/11 107/14	reverse [1] 46/21	42/21 91/5 105/10	samples [6] 32/6 32/7	132/13 132/19
remit [3] 5/10 10/17 35/3	132/9	review [3] 4/23 4/24	105/23 153/21	34/5 34/11 34/14 84/1	Scottish-produced [1]
removal [1] 165/19	requirement [9] 19/20		S	sanction [1] 16/5	109/14
remove [1] 103/12		reviewed [1] 72/16		sat [1] 30/15	scratch [3] 41/10
removes [1] 113/14	107/19 116/7 116/10	revised [1] 165/2	S-TIM [2] 58/12 58/12	satisfactory [7] 22/9	124/15 145/7
renaming [1] 107/12	116/16	revising [1] 152/12	safe [8] 29/1 29/8 83/6 87/7 147/3	24/2 29/2 29/8 32/20	screen [11] 2/15 47/9
renew [5] 90/22 94/8	requirements [2] 5/2 36/20	revocation [1] 3/8 revoke [3] 5/18 15/11	148/22 151/10 156/9	32/21 109/1 satisfied [1] 23/22	62/19 79/19 81/10 81/11 120/19 141/6
124/1 163/20 165/10	requires [3] 21/18	22/12	safe' [1] 148/5	save [1] 26/1	146/20 155/7 159/5
renewai [12] 3//	41/1 41/10	revoked [2] 15/15	safely [3] 15/23 22/7	saw [4] 65/1 116/18	screened [1] 68/25
14/15 14/23 90/25	requiring [1] 98/5	25/1	23/25	141/8 156/12	scroll [1] 159/8
91/4 91/6 96/16 96/23 98/18 127/11 143/18	research [6] 6/17	RFLT0000363 [1]	safer [8] 13/1 86/14	say [41] 10/8 12/1	scrutiny [2] 32/21
145/25	31/15 33/24 56/8	92/11	86/20 98/12 99/10	14/21 15/7 24/6 24/7	42/15
renewed [9] 14/14	81/17 146/19	RIA [2] 79/4 79/18	101/6 129/3 146/24	24/19 37/19 41/14	second [23] 11/11
15/4 88/4 90/24 127/9	resending [1] 85/8	Richards [1] 53/15	safety [51] 4/24 5/5 5/7 5/8 6/12 7/23 8/23	41/23 43/19 48/18	16/17 17/17 19/13 26/24 35/21 44/11
133/18 142/6 142/22	resent [1] 88/1 residual [1] 70/15	rid [2] 12/20 145/6 right [13] 5/3 9/4 10/5	8/25 11/12 12/25 13/6	49/19 49/20 50/2 53/4 53/6 53/15 54/24 55/5	49/7 51/15 57/14
143/2	resold [1] 52/5	24/12 24/23 60/7	13/11 26/8 29/11	56/18 60/1 82/24 86/2	57/17 58/7 58/12
repeating [1] 50/16	respect [12] 4/21	67/19 76/21 77/11	30/16 30/18 30/21	90/15 95/10 98/18	59/22 68/3 78/11 91/6
repetition [1] 50/5	22/18 23/3 24/4 46/19	81/13 101/15 116/19	31/22 32/10 32/24	101/24 105/8 107/18	93/3 93/4 104/1 126/7
repetitious [1] 56/19 replacement [1] 66/7	49/7 105/11 111/14	137/23	35/4 36/5 39/11 40/2	113/22 128/22 131/23	139/11 164/14
replied [2] 84/11		right-hand [1] 76/21	68/10 86/7 86/13	135/8 138/12 147/16	Second 19 [1] 11/11
05/22	166/18	rigorous [1] 42/16	96/15 97/8 98/6	148/21 150/2 156/17	secondary [2] 21/25
replies [2] 95/10 96/1		rigorously [1] 119/25	101/12 111/2 118/17	164/25 166/17	156/13
'	respectively [2] 18/19	nse [3] 25/21 26/5	118/21 120/9 121/24	say: [1] 13/4	secrecy [2] 45/9

(62) relating... - secrecy

SHPL000036 [1] 94/7 40/13 42/7 46/19 76/18 80/16 SHPL0000066 [2] S selling [11] 16/12 46/22 47/12 51/10 23/20 55/3 100/18 sets [3] 50/3 108/23 115/11 141/7 SHPL0000376 [1] secrecy... [1] 45/11 56/4 56/13 58/5 59/7 113/4 114/3 124/12 163/18 SHPL0000067 [6] 87/21 secretarial [1] 46/1 59/19 59/21 60/23 140/23 144/5 158/15 106/5 127/12 129/16 SHPL0000377 [3] setting [3] 14/5 30/10 Secretariat [1] 35/18 61/5 61/8 61/9 61/12 163/15 165/5 129/17 136/6 160/24 133/19 142/7 142/23 Secretaries [2] 18/19 SHPL0000068 [3] 61/18 61/22 62/21 send [2] 136/20 settled [1] 158/12 SHPL0000665 [3] 74/21 seven [1] 120/10 62/25 63/3 63/13 103/20 127/2 135/4 130/25 131/6 132/1 137/20 Secretary [12] 3/11 63/21 64/1 65/3 65/14 sending [1] 66/6 several [7] 40/22 SHPL0000071 [6] SHPL000068 [1] 3/19 3/24 6/8 8/10 109/25 118/9 127/19 59/14 59/18 66/4 74/6 66/5 66/14 69/13 senior [7] 6/5 36/4 158/13 18/18 25/6 25/7 25/9 70/10 76/25 77/9 40/5 40/6 44/16 45/1 143/10 154/2 164/13 74/6 81/10 SHPL0001094 [1] 25/12 35/15 40/8 79/12 79/21 79/24 84/5 severe [1] 113/23 SHPL0000078 [3] 81/11 Secretary of [1] 8/10 sent [30] 19/25 20/17 SH [3] 66/24 67/2 67/7 158/6 159/4 159/6 80/2 83/1 83/9 85/19 SHPL40174 [1] section [42] 3/5 4/22 shall [8] 13/10 14/16 SHPL0000085 [4] 86/17 88/21 89/24 23/15 37/11 61/22 149/15 5/14 5/20 6/19 8/21 151/9 151/19 152/5 90/12 91/16 91/23 65/21 69/17 75/1 23/18 32/12 97/6 SI [1] 17/12 9/7 9/14 10/24 11/15 92/19 92/24 93/10 76/12 85/3 88/22 97/25 98/4 162/12 155/8 SI1974 [1] 27/5 11/16 11/17 11/22 93/21 94/16 94/25 88/24 89/19 108/8 shape [1] 49/14 SHPL0000086 [2] SI1974/298 [1] 27/5 12/1 12/8 12/15 13/12 108/10 108/14 108/15 96/2 97/3 99/12 99/21 share [2] 126/4 150/23 151/1 side [5] 67/22 67/24 13/14 13/16 13/22 SHPL0000091 [1] 100/10 103/21 107/3 111/1 111/11 116/23 126/11 76/23 81/10 112/2 14/11 14/19 15/18 107/24 112/25 116/21 116/23 117/5 119/18 **shared [1]** 111/8 152/25 signature [2] 83/2 15/20 16/1 16/15 17/2 116/23 117/11 120/23 125/7 125/24 131/7 she [3] 125/18 125/19 SHPL0000093 [2] 103/3 17/3 26/25 27/12 121/21 126/2 131/21 139/7 152/6 161/5 125/21 154/7 154/21 signed [4] 25/4 28/16 27/14 40/1 65/6 66/11 sheet [4] 99/20 99/25 SHPL0000094 [1] 132/7 132/16 132/20 162/20 65/18 103/5 66/18 67/22 96/21 133/1 133/8 133/14 separate [4] 10/20 107/15 154/22 162/24 significant [4] 46/13 96/22 96/24 97/1 97/3 134/6 137/25 141/10 33/15 90/21 91/11 sheets [3] 61/4 61/4 SHPL0000101 [1] 110/14 128/3 152/16 98/24 144/23 145/3 150/23 separately [1] 95/17 117/3 158/5 significantly [3] 82/16 section 13 [1] 17/3 152/3 154/12 160/16 September [18] 1/1 **short [5]** 42/23 48/13 SHPL0000102 [2] 82/19 87/11 Section 19 [8] 11/15 seek [4] 5/19 53/25 94/9 123/17 126/13 87/25 96/20 130/10 161/4 163/25 signs [1] 39/4 11/22 12/1 12/15 similar [7] 7/2 17/2 90/5 165/3 133/19 134/16 141/21 **shortly [5]** 8/5 9/10 SHPL0000104 [5] 13/22 96/24 97/1 97/3 seeking [12] 22/1 142/7 142/20 143/5 15/3 48/8 128/22 154/19 155/6 157/11 28/21 29/2 93/16 Section 20 [2] 13/14 24/14 27/15 52/6 151/14 152/24 154/12 should [26] 9/15 9/15 157/16 160/8 130/18 146/2 98/24 101/9 102/16 105/2 155/6 155/20 156/22 9/25 12/5 12/7 12/18 SHPL0000106 [10] simpler [1] 41/2 Section 22 [1] 12/8 124/18 128/11 138/17 163/6 167/8 12/22 17/24 22/24 126/14 127/24 128/17 simplicity [1] 16/22 section 24 [4] 14/11 140/16 160/15 September 1977 [1] 23/9 46/8 53/15 54/1 142/21 149/15 163/7 **simply [2]** 40/17 14/19 96/21 96/22 seem [3] 29/9 139/22 101/21 64/2 67/12 69/23 70/2 163/23 164/22 164/24 151/14 section 28 [3] 15/18 September 1981 [3] 150/6 71/21 99/24 105/25 165/7 Since [1] 112/15 15/20 16/1 seemed [1] 111/7 133/19 134/16 152/24 122/13 124/16 126/21 SHPL0000109 [2] sincerely [1] 110/20 section 31 [3] 26/25 seemingly [2] 117/8 September 1983 [1] 129/10 154/2 157/14 160/9 166/2 single [4] 12/2 20/24 27/12 27/14 146/23 156/22 shouldn't [1] 140/10 SHPL0000141 [6] 54/19 56/18 Section 4 [10] 3/5 **show [16]** 42/12 43/12 119/10 123/6 123/6 seems [11] 62/9 September 1986 [1] sir [145] 1/6 8/4 8/6 5/20 6/19 8/21 9/14 141/21 62/20 62/20 71/5 123/15 124/21 126/12 9/9 9/10 10/5 11/2 67/15 87/23 103/4 10/24 11/16 13/12 110/6 116/7 129/13 September 1990 [1] 92/12 92/22 94/12 SHPL0000148 [1] 15/7 16/2 16/22 16/24 13/16 40/1 137/6 141/17 158/1 143/5 115/15 115/23 116/2 18/9 19/3 21/2 21/7 129/16 Section 7 [1] 16/15 160/3 September 2021 [1] 116/10 118/3 128/3 SHPL0000168 [2] 22/10 23/11 24/11 Section 9 [1] 17/2 seen [20] 14/4 19/6 1/1 139/2 158/2 135/3 135/4 24/22 25/12 25/14 section committee [1] 42/24 52/23 54/16 series [1] 47/19 showed [1] 114/19 SHPL0000175 [1] 25/18 26/1 26/17 27/6 5/14 71/12 77/22 78/14 29/12 29/17 29/22 showing [1] 39/4 143/3 seroconversion [1] section on [1] 67/22 80/10 87/14 129/22 123/2 shown [5] 111/25 SHPL0000197 [4] 30/2 30/3 30/6 30/7 sections [4] 15/14 130/18 134/15 145/12 Serological [9] 55/10 116/9 121/5 164/6 130/15 130/22 131/24 30/10 30/20 30/23 16/6 26/25 27/17 145/22 148/17 149/10 62/22 65/19 71/18 165/5 132/2 31/1 33/13 34/1 35/6 Sections 28 [1] 15/14 shows [6] 41/24 42/3 SHPL0000209 [1] 152/2 155/23 155/23 73/13 75/8 78/24 37/2 37/3 37/5 37/19 sections 35 [1] 26/25 115/9 117/23 128/21 sees [1] 131/18 79/10 130/13 38/8 38/12 38/14 153/1 sections 85 [1] 16/6 selected [1] 71/23 serum [4] 67/4 67/9 157/22 SHPL0000266 [2] 39/14 40/9 40/15 41/6 secure [1] 6/11 68/7 130/20 SHPL0000010 [1] 165/9 165/25 42/6 43/11 43/19 selection [4] 68/5 securing [1] 97/16 121/3 130/19 154/23 servants [2] 40/3 126/13 SHPL0000268 [1] 46/25 47/7 47/10 48/1 Security [3] 5/25 25/5 SHPL0000048 [6] self [2] 8/17 132/6 75/19 164/20 48/7 48/11 48/15 76/14 service [2] 30/15 self-financing [1] 111/10 135/9 139/10 SHPL0000271 [13] 52/11 54/4 54/10 see [101] 15/2 18/2 8/17 140/14 140/18 158/22 84/2 84/10 84/17 85/6 54/13 57/5 58/16 139/17 18/10 18/11 18/14 SHPL0000050 [4] self-sufficient [1] Services [3] 18/23 85/14 85/24 88/19 58/25 59/3 60/3 61/25 19/10 19/14 19/20 132/6 25/10 111/1 114/22 115/7 115/14 88/21 108/4 108/5 62/8 62/20 67/19 69/7 20/7 21/3 22/11 22/23 sell [8] 22/19 27/23 set [10] 3/4 9/14 160/17 108/5 119/3 133/22 71/9 72/25 74/1 74/5 24/24 25/3 29/13 SHPL0000065 [1] 80/17 102/13 102/17 14/11 15/12 17/7 SHPL0000311 [2] 74/8 74/19 75/4 76/19 30/10 38/18 38/22 124/7 139/24 155/18 26/25 50/20 65/12 118/16 77/11 78/18 79/12 143/6 163/24

(63) secrecy... - sir

status [2] 54/8 117/10 8/8 29/20 50/22 51/16 S Smith [3] 9/10 30/2 129/5 **spiked [1]** 128/7 soon [3] 119/14 split [2] 47/8 81/9 statute [1] 156/5 studied [2] 109/11 37/6 sir... [60] 81/3 84/3 Smith's [1] 61/25 140/12 162/18 splitting [1] 54/21 statutory [5] 17/19 110/1 86/16 86/22 88/13 18/10 19/15 39/25 snapshot [3] 43/12 sooner [1] 70/4 **SPMO** [1] 6/6 studies [2] 63/11 89/12 90/15 90/21 47/2 92/17 sorry [16] 24/8 39/18 spring [1] 38/25 97/2 164/6 91/1 92/7 92/8 94/13 62/14 66/12 66/17 steadily [1] 41/19 study [7] 39/5 149/6 snippets [1] 90/17 St [4] 61/12 61/16 94/22 95/10 95/23 81/18 99/8 104/2 steam [45] 57/19 149/14 149/20 149/20 so [170] 100/9 113/16 96/8 96/14 97/3 98/13 so-called [1] 45/3 109/24 115/12 123/25 St Thomas' [3] 61/12 57/24 58/13 58/22 149/23 149/24 98/18 100/4 101/13 129/14 132/20 133/3 Social [6] 5/25 18/23 100/9 113/16 104/5 104/18 114/17 sub [7] 5/11 5/11 102/7 103/18 104/6 25/5 25/10 76/14 138/8 157/13 stabilisation [1] 66/21 114/20 115/1 115/5 23/22 35/19 35/20 106/25 107/18 110/22 111/1 sort [2] 126/9 163/14 stability [2] 63/10 115/23 117/19 117/19 35/21 36/14 114/5 114/23 115/8 sold [24] 2/23 12/11 117/25 118/6 118/12 sought [7] 13/22 71/19 sub-committee [4] 115/18 116/8 116/13 staff [19] 6/1 6/2 6/2 23/5 52/5 59/11 59/12 51/17 53/12 63/16 118/14 118/23 119/4 5/11 35/19 35/20 120/21 123/19 124/10 59/24 59/25 61/8 85/11 120/2 142/15 6/3 6/5 6/7 6/20 10/23 119/20 124/14 124/25 35/21 129/21 130/6 130/12 Sub-Committee's [1] 61/12 61/18 77/1 82/9 Soumik [75] 2/15 18/8 11/24 33/17 36/15 125/1 126/2 129/4 132/5 134/1 135/10 97/17 99/3 124/5 19/13 29/25 30/9 31/1 36/17 36/18 40/4 129/13 141/20 141/23 36/14 136/23 137/2 137/13 126/22 141/3 142/4 33/11 37/5 38/17 41/18 42/3 44/21 142/11 143/6 145/2 Sub-Committees [1] 138/22 144/2 145/11 142/24 145/9 155/24 45/24 46/2 146/5 146/13 160/13 38/21 39/19 41/12 5/11 146/2 148/11 150/2 158/24 163/22 47/8 59/18 61/2 61/11 staffing [1] 47/13 160/16 161/2 161/7 sub-paragraphs [1] 150/12 155/21 157/17 sole [1] 125/20 61/20 62/19 63/13 stage [8] 38/14 57/14 161/12 162/1 162/2 23/22 158/7 161/16 165/22 solely [1] 90/8 63/18 63/25 64/5 60/4 60/16 83/18 162/5 162/8 162/13 subcommittee [21] 166/17 167/2 solubility [1] 79/7 64/21 65/2 65/9 65/14 91/14 130/3 146/12 162/15 166/1 8/24 8/25 9/2 9/3 Sir Joseph [5] 30/23 stand [3] 73/20 150/7 **solublity [1]** 110/15 66/3 66/13 67/20 Steam 2 [1] 141/20 30/18 30/22 35/5 31/1 35/6 37/5 38/12 68/10 69/4 72/6 72/10 69/12 74/17 76/9 **solution [1]** 129/2 150/11 steam-treated [6] Sir Joseph Joseph [2] solve [1] 41/22 79/19 81/9 82/5 88/20 standard [3] 33/3 124/14 126/2 129/13 118/18 121/18 121/21 33/13 34/1 solvent [4] 63/6 82/3 89/16 91/15 92/2 79/25 138/15 143/6 160/16 161/12 121/23 122/9 122/22 Sir Joseph Smith [2] 147/6 147/17 92/23 93/8 94/3 95/1 standardisation [2] steam-treating [1] 153/9 153/18 165/11 9/10 30/2 96/25 97/19 100/6 165/16 Solvent/detergent [1] 31/15 60/7 145/2 Sir Joseph Smith's [1] 102/10 103/19 108/9 subject [11] 4/5 15/12 147/6 standards [12] 6/10 stem [3] 90/23 94/10 61/25 some [53] 2/14 10/24 111/9 115/11 117/5 6/11 6/12 6/15 10/19 124/9 19/24 20/17 33/5 72/8 Sir William Maycock 11/3 13/17 13/21 15/3 120/19 120/25 121/19 11/20 30/12 33/23 step [4] 107/10 72/14 81/20 97/4 [1] 69/7 16/5 16/21 20/8 25/24 122/5 124/20 131/7 36/1 39/11 108/12 117/22 164/14 166/21 111/15 144/19 **sir:** [1] 72/19 30/7 40/3 41/6 43/13 132/21 133/7 133/12 122/13 **stepping [1]** 157/8 subjected [1] 34/22 sir: the [1] 72/19 stands [4] 44/4 57/4 46/20 48/5 49/14 134/4 136/5 138/9 steps [5] 30/24 120/7 **submission [6]** 26/20 Sirs [1] 89/2 49/19 49/21 49/21 139/10 140/18 141/6 58/4 132/4 121/6 128/1 128/8 68/20 112/11 112/12 sit [1] 10/23 51/9 52/23 52/24 142/17 146/20 152/1 start [1] 145/6 sterility [1] 159/17 124/3 138/25 situation [8] 2/9 80/4 52/25 54/21 56/4 61/4 152/5 155/7 157/16 starting [2] 59/1 still [9] 44/8 90/12 submissions [7] 52/7 90/15 111/20 124/21 63/8 65/12 70/2 77/16 159/5 161/14 124/15 124/23 126/3 126/23 53/23 54/6 112/15 125/23 140/25 163/13 source [9] 80/3 80/8 79/16 79/17 80/10 state [19] 3/11 3/19 136/19 136/24 142/24 119/23 155/1 165/12 **situations** [1] 64/18 3/24 7/5 7/7 7/9 7/18 83/21 99/22 100/22 80/22 82/15 84/8 155/3submit [3] 32/3 six [11] 25/16 59/24 101/2 110/17 111/8 91/19 91/23 102/22 8/11 18/18 18/19 25/4 stipulated [2] 42/14 123/13 134/24 60/1 60/1 60/12 60/13 114/23 115/21 123/23 25/6 25/7 35/15 95/6 submitted [13] 33/4 63/16 68/16 120/7 128/1 129/22 129/25 131/18 sourced [1] 86/20 95/8 104/11 104/12 stock [1] 105/23 34/19 36/9 42/16 153/11 153/14 135/10 138/10 140/12 sources [2] 51/17 104/16 stocks [1] 136/12 105/25 111/19 135/6 six years [3] 25/16 144/20 146/13 146/15 52/11 stated [15] 77/4 90/25 stood [3] 1/12 150/5 135/7 142/19 162/23 153/11 153/14 **space [1]** 43/25 102/14 103/2 105/21 163/8 163/17 165/8 148/18 150/6 size [9] 39/17 40/11 somehow [1] 148/23 speaking [1] 35/15 114/18 119/12 120/6 stop [6] 21/11 32/15 subparagraph [1] 59/24 68/15 68/17 something [20] 3/22 special [1] 54/8 120/18 130/15 132/25 58/17 93/5 140/15 70/13 71/2 71/7 71/13 specific [6] 53/1 70/1 134/7 134/11 141/25 8/4 13/8 13/18 25/18 157/6 subsection [1] 98/4 sized [3] 60/13 61/6 story [4] 78/23 90/19 subsequent [2] 86/4 51/22 67/13 67/17 71/1 99/23 162/1 159/22 64/1 70/19 78/9 88/14 162/7 statement [11] 2/4 2/4 117/23 129/21 149/24 sizes [1] 63/22 91/13 95/11 101/10 specification [1] 2/12 2/18 18/17 30/1 straightaway [1] subsequently [4] 1/25 slight [2] 34/19 106/2 111/4 134/14 134/19 31/18 37/4 99/21 62/1 127/23 146/14 97/14 160/20 slightly [4] 49/2 93/16 145/10 156/8 166/20 specified [7] 12/6 99/24 107/16 strengths [1] 43/22 subsidiary [1] 51/5 98/14 136/15 **statements** [2] 51/23 sometime [2] 126/25 20/3 23/15 23/21 stress [2] 47/2 47/4 substance [3] 41/9 slog [1] 166/20 24/14 113/24 115/6 146/18 70/3 stressed [2] 75/14 41/19 42/23 slovenly [1] 44/20 120/1 **sometimes [6]** 27/13 specify [1] 99/16 states [8] 7/17 20/1 Substance' [1] 40/25 slow [2] 50/6 129/22 28/8 32/17 58/11 specifying [1] 23/4 51/4 80/8 84/14 104/3 strictly [1] 35/15 substances [2] 6/13 slowest [1] 44/9 77/23 132/5 133/20 154/10 struck [1] 110/8 specimens [1] 63/5 small [4] 59/16 70/14 somewhat [1] 58/16 spectrum [1] 128/24 stating [1] 23/17 structure [5] 46/4 substantial [4] 140/23 74/12 147/22 somewhere [5] 53/3 Speywood [2] 49/2 stations [5] 80/5 80/7 49/13 52/4 55/4 58/21 141/2 158/16 158/23 smaller [2] 70/24 71/2 60/11 98/23 114/4 84/9 84/13 84/15 50/10 **structures [6]** 6/1 6/3 succeed [1] 151/16

(64) sir... - succeed

49/22 58/19 70/11 108/21 116/21 130/6 58/10 58/22 59/25 129/7 129/11 137/10 S supports [2] 26/18 91/2 141/16 132/21 136/10 138/9 60/24 61/11 63/8 137/15 137/17 137/21 26/21 successful [3] 10/9 suppose [2] 77/14 talks [1] 31/3 142/17 167/4 63/18 63/25 64/3 64/7 137/22 140/10 140/12 42/22 131/3 64/15 65/14 68/2 142/10 143/8 143/10 145/18 tapered [1] 77/23 thanks [2] 89/23 such [19] 1/16 15/2 supposed [1] 144/14 task [1] 165/5 133/4 75/12 76/23 77/25 144/6 145/24 148/24 17/18 19/25 20/24 sure [5] 11/5 48/3 taxpayer [1] 43/2 83/21 90/19 91/4 148/25 149/22 151/4 that [916] 22/9 23/6 24/2 32/7 that's [69] 7/21 9/4 54/4 58/17 120/21 91/22 100/11 101/2 151/11 151/14 151/15 team [3] 48/16 52/25 32/15 32/19 41/17 surgery [1] 64/20 53/17 10/5 11/15 11/22 12/1 105/8 106/3 106/5 151/17 151/18 151/20 79/18 80/1 97/11 12/8 13/14 14/19 16/1 112/15 113/10 114/17 surgical [2] 5/4 5/6 technical [2] 33/17 151/21 153/23 154/1 97/14 97/24 116/7 surprise [1] 46/12 165/21 16/6 17/2 17/16 24/11 117/17 117/18 124/13 155/16 155/24 156/16 116/10 surprised [2] 143/1 techniques [2] 41/21 27/11 27/14 28/2 125/19 136/14 137/6 156/17 156/17 156/24 sufficient [4] 20/24 138/8 138/10 138/12 145/25 28/16 28/21 29/2 156/25 157/1 157/23 106/8 122/16 132/6 145/3 29/11 30/13 45/1 138/21 141/14 144/5 157/23 157/25 158/17 survey [1] 104/4 technology [1] 47/13 suggest [1] 87/19 teeth [1] 24/3 suspect [6] 62/10 59/23 60/7 61/2 61/3 145/1 159/1 160/14 161/3 161/7 161/8 suggested [1] 74/13 76/6 128/1 132/12 telephone [2] 81/24 61/14 62/16 62/23 therapeutic [1] 44/10 161/11 162/3 163/1 suggesting [2] 26/10 132/18 157/14 106/15 66/15 67/19 68/21 there [169] 164/10 164/13 165/3 26/13 **suspected [1]** 25/25 tell [4] 58/20 92/3 78/13 79/7 84/24 thereafter [1] 157/1 they'd [2] 38/5 38/6 suggestion [1] 155/24 148/14 163/8 therefore [3] 122/24 they're [9] 42/7 67/15 suspend [3] 5/18 86/16 87/20 88/10 suggests [3] 57/23 15/11 22/13 telling [2] 24/5 92/6 95/11 101/15 103/9 125/21 148/4 108/3 124/11 137/12 85/24 121/6 suspended [1] 15/15 temperature [1] 109/3 105/18 105/21 106/10 Thermal [1] 58/4 144/4 146/7 151/22 suitably [1] 44/22 suspension [1] 3/8 temperatures [1] 129/2 130/25 136/16 these [55] 5/2 6/3 8/8 166/1 sum [2] 120/12 137/9 137/13 140/14 suspicion [2] 25/21 105/4 14/20 16/14 27/2 They've [1] 24/20 128/22 122/25 ten [1] 115/22 141/13 144/19 147/13 29/15 30/5 34/10 35/1 thing [2] 13/5 67/17 **summarise [2]** 43/16 switch [2] 34/20 118/6 things [7] 1/24 13/23 150/15 150/16 150/16 35/11 39/21 42/13 tendency [1] 34/6 98/13 Switzerland [1] tenor [1] 126/8 150/18 150/22 151/9 43/23 47/10 47/10 38/11 50/3 69/5 summarises [1] 153/2 154/15 term [4] 82/22 88/4 153/16 154/19 158/12 48/16 48/21 49/4 122/21 159/10 summary [6] 47/11 think [22] 3/21 26/18 **system [5]** 7/6 43/13 118/24 119/19 160/24 162/24 163/7 50/22 51/3 52/10 63/4 63/14 64/24 65/2 29/1 29/7 30/5 30/6 termed [1] 119/8 165/7 165/21 165/25 52/14 53/17 53/24 44/7 44/13 77/24 65/8 their [37] 26/19 36/1 37/8 43/11 50/17 58/4 terminate [1] 29/10 53/25 54/7 54/24 summation [1] 2/9 terminology [2] 41/7 36/6 36/9 36/9 44/6 54/25 56/2 63/18 65/7 60/5 60/9 60/24 77/8 summer [1] 30/5 tab [1] 138/3 47/1 50/13 53/22 66/7 65/12 67/3 78/6 83/23 77/13 80/11 103/11 superior [6] 114/19 tab 102 [1] 138/3 terms [17] 2/8 29/16 66/23 72/6 77/19 83/4 91/10 91/24 93/20 116/13 141/22 144/2 115/16 115/23 115/24 table [10] 40/9 41/24 105/10 110/17 112/6 39/6 40/15 53/13 55/4 88/4 90/1 95/8 96/3 150/11 157/6 116/3 116/11 42/3 42/12 92/19 57/21 69/23 70/4 70/7 100/24 101/11 105/2 113/9 118/11 126/16 thinking [3] 43/12 supplanted [1] 25/3 93/15 96/1 134/8 70/13 107/20 118/15 108/25 109/7 109/10 129/22 133/4 136/19 83/3 115/13 supplemented [1] 134/11 134/18 141/1 141/17 143/25 120/3 130/18 135/13 109/12 110/12 113/13 third [3] 17/23 49/8 tables [1] 42/6 167/1 114/4 116/15 126/15 145/13 146/7 147/5 57/19 supplied [14] 23/6 take [37] 1/16 7/11 test [5] 34/12 67/2 139/19 144/23 146/5 148/12 this [264] 62/9 74/10 90/7 97/17 8/7 8/20 12/7 12/17 they [124] 3/3 8/21 114/19 131/13 131/15 149/3 155/3 157/19 Thomas [11] 33/16 100/16 109/21 117/13 12/22 12/25 13/7 27/6 tested [6] 6/16 34/11 162/1 9/15 9/15 13/23 17/18 61/24 68/12 68/14 117/17 117/20 118/4 28/20 32/12 33/10 34/14 66/23 120/16 them [27] 3/15 4/11 36/6 36/25 39/14 68/19 69/8 69/15 72/3 139/4 166/12 166/16 42/6 42/18 48/9 48/17 164/18 31/10 32/3 44/2 50/12 39/15 42/7 42/14 108/11 108/18 110/21 supplier [5] 23/2 86/14 93/23 94/3 96/9 testing [8] 10/25 33/1 54/12 57/9 59/4 59/5 42/16 43/25 47/12 Thomas' [9] 61/12 28/10 28/14 28/19 97/7 97/20 98/15 33/2 34/4 79/2 79/18 61/3 67/8 85/21 91/12 47/21 52/5 52/5 52/12 61/16 69/1 69/10 28/23 110/22 123/4 124/19 131/15 154/24 100/1 104/8 109/2 52/12 53/18 53/19 72/17 100/9 110/22 suppliers [3] 94/20 125/20 130/3 130/5 tests [8] 32/5 33/7 114/9 115/22 118/10 55/1 56/23 67/7 73/15 111/6 113/16 94/20 95/2 130/5 140/10 141/4 34/5 34/9 34/25 121/23 126/7 129/23 77/19 83/18 84/18 those [85] 8/19 9/20 supply [21] 20/3 142/16 145/20 156/6 120/17 121/12 140/25 144/1 149/1 155/10 84/25 87/10 89/13 10/9 10/10 10/18 22/19 23/3 23/7 27/23 163/4 texts [1] 117/1 163/4 95/7 96/2 96/19 96/21 11/13 11/15 11/21 32/12 59/17 65/15 taken [17] 19/8 19/9 than [23] 15/5 22/23 theme [1] 25/19 98/8 98/21 98/22 99/6 12/4 15/13 15/25 16/4 71/18 72/9 75/2 75/9 36/19 42/9 45/12 27/16 28/22 41/1 45/6 themes [3] 11/12 99/15 100/1 102/15 17/13 18/3 21/22 75/15 75/24 76/13 48/20 55/25 67/13 45/8 46/22 49/19 53/12 53/19 107/12 109/25 110/1 22/24 23/9 26/15 112/10 114/9 137/5 68/5 85/5 91/9 127/8 49/21 57/24 67/13 110/3 110/4 111/23 29/17 33/9 35/5 42/6 themselves [1] 72/20 137/12 138/18 138/19 129/9 130/19 154/23 70/17 71/11 78/8 then [69] 2/13 6/25 111/25 113/1 113/21 43/18 43/20 44/7 **supplying [5]** 16/13 156/25 159/17 113/21 113/22 113/23 46/15 46/18 46/25 80/18 82/19 85/8 9/18 10/14 14/15 23/20 62/5 161/12 taking [9] 10/1 44/21 86/20 102/18 124/15 14/23 18/14 20/10 113/25 114/2 116/14 48/24 49/7 49/15 162/12 47/24 78/23 97/22 145/6 145/20 20/13 20/15 21/9 116/18 117/10 117/24 49/16 50/10 51/8 52/3 support [4] 46/1 106/7 107/3 135/14 thank [22] 26/22 22/22 23/11 24/17 118/19 118/19 118/23 52/7 53/20 54/15 46/16 112/23 113/15 139/19 37/12 37/13 39/19 25/12 28/1 31/12 122/3 123/1 124/7 54/20 56/13 57/7 57/8 supported [1] 72/11 talk [3] 31/13 46/11 48/11 61/20 62/4 33/19 35/19 43/7 124/10 124/12 124/13 57/21 57/22 57/25 supporting [2] 65/11 166/22 124/18 127/16 127/16 67/11 83/20 93/9 95/1 44/11 46/10 46/25 58/2 66/16 67/7 72/4 65/24 talking [7] 19/17 25/2 98/17 100/3 103/10 47/15 48/15 54/21 128/14 128/24 129/5 72/22 74/9 77/18

(65) successful - those

58/7 58/7 58/11 58/11 57/14 92/21 100/5 72/11 150/24 166/5 166/7 to [978] 58/12 58/12 104/9 to officials [1] 8/12 106/14 107/7 108/20 tried [2] 98/13 104/4 UK-based [7] 49/1 those... [33] 84/22 104/12 104/16 104/17 today [6] 1/6 2/1 109/12 110/13 111/21 trouble [1] 139/13 51/10 55/18 56/2 87/13 87/14 90/17 104/21 104/24 105/9 49/23 54/16 108/14 114/1 114/10 114/11 try [11] 12/13 27/6 61/24 62/24 62/24 91/12 92/20 94/2 105/9 105/9 105/13 166/21 114/12 115/5 115/23 56/16 57/16 77/18 ultimately [2] 9/25 94/23 98/22 99/7 105/25 107/12 107/15 today's [2] 31/15 117/11 117/19 117/25 90/5 93/9 135/20 100/10 100/12 101/20 114/11 117/19 119/20 119/25 124/2 124/14 143/21 158/4 165/6 unable [11] 53/1 162/12 104/13 105/1 107/21 135/1 136/2 136/3 together [4] 20/18 124/25 125/2 126/2 trying [4] 19/3 53/5 69/16 118/20 121/24 111/8 112/21 117/10 136/17 136/18 138/18 28/16 54/17 76/17 126/19 127/10 127/11 56/17 114/1 127/17 143/9 151/5 123/18 123/23 126/21 139/4 139/25 141/14 told [7] 73/7 73/10 129/10 129/13 129/14 | turn [27] 13/8 16/18 153/24 155/3 155/13 127/1 128/12 135/20 141/18 141/23 142/11 86/6 86/10 86/13 129/18 135/12 135/19 19/13 26/23 29/18 164/10 141/10 144/15 149/13 136/11 138/7 138/18 143/6 146/13 147/11 115/14 151/14 30/9 30/25 33/25 37/1 unacceptable [4] 150/9 151/12 158/13 147/25 147/25 148/2 139/2 139/16 140/4 39/16 48/15 54/14 69/21 118/25 127/22 tomorrow [3] 70/21 163/13 167/1 141/12 141/13 141/24 58/25 64/5 64/15 148/8 148/13 149/6 73/5 167/5 161/8 though [14] 24/13 149/19 149/25 too [7] 26/4 44/19 142/9 142/11 142/22 64/21 66/10 67/20 unclear [1] 148/2 46/14 64/25 67/25 TIM 2 [6] 105/9 105/25 45/17 50/5 61/25 143/6 143/18 143/25 76/9 92/8 100/13 uncontrolled [1] 78/7 101/6 124/11 107/12 107/15 136/17 142/2 160/16 144/12 144/16 146/5 153/21 103/18 104/1 106/5 126/22 136/1 139/5 under [26] 3/5 3/10 139/25 took [6] 47/23 85/16 147/6 158/8 158/10 130/1 130/12 150/3 142/23 143/23 151/24 TIM 2/TIM 3 [1] 123/11 123/21 158/25 158/17 158/23 159/10 turned [1] 111/22 6/8 6/10 10/20 14/12 163/21 147/25 164/21 160/13 160/16 161/12 turning [4] 1/9 35/3 14/14 16/8 17/10 thought [7] 1/10 TIM 3 [4] 105/9 117/19 top [4] 10/8 18/9 161/21 161/22 162/13 83/21 90/19 25/12 27/7 27/22 39/3 25/22 25/24 116/18 119/20 149/6 69/14 79/21 162/15 166/1 turnover [1] 125/25 39/9 40/8 46/9 52/19 128/14 132/5 159/21 TIM 4 [18] 105/9 135/1 topic [1] 10/14 treating [8] 58/2 two [32] 16/15 21/9 73/24 96/16 104/2 thousands [2] 43/1 136/2 136/3 136/18 total [10] 34/18 76/17 108/25 131/19 135/17 40/6 41/8 46/12 48/17 104/19 104/22 113/1 52/16 138/18 139/4 141/14 76/20 78/20 92/24 135/19 145/2 145/2 50/23 61/7 69/24 157/2 165/10 166/8 three [22] 6/1 11/12 141/18 141/23 142/11 93/3 95/5 120/12 146/16 75/21 80/6 81/21 82/8 Under-Secretary [2] 11/16 21/13 21/14 treatment [63] 20/25 143/6 146/13 147/11 153/14 159/16 91/1 91/11 91/11 25/12 40/8 28/11 31/14 54/20 148/2 148/8 148/13 totally [1] 120/3 91/24 100/18 101/5 underlined [1] 75/17 21/8 34/22 57/15 62/6 56/21 57/7 57/11 64/9 65/17 67/25 underneath [3] 18/14 149/25 totals [2] 132/15 104/15 106/6 109/15 57/25 61/6 72/8 92/12 time [82] 5/2 13/13 132/22 69/20 70/1 74/11 110/2 130/25 131/5 60/24 121/1 92/14 98/22 108/22 14/10 15/4 15/5 20/12 touch [4] 50/22 51/15 74/13 89/4 93/1 93/13 145/23 147/12 149/14 understand [17] 108/25 110/2 120/8 21/20 22/16 27/2 52/3 125/15 93/18 103/18 103/25 155/11 158/13 163/17 15/10 19/4 51/19 145/20 29/16 31/7 34/15 towards [3] 21/12 104/7 104/10 106/18 163/18 51/24 56/10 61/15 three hours [1] 120/8 39/18 42/9 43/13 107/3 107/10 108/17 112/3 160/13 two days [1] 106/6 67/8 70/6 84/21 92/20 three months [2] 44/25 47/3 48/7 48/19 Toxicity [1] 72/11 109/7 110/1 110/7 two hours [1] 109/15 113/7 117/2 124/22 21/13 21/14 51/1 52/4 55/22 56/18 trace [1] 21/22 110/12 114/16 114/17 two weeks [1] 48/17 127/10 131/9 139/21 three years [1] 28/11 type [2] 32/15 38/3 58/23 61/3 63/16 69/6 transaminase [1] 67/1 114/20 114/25 115/2 140/4 three-phases [1] 69/18 73/10 75/2 transcript [2] 74/5 118/7 118/13 118/14 types [8] 13/21 40/16 understandably [1] 57/11 75/20 76/11 77/1 108/3 118/23 119/5 119/5 49/16 58/14 79/14 137/17 thriving [1] 43/2 82/8 104/7 107/19 77/18 84/14 85/1 transfer [1] 33/17 120/3 121/4 129/4 understanding [20] thrombogenicity [4] 86/24 88/23 91/5 92/9 transfusion [1] 129/4 132/9 135/23 1/13 1/18 2/7 2/10 34/6 135/18 140/23 92/18 94/13 96/8 132/14 139/12 140/22 152/12 14/20 15/7 15/9 19/8 158/19 UK [68] 3/18 7/25 26/9 103/23 105/24 108/7 translate [1] 70/9 153/5 158/11 158/11 21/5 21/7 27/17 60/3 thrombus [1] 34/7 33/5 43/2 43/5 44/3 109/3 114/7 125/1 translated [1] 101/8 158/18 159/11 159/11 60/12 67/13 74/1 through [32] 2/13 44/7 48/23 49/1 49/5 128/12 130/2 130/7 translation [1] 81/13 159/14 159/22 160/22 90/11 107/1 129/24 11/6 12/3 21/22 26/7 49/6 49/8 49/12 50/21 134/16 145/10 130/22 133/14 133/16 161/2 161/7 162/2 transmission [15] 27/6 38/3 43/9 47/10 51/5 51/10 55/9 55/15 133/23 135/13 136/24 67/4 68/6 107/11 162/5 162/8 162/10 undertaken [3] 8/14 47/20 49/25 56/14 138/15 141/3 141/16 121/7 121/10 121/14 treatment' [1] 118/24 55/18 56/2 56/21 57/9 19/3 27/2 58/23 59/3 64/16 treatments [2] 105/10 61/24 62/24 62/24 144/13 145/9 145/14 122/6 127/20 130/20 undertaking [7] 13/21 65/24 69/18 74/10 146/16 146/24 148/10 74/15 80/20 83/5 83/5 13/25 14/2 14/7 20/19 143/13 149/12 153/4 162/1 78/10 80/11 92/23 148/18 148/24 151/15 154/25 159/18 164/7 Treloar [1] 89/21 83/6 83/14 83/16 73/1 145/8 114/20 122/2 123/18 undertakings [2] 89/11 90/17 92/13 151/15 154/13 160/11 transmit [1] 148/1 trial [23] 27/1 27/9 126/6 128/20 129/8 160/18 160/21 162/20 27/10 27/12 27/15 95/2 96/3 102/20 13/20 28/12 transmitted [2] 129/15 146/1 159/8 163/11 163/23 164/11 159/24 164/4 27/18 27/21 27/25 115/10 117/9 117/24 undertook [1] 123/12 159/24 164/4 transmitting [2] 101/1 119/24 124/12 124/23 165/3 166/6 167/2 28/11 28/15 35/10 undue [1] 45/9 throughout [1] 3/18 125/1 125/7 125/10 time-consuming [1] 113/17 118/5 125/3 130/23 unfavourably [2] Thursday [1] 1/1 44/25 131/1 131/25 149/17 126/11 133/5 136/24 112/11 128/12 transparency [1] 52/1 thus [2] 71/6 73/7 times [6] 42/13 46/25 138/16 139/3 140/5 Travenol [7] 54/18 151/16 151/21 152/17 unfortunately [3] 70/8 ties [2] 117/15 148/19 50/25 57/8 105/3 75/8 78/11 95/3 153/22 158/1 158/2 141/9 142/4 145/14 92/4 93/22 tightening [2] 29/13 147/18 148/2 148/14 108/13 108/24 109/11 139/23 trials [12] 16/18 26/24 unfulled [1] 160/12 46/20 150/17 150/24 151/25 timetable [1] 54/17 Treasury [1] 44/21 27/4 28/6 28/7 29/16 unheat [1] 144/12 TIM [47] 58/4 58/6 161/14 162/4 163/14 unheat-treated [1] title [2] 18/10 111/15 treated [66] 57/13 31/24 33/6 41/3 60/19

(66) those... - unheat-treated

167/7 U user [1] 98/14 vast [1] 53/3 volume 7 [1] 2/6 welcome [1] 53/20 until 11.45 [1] 48/10 uses [2] 57/23 125/18 version [8] 30/2 81/15 voluminous [1] 52/12 well [44] 2/9 3/23 13/6 unheat-treated... [1] untoward [1] 53/8 using [5] 70/25 87/2 103/4 103/4 141/12 von [1] 64/12 16/10 16/21 24/6 24/7 144/12 107/21 120/17 156/10 147/10 148/2 158/10 28/2 29/5 34/8 35/12 untreated [3] 110/15 VR [1] 159/12 unheated [1] 58/21 145/13 149/8 usually [3] 32/16 41/1 very [14] 42/22 44/24 36/16 37/8 48/9 61/4 unit [10] 60/5 60/25 up [39] 3/4 3/23 4/13 50/21 55/5 77/17 78/7 58/19 61/10 67/15 68/25 77/15 77/17 77/22 4/16 9/14 11/8 13/18 wait [3] 24/18 145/21 85/19 110/8 125/23 73/13 77/8 78/3 80/25 77/25 81/5 92/3 113/3 47/16 50/7 52/5 55/24 125/25 140/1 147/21 160/20 83/9 83/17 87/3 89/16 162/16 vaccines [2] 32/19 Waiter [1] 76/14 56/5 56/18 59/4 59/17 167/4 167/4 92/21 96/9 101/11 United [21] 6/25 7/12 65/22 68/13 69/13 36/2 via [1] 68/16 Wales [4] 3/14 18/20 102/24 103/11 105/5 7/13 19/5 33/6 39/13 value [7] 76/24 77/4 25/6 148/7 79/13 83/23 88/20 vial [1] 60/25 105/9 113/14 130/4 51/4 51/7 59/16 75/11 77/5 77/10 78/14 Walford's [2] 94/19 96/25 98/23 100/5 vials [1] 60/13 131/15 131/16 137/11 80/8 84/14 123/3 121/12 121/19 122/16 78/17 78/19 Victoria [2] 93/20 134/3 139/25 143/24 144/20 124/5 130/17 132/6 128/22 129/10 129/25 vapour [25] 57/19 want [5] 29/22 53/4 145/15 165/11 167/4 93/25 132/8 136/4 154/10 132/20 136/25 138/2 57/24 58/23 104/19 Victorian [1] 92/16 105/17 124/12 144/17 Welsh [3] 74/21 74/22 161/22 163/22 142/5 146/7 159/8 119/5 119/8 119/19 Vienna [7] 51/5 55/7 wanted [5] 111/25 76/5 United Kingdom [13] 120/7 120/23 121/4 137/21 151/18 151/20 161/14 165/4 165/5 56/7 56/9 63/2 89/6 went [3] 35/17 66/8 6/25 7/12 7/13 19/5 124/7 127/14 128/23 158/17 updating [1] 22/14 132/25 146/25 51/7 59/16 75/11 upon [8] 40/24 50/22 129/4 129/14 146/13 Vienna-based [3] warned [1] 154/22 were [146] 3/1 3/18 123/3 124/5 130/17 51/15 52/3 96/1 147/10 147/25 160/13 56/7 56/9 63/2 warning [1] 130/17 4/1 4/9 5/2 6/20 7/15 132/8 136/4 161/22 122/25 145/8 158/12 161/1 162/6 162/23 view [11] 1/25 26/19 warnings [3] 117/2 8/9 8/14 8/21 10/7 United Kingdom.' [1] 163/10 163/19 164/14 121/2 121/16 upwards [1] 121/11 72/1 72/3 113/24 10/9 10/16 11/9 11/16 39/13 vapour heated [1] Warsaw [1] 61/19 **urban [1]** 84/18 122/10 143/11 143/12 11/25 13/22 16/3 16/4 United States [3] 51/4 17/9 18/4 19/8 19/9 urgency [1] 135/11 104/19 152/8 153/18 160/23 was [424] 84/14 154/10 urgently [1] 89/11 vapour-heated [1] views [2] 36/17 72/4 wasn't [9] 13/13 26/10 25/2 26/2 26/15 27/2 units [37] 59/24 60/1 urging [1] 126/9 58/23 VIII [49] 34/21 56/24 74/12 116/16 142/24 28/12 29/17 30/24 61/6 63/22 63/22 146/4 146/12 151/10 **URNs [1]** 149/3 vapour-heating [1] 57/4 59/2 64/9 64/13 32/16 33/5 34/10 63/22 64/1 76/1 76/6 164/14 152/23 74/25 75/5 75/10 us [19] 31/17 44/15 34/11 34/14 35/14 77/3 77/4 78/1 78/1 watching [1] 100/12 49/18 54/3 54/5 80/18 vapour-treated [2] 76/15 77/2 80/17 35/23 36/6 36/25 39/4 78/12 78/13 78/16 82/15 88/14 89/8 129/14 160/13 85/22 89/4 89/25 93/5 water [1] 64/3 39/14 39/15 43/12 78/18 78/21 93/1 93/2 variant [2] 142/3 94/1 95/4 100/15 way [23] 1/23 7/24 102/18 111/19 113/8 43/20 44/15 45/15 93/2 93/11 93/12 113/20 117/2 125/15 143/7 100/18 101/3 102/17 29/24 30/6 31/2 37/7 45/24 46/12 47/11 93/16 95/5 96/5 96/7 variants [5] 57/9 49/24 50/17 57/15 134/12 140/24 152/10 106/14 106/18 108/20 47/21 50/24 51/11 132/24 133/1 133/11 58/14 91/24 166/7 58/25 70/7 77/19 152/16 109/1 109/14 110/13 52/5 52/5 52/5 54/25 133/16 134/7 134/21 USA [3] 89/7 95/17 166/12 113/1 115/5 119/15 85/13 101/9 108/6 55/1 56/23 59/1 70/7 139/18 152/4 153/12 variation [39] 3/8 117/6 123/21 129/11 162/5 119/25 120/24 123/4 73/13 73/15 84/25 153/15 use [30] 3/3 3/18 14/4 19/11 78/24 79/6 79/9 125/9 132/16 133/10 148/12 149/16 156/12 87/1 87/1 87/13 88/3 universal [1] 46/21 19/21 26/5 27/10 49/6 79/21 85/25 87/20 133/15 134/10 135/13 166/22 166/24 89/13 91/2 91/12 unknown [1] 164/3 88/24 91/9 107/23 ways [6] 39/10 67/16 50/13 64/8 64/8 69/22 144/14 147/20 148/6 91/20 92/14 92/20 unless [5] 2/23 7/7 110/9 115/4 115/19 99/15 104/14 105/1 71/21 79/25 84/10 148/9 148/16 150/3 94/23 96/2 99/7 104/7 13/24 16/13 60/19 85/10 85/12 89/11 116/4 116/6 118/20 150/7 150/9 154/19 106/3 105/2 110/24 112/8 unlicensed [12] 92/17 105/22 106/18 119/7 119/17 119/17 VIIIY [1] 125/11 we [394] 112/10 113/22 115/9 117/14 117/20 125/1 we'll [13] 2/13 8/20 109/25 114/20 125/21 119/21 120/15 120/22 viral [18] 57/17 116/4 116/14 117/9 125/18 136/19 136/23 121/25 122/18 123/9 48/7 48/9 83/17 95/10 130/24 133/21 134/17 100/21 105/2 106/8 117/10 118/20 120/16 141/15 141/19 141/21 153/14 154/17 157/6 134/24 135/5 142/18 96/9 117/1 123/5 107/11 114/16 118/15 121/16 121/24 122/22 142/2 151/24 152/4 142/25 143/9 161/1 129/25 130/5 133/17 166/7 120/4 121/10 128/10 122/25 124/5 124/17 unnecessary [1] 162/17 163/6 164/8 161/9 used [51] 6/13 12/17 140/13 140/21 154/25 124/18 124/23 126/3 45/19 24/6 25/25 26/3 26/3 164/10 165/13 165/25 158/18 159/16 159/18 we're [10] 13/4 25/19 127/16 127/19 128/11 unofficially [1] 111/18 37/12 53/8 54/14 27/9 27/18 28/2 33/2 166/6 159/19 164/16 128/13 128/14 128/16 unreliable [1] 46/3 56/22 59/8 92/5 118/1 variations [7] 41/4 49/9 51/13 52/11 57/1 virgin [1] 113/17 128/19 128/23 129/7 unsafe [3] 25/25 26/2 57/3 66/22 70/14 80/9 79/15 91/13 122/11 virology [1] 36/11 163/15 129/19 134/23 135/12 140/11 140/16 142/10 we've [15] 14/4 53/4 92/9 92/24 93/3 93/4 virus [8] 32/19 104/3 136/15 137/22 141/9 unsigned [1] 30/3 93/11 93/13 93/15 varied [3] 15/15 92/17 71/6 77/22 103/22 143/9 144/13 144/15 105/20 109/10 128/7 unsolicited [2] 17/21 93/17 93/18 93/25 116/3 149/22 159/12 159/24 108/13 117/15 130/18 145/13 145/24 146/10 22/20 variety [1] 31/5 145/22 146/8 148/17 94/1 105/20 109/6 virus-inactivated [1] 146/24 148/24 148/24 unsuccessful [3] various [7] 18/14 27/3 148/18 149/10 152/2 109/8 109/9 113/16 105/20 149/8 149/14 149/18 119/22 135/25 136/1 115/24 119/19 125/9 63/11 63/19 104/5 163/3 149/22 151/14 153/12 viruses [9] 109/6 until [15] 14/22 14/24 110/23 166/23 weaknesses [1] 43/22 129/12 132/8 132/15 109/8 109/11 109/25 153/23 154/6 154/20 33/19 48/10 54/20 132/22 133/2 133/9 vary [11] 5/18 15/11 110/2 111/24 144/1 week [1] 125/6 155/1 155/22 156/17 73/20 96/9 117/12 22/13 35/12 41/8 weeks [4] 1/9 1/20 133/11 133/16 134/10 164/3 165/20 157/1 157/19 158/15 130/5 138/18 140/16 89/18 124/14 127/13 48/17 83/13 145/14 148/10 150/24 viz [1] 40/22 161/3 161/12 163/10 141/12 152/23 162/14 151/25 153/12 143/4 144/6 163/19 weight [1] 43/24 164/10 164/19 165/1 volume [2] 2/6 149/5

(67) unheat-treated... - were

58/17 58/17 59/3 59/5 54/19 63/16 103/22 W whereby [1] 28/9 148/19 148/24 149/18 world [2] 44/8 83/19 whether [20] 5/19 62/25 65/3 65/5 65/12 151/13 151/16 152/8 worried [2] 100/22 138/15 153/11 153/14 were... [3] 166/7 12/23 19/10 26/2 65/16 70/4 70/16 152/13 152/15 154/18 110/10 159/2 166/12 166/12 166/14 37/16 77/5 77/19 70/17 70/20 71/10 160/14 162/25 164/2 worrying [1] 109/16 yes [72] 1/5 10/16 were: [1] 17/13 86/17 86/19 88/15 72/25 73/4 73/17 withdraw [1] 123/12 worth [2] 80/11 21/17 21/17 21/20 were: the [1] 17/13 withdrawn [2] 32/25 88/17 90/15 96/14 75/18 80/10 80/22 146/11 24/19 24/21 38/4 38/5 weren't [3] 46/11 80/24 81/14 82/9 would [95] 1/10 1/15 48/9 60/20 62/14 98/1 98/9 101/21 142/20 87/14 154/17 125/22 151/12 160/19 82/19 82/20 82/22 withdrew [1] 163/5 9/5 9/6 9/23 9/24 10/1 62/14 67/8 67/11 71/4 West [1] 154/14 160/20 84/3 86/2 88/13 94/15 within [30] 7/6 7/11 10/4 10/10 10/15 71/4 71/9 71/15 74/1 wet [6] 57/23 113/13 which [216] 94/22 94/25 95/11 8/13 8/17 17/15 19/9 10/24 11/2 11/19 13/8 74/5 77/11 77/11 113/24 113/25 115/1 while [7] 39/10 108/24 95/20 95/21 97/17 19/16 24/15 33/15 14/12 14/22 14/24 77/16 78/9 81/6 83/8 115/16 110/6 117/23 133/12 41/14 47/23 49/6 49/8 99/21 100/11 102/6 15/4 16/7 17/24 19/19 83/12 83/16 83/20 what [73] 1/14 1/25 102/22 102/23 103/13 49/11 53/3 56/2 57/7 84/19 84/20 85/1 86/8 153/19 158/7 21/15 24/22 26/1 2/18 6/25 8/20 9/15 105/7 105/15 105/20 who [35] 10/3 18/15 58/2 58/14 66/1 83/3 28/14 28/17 28/20 86/23 86/25 87/4 9/21 10/9 11/9 12/18 26/15 27/14 30/23 105/22 111/2 112/5 85/16 107/4 114/15 29/8 35/9 35/18 36/2 87/12 87/18 88/6 92/7 21/20 22/24 24/16 38/9 43/20 49/22 113/11 114/22 119/4 116/13 126/11 136/24 36/24 36/25 37/2 96/9 96/18 96/21 34/3 37/14 39/14 138/16 145/24 151/25 98/17 98/17 98/20 53/24 55/25 56/5 120/21 121/9 125/19 37/24 38/13 46/18 40/19 43/12 44/11 125/23 126/7 128/2 56/10 61/23 61/25 without [10] 13/11 51/2 52/22 58/8 60/14 98/23 99/18 100/3 45/1 48/18 50/24 66/6 66/16 66/25 69/5 129/23 129/24 133/13 13/15 16/11 17/4 60/15 60/18 60/21 101/13 101/23 102/3 50/25 51/18 51/19 75/2 92/20 99/7 134/14 134/15 134/19 32/13 42/1 44/10 50/5 71/6 72/19 73/18 74/8 102/4 103/1 103/8 51/19 60/24 62/2 100/10 100/12 100/23 136/20 137/10 140/24 113/17 117/22 77/13 77/21 78/5 78/7 115/18 116/20 116/21 62/20 63/3 63/20 107/21 108/15 113/13 140/25 142/1 144/2 WITN0771001 [1] 2/16 80/9 83/5 85/19 86/18 130/5 137/21 137/24 70/11 71/6 82/14 125/14 127/8 131/19 149/2 152/15 155/16 WITN0771006 [1] 87/6 87/15 88/2 88/4 138/1 142/16 144/2 83/10 83/17 85/20 132/9 139/23 146/17 155/17 157/5 157/7 88/6 88/7 88/12 93/23 144/9 145/17 145/22 38/17 86/5 92/6 93/6 98/13 149/8 150/9 162/16 162/18 165/18 WITN5281001 [1] 30/1 98/2 98/11 99/9 99/16 150/12 156/11 156/19 100/1 101/17 101/24 whole [1] 92/22 166/21 witness [3] 2/3 51/23 101/13 102/7 103/11 156/22 101/25 104/6 105/8 whom [3] 8/19 23/5 Willebrand's [1] 64/12 54/2 107/15 111/22 114/10 yesterday [1] 109/13 106/12 108/17 114/5 William [2] 69/5 69/7 113/16 witnesses [3] 30/4 115/1 115/15 115/20 yet [3] 33/10 42/14 114/17 115/13 116/9 wish [8] 42/7 66/1 whose [2] 49/9 66/22 53/24 54/6 115/22 119/24 122/4 60/5 116/14 116/18 119/8 why [27] 25/15 37/17 80/21 95/24 102/21 woman [1] 108/15 130/16 131/19 137/14 yield [1] 147/22 121/1 121/15 125/4 39/15 51/15 51/16 113/7 130/2 139/1 won't [17] 13/23 27/6 137/17 140/12 144/11 yield/small [1] 147/22 127/24 129/1 135/1 51/25 52/3 53/9 72/16 wished [2] 85/10 33/10 42/6 47/10 147/5 148/7 148/9 York [1] 84/16 137/9 139/2 140/25 you [158] 1/22 1/25 88/10 90/14 99/12 140/6 47/15 65/24 69/11 151/16 151/17 156/2 144/22 146/25 148/19 102/15 110/4 115/20 83/22 92/23 122/2 156/23 159/13 161/11 11/13 14/4 15/8 18/2 wishes [3] 103/14 150/4 150/5 152/18 122/3 122/20 128/18 106/16 110/19 126/6 128/19 129/8 wouldn't [2] 1/16 18/10 18/10 18/14 157/2 166/17 129/7 132/18 134/13 wishing [1] 117/22 139/12 146/1 163/4 114/25 19/20 20/5 20/6 20/8 whatever [2] 60/2 135/24 137/12 137/19 with [98] 4/14 6/22 wondering [1] 144/21 write [1] 113/2 21/3 21/9 21/9 22/10 60/25 139/21 144/11 145/10 14/3 14/8 18/18 18/20 wonders [1] 110/4 writing [5] 20/1 20/20 22/12 22/23 24/6 24/9 wheel [1] 2/11 wide [2] 31/5 79/14 18/20 20/18 20/22 word [5] 12/16 48/18 21/18 23/17 107/14 24/9 24/10 24/16 when [40] 4/19 5/16 widely [1] 151/25 26/1 28/16 33/16 34/8 52/11 56/18 84/12 written [9] 2/18 17/23 24/18 24/24 25/14 12/5 12/15 12/21 wider [5] 10/17 37/8 35/20 35/22 38/1 38/9 wording [1] 22/12 22/22 30/1 37/24 26/17 26/22 27/6 12/24 20/9 22/12 53/16 90/19 159/15 39/3 39/6 39/10 41/22 62/14 152/10 154/20 29/12 29/22 30/20 words [5] 85/11 33/20 34/7 34/10 will [146] 1/8 3/21 8/4 42/4 42/9 44/5 45/17 119/24 120/9 126/16 157/13 33/10 37/12 37/13 34/12 36/24 37/22 wrong [4] 37/12 60/10 9/9 11/5 11/7 11/13 47/9 50/14 51/6 52/14 159/16 38/8 38/18 39/19 42/6 42/20 43/13 44/2 67/6 15/2 19/3 19/10 20/24 53/18 54/13 58/3 work [23] 1/8 19/3 114/2 148/23 47/11 48/4 48/11 52/6 68/7 73/12 75/19 22/3 22/11 22/16 58/25 59/2 62/6 63/4 31/2 31/13 31/16 wrote [10] 68/19 69/8 52/8 52/18 52/19 87/22 88/16 96/23 22/19 23/10 24/17 63/8 64/3 64/10 66/8 31/19 34/2 36/4 39/9 74/14 83/24 84/6 53/13 53/23 54/4 54/5 97/20 98/15 113/20 25/3 25/18 26/18 67/25 68/16 69/9 39/10 39/22 41/1 122/17 127/23 154/8 54/10 54/11 54/11 115/10 117/9 119/5 26/19 26/20 29/22 70/22 75/7 75/19 41/16 47/1 47/24 52/2 161/10 161/20 56/7 58/5 58/10 58/13 125/15 135/5 135/7 38/8 38/10 38/22 48/3 75/21 76/17 80/23 53/16 56/16 77/14 58/17 60/1 60/1 61/20 141/4 141/9 141/13 48/6 48/16 48/17 81/16 82/11 88/19 81/15 127/8 150/1 61/22 62/4 62/5 62/20 144/25 148/1 157/1 year [21] 34/19 41/25 48/18 48/18 48/21 89/24 90/25 93/21 157/22 62/21 63/3 63/13 165/3 49/1 49/4 49/17 49/22 95/6 95/11 95/20 97/5 worked [6] 15/10 38/9 42/3 42/22 42/23 75/6 63/21 63/25 64/3 where [21] 4/18 8/6 50/2 50/6 50/6 50/8 98/24 100/23 101/13 38/9 38/11 116/16 76/18 76/21 77/3 77/6 64/18 65/3 67/11 14/14 29/13 30/23 50/9 50/15 50/22 51/8 105/11 109/17 109/21 78/13 78/17 78/19 123/20 72/25 77/9 77/9 77/14 34/9 46/11 51/16 85/5 85/8 88/4 127/7 51/10 51/15 51/21 110/5 110/19 111/8 working [7] 4/2 4/4 77/24 77/25 78/1 78/5 51/24 52/15 52/18 51/23 52/3 52/13 111/23 112/2 113/10 8/13 45/9 46/5 108/7 153/13 157/8 157/22 78/6 79/12 79/21 65/12 84/24 90/15 161/20 53/12 53/22 53/23 117/15 118/10 122/25 148/24 79/24 81/3 83/1 83/20 106/7 114/2 116/6 54/4 54/10 54/10 124/24 125/15 128/12 workload [2] 42/8 year's [1] 87/22 84/24 86/5 86/5 86/10 122/12 129/6 149/12 54/16 55/5 56/7 56/13 years [17] 14/13 18/1 128/16 133/9 135/11 44/17 86/13 86/14 88/12 162/6 23/9 25/16 28/11 39/1 56/15 56/19 56/20 135/18 136/20 139/13 works [3] 30/8 128/21 90/15 90/20 91/3 whereas [1] 20/4 40/12 47/22 52/23 57/5 57/20 58/10 139/19 139/20 145/18 129/25 91/23 92/3 92/6 92/12

(68) were... - you

	_	 		
Υ				
you [54] 92/17 93/9				
94/12 94/25 95/1				
96/14 97/2 97/20				
98/15 98/17 99/20				
100/3 100/12 103/10				
106/23 108/21 110/12				
110/18 112/5 112/8				
112/8 113/7 113/10				
114/22 115/12 115/20				
115/21 116/21 120/23				
121/21 130/2 130/6				
131/15 132/21 134/15				
135/20 136/10 138/9				
138/13 139/1 140/24				
142/17 144/12 144/21				
145/1 155/16 157/4				
157/5 161/16 161/20				
161/21 162/18 163/4				
167/4				
you could [1] 86/14				
you'd [1] 78/3				
you'll [1] 11/4				
you're [4] 27/19 77/11				
79/25 115/20				
you've [6] 24/5 73/7				
73/9 78/18 115/14				
116/9				
Younger [1] 25/7				
your [26] 1/25 23/10				
24/22 26/14 37/3				
38/14 48/15 52/25				
53/11 62/4 62/8 66/2				
89/23 89/24 90/6 91/4				
99/20 108/21 110/18				
113/8 136/10 138/22				
138/22 139/20 140/7				
167/2				
Yours [1] 110/20				
10015[1] 110/20				
Z				
zealous [1] 45/19				
L	1		1	(69) you zealous

(69) you... - zealous