1	Tuesday, 12 July 2022	1	start with an early lunch but, as you know, I'm terrible
2	(10.00 am)	2	at giving time estimates.
3	SIR ROBERT FRANCIS (continued)	3	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes.
4	Questioned by MS RICHARDS (continued)	4	MS RICHARDS: That said it all.
5	MS RICHARDS: Sir, just before we start, there are	5	Sir Robert, can we pick up where we left off
6	inevitably a lot of questions arising out of	6	yesterday. We were looking at the position of those who
7	Sir Robert's report reflecting the importance of this	7	were infected and what they might recover on your
8	matter to those who were infected and affected, and to	8	recommendations, and there was an issue we touched on
9	reflect that, sir, my suggestion is going to be that	9	relating to the Judicial Studies Board Guidelines and
10	when I finish, at whatever time I finish going through	10	the cases of Simmons v Castle, it probably seems
11	the questions I'm asking, which I should say already	11	esoteric to those here, amongst which I include myself
12	reflect the questions I have been asked to ask by on	12	who don't practice in personal injury law, but I'm going
13	behalf of Core Participants, that we have a longer than	13	to ask you to look at a document I know you looked at
14	usual break, perhaps an hour and a half lunch break,	14	overnight. I'm going to read out what's been said about
15	which would enable those who are here and those who are	15	it to me by one of the legal representatives and then
16	not here to feed those questions through to their legal	16	invite your comment.
17	representatives who in turn can pass them on to me for	17	Can we have RLIT0001640:
18	consideration.	18	"Guidelines for the assessment of general damages in
19	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So those who are watching online may	19	personal injury cases"
20	expect that there won't be anything to watch for	20	If we go over the page, we can see this is the
21	a rather longer period around lunchtime, starting	21	document we were referring to yesterday, so the Judicial
22	perhaps just before our usual time, but certainly ending	22	College's Guidelines. "General damages" refers
23	at least an hour and a half later?	23	essentially to damages for pain and suffering, and this
24	MS RICHARDS: Yes. Yes. I'm hoping I will finish what I'm	24	is the 16th edition, which we mentioned in the course of
25	proposing to do before lunch in any event, so we might	25	yesterday afternoon.
	1		2
1	If we go to page 13, please:	1	So this is an observation from one of the co-authors
2	"Updated Note on 10% Uplift"	2	of these guidelines.
3	So this refers to the Simmons v Castle issue, which	3	If the aim is for the tariff in the compensation
4	l raised yesterday afternoon.	4	scheme largely to mirror what might be obtained in
5	If we just go to I think the last three	5	court, almost every award of general damages made today
6	paragraphs perhaps last two paragraphs. Sorry, can	6	includes the 10%. Cases where the 10% uplift is not
7	we start above that, my fault:	7	awarded today are so rare that the editors of the
8	"Aside from mesothelioma cases (where different	8	guidelines have chosen to drop the column which doesn't
9	provisions apply and where in consequence we have	9	have the 10% uplift. In effect, therefore, what the man
10	continued to provide pre-uplift figures in the relevant	10	on the street sees as the right figure for damages in
11	part of Chapter 6), there are now likely to be very few	11	any given case is the figure which is uplifted by 10%.
12	ongoing cases in which the pre-uplift figures will be	12	With that rather lengthy introduction, Sir Robert,
13	relevant. From the sixteenth edition, we have now only	13	what if any observations do you have?
14	provided figures which include the 10% uplift:	14	A. Well, I mean, with respect, I disagree, and I disagree
15	"However, we are aware there will be some cases in	15	to this extent, that the purpose of my recommendations
16	which the pre-uplift figures remain relevant.	16	is to reflect the compensation someone might get for
17	In particular, we know there are lawyers working in our	17	their injury. The 10% uplift in these guidelines is
18	jurisdictions who use the Guidelines but where the	18	intended to reflect an obligation to pay fees out of
19	'Simmons' uplift does not apply. Should it be necessary	19	those damages to lawyers.
20	to do so, the uplifted figure can be easily be converted	20	That, in relation to a compensation scheme, is
21	back to a pre-uplift figure simply by multiplying by	21	perhaps an area we have yet to come to, and maybe you
22	a factor of 0.909."	22	will be asking me about, but it's the actual damages
23	Just before I ask you to comment on that, can I give	23	sorry, the compensation, in my view, should be
24	you what I have been asked to explore with you and then	24	completely different from any consideration as to when,
25	invite your comment.	25	if at all, fees should be paid to a lawyer.
	3		4

(1) Pages 1 - 4

1	So I and in any event, the conditional fee	1
2	agreements and I don't profess to be an expert in	2
3	them do not apply, I would have thought, to a claim	3
4	for compensation under a compensation scheme. The Act	4
5	under which such agreements are lawful relates only to	5
6	claims in court.	6
7	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Can I ask a few questions just really	7
8	because I think I know the answers. I may be wrong, of	8
9	course, but it is because those who are listening will	9
10	not be personal injury lawyers with a long history of	10
11	having had litigation under various different schemes.	11
12	Is the position this, that from 1990s onward	12
13	the courts in particular have become increasingly	13
14	concerned about the cost of going to law?	14
15	And you are nodding.	15
16	A. I'm sorry, yes. And, indeed, as have the Government,	16
17	bearing in mind that many damages cases involve the	17
18	payment of public funds.	18
19	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Legal Aid ceased to be generally	19
20	available for personal injury cases in, I think, the	20
21	mid-1990s.	21
22	A. Yes.	22
23	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And, therefore, had to be replaced by	23
24	some method of funding personal injury claims.	24
25	A. Yes.	25
	5	
1	succeeded	1
1	succeeded.	1.
2	A. Yes.	2
2 3	A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And if they didn't succeed, then it	2 3
2 3 4	 A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And if they didn't succeed, then it was a no win, no fee. 	2 3 4
2 3	 A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And if they didn't succeed, then it was a no win, no fee. A. They got nothing. 	2 3
2 3 4 5	 A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And if they didn't succeed, then it was a no win, no fee. A. They got nothing. 	2 3 4 5
2 3 4 5 6	 A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And if they didn't succeed, then it was a no win, no fee. A. They got nothing. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So that meant that the fees were quite 	2 3 4 5 6
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And if they didn't succeed, then it was a no win, no fee. A. They got nothing. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So that meant that the fees were quite high or could be quite high, so far as the defendants 	2 3 4 5 6 7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And if they didn't succeed, then it was a no win, no fee. A. They got nothing. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So that meant that the fees were quite high or could be quite high, so far as the defendants were concerned. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And if they didn't succeed, then it was a no win, no fee. A. They got nothing. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So that meant that the fees were quite high or could be quite high, so far as the defendants were concerned. A. Yes. The rationale being that for the claimant's lawyer 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And if they didn't succeed, then it was a no win, no fee. A. They got nothing. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So that meant that the fees were quite high or could be quite high, so far as the defendants were concerned. A. Yes. The rationale being that for the claimant's lawyer to make a living, putting it bluntly, they had to charge 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And if they didn't succeed, then it was a no win, no fee. A. They got nothing. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So that meant that the fees were quite high or could be quite high, so far as the defendants were concerned. A. Yes. The rationale being that for the claimant's lawyer to make a living, putting it bluntly, they had to charge more to each client so that in effect to cover 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And if they didn't succeed, then it was a no win, no fee. A. They got nothing. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So that meant that the fees were quite high or could be quite high, so far as the defendants were concerned. A. Yes. The rationale being that for the claimant's lawyer to make a living, putting it bluntly, they had to charge more to each client so that in effect to cover the risk they had of not recovering any costs at all. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And if they didn't succeed, then it was a no win, no fee. A. They got nothing. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So that meant that the fees were quite high or could be quite high, so far as the defendants were concerned. A. Yes. The rationale being that for the claimant's lawyer to make a living, putting it bluntly, they had to charge more to each client so that in effect to cover the risk they had of not recovering any costs at all. The nature of the agreement was that if they lost the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And if they didn't succeed, then it was a no win, no fee. A. They got nothing. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So that meant that the fees were quite high or could be quite high, so far as the defendants were concerned. A. Yes. The rationale being that for the claimant's lawyer to make a living, putting it bluntly, they had to charge more to each client so that in effect to cover the risk they had of not recovering any costs at all. The nature of the agreement was that if they lost the case, then they didn't get paid at all. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And if they didn't succeed, then it was a no win, no fee. A. They got nothing. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So that meant that the fees were quite high or could be quite high, so far as the defendants were concerned. A. Yes. The rationale being that for the claimant's lawyer to make a living, putting it bluntly, they had to charge more to each client so that in effect to cover the risk they had of not recovering any costs at all. The nature of the agreement was that if they lost the case, then they didn't get paid at all. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So in a case where the prospects were 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And if they didn't succeed, then it was a no win, no fee. A. They got nothing. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So that meant that the fees were quite high or could be quite high, so far as the defendants were concerned. A. Yes. The rationale being that for the claimant's lawyer to make a living, putting it bluntly, they had to charge more to each client so that in effect to cover the risk they had of not recovering any costs at all. The nature of the agreement was that if they lost the case, then they didn't get paid at all. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So in a case where the prospects were roughly 50/50, the costs would be double. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And if they didn't succeed, then it was a no win, no fee. A. They got nothing. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So that meant that the fees were quite high or could be quite high, so far as the defendants were concerned. A. Yes. The rationale being that for the claimant's lawyer to make a living, putting it bluntly, they had to charge more to each client so that in effect to cover the risk they had of not recovering any costs at all. The nature of the agreement was that if they lost the case, then they didn't get paid at all. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So in a case where the prospects were roughly 50/50, the costs would be double. A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And if they didn't succeed, then it was a no win, no fee. A. They got nothing. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So that meant that the fees were quite high or could be quite high, so far as the defendants were concerned. A. Yes. The rationale being that for the claimant's lawyer to make a living, putting it bluntly, they had to charge more to each client so that in effect to cover the risk they had of not recovering any costs at all. The nature of the agreement was that if they lost the case, then they didn't get paid at all. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So in a case where the prospects were roughly 50/50, the costs would be double. A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And that would be recovered by the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And if they didn't succeed, then it was a no win, no fee. A. They got nothing. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So that meant that the fees were quite high or could be quite high, so far as the defendants were concerned. A. Yes. The rationale being that for the claimant's lawyer to make a living, putting it bluntly, they had to charge more to each client so that in effect to cover the risk they had of not recovering any costs at all. The nature of the agreement was that if they lost the case, then they didn't get paid at all. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So in a case where the prospects were roughly 50/50, the costs would be double. A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And that would be recovered by the successful lawyer when they were successful and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And if they didn't succeed, then it was a no win, no fee. A. They got nothing. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So that meant that the fees were quite high or could be quite high, so far as the defendants were concerned. A. Yes. The rationale being that for the claimant's lawyer to make a living, putting it bluntly, they had to charge more to each client so that in effect to cover the risk they had of not recovering any costs at all. The nature of the agreement was that if they lost the case, then they didn't get paid at all. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So in a case where the prospects were roughly 50/50, the costs would be double. A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And that would be recovered by the successful lawyer when they weren't. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And if they didn't succeed, then it was a no win, no fee. A. They got nothing. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So that meant that the fees were quite high or could be quite high, so far as the defendants were concerned. A. Yes. The rationale being that for the claimant's lawyer to make a living, putting it bluntly, they had to charge more to each client so that in effect to cover the risk they had of not recovering any costs at all. The nature of the agreement was that if they lost the case, then they didn't get paid at all. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So in a case where the prospects were roughly 50/50, the costs would be double. A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And that would be recovered by the successful lawyer when they were successful and forfeited when they weren't. A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And if they didn't succeed, then it was a no win, no fee. A. They got nothing. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So that meant that the fees were quite high or could be quite high, so far as the defendants were concerned. A. Yes. The rationale being that for the claimant's lawyer to make a living, putting it bluntly, they had to charge more to each client so that in effect to cover the risk they had of not recovering any costs at all. The nature of the agreement was that if they lost the case, then they didn't get paid at all. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So in a case where the prospects were roughly 50/50, the costs would be double. A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And that would be recovered by the successful lawyer when they were successful and forfeited when they weren't. A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: That system ceased to be approved for various reasons relating to the increasing costs as it seemed when, in this millennium, the matters were 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And if they didn't succeed, then it was a no win, no fee. A. They got nothing. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So that meant that the fees were quite high or could be quite high, so far as the defendants were concerned. A. Yes. The rationale being that for the claimant's lawyer to make a living, putting it bluntly, they had to charge more to each client so that in effect to cover the risk they had of not recovering any costs at all. The nature of the agreement was that if they lost the case, then they didn't get paid at all. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So in a case where the prospects were roughly 50/50, the costs would be double. A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And that would be recovered by the successful lawyer when they were successful and forfeited when they weren't. A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: That system ceased to be approved for various reasons relating to the increasing costs as it 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

4	CID DDIAN LANCETATE. Which is America is done by the
1	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Which, in America, is done by the
2 3	lawyer taking a cut of the damages, but in this country it was thought that the principle should be that
4	compensation should be 100 per cent, so the person who
5	was entitled to it should get everything, every penny
6	that they were seeking; is that correct?
7	A. That is correct, sir.
8	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: The costs, however, might have to be
9	paid. Now, there's always perhaps an element of your
10	lawyer charging a bit more than your lawyer can recover
11	from the other side, but that was, on the whole,
12	marginal. The principle there being, perhaps, that most
13	people want to go to litigation riding in, as it were,
14	a Rolls Royce, whereas the system will pay for
15	a mid-ranking car, not necessarily a Mini but something
16	in between.
17	A. That rather depends but, yes
18	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So there's always going to be perhaps
19	a shortfall, a smaller shortfall, which inevitably will
20	be paid by the claimant, or was.
21	A. Yes.
22	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: However, under the system which
23	replaced Legal Aid, costs had to be afforded somehow.
24	That was by allowing the successful claimant to have
25	their solicitors costs paid by the other side if they
	6
1	A. Yes. The government of the day took a decision that it
1 2	A. Yes. The government of the day took a decision that it was too much money was being paid in this way and
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
2	was too much money was being paid in this way and
2 3	was too much money was being paid in this way and that, therefore, the ability to recover that element of
2 3 4	was too much money was being paid in this way and that, therefore, the ability to recover that element of the costs which related to the risk should not be
2 3 4 5	was too much money was being paid in this way and that, therefore, the ability to recover that element of the costs which related to the risk should not be recoverable from the defendant.
2 3 4 5 6	 was too much money was being paid in this way and that, therefore, the ability to recover that element of the costs which related to the risk should not be recoverable from the defendant. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So an element of the costs now had to
2 3 4 5 6 7	 was too much money was being paid in this way and that, therefore, the ability to recover that element of the costs which related to the risk should not be recoverable from the defendant. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So an element of the costs now had to be paid out of the damages.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 was too much money was being paid in this way and that, therefore, the ability to recover that element of the costs which related to the risk should not be recoverable from the defendant. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So an element of the costs now had to be paid out of the damages. A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 was too much money was being paid in this way and that, therefore, the ability to recover that element of the costs which related to the risk should not be recoverable from the defendant. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So an element of the costs now had to be paid out of the damages. A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: That meant that the damages would not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 was too much money was being paid in this way and that, therefore, the ability to recover that element of the costs which related to the risk should not be recoverable from the defendant. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So an element of the costs now had to be paid out of the damages. A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: That meant that the damages would not be 100 per cent of what someone should have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 was too much money was being paid in this way and that, therefore, the ability to recover that element of the costs which related to the risk should not be recoverable from the defendant. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So an element of the costs now had to be paid out of the damages. A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: That meant that the damages would not be 100 per cent of what someone should have been entitled to.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 was too much money was being paid in this way and that, therefore, the ability to recover that element of the costs which related to the risk should not be recoverable from the defendant. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So an element of the costs now had to be paid out of the damages. A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: That meant that the damages would not be 100 per cent of what someone should have been entitled to. A. Exactly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 was too much money was being paid in this way and that, therefore, the ability to recover that element of the costs which related to the risk should not be recoverable from the defendant. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So an element of the costs now had to be paid out of the damages. A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: That meant that the damages would not be 100 per cent of what someone should have been entitled to. A. Exactly. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: It was recognised that problem then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 was too much money was being paid in this way and that, therefore, the ability to recover that element of the costs which related to the risk should not be recoverable from the defendant. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So an element of the costs now had to be paid out of the damages. A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: That meant that the damages would not be 100 per cent of what someone should have been entitled to. A. Exactly. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: It was recognised that problem then needed to be sorted, and that was what, in the case of Simmons v Castle, essentially the court said: well, in this situation where there is this type of agreement, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 was too much money was being paid in this way and that, therefore, the ability to recover that element of the costs which related to the risk should not be recoverable from the defendant. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So an element of the costs now had to be paid out of the damages. A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: That meant that the damages would not be 100 per cent of what someone should have been entitled to. A. Exactly. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: It was recognised that problem then needed to be sorted, and that was what, in the case of Simmons v Castle, essentially the court said: well, in this situation where there is this type of agreement, we will add 10% on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 was too much money was being paid in this way and that, therefore, the ability to recover that element of the costs which related to the risk should not be recoverable from the defendant. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So an element of the costs now had to be paid out of the damages. A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: That meant that the damages would not be 100 per cent of what someone should have been entitled to. A. Exactly. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: It was recognised that problem then needed to be sorted, and that was what, in the case of Simmons v Castle, essentially the court said: well, in this situation where there is this type of agreement, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 was too much money was being paid in this way and that, therefore, the ability to recover that element of the costs which related to the risk should not be recoverable from the defendant. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So an element of the costs now had to be paid out of the damages. A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: That meant that the damages would not be 100 per cent of what someone should have been entitled to. A. Exactly. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: It was recognised that problem then needed to be sorted, and that was what, in the case of Simmons v Castle, essentially the court said: well, in this situation where there is this type of agreement, we will add 10% on A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: to the damages, the lump sum for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 was too much money was being paid in this way and that, therefore, the ability to recover that element of the costs which related to the risk should not be recoverable from the defendant. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So an element of the costs now had to be paid out of the damages. A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: That meant that the damages would not be 100 per cent of what someone should have been entitled to. A. Exactly. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: It was recognised that problem then needed to be sorted, and that was what, in the case of Simmons v Castle, essentially the court said: well, in this situation where there is this type of agreement, we will add 10% on A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: to the damages, the lump sum for pain, suffering and loss of immunity, as it's called, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 was too much money was being paid in this way and that, therefore, the ability to recover that element of the costs which related to the risk should not be recoverable from the defendant. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So an element of the costs now had to be paid out of the damages. A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: That meant that the damages would not be 100 per cent of what someone should have been entitled to. A. Exactly. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: It was recognised that problem then needed to be sorted, and that was what, in the case of Simmons v Castle, essentially the court said: well, in this situation where there is this type of agreement, we will add 10% on A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: to the damages, the lump sum for pain, suffering and loss of immunity, as it's called, in order to cover that bit.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 was too much money was being paid in this way and that, therefore, the ability to recover that element of the costs which related to the risk should not be recoverable from the defendant. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So an element of the costs now had to be paid out of the damages. A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: That meant that the damages would not be 100 per cent of what someone should have been entitled to. A. Exactly. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: It was recognised that problem then needed to be sorted, and that was what, in the case of Simmons v Castle, essentially the court said: well, in this situation where there is this type of agreement, we will add 10% on A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: to the damages, the lump sum for pain, suffering and loss of immunity, as it's called, in order to cover that bit. Have I got it broadly right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 was too much money was being paid in this way and that, therefore, the ability to recover that element of the costs which related to the risk should not be recoverable from the defendant. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So an element of the costs now had to be paid out of the damages. A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: That meant that the damages would not be 100 per cent of what someone should have been entitled to. A. Exactly. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: It was recognised that problem then needed to be sorted, and that was what, in the case of Simmons v Castle, essentially the court said: well, in this situation where there is this type of agreement, we will add 10% on A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: to the damages, the lump sum for pain, suffering and loss of immunity, as it's called, in order to cover that bit. Have I got it broadly right? A. Absolutely right, sir, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 was too much money was being paid in this way and that, therefore, the ability to recover that element of the costs which related to the risk should not be recoverable from the defendant. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So an element of the costs now had to be paid out of the damages. A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: That meant that the damages would not be 100 per cent of what someone should have been entitled to. A. Exactly. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: It was recognised that problem then needed to be sorted, and that was what, in the case of Simmons v Castle, essentially the court said: well, in this situation where there is this type of agreement, we will add 10% on A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: to the damages, the lump sum for pain, suffering and loss of immunity, as it's called, in order to cover that bit. Have I got it broadly right? A. Absolutely right, sir, yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And that's where the 10% addition
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 was too much money was being paid in this way and that, therefore, the ability to recover that element of the costs which related to the risk should not be recoverable from the defendant. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So an element of the costs now had to be paid out of the damages. A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: That meant that the damages would not be 100 per cent of what someone should have been entitled to. A. Exactly. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: It was recognised that problem then needed to be sorted, and that was what, in the case of Simmons v Castle, essentially the court said: well, in this situation where there is this type of agreement, we will add 10% on A. Yes. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: to the damages, the lump sum for pain, suffering and loss of immunity, as it's called, in order to cover that bit. Have I got it broadly right? A. Absolutely right, sir, yes.

(2) Pages 5 - 8

1	A. Yes.	1	answers may clarify a bit. There are at least some
2	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: It's to make sure that someone	2	people nodding. Thank you for that. But it may be that
3	actually gets every penny they should have got rather	3	there are further questions which have not been asked
4	than having it taken out of the sum they would otherwise	4	which need to be asked and they can be asked later on.
5	have.	5	MS RICHARDS: Exactly. I'm going to leave that point there
6	A. Yes.	6	for now and we can pick it up in due course if required.
7	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So your thesis is, well, the sum they	7	Yesterday I was asking you about the kind of
8	would otherwise have is actually the sum they're getting	8	financial losses in terms of loss of earnings or support
9	under a scheme here where there isn't a lawyer involved	9	payment, continuation as an alternative.
10	who charges a fee which needs to be recovered.	10	In terms of other heads of financial loss, if we
11	A. Yes. Well, as I, say it seemed to me that the issue	11	could have your report on screen, please, and go to
12	around legal representation or advice and so on in	12	page 118.
13	relation to the scheme needs to be considered clearly	13	The second heading there is "Other possible heads of
14	because it's a question, but it needs to be considered	14	financial loss". You explain that:
15	separately from the award compensation, and it's for	15	"9.102 In conventional litigation, claims can be
16	that reason I suggested that, insofar as one refers to	16	made for any additional loss incurred as a result of the
17	these guidelines, one should take the figure without the	17	injury."
18	uplift and which you can get to I'm not sure the word	18	Then you set out some examples which have been
19	"simply" is one I would agree with, but by multiplying	19	mentioned by those who've made submissions to you:
20	the figure by the fraction that's mentioned here.	20	"- Equipment
21	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. Well, you need a calculator	21	"- Transport
22	rather than	22	"- Holidays
23	A. It's not a thing I would wish to do in my head, I have	23	"- Insurance
24	to say. But then that's so with many things.	24	"- Medical treatment (not available on NHS)
25	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Now, I hope those questions and	25	"- Counselling
	9		10
1	"- Accommodation	1	a total of the following"
2	"- Special dietary requirements"	2	The first point deals with your suggested increase
3	Then you say at 9.103:	3	in the regular support payments.
4	"It would be possible for the scheme to allow for	4	The second is:
5	claims under these, and indeed any head of claim where	5	"A tax free sum in recognition of additional
6	the applicant can show they have incurred a loss.	6	financial losses caused by the diagnosis of HIV or HCV,
7	I have already proposed that there would be a standard	7	for example, increased or hard to get insurance cover,
8	annual sum added to the support payment to cover this	8	convenient medical treatment, additional transport costs
9	type of expense. It would be preferable to adopt	9	etc of, say, £10,000."
10	a broad approach like that wherever possible. I suggest	10	So, if we go back to page 118, is it right to
11	that the scheme allows for discretionary awards to be	11	understand your recommendation as follows? In terms of
12	made for additional expenses where the applicant can	12	any future losses of this kind, so not earnings but
13	show they are significantly in excess of the standard	13	other types of financial expenditure, your
14	annual payment. The discretion should not generally be	14	recommendation is that the best way to deal with this is
15	used in respect of goods and services available free of	14	by the additional annual sum, you've suggested £10,000,
16	charge, either through the scheme or a state agency."	16	which would cover the majority of these kinds of
17	And the reference to a standard annual sum, if we go	17	expenses. The benefit of that presumably in part is it
18	back to the bottom of page 115, you say in	18	avoids both the individual having to make detailed
10 19		19	claims potentially on an ongoing basis and it avoids the
19 20	paragraph 9.88 that: " unless they"	20	scheme having to adjudicate upon those kind of detailed
			• • •
21	That is the regular payments made by the scheme	21	claims?
22	the current schemes:	22	A. Absolutely. I heard many stories from affected, infected people about the distance equiped to them by the
23	" already exceed this figure - and some do - the	23	infected people about the distress caused to them by the
24 25	regular guaranteed annual payments under the support	24	requirements of some of the previous support schemes,
	schemes should be brought to a level where it equates to	25	requiring them to produce invoices for washing machines

(3) Pages 9 - 12

1		or arguments about the types of equipment that were	1		would be possible to produce the typical picture, and
2		required, whether you could get something that was	2		that's what you would expect the sum to reflect, and, in
3		cheaper or not. Which, of course, in my experience, is	3		that way, it would relieve applicants, and indeed the
4		unhappily reflected in litigation where claims, when	4		scheme, of a great deal of complexity and need to
5		they are made for this sort of thing, are often	5		descend into detail.
6		incredibly detailed and, again, require the intervention	6	Q.	So it's potentially both in the interests of applicants,
7		of an expert to tell you how much any of these things	7		for the reasons you have described and which I am sure
8		cost, even though in many cases perhaps they could be	8		many here listening will well recognise
9		proved by the production of an invoice from B&Q or	9	Α.	Yes.
10		wherever it is.	10	Q.	but also potentially in the interests of those
11		So it is a yet another complicated business, and	11		ultimately funding the scheme, because it avoids the
12		the more you descend into detail the more resource you	12		expense and time that would need to be taken in
13		need to put into determining the outcome, and the more	13		minute analysis of these kind of ongoing claims?
14		time it takes for that determination. So, again, it	14	Α.	Yes.
15		struck me that this was an area where a broad-brush	15	Q.	What, then, about past losses for again, these are
16		approach should be adopted, which would cover most	16		items of expenditure which are not loss of earnings,
17		cases.	17		how, if at all, should those be dealt with?
18		Now, what the sum is I mean, I've mentioned just	18	Α.	Well, of course, I have said in relation to past losses
19		for the sake of argument £10,000. That might not be the	19		that the support payment should not be, as it were,
20		appropriate sum, and clearly that would have to be	20		taken into account, so that's something no doubt out of
21		worked out, you know, through consultation. But	21		which claimants will have use some of that for some
22		I predict there ought to be a sum when one looks at	22		of these needs.
23		the description that I would hope the medical panel	23		I think the choice there is I would say, again,
24		would provide of the progress of these awful diseases,	24		preferably would be to think of a standard sum. But, of
25		the sorts of things that are typically required, that it	25		course, you do have, in relation to the past, a clearer
		13			14
,		nishun shauk anisha shkina udan sush khinas mishk	4		
1		picture about periods of time when such things might	1		impact on ability to have a family and form
2		have been needed. I mean, there might be an ongoing	2		relationships and the like.
3		need or absence of insurance, and difficulties about	3	A.	Yes.
4		mortgages and so on, but you would probably need to be	4	Q.	Again, that's a lump sum payment.
5		ill to have required special equipment and transport in	5	A.	Yes.
6		any great degree. So it would perhaps be possible to	6	Q.	The autonomy award, again a lump sum payment, in part
7		come up with a lump sum which would be applicable to	7		picking up on the concept of aggravated damages, but it
8		periods where it was clear that the illness required it. I'm afraid, I don't think I've descended into quite	8		reflects issues such as and, as you say, there is
9		•	9		a potential overlap in relation to the social impact in relation to matters such as family life and children,
10		that detail in the report, but clearly there should be	10 11		
11		a reflection in the award for the expenses incurred in			but it reflects matters such as lack of informed
12	~	the past. So can I then before we leave recommendation 8, I'm	12 13		consent, treatment without consent and so on. So those
13	Q.				are three lump sum elements.
14		just going to recap the heads of award that you have	14	A.	Yes.
15 16		recommended for an infected person.	15 16	Q.	There is then an infected person could claim a care award and that could be both in respect of past care,
17		So an infected person would receive an injury impact	10		which would be received as a lump sum, however
		award that would reflect physical and mental health			
18 19	A.	Yes. including the physical and medical consequences of	18 10		calculated, and then future care, which could be
20	Q.	including the physical and medical consequences of treatment for the infections, and that would be a lump	19 20		received either as a lump sum or as a periodical
20 21		•	20 21	۸	payment; is that right?
21	A.	sum that would be paid. Yes.	21	Α.	Yes, and I think one thing we have not mentioned, although it's in the report, is that that sum, as it's
22 23	A. Q.	res. The infected person would receive a social impact award.	22		intended to reflect the care provided on
23 24	ખ.	That, as you have explained, reflects the social	23 24		an unremunerated basis by a relative or a close friend,
24		mar, ao you nuvo explaineu, reneolo ine social	24		an amontation basis by a relative of a close metru,
25		consequences of the infection stigma social isolation	25		would be held on trust if it was claimed by the infected
25		consequences of the infection, stigma, social isolation, 15	25		would be held on trust if it was claimed by the infected 16

(4) Pages 13 - 16

,				
1		applicant for those people, which is what happens in	1 2	,
2 3		litigation, and it sounds terrifying, you've got money on trust, but actually in practice it seems that	2	r C
4		distributing the money very rarely causes contention,	4	
5		although in theory it could do.	4 5	
6	Q.	We will come back to the interrelationship between that	6	
7	α.	and what an infected person might claim shortly.	7	
, 8		Then the final element in relation to the infected	, 8	
9		person is the financial loss award, past loss, the main	9	
10		element of which would be would probably be a loss of	10	
11		earnings	11	
12	Α.	Yes.	12	
13	Q.	and that would be received as a lump sum.	13	
14	Α.	For the past, yes.	14	
15	Q.	For the past. And then a future loss	15	
16	Α.	Yes.	16	
17	Q.	which again could be by way of lump sum or could be	17	ŀ
18		by way of periodical payments, but there one of the key	18	C
19		points is the continuation of the support payments. You	19	
20		recommend those would continue uplifted and it may be in	20	
21		practice those would reflect the equivalent of a loss of	21	
22		earnings claims for individuals?	22	
23	Α.	Yes.	23	
24	Q.	But those who might be able to show a greater future	24	
25		loss of earnings could elect to pursue that by way of 17	25	
1		a different origin and depend more on a relationship	1	
2		with the infected person rather than the effects of the	2	
3		disease itself. While I have mentioned physical	3	ŀ
4		injuries the much more likely injury is a mental one,	4	
5		and indeed a psychological one which may not result from	5	C
6		a recognised psychiatric illness.	6	ŀ
7		"9.107 However, it is not unreasonable when setting	7	
8		a general range of award to relate them to the severity	8	
9		of the suffering of the relevant infected person.	9	
10		I suggest that the approach taken should be for the	10	
11		assessors to consider the nature of the injury, if any,	11	(
12		and the distress, anxiety and impact caused by the	12	ŀ
13		applicant's experience of witnessing the effects of the	13	
14		infection by reference to the guidelines and comparables	14	
15		already referred to and identify by that process	15	,
16 17		an appropriate figure. However, the maximum payable should be the sum the infected person either has been	16	
17 18		awarded, or would have been awarded if they had made	17 18	
10		a claim."		
20		Now, in terms of the injury impact award that	19 20	
20 21		an affected person could claim, if they had suffered	20	,
21		physical health consequences as a result of their caring	21	
22		obligations or because or it could be exacerbation of	22	
23		existing physical health problems because of the	23	
25		witnessing the impact upon their loved one who is	25	
		19		

1		an additional claim?
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	Can we then turn to recommendation 9, the claims that
4		could be made by an infected person affected person.
5		Could we have page 36 on screen, please, Lawrence.
6		We have the recommendations set out there and there
7		are, I think, six components to it: the injury impact
8		award, the social impact award, the family care award,
9		the autonomy award, a bereavement award.
10		Then if we go over the page, I think we have
11		a double (e) there, so this should probably read:
12		"f) a bereavement financial loss award"
13		Now, I want to approach this in stages, so I'm going
14		to ask, first, about the claims that could, on your
15		recommendations, be made by affected persons typically
16		where the infected person is still alive.
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	So in terms of the injury impact award, the first of
19		those, if we go to page 119, we can see this in
20		paragraphs 9.106 and 9.107.
21		The "Injury Impact Award", you say:
22		" will be assessed in accordance with the same
23		principles as [you've] suggested should be applied to
24		the impact awards for the infected, but with necessary
25		differences. Clearly injury and distress have
		18
1		infected, you would envisage that that would be captured
1 2		infected, you would envisage that that would be captured in this injury impact award.
	А.	
2	A.	in this injury impact award.
2 3	A. Q.	in this injury impact award. Yes. As I say, there it is difficult to envisage what
2 3 4		in this injury impact award. Yes. As I say, there it is difficult to envisage what range of physical
2 3 4 5	Q.	in this injury impact award. Yes. As I say, there it is difficult to envisage what range of physical Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	in this injury impact award. Yes. As I say, there it is difficult to envisage what range of physical Yes. injury would typically be caused in this way and, as
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	in this injury impact award. Yes. As I say, there it is difficult to envisage what range of physical Yes. injury would typically be caused in this way and, as you say, it might well be through a caring obligation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	in this injury impact award. Yes. As I say, there it is difficult to envisage what range of physical Yes. injury would typically be caused in this way and, as you say, it might well be through a caring obligation and a physical injury, and I have to say that is an area
2 3 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	in this injury impact award. Yes. As I say, there it is difficult to envisage what range of physical Yes. injury would typically be caused in this way and, as you say, it might well be through a caring obligation and a physical injury, and I have to say that is an area which would be an extension on what would generally be
2 3 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A.	in this injury impact award. Yes. As I say, there it is difficult to envisage what range of physical Yes. injury would typically be caused in this way and, as you say, it might well be through a caring obligation and a physical injury, and I have to say that is an area which would be an extension on what would generally be recoverable at Common Law
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q.	in this injury impact award. Yes. As I say, there it is difficult to envisage what range of physical Yes. injury would typically be caused in this way and, as you say, it might well be through a caring obligation and a physical injury, and I have to say that is an area which would be an extension on what would generally be recoverable at Common Law Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q.	in this injury impact award. Yes. As I say, there it is difficult to envisage what range of physical Yes. injury would typically be caused in this way and, as you say, it might well be through a caring obligation and a physical injury, and I have to say that is an area which would be an extension on what would generally be recoverable at Common Law Yes. and intentionally so. But I've heard frankly, I heard very little if anything about physical injuries caused. I heard a lot about mental distress and mental
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q.	in this injury impact award. Yes. As I say, there it is difficult to envisage what range of physical Yes. injury would typically be caused in this way and, as you say, it might well be through a caring obligation and a physical injury, and I have to say that is an area which would be an extension on what would generally be recoverable at Common Law Yes. and intentionally so. But I've heard frankly, I heard very little if anything about physical injuries
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q.	in this injury impact award. Yes. As I say, there it is difficult to envisage what range of physical Yes. injury would typically be caused in this way and, as you say, it might well be through a caring obligation and a physical injury, and I have to say that is an area which would be an extension on what would generally be recoverable at Common Law Yes. and intentionally so. But I've heard frankly, I heard very little if anything about physical injuries caused. I heard a lot about mental distress and mental
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A.	 in this injury impact award. Yes. As I say, there it is difficult to envisage what range of physical Yes. injury would typically be caused in this way and, as you say, it might well be through a caring obligation and a physical injury, and I have to say that is an area which would be an extension on what would generally be recoverable at Common Law Yes. and intentionally so. But I've heard frankly, I heard very little if anything about physical injuries caused. I heard a lot about mental distress and mental illness caused by the experience of these events.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A.	 in this injury impact award. Yes. As I say, there it is difficult to envisage what range of physical Yes. injury would typically be caused in this way and, as you say, it might well be through a caring obligation and a physical injury, and I have to say that is an area which would be an extension on what would generally be recoverable at Common Law Yes. and intentionally so. But I've heard frankly, I heard very little if anything about physical injuries caused. I heard a lot about mental distress and mental illness caused by the experience of these events. Again, importantly, and this may also be I think be an extension of the Common Law, you are envisaging, in terms of mental or psychological consequences, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A.	 in this injury impact award. Yes. As I say, there it is difficult to envisage what range of physical Yes. injury would typically be caused in this way and, as you say, it might well be through a caring obligation and a physical injury, and I have to say that is an area which would be an extension on what would generally be recoverable at Common Law Yes. and intentionally so. But I've heard frankly, I heard very little if anything about physical injuries caused. I heard a lot about mental distress and mental illness caused by the experience of these events. Again, importantly, and this may also be I think be an extension of the Common Law, you are envisaging, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A.	 in this injury impact award. Yes. As I say, there it is difficult to envisage what range of physical Yes. injury would typically be caused in this way and, as you say, it might well be through a caring obligation and a physical injury, and I have to say that is an area which would be an extension on what would generally be recoverable at Common Law Yes. and intentionally so. But I've heard frankly, I heard very little if anything about physical injuries caused. I heard a lot about mental distress and mental illness caused by the experience of these events. Again, importantly, and this may also be I think be an extension of the Common Law, you are envisaging, in terms of mental or psychological consequences, that there would be an award for that even if there is not a recognised psychiatric illness?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A.	 in this injury impact award. Yes. As I say, there it is difficult to envisage what range of physical Yes. injury would typically be caused in this way and, as you say, it might well be through a caring obligation and a physical injury, and I have to say that is an area which would be an extension on what would generally be recoverable at Common Law Yes. and intentionally so. But I've heard frankly, I heard very little if anything about physical injuries caused. I heard a lot about mental distress and mental illness caused by the experience of these events. Again, importantly, and this may also be I think be an extension of the Common Law, you are envisaging, in terms of mental or psychological consequences, that there would be an award for that even if there is not a recognised psychiatric illness? Yes. I recognise here that there would be a choice to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A.	 in this injury impact award. Yes. As I say, there it is difficult to envisage what range of physical Yes. injury would typically be caused in this way and, as you say, it might well be through a caring obligation and a physical injury, and I have to say that is an area which would be an extension on what would generally be recoverable at Common Law Yes. and intentionally so. But I've heard frankly, I heard very little if anything about physical injuries caused. I heard a lot about mental distress and mental illness caused by the experience of these events. Again, importantly, and this may also be I think be an extension of the Common Law, you are envisaging, in terms of mental or psychological consequences, that there would be an award for that even if there is not a recognised psychiatric illness? Yes. I recognise here that there would be generally speaking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A.	 in this injury impact award. Yes. As I say, there it is difficult to envisage what range of physical Yes. injury would typically be caused in this way and, as you say, it might well be through a caring obligation and a physical injury, and I have to say that is an area which would be an extension on what would generally be recoverable at Common Law Yes. and intentionally so. But I've heard frankly, I heard very little if anything about physical injuries caused. I heard a lot about mental distress and mental illness caused by the experience of these events. Again, importantly, and this may also be I think be an extension of the Common Law, you are envisaging, in terms of mental or psychological consequences, that there would be an award for that even if there is not a recognised psychiatric illness? Yes. I recognise here that there would be a choice to be made as to whether that should be generally speaking part of the injury impact award or perhaps part of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3 24	Q. A. Q. A.	 in this injury impact award. Yes. As I say, there it is difficult to envisage what range of physical Yes. injury would typically be caused in this way and, as you say, it might well be through a caring obligation and a physical injury, and I have to say that is an area which would be an extension on what would generally be recoverable at Common Law Yes. and intentionally so. But I've heard frankly, I heard very little if anything about physical injuries caused. I heard a lot about mental distress and mental illness caused by the experience of these events. Again, importantly, and this may also be I think be an extension of the Common Law, you are envisaging, in terms of mental or psychological consequences, that there would be an award for that even if there is not a recognised psychiatric illness? Yes. I recognise here that there would be a choice to be made as to whether that should be generally speaking part of the injury impact award or perhaps part of the social impact award, but one way or the other I would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A.	 in this injury impact award. Yes. As I say, there it is difficult to envisage what range of physical Yes. injury would typically be caused in this way and, as you say, it might well be through a caring obligation and a physical injury, and I have to say that is an area which would be an extension on what would generally be recoverable at Common Law Yes. and intentionally so. But I've heard frankly, I heard very little if anything about physical injuries caused. I heard a lot about mental distress and mental illness caused by the experience of these events. Again, importantly, and this may also be I think be an extension of the Common Law, you are envisaging, in terms of mental or psychological consequences, that there would be an award for that even if there is not a recognised psychiatric illness? Yes. I recognise here that there would be a choice to be made as to whether that should be generally speaking part of the injury impact award or perhaps part of the

(5) Pages 17 - 20

1		this very "remarkable" is the wrong word, but very	1		adopted as to the level of that award because obviously
2		awful and special case.	2		the higher the more money that is awarded in this
3		The law, if I can explain very briefly, generally	3		respect, the more difference there is between
4		restricts claims for mental injury caused by the	4		unfortunate people involved in this case as opposed to
5		experience of someone else's injury really quite	5		unfortunate people suffering quite similar injuries in
6		strictly and you in effect either have to the	6		cases, say, for instance, of a baby born with cerebral
7		definition has broadened a bit over the years but	7		palsy needing lifetime care in a very demanding care
8		essentially you either have to be traumatised, if I can	8		obligations being imposed on a family, and I have
9		put it in a non-technical way, by witnessing a terrible	9		certainly seen many such cases of serious harm being
10		event like an accident, but it has to be a shock, which	10		caused to parents. They can, generally speaking, claim
11		is a sudden event, rather than what would be happening	11		nothing for that, and they would look askance at very
12		in the case here more typically an accretion over	12		large sums of money, so I sought to hint at least that
13		a period of time of stress and strain due to having to	13		there should be some recognition of that in how that sum
14		witness someone's deterioration and indeed death.	14		is assessed.
15		It's a moving area in the Common Law and there is	15	Q.	Ideally, would you envisage that it would be done again
16		more generosity than there used to be, but generally	16		on a tariff-type basis?
17		speaking it would be quite difficult to establish some	17	Α.	Yes.
18		of a claim in Common Law for some of the things	18	Q.	But because the nature of the injuries there may be
19		I heard about, which seemed to me to have been	19		predominantly psychological and impact on mental health,
20		inevitable, almost, consequences of inflicting this sort	20		it may be that the panel the medical panel which
21		of infection on people in a family situation.	21		helps in drawing up some different parameters might
22	Q.	So here your recommendation reflects less the Common Law	22		perhaps benefit from psychiatric
23		and more your sense of what the moral and just case	23	Α.	Oh, undoubtedly, yes.
24		requires?	24	Q.	and psychological expertise?
25	Α.	Yes. And I think, for that reason, care needs to be	25	Α.	,
		21			22
			4		6 h h h
1		let's do this as a subjective view, but I was	1		Absolutely.
2		an independent reviewer entitled to a view, but it	2	A. Q.	infected person, but again you are suggesting there
2 3		an independent reviewer entitled to a view, but it seemed to me that there needed to be it would make	2 3		infected person, but again you are suggesting there should be a reflection in the sense that you don't give
2 3 4		an independent reviewer entitled to a view, but it seemed to me that there needed to be it would make sense for there to be a relationship between the sort of	2 3 4		infected person, but again you are suggesting there should be a reflection in the sense that you don't give more, and I think your suggestion is probably it should
2 3 4 5		an independent reviewer entitled to a view, but it seemed to me that there needed to be it would make sense for there to be a relationship between the sort of sums that were awarded and the degree of severity of the	2 3 4 5		infected person, but again you are suggesting there should be a reflection in the sense that you don't give more, and I think your suggestion is probably it should be no more than the half of the award that would be
2 3 4 5 6		an independent reviewer entitled to a view, but it seemed to me that there needed to be it would make sense for there to be a relationship between the sort of sums that were awarded and the degree of severity of the injury suffered by the infected person, because	2 3 4 5 6	Q.	infected person, but again you are suggesting there should be a reflection in the sense that you don't give more, and I think your suggestion is probably it should be no more than the half of the award that would be given
2 3 4 5 6 7		an independent reviewer entitled to a view, but it seemed to me that there needed to be it would make sense for there to be a relationship between the sort of sums that were awarded and the degree of severity of the injury suffered by the infected person, because instinctively it felt to me that if the affected person	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. A.	infected person, but again you are suggesting there should be a reflection in the sense that you don't give more, and I think your suggestion is probably it should be no more than the half of the award that would be given And, again, that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		an independent reviewer entitled to a view, but it seemed to me that there needed to be it would make sense for there to be a relationship between the sort of sums that were awarded and the degree of severity of the injury suffered by the infected person, because instinctively it felt to me that if the affected person was getting some sum significantly more than the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A. Q.	infected person, but again you are suggesting there should be a reflection in the sense that you don't give more, and I think your suggestion is probably it should be no more than the half of the award that would be given And, again, that's to the infected person.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		an independent reviewer entitled to a view, but it seemed to me that there needed to be it would make sense for there to be a relationship between the sort of sums that were awarded and the degree of severity of the injury suffered by the infected person, because instinctively it felt to me that if the affected person was getting some sum significantly more than the infected person, then that wouldn't look the right way	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A.	 infected person, but again you are suggesting there should be a reflection in the sense that you don't give more, and I think your suggestion is probably it should be no more than the half of the award that would be given And, again, that's to the infected person. a subjective view and we could discuss that for
2 3 5 6 7 8 9		an independent reviewer entitled to a view, but it seemed to me that there needed to be it would make sense for there to be a relationship between the sort of sums that were awarded and the degree of severity of the injury suffered by the infected person, because instinctively it felt to me that if the affected person was getting some sum significantly more than the infected person, then that wouldn't look the right way round, so and that I doubt you would get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. A. Q.	 infected person, but again you are suggesting there should be a reflection in the sense that you don't give more, and I think your suggestion is probably it should be no more than the half of the award that would be given And, again, that's to the infected person. a subjective view and we could discuss that for a long time. But I think for me the important point
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		an independent reviewer entitled to a view, but it seemed to me that there needed to be it would make sense for there to be a relationship between the sort of sums that were awarded and the degree of severity of the injury suffered by the infected person, because instinctively it felt to me that if the affected person was getting some sum significantly more than the infected person, then that wouldn't look the right way round, so and that I doubt you would get a psychiatrist to back that up because I am sure there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q.	 infected person, but again you are suggesting there should be a reflection in the sense that you don't give more, and I think your suggestion is probably it should be no more than the half of the award that would be given And, again, that's to the infected person. a subjective view and we could discuss that for a long time. But I think for me the important point here is that, again, this would be an award you would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		an independent reviewer entitled to a view, but it seemed to me that there needed to be it would make sense for there to be a relationship between the sort of sums that were awarded and the degree of severity of the injury suffered by the infected person, because instinctively it felt to me that if the affected person was getting some sum significantly more than the infected person, then that wouldn't look the right way round, so and that I doubt you would get a psychiatrist to back that up because I am sure there can be disproportionate reactions to small it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q.	 infected person, but again you are suggesting there should be a reflection in the sense that you don't give more, and I think your suggestion is probably it should be no more than the half of the award that would be given And, again, that's to the infected person. a subjective view and we could discuss that for a long time. But I think for me the important point here is that, again, this would be an award you would not be able to claim in a civil claim and but it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		an independent reviewer entitled to a view, but it seemed to me that there needed to be it would make sense for there to be a relationship between the sort of sums that were awarded and the degree of severity of the injury suffered by the infected person, because instinctively it felt to me that if the affected person was getting some sum significantly more than the infected person, then that wouldn't look the right way round, so and that I doubt you would get a psychiatrist to back that up because I am sure there can be disproportionate reactions to small it's a relatively minor and I hope no one thinks I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q.	 infected person, but again you are suggesting there should be a reflection in the sense that you don't give more, and I think your suggestion is probably it should be no more than the half of the award that would be given And, again, that's to the infected person. a subjective view and we could discuss that for a long time. But I think for me the important point here is that, again, this would be an award you would not be able to claim in a civil claim and but it struck me, and I'm sure it strikes many people, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		an independent reviewer entitled to a view, but it seemed to me that there needed to be it would make sense for there to be a relationship between the sort of sums that were awarded and the degree of severity of the injury suffered by the infected person, because instinctively it felt to me that if the affected person was getting some sum significantly more than the infected person, then that wouldn't look the right way round, so and that I doubt you would get a psychiatrist to back that up because I am sure there can be disproportionate reactions to small it's a relatively minor and I hope no one thinks I'm disrespecting things, I'm looking at it in a comparative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q.	 infected person, but again you are suggesting there should be a reflection in the sense that you don't give more, and I think your suggestion is probably it should be no more than the half of the award that would be given And, again, that's to the infected person. a subjective view and we could discuss that for a long time. But I think for me the important point here is that, again, this would be an award you would not be able to claim in a civil claim and but it struck me, and I'm sure it strikes many people, that this is a very unusual area in which the stigma of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		an independent reviewer entitled to a view, but it seemed to me that there needed to be it would make sense for there to be a relationship between the sort of sums that were awarded and the degree of severity of the injury suffered by the infected person, because instinctively it felt to me that if the affected person was getting some sum significantly more than the infected person, then that wouldn't look the right way round, so and that I doubt you would get a psychiatrist to back that up because I am sure there can be disproportionate reactions to small it's a relatively minor and I hope no one thinks I'm disrespecting things, I'm looking at it in a comparative way, compared to a minor infection, I would just feel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q.	 infected person, but again you are suggesting there should be a reflection in the sense that you don't give more, and I think your suggestion is probably it should be no more than the half of the award that would be given And, again, that's to the infected person. a subjective view and we could discuss that for a long time. But I think for me the important point here is that, again, this would be an award you would not be able to claim in a civil claim and but it struck me, and I'm sure it strikes many people, that this is a very unusual area in which the stigma of the socialisation social isolation affects not only the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		an independent reviewer entitled to a view, but it seemed to me that there needed to be it would make sense for there to be a relationship between the sort of sums that were awarded and the degree of severity of the injury suffered by the infected person, because instinctively it felt to me that if the affected person was getting some sum significantly more than the infected person, then that wouldn't look the right way round, so and that I doubt you would get a psychiatrist to back that up because I am sure there can be disproportionate reactions to small it's a relatively minor and I hope no one thinks I'm disrespecting things, I'm looking at it in a comparative way, compared to a minor infection, I would just feel that looking at the proportionality across the piece,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q.	 infected person, but again you are suggesting there should be a reflection in the sense that you don't give more, and I think your suggestion is probably it should be no more than the half of the award that would be given And, again, that's to the infected person. a subjective view and we could discuss that for a long time. But I think for me the important point here is that, again, this would be an award you would not be able to claim in a civil claim and but it struck me, and I'm sure it strikes many people, that this is a very unusual area in which the stigma of the socialisation social isolation affects not only the infected but probably almost as much the people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		an independent reviewer entitled to a view, but it seemed to me that there needed to be it would make sense for there to be a relationship between the sort of sums that were awarded and the degree of severity of the injury suffered by the infected person, because instinctively it felt to me that if the affected person was getting some sum significantly more than the infected person, then that wouldn't look the right way round, so and that I doubt you would get a psychiatrist to back that up because I am sure there can be disproportionate reactions to small it's a relatively minor and I hope no one thinks I'm disrespecting things, I'm looking at it in a comparative way, compared to a minor infection, I would just feel that looking at the proportionality across the piece, including those who have no such claim, that we need to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q.	 - infected person, but again you are suggesting there should be a reflection in the sense that you don't give more, and I think your suggestion is probably it should be no more than the half of the award that would be given And, again, that's - to the infected person. - a subjective view and we could discuss that for a long time. But I think for me the important point here is that, again, this would be an award you would not be able to claim in a civil claim and but it struck me, and I'm sure it strikes many people, that this is a very unusual area in which the stigma of the socialisation social isolation affects not only the infected but probably almost as much the people surrounding them, and you know, and I have seen, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		an independent reviewer entitled to a view, but it seemed to me that there needed to be it would make sense for there to be a relationship between the sort of sums that were awarded and the degree of severity of the injury suffered by the infected person, because instinctively it felt to me that if the affected person was getting some sum significantly more than the infected person, then that wouldn't look the right way round, so and that I doubt you would get a psychiatrist to back that up because I am sure there can be disproportionate reactions to small it's a relatively minor and I hope no one thinks I'm disrespecting things, I'm looking at it in a comparative way, compared to a minor infection, I would just feel that looking at the proportionality across the piece, including those who have no such claim, that we need to be careful how much is awarded in this way.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q.	 infected person, but again you are suggesting there should be a reflection in the sense that you don't give more, and I think your suggestion is probably it should be no more than the half of the award that would be given And, again, that's to the infected person. a subjective view and we could discuss that for a long time. But I think for me the important point here is that, again, this would be an award you would not be able to claim in a civil claim and but it struck me, and I'm sure it strikes many people, that this is a very unusual area in which the stigma of the socialisation social isolation affects not only the infected but probably almost as much the people surrounding them, and you know, and I have seen, the accounts demonstrating that. And, therefore, it seems
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	an independent reviewer entitled to a view, but it seemed to me that there needed to be it would make sense for there to be a relationship between the sort of sums that were awarded and the degree of severity of the injury suffered by the infected person, because instinctively it felt to me that if the affected person was getting some sum significantly more than the infected person, then that wouldn't look the right way round, so and that I doubt you would get a psychiatrist to back that up because I am sure there can be disproportionate reactions to small it's a relatively minor and I hope no one thinks I'm disrespecting things, I'm looking at it in a comparative way, compared to a minor infection, I would just feel that looking at the proportionality across the piece, including those who have no such claim, that we need to be careful how much is awarded in this way. So that's the first element of claim for the affected	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q.	 infected person, but again you are suggesting there should be a reflection in the sense that you don't give more, and I think your suggestion is probably it should be no more than the half of the award that would be given And, again, that's to the infected person. a subjective view and we could discuss that for a long time. But I think for me the important point here is that, again, this would be an award you would not be able to claim in a civil claim and but it struck me, and I'm sure it strikes many people, that this is a very unusual area in which the stigma of the socialisation social isolation affects not only the infected but probably almost as much the people surrounding them, and you know, and I have seen, the accounts demonstrating that. And, therefore, it seems to me again there's a special case for that but, again,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	an independent reviewer entitled to a view, but it seemed to me that there needed to be it would make sense for there to be a relationship between the sort of sums that were awarded and the degree of severity of the injury suffered by the infected person, because instinctively it felt to me that if the affected person was getting some sum significantly more than the infected person, then that wouldn't look the right way round, so and that I doubt you would get a psychiatrist to back that up because I am sure there can be disproportionate reactions to small it's a relatively minor and I hope no one thinks I'm disrespecting things, I'm looking at it in a comparative way, compared to a minor infection, I would just feel that looking at the proportionality across the piece, including those who have no such claim, that we need to be careful how much is awarded in this way. So that's the first element of claim for the affected individual.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q.	 - infected person, but again you are suggesting there should be a reflection in the sense that you don't give more, and I think your suggestion is probably it should be no more than the half of the award that would be given And, again, that's to the infected person. - a subjective view and we could discuss that for a long time. But I think for me the important point here is that, again, this would be an award you would not be able to claim in a civil claim and but it struck me, and I'm sure it strikes many people, that this is a very unusual area in which the stigma of the socialisation social isolation affects not only the infected but probably almost as much the people surrounding them, and you know, and I have seen, the accounts demonstrating that. And, therefore, it seems to me again there's a special case for that but, again, because it's not something you can recover generally,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		an independent reviewer entitled to a view, but it seemed to me that there needed to be it would make sense for there to be a relationship between the sort of sums that were awarded and the degree of severity of the injury suffered by the infected person, because instinctively it felt to me that if the affected person was getting some sum significantly more than the infected person, then that wouldn't look the right way round, so and that I doubt you would get a psychiatrist to back that up because I am sure there can be disproportionate reactions to small it's a relatively minor and I hope no one thinks I'm disrespecting things, I'm looking at it in a comparative way, compared to a minor infection, I would just feel that looking at the proportionality across the piece, including those who have no such claim, that we need to be careful how much is awarded in this way. So that's the first element of claim for the affected individual. The second is the social impact award.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A.	 infected person, but again you are suggesting there should be a reflection in the sense that you don't give more, and I think your suggestion is probably it should be no more than the half of the award that would be given And, again, that's to the infected person. a subjective view and we could discuss that for a long time. But I think for me the important point here is that, again, this would be an award you would not be able to claim in a civil claim and but it struck me, and I'm sure it strikes many people, that this is a very unusual area in which the stigma of the socialisation social isolation affects not only the infected but probably almost as much the people surrounding them, and you know, and I have seen, the accounts demonstrating that. And, therefore, it seems to me again there's a special case for that but, again, because it's not something you can recover generally, there needs to be a sense of proportion about it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A.	an independent reviewer entitled to a view, but it seemed to me that there needed to be it would make sense for there to be a relationship between the sort of sums that were awarded and the degree of severity of the injury suffered by the infected person, because instinctively it felt to me that if the affected person was getting some sum significantly more than the infected person, then that wouldn't look the right way round, so and that I doubt you would get a psychiatrist to back that up because I am sure there can be disproportionate reactions to small it's a relatively minor and I hope no one thinks I'm disrespecting things, I'm looking at it in a comparative way, compared to a minor infection, I would just feel that looking at the proportionality across the piece, including those who have no such claim, that we need to be careful how much is awarded in this way. So that's the first element of claim for the affected individual. The second is the social impact award. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q.	 - infected person, but again you are suggesting there should be a reflection in the sense that you don't give more, and I think your suggestion is probably it should be no more than the half of the award that would be given And, again, that's to the infected person. - a subjective view and we could discuss that for a long time. But I think for me the important point here is that, again, this would be an award you would not be able to claim in a civil claim and but it struck me, and I'm sure it strikes many people, that this is a very unusual area in which the stigma of the socialisation social isolation affects not only the infected but probably almost as much the people surrounding them, and you know, and I have seen, the accounts demonstrating that. And, therefore, it seems to me again there's a special case for that but, again, because it's not something you can recover generally, there needs to be a sense of proportion about it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		an independent reviewer entitled to a view, but it seemed to me that there needed to be it would make sense for there to be a relationship between the sort of sums that were awarded and the degree of severity of the injury suffered by the infected person, because instinctively it felt to me that if the affected person was getting some sum significantly more than the infected person, then that wouldn't look the right way round, so and that I doubt you would get a psychiatrist to back that up because I am sure there can be disproportionate reactions to small it's a relatively minor and I hope no one thinks I'm disrespecting things, I'm looking at it in a comparative way, compared to a minor infection, I would just feel that looking at the proportionality across the piece, including those who have no such claim, that we need to be careful how much is awarded in this way. So that's the first element of claim for the affected individual. The second is the social impact award. Yes. Again, it is a similar approach to and similar	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A.	 - infected person, but again you are suggesting there should be a reflection in the sense that you don't give more, and I think your suggestion is probably it should be no more than the half of the award that would be given And, again, that's to the infected person. - a subjective view and we could discuss that for a long time. But I think for me the important point here is that, again, this would be an award you would not be able to claim in a civil claim and but it struck me, and I'm sure it strikes many people, that this is a very unusual area in which the stigma of the socialisation social isolation affects not only the infected but probably almost as much the people surrounding them, and you know, and I have seen, the accounts demonstrating that. And, therefore, it seems to me again there's a special case for that but, again, because it's not something you can recover generally, there needs to be a sense of proportion about it. Can we just have the full page on screen then. The next item here is "Family Care Award". Before we look at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A.	an independent reviewer entitled to a view, but it seemed to me that there needed to be it would make sense for there to be a relationship between the sort of sums that were awarded and the degree of severity of the injury suffered by the infected person, because instinctively it felt to me that if the affected person was getting some sum significantly more than the infected person, then that wouldn't look the right way round, so and that I doubt you would get a psychiatrist to back that up because I am sure there can be disproportionate reactions to small it's a relatively minor and I hope no one thinks I'm disrespecting things, I'm looking at it in a comparative way, compared to a minor infection, I would just feel that looking at the proportionality across the piece, including those who have no such claim, that we need to be careful how much is awarded in this way. So that's the first element of claim for the affected individual. The second is the social impact award. Yes. Again, it is a similar approach to and similar thinking to the social impact award in relation to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q. A.	 - infected person, but again you are suggesting there should be a reflection in the sense that you don't give more, and I think your suggestion is probably it should be no more than the half of the award that would be given And, again, that's to the infected person. - a subjective view and we could discuss that for a long time. But I think for me the important point here is that, again, this would be an award you would not be able to claim in a civil claim and but it struck me, and I'm sure it strikes many people, that this is a very unusual area in which the stigma of the socialisation social isolation affects not only the infected but probably almost as much the people surrounding them, and you know, and I have seen, the accounts demonstrating that. And, therefore, it seems to me again there's a special case for that but, again, because it's not something you can recover generally, there needs to be a sense of proportion about it. Can we just have the full page on screen then. The next item here is "Family Care Award". Before we look at that, the recommendation, recommendation 9, included
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A.	an independent reviewer entitled to a view, but it seemed to me that there needed to be it would make sense for there to be a relationship between the sort of sums that were awarded and the degree of severity of the injury suffered by the infected person, because instinctively it felt to me that if the affected person was getting some sum significantly more than the infected person, then that wouldn't look the right way round, so and that I doubt you would get a psychiatrist to back that up because I am sure there can be disproportionate reactions to small it's a relatively minor and I hope no one thinks I'm disrespecting things, I'm looking at it in a comparative way, compared to a minor infection, I would just feel that looking at the proportionality across the piece, including those who have no such claim, that we need to be careful how much is awarded in this way. So that's the first element of claim for the affected individual. The second is the social impact award. Yes. Again, it is a similar approach to and similar	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A.	 - infected person, but again you are suggesting there should be a reflection in the sense that you don't give more, and I think your suggestion is probably it should be no more than the half of the award that would be given And, again, that's to the infected person. - a subjective view and we could discuss that for a long time. But I think for me the important point here is that, again, this would be an award you would not be able to claim in a civil claim and but it struck me, and I'm sure it strikes many people, that this is a very unusual area in which the stigma of the socialisation social isolation affects not only the infected but probably almost as much the people surrounding them, and you know, and I have seen, the accounts demonstrating that. And, therefore, it seems to me again there's a special case for that but, again, because it's not something you can recover generally, there needs to be a sense of proportion about it. Can we just have the full page on screen then. The next item here is "Family Care Award". Before we look at

(6) Pages 21 - 24

1	Δ	Yes	1		paragraph 9.110:
2		for the affected	2		"Where a Care Award has not been made to the
3	Α.	which doesn't appear in this list.	3		infected person, Eligible affected persons as defined
4	Q.	but that's not here.	4		should be able to claim directly for claim they have
5	<u>д</u> .	And I think that is an omission, and I think what should	5		provided free of charge to the infected person in the
6		have been there is a heading which basically referred	6		past. They should not be entitled to an award for
7		back to what I said about the autonomy award previously.	7		future care, as the arrangements for this have to be in
8	Q.	Obviously, if you are seeking to capture	8		the hands of the infected person."
9		the interference with family and private life through	9		This is an alternative in terms of past care,
10		the autonomy award	10		an alternative to the care claim being made by the
11	A.	Yes.	11		eligible infected person. If that's not done, the
12		that may be something that has been very intimately	12		eligible affected person can claim for a degree of
13		felt by family members. Again, in terms of	13		compensation
14	A.	Well, you take the parents who would probably have given	14	A.	Yes.
15		the consent for treatment of their children, who would	15	Q.	for the cost of the care they provided in the past.
16		be directly feel directly responsible, quite wrongly	16	Α.	Yes. It's a bit of a belt and braces provision because
17		obviously, but understandably, for what has happened.	17		one would envisage that in most cases the applicant
18		So I say that is a direct interference in their	18		the infected person's claim would include this. But
19		family life. And to be I'm no expert in it but, at	19		I have seen enough cases in personal injury to know that
20		least arguably, there might be a human rights claim	20		families get estranged, not everyone is immediately
21		arising out of that. And the disruption to family life	21		thought of, and sometimes people appear who have
22		is something which, in my view, justifies compensation,	22		actually given a lot of care who may no longer be part
23		difficult though it is to evaluate how much you should	23		of the family but haven't been considered. It's that
24		give for that.	24		sort of situation I would envisage a separate claim
25	Q.	Now, the family care award you deal with at	25		being made.
		25			26
4	0	Might it also in the area of whom the infected	4		is that the initial verses sould have exercised if they
1 ว	Q.	Might it also in the case of where the infected	1 2		is that the injured person could have organised, if they
2 3		person has died, it may be more straightforward for the affected person	2		had the money, a sort of care, that's the value that's been lost.
4	Α.	True.	4		I appreciate that's not what some people are going
5	Q.		5		to feel is the case but, again, it's a question of the
6	ω.	it to a claim that might be made by the estate?	6		extent to which this scheme should depart from Common
7	Α.	Yes, although, in terms of administration of the scheme,	7		Law principles or not, and again it is a value judgment.
8	м.	clearly it would be better and less complex if care	8		My judgment was this was an area where you are
9		claims were assessed in the round, because clearly they	9		compensating people for something that they had
10		interrelate and are interdependent on each other.	10		voluntarily done, albeit they may feel compelled by
11	Q.	Now, you have not recommended financial loss awards for	11		obligation to do it. So I understand that. But there
12	ч.	affected people. So those who may have had to give up	12		is an element of choice in what they have done, and
13		work or those who have not been able, for example, as	13		everyone's circumstances are slightly different, and
14		children to follow through their education with	14		sometimes very different. And, for instance, if you had
15		a potentially quite a direct and obvious impact upon	15		a case where and you may have cases where a highly
16		their ability earn, you've not recommended financial	16		remunerated person gives up that job in order to care
17		awards for those categories of individual.	17		for their relatives, the question might be asked, kindly
18	Α.	No.	18		I hope; well, you could have afforded to pay for someone
19	Q.	Why is that?	19		to come in to replace it, you didn't have to lose that
20	A.	Probably I'm betraying my experience of the Common Law,	20		sum of money. That's the sort of issue one would hope
21		care claims there are always measured by a cost of what	21		to avoid by not seeking to assess loss of earnings
22		the care would be if you bought it basically, and	22		claims in this field.
23		where and loss of earnings, on the whole I think this	23	Q.	Can I perhaps raise an issue that might be said to
24		is still the case, cannot be claimed where those exceed	24		explain why, in that context, that kind of question, as
25		that cost. The somewhat uncharitable theory behind that	25		you say, are posed in Common Law damages claims might
		27			28

(7) Pages 25 - 28

INQY1000225_0007

1		not be so apt here. If one is thinking back to the	1		person, the more random are the circumstances that
2		1980s and to the 1990s in particular and HIV, and the	2		surround what actually happened, and it's remote in the
3		stigma, the fear, the social isolation, there may have	3		sense that in legal terms it's thought to be not
4		been in reality no alternative for those family members	4		reasonably foreseeable, all these different
5		in terms of care. They would not have been able to find	5		circumstances, and it's sort of unfair to ask the
6		people who would be willing to care because of the	6		tortfeasor, the person who has been negligent, to pay in
7		prejudice, the discrimination, the stigma. That might	7		this random way.
8		be, would you accept, a reason for taking a different	8		So that's a policy decision that the law takes in
9		approach in these cases?	9		relation to those, and it seems to me that it would
10	Α.	Well, it might be but that would probably apply to many	10		probably be wrong for a compensation scheme like this to
11		other diseases. If you go back that far, I'm afraid	11		take a different approach. But, again, I absolutely
12		I remember that having a disabled baby might carry	12		accept that a different view could be taken.
13		a stigma and there wasn't necessarily a willingness to	13	Q.	1 3 1
14		undertake those tasks there. You could say there was	14		a fair way to look at it: your recommendation in this
15		a shortage of carers. There probably was and probably	15		respect, or the absence of a recommendation of the
16		is today people who are prepared to be paid to do these	16		ability to bring a financial losses claim for
17		jobs. We know that is the case.	17		the affected, reflects the approach taken in Common Law
18		Again, it's a question of where you draw a line,	18		damages claims
19		both in Common Law, where it is called remoteness, how	19	Α.	
20		remote is the claim that's being made from the injury,	20	Q.	and the policy considerations which underpin that?
21		and I think what influences that to some extent is	21	A.	Yes.
22		partly a sort of policy issue, we've got to have a limit	22	Q.	On the other hand, you can also see the case that can be
23		on how much by way of damages is had generally. But	23		made on moral and fairness grounds?
24		it's actually partly on the fact the further away you	24	Α.	I can. I have to say if such claims were to be allowed
25		get from the direct needs of the individual infected 29	25		I could foresee a case also being made for that to be 30
					•••
1		scrutinised with some degree of care to do with the	1		their caring responsibilities and so on and as a result
1		scrutinised with some degree of care to do with the	1		their caring responsibilities and so on, and as a result
2		issues around choice, what was available and so on, some	2		of that is unable to work, you are not recommending
2 3		issues around choice, what was available and so on, some of which could end up being quite distressing and it	2 3		of that is unable to work, you are not recommending a loss of earnings claim for that category of individual
2 3 4		issues around choice, what was available and so on, some of which could end up being quite distressing and it would not be I think very helpful for many families	2 3 4	А.	of that is unable to work, you are not recommending a loss of earnings claim for that category of individual either?
2 3 4 5		issues around choice, what was available and so on, some of which could end up being quite distressing and it would not be I think very helpful for many families for and if there were to be an investigation it	2 3 4 5	A. Q.	of that is unable to work, you are not recommending a loss of earnings claim for that category of individual either? I haven't, but I would accept it could be considered.
2 3 4 5 6		issues around choice, what was available and so on, some of which could end up being quite distressing and it would not be I think very helpful for many families for and if there were to be an investigation it won't Mrs Bloggs gave up a highly paid job in the	2 3 4 5 6	A. Q.	of that is unable to work, you are not recommending a loss of earnings claim for that category of individual either? I haven't, but I would accept it could be considered. You would see that as less of a remove from the
2 3 4 5 6 7		issues around choice, what was available and so on, some of which could end up being quite distressing and it would not be I think very helpful for many families for and if there were to be an investigation it won't Mrs Bloggs gave up a highly paid job in the City in order to care for the infected person, why	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	of that is unable to work, you are not recommending a loss of earnings claim for that category of individual either? I haven't, but I would accept it could be considered. You would see that as less of a remove from the policy (overspeaking)
2 3 4 5 6		issues around choice, what was available and so on, some of which could end up being quite distressing and it would not be I think very helpful for many families for and if there were to be an investigation it won't Mrs Bloggs gave up a highly paid job in the City in order to care for the infected person, why didn't you choose Auntie Mabel who is sitting at home	2 3 4 5 6	Q.	of that is unable to work, you are not recommending a loss of earnings claim for that category of individual either? I haven't, but I would accept it could be considered. You would see that as less of a remove from the policy (overspeaking) Yes. I think all there are two aspects of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		issues around choice, what was available and so on, some of which could end up being quite distressing and it would not be I think very helpful for many families for and if there were to be an investigation it won't Mrs Bloggs gave up a highly paid job in the City in order to care for the infected person, why didn't you choose Auntie Mabel who is sitting at home not doing very much? You know and all of which would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	of that is unable to work, you are not recommending a loss of earnings claim for that category of individual either? I haven't, but I would accept it could be considered. You would see that as less of a remove from the policy (overspeaking) Yes. I think all there are two aspects of proportionality or parity, if you like, I think need to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		issues around choice, what was available and so on, some of which could end up being quite distressing and it would not be I think very helpful for many families for and if there were to be an investigation it won't Mrs Bloggs gave up a highly paid job in the City in order to care for the infected person, why didn't you choose Auntie Mabel who is sitting at home not doing very much? You know and all of which would be highly offensive to people, but I would foresee that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	of that is unable to work, you are not recommending a loss of earnings claim for that category of individual either? I haven't, but I would accept it could be considered. You would see that as less of a remove from the policy (overspeaking) Yes. I think all there are two aspects of proportionality or parity, if you like, I think need to be had in regard to all these what are in the end value
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		issues around choice, what was available and so on, some of which could end up being quite distressing and it would not be I think very helpful for many families for and if there were to be an investigation it won't Mrs Bloggs gave up a highly paid job in the City in order to care for the infected person, why didn't you choose Auntie Mabel who is sitting at home not doing very much? You know and all of which would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	of that is unable to work, you are not recommending a loss of earnings claim for that category of individual either? I haven't, but I would accept it could be considered. You would see that as less of a remove from the policy (overspeaking) Yes. I think all there are two aspects of proportionality or parity, if you like, I think need to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		issues around choice, what was available and so on, some of which could end up being quite distressing and it would not be I think very helpful for many families for and if there were to be an investigation it won't Mrs Bloggs gave up a highly paid job in the City in order to care for the infected person, why didn't you choose Auntie Mabel who is sitting at home not doing very much? You know and all of which would be highly offensive to people, but I would foresee that if there was to be that sort of award there might need	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	of that is unable to work, you are not recommending a loss of earnings claim for that category of individual either? I haven't, but I would accept it could be considered. You would see that as less of a remove from the policy (overspeaking) Yes. I think all there are two aspects of proportionality or parity, if you like, I think need to be had in regard to all these what are in the end value judgments. One is the comparison between what this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		issues around choice, what was available and so on, some of which could end up being quite distressing and it would not be I think very helpful for many families for and if there were to be an investigation it won't Mrs Bloggs gave up a highly paid job in the City in order to care for the infected person, why didn't you choose Auntie Mabel who is sitting at home not doing very much? You know and all of which would be highly offensive to people, but I would foresee that if there was to be that sort of award there might need to be some enquiry into how reasonable the actions were which led to that loss.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	of that is unable to work, you are not recommending a loss of earnings claim for that category of individual either? I haven't, but I would accept it could be considered. You would see that as less of a remove from the policy (overspeaking) Yes. I think all there are two aspects of proportionality or parity, if you like, I think need to be had in regard to all these what are in the end value judgments. One is the comparison between what this group of victims receives as opposed to the victims of other forms of health care related negligence or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		issues around choice, what was available and so on, some of which could end up being quite distressing and it would not be I think very helpful for many families for and if there were to be an investigation it won't Mrs Bloggs gave up a highly paid job in the City in order to care for the infected person, why didn't you choose Auntie Mabel who is sitting at home not doing very much? You know and all of which would be highly offensive to people, but I would foresee that if there was to be that sort of award there might need to be some enquiry into how reasonable the actions were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	of that is unable to work, you are not recommending a loss of earnings claim for that category of individual either? I haven't, but I would accept it could be considered. You would see that as less of a remove from the policy (overspeaking) Yes. I think all there are two aspects of proportionality or parity, if you like, I think need to be had in regard to all these what are in the end value judgments. One is the comparison between what this group of victims receives as opposed to the victims of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		issues around choice, what was available and so on, some of which could end up being quite distressing and it would not be I think very helpful for many families for and if there were to be an investigation it won't Mrs Bloggs gave up a highly paid job in the City in order to care for the infected person, why didn't you choose Auntie Mabel who is sitting at home not doing very much? You know and all of which would be highly offensive to people, but I would foresee that if there was to be that sort of award there might need to be some enquiry into how reasonable the actions were which led to that loss. Whereas when you are talking about care, the actual	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	of that is unable to work, you are not recommending a loss of earnings claim for that category of individual either? I haven't, but I would accept it could be considered. You would see that as less of a remove from the policy (overspeaking) Yes. I think all there are two aspects of proportionality or parity, if you like, I think need to be had in regard to all these what are in the end value judgments. One is the comparison between what this group of victims receives as opposed to the victims of other forms of health care related negligence or culpability. That's one thing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		issues around choice, what was available and so on, some of which could end up being quite distressing and it would not be I think very helpful for many families for and if there were to be an investigation it won't Mrs Bloggs gave up a highly paid job in the City in order to care for the infected person, why didn't you choose Auntie Mabel who is sitting at home not doing very much? You know and all of which would be highly offensive to people, but I would foresee that if there was to be that sort of award there might need to be some enquiry into how reasonable the actions were which led to that loss. Whereas when you are talking about care, the actual cost of care, in terms of hours and hourly rates and so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	of that is unable to work, you are not recommending a loss of earnings claim for that category of individual either? I haven't, but I would accept it could be considered. You would see that as less of a remove from the policy (overspeaking) Yes. I think all there are two aspects of proportionality or parity, if you like, I think need to be had in regard to all these what are in the end value judgments. One is the comparison between what this group of victims receives as opposed to the victims of other forms of health care related negligence or culpability. That's one thing. But also one thing that I was extremely impressed by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		issues around choice, what was available and so on, some of which could end up being quite distressing and it would not be I think very helpful for many families for and if there were to be an investigation it won't Mrs Bloggs gave up a highly paid job in the City in order to care for the infected person, why didn't you choose Auntie Mabel who is sitting at home not doing very much? You know and all of which would be highly offensive to people, but I would foresee that if there was to be that sort of award there might need to be some enquiry into how reasonable the actions were which led to that loss. Whereas when you are talking about care, the actual cost of care, in terms of hours and hourly rates and so on, you are establishing the actual need of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	of that is unable to work, you are not recommending a loss of earnings claim for that category of individual either? I haven't, but I would accept it could be considered. You would see that as less of a remove from the policy (overspeaking) Yes. I think all there are two aspects of proportionality or parity, if you like, I think need to be had in regard to all these what are in the end value judgments. One is the comparison between what this group of victims receives as opposed to the victims of other forms of health care related negligence or culpability. That's one thing. But also one thing that I was extremely impressed by was that from the people I met and who came to my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		issues around choice, what was available and so on, some of which could end up being quite distressing and it would not be I think very helpful for many families for and if there were to be an investigation it won't Mrs Bloggs gave up a highly paid job in the City in order to care for the infected person, why didn't you choose Auntie Mabel who is sitting at home not doing very much? You know and all of which would be highly offensive to people, but I would foresee that if there was to be that sort of award there might need to be some enquiry into how reasonable the actions were which led to that loss. Whereas when you are talking about care, the actual cost of care, in terms of hours and hourly rates and so on, you are establishing the actual need of an individual without much regard who actually in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	of that is unable to work, you are not recommending a loss of earnings claim for that category of individual either? I haven't, but I would accept it could be considered. You would see that as less of a remove from the policy (overspeaking) Yes. I think all there are two aspects of proportionality or parity, if you like, I think need to be had in regard to all these what are in the end value judgments. One is the comparison between what this group of victims receives as opposed to the victims of other forms of health care related negligence or culpability. That's one thing. But also one thing that I was extremely impressed by was that from the people I met and who came to my meetings, I had a very powerful sense that they were in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	issues around choice, what was available and so on, some of which could end up being quite distressing and it would not be I think very helpful for many families for and if there were to be an investigation it won't Mrs Bloggs gave up a highly paid job in the City in order to care for the infected person, why didn't you choose Auntie Mabel who is sitting at home not doing very much? You know and all of which would be highly offensive to people, but I would foresee that if there was to be that sort of award there might need to be some enquiry into how reasonable the actions were which led to that loss. Whereas when you are talking about care, the actual cost of care, in terms of hours and hourly rates and so on, you are establishing the actual need of an individual without much regard who actually in terms of its value, who provided it and you then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	of that is unable to work, you are not recommending a loss of earnings claim for that category of individual either? I haven't, but I would accept it could be considered. You would see that as less of a remove from the policy (overspeaking) Yes. I think all there are two aspects of proportionality or parity, if you like, I think need to be had in regard to all these what are in the end value judgments. One is the comparison between what this group of victims receives as opposed to the victims of other forms of health care related negligence or culpability. That's one thing. But also one thing that I was extremely impressed by was that from the people I met and who came to my meetings, I had a very powerful sense that they were in this together and they didn't want things to be done
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	issues around choice, what was available and so on, some of which could end up being quite distressing and it would not be I think very helpful for many families for and if there were to be an investigation it won't Mrs Bloggs gave up a highly paid job in the City in order to care for the infected person, why didn't you choose Auntie Mabel who is sitting at home not doing very much? You know and all of which would be highly offensive to people, but I would foresee that if there was to be that sort of award there might need to be some enquiry into how reasonable the actions were which led to that loss. Whereas when you are talking about care, the actual cost of care, in terms of hours and hourly rates and so on, you are establishing the actual need of an individual without much regard who actually in terms of its value, who provided it and you then distribute the money according to whoever provided it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	of that is unable to work, you are not recommending a loss of earnings claim for that category of individual either? I haven't, but I would accept it could be considered. You would see that as less of a remove from the policy (overspeaking) Yes. I think all there are two aspects of proportionality or parity, if you like, I think need to be had in regard to all these what are in the end value judgments. One is the comparison between what this group of victims receives as opposed to the victims of other forms of health care related negligence or culpability. That's one thing. But also one thing that I was extremely impressed by was that from the people I met and who came to my meetings, I had a very powerful sense that they were in this together and they didn't want things to be done which divided them, and the regretted that in some cases
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	issues around choice, what was available and so on, some of which could end up being quite distressing and it would not be I think very helpful for many families for and if there were to be an investigation it won't Mrs Bloggs gave up a highly paid job in the City in order to care for the infected person, why didn't you choose Auntie Mabel who is sitting at home not doing very much? You know and all of which would be highly offensive to people, but I would foresee that if there was to be that sort of award there might need to be some enquiry into how reasonable the actions were which led to that loss. Whereas when you are talking about care, the actual cost of care, in terms of hours and hourly rates and so on, you are establishing the actual need of an individual without much regard who actually in terms of its value, who provided it and you then distribute the money according to whoever provided it. I think it also follows from what's in your report and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	of that is unable to work, you are not recommending a loss of earnings claim for that category of individual either? I haven't, but I would accept it could be considered. You would see that as less of a remove from the policy (overspeaking) Yes. I think all there are two aspects of proportionality or parity, if you like, I think need to be had in regard to all these what are in the end value judgments. One is the comparison between what this group of victims receives as opposed to the victims of other forms of health care related negligence or culpability. That's one thing. But also one thing that I was extremely impressed by was that from the people I met and who came to my meetings, I had a very powerful sense that they were in this together and they didn't want things to be done which divided them, and the regretted that in some cases there did seem to be divisions, as inevitably there are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	issues around choice, what was available and so on, some of which could end up being quite distressing and it would not be I think very helpful for many families for and if there were to be an investigation it won't Mrs Bloggs gave up a highly paid job in the City in order to care for the infected person, why didn't you choose Auntie Mabel who is sitting at home not doing very much? You know and all of which would be highly offensive to people, but I would foresee that if there was to be that sort of award there might need to be some enquiry into how reasonable the actions were which led to that loss. Whereas when you are talking about care, the actual cost of care, in terms of hours and hourly rates and so on, you are establishing the actual need of an individual without much regard who actually in terms of its value, who provided it and you then distribute the money according to whoever provided it. I think it also follows from what's in your report and what's not in your report, that for the if we look at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	of that is unable to work, you are not recommending a loss of earnings claim for that category of individual either? I haven't, but I would accept it could be considered. You would see that as less of a remove from the policy (overspeaking) Yes. I think all there are two aspects of proportionality or parity, if you like, I think need to be had in regard to all these what are in the end value judgments. One is the comparison between what this group of victims receives as opposed to the victims of other forms of health care related negligence or culpability. That's one thing. But also one thing that I was extremely impressed by was that from the people I met and who came to my meetings, I had a very powerful sense that they were in this together and they didn't want things to be done which divided them, and the regretted that in some cases there did seem to be divisions, as inevitably there are about opinions in an area as fraught as this, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	۵.	issues around choice, what was available and so on, some of which could end up being quite distressing and it would not be I think very helpful for many families for and if there were to be an investigation it won't Mrs Bloggs gave up a highly paid job in the City in order to care for the infected person, why didn't you choose Auntie Mabel who is sitting at home not doing very much? You know and all of which would be highly offensive to people, but I would foresee that if there was to be that sort of award there might need to be some enquiry into how reasonable the actions were which led to that loss. Whereas when you are talking about care, the actual cost of care, in terms of hours and hourly rates and so on, you are establishing the actual need of an individual without much regard who actually in terms of its value, who provided it and you then distribute the money according to whoever provided it. I think it also follows from what's in your report and what's not in your report, that for the if we look at the "lnjury Impact" section at the top of the page	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	of that is unable to work, you are not recommending a loss of earnings claim for that category of individual either? I haven't, but I would accept it could be considered. You would see that as less of a remove from the policy (overspeaking) Yes. I think all there are two aspects of proportionality or parity, if you like, I think need to be had in regard to all these what are in the end value judgments. One is the comparison between what this group of victims receives as opposed to the victims of other forms of health care related negligence or culpability. That's one thing. But also one thing that I was extremely impressed by was that from the people I met and who came to my meetings, I had a very powerful sense that they were in this together and they didn't want things to be done which divided them, and the regretted that in some cases there did seem to be divisions, as inevitably there are about opinions in an area as fraught as this, and obviously people are going to receive different amounts
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	issues around choice, what was available and so on, some of which could end up being quite distressing and it would not be I think very helpful for many families for and if there were to be an investigation it won't Mrs Bloggs gave up a highly paid job in the City in order to care for the infected person, why didn't you choose Auntie Mabel who is sitting at home not doing very much? You know and all of which would be highly offensive to people, but I would foresee that if there was to be that sort of award there might need to be some enquiry into how reasonable the actions were which led to that loss. Whereas when you are talking about care, the actual cost of care, in terms of hours and hourly rates and so on, you are establishing the actual need of an individual without much regard who actually in terms of its value, who provided it and you then distribute the money according to whoever provided it. I think it also follows from what's in your report and what's not in your report, that for the if we look at the "lnjury Impact" section at the top of the page again, even where the affected person has suffered,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	of that is unable to work, you are not recommending a loss of earnings claim for that category of individual either? I haven't, but I would accept it could be considered. You would see that as less of a remove from the policy (overspeaking) Yes. I think all there are two aspects of proportionality or parity, if you like, I think need to be had in regard to all these what are in the end value judgments. One is the comparison between what this group of victims receives as opposed to the victims of other forms of health care related negligence or culpability. That's one thing. But also one thing that I was extremely impressed by was that from the people I met and who came to my meetings, I had a very powerful sense that they were in this together and they didn't want things to be done which divided them, and the regretted that in some cases there did seem to be divisions, as inevitably there are about opinions in an area as fraught as this, and obviously people are going to receive different amounts because their circumstances are different but the wider

(8) Pages 29 - 32

1		way.
2		While in a sense that might be a lesser point, one
3		of the features absolutely central to this scheme is
4		that it has to be a scheme people trust and think that
5		overall, in terms of the entire community that has been
6		affected in this way, is fair. So I just put that point
7		out there.
8 9		So if you had, for instance, a family which had always had a modest income and, therefore, there was
9 10		no the actual care claim was probably in excess of
11		what they would have earned in a job, looking at
12		a family where the, you know, fabled merchant banker was
13		receiving a loss of earnings claim running into hundreds
14		of thousands of pounds, so there might be a feeling we
15		aren't all in this together.
16	Q.	Just again to recap in relation to the eligible affected
17		person where the infected person is still alive.
18	Α.	Yes.
19	Q.	The elements of claim there are the ones we have
20		described, the injury impact award, the social impact
21	_	award, the autonomy award
22	A.	Yes.
23	Q.	missing from this page but present in the
24 25		recommendation, and the family care award, but that will
25		represent past care, the care already given 33
1	Q.	How that is then distributed may depend upon the
1 2	Q.	How that is then distributed may depend upon the particular arrangements and family arrangements?
1 2 3	Q. A.	particular arrangements and family arrangements?
2		
2 3		particular arrangements and family arrangements? It is probably worth pointing out that the care award,
2 3 4		particular arrangements and family arrangements? It is probably worth pointing out that the care award, in those circumstances the executors or whoever else it
2 3 4 5		particular arrangements and family arrangements? It is probably worth pointing out that the care award, in those circumstances the executors or whoever else it is of the estate would, I think, be obliged to
2 3 4 5 6		particular arrangements and family arrangements? It is probably worth pointing out that the care award, in those circumstances the executors or whoever else it is of the estate would, I think, be obliged to distribute those fairly amongst those who'd provided the
2 3 4 5 6 7		particular arrangements and family arrangements? It is probably worth pointing out that the care award, in those circumstances the executors or whoever else it is of the estate would, I think, be obliged to distribute those fairly amongst those who'd provided the care, and that would be independent of any dependency award we are about to come on to. So there's that element, and then there are the two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Α.	particular arrangements and family arrangements? It is probably worth pointing out that the care award, in those circumstances the executors or whoever else it is of the estate would, I think, be obliged to distribute those fairly amongst those who'd provided the care, and that would be independent of any dependency award we are about to come on to. So there's that element, and then there are the two further elements you identify here under the heading
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Α.	particular arrangements and family arrangements? It is probably worth pointing out that the care award, in those circumstances the executors or whoever else it is of the estate would, I think, be obliged to distribute those fairly amongst those who'd provided the care, and that would be independent of any dependency award we are about to come on to. So there's that element, and then there are the two further elements you identify here under the heading "Bereavement Award and Bereaved Family Financial Loss
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Α.	particular arrangements and family arrangements? It is probably worth pointing out that the care award, in those circumstances the executors or whoever else it is of the estate would, I think, be obliged to distribute those fairly amongst those who'd provided the care, and that would be independent of any dependency award we are about to come on to. So there's that element, and then there are the two further elements you identify here under the heading "Bereavement Award and Bereaved Family Financial Loss Award". So these are claims that are made not by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Α.	particular arrangements and family arrangements? It is probably worth pointing out that the care award, in those circumstances the executors or whoever else it is of the estate would, I think, be obliged to distribute those fairly amongst those who'd provided the care, and that would be independent of any dependency award we are about to come on to. So there's that element, and then there are the two further elements you identify here under the heading "Bereavement Award and Bereaved Family Financial Loss Award". So these are claims that are made not by the estate but by the eligible affected person. There is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Α.	particular arrangements and family arrangements? It is probably worth pointing out that the care award, in those circumstances the executors or whoever else it is of the estate would, I think, be obliged to distribute those fairly amongst those who'd provided the care, and that would be independent of any dependency award we are about to come on to. So there's that element, and then there are the two further elements you identify here under the heading "Bereavement Award and Bereaved Family Financial Loss Award". So these are claims that are made not by the estate but by the eligible affected person. There is the bereavement award which is, as you have indicated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Α.	particular arrangements and family arrangements? It is probably worth pointing out that the care award, in those circumstances the executors or whoever else it is of the estate would, I think, be obliged to distribute those fairly amongst those who'd provided the care, and that would be independent of any dependency award we are about to come on to. So there's that element, and then there are the two further elements you identify here under the heading "Bereavement Award and Bereaved Family Financial Loss Award". So these are claims that are made not by the estate but by the eligible affected person. There is the bereavement award which is, as you have indicated previously when talking about it yesterday, you've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Α.	particular arrangements and family arrangements? It is probably worth pointing out that the care award, in those circumstances the executors or whoever else it is of the estate would, I think, be obliged to distribute those fairly amongst those who'd provided the care, and that would be independent of any dependency award we are about to come on to. So there's that element, and then there are the two further elements you identify here under the heading "Bereavement Award and Bereaved Family Financial Loss Award". So these are claims that are made not by the estate but by the eligible affected person. There is the bereavement award which is, as you have indicated previously when talking about it yesterday, you've suggested it reflects the award that can be made in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Α.	particular arrangements and family arrangements? It is probably worth pointing out that the care award, in those circumstances the executors or whoever else it is of the estate would, I think, be obliged to distribute those fairly amongst those who'd provided the care, and that would be independent of any dependency award we are about to come on to. So there's that element, and then there are the two further elements you identify here under the heading "Bereavement Award and Bereaved Family Financial Loss Award". So these are claims that are made not by the estate but by the eligible affected person. There is the bereavement award which is, as you have indicated previously when talking about it yesterday, you've suggested it reflects the award that can be made in a damages claim which, as you've said, is low, some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Α.	particular arrangements and family arrangements? It is probably worth pointing out that the care award, in those circumstances the executors or whoever else it is of the estate would, I think, be obliged to distribute those fairly amongst those who'd provided the care, and that would be independent of any dependency award we are about to come on to. So there's that element, and then there are the two further elements you identify here under the heading "Bereavement Award and Bereaved Family Financial Loss Award". So these are claims that are made not by the estate but by the eligible affected person. There is the bereavement award which is, as you have indicated previously when talking about it yesterday, you've suggested it reflects the award that can be made in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q.	particular arrangements and family arrangements? It is probably worth pointing out that the care award, in those circumstances the executors or whoever else it is of the estate would, I think, be obliged to distribute those fairly amongst those who'd provided the care, and that would be independent of any dependency award we are about to come on to. So there's that element, and then there are the two further elements you identify here under the heading "Bereavement Award and Bereaved Family Financial Loss Award". So these are claims that are made not by the estate but by the eligible affected person. There is the bereavement award which is, as you have indicated previously when talking about it yesterday, you've suggested it reflects the award that can be made in a damages claim which, as you've said, is low, some people would regard it as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q.	particular arrangements and family arrangements? It is probably worth pointing out that the care award, in those circumstances the executors or whoever else it is of the estate would, I think, be obliged to distribute those fairly amongst those who'd provided the care, and that would be independent of any dependency award we are about to come on to. So there's that element, and then there are the two further elements you identify here under the heading "Bereavement Award and Bereaved Family Financial Loss Award". So these are claims that are made not by the estate but by the eligible affected person. There is the bereavement award which is, as you have indicated previously when talking about it yesterday, you've suggested it reflects the award that can be made in a damages claim which, as you've said, is low, some people would regard it as £15,000-odd, yes. It may be a little higher now.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q.	particular arrangements and family arrangements? It is probably worth pointing out that the care award, in those circumstances the executors or whoever else it is of the estate would, I think, be obliged to distribute those fairly amongst those who'd provided the care, and that would be independent of any dependency award we are about to come on to. So there's that element, and then there are the two further elements you identify here under the heading "Bereavement Award and Bereaved Family Financial Loss Award". So these are claims that are made not by the estate but by the eligible affected person. There is the bereavement award which is, as you have indicated previously when talking about it yesterday, you've suggested it reflects the award that can be made in a damages claim which, as you've said, is low, some people would regard it as £15,000-odd, yes. It may be a little higher now. Someone will tell me what it is, but it is in that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A.	particular arrangements and family arrangements? It is probably worth pointing out that the care award, in those circumstances the executors or whoever else it is of the estate would, I think, be obliged to distribute those fairly amongst those who'd provided the care, and that would be independent of any dependency award we are about to come on to. So there's that element, and then there are the two further elements you identify here under the heading "Bereavement Award and Bereaved Family Financial Loss Award". So these are claims that are made not by the estate but by the eligible affected person. There is the bereavement award which is, as you have indicated previously when talking about it yesterday, you've suggested it reflects the award that can be made in a damages claim which, as you've said, is low, some people would regard it as £15,000-odd, yes. It may be a little higher now. Someone will tell me what it is, but it is in that region.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A.	particular arrangements and family arrangements? It is probably worth pointing out that the care award, in those circumstances the executors or whoever else it is of the estate would, I think, be obliged to distribute those fairly amongst those who'd provided the care, and that would be independent of any dependency award we are about to come on to. So there's that element, and then there are the two further elements you identify here under the heading "Bereavement Award and Bereaved Family Financial Loss Award". So these are claims that are made not by the estate but by the eligible affected person. There is the bereavement award which is, as you have indicated previously when talking about it yesterday, you've suggested it reflects the award that can be made in a damages claim which, as you've said, is low, some people would regard it as £15,000-odd, yes. It may be a little higher now. Someone will tell me what it is, but it is in that region. That obviously is a one-off payment?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q.	particular arrangements and family arrangements? It is probably worth pointing out that the care award, in those circumstances the executors or whoever else it is of the estate would, I think, be obliged to distribute those fairly amongst those who'd provided the care, and that would be independent of any dependency award we are about to come on to. So there's that element, and then there are the two further elements you identify here under the heading "Bereavement Award and Bereaved Family Financial Loss Award". So these are claims that are made not by the estate but by the eligible affected person. There is the bereavement award which is, as you have indicated previously when talking about it yesterday, you've suggested it reflects the award that can be made in a damages claim which, as you've said, is low, some people would regard it as £15,000-odd, yes. It may be a little higher now. Someone will tell me what it is, but it is in that region. That obviously is a one-off payment? Yes.

4		Yee
1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	because it will be for the individual infected person
3		to make the claim for future care?
4	Α.	Yes. Obviously one of the purposes of a future care
5		award is to free up these unfortunate families from
6		having to provide so much of the work themselves,
7		although obviously they would be free to do so and to
8		receive by way of remuneration, if that was the
9	-	arrangement, what was there.
10	Q.	Can I turn then to the position where the infected
11		person has died, unfortunately all too common
12		a position.
13	Α.	All too common.
14	Q.	First of all, as we alluded to yesterday, the estate of
15		that person, so those representing, as it were, that
16		person
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	would be able to bring a claim which would encompass
19		all the past elements that we have looked at. So it
20		would encompass they would be able to bring a claim
21		on behalf of their late husband, or whoever it might be,
22		that would attract the injury award, the social impact
23		award, the autonomy award and past care award and past
24		financial loss award.
25	Α.	Yes.
		34
1		"9.112 This should be calculated as would a loss of
2		dependency claim under the Fatal Accidents Act as
3		described above. The claim would have to be brought by
4		the personal representatives of the deceased infected
5		person."
6		Again, for the benefit of those who will not be
7		familiar necessarily with the concept of dependency
8		claims under the Fatal Accidents Act, can you describe
9		in a few sentences how that works?
10	Α.	I have attempted to do so elsewhere in the report, but
11		essentially it is a claim made if we take, for
12		instance, the children of a deceased person, who would
13		have been expected, had their deceased person lived, to
14		have received benefits from them which could
15		financial benefits, so their as children they would
16		have been maintained, their food would have been bought,
17		accommodation provided and so on, and as adults they
18		might have had a dependency because they might have been
19		given money to help with their education, again they
20		might have been given money to help with their family
21		and so on. They are quite specific in terms of claim.
22		So there are formulae which are, generally speaking,
23		used in personal injury claims to work these things out.
24		What you take off a person's resources out of which they
25		might be supporting dependents would be what they would
		36
		(0) Demos 32 - 30

(9) Pages 33 - 36

1		have spent on themselves, for obvious reasons, and	1	Q.	There are categories of affected people who, under the
2		you what the rest you then divvy up, as it were,	2		current support schemes, receive regular support
3		between the various dependents, if I can put it as	3		payments widows, widowers support payments
4		crudely as that.	4		reflecting I think this is right for the English
5		So it is a figure, and it is invariably awarded as	5		figures, again someone can correct me, please, in the
6		a lump sum which reflects the amount of money, in money	6		break if I'm wrong for the first year 100 per cent of
7		terms, the value that a deceased person, but for their	7		the support payment?
8		death, would have provided to people dependent on them.	8	Α.	Yes.
9		So usually children but, of course, can involve adults.	9	Q.	That the infected person would have got and thereafter
10		And, of course, if you have an adult who has special	10		I think it is 75%?
11		needs, for instance, of one sort or the other,	11	Α.	Yes.
12		the dependency claim might be higher, but it is governed	12	Q.	Consistent with your general recommendation that no one
13		by the amount of resource that the deceased person would	13		should be worse off, consistent with your general
14		have had, had they lived.	14		recommendation that the support payment should continue,
15		So it is a matter of starting with what their	15		would it follow that that should continue and that,
16		earnings would have been, and then you take off that	16		therefore, those who are in that position might have
17		what they would have spent on themselves and you	17		a choice, they could continue to receive those support
18		would include in that, if a deceased person provided	18		payments or they could bring a dependency claim but they
19		services to a member of the family, gardening, parental	19		are likely only to want to bring a dependency claim in
20		care and so on, that could be evaluated as well but	20		terms of the future if they are going to recover more
21		there are fairly established or, very established	21		than the support payments?
22		principles by which such claims are calculated, and it	22	Α.	I think my answer is, yes, there is quite a lot wrapped
23		struck me that the easier thing to do, rather than me	23		up in that question, if I may say so
24		trying to reinvent the wheel, would be to use those	24	Q.	Sorry.
25		methodologies here.	25	Α.	but I would agree that the existing support payment
		37			38
1		would continue in any event. But I would say, in line	1		support payments being taken away, but for the future
2		with what I have said about infected persons, that that	2		they wouldn't necessarily receive that and the
3		should be taken into account, as it were, credited	3		dependency or loss of earnings.
4	~	against	4	A.	No.
5		Yes.	5	Q.	Those are the questions I want to ask about
6	Α.	the dependency, and in many cases that would	6		recommendation 9.
7		probably it could well exceed the dependency, and	7		I have no specific additional question in relation
8		so that being that position.	8		to recommendation 10, which I think probably pulls
9		It occurs to me that there would, of course, be the	9		together a lot of what we've already discussed. We can
10		slightly complicated but not impossible indication to be	10		just go back to the text of it, for the sake of
11		made, because the support payment is by definition	11		completeness, at page 37.
12		a periodical payment, and so that would have to be capitalised in the sense of working out what the capital	12 13		So your recommendation 10 was:
13 14		value of that was, in order to see whether it is more or			" [the] framework of tariff based compensation
15		less than the lump sum awardable under the Fatal	14 15		at rates which broadly reflect comparable rates of common law damages and other UK compensation schemes
16		Accidents Act. But that's, you need a big calculator to	16		assessed basis for defined financial losses. The
17		do it, but it's possible.	17		factors described in this report should inform the
18	Q.	But the important point, and again it just reflects some	18		matters for which compensation is awarded. The rates of
19	ω.	concerns or questions that have been raised with me, is	19		compensation should be based on the advice of the
20		those who are currently receiving those payments as	20		independent clinical and legal panels."
20		widows and widowers they should continue to get those	20		So I think recommendation 10 essentially pulls
21	A.	Yes.	21		together a number of the earlier recommendations.
22	Q.	no question of that being taken away?	22	A.	Yes, probably some critiques of the report should
23 24	Q. A.	No.	23	. .	probably say it shouldn't be a recommendation in that
24 25	Q.	Just as with the infected person, no question of their	24 25		form but I think it may be helpful to pull things
20	ω.	39			40

(10) Pages 37 - 40

1		together in that form.
2	Q.	
3		there are three elements to recommendation 11:
4		"a) eligible infected and affected persons should
5		not be required to accept the offer of an award in full
6		and final settlement of any right to pursue legal
7		actions related to the infection"
8		So they should not be required to sign a waiver
9	Α.	No, and
10	Q.	essentially or undertaking not to sue.
11	А.	I made that recommendation because many well, not
12		many but there were certainly a number of people who
13		told me of the distress caused to them and indeed what
14		they saw as an interference with their choice and their
15		autonomy at having to feeling they had to make such
16		a waiver in order to receive what in reality were
17		relatively small sums in settlement and it seemed to me
18		that would not be the case here.
19	SIR	BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I think there is also a matter of
20		principle, isn't there
21	Α.	Yes.
22	SIR	BRIAN LANGSTAFF: that this is a question of
23		entitlement not of contract
24	Α.	Yes.
25	SIR	BRIAN LANGSTAFF: whereas a settlement of a legal
		41
1		to litigate.
2	Q.	Can I just understand with you recommendations 11(b) and
3		11(c).
4	Α.	Yes.
5	Q.	So 11(b):
6		"any accepted scheme award should be set off against
7		any entitlement to damages for the same subject
8		matter"
9		Now, is that viewing it from the perspective of
10		a court assessing damages?
11	Α.	Yes. Well, clearly what I'm saying is that should,
12		having received a compensation award, an applicant
13		proceed with litigation in which damages were claimed
14		for the same subject matter? And, for instance,
15		a personal injury which was identical to the personal
16		injury included in the impact award, that that in
17		calculating any award of damages that the receipt of
18		that award should be taken into account, in other words
19		damages should be reduced by that amount.
20	Q.	Just to spell that out in very straightforward terms,
21		l hope, if someone has received, say, £120,000
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	as their impact injury award for the infection which
	Q.	as their impact injury award for the infection which they received and then they bring a claim, a legal
23	Q.	they received and then they bring a claim, a legal claim, and they are seeking general damages for pain and
23 24	Q.	they received and then they bring a claim, a legal

4		action is a matter of contract?
1		action is a matter of contract?
2	A.	Absolutely correct, sir.
3 4	A.	BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And so it is not in the same position.
4 5		RICHARDS: You set that out in a little more detail, your
6	MO	thinking, we don't need to go to it, but in
7		paragraph 9.7 of your report, page 95.
8	Α.	Yes. Can I make one other point, which is that one of
9	Λ.	the things I learned looking at other schemes, and again
10		in particular perhaps the 9/11 scheme, which was part of
11		the trust and confidence which was generated by that
12		scheme was the fact that it did not insist on a waiver
13		of the right to litigate on the basis that it was the
14		intention of the scheme so to provide something
15		sufficiently generous that people would not feel it was
16		necessary to do that, and in that case it worked I think
17		100 per cent.
18		Now, of course, you can achieve that result by
19		paying so much more money that it would be ridiculous to
20		go to court, and I'm certainly not recommending that
21		approach, but I do think that it makes this a more
22		consensual process, and one would hope that compensation
23		awarded would by and large satisfy people that they had
24		no need to litigate at least in order for the purpose of
25		getting damages. I can see there might be other reasons
		42
1		suffering, they wouldn't receive essentially there
2		wouldn't be double recovery, they wouldn't receive that
3		again.
4	A.	No.
5	Q.	The court would look at that and say: well, that
6		actually is as much or more what we would have awarded.
7	Α.	I think what is true here, which perhaps isn't
8		particularly apparent here, obviously it's the state who
9		would be providing the compensation. It might or might
10		not be the state who is the defendant in subsequent
11		litigation, but my recommendation would be whoever is
12		the defendant or paying party in litigation would be
13		able to claim, as it were, the credit for what was in
14		the compensation scheme where the claim was for the same
15		subject matter.
16	Q.	But, of course, there may be elements of a claim that
17		could be brought by an individual through litigation
18		which are distinct from what is set out in your
19		compensation scheme, and those would be unaffected?
20	Α.	Yes.
21	Q.	Then recommendation 11(c):
22		"the availability of an award under the scheme
23		should be a factor to which the court could have regard
24		when determining liability for costs in any court
25		proceedings related to the infection." 44

(11) Pages 41 - 44

1		Again, just to try to unpick and understand that, if
2		a person chose not to make an application to the
3		compensation scheme but to litigate and thereby incur
4		legal costs, is the suggestion that the court could but
5		wouldn't have to say, well, you are not going to get all
6		your costs
7	Α.	Yes.
8	Q.	because you could have got the same from making
9		an application under the compensation scheme?
10	Α.	It's already a feature of how courts award legal costs
11		that the court will take into account or can take into
12		account the conduct of the claimant, and indeed the
13		defendant for that matter, but here what's relevant is
14		the claimant, in the lead-up to the proceedings and,
15		therefore, it seemed to me that, in those circumstances,
16		say a claimant had decided not to go for an award of
17		compensation at all but just for damages, the court
18		might want to look at it wouldn't have to decide it
19		adversely to the claimant but it would want to be able
20		to look in justice at whether the claim could more
21		conveniently have been made under the scheme. But also
22		someone who has got an award under the scheme who then
23		proceeds anyway to litigate, if, for instance, they,
24		quote, "won" their litigation, but the difference the
25		excess, as it were, in terms of damages was really
		45
1		develop cirrhosis.
2		If their condition deteriorates to such an extent
3		that they do develop cirrhosis, then it becomes

2		It their condition deteriorates to such an extent
3		that they do develop cirrhosis, then it becomes
4		a different magnitude of disease arguably, would it not
5		be open it would be open in the Common Law courts to
6		say, well, you've got hepatitis C at the moment, you are
7		receiving treatment, which appears to be effective, but
8		you did have hepatitis C for some long time, I can see
9		there is a chance that you might develop cirrhosis but
10		we'll pay you on the basis that you don't develop
11		cirrhosis but allow you to come back for a top-up
12		payment or rather more than a top-up payment if you do.
13		That's what we would call provisional damages in the
14		courts.
15		Why did you think such a situation, where there
16		would be fairly clearly a fairly clear, it's not
17		completely clear, but fairly clear demarcation between
18		those who do not have cirrhosis or pre-cirrhosis and
19		those who do?
20	Α.	Well, as I think I said yesterday, clearly that would be
21		an option and, as you say, it would be an option and at
22		the choice of the claimant in civil proceedings. I was
23		impressed perhaps more and not everyone will agree
24		with the need being expressed to me for finality, and
25		I bear in mind that, if you take the case you mentioned, 47

1		small, the court might want to look at whether it is
2		proportionate behaviour to bring the litigation at all.
3		So the idea of this is in general and it is not just
4		in this respect, but the costs regime is designed to
5		make people think twice and preferably a lot more than
6		twice before litigating in any event but particularly in
7	~	those sort of circumstances.
8	Q.	So the recommendation of 11(c) only arises in the event
9		that somebody goes to court, and essentially it is there
10		to reflect that which the court can do anyway in
11 12		assessing costs? Yes. But it removes any uncertainty as to whether that
12	Α.	is the case.
13	Q.	Recommendation 12 related to the issue of final awards
14	ω.	rather than provisional, and then the second element is
16		the choice between lump sum or periodical payments in
10		relation to future loss.
18		We addressed that yesterday. I'm not going to ask
10		you about that further, although it may be that
20		Core Participants and their legal representatives may
21		want me to return to it, but I'm not proposing to for
22		current purposes.
23	SIR	BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Can I ask a question then arising out
24		of that. It's in relation to those who suffer from the
25		infection of hepatitis C, who have not yet and may never
		46
1		it would be what would happen if you asked for
2		a final award at the pre-cirrhosis stage would be that
3		there would be an assessment made of the risk of getting
4		cirrhosis and the damages would be or the award would
5		take into account whatever that risk was, and I'm
6		assuming that the risk could be in some way quantified
7		and allow that to happen, which would mean some
8		people or it could be done on the basis of
9		probabilities as well, so you could say, well, someone
10		is probably going to get cirrhosis, don't quite know
11		when it might happen, or you could do it on the basis of
12		the percentage risk of it happening. Both approaches,
13		
		I suspect, could be possible on the basis of what I have
14		seen in the descriptions of hepatitis C.
15		seen in the descriptions of hepatitis C. I was impressed by the fact that so many people
15 16		seen in the descriptions of hepatitis C. I was impressed by the fact that so many people spoke to me about wanting their affairs settled and,
15 16 17		seen in the descriptions of hepatitis C. I was impressed by the fact that so many people spoke to me about wanting their affairs settled and, therefore, a final award would be the way to do that.
15 16 17 18		seen in the descriptions of hepatitis C. I was impressed by the fact that so many people spoke to me about wanting their affairs settled and, therefore, a final award would be the way to do that. If you have a provisional award system, as you say, you
15 16 17 18 19		seen in the descriptions of hepatitis C. I was impressed by the fact that so many people spoke to me about wanting their affairs settled and, therefore, a final award would be the way to do that. If you have a provisional award system, as you say, you would make an initial award, which would assume the
15 16 17 18 19 20		seen in the descriptions of hepatitis C. I was impressed by the fact that so many people spoke to me about wanting their affairs settled and, therefore, a final award would be the way to do that. If you have a provisional award system, as you say, you would make an initial award, which would assume the best, in effect, that the cirrhosis what would
15 16 17 18 19 20 21		seen in the descriptions of hepatitis C. I was impressed by the fact that so many people spoke to me about wanting their affairs settled and, therefore, a final award would be the way to do that. If you have a provisional award system, as you say, you would make an initial award, which would assume the best, in effect, that the cirrhosis what would develop from that would not happen and you would then
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		seen in the descriptions of hepatitis C. I was impressed by the fact that so many people spoke to me about wanting their affairs settled and, therefore, a final award would be the way to do that. If you have a provisional award system, as you say, you would make an initial award, which would assume the best, in effect, that the cirrhosis what would develop from that would not happen and you would then allow, in defined circumstances, a second claim to be
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		seen in the descriptions of hepatitis C. I was impressed by the fact that so many people spoke to me about wanting their affairs settled and, therefore, a final award would be the way to do that. If you have a provisional award system, as you say, you would make an initial award, which would assume the best, in effect, that the cirrhosis what would develop from that would not happen and you would then allow, in defined circumstances, a second claim to be made should the unwanted occur.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		seen in the descriptions of hepatitis C. I was impressed by the fact that so many people spoke to me about wanting their affairs settled and, therefore, a final award would be the way to do that. If you have a provisional award system, as you say, you would make an initial award, which would assume the best, in effect, that the cirrhosis what would develop from that would not happen and you would then allow, in defined circumstances, a second claim to be made should the unwanted occur. I won't say this is impossible to solve, but the
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		seen in the descriptions of hepatitis C. I was impressed by the fact that so many people spoke to me about wanting their affairs settled and, therefore, a final award would be the way to do that. If you have a provisional award system, as you say, you would make an initial award, which would assume the best, in effect, that the cirrhosis what would develop from that would not happen and you would then allow, in defined circumstances, a second claim to be made should the unwanted occur.

(12) Pages 45 - 48

1	you have the issue, then, you've got cirrhosis, are you	1	A. Yes, and I appreciate that it may not be the unanimous
2	going to progress to liver failure? Are you going to	2	View
3	progress to cancer? And how many times is a person able	2	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Well, if it's not unanimous, then
4	to have provisional awards dealing with the next stage	4	those who wish finality would have it, those under this
5	of a disease? And with other areas, do you allow	5	provisional-type a scheme which permitted provisional
6	a provisional award for the guite variable effects of	6	award, it they don't have to have it, they would have
7	the treatment given for a disease and so on?	7	a final award, and those who wanted to come up against
, 8	The amount the number of potential disputes you	, 8	the later prospects could have the security, if you
9	could invent have, I'm afraid, in relation to what would	9	like, of the additional insurance policy.
10	be suitable for a provisional award and what wouldn't	10	The other aspect, of course, to finality is the
11	be, could occupy a great deal of time both of applicants	11	paymaster. And I can see attraction I don't
12	and the scheme, and again it's an area where, as I said,	12	altogether know what the paymaster here might say.
13	it's a value judgment to be made, I felt that it would	13	I can imagine that there may be two reactions. One is:
14	be better for everyone to have a one-off payment and	14	well, having a provisional award means we pay less now,
15	perhaps realistically with a generous perhaps	15	we pay some later, and the scheme is going to be
16	over-pessimistic view being made of their prospects in	16	front loaded so that it is a way of spreading making
17	the future. That was my reasoning, it either appeals or	17	some of the payments later. Or they may simply say:
18	it doesn't.	18	well, we prefer a final scheme, we know exactly where we
	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: As a matter of principle, one may ask	19	are.
20	in whose who is best placed to decide that it should	20	A. Yes.
21	be final? Obviously, the scheme designer, but leave	21	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: But that is a matter for submissions
22	that aside for the moment. You've said that what has	22	to me in due course
23	influenced you in this has been the demand, as you've	23	A. Yes, and obviously I know no more about what the view of
	heard it, for finality in those who have spoken to you.	24	the paymaster would be about that than you. But I think
24			
24 25	That is the demand from the infected and affected	25	what worries me about allowing provisional awards is the
		25	what worries me about allowing provisional awards is the 50
25	That is the demand from the infected and affected 49		50
25 1	That is the demand from the infected and affected 49 potential multiplicity of circumstance in which, in	1	50 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I was going to put that to you, the
25 1 2	That is the demand from the infected and affected 49 potential multiplicity of circumstance in which, in theory, such a provisional award could be made and	1 2	50 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I was going to put that to you, the answer perhaps to the uncertainty, the idea of a menu
25 1 2 3	That is the demand from the infected and affected 49 potential multiplicity of circumstance in which, in theory, such a provisional award could be made and almost every single case involves the prospect of	1 2 3	50 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I was going to put that to you, the answer perhaps to the uncertainty, the idea of a menu which is never-ending of possibilities, that's
25 1 2 3 4	That is the demand from the infected and affected 49 potential multiplicity of circumstance in which, in theory, such a provisional award could be made and almost every single case involves the prospect of changes in people's condition and as opposed to	1 2 3 4	50 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I was going to put that to you, the answer perhaps to the uncertainty, the idea of a menu which is never-ending of possibilities, that's potentially met by saying there are these circumstances
25 1 2 3 4 5	That is the demand from the infected and affected 49 potential multiplicity of circumstance in which, in theory, such a provisional award could be made and almost every single case involves the prospect of changes in people's condition and as opposed to a situation where if you had a final award the scheme	1 2 3 4 5	50 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I was going to put that to you, the answer perhaps to the uncertainty, the idea of a menu which is never-ending of possibilities, that's potentially met by saying there are these circumstances where you could, if you wished, claim a provisional
25 1 2 3 4 5 6	That is the demand from the infected and affected 49 potential multiplicity of circumstance in which, in theory, such a provisional award could be made and almost every single case involves the prospect of changes in people's condition and as opposed to a situation where if you had a final award the scheme itself I mean, this may be a paymaster point rather	1 2 3 4 5 6	50 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I was going to put that to you, the answer perhaps to the uncertainty, the idea of a menu which is never-ending of possibilities, that's potentially met by saying there are these circumstances where you could, if you wished, claim a provisional award and set out a limited number of circumstances,
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	That is the demand from the infected and affected 49 potential multiplicity of circumstance in which, in theory, such a provisional award could be made and almost every single case involves the prospect of changes in people's condition and as opposed to a situation where if you had a final award the scheme itself I mean, this may be a paymaster point rather than I have to look at both the scheme itself may	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	50 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I was going to put that to you, the answer perhaps to the uncertainty, the idea of a menu which is never-ending of possibilities, that's potentially met by saying there are these circumstances where you could, if you wished, claim a provisional award and set out a limited number of circumstances, such as the example I put to you, somebody who has what
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	That is the demand from the infected and affected 49 potential multiplicity of circumstance in which, in theory, such a provisional award could be made and almost every single case involves the prospect of changes in people's condition and as opposed to a situation where if you had a final award the scheme itself I mean, this may be a paymaster point rather than I have to look at both the scheme itself may never close until everyone, including the eligible	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	50 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I was going to put that to you, the answer perhaps to the uncertainty, the idea of a menu which is never-ending of possibilities, that's potentially met by saying there are these circumstances where you could, if you wished, claim a provisional award and set out a limited number of circumstances, such as the example I put to you, somebody who has what is defined cirrhosis on whatever appropriate test is
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	That is the demand from the infected and affected 49 potential multiplicity of circumstance in which, in theory, such a provisional award could be made and almost every single case involves the prospect of changes in people's condition and as opposed to a situation where if you had a final award the scheme itself I mean, this may be a paymaster point rather than I have to look at both the scheme itself may never close until everyone, including the eligible affected, are no longer with us. That could be the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	50 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I was going to put that to you, the answer perhaps to the uncertainty, the idea of a menu which is never-ending of possibilities, that's potentially met by saying there are these circumstances where you could, if you wished, claim a provisional award and set out a limited number of circumstances, such as the example I put to you, somebody who has what is defined cirrhosis on whatever appropriate test is adopted or for that matter in medical opinion of their
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	That is the demand from the infected and affected 49 potential multiplicity of circumstance in which, in theory, such a provisional award could be made and almost every single case involves the prospect of changes in people's condition and as opposed to a situation where if you had a final award the scheme itself I mean, this may be a paymaster point rather than I have to look at both the scheme itself may never close until everyone, including the eligible affected, are no longer with us. That could be the scheme lasting a very long time indeed.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	50 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I was going to put that to you, the answer perhaps to the uncertainty, the idea of a menu which is never-ending of possibilities, that's potentially met by saying there are these circumstances where you could, if you wished, claim a provisional award and set out a limited number of circumstances, such as the example I put to you, somebody who has what is defined cirrhosis on whatever appropriate test is adopted or for that matter in medical opinion of their treating doctor requires to be put on a liver transplant
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	That is the demand from the infected and affected 49 potential multiplicity of circumstance in which, in theory, such a provisional award could be made and almost every single case involves the prospect of changes in people's condition and as opposed to a situation where if you had a final award the scheme itself I mean, this may be a paymaster point rather than I have to look at both the scheme itself may never close until everyone, including the eligible affected, are no longer with us. That could be the scheme lasting a very long time indeed.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	50 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I was going to put that to you, the answer perhaps to the uncertainty, the idea of a menu which is never-ending of possibilities, that's potentially met by saying there are these circumstances where you could, if you wished, claim a provisional award and set out a limited number of circumstances, such as the example I put to you, somebody who has what is defined cirrhosis on whatever appropriate test is adopted or for that matter in medical opinion of their treating doctor requires to be put on a liver transplant list, and it may be those who have had transplants.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	That is the demand from the infected and affected 49 potential multiplicity of circumstance in which, in theory, such a provisional award could be made and almost every single case involves the prospect of changes in people's condition and as opposed to a situation where if you had a final award the scheme itself I mean, this may be a paymaster point rather than I have to look at both the scheme itself may never close until everyone, including the eligible affected, are no longer with us. That could be the scheme lasting a very long time indeed. The resource involved in reassessment in relation to deterioration would be considerable. Individuals would	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	50 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I was going to put that to you, the answer perhaps to the uncertainty, the idea of a menu which is never-ending of possibilities, that's potentially met by saying there are these circumstances where you could, if you wished, claim a provisional award and set out a limited number of circumstances, such as the example I put to you, somebody who has what is defined cirrhosis on whatever appropriate test is adopted or for that matter in medical opinion of their treating doctor requires to be put on a liver transplant list, and it may be those who have had transplants. There are three possibilities there.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	That is the demand from the infected and affected 49 potential multiplicity of circumstance in which, in theory, such a provisional award could be made and almost every single case involves the prospect of changes in people's condition and as opposed to a situation where if you had a final award the scheme itself I mean, this may be a paymaster point rather than I have to look at both the scheme itself may never close until everyone, including the eligible affected, are no longer with us. That could be the scheme lasting a very long time indeed. The resource involved in reassessment in relation to deterioration would be considerable. Individuals would be continually feel at least they were under	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I was going to put that to you, the answer perhaps to the uncertainty, the idea of a menu which is never-ending of possibilities, that's potentially met by saying there are these circumstances where you could, if you wished, claim a provisional award and set out a limited number of circumstances, such as the example I put to you, somebody who has what is defined cirrhosis on whatever appropriate test is adopted or for that matter in medical opinion of their treating doctor requires to be put on a liver transplant list, and it may be those who have had transplants. There are three possibilities there. A. Of course, that can be done. But I can then envisage
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	That is the demand from the infected and affected 49 potential multiplicity of circumstance in which, in theory, such a provisional award could be made and almost every single case involves the prospect of changes in people's condition and as opposed to a situation where if you had a final award the scheme itself I mean, this may be a paymaster point rather than I have to look at both the scheme itself may never close until everyone, including the eligible affected, are no longer with us. That could be the scheme lasting a very long time indeed. The resource involved in reassessment in relation to deterioration would be considerable. Individuals would be continually feel at least they were under surveillance having to maintain records, have intrusion	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I was going to put that to you, the answer perhaps to the uncertainty, the idea of a menu which is never-ending of possibilities, that's potentially met by saying there are these circumstances where you could, if you wished, claim a provisional award and set out a limited number of circumstances, such as the example I put to you, somebody who has what is defined cirrhosis on whatever appropriate test is adopted or for that matter in medical opinion of their treating doctor requires to be put on a liver transplant list, and it may be those who have had transplants. There are three possibilities there. A. Of course, that can be done. But I can then envisage a case where someone has isn't in those circumstances
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	That is the demand from the infected and affected 49 potential multiplicity of circumstance in which, in theory, such a provisional award could be made and almost every single case involves the prospect of changes in people's condition and as opposed to a situation where if you had a final award the scheme itself I mean, this may be a paymaster point rather than I have to look at both the scheme itself may never close until everyone, including the eligible affected, are no longer with us. That could be the scheme lasting a very long time indeed. The resource involved in reassessment in relation to deterioration would be considerable. Individuals would be continually feel at least they were under surveillance having to maintain records, have intrusion into their lives in terms of subjectively in relation to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I was going to put that to you, the answer perhaps to the uncertainty, the idea of a menu which is never-ending of possibilities, that's potentially met by saying there are these circumstances where you could, if you wished, claim a provisional award and set out a limited number of circumstances, such as the example I put to you, somebody who has what is defined cirrhosis on whatever appropriate test is adopted or for that matter in medical opinion of their treating doctor requires to be put on a liver transplant list, and it may be those who have had transplants. There are three possibilities there. A. Of course, that can be done. But I can then envisage a case where someone has isn't in those circumstances at all but for some maybe extraneous reasons suddenly
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	That is the demand from the infected and affected 49 potential multiplicity of circumstance in which, in theory, such a provisional award could be made and almost every single case involves the prospect of changes in people's condition and as opposed to a situation where if you had a final award the scheme itself I mean, this may be a paymaster point rather than I have to look at both the scheme itself may never close until everyone, including the eligible affected, are no longer with us. That could be the scheme lasting a very long time indeed. The resource involved in reassessment in relation to deterioration would be considerable. Individuals would be continually feel at least they were under surveillance having to maintain records, have intrusion into their lives in terms of subjectively in relation to whether they have deteriorated sufficiently to get	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 50 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I was going to put that to you, the answer perhaps to the uncertainty, the idea of a menu which is never-ending of possibilities, that's potentially met by saying there are these circumstances where you could, if you wished, claim a provisional award and set out a limited number of circumstances, such as the example I put to you, somebody who has what is defined cirrhosis on whatever appropriate test is adopted or for that matter in medical opinion of their treating doctor requires to be put on a liver transplant list, and it may be those who have had transplants. There are three possibilities there. A. Of course, that can be done. But I can then envisage a case where someone has isn't in those circumstances at all but for some maybe extraneous reasons suddenly their care needs are dramatically different to what they
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	That is the demand from the infected and affected 49 potential multiplicity of circumstance in which, in theory, such a provisional award could be made and almost every single case involves the prospect of changes in people's condition and as opposed to a situation where if you had a final award the scheme itself I mean, this may be a paymaster point rather than I have to look at both the scheme itself may never close until everyone, including the eligible affected, are no longer with us. That could be the scheme lasting a very long time indeed. The resource involved in reassessment in relation to deterioration would be considerable. Individuals would be continually feel at least they were under surveillance having to maintain records, have intrusion into their lives in terms of subjectively in relation to whether they have deteriorated sufficiently to get another payment and so on. It introduces a great deal	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 50 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I was going to put that to you, the answer perhaps to the uncertainty, the idea of a menu which is never-ending of possibilities, that's potentially met by saying there are these circumstances where you could, if you wished, claim a provisional award and set out a limited number of circumstances, such as the example I put to you, somebody who has what is defined cirrhosis on whatever appropriate test is adopted or for that matter in medical opinion of their treating doctor requires to be put on a liver transplant list, and it may be those who have had transplants. There are three possibilities there. A. Of course, that can be done. But I can then envisage a case where someone has isn't in those circumstances at all but for some maybe extraneous reasons suddenly their care needs are dramatically different to what they were before, and if we have these definitions they would
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	That is the demand from the infected and affected 49 potential multiplicity of circumstance in which, in theory, such a provisional award could be made and almost every single case involves the prospect of changes in people's condition and as opposed to a situation where if you had a final award the scheme itself I mean, this may be a paymaster point rather than I have to look at both the scheme itself may never close until everyone, including the eligible affected, are no longer with us. That could be the scheme lasting a very long time indeed. The resource involved in reassessment in relation to deterioration would be considerable. Individuals would be continually feel at least they were under surveillance having to maintain records, have intrusion into their lives in terms of subjectively in relation to whether they have deteriorated sufficiently to get another payment and so on. It introduces a great deal of uncertainty into people's lives in a way which the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I was going to put that to you, the answer perhaps to the uncertainty, the idea of a menu which is never-ending of possibilities, that's potentially met by saying there are these circumstances where you could, if you wished, claim a provisional award and set out a limited number of circumstances, such as the example I put to you, somebody who has what is defined cirrhosis on whatever appropriate test is adopted or for that matter in medical opinion of their treating doctor requires to be put on a liver transplant list, and it may be those who have had transplants. There are three possibilities there. A. Of course, that can be done. But I can then envisage a case where someone has isn't in those circumstances at all but for some maybe extraneous reasons suddenly their care needs are dramatically different to what they were before, and if we have these definitions they would not necessarily qualify for going back to court to
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	That is the demand from the infected and affected 49 potential multiplicity of circumstance in which, in theory, such a provisional award could be made and almost every single case involves the prospect of changes in people's condition and as opposed to a situation where if you had a final award the scheme itself I mean, this may be a paymaster point rather than I have to look at both the scheme itself may never close until everyone, including the eligible affected, are no longer with us. That could be the scheme lasting a very long time indeed. The resource involved in reassessment in relation to deterioration would be considerable. Individuals would be continually feel at least they were under surveillance having to maintain records, have intrusion into their lives in terms of subjectively in relation to whether they have deteriorated sufficiently to get another payment and so on. It introduces a great deal of uncertainty into people's lives in a way which the impression I had was people didn't want. But it is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I was going to put that to you, the answer perhaps to the uncertainty, the idea of a menu which is never-ending of possibilities, that's potentially met by saying there are these circumstances where you could, if you wished, claim a provisional award and set out a limited number of circumstances, such as the example I put to you, somebody who has what is defined cirrhosis on whatever appropriate test is adopted or for that matter in medical opinion of their treating doctor requires to be put on a liver transplant list, and it may be those who have had transplants. There are three possibilities there. A Of course, that can be done. But I can then envisage a case where someone has isn't in those circumstances at all but for some maybe extraneous reasons suddenly their care needs are dramatically different to what they were before, and if we have these definitions they would not necessarily qualify for going back to court to the scheme for another slice of the care award.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	That is the demand from the infected and affected 49	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	50 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I was going to put that to you, the answer perhaps to the uncertainty, the idea of a menu which is never-ending of possibilities, that's potentially met by saying there are these circumstances where you could, if you wished, claim a provisional award and set out a limited number of circumstances, such as the example I put to you, somebody who has what is defined cirrhosis on whatever appropriate test is adopted or for that matter in medical opinion of their treating doctor requires to be put on a liver transplant list, and it may be those who have had transplants. There are three possibilities there. A. Of course, that can be done. But I can then envisage a case where someone has isn't in those circumstances at all but for some maybe extraneous reasons suddenly their care needs are dramatically different to what they were before, and if we have these definitions they would not necessarily qualify for going back to court to the scheme for another slice of the care award.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	That is the demand from the infected and affected 49	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	50 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I was going to put that to you, the answer perhaps to the uncertainty, the idea of a menu which is never-ending of possibilities, that's potentially met by saying there are these circumstances where you could, if you wished, claim a provisional award and set out a limited number of circumstances, such as the example I put to you, somebody who has what is defined cirrhosis on whatever appropriate test is adopted or for that matter in medical opinion of their treating doctor requires to be put on a liver transplant list, and it may be those who have had transplants. There are three possibilities there. A. Of course, that can be done. But I can then envisage a case where someone has isn't in those circumstances at all but for some maybe extraneous reasons suddenly their care needs are dramatically different to what they were before, and if we have these definitions they would not necessarily qualify for going back to court to the scheme for another slice of the care award. They might feel they were being treated unfairfy where if they couldn't do it but someone who had a more
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 25 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	That is the demand from the infected and affected 49	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	50 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I was going to put that to you, the answer perhaps to the uncertainty, the idea of a menu which is never-ending of possibilities, that's potentially met by saying there are these circumstances where you could, if you wished, claim a provisional award and set out a limited number of circumstances, such as the example I put to you, somebody who has what is defined cirrhosis on whatever appropriate test is adopted or for that matter in medical opinion of their treating doctor requires to be put on a liver transplant list, and it may be those who have had transplants. There are three possibilities there. A. Of course, that can be done. But I can then envisage a case where someone has isn't in those circumstances at all but for some maybe extraneous reasons suddenly their care needs are dramatically different to what they were before, and if we have these definitions they would not necessarily qualify for going back to court to the scheme for another slice of the care award. They might feel they were being treated unfairly where if they couldn't do it but someone who had a more defined issue could, and that would worry me.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 25 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	That is the demand from the infected and affected 49	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	50 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I was going to put that to you, the answer perhaps to the uncertainty, the idea of a menu which is never-ending of possibilities, that's potentially met by saying there are these circumstances where you could, if you wished, claim a provisional award and set out a limited number of circumstances, such as the example I put to you, somebody who has what is defined cirrhosis on whatever appropriate test is adopted or for that matter in medical opinion of their treating doctor requires to be put on a liver transplant list, and it may be those who have had transplants. There are three possibilities there. A. Of course, that can be done. But I can then envisage a case where someone has isn't in those circumstances at all but for some maybe extraneous reasons suddenly their care needs are dramatically different to what they were before, and if we have these definitions they would not necessarily qualify for going back to court to the scheme for another slice of the care award. SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So the question is the advantages
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 25 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	That is the demand from the infected and affected 49	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	50 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I was going to put that to you, the answer perhaps to the uncertainty, the idea of a menu which is never-ending of possibilities, that's potentially met by saying there are these circumstances where you could, if you wished, claim a provisional award and set out a limited number of circumstances, such as the example I put to you, somebody who has what is defined cirrhosis on whatever appropriate test is adopted or for that matter in medical opinion of their treating doctor requires to be put on a liver transplant list, and it may be those who have had transplants. There are three possibilities there. A. Of course, that can be done. But I can then envisage a case where someone has isn't in those circumstances at all but for some maybe extraneous reasons suddenly their care needs are dramatically different to what they were before, and if we have these definitions they would not necessarily qualify for going back to court to the scheme for another slice of the care award. They might feel they were being treated unfairly where if they couldn't do it but someone who had a more defined issue could, and that would worry me.

(13) Pages 49 - 52

INQY1000225 0013

1	Δ	Yes. Of course, I recognise what could be done in	1		claims; alternatively, that such awards are uplifted for
2	л.	theory in civil proceedings. I understand that. I then	2		inflation during that period."
3		draw the distinction that we are talking about a scheme	3		If we just go to page 121 of your report.
4		and in relation to I mean, I'm not saying if	4		I'm not going to read aloud paragraphs 9.123 through
5		the paymaster should be given credit for the fact it is	5		to 9.127 but I think it's fair to say you set out there
6		voluntary because there is a lot of history behind that	6		the position in relation to claims. I think that
7		which is unfortunate, but I do think that there are	7		reflects the position in England Wales, possibly
, 8		potential advantages on both sides here for finality	, 8		Northern Ireland rather than Scotland.
9		and but others might feel that those are outweighed	9	A.	Almost certainly.
10		by the justice of being more precise which is what	10	Q.	You set out the arguments, as it were, for and against
11		a provisional award does, being more precise about the	11	ч.	either an uplift or inflation or the payment of interest
12		difference between awards. That's all I think I can	12		in 9.124 and 9.125.
13			13		Then over the page, at 9.126, you say:
14	MC	say. RICHARDS: Sir, it has just gone 11.15 and we should	14		"Nonetheless, I consider that the effect of
15	WO.	probably take our break.	14		inflation must be recognised either by an award of
16	CID	BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Until 11.45 in that case. 11.45 am.	16		interest, or by an uplift by reference to an inflation
17		.16 am)	10		index. This does not, however, apply to lump sums
18	(11	(A short break)	18		awards awarded for non-financial losses (apart from the
10	144		10		past value of care), where the award is made at the
		.45 am)	20		value of applicable at the date of the award."
20	WO	RICHARDS: I'm going to turn to recommendation 13. If we			
21		could have page 38 of the report. So recommendation 13:	21		So you recommend that either and we see in
22		"I recommend that interest be payable on awards for	22		9.127 this is effectively reflected in the terms of
23		past financial losses and past provision of care, from	23		recommendation 13, interest or an uplift for inflation.
24		the date of infection to the date of the award, in	24 25		If the compensation scheme is put into effect and
25		accordance with the practice in personal injury damages 53	25		adopts this recommendation, in terms of the interest 54
1		rate, you haven't, I think, made a specific	1	A.	I think any differences should be ironed out. The same
2		recommendation as to what the interest rate should be,	2		interest rate should apply
3		other than referring to what the position is in personal	3	Q.	Yes.
4		injury claims in England, Wales and Northern Ireland.	4	Α.	whichever country you live in.
5	A.	Effectively, in personal injury claims, there is	5	Q.	So if we then turn to recommendation 14, so we go back
6		a statutory rate which is applied by reference to	6		to page 38 thank you, Lawrence this is "Interim
7		a formulae, which is then applied to it, and I think	7		payments".
8		that's what I had in mind. But obviously greater minds	8		Now, we looked at that obviously yesterday in terms
9		than mine might have arguments to make about what the	9		of some of the issues of principle, the reason why you
10		appropriate interest rate should be. But I think the	10		made the recommendation for an interim payment and
11		principle is that it needs to be either interest or	11		a degree of in a sense urgency that underpins it. Can
12		inflation, one of the two.	12		I just ask you there a little bit why you express the
13	Q.	So that is a decision that would have to be taken by	13		recommendation in the way that you did in terms of its
14		those setting up the scheme?	14		scope.
15	A.	Yes.	15		So if we go to page 122. You've set out again,
16	Q.	But the starting point, the principle is that it should	16		I'm not going to read through the pages but they are
17		be built in?	17		there for those who want to read the report or re-read
18	A.	Yes.	18		the report at 9.128 through to 9.137.
19	Q.	The starting point would be to look at what the interest	19		The recommendation is the making of interim payments
20		rates are in damages, claims, looking at both England,	20		to infected individuals eligible infected individuals
21		Wales, Northern Ireland and Scotland	21		who are alive; is that correct?
22	A.	Yes.	22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	to see whether there is common ground or to the	23	Q.	Why does your report not recommend interim payments to
24		extent of any differences, and then identify the right	24		the estates of those deceased? At least those who are
25		rate.	25		already known to the scheme and, therefore, there's no
		55			56

(14) Pages 53 - 56

1		eligibility issues?	1	It does seem to me that both for the living affected
2	Α.	I recognise that making an interim payment in advance of	2	and the deceased infected, firstly, without wishing
3		the scheme being set up was undoubtedly an exceptional	3	to understanding the dangers of over-generalisation
4		measure and justifiable by reason of the urgent need of	4	the needs are not so urgent. The urgency of the need
5		a particular group of people, and to my mind those were	5	I identify for the eligible living infected is that many
6		the eligible living infected claimants. And, of course,	6	of them are worried about dying before they have any
7		again it could be extended. And that was the need.	7	idea whether they are going to get compensation or not
8		Whereas the calculations required for those who were	8	and not being able to settle their affairs. That is not
9		no longer with us, firstly, I have not extended the	9	a need, a shared in quite the same way by the estate
10		suggestion to the living affected	10	by someone who has already died or by an affected
11	Q.	Right.	11	person.
12	А.	and again in part that is due to I mean, I'm not	12	So I start with the point of view that this is
13		for a moment saying there aren't people in all	13	utterly exceptional with the idea that you have
14		categories who have, as it were, an urgent need for	14	an interim payment before the scheme is set up and the
15		money, but part of it is due to how simple or otherwise	15	rules are explored and known, but happily there is
16		it is to determine what would be an appropriate amount	16	a means by which such payments can be made already
17		to award actually. The thing about an interim payment	17	because there are support schemes. There is
18		is that you don't want to pay more than I mean, in	18	an administration. There is an identity available as to
19		the extreme case you don't want to pay more than what it	19	who is eligible and, therefore, it's partly the ease of
20		turns out a person is entitled to because that leads to	20	identification and also partly because of the very
21		obvious complications. And in the court setting an	21	striking need of this very narrowly defined quite
22		interim payment will generally speaking be no more than	22	narrowly defined group of people.
23		the very minimum that someone might be expected to get	23 (Q . Can I just explore one or two additional points with you
24		in a particular circumstance, and so there is already	24	arising out of that. By only making interim payments to
25		a heavy discount involved in that.	25	those infected who are still alive, that may continue to
		57		58
1		leave those who receive no support at all, an example	1	is ultimately a response which says there will be some
2		might be parents who's child died or children in some	2	kind of scheme, the longer it takes to set up a scheme,
3		cases died, waiting longer for any form of compensation	3	the stronger a case might be for a wider range of
4		and that may in fact they may never live to see that,	4	interim payments precisely so that the kind of
5		bearing in mind that they may be of an older generation.	5	categories of individuals who I've given an example of
6		Any reflections on that?	6	outogenee of manduale who i ve given an example of
7	A.	As in so many areas of this there are lines, it seems to	•	don't die still waiting for something?
8			7	don't die still waiting for something?
-		-		A. I think you could make a case of varying degrees of
9		me, one has to draw. The claim made by a parent would	8	A. I think you could make a case of varying degrees of compelling necessary compulsion for virtually
9 10		me, one has to draw. The claim made by a parent would be of necessity a less certain claim than one for	8 9	A. I think you could make a case of varying degrees of compelling necessary compulsion for virtually everyone who could make a claim. There's no doubt that
10		me, one has to draw. The claim made by a parent would be of necessity a less certain claim than one for an eligible infected living person. Therefore, the	8 9 10	A. I think you could make a case of varying degrees of compelling necessary compulsion for virtually everyone who could make a claim. There's no doubt that everybody who aspires to receiving compensation will
10 11		me, one has to draw. The claim made by a parent would be of necessity a less certain claim than one for an eligible infected living person. Therefore, the assessment of that, it would be very difficult I think	8 9 10 11	A. I think you could make a case of varying degrees of compelling necessary compulsion for virtually everyone who could make a claim. There's no doubt that everybody who aspires to receiving compensation will want the matter settled as soon as possible. That's
10 11 12		me, one has to draw. The claim made by a parent would be of necessity a less certain claim than one for an eligible infected living person. Therefore, the assessment of that, it would be very difficult I think to identify a common figure which could be awarded to	8 9 10 11 12	A. I think you could make a case of varying degrees of compelling necessary compulsion for virtually everyone who could make a claim. There's no doubt that everybody who aspires to receiving compensation will want the matter settled as soon as possible. That's part of the underlying reason for many of the
10 11 12 13		me, one has to draw. The claim made by a parent would be of necessity a less certain claim than one for an eligible infected living person. Therefore, the assessment of that, it would be very difficult I think	8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I think you could make a case of varying degrees of compelling necessary compulsion for virtually everyone who could make a claim. There's no doubt that everybody who aspires to receiving compensation will want the matter settled as soon as possible. That's part of the underlying reason for many of the recommendations I've made.
10 11 12 13 14		me, one has to draw. The claim made by a parent would be of necessity a less certain claim than one for an eligible infected living person. Therefore, the assessment of that, it would be very difficult I think to identify a common figure which could be awarded to all cases. This has to be a common figure because, by definition, the scheme is not in existence. We haven't	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. I think you could make a case of varying degrees of compelling necessary compulsion for virtually everyone who could make a claim. There's no doubt that everybody who aspires to receiving compensation will want the matter settled as soon as possible. That's part of the underlying reason for many of the recommendations I've made. What I'm seeking to address here is a very
10 11 12 13 14 15		me, one has to draw. The claim made by a parent would be of necessity a less certain claim than one for an eligible infected living person. Therefore, the assessment of that, it would be very difficult I think to identify a common figure which could be awarded to all cases. This has to be a common figure because, by definition, the scheme is not in existence. We haven't gone through the medical panel issues, the legal panel	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I think you could make a case of varying degrees of compelling necessary compulsion for virtually everyone who could make a claim. There's no doubt that everybody who aspires to receiving compensation will want the matter settled as soon as possible. That's part of the underlying reason for many of the recommendations I've made. What I'm seeking to address here is a very particular need. I would agree that the longer it takes
10 11 12 13 14 15 16		me, one has to draw. The claim made by a parent would be of necessity a less certain claim than one for an eligible infected living person. Therefore, the assessment of that, it would be very difficult I think to identify a common figure which could be awarded to all cases. This has to be a common figure because, by definition, the scheme is not in existence. We haven't gone through the medical panel issues, the legal panel issues, we haven't got assessors, so we are dealing with	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. I think you could make a case of varying degrees of compelling necessary compulsion for virtually everyone who could make a claim. There's no doubt that everybody who aspires to receiving compensation will want the matter settled as soon as possible. That's part of the underlying reason for many of the recommendations I've made. What I'm seeking to address here is a very particular need. I would agree that the longer it takes for there to be a response, the urgency in relation to
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		me, one has to draw. The claim made by a parent would be of necessity a less certain claim than one for an eligible infected living person. Therefore, the assessment of that, it would be very difficult I think to identify a common figure which could be awarded to all cases. This has to be a common figure because, by definition, the scheme is not in existence. We haven't gone through the medical panel issues, the legal panel	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. I think you could make a case of varying degrees of compelling necessary compulsion for virtually everyone who could make a claim. There's no doubt that everybody who aspires to receiving compensation will want the matter settled as soon as possible. That's part of the underlying reason for many of the recommendations I've made. What I'm seeking to address here is a very particular need. I would agree that the longer it takes for there to be a response, the urgency in relation to the group I've identified gets greater. There's no
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		me, one has to draw. The claim made by a parent would be of necessity a less certain claim than one for an eligible infected living person. Therefore, the assessment of that, it would be very difficult I think to identify a common figure which could be awarded to all cases. This has to be a common figure because, by definition, the scheme is not in existence. We haven't gone through the medical panel issues, the legal panel issues, we haven't got assessors, so we are dealing with something that needs to be a very generalised payment in terms of what it is.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I think you could make a case of varying degrees of compelling necessary compulsion for virtually everyone who could make a claim. There's no doubt that everybody who aspires to receiving compensation will want the matter settled as soon as possible. That's part of the underlying reason for many of the recommendations I've made. What I'm seeking to address here is a very particular need. I would agree that the longer it takes for there to be a response, the urgency in relation to the group I've identified gets greater. There's no doubt, by definition. And I'm not sure I could say that
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		me, one has to draw. The claim made by a parent would be of necessity a less certain claim than one for an eligible infected living person. Therefore, the assessment of that, it would be very difficult I think to identify a common figure which could be awarded to all cases. This has to be a common figure because, by definition, the scheme is not in existence. We haven't gone through the medical panel issues, the legal panel issues, we haven't got assessors, so we are dealing with something that needs to be a very generalised payment in	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I think you could make a case of varying degrees of compelling necessary compulsion for virtually everyone who could make a claim. There's no doubt that everybody who aspires to receiving compensation will want the matter settled as soon as possible. That's part of the underlying reason for many of the recommendations I've made. What I'm seeking to address here is a very particular need. I would agree that the longer it takes for there to be a response, the urgency in relation to the group I've identified gets greater. There's no doubt, by definition. And I'm not sure I could say that applies there is an existing need to settle things
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		me, one has to draw. The claim made by a parent would be of necessity a less certain claim than one for an eligible infected living person. Therefore, the assessment of that, it would be very difficult I think to identify a common figure which could be awarded to all cases. This has to be a common figure because, by definition, the scheme is not in existence. We haven't gone through the medical panel issues, the legal panel issues, we haven't got assessors, so we are dealing with something that needs to be a very generalised payment in terms of what it is. I'm obviously making a suggestion about the amount but whatever the amount is and the more people you	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. I think you could make a case of varying degrees of compelling necessary compulsion for virtually everyone who could make a claim. There's no doubt that everybody who aspires to receiving compensation will want the matter settled as soon as possible. That's part of the underlying reason for many of the recommendations I've made. What I'm seeking to address here is a very particular need. I would agree that the longer it takes for there to be a response, the urgency in relation to the group I've identified gets greater. There's no doubt, by definition. And I'm not sure I could say that applies there is an existing need to settle things that everyone else shares, but I'm not persuaded myself
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		me, one has to draw. The claim made by a parent would be of necessity a less certain claim than one for an eligible infected living person. Therefore, the assessment of that, it would be very difficult I think to identify a common figure which could be awarded to all cases. This has to be a common figure because, by definition, the scheme is not in existence. We haven't gone through the medical panel issues, the legal panel issues, we haven't got assessors, so we are dealing with something that needs to be a very generalised payment in terms of what it is. I'm obviously making a suggestion about the amount	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I think you could make a case of varying degrees of compelling necessary compulsion for virtually everyone who could make a claim. There's no doubt that everybody who aspires to receiving compensation will want the matter settled as soon as possible. That's part of the underlying reason for many of the recommendations I've made. What I'm seeking to address here is a very particular need. I would agree that the longer it takes for there to be a response, the urgency in relation to the group I've identified gets greater. There's no doubt, by definition. And I'm not sure I could say that applies there is an existing need to settle things
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	me, one has to draw. The claim made by a parent would be of necessity a less certain claim than one for an eligible infected living person. Therefore, the assessment of that, it would be very difficult I think to identify a common figure which could be awarded to all cases. This has to be a common figure because, by definition, the scheme is not in existence. We haven't gone through the medical panel issues, the legal panel issues, we haven't got assessors, so we are dealing with something that needs to be a very generalised payment in terms of what it is. I'm obviously making a suggestion about the amount but whatever the amount is and the more people you include in the category, the lower the general shared	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I think you could make a case of varying degrees of compelling necessary compulsion for virtually everyone who could make a claim. There's no doubt that everybody who aspires to receiving compensation will want the matter settled as soon as possible. That's part of the underlying reason for many of the recommendations I've made. What I'm seeking to address here is a very particular need. I would agree that the longer it takes for there to be a response, the urgency in relation to the group I've identified gets greater. There's no doubt, by definition. And I'm not sure I could say that applies there is an existing need to settle things that everyone else shares, but I'm not persuaded myself that that falls into that more people then fall into
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	me, one has to draw. The claim made by a parent would be of necessity a less certain claim than one for an eligible infected living person. Therefore, the assessment of that, it would be very difficult I think to identify a common figure which could be awarded to all cases. This has to be a common figure because, by definition, the scheme is not in existence. We haven't gone through the medical panel issues, the legal panel issues, we haven't got assessors, so we are dealing with something that needs to be a very generalised payment in terms of what it is. I'm obviously making a suggestion about the amount but whatever the amount is and the more people you include in the category, the lower the general shared amount is going to be, by definition, I think logically.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I think you could make a case of varying degrees of compelling necessary compulsion for virtually everyone who could make a claim. There's no doubt that everybody who aspires to receiving compensation will want the matter settled as soon as possible. That's part of the underlying reason for many of the recommendations I've made. What I'm seeking to address here is a very particular need. I would agree that the longer it takes for there to be a response, the urgency in relation to the group I've identified gets greater. There's no doubt, by definition. And I'm not sure I could say that applies there is an existing need to settle things that everyone else shares, but I'm not persuaded myself that that falls into that more people then fall into this category.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	me, one has to draw. The claim made by a parent would be of necessity a less certain claim than one for an eligible infected living person. Therefore, the assessment of that, it would be very difficult I think to identify a common figure which could be awarded to all cases. This has to be a common figure because, by definition, the scheme is not in existence. We haven't gone through the medical panel issues, the legal panel issues, we haven't got assessors, so we are dealing with something that needs to be a very generalised payment in terms of what it is. I'm obviously making a suggestion about the amount but whatever the amount is and the more people you include in the category, the lower the general shared amount is going to be, by definition, I think logically. Might it be said the longer it takes for the government	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I think you could make a case of varying degrees of compelling necessary compulsion for virtually everyone who could make a claim. There's no doubt that everybody who aspires to receiving compensation will want the matter settled as soon as possible. That's part of the underlying reason for many of the recommendations I've made. What I'm seeking to address here is a very particular need. I would agree that the longer it takes for there to be a response, the urgency in relation to the group I've identified gets greater. There's no doubt, by definition. And I'm not sure I could say that applies there is an existing need to settle things that everyone else shares, but I'm not persuaded myself that that falls into that more people then fall into this category. And, as I said, the wider you draw the category, the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q.	me, one has to draw. The claim made by a parent would be of necessity a less certain claim than one for an eligible infected living person. Therefore, the assessment of that, it would be very difficult I think to identify a common figure which could be awarded to all cases. This has to be a common figure because, by definition, the scheme is not in existence. We haven't gone through the medical panel issues, the legal panel issues, we haven't got assessors, so we are dealing with something that needs to be a very generalised payment in terms of what it is. I'm obviously making a suggestion about the amount but whatever the amount is and the more people you include in the category, the lower the general shared amount is going to be, by definition, I think logically. Might it be said the longer it takes for the government to respond to your report and, of course, at the	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I think you could make a case of varying degrees of compelling necessary compulsion for virtually everyone who could make a claim. There's no doubt that everybody who aspires to receiving compensation will want the matter settled as soon as possible. That's part of the underlying reason for many of the recommendations I've made. What I'm seeking to address here is a very particular need. I would agree that the longer it takes for there to be a response, the urgency in relation to the group I've identified gets greater. There's no doubt, by definition. And I'm not sure I could say that applies there is an existing need to settle things that everyone else shares, but I'm not persuaded myself that that falls into that more people then fall into this category. And, as I said, the wider you draw the category, the less easy it will be for the government, I suspect, to

(15) Pages 57 - 60

1		figure to pay. So, actually it might be slightly
2		self-defeating in the sense that they talk about
3		complexity, and I acknowledge there is complexity in
4		everything in this report, as your question
5		demonstrates, and the idea of this was that it would be
6		something that maybe I'm being naive, it should be
7		quite simple to make a decision about, can I put it that
8		way?
9	Q.	The figure of £100,000 for the interim payment for those
10		who you've recommended should receive it and, as
11		I understand it, reflects an assessment of that's
12		a proportion of what people are likely to get and so
13		there shouldn't be an issue. If you pay that you are
14		not likely to have to be in the situation of having
15		overpaid them?
16	Α.	Yes, and I will be the first to admit that my attempt to
17		produce a figure was not based on a hugely sophisticated
18		calculation but I did take into account what looked like
19		a potential and arguable range of awards for the impact
20		award and really it is around that and perhaps the
21		autonomy and so on that we are talking about here.
22		But the idea my idea was that it would be
23		a significant an award no one could say was not
24		a significant sum of money but was likely to be one
25		which most, if not all, infected applicants would
		61
1		if the reality is the money will be going to elsewhere,
2		it may be going to affected people, but not necessarily,
3		when it goes to the estate, there will be all sorts of
4		issues arising as to who should receive the money from
5		an estate, and it would, in my view, be better if all
6		that were sorted out as a complexity in one go rather
7		than several goes.
8	Q.	I anticipate there may be some further questions that
9		people will want me to ask in relation to that but
10		I will wait and see, rather than ask you anything
11		further on recommendation 14 at this stage.
12		Could we go then to recommendation 15, which is
13		page 38. Thank you, Lawrence.
14		We can go through, I think, some of it pretty
15		quickly but there are a handful of points I wanted to
16		just explore further. The first point is:
. –		
17		"a) in assessing compensation no account should
18		be taken of any past payments made under the support
18 19		be taken of any past payments made under the support schemes or their predecessors."
18 19 20		be taken of any past payments made under the support schemes or their predecessors." As I understand it, that includes any past payments
18 19 20 21		be taken of any past payments made under the support schemes or their predecessors." As I understand it, that includes any past payments made from the Macfarlane Special Payments No. 2 Trust,
18 19 20 21 22		be taken of any past payments made under the support schemes or their predecessors." As I understand it, that includes any past payments made from the Macfarlane Special Payments No. 2 Trust, which was the amounts paid following the
18 19 20 21 22 23		be taken of any past payments made under the support schemes or their predecessors." As I understand it, that includes any past payments made from the Macfarlane Special Payments No. 2 Trust, which was the amounts paid following the 1990/1991 settlement of the HIV Haemophilia Litigation?
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A.	be taken of any past payments made under the support schemes or their predecessors." As I understand it, that includes any past payments made from the Macfarlane Special Payments No. 2 Trust, which was the amounts paid following the 1990/1991 settlement of the HIV Haemophilia Litigation? Yes.
18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q.	be taken of any past payments made under the support schemes or their predecessors." As I understand it, that includes any past payments made from the Macfarlane Special Payments No. 2 Trust, which was the amounts paid following the 1990/1991 settlement of the HIV Haemophilia Litigation?

1		reasonably expect to get either that or more or
2		something close to that, and I would hope that there
3		might be a group of people for whom that would be
4		sufficient. I mean, clearly it wouldn't be sufficient
5		for many but for them it would be a start and it would
6		put money in their hand, which would enable them to make
7		choices, to increase remedy some of the issues around
8		autonomy and in particular, of course, to enable them to
9		start thinking in terms of how they would dispose of
10		their assets to others upon their death, but actually
11		rather even more important than that, what they could
12		do for themselves was clearly, they say, the first
13		priority.
14	Q.	If there were to be an interim payment to the estates of
15		those who have died, those who were infected and died,
16		leaving aside what you've said about the difference you
17		saw in terms of the immediacy of need but if there were
18		to be, presumably it could, in terms of the magnitude of
19		it, be along the same lines, because the estate claim is
20		unlikely to be less than the figure that you have
21		identified as an interim payment?
22	A.	I agree with that but then I'm talking here about, as
23		I repeat, an exceptional measure, and the need of
24		the injured individual, by definition, sadly, no longer
25		exists, and therefore, if we are then talking about
20		62
,		- (H
1		of those either?
2	A.	Yes.
3	Q.	Then:
4		"b) the current annual payment under the support
5		schemes should be continued (or merged into the
6		compensation scheme) and guaranteed for life, by
7		legislation or secured government undertaking."
8		Just picking up that point of undertaking, you have
9		suggested that one way of giving that security is
10		a formal undertaking by Her Majesty's Government that
11		these will continue for life?
12	Α.	Yes. I received a very strong message that, firstly,
13		people wanted these payments to continue, but one of the
14		things they worried about was the security and assurance
15		that they would continue. Because there was no well,
16		the difficulty being, governments except by this
17		method just because one government has said they are
18		going to continue something, you might have seen in
19		a number of other fields, doesn't mean the next
20		government is going to do the same thing. That is the
21		feeling of the people. But, for instance, the
22		periodical payments that are made in damages cases are
23		a course of the concernment undertaking and whether that
		secured by a government undertaking, and whether that
24		requires rules or legislation I will leave to others but
		requires rules or legislation I will leave to others but it is possible for that to happen. Clearly,
24		requires rules or legislation I will leave to others but

(16) Pages 61 - 64

,			,		
1		exceptionally, it should happen here. It doesn't in	1		(e) and (f), tax, but I do want to ask you a little more
2 3		relation to any form of social security, which, as we know, the rules can change from government to	2 3		about the position in relation to benefits. Could we go to page 116.
4		government, but this, it seems to me, is a support	4		So, at paragraph 9.90 you say and this is having
5		payment that where there should be a guarantee for	5		set out, as we have seen, your recommendation of
6		life, in the same way, in effect, there is for our	6		an uplift in the annual support payments and the
7		pensions.	7		tax-free sum for additional financial issues you say
, 8	Q.	When you say the payments under the support schemes	, 8		at 9.90:
9	α.	could be merged into the compensation scheme, does that	9		"I recommend that in exchange for the lifetime
10		effectively mean the compensation scheme, the arm's	10		guarantee of this increased annual sum, uprated annually
11		length body, would take over the administration of the	11		for inflation such payments should be taken into
12		existing (overspeaking)	12		account in the assessment of entitlement to any means
13	А.	Yes, I have been fairly sketchy by design, if not	13		tested state benefits. The payments should still be
14	7	encouraged, I think, really, by the terms of reference	14		disregarded against any entitlement to non-means tested
15		to go into the weeds of the administration, but it does	15		benefits such as disability living allowance."
16		seem to me that if it the support payments are to	16		Then if we could turn to page 129, you set out in
17		continue and there is going to be a compensation scheme	17		paragraph 10.10 the current position, which is:
18		and there is going to be a relationship between the two,	18		" a range of means-tested benefits administered
19		it makes sense for the administration of both to be	19		by the Department for Work and Pensions (DWP) discount
20		shared.	20		infected blood scheme payments for the purposes of
21	Q.	We have already, I think, addressed	21		calculating a beneficiary's income or capital"
22		recommendation 15(c), the taking into account	22		Then you set out the DWP benefits to which the
23		of payments for the future in terms of assessing future	23		exemption relates.
24		financial loss or care provision, and I don't propose	24		Then you say that:
25		to ask you anything further at this stage about	25		"[You] recommend that this exemption continues to
		65			66
1		apply to the annual payments that continue to be made	1		clearly is for others to judge, but that's what I'm
1 2		apply to the annual payments that continue to be made under the support schemes, or their equivalent under	1 2		clearly is for others to judge, but that's what I'm trying to get at.
		apply to the annual payments that continue to be made under the support schemes, or their equivalent under the compensation scheme."		Q.	clearly is for others to judge, but that's what I'm trying to get at. Again, it may be
2		under the support schemes, or their equivalent under	2	Q. A.	trying to get at.
2 3		under the support schemes, or their equivalent under the compensation scheme."	2 3		trying to get at. Again, it may be
2 3 4	А.	under the support schemes, or their equivalent under the compensation scheme." Is there a contradiction between paragraphs 9.90 and	2 3 4		trying to get at. Again, it may be Can I just explain, where in a personal injury's claim
2 3 4 5	A.	under the support schemes, or their equivalent under the compensation scheme." Is there a contradiction between paragraphs 9.90 and 10.10 or have I misunderstood?	2 3 4 5		trying to get at. Again, it may be Can I just explain, where in a personal injury's claim an award is made of damages, say for loss of earnings,
2 3 4 5 6	A.	under the support schemes, or their equivalent under the compensation scheme." Is there a contradiction between paragraphs 9.90 and 10.10 or have I misunderstood? I don't think there is a contradiction. This is about	2 3 4 5 6		trying to get at. Again, it may be Can I just explain, where in a personal injury's claim an award is made of damages, say for loss of earnings, but it is required in every single personal injury case
2 3 4 5 6 7	A.	under the support schemes, or their equivalent under the compensation scheme." Is there a contradiction between paragraphs 9.90 and 10.10 or have I misunderstood? I don't think there is a contradiction. This is about recognising and accepting the continuation of this	2 3 4 5 6 7		trying to get at. Again, it may be Can I just explain, where in a personal injury's claim an award is made of damages, say for loss of earnings, but it is required in every single personal injury case that the Department of Work and Pensions is asked for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A.	under the support schemes, or their equivalent under the compensation scheme." Is there a contradiction between paragraphs 9.90 and 10.10 or have I misunderstood? I don't think there is a contradiction. This is about recognising and accepting the continuation of this exemption in terms of the account to be taken of support	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		trying to get at. Again, it may be Can I just explain, where in a personal injury's claim an award is made of damages, say for loss of earnings, but it is required in every single personal injury case that the Department of Work and Pensions is asked for a certificate as to what has been received by way of
2 3 4 5 7 8 9	A.	under the support schemes, or their equivalent under the compensation scheme." Is there a contradiction between paragraphs 9.90 and 10.10 or have I misunderstood? I don't think there is a contradiction. This is about recognising and accepting the continuation of this exemption in terms of the account to be taken of support payments, in relation to other state benefit, as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		trying to get at. Again, it may be Can I just explain, where in a personal injury's claim an award is made of damages, say for loss of earnings, but it is required in every single personal injury case that the Department of Work and Pensions is asked for a certificate as to what has been received by way of defined benefit, and there is a list of them in the last
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A.	under the support schemes, or their equivalent under the compensation scheme." Is there a contradiction between paragraphs 9.90 and 10.10 or have I misunderstood? I don't think there is a contradiction. This is about recognising and accepting the continuation of this exemption in terms of the account to be taken of support payments, in relation to other state benefit, as I understand it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		trying to get at. Again, it may be Can I just explain, where in a personal injury's claim an award is made of damages, say for loss of earnings, but it is required in every single personal injury case that the Department of Work and Pensions is asked for a certificate as to what has been received by way of defined benefit, and there is a list of them in the last I think it's three years, it may be five years, it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A.	under the support schemes, or their equivalent under the compensation scheme." Is there a contradiction between paragraphs 9.90 and 10.10 or have I misunderstood? I don't think there is a contradiction. This is about recognising and accepting the continuation of this exemption in terms of the account to be taken of support payments, in relation to other state benefit, as I understand it. What I'm talking about in the recommendation that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		trying to get at. Again, it may be Can I just explain, where in a personal injury's claim an award is made of damages, say for loss of earnings, but it is required in every single personal injury case that the Department of Work and Pensions is asked for a certificate as to what has been received by way of defined benefit, and there is a list of them in the last I think it's three years, it may be five years, it's a period, and then that can be reclaimed by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A.	under the support schemes, or their equivalent under the compensation scheme." Is there a contradiction between paragraphs 9.90 and 10.10 or have I misunderstood? I don't think there is a contradiction. This is about recognising and accepting the continuation of this exemption in terms of the account to be taken of support payments, in relation to other state benefit, as I understand it. What I'm talking about in the recommendation that you looked at is a slightly different issue, which would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		trying to get at. Again, it may be Can I just explain, where in a personal injury's claim an award is made of damages, say for loss of earnings, but it is required in every single personal injury case that the Department of Work and Pensions is asked for a certificate as to what has been received by way of defined benefit, and there is a list of them in the last I think it's three years, it may be five years, it's a period, and then that can be reclaimed by the Department and in effect is reclaimed out of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A.	under the support schemes, or their equivalent under the compensation scheme." Is there a contradiction between paragraphs 9.90 and 10.10 or have I misunderstood? I don't think there is a contradiction. This is about recognising and accepting the continuation of this exemption in terms of the account to be taken of support payments, in relation to other state benefit, as I understand it. What I'm talking about in the recommendation that you looked at is a slightly different issue, which would be subject to this exemption I think, that where there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		trying to get at. Again, it may be Can I just explain, where in a personal injury's claim an award is made of damages, say for loss of earnings, but it is required in every single personal injury case that the Department of Work and Pensions is asked for a certificate as to what has been received by way of defined benefit, and there is a list of them in the last I think it's three years, it may be five years, it's a period, and then that can be reclaimed by the Department and in effect is reclaimed out of the damages. So that is what I'm suggesting. But clearly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A.	under the support schemes, or their equivalent under the compensation scheme." Is there a contradiction between paragraphs 9.90 and 10.10 or have I misunderstood? I don't think there is a contradiction. This is about recognising and accepting the continuation of this exemption in terms of the account to be taken of support payments, in relation to other state benefit, as I understand it. What I'm talking about in the recommendation that you looked at is a slightly different issue, which would be subject to this exemption I think, that where there are other benefits so, for instance, income support,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		trying to get at. Again, it may be Can I just explain, where in a personal injury's claim an award is made of damages, say for loss of earnings, but it is required in every single personal injury case that the Department of Work and Pensions is asked for a certificate as to what has been received by way of defined benefit, and there is a list of them in the last I think it's three years, it may be five years, it's a period, and then that can be reclaimed by the Department and in effect is reclaimed out of the damages. So that is what I'm suggesting. But clearly in this case it would be subject to the exemption that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A.	under the support schemes, or their equivalent under the compensation scheme." Is there a contradiction between paragraphs 9.90 and 10.10 or have I misunderstood? I don't think there is a contradiction. This is about recognising and accepting the continuation of this exemption in terms of the account to be taken of support payments, in relation to other state benefit, as I understand it. What I'm talking about in the recommendation that you looked at is a slightly different issue, which would be subject to this exemption I think, that where there are other benefits so, for instance, income support, which is independent of anything to do with infected	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		trying to get at. Again, it may be Can I just explain, where in a personal injury's claim an award is made of damages, say for loss of earnings, but it is required in every single personal injury case that the Department of Work and Pensions is asked for a certificate as to what has been received by way of defined benefit, and there is a list of them in the last I think it's three years, it may be five years, it's a period, and then that can be reclaimed by the Department and in effect is reclaimed out of the damages. So that is what I'm suggesting. But clearly in this case it would be subject to the exemption that applies to anything that's in these regulations or any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A.	under the support schemes, or their equivalent under the compensation scheme." Is there a contradiction between paragraphs 9.90 and 10.10 or have I misunderstood? I don't think there is a contradiction. This is about recognising and accepting the continuation of this exemption in terms of the account to be taken of support payments, in relation to other state benefit, as I understand it. What I'm talking about in the recommendation that you looked at is a slightly different issue, which would be subject to this exemption I think, that where there are other benefits so, for instance, income support, which is independent of anything to do with infected blood, or there are social security issues around that,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		trying to get at. Again, it may be Can I just explain, where in a personal injury's claim an award is made of damages, say for loss of earnings, but it is required in every single personal injury case that the Department of Work and Pensions is asked for a certificate as to what has been received by way of defined benefit, and there is a list of them in the last I think it's three years, it may be five years, it's a period, and then that can be reclaimed by the Department and in effect is reclaimed out of the damages. So that is what I'm suggesting. But clearly in this case it would be subject to the exemption that applies to anything that's in these regulations or any similar regulations which exempt those being taken into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A.	under the support schemes, or their equivalent under the compensation scheme." Is there a contradiction between paragraphs 9.90 and 10.10 or have I misunderstood? I don't think there is a contradiction. This is about recognising and accepting the continuation of this exemption in terms of the account to be taken of support payments, in relation to other state benefit, as I understand it. What I'm talking about in the recommendation that you looked at is a slightly different issue, which would be subject to this exemption I think, that where there are other benefits so, for instance, income support, which is independent of anything to do with infected blood, or there are social security issues around that, that those should be taken into account in the same	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		trying to get at. Again, it may be Can I just explain, where in a personal injury's claim an award is made of damages, say for loss of earnings, but it is required in every single personal injury case that the Department of Work and Pensions is asked for a certificate as to what has been received by way of defined benefit, and there is a list of them in the last I think it's three years, it may be five years, it's a period, and then that can be reclaimed by the Department and in effect is reclaimed out of the damages. So that is what I'm suggesting. But clearly in this case it would be subject to the exemption that applies to anything that's in these regulations or any similar regulations which exempt those being taken into account for the purposes of those income support and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A.	under the support schemes, or their equivalent under the compensation scheme." Is there a contradiction between paragraphs 9.90 and 10.10 or have I misunderstood? I don't think there is a contradiction. This is about recognising and accepting the continuation of this exemption in terms of the account to be taken of support payments, in relation to other state benefit, as I understand it. What I'm talking about in the recommendation that you looked at is a slightly different issue, which would be subject to this exemption I think, that where there are other benefits so, for instance, income support, which is independent of anything to do with infected blood, or there are social security issues around that, that those should be taken into account in the same way sorry, there should be a clawback in relation to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Α.	trying to get at. Again, it may be Can I just explain, where in a personal injury's claim an award is made of damages, say for loss of earnings, but it is required in every single personal injury case that the Department of Work and Pensions is asked for a certificate as to what has been received by way of defined benefit, and there is a list of them in the last I think it's three years, it may be five years, it's a period, and then that can be reclaimed by the Department and in effect is reclaimed out of the damages. So that is what I'm suggesting. But clearly in this case it would be subject to the exemption that applies to anything that's in these regulations or any similar regulations which exempt those being taken into account for the purposes of those income support and other benefits.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A.	under the support schemes, or their equivalent under the compensation scheme." Is there a contradiction between paragraphs 9.90 and 10.10 or have I misunderstood? I don't think there is a contradiction. This is about recognising and accepting the continuation of this exemption in terms of the account to be taken of support payments, in relation to other state benefit, as I understand it. What I'm talking about in the recommendation that you looked at is a slightly different issue, which would be subject to this exemption I think, that where there are other benefits so, for instance, income support, which is independent of anything to do with infected blood, or there are social security issues around that, that those should be taken into account in the same way sorry, there should be a clawback in relation to that in the same way as there would be in a personal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Α.	trying to get at. Again, it may be Can I just explain, where in a personal injury's claim an award is made of damages, say for loss of earnings, but it is required in every single personal injury case that the Department of Work and Pensions is asked for a certificate as to what has been received by way of defined benefit, and there is a list of them in the last I think it's three years, it may be five years, it's a period, and then that can be reclaimed by the Department and in effect is reclaimed out of the damages. So that is what I'm suggesting. But clearly in this case it would be subject to the exemption that applies to anything that's in these regulations or any similar regulations which exempt those being taken into account for the purposes of those income support and other benefits. So in terms of any current exemptions, you are not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A.	under the support schemes, or their equivalent under the compensation scheme." Is there a contradiction between paragraphs 9.90 and 10.10 or have I misunderstood? I don't think there is a contradiction. This is about recognising and accepting the continuation of this exemption in terms of the account to be taken of support payments, in relation to other state benefit, as I understand it. What I'm talking about in the recommendation that you looked at is a slightly different issue, which would be subject to this exemption I think, that where there are other benefits so, for instance, income support, which is independent of anything to do with infected blood, or there are social security issues around that, that those should be taken into account in the same way sorry, there should be a clawback in relation to that in the same way as there would be in a personal injury claim. So, in other words, we don't take account	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	А. Q.	trying to get at. Again, it may be Can I just explain, where in a personal injury's claim an award is made of damages, say for loss of earnings, but it is required in every single personal injury case that the Department of Work and Pensions is asked for a certificate as to what has been received by way of defined benefit, and there is a list of them in the last I think it's three years, it may be five years, it's a period, and then that can be reclaimed by the Department and in effect is reclaimed out of the damages. So that is what I'm suggesting. But clearly in this case it would be subject to the exemption that applies to anything that's in these regulations or any similar regulations which exempt those being taken into account for the purposes of those income support and other benefits. So in terms of any current exemptions, you are not suggesting that should change?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A.	under the support schemes, or their equivalent under the compensation scheme." Is there a contradiction between paragraphs 9.90 and 10.10 or have I misunderstood? I don't think there is a contradiction. This is about recognising and accepting the continuation of this exemption in terms of the account to be taken of support payments, in relation to other state benefit, as I understand it. What I'm talking about in the recommendation that you looked at is a slightly different issue, which would be subject to this exemption I think, that where there are other benefits so, for instance, income support, which is independent of anything to do with infected blood, or there are social security issues around that, that those should be taken into account in the same way sorry, there should be a clawback in relation to that in the same way as there would be in a personal injury claim. So, in other words, we don't take account of payments that are being made to do with the infected	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A.	trying to get at. Again, it may be Can I just explain, where in a personal injury's claim an award is made of damages, say for loss of earnings, but it is required in every single personal injury case that the Department of Work and Pensions is asked for a certificate as to what has been received by way of defined benefit, and there is a list of them in the last I think it's three years, it may be five years, it's a period, and then that can be reclaimed by the Department and in effect is reclaimed out of the damages. So that is what I'm suggesting. But clearly in this case it would be subject to the exemption that applies to anything that's in these regulations or any similar regulations which exempt those being taken into account for the purposes of those income support and other benefits. So in terms of any current exemptions, you are not suggesting that should change? No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A.	under the support schemes, or their equivalent under the compensation scheme." Is there a contradiction between paragraphs 9.90 and 10.10 or have I misunderstood? I don't think there is a contradiction. This is about recognising and accepting the continuation of this exemption in terms of the account to be taken of support payments, in relation to other state benefit, as I understand it. What I'm talking about in the recommendation that you looked at is a slightly different issue, which would be subject to this exemption I think, that where there are other benefits so, for instance, income support, which is independent of anything to do with infected blood, or there are social security issues around that, that those should be taken into account in the same way sorry, there should be a clawback in relation to that in the same way as there would be in a personal injury claim. So, in other words, we don't take account of payments that are being made to do with the infected blood but there will be people who receive payments who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A.	trying to get at. Again, it may be Can I just explain, where in a personal injury's claim an award is made of damages, say for loss of earnings, but it is required in every single personal injury case that the Department of Work and Pensions is asked for a certificate as to what has been received by way of defined benefit, and there is a list of them in the last I think it's three years, it may be five years, it's a period, and then that can be reclaimed by the Department and in effect is reclaimed out of the damages. So that is what I'm suggesting. But clearly in this case it would be subject to the exemption that applies to anything that's in these regulations or any similar regulations which exempt those being taken into account for the purposes of those income support and other benefits. So in terms of any current exemptions, you are not suggesting that should change? No. Can I then move to recommendation 16 through to 19.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A.	under the support schemes, or their equivalent under the compensation scheme." Is there a contradiction between paragraphs 9.90 and 10.10 or have I misunderstood? I don't think there is a contradiction. This is about recognising and accepting the continuation of this exemption in terms of the account to be taken of support payments, in relation to other state benefit, as I understand it. What I'm talking about in the recommendation that you looked at is a slightly different issue, which would be subject to this exemption I think, that where there are other benefits so, for instance, income support, which is independent of anything to do with infected blood, or there are social security issues around that, that those should be taken into account in the same way sorry, there should be a clawback in relation to that in the same way as there would be in a personal injury claim. So, in other words, we don't take account of payments that are being made to do with the infected blood but there will be people who receive payments who have nothing to do with this at all, they may have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A.	trying to get at. Again, it may be Can I just explain, where in a personal injury's claim an award is made of damages, say for loss of earnings, but it is required in every single personal injury case that the Department of Work and Pensions is asked for a certificate as to what has been received by way of defined benefit, and there is a list of them in the last I think it's three years, it may be five years, it's a period, and then that can be reclaimed by the Department and in effect is reclaimed out of the damages. So that is what I'm suggesting. But clearly in this case it would be subject to the exemption that applies to anything that's in these regulations or any similar regulations which exempt those being taken into account for the purposes of those income support and other benefits. So in terms of any current exemptions, you are not suggesting that should change? No. Can I then move to recommendation 16 through to 19. So page 39, please, Lawrence.

(17) Pages 65 - 68

 The trace of the increase of the second of th	1		Recommendation 16, the recommendation of the:	1		where necessary by discretionary access to independent
a compensation scheme, with guaranteed independence of expenditure of public funds the furtiliment of its expenditure of public funds the furtiliment of its expenditure of public funds and the furtiliment of its expenditure of public funds and the furtiliment of its expenditure of public funds and the furtiliment of its expenditure of public funds expects of process. A Ves. That's you identify you aspects of process. A A Oh. sorry, yes. That's you identify you aspects of process. A Oh. sorry, yes. That's you identify you aspects of process. A Oh. sorry, yes. That's you identify you aspects of process. A Oh. sorry, yes. That's you identify you aspects of process. That's you identify you aspects of process. C Them you identify you aspect and applicants for sorther you a review of independent, preferably Constructions Constructions <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
4 Just pursuing there. Where there is necessity for expenditure of public funds and the fulfilment of its 4 Just pursuing there. Where there is necessity for representation, this would be funded by the scheme? 7 A. Yes. 6 There you identify four aspects of process: 6 7 8 0. There you identify four aspects of process: 6 A. Oh, sonry yes. 9 *0, negard to the need of application for processes, accessibility, involvement. 10 *0 is financial and advice and support services to assist recipient in the management of awards and in accessing financial services; and public dia papel process. 12 care and councelling services." 12 The sonry, a review process and an appeal process, 14 Care and councelling services." 13 15 I hink that means. 15 We may watch come back to that. 16 A. Yes. "Yes. "We may watch come back. 17 of any process and an appeal process, 14 care and councelling services." 18 In advice and support services "We may watch come back. 19 scheme, for example, by way of an advice or behalf "The comparisation of the couple scheme"s to 15 20 ''o) involve polentified support services. 22 Los advices advice ad						
5 expenditure of public funds and the fuffilment of its 5 discretionary access to independent legal advice and representation, that would be funded by the softener? 8 Q. Then you identify four aspects of process: B. A. On, sorry, yes. B. A. On, sorry, yes. 9 "a) - a review and independent, preferably C. Then: To assist recipient in the management of awards and in assist recipient in the management of awards and in the recommendation 15: 9 A Yes. C. *o involve optimizing angement of awards and in assist recipient in the management of awards and in the recommendation of the consideration assist recipient in the management of awards and in the recommendation of the consideration of any previously publicly inded support strikers? 10 A Yes. The should be pany between t						
6 A Yes. 6 representation, that would be funded by the scheme? That's how it have read this, but i just wanted to check. 9 •						
7 A. Yes. 7 The's how have read this, but I just wanted to check. 8 Q. Then you identify four aspects of process: 8 A. On, sorry, yes. 10 simplicity of process, accessibility, involvement, 70 A. On, sorry, yes. 11 proaches support, famises and efficiency, 11 assist religiont in the management of awards and in accessing financial services, and 12 b) a review and independent, preferably 12 accessing financial services, and 13 judicially led, appeal process.* 13 "on familiation of access to appropriate health, iccass 14 The score, a weak want in preview and improvement of the 18 "on familiation of access to appropriate health, iccass 15 I think that means. 15 We may wont to come back to that. 16 A. Yes. 16 "Recommendation scheme should be divered 17 accessing financial and divice and counselling services." we may wont to come back to that. 18 representatives in the review and improvement of them. 18 boodd is created to overse the complexition scheme should be divered of them back in the back in the back in the scheme. 12 of any previously publicly thanded support services." 24 Line when jinut them s						
8 Q. Then you identify four aspects of process: 8 A Oh, sorry, yes. 9 a) regard to the ned of applicants for 9 Q. Then: 11 proache support, fairness and efficiency; 11 assist recipient in the management of awards and in 12 Tb: a review and independent, preferably 12 accessing financial and advice and support services to assist recipient in the management of awards and in 14 The sorry, a review process and an appeal process, 14 care and courseling services." 16 A Yes. Yes involve potentially eligible persons and their 17 the compensation scheme should be delivered 17 Q. Yo involve potentially eligible persons and their 17 the compensation scheme should be delivered 18 of the saces to the records held by or on behaff 16 restructure function an agreement under within the local support 19 of the basis for the scheme 22 So that is the basis for the scheme 23 ALB, into within the administration of the contensations or delegates 10 of an advice and adviceary services, suppirmented 26 To To 20 from this and from the contensin of your report?		٨				
1 "a) - regard to the need of applicants for 9 Q. Then: 10 simplicity of process, accessibility, involvement, 10 "b) - a review and independent, preferably 12 accessing financial services, and 13 judicially led, appeal process, 11 accessing financial services, and 14 Im sony, a review protoses and an appeal process, 14 care and occurselling services, and 15 I think that means. 15 We may want to come back to that. 16 A Yes. 16 "Recommendation 16: 17 Q. "O) involve potentially eligible persons and their 17 " the compensation scheme should be delivered 18 scheme for example, by way of an advisor forum; 19 should be given by the UK and devolved oradison. Cansideration 20 "O) involve potentially eligible persons and their 17 " the compensation scheme should be delivered 21 of any previously publicly funded support scheme." 21 board is created to oversee the compensation scheme should be delivered 22 So that is the basis for the scheme. 22 ALB, involve the devolved atability of indicate, persons or scheegeste 23 If we then just look al 17, you suggest it should 23 schemes be merged, or the ALB commissions or delegates 24 the local administration of the co					٨	-
10 simplicity of process, accessibility, involvement, involveme		ω.				
11 proactive support, fairness and efficiency: 11 assist recipient in the management of awards and in 12 "b) a review and independent, preferably 12 accessing financial services; and 13 indicibility led, appeal process, 13 in y facilitation of access to appropriate health, 14 I'm sony, a review process and an appeal process, 14 care and counselling services." 15 Lithink that means. 15 We may want to come back to that. 16 A Yes, 16 Teacommendiation 18: 17 Q. "c) involve potentially eligible persons and their 17 " the compensation scheme should be delivered 18 scheme, for example, by way of an advisory forum; 19 should be given by the UK and devoled goverments to 21 of any previously publicly funded support scheme." 21 board is created to oversee the compensation scheme's 22 So that is the basis for the scheme. 22 24 board is created to avarse the compensation scheme is the local administration of the local aupport 23 if we then just look at 17, you suggest it should 23 schemes be merged, or the ALB commissions or delegates 24 include provision of support scheme, whatever form it					Q.	
12 "b) a review and independent, preferably 12 accessing financial services; and 13 "in beginpation of access to appropriate health, increase and appead process," 13 "c) facilitation of access to appropriate health, increase and conselling services," 14 In sony, a review process and an appeal process, 14 ace and conselling services," 15 I think that means. 15 We may want to come back to that. 16 A Yes. 16 "Recommendation 16." 17 Q. "o) involve potentially eligible persons and their 7 " the compensation scheme should be delivered 18 representatives in the review and improvement of the 18 flocally within each devolved appromentation 19 scheme, for example, by way of an advisory forum; 19 should be given by the UK and devolved governments to 10 of any previously publicly funded support scheme." 21 board is created to oversee the compensation scheme is 22 So that is the basis for the scheme. 22 ALB, into which the administration of the consensation scheme is 23 if we then just look at 17, you suggest it should 23 schemes is delivered to them locally and, in many cases, as a struck by the value that was previously and, in many cases, as a loay an advoce any service, supplemented 2 24 from this and from the contents of your report? </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
13 "(a) facilitation of access to appropriate health, I'm sorry, a review process and an appeal process, I think that means. 13 "(a) facilitation of access to appropriate health, I'm sorry, a review process and an appeal process, I think that means. 14 X Yes, To sorry, a review process and an appeal process, I think that means. 14 care and counseling services." 15 W meany went to come back to that. "Recommendation 18: " the compensation scheme should be delivered locally within each devolved nation. Consideration scheme, for example, by way of an advisory forum; 10 15 Went appeared to versee the compensation scheme should be given by the UK and devolved governments to entering an agreement under which either a pathership board is created to oversee the compensation scheme's So that is the basis for the scheme. 22 ALB, into which the administration of the local support if we then just lock at 17, you suggest it should include provision of support services: 24 the local derivities and the local support is scheme is delivered to were sentences." 23 Firstly, that the compensation scheme, whatever form it takes, whatever the levels might be, should be a UK-wide is scheme? 1 scheme is delivered to them locally and, in many cases, as have already indicated, but also from lecal and it to allow and from the contents of your report? 3 Firstly, that the compensation scheme, whatever form it takes, whatever the levels might be, should be a UK-wide is scheme? 1 scheme is delivered to them locally and, in many cases, as have already indicated, becau						
14 If misorry, a review process and an appeal process, 14 care and counselling services." 15 Lithick that means. 15 We may want to come back to that. 16 Ves. 16 "Recommendation 18: 17 Q. "c) involve potentially eligible persons and their 17 the compensation scheme should be delivered 18 representatives in the review and improvement of the 18 locally within each devolved povernments to 19 scheme, for example, by way of an advisory forum; 19 should be given by the UK and devolved governments to 20 "a) has access to the records held by or on behaft 20 entering an agreement under which either a partnership 21 of any previously publicly funded support scheme. 22 ALB, into which the administration of the cole support 22 So that is the basis for the scheme. 22 ALB, into which the administration of the comensation scheme to 23 if we then just look at 7, you suggest it should 23 scheme is delivered to them locally and, in many cases, 24 takes, whatever the levels might be, should be a UK-wide 1 sask have already indicated, personally. And I was very 35 scheme is delivered to them locally and, in many cases,						• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
15 I think that means. 15 We may want to come back to that. 16 A. Yes. 16 "Recommendation 15: 18 representatives in the review and improvement of the scheme, for example, by way of an advisory forum; 19 should be given by the UK and devolved governments to any previously publicly funded support scheme. 21 board is created to oversee the compensation scheme should be delivered induced provincer statistics of the scheme scheme. 21 of any previously publicly funded support scheme. 22 ALB, into which the administration of the local support scheme's induced provision of support services: 24 the local administration of the compensation scheme is delivered to them locally and, in many cases, the local doministration of the compensation scheme is delivered to them locally and, in many cases, as there scheme? 24 Pausing there, are there two features that one draws 6 1 scheme is delivered to them locally and, in many cases, as they appreciated this to come schemes." 25 Firstly, the the compensation scheme, whealever form it a takes, whatever the levels might be, should be a UK-wide 1 scheme is delivered to them locally and, in many cases, as they appreciated that local connection and the support scheme scheme? 4 Yes. 6 Yes. 6 Nees. 6 5 scheme is delivered to them locally and, in many cases, as thave aprevady indicated, but also fr						
16 A Yes. 16 "Recommendation 18: 17 Q. 'oj involve potentially eligible persons and their 17 " the compensation scheme should be delivered 18 representatives in the review and improvement of the 18 locally within each devolved nation. Consideration 20 'd) has access to the records held by or on behalf 20 entering an agreement under which either a partnership 21 of any previously publicly funded support scheme." 21 board is created to oversee the compensation scheme's ALB, into which the administration of the local support 23 So that is the basis for the scheme. 22 ALB, into which the administration of the local support 24 induce provision of support services: 25 the local administration of the compensation scheme to the local administration of the compensation scheme to the local administration of the compensation scheme to the local administration of the compensation scheme scheme? 25 "a) an advice and advocacy service, supplemented 25 scheme is delivered to them locally and, in many cases, as I have already indicated, personally. And I was very struck by the value that was placed by many people 26 "for the isand from the contents of your report? 2 as I have already indicated, personally. And I was very as struck by the value that was placed by many people 1						-
17 Q. "c) involve potentially eligible persons and their representatives in the review and improvement of the scheme, for example, by way of an advisory forum; 19 should be given by the UK and devolved governments to should be given by the UK and devolved governments to should be given by the UK and devolved governments to should be given by the UK and devolved governments to an advisory forum; 19 scheme, for example, by way of an advisory forum; 19 should be given by the UK and devolved governments to should be given by the UK and devolved governments to should be given by the UK and devolved governments to should be given by the UK and devolved governments to should be difference within either a pathership 20 "of has access to the records held by or on behalf 20 entening an agreement under which either a pathership 21 of an y previously publicly funded support scheme." 21 board is created to oversee the compensation scheme to the devolved support schemes." 22 No the the inter two features that one draws 1 scheme is delivered to them locally and, in many cases, as thave already indicated, personally. And I was very as truck by the value that was placed by many people 1 Pausing there, are there two features that one draws 1 scheme is delivered to them locally and, in many cases, as thave already indicated, personally. And I was very as truck by the value that was placed by many people 1 There should be parity between the four nations and nonee 70 70		٨				-
18 representatives in the review and improvement of the scheme, for example, by way of an advisory forum; 19 should be given by the UK and devolved powerments to entering an agreement under which either a partnership 20 "d) has access to the records held by or on behalf 20 entering an agreement under which either a partnership 21 of any previously publicly funded support scheme." 21 board is created to oversee the compensation scheme's 22 So that is the basis for the scheme. 22 ALB, into which the administration of the local support 23 If we then just look at 17, you suggest it should 23 schemes be merged, or the ALB commissions or delegates 24 indudre provision of support services: 24 the devolved support scheme." 20 25 "a) an advice and advoccay service, supplemented 25 the devolved support scheme." 70 26 From this and from the contents of your report? 2 as I have already indicated, personally. And I was very 37 Firstly, that the compensation scheme, whatever form it 3 struck by the value that was placed by many people 4 takes, whatever the levels might be, should be a UK-wide 4 I saw, particularly from Scolland, because there were 5 scheme? 6 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
19 scheme, for example, by way of an advisory forum; 19 should be given by the UK and devolved governments to 20 "d) has access to the records held by or on behalf 20 entering an agreement under which either a pathership 21 of any previously publicly funded support scheme." 21 board is created to oversee the compensation scheme's 23 If we then just look at 17, you suggest it should 23 scheme's the local administration of the local support 24 indude provision of support services: 24 the local administration of the compensation scheme to 25 "a) an advice and advocacy service, supplemented 25 the devolved support scheme." 26 Firstly, that the compensation scheme, whatever form it 3 scheme is delivered to them locally and, in many cases, 2 Firstly, that the compensation scheme, whatever form it 3 struck by the value that was placed by many people 4 takes, whatever the levels might be, should be a UK-wide 4 I saw, particularly from Scotland, but also from Ireland and 6 A Yes. 6 Wales, that they appreciated that local connection and 7 0 Three should be parity between the four nations and none 7 the disparity falt we've previously scen? <td></td> <td>ω.</td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		ω.	,			
20 "d) has access to the records held by or on behalf 20 entering an agreement under which either a partnership 21 of any previously publicly funded support scheme." 21 board is created to eversee the compensation scheme's 22 So that is the basis for the scheme. 22 ALB, into which the administration of the local support 23 If we then just look at 17, you suggest it should 23 schemes be merged, or the ALB commissions or delegates 24 include provision of support services: 24 the local administration of the compensation scheme is delivered to them locally and, in many cases, 25 "a) an advice and advocacy service, supplemented 25 the devolved support scheme." 26 from this and from the contents of your report? 2 as I have already indicated, personally. And I was very 3 Firstly, that the compensation scheme, whatever form it 3 scheme? 5 a lot opcole from Socitand, but also form Ireland and 4 takes, whatever the levels might be, should be a UK-wide 4 I saw, particularly from Socitand, but also from Ireland and 6 A Yes. 6 Wales, that they appreciated that local connection and 7 Q. There should be parity between the four nations and none 70<						-
21 of any previously publicly funded support scheme." 21 board is created to oversee the compensation scheme's 22 So that is the basis for the scheme. 22 ALB, into which the administration of the local support 23 If we then just look at 17, you suggest it should 23 schemes be merged, or the ALB commissions or delegates 24 include provision of support services: 24 the local administration of the compensation scheme to 25 "a) an advice and advocacy service, supplemented 25 the devolved support services: 26 from this and from the contents of your report? 2 as I have already indicated, personally. And I was very 3 Firstly, that the compensation scheme, whatever form it 3 scheme? 5 4 takes, whatever the levels might be, should be a UK-wide 4 I saw, particularity from Scotland, but also from Iteland and 70 4 Yes. 6 Wales, that they appreciated that local connection and one 7 Q. There should be parity between the four nations and none 7 the ability of locally-based administrators to 8 of the disparity that we've previously seen? 8 understand the context in which people were coming to 10 the						
22 So that is the basis for the scheme. 22 ALB, into which the administration of the local support 23 If we then just look at 17, you suggest it should 23 schemes be merged, or the ALB commissions or delegates 24 include provision of support services: 24 the local administration of the local support 25 "a) an advice and advocacy service, supplemented 25 the devolved support schemes." 26 70 1 Pausing there, are there two features that one draws 1 scheme is delivered to them locally and, in many cases, as I have already indicated, personally. And I was very 3 Firstly, that the compensation scheme, whatever form it 3 scheme is delivered to them locally and, in many cases, as I have already indicated, personally. And I was very 5 scheme? 5 a lot of people from Scotland, but also from Ireland and 6 Ves. 6 Wales, that they appreciated that local connection and 7 Q There should be parity between the four nations and none 7 the ability of locally-based administrators to 8 understand the context in which people were coming to then, I think that, therefore, is really important. 10 athough I don't think it's perfect yet - a move 11			· ·			
23 If we then just look at 17, you suggest it should 23 schemes be merged, or the ALB commissions or delegates 24 include provision of support services: "a) an advice and advocacy service, supplemented 24 the local administration of the compensation scheme to 25 "a) an advice and advocacy service, supplemented 25 the devolved support schemes." 26 To Pausing there, are there two features that one draws 1 scheme is delivered to them locally and, in many cases, 27 Firstly, that the compensation scheme, whatever form it 3 struck by the value that was placed by many people 26 scheme? 5 a lot of people from Scotland, because there were 2 a lot of people from Scotland, because there were a lot of people from Scotland, because there were 3 of the disparity that we've previously seen? 8 understand the context in which people were coming to 9 A. Yes. 6 Wales, that they appreciated that local connection and 1 10 the support scheme, which has been a move towards 10 And, you know, if we are talking about England, 11 although I don't think it's perfect yet a move 11 obviously it is a larger place and one would imagine one						
24 include provision of support services: 24 the local administration of the compensation scheme to 25 "a) an advice and advocacy service, supplemented 25 the devolved support schemes." 26 70 1 Pausing there, are there two features that one draws 1 scheme is delivered to them locally and, in many cases, as I have already indicated, personally. And I was very 3 Firstly, that the compensation scheme, whatever form it 3 struck by the value that was placed by many people 4 takes, whatever the levels might be, should be a UK-wide 4 I saw, particularly from Sotland, because there were 5 a lot of people from Scotland, but also from Ireland and 6 Weles, that they appreciated that local connection and 7 Q. There should be parity between the four nations and none 7 the ability of locally-based administrators to 8 of the disparity that we've previously seen? 8 understand the context in which people were coming to 9 A. Yes, and it follows on from the developments really in 9 them. I think that, therefore, is really important. 10 the support scheme, which has been a move towards – 10 And, you know, if we are talking about England. 11						
 ²⁵ an advice and advocacy service, supplemented 69 ⁷⁰ 70 ⁷⁰ Pausing there, are there two features that one draws ¹ Fristly, that the contents of your report? ² as I have already indicated, personally. And I was very ³ Firstly, that the compensation scheme, whatever form it ⁴ takes, whatever the levels might be, should be a UK-wide ⁵ scheme? ⁶ a I fore should be parity between the four nations and none ⁶ of the disparity that we've previously seen? ⁶ a Ves. ⁶ C. There should be parity between the four nations and none ⁶ of the disparity that we've previously seen? ⁸ understand the context in which people administrators to ⁹ of the disparity between the four nations and none ⁹ A. Yes, and it follows on from the developments really in ⁹ the support scheme, which has been a move towards - ¹⁰ atthough I don't think it's perfect yet - a move ¹¹ atthough I don't think it's perfect yet - a move ¹¹ atthough I don't think it's perfect yet - a move ¹³ Q. And so - ¹⁴ A. And it is, to answer your question directly, to be ¹⁵ a UK government funded scheme. ¹⁵ Q. So the same across all parts of the UK, but it may be ¹⁶ a UK government funded scheme. ¹⁷ L government funded scheme. ¹⁸ A. Vesl, and then UK-wide scheme is delivered ¹⁸ A. So there may be assessors in England, assessors in ¹⁹ A. Well, I looked at this really from the applicant's point ¹⁰ A. Well, I looked at this really from the applicant's point ¹³ A. Well, I looked at this really from the applicant's point ¹⁴ A. Mit is, then UK-wide scheme is delivered ¹⁸ A. Well, I looked at this really from the applicant's point ¹⁹ A. Well, I looked at this really from the applicant's point ²⁰ A. Well						
69 70 1 Pausing there, are there two features that one draws from this and from the contents of your report? as I have already indicated, personally. And I was very 3 Firstly, that the compensation scheme, whatever form it takes, whatever the levels might be, should be a UK-wide 1 scheme already indicated, personally. And I was very 3 Struck by the value that was placed by many people 1 I saw, particularly from Scotland, but also from Ireland and 6 A. Yes. 6 Wales, that they appreciated that local connection and 7 Q. There should be parity between the four nations and none of the disparity that we've previously seen? 8 understand the context in which people were coming to 8 understand the context in which pape were coming to 9 them. I think that, therefore, is really important. 10 the support scheme, which has been a move towards although I don't think it's perfect yet a move 11 obviously it is a larger place and one would imagine one would have to make a decision, if you were administering 13 Q. And so - 13 it, whether you would have to met an the ability to a diministered locally, so there might be, in terms of the implementation of this scheme would have to be by fegislation? 14 access service from cities other than London, frankly. 10 To what extent, if at all, have you						
2from this and from the contents of your report?2as I have already indicated, personally. And I was very3Firstly, that the compensation scheme, whatever form it3struck by the value that was placed by many people4takes, whatever the levels might be, should be a UK-wide4I saw, particularly from Scotland, because there were5scheme?5a lot of people from Scotland, but also from Ireland and6A. Yes.6Wales, that they appreciated that local connection and7Q. There should be parity between the four nations and none7the ability of locally-based administrators to8of the disparity that we've previously seen?8understand the context in which people were coming to9A. Yes, and it follows on from the developments really in9them. I think that, therefore, is really important.10the support scheme, which has been a move towards10And, you know, if we are talking about England,11although I don't think it's perfect yet a move11obviously it is a larger place and one would imagine one12towards parity between the nations.12would have to make a decision, if you were administering13Q. And so13ii, whether you would have more than the ability to14A. And it is, to answer your question directly, to be14access service from cities other than London, frankly.15a UK government funded scheme.15Q. To what extent, if at all, have you considered whether18way in which that then UK-wide scheme is	25			20		••
2from this and from the contents of your report?2as I have already indicated, personally. And I was very3Firstly, that the compensation scheme, whatever form it3struck by the value that was placed by many people4takes, whatever the levels might be, should be a UK-wide4I saw, particularly from Scotland, because there were5scheme?5a lot of people from Scotland, but also from Ireland and6A. Yes.6Wales, that they appreciated that local connection and7Q. There should be parity between the four nations and none7the ability of locally-based administrators to8of the disparity that we've previously seen?8understand the context in which people were coming to9A. Yes, and it follows on from the developments really in9them. I think that, therefore, is really important.10the support scheme, which has been a move towards10And, you know, if we are talking about England,11although I don't think it's perfect yet a move11obviously it is a larger place and one would imagine one12towards parity between the nations.12would have to make a decision, if you were administering13Q. And so13ii, whether you would have more than the ability to14A. And it is, to answer your question directly, to be14access service from cities other than London, frankly.15a UK government funded scheme.15Q. To what extent, if at all, have you considered whether18way in which that then UK-wide scheme is						
2from this and from the contents of your report?2as I have already indicated, personally. And I was very3Firstly, that the compensation scheme, whatever form it3struck by the value that was placed by many people4takes, whatever the levels might be, should be a UK-wide4I saw, particularly from Scotland, because there were5scheme?5a lot of people from Scotland, but also from Ireland and6A. Yes.6Wales, that they appreciated that local connection and7Q. There should be parity between the four nations and none7the ability of locally-based administrators to8of the disparity that we've previously seen?8understand the context in which people were coming to9A. Yes, and it follows on from the developments really in9them. I think that, therefore, is really important.10the support scheme, which has been a move towards10And, you know, if we are talking about England,11although I don't think it's perfect yet a move11obviously it is a larger place and one would imagine one12towards parity between the nations.12would have to make a decision, if you were administering13Q. And so13ii, whether you would have more than the ability to14A. And it is, to answer your question directly, to be14access service from cities other than London, frankly.15a UK government funded scheme.15Q. To what extent, if at all, have you considered whether18way in which that then UK-wide scheme is	1		Pausing there, are there two features that one draws	1		scheme is delivered to them locally and, in many cases.
3Firstly, that the compensation scheme, whatever form it takes, whatever the levels might be, should be a UK-wide3struck by the value that was placed by many people4takes, whatever the levels might be, should be a UK-wide4I saw, particularly from Scotland, because there were5scheme?5a lot of people from Scotland, but also from Ireland and6A. Yes.6Wales, that they appreciated that local connection and7Q.There should be parity between the four nations and none of the disparity that we've previously seen?8understand the context in which people were coming to8of the disparity that we've previously seen?8understand the context in which people were coming to10A. Yes, and it follows on from the developments really in9them. I think that, therefore, is really important.10the support scheme, which has been a move towards - towards parity between the nations.10And, you know, if we are talking about England,11although I don't think it's perfect yet a move11obviously it is a larger place and one would imagine one12towards parity between the nations.12would have to make a decision, if you were administering13Q. And so -13it, whether you would have more than - the ability to14A. And it is, to answer your question directly, to be14access service from cities other than London, frankly.15a UK government funded scheme.15Q.To what extent, if at all, have you considered whether16Q. So the same across			-			
4takes, whatever the levels might be, should be a UK-wide4I saw, particularly from Scotland, because there were5scheme?5a lot of people from Scotland, but also from Ireland and6A. Yes.6Wales, that they appreciated that local connection and7Q. There should be parity between the four nations and none7the ability of locally-based administrators to8of the disparity that we've previously seen?8understand the context in which people were coming to9A. Yes, and it follows on from the developments really in9them. I think that, therefore, is really important.10the support scheme, which has been a move towards -10And, you know, if we are talking about England,11although I don't think it's perfect yet a move11obviously it is a larger place and one would imagine one12would have to make a decision, if you were administering13it, whether you would have more than the ability to13alt do so -13it, whether you would have more than the ability to14A. And si is, to answer your question directly, to be14access service from cities other than London, frankly.15a UK government funded scheme.15Q.To what extent, if at all, have you considered whether16Q. So the same across all parts of the UK, but it may be16the implementation of this scheme would have to be by17administered locally, so there might be, in terms of the17legislation?18way in which that then UK-wide scheme is d						
5scheme?5a lot of people from Scotland, but also from Ireland and6A. Yes.6Wales, that they appreciated that local connection and7Q. There should be parity between the four nations and none7the ability of locally-based administrators to8of the disparity that we've previously seen?8understand the context in which people were coming to9A. Yes, and it follows on from the developments really in9them. I think that, therefore, is really important.10the support scheme, which has been a move towards -10And, you know, if we are talking about England,11although I don't think it's perfect yet - a move11obviously it is a larger place and one would imagine one12towards parity between the nations.12would have to make a decision, if you were administering13Q. And so13it, whether you would have more than the ability to14A. And it is, to answer your question directly, to be14access service from cities other than London, frankly.15a UK government funded scheme.15Q.To what extent, if at all, have you considered whether16Q. So the same across all parts of the UK, but it may be16the implementation of this scheme would have to be by17administered locally, so there might be, in terms of the17legislation?18way in which that then UK-wide scheme is delivered18A.I suspect that certain aspects of this will require at19and there may be assessors in England, assessors in </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
6A.Yes.6Wales, that they appreciated that local connection and7Q.There should be parity between the four nations and none of the disparity that we've previously seen?8understand the context in which people were coming to9A.Yes, and it follows on from the developments really in the support scheme, which has been a move towards although I don't think it's perfect yet a move10And, you know, if we are talking about England, obviously it is a larger place and one would imagine one10although I don't think it's perfect yet a move11obviously it is a larger place and one would imagine one12towards parity between the nations.12would have to make a decision, if you were administering13Q.And so13it, whether you would have more than the ability to access service from cities other than London, frankly.15a UK government funded scheme.15Q.To what extent, if at all, have you considered whether16Q.So the same across all parts of the UK, but it may be administered locally, so there might be, in terms of the and there may be assessors in England, assessors in usy in which that then UK-wide scheme is delivered18A.I suspect that certain aspects of this will require at legislation?19and there may be assessors in England, assessors in and there may be assessors in Scotland, assessors in Norther20not, considered that in detail. And the reason I have21Ireland, so there is still a degree of local connection and support?21done that not done so as it seemed to me much more <b< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></b<>						
7Q.There should be parity between the four nations and none of the disparity that we've previously seen?7the ability of locally-based administrators to8of the disparity that we've previously seen?8understand the context in which people were coming to9A.Yes, and it follows on from the developments really in the support scheme, which has been a move towards although I don't think it's perfect yet a move10And, you know, if we are talking about England, obviously it is a larger place and one would imagine one12towards parity between the nations.12would have to make a decision, if you were administering13Q.And so13it, whether you would have more than the ability to access service from cities other than London, frankly.15a UK government funded scheme.15Q.To what extent, if at all, have you considered whether the implementation of this scheme would have to be by administered locally, so there might be, in terms of the and there may be assessors in England, assessors in19least some form of regulation. I have not, deliberately20Wales, assessors in Scotland, assessors in ureland, so there is still a degree of local connection and support?21done that not done so as it seemed to me much more important to work out, first, what are the leads of the scheme and support?23A.Well, I looked at this really from the applicant's point of view, where it seemed to me absolutely essential that they have a local that service of this compensation2324of view, where it seemed to me absolutely essential that they have a local that		A.				
8of the disparity that we've previously seen?8understand the context in which people were coming to9A.Yes, and it follows on from the developments really in9them. I think that, therefore, is really important.10the support scheme, which has been a move towards10And, you know, if we are talking about England,11although I don't think it's perfect yet a move11obviously it is a larger place and one would imagine one12towards parity between the nations.12would have to make a decision, if you were administering13Q.And so -13it, whether you would have more than the ability to14A.And it is, to answer your question directly, to be14access service from cities other than London, frankly.15a UK government funded scheme.15Q.To what extent, if at all, have you considered whether16Q.So the same across all parts of the UK, but it may be16the implementation of this scheme would have to be by17administered locally, so there might be, in terms of the17legislation?18way in which that then UK-wide scheme is delivered18A.I suspect that certain aspects of this will require at19and there may be assessors in England, assessors in Northern20not, considered that in detail. And the reason I have21Ireland, so there is still a degree of local connection21done that not done so as it seemed to me much more22and support?22important to work out, first, what are	7	Q.	There should be parity between the four nations and none	7		
9A.Yes, and it follows on from the developments really in the support scheme, which has been a move towards although I don't think it's perfect yet a move10And, you know, if we are talking about England,10although I don't think it's perfect yet a move11obviously it is a larger place and one would imagine one12towards parity between the nations.12would have to make a decision, if you were administering13Q.And so13it, whether you would have more than the ability to14A.And it is, to answer your question directly, to be14access service from cities other than London, frankly.15a UK government funded scheme.15Q.To what extent, if at all, have you considered whether16Q.So the same across all parts of the UK, but it may be16the implementation of this scheme would have to be by17administered locally, so there might be, in terms of the and there may be assessors in England, assessors in19least some form of regulation. I have not, deliberately20Wales, assessors in Scotland, assessors in Northern reland, so there is still a degree of local connection21done that not done so as it seemed to me much more21ind support?22important to work out, first, what are the leads of the23A.Well, I looked at this really from the applicant's point23scheme and then to decide what would be required by way24of view, where it seemed to me absolutely essential that24of legislation or regulatory change to bring that about.						
10the support scheme, which has been a move towards although I don't think it's perfect yet a move10And, you know, if we are talking about England,11although I don't think it's perfect yet a move11obviously it is a larger place and one would imagine one12towards parity between the nations.12would have to make a decision, if you were administering13Q.And so13it, whether you would have more than the ability to14A.And it is, to answer your question directly, to be14access service from cities other than London, frankly.15a UK government funded scheme.15Q.To what extent, if at all, have you considered whether16Q.So the same across all parts of the UK, but it may be16the implementation of this scheme would have to be by17administered locally, so there might be, in terms of the17legislation?18way in which that then UK-wide scheme is delivered18A.I suspect that certain aspects of this will require at19and there may be assessors in England, assessors in19least some form of regulation. I have not, deliberately20Wales, assessors in Scotland, assessors in Northerm20not, considered that in detail. And the reason I have21Ireland, so there is still a degree of local connection21done that not done so as it seemed to me much more22and support?22important to work out, first, what are the leads of the23A.Well, I looked at this really from the applicant's	9	A.		9		
11although I don't think it's perfect yet a move11obviously it is a larger place and one would imagine one12towards parity between the nations.12would have to make a decision, if you were administering13Q. And so13it, whether you would have more than the ability to14A. And it is, to answer your question directly, to be14access service from cities other than London, frankly.15a UK government funded scheme.15Q.To what extent, if at all, have you considered whether16Q. So the same across all parts of the UK, but it may be16the implementation of this scheme would have to be by17administered locally, so there might be, in terms of the17legislation?18way in which that then UK-wide scheme is delivered18A.I suspect that certain aspects of this will require at19and there may be assessors in England, assessors in19least some form of regulation. I have not, deliberately20Wales, assessors in Scotland, assessors in Northern20not, considered that in detail. And the reason I have21Ireland, so there is still a degree of local connection21done that not done so as it seemed to me much more23A.Well, I looked at this really from the applicant's point23scheme and then to decide what would be required by way24of view, where it seemed to me absolutely essential that24of legislation or regulatory change to bring that about.25they have a local that service of this compensation25<	10					
12towards parity between the nations.12would have to make a decision, if you were administering13Q. And so13it, whether you would have more than the ability to14A. And it is, to answer your question directly, to be14access service from cities other than London, frankly.15a UK government funded scheme.15Q.To what extent, if at all, have you considered whether16Q.So the same across all parts of the UK, but it may be16the implementation of this scheme would have to be by17administered locally, so there might be, in terms of the17legislation?18way in which that then UK-wide scheme is delivered18A.I suspect that certain aspects of this will require at19and there may be assessors in England, assessors in19least some form of regulation. I have not, deliberately20Wales, assessors in Scotland, assessors in Northern20not, considered that in detail. And the reason I have21Ireland, so there is still a degree of local connection21done that not done so as it seemed to me much more21and support?22important to work out, first, what are the leads of the23A.Well, I looked at this really from the applicant's point23scheme and then to decide what would be required by way24of view, where it seemed to me absolutely essential that24of legislation or regulatory change to bring that about.25they have a local that service of this compensation25I mean, obviously schemes d						
13Q.And so13it, whether you would have more than the ability to14A.And it is, to answer your question directly, to be14access service from cities other than London, frankly.15a UK government funded scheme.15Q.To what extent, if at all, have you considered whether16Q.So the same across all parts of the UK, but it may be16the implementation of this scheme would have to be by17administered locally, so there might be, in terms of the17legislation?18way in which that then UK-wide scheme is delivered18A.I suspect that certain aspects of this will require at19and there may be assessors in England, assessors in19least some form of regulation. I have not, deliberately20Wales, assessors in Scotland, assessors in Northern20not, considered that in detail. And the reason I have21Ireland, so there is still a degree of local connection21done that not done so as it seemed to me much more22and support?22important to work out, first, what are the leads of the23A.Well, I looked at this really from the applicant's point23scheme and then to decide what would be required by way24of view, where it seemed to me absolutely essential that24of legislation or regulatory change to bring that about.25they have a local that service of this compensation25I mean, obviously schemes demonstrably are brought about.						
14A.And it is, to answer your question directly, to be14access service from cities other than London, frankly.15a UK government funded scheme.15Q.To what extent, if at all, have you considered whether16Q.So the same across all parts of the UK, but it may be16the implementation of this scheme would have to be by17administered locally, so there might be, in terms of the17legislation?18way in which that then UK-wide scheme is delivered18A.I suspect that certain aspects of this will require at19and there may be assessors in England, assessors in19least some form of regulation. I have not, deliberately20Wales, assessors in Scotland, assessors in Northern20not, considered that in detail. And the reason I have21Ireland, so there is still a degree of local connection21done that not done so as it seemed to me much more22and support?22important to work out, first, what are the leads of the23A.Well, I looked at this really from the applicant's point23scheme and then to decide what would be required by way24of view, where it seemed to me absolutely essential that24of legislation or regulatory change to bring that about.25they have a local that service of this compensation25I mean, obviously schemes demonstrably are brought about.		Q.				
15a UK government funded scheme.15Q.To what extent, if at all, have you considered whether16Q.So the same across all parts of the UK, but it may be16the implementation of this scheme would have to be by17administered locally, so there might be, in terms of the17legislation?18way in which that then UK-wide scheme is delivered18A.I suspect that certain aspects of this will require at19and there may be assessors in England, assessors in19least some form of regulation. I have not, deliberately20Wales, assessors in Scotland, assessors in Northern20not, considered that in detail. And the reason I have21Ireland, so there is still a degree of local connection21done that not done so as it seemed to me much more22and support?22important to work out, first, what are the leads of the23A.Well, I looked at this really from the applicant's point23scheme and then to decide what would be required by way24of view, where it seemed to me absolutely essential that24of legislation or regulatory change to bring that about.25they have a local that service of this compensation25I mean, obviously schemes demonstrably are brought about			And it is, to answer your question directly, to be			
16Q.So the same across all parts of the UK, but it may be administered locally, so there might be, in terms of the way in which that then UK-wide scheme is delivered and there may be assessors in England, assessors in 1916the implementation of this scheme would have to be by legislation?18way in which that then UK-wide scheme is delivered and there may be assessors in England, assessors in Vales, assessors in Scotland, assessors in Northern19I suspect that certain aspects of this will require at least some form of regulation. I have not, deliberately not, considered that in detail. And the reason I have20Wales, assessors in Scotland, assessors in Northern Ireland, so there is still a degree of local connection and support?21done that not done so as it seemed to me much more22and upport?22important to work out, first, what are the leads of the23A.Well, I looked at this really from the applicant's point of view, where it seemed to me absolutely essential that they have a local that service of this compensation24of legislation or regulatory change to bring that about.25I mean, obviously schemes demonstrably are brought about25I mean, obviously schemes demonstrably are brought about					Q.	
17administered locally, so there might be, in terms of the way in which that then UK-wide scheme is delivered and there may be assessors in England, assessors in17legislation?18way in which that then UK-wide scheme is delivered and there may be assessors in England, assessors in18A.I suspect that certain aspects of this will require at least some form of regulation. I have not, deliberately20Wales, assessors in Scotland, assessors in Northern Ireland, so there is still a degree of local connection and support?20not, considered that in detail. And the reason I have21Ireland, so there is still a degree of local connection and support?21done that not done so as it seemed to me much more23A.Well, I looked at this really from the applicant's point of view, where it seemed to me absolutely essential that they have a local that service of this compensation23scheme and then to decide what would be required by way of legislation or regulatory change to bring that about.25I mean, obviously schemes demonstrably are brought about		Q.	-			
18way in which that then UK-wide scheme is delivered18A.I suspect that certain aspects of this will require at19and there may be assessors in England, assessors in19least some form of regulation. I have not, deliberately20Wales, assessors in Scotland, assessors in Northern20not, considered that in detail. And the reason I have21Ireland, so there is still a degree of local connection21done that not done so as it seemed to me much more22and support?22important to work out, first, what are the leads of the23A.Well, I looked at this really from the applicant's point23scheme and then to decide what would be required by way24of view, where it seemed to me absolutely essential that24of legislation or regulatory change to bring that about.25they have a local that service of this compensation25I mean, obviously schemes demonstrably are brought about						
19and there may be assessors in England, assessors in19least some form of regulation. I have not, deliberately20Wales, assessors in Scotland, assessors in Northern20not, considered that in detail. And the reason I have21Ireland, so there is still a degree of local connection21done that not done so as it seemed to me much more22and support?22important to work out, first, what are the leads of the23A.Well, I looked at this really from the applicant's point23scheme and then to decide what would be required by way24of view, where it seemed to me absolutely essential that24of legislation or regulatory change to bring that about.25they have a local that service of this compensation25I mean, obviously schemes demonstrably are brought about					Α.	-
20Wales, assessors in Scotland, assessors in Northern20not, considered that in detail. And the reason I have21Ireland, so there is still a degree of local connection21done that not done so as it seemed to me much more22and support?22important to work out, first, what are the leads of the23A.Well, I looked at this really from the applicant's point23scheme and then to decide what would be required by way24of view, where it seemed to me absolutely essential that24of legislation or regulatory change to bring that about.25they have a local that service of this compensation25I mean, obviously schemes demonstrably are brought about						
21Ireland, so there is still a degree of local connection21done that not done so as it seemed to me much more22and support?22important to work out, first, what are the leads of the23A.Well, I looked at this really from the applicant's point23scheme and then to decide what would be required by way24of view, where it seemed to me absolutely essential that24of legislation or regulatory change to bring that about.25they have a local that service of this compensation25I mean, obviously schemes demonstrably are brought about						
22and support?22important to work out, first, what are the leads of the23A.Well, I looked at this really from the applicant's point23scheme and then to decide what would be required by way24of view, where it seemed to me absolutely essential that24of legislation or regulatory change to bring that about.25they have a local that service of this compensation25I mean, obviously schemes demonstrably are brought about			Ireland, so there is still a degree of local connection			
23A.Well, I looked at this really from the applicant's point23scheme and then to decide what would be required by way24of view, where it seemed to me absolutely essential that24of legislation or regulatory change to bring that about.25they have a local that service of this compensation25I mean, obviously schemes demonstrably are brought about			-			
24of view, where it seemed to me absolutely essential that24of legislation or regulatory change to bring that about.25they have a local that service of this compensation25I mean, obviously schemes demonstrably are brought about		Α.				
25 they have a local that service of this compensation 25 I mean, obviously schemes demonstrably are brought about						

(18) Pages 69 - 72

1		without legislation but many do have it and, of course,	1		direction, but not in relation to its operational
2		legislation is one good way of ensuring that something	2		judgment. So it is that sort of body I would think.
3		stays permanent and doesn't disappear with the next	3		And, of course, that is there is undoubtedly in the
4	_	government round the corner.	4		expense and resource implication to the setting up of
5	Q.	Now, in terms of arm's length bodies, there is more than	5		a body like that, as opposed to having, say,
6	_	one kind of arm's length body.	6		a department within the Department of Work and Pensions,
7	Α.	Yes.	7		but it does seem to me that the history of this matter
8	Q.	And so I mean, I can think of certainly three types.	8		and indeed, however hard we try, the complexity of what
9		There may well probably be more. There is	9		is required here does require that sort of organisation,
10		a non-ministerial department, an executive agency and	10		hopefully not overwhelmingly expensive in terms of what
11		a non-departmental public body. Would it be right to	11		it does. But it would have a limited remit.
12		understand that what you are advocating is something	12		There is often a fear about arm's length bodies that
13		which is as independent from and institutionally	13		they grow like fungus and start taking over more and
14		separate from government as possible?	14		more things, but this is a very specific thing, and
15	Α.	Yes. I think I'm probably inclined towards the last of	15		indeed could be possibly one almost might identify
16	~	those.	16		a limited life for it. In other words, it's not
17	Q.	Yes.	17		something that would necessarily go on forever because
18	Α.	Just to give some concrete examples, and I suppose it's	18		the need sadly the need for this will at some time
19		my own personal experience, a lot of my practising life	19		stop. And indeed that time could come once the
20		was spent dealing with the General Medical Council.	20		assessments are done and everything has been finalised,
21		I now sit on the board as a non-executive director of	21		the actual administration of payments, for instance,
22		the Care Quality Commission. Those are bodies which	22		might well be at that stage be something an arm's
23		are one might describe as fiercely independent but	23		length body wouldn't be required to deal with. But at
24		funded by the government. In the case of the Care	24		the stage of assessment, determination of awards, the
25		Quality Commission is potentially subject to government 73	25		provision of the advice advocacy and other forms of 74
1		support sonvice that's a complex operation which I think	1		appointments and they need to be transparent
1 2		support service that's a complex operation which I think requires an arm's length body.	1 2		appointments and they need to be transparent appointments, and there needs to be in my view, there
3	Q.	In relation to some of the history of the schemes, one	3		would need to be or should be involvement of, as it
4	ω.	concern that's been raised in the past, for example in	4		were, a consumer/customer interest in it as well, in
5		terms of appointments to the Macfarlane Trust, is the	5		terms of the board at least.
6		extent to which these were appointments of trustees made	6	Q.	
7		by the Department of Health.	7	α.	the potentially eligible individuals and their
, 8		Would it be important to ensure that the	8		representatives, for example by way of an advisory
9		appointments that are made to the arm's length body are	9		forum. Would you support, for example, representation
10		as independent as possible, potentially with the	10		from some of the existing bodies who represent the
11		involvement of the commission of public appointments or	10		infected and affected or at the very least their close
12		something along those lines?	12		involvement in the work of the advisory forum?
13	A.	Yes, I think it's difficult to conceive of a situation	13	A.	I don't think I would wish to go further than saying
14	, .	where the appointments aren't made by or on behalf of	14	7.	that it's important that those who have lived experience
15		the government, but one can certainly have appointments	15		of these conditions and those who advise them should be
16		that are supervised by the commission, as you've	16		involved and consulted in the design of whatever the
17		mentioned. I mean, in one sense, you know, the judges	17		service is going to be.
18		of this country are appointed via a Judicial	18	Q.	In terms of the appeal process, and you've said it
19		Appointments Commission but the government is involved,	19		should be independent, preferably judicially led, and
20		but I think there's quite a strong history in this	20		I think there may be above some discussion yesterday
21		country of independently minded people being appointed	21		which picked up on the possibility of the Tribunal
22		to lead both as non-executives and executives this sort	22		Service performing some function in that regard
23		of operation.	23	Α.	Yes.
24		Of course, the principles of the Nolan principles	24	Q.	one of the issues again that's arisen with various
25		and all the rest of it need to be imported into these	25		incarnations of previous schemes has been the lack of
		75			76

(19) Pages 73 - 76

INQY1000225 0019

1		an oral hearing. Would you regard an oral hearing as	1		report, should be reviewed by the Government in the
2		an important part of the process to ensure the full	2		light of the findings and recommendations of the
3		involvement of the potential	3		Inquiry, and thereafter, on a periodic basis and
4	Α.	Are we talking about the appeal?	4		reported on to Parliament."
5	Q.	The appeal.	5		If we leave aside the findings and recommendations
6	Α.	Well, if it was an appeal I'm thinking of something	6		of the Inquiry because that obviously triggers likely
7		either similar to judicial review or something coming	7		to trigger an obligation on the government to consider
8		through the Tribunal Service, which, unless I'm wrong,	8		what the Inquiry reports, what did you have in mind in
9		which I could easily be, would automatically involve	9		terms of periodic review and reporting to Parliament?
10		an oral hearing. The nature of that hearing must depend	10	Α.	Well, in part this is covered by the arm's length body
11		on what the remit of the appeal was. Not all appeals	11		recommendation because, as you will recall,
12		some appeals are about the proprietary of a process as	12		I recommended it should be accountable directly to
13		opposed to looking deeply into the merit. I would see	13		Parliament, by which I mean rather like the Care Quality
14		the review being at the stage more where there might be	14		Commission or indeed the General Medical Council,
15		a rehearsal of the evidence, if you like, as opposed to	15		a report is sent annually to Parliament and the
16		the reasons for the decision, which is what an appeal	16		accountable officers are summoned to give evidence to
17		tends to be about.	17		select committees about the performance, sometimes in
18		What I would like to see avoided if at all possible	18		quite robust terms. But it is a safeguard against one
19		is a process of what would seem to the applicants of	19		of the things that I note some people are concerned
20		perpetual litigation before they get to a determination.	20		about, which is, as it were, the biased interference, as
21	Q.	Recommendation 19 I didn't refer to but if we go back,	21		they would see it, of the government or departments of
22		page 40 thank you, Lawrence, you're ahead of me on	22		the government in the performance of this – what would
23		all of these:	23		then be an obligation.
24		"I recommend that the proposals for the design and	24		So I'm really suggesting that in addition to the
25		administration of the Scheme, contained within this 77	25		performance, as it were, of the body and the 78
		11			70
4		accountability to Barliament, because this is frenkly	4		required legal current, it is difficult to see how the
1 2		accountability to Parliament, because this is, frankly,	1 2		required legal support, it is difficult to see how the
2		something very novel and also, there is no doubt about,	2		same conclusion cannot be reached for the victims of the infected blood scandal."
4		I'm afraid, expensive in terms of taxpayer's money, but as it's novel, as it progresses there is likely to be	4		And you set out a number of reasons why that might
5		learning about how things could be improved and there	5		be the case, and you outline the kind of advice and
6		should be, as it were, a Parliamentary input to that as	6		assistance at different stages that individuals might
7		well as input from everybody else, and it seems to me	7		need in order to be able to access the compensation
, 8		that if there's the obligation to report, and I think it	8		scheme fairly.
9		would be the arm's length body itself doing that report,	9		If we go then to paragraph 12.2, the next paragraph,
10		there would be a forum within which, you know, issues of	3 10		you say it could be provided in one, or both, of two
11		where people were dissatisfied could be aired and	11		ways: "support unit staffed by lawyers and paralegals".
12		improvements made.	12		So part of the scheme but independent of those
13	Q.	Then picking up on recommendation 17 again, and the	13		undertaking the assessment; is that right? Is that what
14	ч.	reference to support services.	14		the first bullet point
15		If we go to page 139, please.	15	А.	Sorry, could you repeat that?
16		So this is the issue of legal support.	16	Q.	The reference there to a "support unit staffed by
17		Again, this is a matter which, I think for the very	17	-	lawyers and paralegals", you say there that's part of
18		reasons you articulate here, is a matter of some	18		the scheme but independent of the general scheme of
19		importance, of concern to those present.	19		administration
20		So you say:	20	A.	Yes.
21		"It is inevitable that the scheme be complex for	21	Q.	so that would be lawyers and paralegals who would be
22		many applicants to understand, to prepare their case for	22		separate from those doing the assessment?
23		compensation and to respond to an offer or assessment of	23	A.	Yes.
24		compensation. If, as they did, the Home Affairs	24	Q.	So that is one way of doing it. Another way would be
25		Committee considered the Windrush scandal victims	25		"independent lawyers".
		79			80

(20) Pages 77 - 80

,					
1	Α.	And, I should say, the independent the internal unit,	1		representation by their existing legal representatives
2 3		I think, was something that again going back to	2 3		something of some importance to them. I understand that.
4		the 9/11 scheme they had, although I'm bound to say that was made easier, remarkably, by the fact that no lawyer	4	A. Q.	
5		charged a fee for what they did, which is one of the	5	ω.	assistance to the scheme, because you wouldn't have
6		reasons for the success of the scheme.	6		lawyers who were new to this and having to reinvent
7		I have no expectation that the lawyers doing the	7		the wheel?
, 8		same thing here and I wouldn't criticise them for not	8	Α.	
9		doing it.	9	Λ.	have to pay out money, whether it be insurance companies
10	Q.	And you say in relation the second bullet point, last	10		or others, a bit of a distrust about lawyers
11	ч.	sentence, you recommend:	10		representing people who are claiming money off them, and
12		" consideration be given to including all the	12		sometimes that is justified but, actually, more often
13		RLRs [recognised legal representatives] at the Inquiry	13		than not the intervention of a lawyer assisting
14		on the panel, but there may be other firms who can	18 14		a claimant who is familiar with the background and so on
15		demonstrate appropriate competence."	15		saves everyone time and can save money.
16		Or:	16		Obviously there need to be safeguards. This is
17		"A combination of the two."	10		an area, I'm afraid, where, to protect individuals from
18	Α.	Yes.	18		perhaps being exploited by slightly less scrupulous
19	Q.	The point I have been asked by a number of groups of	19		lawyers, I'm not saying there are many of those but they
20	.	Core Participants it's more to emphasise, than as	20		do exist, and we have seen that in other fields, so
21		a question, but picking up on that it's to say that	21		but I think this is an area where actually the scheme
22		there are many who have built up a long-standing now	22		itself could well be assisted by someone who is able to
23		relationship with their legal representatives who have	23		formulate a claim and articulate it in a way the scheme
24		a knowledge of their own particular circumstances, the	24		understands, whereas the claimant acting on their own
25		history and the background, which would make securing	25		might not and, therefore, the time taken to process
		81			82
1		things and the investigations required might be much	1		the time taken to undertake assessment.
1 2		things and the investigations required might be much longer.	1 2		the time taken to undertake assessment. I think there would need to be a bit of
	Q.				
2	Q.	longer.	2		I think there would need to be a bit of
2 3	Q.	longer. That completes what I wanted to ask you about the for	2 3		I think there would need to be a bit of a conversation about why you might be better off
2 3 4	Q.	longer. That completes what I wanted to ask you about the for present purposes in any event the recommendations	2 3 4		I think there would need to be a bit of a conversation about why you might be better off significantly better off with a bespoke assessment.
2 3 4 5	Q.	longer. That completes what I wanted to ask you about the for present purposes in any event the recommendations themselves. I've just got a handful of other points	2 3 4 5		I think there would need to be a bit of a conversation about why you might be better off significantly better off with a bespoke assessment. I think while there may be a choice about raising the
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	longer. That completes what I wanted to ask you about the for present purposes in any event the recommendations themselves. I've just got a handful of other points that have been suggested to me, which I haven't picked	2 3 4 5 6	Q.	I think there would need to be a bit of a conversation about why you might be better off significantly better off with a bespoke assessment. I think while there may be a choice about raising the issue, I'm not sure I would advocate that it is the
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	longer. That completes what I wanted to ask you about the for present purposes in any event the recommendations themselves. I've just got a handful of other points that have been suggested to me, which I haven't picked up on along the way.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	I think there would need to be a bit of a conversation about why you might be better off significantly better off with a bespoke assessment. I think while there may be a choice about raising the issue, I'm not sure I would advocate that it is the claimant's view is completely determinative.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	longer. That completes what I wanted to ask you about the for present purposes in any event the recommendations themselves. I've just got a handful of other points that have been suggested to me, which I haven't picked up on along the way. One of the features of the scheme that we've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	I think there would need to be a bit of a conversation about why you might be better off significantly better off with a bespoke assessment. I think while there may be a choice about raising the issue, I'm not sure I would advocate that it is the claimant's view is completely determinative. There will be clearly instances in which, whether it is
2 3 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	longer. That completes what I wanted to ask you about the for present purposes in any event the recommendations themselves. I've just got a handful of other points that have been suggested to me, which I haven't picked up on along the way. One of the features of the scheme that we've discussed and that you've referred to in your report is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	I think there would need to be a bit of a conversation about why you might be better off significantly better off with a bespoke assessment. I think while there may be a choice about raising the issue, I'm not sure I would advocate that it is the claimant's view is completely determinative. There will be clearly instances in which, whether it is to establish eligibility or to elucidate the claim
2 3 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	longer. That completes what I wanted to ask you about the for present purposes in any event the recommendations themselves. I've just got a handful of other points that have been suggested to me, which I haven't picked up on along the way. One of the features of the scheme that we've discussed and that you've referred to in your report is that for some aspects of the compensation there might be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	I think there would need to be a bit of a conversation about why you might be better off significantly better off with a bespoke assessment. I think while there may be a choice about raising the issue, I'm not sure I would advocate that it is the claimant's view is completely determinative. There will be clearly instances in which, whether it is to establish eligibility or to elucidate the claim itself for one aspect or other of the compensation
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	longer. That completes what I wanted to ask you about the for present purposes in any event the recommendations themselves. I've just got a handful of other points that have been suggested to me, which I haven't picked up on along the way. One of the features of the scheme that we've discussed and that you've referred to in your report is that for some aspects of the compensation there might be the tariff payments, but there might be circumstances in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	I think there would need to be a bit of a conversation about why you might be better off significantly better off with a bespoke assessment. I think while there may be a choice about raising the issue, I'm not sure I would advocate that it is the claimant's view is completely determinative. There will be clearly instances in which, whether it is to establish eligibility or to elucidate the claim itself for one aspect or other of the compensation awards, where individuals are going to need to either
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	longer. That completes what I wanted to ask you about the for present purposes in any event the recommendations themselves. I've just got a handful of other points that have been suggested to me, which I haven't picked up on along the way. One of the features of the scheme that we've discussed and that you've referred to in your report is that for some aspects of the compensation there might be the tariff payments, but there might be circumstances in which there should be a more bespoke assessment in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	I think there would need to be a bit of a conversation about why you might be better off significantly better off with a bespoke assessment. I think while there may be a choice about raising the issue, I'm not sure I would advocate that it is the claimant's view is completely determinative. There will be clearly instances in which, whether it is to establish eligibility or to elucidate the claim itself for one aspect or other of the compensation awards, where individuals are going to need to either collate medical information from their records or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	longer. That completes what I wanted to ask you about the for present purposes in any event the recommendations themselves. I've just got a handful of other points that have been suggested to me, which I haven't picked up on along the way. One of the features of the scheme that we've discussed and that you've referred to in your report is that for some aspects of the compensation there might be the tariff payments, but there might be circumstances in which there should be a more bespoke assessment in certain respects. Would that be a choice for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	I think there would need to be a bit of a conversation about why you might be better off significantly better off with a bespoke assessment. I think while there may be a choice about raising the issue, I'm not sure I would advocate that it is the claimant's view is completely determinative. There will be clearly instances in which, whether it is to establish eligibility or to elucidate the claim itself for one aspect or other of the compensation awards, where individuals are going to need to either collate medical information from their records or potentially have in some instances medical reports,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		longer. That completes what I wanted to ask you about the for present purposes in any event the recommendations themselves. I've just got a handful of other points that have been suggested to me, which I haven't picked up on along the way. One of the features of the scheme that we've discussed and that you've referred to in your report is that for some aspects of the compensation there might be the tariff payments, but there might be circumstances in which there should be a more bespoke assessment in certain respects. Would that be a choice for the individual, do you think?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	I think there would need to be a bit of a conversation about why you might be better off significantly better off with a bespoke assessment. I think while there may be a choice about raising the issue, I'm not sure I would advocate that it is the claimant's view is completely determinative. There will be clearly instances in which, whether it is to establish eligibility or to elucidate the claim itself for one aspect or other of the compensation awards, where individuals are going to need to either collate medical information from their records or potentially have in some instances medical reports, although, as I understand, your recommendation, medical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		longer. That completes what I wanted to ask you about the for present purposes in any event the recommendations themselves. I've just got a handful of other points that have been suggested to me, which I haven't picked up on along the way. One of the features of the scheme that we've discussed and that you've referred to in your report is that for some aspects of the compensation there might be the tariff payments, but there might be circumstances in which there should be a more bespoke assessment in certain respects. Would that be a choice for the individual, do you think? I would envisage that being a choice for the individual	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	I think there would need to be a bit of a conversation about why you might be better off significantly better off with a bespoke assessment. I think while there may be a choice about raising the issue, I'm not sure I would advocate that it is the claimant's view is completely determinative. There will be clearly instances in which, whether it is to establish eligibility or to elucidate the claim itself for one aspect or other of the compensation awards, where individuals are going to need to either collate medical information from their records or potentially have in some instances medical reports, although, as I understand, your recommendation, medical reports are not an integral part of the scheme, indeed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		longer. That completes what I wanted to ask you about the for present purposes in any event the recommendations themselves. I've just got a handful of other points that have been suggested to me, which I haven't picked up on along the way. One of the features of the scheme that we've discussed and that you've referred to in your report is that for some aspects of the compensation there might be the tariff payments, but there might be circumstances in which there should be a more bespoke assessment in certain respects. Would that be a choice for the individual, do you think? I would envisage that being a choice for the individual but with perhaps a backstop safeguard that there needs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	I think there would need to be a bit of a conversation about why you might be better off significantly better off with a bespoke assessment. I think while there may be a choice about raising the issue, I'm not sure I would advocate that it is the claimant's view is completely determinative. There will be clearly instances in which, whether it is to establish eligibility or to elucidate the claim itself for one aspect or other of the compensation awards, where individuals are going to need to either collate medical information from their records or potentially have in some instances medical reports, although, as I understand, your recommendation, medical reports are not an integral part of the scheme, indeed to some extent you are trying to avoid that. But if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		Ionger. That completes what I wanted to ask you about the for present purposes in any event the recommendations themselves. I've just got a handful of other points that have been suggested to me, which I haven't picked up on along the way. One of the features of the scheme that we've discussed and that you've referred to in your report is that for some aspects of the compensation there might be the tariff payments, but there might be circumstances in which there should be a more bespoke assessment in certain respects. Would that be a choice for the individual, do you think? I would envisage that being a choice for the individual but with perhaps a backstop safeguard that there needs to be some grounds for moving to a bespoke assessment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	I think there would need to be a bit of a conversation about why you might be better off significantly better off with a bespoke assessment. I think while there may be a choice about raising the issue, I'm not sure I would advocate that it is the claimant's view is completely determinative. There will be clearly instances in which, whether it is to establish eligibility or to elucidate the claim itself for one aspect or other of the compensation awards, where individuals are going to need to either collate medical information from their records or potentially have in some instances medical reports, although, as I understand, your recommendation, medical reports are not an integral part of the scheme, indeed to some extent you are trying to avoid that. But if there is a need in an individual case to get a medical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		Ionger. That completes what I wanted to ask you about the for present purposes in any event the recommendations themselves. I've just got a handful of other points that have been suggested to me, which I haven't picked up on along the way. One of the features of the scheme that we've discussed and that you've referred to in your report is that for some aspects of the compensation there might be the tariff payments, but there might be circumstances in which there should be a more bespoke assessment in certain respects. Would that be a choice for the individual, do you think? I would envisage that being a choice for the individual but with perhaps a backstop safeguard that there needs to be some grounds for moving to a bespoke assessment. I mean, this scheme is going to be difficult enough as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	I think there would need to be a bit of a conversation about why you might be better off significantly better off with a bespoke assessment. I think while there may be a choice about raising the issue, I'm not sure I would advocate that it is the claimant's view is completely determinative. There will be clearly instances in which, whether it is to establish eligibility or to elucidate the claim itself for one aspect or other of the compensation awards, where individuals are going to need to either collate medical information from their records or potentially have in some instances medical reports, although, as I understand, your recommendation, medical reports are not an integral part of the scheme, indeed to some extent you are trying to avoid that. But if there is a need in an individual case to get a medical report or obtain medical records, would it be right to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		longer. That completes what I wanted to ask you about the for present purposes in any event the recommendations themselves. I've just got a handful of other points that have been suggested to me, which I haven't picked up on along the way. One of the features of the scheme that we've discussed and that you've referred to in your report is that for some aspects of the compensation there might be the tariff payments, but there might be circumstances in which there should be a more bespoke assessment in certain respects. Would that be a choice for the individual, do you think? I would envisage that being a choice for the individual but with perhaps a backstop safeguard that there needs to be some grounds for moving to a bespoke assessment. I mean, this scheme is going to be difficult enough as it is, but it's going to be challenged in its ability to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	I think there would need to be a bit of a conversation about why you might be better off significantly better off with a bespoke assessment. I think while there may be a choice about raising the issue, I'm not sure I would advocate that it is the claimant's view is completely determinative. There will be clearly instances in which, whether it is to establish eligibility or to elucidate the claim itself for one aspect or other of the compensation awards, where individuals are going to need to either collate medical information from their records or potentially have in some instances medical reports, although, as I understand, your recommendation, medical reports are not an integral part of the scheme, indeed to some extent you are trying to avoid that. But if there is a need in an individual case to get a medical report or obtain medical records, would it be right to understand that your expectation is that the costs of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		Ionger. That completes what I wanted to ask you about the for present purposes in any event the recommendations themselves. I've just got a handful of other points that have been suggested to me, which I haven't picked up on along the way. One of the features of the scheme that we've discussed and that you've referred to in your report is that for some aspects of the compensation there might be the tariff payments, but there might be circumstances in which there should be a more bespoke assessment in certain respects. Would that be a choice for the individual, do you think? I would envisage that being a choice for the individual but with perhaps a backstop safeguard that there needs to be some grounds for moving to a bespoke assessment. I mean, this scheme is going to be difficult enough as it is, but it's going to be challenged in its ability to deliver what it has to do if every claim has to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		I think there would need to be a bit of a conversation about why you might be better off significantly better off with a bespoke assessment. I think while there may be a choice about raising the issue, I'm not sure I would advocate that it is the claimant's view is completely determinative. There will be clearly instances in which, whether it is to establish eligibility or to elucidate the claim itself for one aspect or other of the compensation awards, where individuals are going to need to either collate medical information from their records or potentially have in some instances medical reports, although, as I understand, your recommendation, medical reports are not an integral part of the scheme, indeed to some extent you are trying to avoid that. But if there is a need in an individual case to get a medical report or obtain medical records, would it be right to understand that your expectation is that the costs of doing it will be borne by the scheme?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		Ionger. That completes what I wanted to ask you about the for present purposes in any event the recommendations themselves. I've just got a handful of other points that have been suggested to me, which I haven't picked up on along the way. One of the features of the scheme that we've discussed and that you've referred to in your report is that for some aspects of the compensation there might be the tariff payments, but there might be circumstances in which there should be a more bespoke assessment in certain respects. Would that be a choice for the individual, do you think? I would envisage that being a choice for the individual but with perhaps a backstop safeguard that there needs to be some grounds for moving to a bespoke assessment. I mean, this scheme is going to be difficult enough as it is, but it's going to be challenged in its ability to deliver what it has to do if every claim has to be bespoke. If that's the position, then there would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		I think there would need to be a bit of a conversation about why you might be better off significantly better off with a bespoke assessment. I think while there may be a choice about raising the issue, I'm not sure I would advocate that it is the claimant's view is completely determinative. There will be clearly instances in which, whether it is to establish eligibility or to elucidate the claim itself for one aspect or other of the compensation awards, where individuals are going to need to either collate medical information from their records or potentially have in some instances medical reports, although, as I understand, your recommendation, medical reports are not an integral part of the scheme, indeed to some extent you are trying to avoid that. But if there is a need in an individual case to get a medical report or obtain medical records, would it be right to understand that your expectation is that the costs of doing it will be borne by the scheme? Well, in terms of expert reports, while obviously one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		Ionger. That completes what I wanted to ask you about the for present purposes in any event the recommendations themselves. I've just got a handful of other points that have been suggested to me, which I haven't picked up on along the way. One of the features of the scheme that we've discussed and that you've referred to in your report is that for some aspects of the compensation there might be the tariff payments, but there might be circumstances in which there should be a more bespoke assessment in certain respects. Would that be a choice for the individual, do you think? I would envisage that being a choice for the individual but with perhaps a backstop safeguard that there needs to be some grounds for moving to a bespoke assessment. I mean, this scheme is going to be difficult enough as it is, but it's going to be challenged in its ability to deliver what it has to do if every claim has to be bespoke. If that's the position, then there would be a heightened risk of this scheme not satisfying its	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		I think there would need to be a bit of a conversation about why you might be better off significantly better off with a bespoke assessment. I think while there may be a choice about raising the issue, I'm not sure I would advocate that it is the claimant's view is completely determinative. There will be clearly instances in which, whether it is to establish eligibility or to elucidate the claim itself for one aspect or other of the compensation awards, where individuals are going to need to either collate medical information from their records or potentially have in some instances medical reports, although, as I understand, your recommendation, medical reports are not an integral part of the scheme, indeed to some extent you are trying to avoid that. But if there is a need in an individual case to get a medical report or obtain medical records, would it be right to understand that your expectation is that the costs of doing it will be borne by the scheme? Well, in terms of expert reports, while obviously one with the scheme could not stop applicants getting such
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		Ionger. That completes what I wanted to ask you about the for present purposes in any event the recommendations themselves. I've just got a handful of other points that have been suggested to me, which I haven't picked up on along the way. One of the features of the scheme that we've discussed and that you've referred to in your report is that for some aspects of the compensation there might be the tariff payments, but there might be circumstances in which there should be a more bespoke assessment in certain respects. Would that be a choice for the individual, do you think? I would envisage that being a choice for the individual but with perhaps a backstop safeguard that there needs to be some grounds for moving to a bespoke assessment. I mean, this scheme is going to be difficult enough as it is, but it's going to be challenged in its ability to deliver what it has to do if every claim has to be bespoke. If that's the position, then there would be a heightened risk of this scheme not satisfying its objectives and you might be inclined to go to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		I think there would need to be a bit of a conversation about why you might be better off significantly better off with a bespoke assessment. I think while there may be a choice about raising the issue, I'm not sure I would advocate that it is the claimant's view is completely determinative. There will be clearly instances in which, whether it is to establish eligibility or to elucidate the claim itself for one aspect or other of the compensation awards, where individuals are going to need to either collate medical information from their records or potentially have in some instances medical reports, although, as I understand, your recommendation, medical reports are not an integral part of the scheme, indeed to some extent you are trying to avoid that. But if there is a need in an individual case to get a medical report or obtain medical records, would it be right to understand that your expectation is that the costs of doing it will be borne by the scheme? Well, in terms of expert reports, while obviously one with the scheme could not stop applicants getting such reports and then the scheme would be expected to take

(21) Pages 81 - 84

INQY1000225 0021

1		almost inevitable to me that administratively the scheme	1		Is it right to understand the rationale for that is
2		would require its own access to ongoing medical advice	2		so that the individual applicants don't themselves have
3		in some cases, and I would have thought if we adopt	2		to gather everything that they have already previously
4		a genuinely collaborative approach about this that that	4		submitted to the support schemes and submit it again?
5		might be sufficient and perhaps a more economical way of	5	Α.	
6		dealing with it than a lot of independent experts being	6	<i>.</i>	currently, a rather elaborate process. There are
7		instructed by individual claimants, but I think one	7		potential issues of consent, I see that, here, but those
, 8		would have to test that by experience.	, 8		records haven't always been made readily available and
9		So I think what that boils down to is that the	9		they clearly are, where they exist, potentially very
10		scheme would have to retain a discretion as to whether	10		important, as they will show, in my suggestions,
11		or not it was prepared to fund an independent expert,	11		eligibility in some cases.
12		given the merits of an individual case.	12	Q.	The concern that I have been asked to express, I think
13	Q.	If the scheme or the assessor within the scheme were	13	ч.	an understandable concern, is that whilst the existing
14	ω,	accessing medical advice or medical input perhaps from	14		support schemes might well be able to, for example,
15		their own panel or otherwise, would you agree that that	15		confirm the status of already eligible people or they
16		would have to be shared with the applicant?	16		might be able to provide specific relevant information,
17	A.	Oh, definitely, yes.	17		there is a concern about the entire personal records of
18	Q.	Again, that is one of the historic concerns.	18		the individual held by any existing support scheme
19	Q. A.	Any decision it effectively made or would come with	19		simply being handed over to the arm's length body?
20	n .	it a need for transparency about the material upon which	20	Α.	I'm afraid I think transparency goes two ways in that
20		that decision was made.	20	Α.	if I mean, I appreciate these are sensitive
22	Q.	Then if we just go back to recommendation 16(d),	21		sensitive personal information but I obviously
22	ω.	page 39. 16(d) provides for the arm's length body to:	22		what the scheme needs is whatever is relevant to the
23 24		"[have] access to the records held by on behalf of	23		claim for compensation and nothing else, but one can
24 25		any previously publicly funded support scheme."	24		envisage that sensitive information that an applicant
25		85	20		86
1		would rather not be disclosed would actually be relevant	1		should be provided via the administration of the
2		to the determination of the award, and it seems to me	2		compensation scheme or otherwise."
3					
4		that I see no particular justification why that should	3		Just picking up on that latter point, first of all,
		not be the scheme should not have access to that	3 4		Just picking up on that latter point, first of all, the re-visiting of the recommendation of the
5					
	Q.	not be the scheme should not have access to that	4		the re-visiting of the recommendation of the
5	Q.	not be the scheme should not have access to that information should a person elect to make a claim.	4 5		the re-visiting of the recommendation of the Archer Inquiry, is that something you are suggesting the
5 6	Q.	not be the scheme should not have access to that information should a person elect to make a claim. Then if we go to recommendation 17, paragraph (c),	4 5 6	А.	the re-visiting of the recommendation of the Archer Inquiry, is that something you are suggesting the Department of Health should be doing or something that's
5 6 7	Q.	not be the scheme should not have access to that information should a person elect to make a claim. Then if we go to recommendation 17, paragraph (c), that's the recommendation that the support services	4 5 6 7	A.	the re-visiting of the recommendation of the Archer Inquiry, is that something you are suggesting the Department of Health should be doing or something that's for the arm's length body have set up to consider?
5 6 7 8	Q.	not be the scheme should not have access to that information should a person elect to make a claim. Then if we go to recommendation 17, paragraph (c), that's the recommendation that the support services through the scheme should include:	4 5 6 7 8	A.	the re-visiting of the recommendation of the Archer Inquiry, is that something you are suggesting the Department of Health should be doing or something that's for the arm's length body have set up to consider? This emanates partly from the Archer Inquiry but also
5 6 7 8 9	Q.	not be the scheme should not have access to that information should a person elect to make a claim. Then if we go to recommendation 17, paragraph (c), that's the recommendation that the support services through the scheme should include: "c) facilitation of access to appropriate health,	4 5 6 7 8 9	А.	the re-visiting of the recommendation of the Archer Inquiry, is that something you are suggesting the Department of Health should be doing or something that's for the arm's length body have set up to consider? This emanates partly from the Archer Inquiry but also from my understanding that something similar to that
5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	not be the scheme should not have access to that information should a person elect to make a claim. Then if we go to recommendation 17, paragraph (c), that's the recommendation that the support services through the scheme should include: "c) facilitation of access to appropriate health, care and counselling services."	4 5 7 8 9 10	А.	the re-visiting of the recommendation of the Archer Inquiry, is that something you are suggesting the Department of Health should be doing or something that's for the arm's length body have set up to consider? This emanates partly from the Archer Inquiry but also from my understanding that something similar to that actually happens in Ireland, and there it is not I do
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	not be the scheme should not have access to that information should a person elect to make a claim. Then if we go to recommendation 17, paragraph (c), that's the recommendation that the support services through the scheme should include: "c) facilitation of access to appropriate health, care and counselling services." If we could go on to page 137. I have been asked to	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	A.	the re-visiting of the recommendation of the Archer Inquiry, is that something you are suggesting the Department of Health should be doing or something that's for the arm's length body have set up to consider? This emanates partly from the Archer Inquiry but also from my understanding that something similar to that actually happens in Ireland, and there it is not I do not think it is a matter that the Tribunal Service does
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	not be the scheme should not have access to that information should a person elect to make a claim. Then if we go to recommendation 17, paragraph (c), that's the recommendation that the support services through the scheme should include: "c) facilitation of access to appropriate health, care and counselling services." If we could go on to page 137. I have been asked to ask you a little more about that and I just want to look	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	A.	the re-visiting of the recommendation of the Archer Inquiry, is that something you are suggesting the Department of Health should be doing or something that's for the arm's length body have set up to consider? This emanates partly from the Archer Inquiry but also from my understanding that something similar to that actually happens in Ireland, and there it is not I do not think it is a matter that the Tribunal Service does but there is a card which gives people and obviously
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	not be the scheme should not have access to that information should a person elect to make a claim. Then if we go to recommendation 17, paragraph (c), that's the recommendation that the support services through the scheme should include: "c) facilitation of access to appropriate health, care and counselling services." If we could go on to page 137. I have been asked to ask you a little more about that and I just want to look at what's said at paragraph 11.32, first of all. Under	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A.	the re-visiting of the recommendation of the Archer Inquiry, is that something you are suggesting the Department of Health should be doing or something that's for the arm's length body have set up to consider? This emanates partly from the Archer Inquiry but also from my understanding that something similar to that actually happens in Ireland, and there it is not I do not think it is a matter that the Tribunal Service does but there is a card which gives people and obviously they have a different system of health care which
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	not be the scheme should not have access to that information should a person elect to make a claim. Then if we go to recommendation 17, paragraph (c), that's the recommendation that the support services through the scheme should include: "c) facilitation of access to appropriate health, care and counselling services." If we could go on to page 137. I have been asked to ask you a little more about that and I just want to look at what's said at paragraph 11.32, first of all. Under the heading "Non-Financial Support":	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A.	the re-visiting of the recommendation of the Archer Inquiry, is that something you are suggesting the Department of Health should be doing or something that's for the arm's length body have set up to consider? This emanates partly from the Archer Inquiry but also from my understanding that something similar to that actually happens in Ireland, and there it is not I do not think it is a matter that the Tribunal Service does but there is a card which gives people and obviously they have a different system of health care which entitles people to benefits they wouldn't otherwise
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	not be the scheme should not have access to that information should a person elect to make a claim. Then if we go to recommendation 17, paragraph (c), that's the recommendation that the support services through the scheme should include: "c) facilitation of access to appropriate health, care and counselling services." If we could go on to page 137. I have been asked to ask you a little more about that and I just want to look at what's said at paragraph 11.32, first of all. Under the heading "Non-Financial Support": "The scheme should have a support unit which is	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A.	the re-visiting of the recommendation of the Archer Inquiry, is that something you are suggesting the Department of Health should be doing or something that's for the arm's length body have set up to consider? This emanates partly from the Archer Inquiry but also from my understanding that something similar to that actually happens in Ireland, and there it is not I do not think it is a matter that the Tribunal Service does but there is a card which gives people and obviously they have a different system of health care which entitles people to benefits they wouldn't otherwise readily get here.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	not be the scheme should not have access to that information should a person elect to make a claim. Then if we go to recommendation 17, paragraph (c), that's the recommendation that the support services through the scheme should include: "c) facilitation of access to appropriate health, care and counselling services." If we could go on to page 137. I have been asked to ask you a little more about that and I just want to look at what's said at paragraph 11.32, first of all. Under the heading "Non-Financial Support": "The scheme should have a support unit which is available to provide or arrange the provision of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A.	the re-visiting of the recommendation of the Archer Inquiry, is that something you are suggesting the Department of Health should be doing or something that's for the arm's length body have set up to consider? This emanates partly from the Archer Inquiry but also from my understanding that something similar to that actually happens in Ireland, and there it is not I do not think it is a matter that the Tribunal Service does but there is a card which gives people and obviously they have a different system of health care which entitles people to benefits they wouldn't otherwise readily get here. What I have an impression of, and I have by no means
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	not be the scheme should not have access to that information should a person elect to make a claim. Then if we go to recommendation 17, paragraph (c), that's the recommendation that the support services through the scheme should include: "c) facilitation of access to appropriate health, care and counselling services." If we could go on to page 137. I have been asked to ask you a little more about that and I just want to look at what's said at paragraph 11.32, first of all. Under the heading "Non-Financial Support": "The scheme should have a support unit which is available to provide or arrange the provision of medical, psychological and social support to infected	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A.	the re-visiting of the recommendation of the Archer Inquiry, is that something you are suggesting the Department of Health should be doing or something that's for the arm's length body have set up to consider? This emanates partly from the Archer Inquiry but also from my understanding that something similar to that actually happens in Ireland, and there it is not I do not think it is a matter that the Tribunal Service does but there is a card which gives people and obviously they have a different system of health care which entitles people to benefits they wouldn't otherwise readily get here. What I have an impression of, and I have by no means looked at all the evidence that might be relevant to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	not be the scheme should not have access to that information should a person elect to make a claim. Then if we go to recommendation 17, paragraph (c), that's the recommendation that the support services through the scheme should include: "c) facilitation of access to appropriate health, care and counselling services." If we could go on to page 137. I have been asked to ask you a little more about that and I just want to look at what's said at paragraph 11.32, first of all. Under the heading "Non-Financial Support": "The scheme should have a support unit which is available to provide or arrange the provision of medical, psychological and social support to infected and affected persons appropriate to the needs caused by	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A.	the re-visiting of the recommendation of the Archer Inquiry, is that something you are suggesting the Department of Health should be doing or something that's for the arm's length body have set up to consider? This emanates partly from the Archer Inquiry but also from my understanding that something similar to that actually happens in Ireland, and there it is not I do not think it is a matter that the Tribunal Service does but there is a card which gives people and obviously they have a different system of health care which entitles people to benefits they wouldn't otherwise readily get here. What I have an impression of, and I have by no means looked at all the evidence that might be relevant to this that the Inquiry will have, is that there are
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 8 9	Q.	not be the scheme should not have access to that information should a person elect to make a claim. Then if we go to recommendation 17, paragraph (c), that's the recommendation that the support services through the scheme should include: "c) facilitation of access to appropriate health, care and counselling services." If we could go on to page 137. I have been asked to ask you a little more about that and I just want to look at what's said at paragraph 11.32, first of all. Under the heading "Non-Financial Support": "The scheme should have a support unit which is available to provide or arrange the provision of medical, psychological and social support to infected and affected persons appropriate to the needs caused by the consequences of the infection. The Archer Inquiry	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A.	the re-visiting of the recommendation of the Archer Inquiry, is that something you are suggesting the Department of Health should be doing or something that's for the arm's length body have set up to consider? This emanates partly from the Archer Inquiry but also from my understanding that something similar to that actually happens in Ireland, and there it is not I do not think it is a matter that the Tribunal Service does but there is a card which gives people and obviously they have a different system of health care which entitles people to benefits they wouldn't otherwise readily get here. What I have an impression of, and I have by no means looked at all the evidence that might be relevant to this that the Inquiry will have, is that there are certain aspects of support currently provided, whether
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20	Q.	not be the scheme should not have access to that information should a person elect to make a claim. Then if we go to recommendation 17, paragraph (c), that's the recommendation that the support services through the scheme should include: "c) facilitation of access to appropriate health, care and counselling services." If we could go on to page 137. I have been asked to ask you a little more about that and I just want to look at what's said at paragraph 11.32, first of all. Under the heading "Non-Financial Support": "The scheme should have a support unit which is available to provide or arrange the provision of medical, psychological and social support to infected and affected persons appropriate to the needs caused by the consequences of the infection. The Archer Inquiry recommended that the infected should be issued with	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A.	the re-visiting of the recommendation of the Archer Inquiry, is that something you are suggesting the Department of Health should be doing or something that's for the arm's length body have set up to consider? This emanates partly from the Archer Inquiry but also from my understanding that something similar to that actually happens in Ireland, and there it is not I do not think it is a matter that the Tribunal Service does but there is a card which gives people and obviously they have a different system of health care which entitles people to benefits they wouldn't otherwise readily get here. What I have an impression of, and I have by no means looked at all the evidence that might be relevant to this that the Inquiry will have, is that there are certain aspects of support currently provided, whether it be way of actual care or counselling or support
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	not be the scheme should not have access to that information should a person elect to make a claim. Then if we go to recommendation 17, paragraph (c), that's the recommendation that the support services through the scheme should include: "c) facilitation of access to appropriate health, care and counselling services." If we could go on to page 137. I have been asked to ask you a little more about that and I just want to look at what's said at paragraph 11.32, first of all. Under the heading "Non-Financial Support": "The scheme should have a support unit which is available to provide or arrange the provision of medical, psychological and social support to infected and affected persons appropriate to the needs caused by the consequences of the infection. The Archer Inquiry recommended that the infected should be issued with a card entitling them to benefits not freely available	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A.	the re-visiting of the recommendation of the Archer Inquiry, is that something you are suggesting the Department of Health should be doing or something that's for the arm's length body have set up to consider? This emanates partly from the Archer Inquiry but also from my understanding that something similar to that actually happens in Ireland, and there it is not I do not think it is a matter that the Tribunal Service does but there is a card which gives people and obviously they have a different system of health care which entitles people to benefits they wouldn't otherwise readily get here. What I have an impression of, and I have by no means looked at all the evidence that might be relevant to this that the Inquiry will have, is that there are certain aspects of support currently provided, whether it be way of actual care or counselling or support services, which is not coordinated and is difficult for
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	not be the scheme should not have access to that information should a person elect to make a claim. Then if we go to recommendation 17, paragraph (c), that's the recommendation that the support services through the scheme should include: "c) facilitation of access to appropriate health, care and counselling services." If we could go on to page 137. I have been asked to ask you a little more about that and I just want to look at what's said at paragraph 11.32, first of all. Under the heading "Non-Financial Support": "The scheme should have a support unit which is available to provide or arrange the provision of medical, psychological and social support to infected and affected persons appropriate to the needs caused by the consequences of the infection. The Archer Inquiry recommended that the infected should be issued with a card entiting them to benefits not freely available under the NHS, including free prescriptions,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Α.	the re-visiting of the recommendation of the Archer Inquiry, is that something you are suggesting the Department of Health should be doing or something that's for the arm's length body have set up to consider? This emanates partly from the Archer Inquiry but also from my understanding that something similar to that actually happens in Ireland, and there it is not I do not think it is a matter that the Tribunal Service does but there is a card which gives people and obviously they have a different system of health care which entitles people to benefits they wouldn't otherwise readily get here. What I have an impression of, and I have by no means looked at all the evidence that might be relevant to this that the Inquiry will have, is that there are certain aspects of support currently provided, whether it be way of actual care or counselling or support services, which is not coordinated and is difficult for people in some places to identify. I was also impressed
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	not be the scheme should not have access to that information should a person elect to make a claim. Then if we go to recommendation 17, paragraph (c), that's the recommendation that the support services through the scheme should include: "c) facilitation of access to appropriate health, care and counselling services." If we could go on to page 137. I have been asked to ask you a little more about that and I just want to look at what's said at paragraph 11.32, first of all. Under the heading "Non-Financial Support": "The scheme should have a support unit which is available to provide or arrange the provision of medical, psychological and social support to infected and affected persons appropriate to the needs caused by the consequences of the infection. The Archer Inquiry recommended that the infected should be issued with a card entitling them to benefits not freely available under the NHS, including free prescriptions, counselling, physiotherapy and support services. This	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A.	the re-visiting of the recommendation of the Archer Inquiry, is that something you are suggesting the Department of Health should be doing or something that's for the arm's length body have set up to consider? This emanates partly from the Archer Inquiry but also from my understanding that something similar to that actually happens in Ireland, and there it is not I do not think it is a matter that the Tribunal Service does but there is a card which gives people and obviously they have a different system of health care which entitles people to benefits they wouldn't otherwise readily get here. What I have an impression of, and I have by no means looked at all the evidence that might be relevant to this that the Inquiry will have, is that there are certain aspects of support currently provided, whether it be way of actual care or counselling or support services, which is not coordinated and is difficult for people in some places to identify. I was also impressed by the fact that some of the devolved nations do provide

(22) Pages 85 - 88

INQY1000225_0022

1		things of that nature.	1		organisation.
2		What I'm seeking to suggest is there is	2		So I emphasise something I think I may have said
3		a combination of needs that this particular group has,	3		yesterday, which is to my mind compensation here isn't
4		which is rather different probably from that of other	4		just about money. To put people as near as possible
5		people who suffer from other illnesses, and there is	5		back in the position they would have been without the
6		a need for an understanding of what it is about these	6		injury involved or should involve the state providing
7		awful infections and the social consequences of them	7		all sorts of care targeted for these people. It may
8		that shouldn't have to be repeated every time to someone	8		well be care that is available and should be available
9		in order for them to understand what support this	9		on the NHS or through social security or wherever else,
10		individual needs.	10		but it's not getting to everyone because of difficulties
11		So it struck me there should be an investigation	11		of access and organisation, and this group I believe is
12		into whether in effect individuals can be issued with	12		large enough for a coordination, as I believe there
13		a card which at least will tell the reader of that card	13		probably is now in relation to the actual treatment of
14		who provides a service that these are people who are	14		the diseases.
15		entitled to it without having to repeat a lot of	15	Q.	We don't need to go to it but you've given some more
16		distressing history. But also there needs to be	16		background to the recommendation in relation to access
17		a facilitation of access to the multiplicity of services	17		to health and care services and some of the points that
18		that this particular group need. Whether that is	18		you just developed on page 135, section 11 of your
19		prepared by the Department of Health, NHS England or the	19		report.
20		arm's length body or a combination of those, I think is	20		Then, just without going back to the sections of the
21		not for me to say, but all I'm identifying here is	21		report or the recommendations that deal with the
22		a need for signposting, for coordination of services and	22		position of the affected, can I just we can take that
23		for evidencing eligibility for services which isn't	23		down thank you can I just describe two categories of
24		being met in some cases in some places, and one route to	24		affected and ask whether what, if any, consideration
25		that could be by way of the compensation scheme	25		have you given to their situation.
		89			90
1		The Inquiry has heard of those placed into foster	1		candid that you focused upon the guidance that can be
2		care after the death of a parent or incapacity of	2		drawn from, and the approach to, the assessment of
3		a parent due to infection. How does the scheme reflect	3		damages in England, Wales and Northern Ireland, as
4		that? Is that through the affected person's autonomy or	4		opposed to the distinct system in Scotland.
5		loss of autonomy and social impact awards?	5		There are aspects in which the Scottish system is
6	A.	Well, it is also through the Fatal Accidents Act	6		different. There may be elements of compensation that
7	Λ.	equivalent award through a dependency because the loss	7		are more generous, for example, in Scotland. I am not
, 8		of a parent and the replacement of that by an inevitably	, 8		going to go through specific details or examples. But
9		less I should not in any way disrespect lovely foster	9		would it be important, do you think, for the legal
10		parents is an identifiable loss for which I think one	10		panel, who would be potentially populating your grids or
11		would expect to be reflected in a dependency award, so	11		tariffs with suggested amounts or bands of compensation,
12		all of that, yes.	12		to include appropriate expertise from Scotland, almost
13	Q.	The Inquiry has also heard accounts of the position of	13		certainly Wales, Northern Ireland, England as well, but
14	ч х ,	those who have lost both parents. Again, would you	14		reflecting the different nature of the legal system
15		expect that would be something that could or should be	15		in Scotland, to ensure that it is not a lowest common
16		captured in some sense through the awards that we've	16		denominator approach necessarily and that appropriate
17		described, a dependency award?	17		regard is had to the way in which awards are structured
18	A.	Again, I would see that as being part of the loss of	18		in Scotland?
19	Λ.	a dependency. And the care being provided by	19	Α.	No, I'd agree that regard should be had to those
20		grandparents rather than parents would be something	20	Λ.	differences and it would be obviously helpful for those
20		classically on which a reflection could be made in	20		to be identified. While one wouldn't want to go for the
22		an award, so yes.	21		lowest common denominator, you would not necessarily
23	Q.	Then, I think the final points I wanted to pick up are	23		wish to go for the highest common one either, and
23		arising out of the issues that we have discussed is the	23		I think one has to come to a fair solution for the
25		position in relation to Scotland. You, again, quite	25		entirety of the UK.
20					
20		91			92

(23) Pages 89 - 92

1	Q.	But it is a matter that should be at least considered,	1		So that might be something upon which further reflection
2		should be part of the assessment process?	2		might be required by those
3	A.	Yes.	3		Yes, at the end of the day there clearly has to be
4	Q.	Then the other point in relation to Scotland in	4		consultation between the governments of the devolved
5		particular	5		nations and the UK government anyway, and no doubt that
6	Α.	Could I just add to that point? We would have to bear	6		would be one matter to take into account.
7		in mind, and the panel would have to bear in mind, this,	7		The last question then just relates to implementation.
8		and I have said this before, but we are talking about	8		If we go in your report to page 122.
9		categories of compensation being awarded, which,	9		This is in the context of a discussion about interim
10		I suspect, are no more awardable in Scotland than they	10		payments, but you say in paragraph 9.130:
11		are in England. So one has to take into account the	11		" it seems unlikely that the scheme could become
12	_	rough with the smooth to some extent.	12		operational until after the publication of the Inquiry
13	Q.	The other point in relation to Scotland, in terms of the	13		report and a process of discussion and consultation,
14		Damages (Scotland) Act 2011 and I think we gave you	14		although some elements of the scheme could possibly be
15		a couple of extracts	15	:	set up in advance."
16	Α.	You very kindly did.	16		Leaving aside interim payments, were there
17	Q.	I'm no more an expert on Scottish law that, I think, you	17		particular elements of the scheme that you thought could
18		are, so I'm very grateful to Mr Dawson(?) for that.	18		or should be set up now?
19		The short point, without, I think, going through the	19		Well, if and obviously it is a big "if" the
20		detail of it, is that in Scottish law a wider category	20		principle of a compensation scheme were accepted, if
21		of relatives is recognised for the purposes of bringing	21		and, again, it is a big "if" a framework along the
22		what might be the equivalent of claims under the Fatal	22		lines of that which I have recommended were considered
23		Accidents Act. Is it fair to say that you had not in	23		appropriate, you could, for instance, consider setting
24		your report given any consideration to that?	24		up, in shadow form, an arm's length body. You could
25	Α.	No.	25		consider, in shadow form, setting up the panel or,
		93			94
1		less than shadow form, probably, the panels, to start	1		mean that, if the decision is then taken to us to
2		the work of considering some of the detail, because	2		green light the scheme, the process of assessing and
3		I absolutely accept that the work of those panels is	3		paying out money would then be would not be delayed
4		going to be quite complex. It will require consultation	4		as much as it might otherwise be?
5		amongst other things, a lot of research, and I don't see	5		No, and it's there are many instances in which you
6		that happening overnight. Frankly, if it'd been able	6		know, in advance of a reorganisation, for instance,
7		to do that overnight I might have considered doing it in	7		taking full effect, that bodies are set up in shadow
8		my own review, but I couldn't. So we need and	8		form which will take over that role as and when it is
9		I don't see that that sort of exercise needs to await	9		given to them and be designing what they have to design.
- 10		the outcome of this Inquiry.	9 10		It's a perfectly normal part of government these days.
11		But I completely understand it might be difficult to	10		So, I mean, of course, it runs the risk that at the end
12		go beyond that because but possibly, and I speculate,	12		of the day a completely different solution is either
13		would it be possible to having identified, say,	12		advocated is advocated, which turns out to be
14		a range of awards potentially for injury, you could	13		a better way of doing it but it should be done in a way
15		start thinking about that?	14		where the work will not be wasted at all possible.
16		I think when it comes actually to making awards and	15		
17			10		RICHARDS: Sir, that's where I propose to leave matters,
18		determinations though, that is probably the point at which you have to stop. So the ability of a scheme in			ahead of 1 o'clock, I'm happy to say, notwithstanding the earlier scepticism about my time estimate.
			18		
19		shadow form to actually distribute money, apart from the	19		BRIAN LANGSTAFF: The scepticism was entirely on your
20		interim payment I think would be questionable, and of	20		part. NCUARDO: As his discted continuities if we could take
21		course I have not spoken to and wouldn't intend to speak	21		RICHARDS: As I indicated earlier, if we could take
22		to the Treasury, but I would be quite surprised if the	22		a lunch break of an hour and a half
23 24		Treasury agreed to release money on that rather	23		BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes.
24 25	0	speculative basis. But getting the papels to start their work new would	24		RICHARDS: because I think there will be a lot.
25	Q.	But getting the panels to start their work now would 95	25		I know already from those speaking behind me that there 96

(24) Pages 93 - 96

1		is a lot they want me to consider.	1	(3)	00 pm)
2	SIR	R BRIAN LANGSTAFF: You don't need to go any further	2		RICHARDS: Sir Robert, I've got a range of questions, and
3	•	persuading me. We will take a break until 2.20 pm.	3	in e	because I'm going to ask them as they have been
4		That is no earlier than 2.20 pm, the reason which have	4		presented to me from representatives of Core
5		applied before, it may be later but it will be at least	5		Participants, they won't follow, necessarily,
6		until 2.20 pm. The purpose of this you will probably	6		a particular topic or a single recommendation, so we may
7		anticipate but let me say it anyway. There are a number	7		leap around from topic to topic and then come back to
8		of Core Participants, a large number who are represented	8		some of those topics when I come on to the next set of
9		by legal representatives, they are entitled to ask	9		questions.
10		counsel to put questions to you, it's part of the	10	A.	
11		collaborative enterprise which this Inquiry is, and she	11	Q.	
12		must then have a chance to look at those questions and	12	-	cut-off dates. Would it be right to understand
13		order them and arrange them so that they can ask them	13		the effect of what you say in your report and what you
14		when you return. I can't promise you how long it will	14		said yesterday as follows: the starting point you
15		take after 2.20, assuming we start then, we may start	15		anticipate would be the current scheme cut-off dates, if
16		later, it depends how many questions are coming through.	16		any? You are not advocating yourself any changes to
17		It will be however long it takes.	17		those?
18	Α.	l understand.	18	Α.	No.
19	MS	RICHARDS: Can I just say, I know there are some here who	19	Q.	You also, in terms of your underlying principle in the
20		are unrepresented Core Participants or unrepresented	20		section of your report where you set out principles, set
21		infected/affected, they should feel free to approach	21		out that claimants should not be worse off than they
22		myself and Ms Scott and suggest questions as well.	22		would be without a scheme. Is it something that you
23	SIR	REVENTION OF STARES THAT'S VERY kind of you.	23		anticipate that, if there was to be an alternative
24	(12	.52 pm)	24		cut-off date or criterion, it might have the effect of
25		(The luncheon adjournment)	25		extending the present cut-off dates if the evidence and
		97			98
,			4		
1		findings of the Inquiry supported that? For example,	1		hepatitis C tariffs, does this not create a disparity
2		the early tests being fallible, all that kind of thing?	2		with the exclusion of those with non-serious hepatitis B
3		Cut-off dates for the scheme or for the support scheme?	3		on whom the impact of their infection may be similar or
4 5	Q.	Cut-off dates for eligibility. So the periods within which the infection or the treatment would have taken	4 5	٨	even worse? I think that depends on, firstly, a value judgment and
6		place?	6	Α.	that depends on a more precise description and
7	A.	Well, I think I would expect I hoped frankly	7		understanding of the similarities and differences
8	Α.	outside my terms of reference that if there was	8		between the two. And insofar as the assumptions which
9		a change in the perception of the cut-off dates for,	9		I describe in my report are changed, then that argument
10		say, the support scheme, that that would be mirrored in	10		gets either weaker or stronger.
11		the compensation scheme.	11	Q.	The third question now moves to issues of calculations
12	Q.	And	12	ω.	of financial loss. Your report does not refer
13	A.	And if there was a change in so that it became	13		explicitly to pensions but pension losses that can be
14	Λ.	different dates, then different circumstances, for	14		recovered in Common Law claims. Should that be included
15		instance.	15		in appropriate cases in the calculation of financial
16	Q.	But that would be something that might flow or not flow	16		loss within the compensation scheme?
17	ч.	from the findings and recommendations of the Inquiry	17	Α.	I would see no reason why not.
18		rather than from anything you are advocating?	18	Q.	•
19	Α.	Yes, I have no ability to make that judgment.	19	ч.	from your report and your evidence but, again, for the
20	Q.	The second point, in relation to hepatitis B. Given, as	20		benefit of those listening, in terms of interim
20	чж.	you indicated yesterday and as I think your report	20		payments, is it right that on your recommendation
22		accepts, those who clear hepatitis C with or without	21		infected people who are not eligible for the current
22		treatment would be included within the scheme, even	22		schemes cannot apply for interim payments?
23 24		though they may not have suffered extensive symptoms	23 24	A.	That would be the effect of my recommendation. I think
		and, therefore, come within the lowest of the	24	<i>r</i> .	I would qualify that. When you say not eligible, in
20			2.3		
25		99	25		100

(25) Pages 97 - 100

1				
1		other words, they don't fulfil the test. That's	1	
2		different from whether they have in fact been accepted	2	Α.
3		on the scheme. If it was possible easily to establish	3	
4		eligibility, then that might be a different matter.	4	
5	Q.	So that I think distinguishes between people who	5	
6		wouldn't currently be eligible under the existing	6	
7		schemes, for example in hepatitis C because the	7	
8		infection post dated September 1991, and then those on	8	
9		the other hand for whom they are new applicants but	9	
10		might be able to quickly establish eligibility if they	10	
11		tried.	11	
12	A.	Yes.	12	~
13	Q.	The next question is	13	Q.
14	А.	I emphasise that because this is something which is	14	
15		being, as it were, put upon to the existing support	15	
16		scheme, it's got to be something which the assessment	16	
17		process of the support scheme can deal with, and that	17	
18		they are dealing, as I understand it, with new	18	
19 20		applications, so there is no reason why that shouldn't apply to the interim payment.	19	
20	~		20	
21 22	Q.	My next question is a practical one but an understandably important one. What happens if	21 22	
22		an infected individual dies while the assessment process	22	A. Q.
23 24		is under way? Would the family then have to re-apply as	23 24	Q.
24 25		bereaved affected individuals and start the process	24 25	
25		101	23	
4		but you are not our reating any different entrough in	4	
1		but you are not suggesting any different approach in	1	
2 3		relation to that No, I certainly focused on blood and blood products	2	
	Α.		2	
4			3	A.
5		because that's what people were talking to me about.	4	Q.
5		because that's what people were talking to me about. I think perhaps I would prefer just to say that's not	4 5	
6		because that's what people were talking to me about. I think perhaps I would prefer just to say that's not an area I specifically considered or whether there are	4 5 6	Q.
6 7		because that's what people were talking to me about. I think perhaps I would prefer just to say that's not an area I specifically considered or whether there are any specific issues arising out of that that would need	4 5 6 7	Q.
6 7 8		because that's what people were talking to me about. I think perhaps I would prefer just to say that's not an area I specifically considered or whether there are any specific issues arising out of that that would need to be taken into account in the scheme. But in very	4 5 6 7 8	Q.
6 7 8 9		because that's what people were talking to me about. I think perhaps I would prefer just to say that's not an area I specifically considered or whether there are any specific issues arising out of that that would need to be taken into account in the scheme. But in very general principle I would see no reason why such people	4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.
6 7 8 9 10		because that's what people were talking to me about. I think perhaps I would prefer just to say that's not an area I specifically considered or whether there are any specific issues arising out of that that would need to be taken into account in the scheme. But in very general principle I would see no reason why such people should not be entitled to compensation.	4 5 7 8 9 10	Q.
6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	because that's what people were talking to me about. I think perhaps I would prefer just to say that's not an area I specifically considered or whether there are any specific issues arising out of that that would need to be taken into account in the scheme. But in very general principle I would see no reason why such people should not be entitled to compensation. The next question looks at the awards that might be	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	Q.
6 7 9 10 11		because that's what people were talking to me about. I think perhaps I would prefer just to say that's not an area I specifically considered or whether there are any specific issues arising out of that that would need to be taken into account in the scheme. But in very general principle I would see no reason why such people should not be entitled to compensation. The next question looks at the awards that might be made, whether to an infected or affected person. The	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.
6 7 9 10 11 12 13		because that's what people were talking to me about. I think perhaps I would prefer just to say that's not an area I specifically considered or whether there are any specific issues arising out of that that would need to be taken into account in the scheme. But in very general principle I would see no reason why such people should not be entitled to compensation. The next question looks at the awards that might be made, whether to an infected or affected person. The point is this, there will be many who have undergone	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.
6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14		because that's what people were talking to me about. I think perhaps I would prefer just to say that's not an area I specifically considered or whether there are any specific issues arising out of that that would need to be taken into account in the scheme. But in very general principle I would see no reason why such people should not be entitled to compensation. The next question looks at the awards that might be made, whether to an infected or affected person. The point is this, there will be many who have undergone substantial personal stress, fighting for decades for	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.
6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		because that's what people were talking to me about. I think perhaps I would prefer just to say that's not an area I specifically considered or whether there are any specific issues arising out of that that would need to be taken into account in the scheme. But in very general principle I would see no reason why such people should not be entitled to compensation. The next question looks at the awards that might be made, whether to an infected or affected person. The point is this, there will be many who have undergone substantial personal stress, fighting for decades for compensation, for recognition, aside from the effects of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.
6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		because that's what people were talking to me about. I think perhaps I would prefer just to say that's not an area I specifically considered or whether there are any specific issues arising out of that that would need to be taken into account in the scheme. But in very general principle I would see no reason why such people should not be entitled to compensation. The next question looks at the awards that might be made, whether to an infected or affected person. The point is this, there will be many who have undergone substantial personal stress, fighting for decades for compensation, for recognition, aside from the effects of their own illness or the illness of their loved one.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		because that's what people were talking to me about. I think perhaps I would prefer just to say that's not an area I specifically considered or whether there are any specific issues arising out of that that would need to be taken into account in the scheme. But in very general principle I would see no reason why such people should not be entitled to compensation. The next question looks at the awards that might be made, whether to an infected or affected person. The point is this, there will be many who have undergone substantial personal stress, fighting for decades for compensation, for recognition, aside from the effects of their own illness or the illness of their loved one. Would you anticipate that those kind of factors could be	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	because that's what people were talking to me about. I think perhaps I would prefer just to say that's not an area I specifically considered or whether there are any specific issues arising out of that that would need to be taken into account in the scheme. But in very general principle I would see no reason why such people should not be entitled to compensation. The next question looks at the awards that might be made, whether to an infected or affected person. The point is this, there will be many who have undergone substantial personal stress, fighting for decades for compensation, for recognition, aside from the effects of their own illness or the illness of their loved one. Would you anticipate that those kind of factors could be reflected in the autonomy award?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		because that's what people were talking to me about. I think perhaps I would prefer just to say that's not an area I specifically considered or whether there are any specific issues arising out of that that would need to be taken into account in the scheme. But in very general principle I would see no reason why such people should not be entitled to compensation. The next question looks at the awards that might be made, whether to an infected or affected person. The point is this, there will be many who have undergone substantial personal stress, fighting for decades for compensation, for recognition, aside from the effects of their own illness or the illness of their loved one. Would you anticipate that those kind of factors could be reflected in the autonomy award? I do, because it seems to me that such experiences, to	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	because that's what people were talking to me about. I think perhaps I would prefer just to say that's not an area I specifically considered or whether there are any specific issues arising out of that that would need to be taken into account in the scheme. But in very general principle I would see no reason why such people should not be entitled to compensation. The next question looks at the awards that might be made, whether to an infected or affected person. The point is this, there will be many who have undergone substantial personal stress, fighting for decades for compensation, for recognition, aside from the effects of their own illness or the illness of their loved one. Would you anticipate that those kind of factors could be reflected in the autonomy award? I do, because it seems to me that such experiences, to the extent that they are established, would be	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	because that's what people were talking to me about. I think perhaps I would prefer just to say that's not an area I specifically considered or whether there are any specific issues arising out of that that would need to be taken into account in the scheme. But in very general principle I would see no reason why such people should not be entitled to compensation. The next question looks at the awards that might be made, whether to an infected or affected person. The point is this, there will be many who have undergone substantial personal stress, fighting for decades for compensation, for recognition, aside from the effects of their own illness or the illness of their loved one. Would you anticipate that those kind of factors could be reflected in the autonomy award? I do, because it seems to me that such experiences, to the extent that they are established, would be an aggravation of the interference with people's	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	because that's what people were talking to me about. I think perhaps I would prefer just to say that's not an area I specifically considered or whether there are any specific issues arising out of that that would need to be taken into account in the scheme. But in very general principle I would see no reason why such people should not be entitled to compensation. The next question looks at the awards that might be made, whether to an infected or affected person. The point is this, there will be many who have undergone substantial personal stress, fighting for decades for compensation, for recognition, aside from the effects of their own illness or the illness of their loved one. Would you anticipate that those kind of factors could be reflected in the autonomy award? I do, because it seems to me that such experiences, to the extent that they are established, would be an aggravation of the interference with people's autonomy, a lack of information given to them and so on.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	because that's what people were talking to me about. I think perhaps I would prefer just to say that's not an area I specifically considered or whether there are any specific issues arising out of that that would need to be taken into account in the scheme. But in very general principle I would see no reason why such people should not be entitled to compensation. The next question looks at the awards that might be made, whether to an infected or affected person. The point is this, there will be many who have undergone substantial personal stress, fighting for decades for compensation, for recognition, aside from the effects of their own illness or the illness of their loved one. Would you anticipate that those kind of factors could be reflected in the autonomy award? I do, because it seems to me that such experiences, to the extent that they are established, would be an aggravation of the interference with people's autonomy, a lack of information given to them and so on. So, yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	because that's what people were talking to me about. I think perhaps I would prefer just to say that's not an area I specifically considered or whether there are any specific issues arising out of that that would need to be taken into account in the scheme. But in very general principle I would see no reason why such people should not be entitled to compensation. The next question looks at the awards that might be made, whether to an infected or affected person. The point is this, there will be many who have undergone substantial personal stress, fighting for decades for compensation, for recognition, aside from the effects of their own illness or the illness of their loved one. Would you anticipate that those kind of factors could be reflected in the autonomy award? I do, because it seems to me that such experiences, to the extent that they are established, would be an aggravation of the interference with people's autonomy, a lack of information given to them and so on. So, yes. Then, again, picking up on that idea of people having	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q.	because that's what people were talking to me about. I think perhaps I would prefer just to say that's not an area I specifically considered or whether there are any specific issues arising out of that that would need to be taken into account in the scheme. But in very general principle I would see no reason why such people should not be entitled to compensation. The next question looks at the awards that might be made, whether to an infected or affected person. The point is this, there will be many who have undergone substantial personal stress, fighting for decades for compensation, for recognition, aside from the effects of their own illness or the illness of their loved one. Would you anticipate that those kind of factors could be reflected in the autonomy award? I do, because it seems to me that such experiences, to the extent that they are established, would be an aggravation of the interference with people's autonomy, a lack of information given to them and so on. So, yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q.

1		again?
2	A.	Well, clearly, if the applicant dies in the course of
3	Λ.	the process then the assessment is going to be different
4		and but procedurally I would hope that that change,
5		as it were, could be made in the least painful way, the
6		least burdensome way possible, but clearly different
7		information might then be required. So there would be
, 8		a difference in the process. But I don't see the
9		whole point of it not being a legal process is that you
10		wouldn't, as it were, have to go away and issue a new
11		writ or court summons or anything like that, so the
12		procedure ought to be as flexible as possible.
13	Q.	Consistent with what you suggested should be the
14		underlying principles of the claim and of the practical
15		proactive sympathetic approach that should be taken,
16		presumably you would expect those administering the
17		scheme to gather together the information that they
18		already had, they would be able to work out then what
19		additional information they needed and ask just for that
20		additional information, rather than expecting a bereaved
21		family to go through everything all over again?
22	Α.	Exactly.
23	Q.	The current schemes include those infected through not
24		just blood but through transplant, so through tissue.
25		That's not I think expressly referenced in your report 102
		102
1		without it, might that justify at least consideration of
2		a higher rate of interest on past loss?
3	Α.	No.
4	Q.	And why is that your answer?
5	Α.	Because the the payment of interest is there to
6		ensure that people receive compensation, as it were, in
7		today's values and so the compensation is what it is, it
8		is not a means which I would suggest should be used,
9		although they could be used in the court system,
10		I appreciate that, as a substitute for punitive damages.
11		If we're going to have a punitive award for exemplary
12		damages or compensation let's have that and let's deal
13		with it on that basis, not I would suggest through
14		interest, which should be purely about making sure
15		people are not under compensated because of the length
16	~	of time that passed if they incurred a loss.
17 18	Q.	So if that factor were to be corrected in some way, the correct way would be potentially through exemplary
19		damages which, for the reason you've already explained,
20		would be something that would be would have to depend
21		upon the findings of the Inquiry?
22	A.	Yes, and then I think a further consideration of how you
23		would import that into a compensation system.
24	Q.	Next question leaps now to the arm's length body, and
25		you've referred to some examples of arm's length bodies
		104
		(00) Damas 404 4

(26) Pages 101 - 104

1		in passing, and some, of course, may have their own	1		one set of (overspeaking) submissions?
2		challenges or have been subjected to criticism.	2	Α.	Certainly that's my my memory. If it was raised, it
3	Α.	There was no such thing as an arm's length body which	3		wasn't raised in a way which attracted my attention,
4		has not been subjected to criticism.	4		I will be honest about that. There were a limited
5	Q.	Out of the arm's length bodies that you can think of,	5		number I think of written representations about it and
6		whether it is those you have already referred to or	6		in fact I did receive at least one representation about
7		otherwise, are there any that you would say are	7		it but after the publication of the report.
8		particularly close in terms of scale and form to that	8	Q.	
9		which you envisage?	9		compensation well, the extent to which monies
10	A.	I'm trying to think whether the Criminal Injuries	10		recovered through the settlement of previous claims
11		Compensation Scheme is an arm's length body, I'm not	11		might be taken into account.
12		sure it is, but an organisation like that, whatever the	12	A.	Yes.
13		form it takes I mean, leave aside whether it is	13	Q.	You explained earlier, and we looked at the relevant
14		an arm's length body would, I think, bear significant	14		part of your report, that previous payments received
15		similarities.	15		under any of the support schemes or their precursors you
16	Q.	The next point is a point of clarification. I think	16		would not envisage being taken into account, and you
17		when I was asking you about hepatitis B we touched on	17		explained your reasoning in the report, and they were
18		the question of whether you had received representations	18		often described as ex gratia payments, they weren't
19		or information on the question of compensation for	19		compensation as such and so on, and you confirmed that
20		infection with hepatitis B. I took you, I think, to	20		that would also apply in relation to payments from the
21		a couple of passages in your report. I wasn't proposing	21		Macfarlane Special Payments No. 2 Trust.
22		to go back to that. Is it right to understand from your	22		In terms then of any other settlements, your
23		report this is how I read it but I may be wrong	23		statement I think sorry, your report I think suggests
24		that in the oral meetings in which you conducted,	24		that, if we look at, just by way of example,
25		hepatitis B was not raised but it was raised in at least	25		paragraph 9.6. I think it is page 95, Lawrence.
		105			106
1		So paragraph 9.6, I had asked you about the first	1		them seemed to be for relatively small sums of money.
2		part of it, potentially, but not the second.	2		Insofar as they were larger sums of money, they may have
3		You say fifth line down:	3		information about well, not that they offered me
4		" any sum received in the settlement should be	4		anyway as to how that figure was arrived at, and it
5		taken into account as a deduction from any lump sum	5		said that it is a potential challenge, obviously, but
6		award for past financial losses or provision of care, in	6		until one looked at the specific case it would be
7		so far as it can be identified what part of any	7		difficult to say whether it was possible to determine
8		settlement was attributable to such losses or	8		it. For instance, in any case which had been prepared
9		provision."	9		with any level of sophistication, with a negotiated
10		Is this correct, leaving aside, as I say, all the	10		settlement, there would be likely to have been a pleaded
11		various incarnations of the support schemes and	11		claim in which items would have been set out, and it
12		ex gratia payments, if there was something that was	12		might well be possible to reference that in terms of how
13		the payment of compensation as part of either a court	13		the settlement was arrived at, albeit, no doubt, for the
14		award well, sorry, if as part of a court award, it	14		reasons I mentioned, a settlement might well have been
15		would presumably identify what it was referable to.	15		discounted heavily or perceived to be the prospects of
16	Α.	One would hope so.	16		success and failure in the case.
17	Q.	And then could fall to be taken into account against the	17		I'm afraid that would have to be dealt with on
18		relevant item of compensation under the scheme.	18		a case-by-case basis but I have deliberately said that
19		If it is a settlement, is this right, it would	19		this should be it being taken into account should be
20		you would envisage it would be taken into account but	20		conditional on it being possible to attribute
21		only insofar as you can identify from the settlement	21		the settlement or part of it to any particular head of
22		that it or part of it is referable to a particular head	22		claim.
23		of loss?	23	SIF	R BRIAN LANGSTAFF: In general terms, during your
24	Α.	The very limited information NHS Resolution were able to	24		practice, when you have settled cases
25		give me about settlements indicated, firstly, most of	25	Α.	Yes.
		107			108
					(27) Pages 105 - 1

(27) Pages 105 - 108

1	SIR	BRIAN LANGSTAFF: have you, in the process of	1
2		settlement, broken up the settlement figure into A, B,	2
3		C: A, a sum for pain, suffering loss, immunity; B, a sum	3
4		for past loss; C, a sum for future loss?	4
5	Α.	Yes.	5
6	SIR	BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Or has it largely been a question of	6
7		global figures?	7
8	Α.	Sometimes the latter but more usually, sir, it would	8
9		be a calculation would be made as to what the	9
10		claim what one anticipated the claim would achieve if	10
11		it was fought out. And then modifications would be made	11
12		for that to calculate the risks and degrees of	12
13		uncertainty of that outcome.	13
14		What makes it more challenging is whatever the view	14
15		might be on one side or the other may not have been	15
16		fully or frankly disclosed to the other side because	16
17		that is privileged information, and so each side might	17
18		have an entirely different concept as to why it was they	18
19		were offering or accepting a particular sum.	19
20		So that's why there is a particular challenge. But	20
21		it would seem to me that in the sort of cases we are	21
22		talking about, and I'm talking about those where but	22
23		if it is a very small payment, it's almost certainly	23
24		what we, as lawyers, I'm afraid rather disparagingly,	24
25		call a "nuisance payment", which is to buy off the cost 109	25
1		such as the cost of litigation, the time it might take,	1
2		the uncertainties of litigation, knowledge particularly	2
3		of one party that a witness might be rather more wobbly	3
4		than had been thought, and simply a feeling on behalf of	4
5		some members of the cohort, "Well, I have had enough	5
6		I just really want to get this settled".	6
7	A.	Yes. All those features, sir, would, of course, lead to	7
8		a reduction in what might otherwise have been	8
9		anticipated would be claimed. That doesn't necessarily	9
10		mean that justice or fairness might not require at least	10
11		to look to see whether it would be right and proper to	11
12		take the payment into account when, as it were, one	12
13		might describe as proper 100 per cent compensation is	13
14		now being offered. But I appreciate and, of course,	14
15		there are these difficulties and they could end up by	15
16		being difficulties where proportionality would mean it	16
17		would be actually wiser and fairer and a better use of	17
18		resource not to make the argument at all. But I don't	18
19		think I could properly looking at the balancing the	19
20		interests of those claiming things and those paying for	20
21		them not say that it should not be taken into account at	21
22		all.	22
23	SIR	BRIAN LANGSTAFF: What you've described is seeking to	23
24		find a system which would be quick	24
25	Α.	Yes.	25
		111	

1	0	f litigating something which it is thought the
2	de	efendant would succeed in.
3		Such a settlement, in my view, would not be
4	S	omething you would take off any particular heads of
5	da	amages in future. But if you had a six figure sum, it
6	se	eems to me it is likely that there will be a document
7	S	omewhere which would enable you to say, well,
8	а	proportion of the overall claim being made was for
9	lo	ss of earnings, or something of that nature, and
10	th	erefore it would be fair to deduct that sum.
11		But I'm afraid it would have to be dealt with on
12	а	case-by-case basis in accordance with material
13	a	vailable, which might be very limited.
14	SIR BI	RIAN LANGSTAFF: And if it were a group action, when
15	di	ifferent technically it would be a combination,
16	le	t's suppose it were 100 claimants, there would be
17	1(00 individual claims, albeit litigated as a group.
18	A . Y	es.
19	SIR BI	RIAN LANGSTAFF: And it might then be virtually
20	in	npossible to say what in each individual case the
21	a	mount of damages at Common Law would be recoverable.
22	A . Y	es. I accept that.
23	SIR BI	RIAN LANGSTAFF: Quite apart from presumably the
24	di	ifferent values which the paying party and the
25	re	eceiving party would give to other pressures, pressures
		110
1	SIR BI	RIAN LANGSTAFF: understandable, easy to apply and
1 2		RIAN LANGSTAFF: understandable, easy to apply and e from some of the difficulties and uncertainties
	fr	
2	fr W	ee from some of the difficulties and uncertainties
2 3	fr W A.Y	ee from some of the difficulties and uncertainties hich may be thought to be set in litigation.
2 3 4	fr W A. Y SIR BI	ee from some of the difficulties and uncertainties hich may be thought to be set in litigation. es.
2 3 4 5	fr W A. Y SIR BI	ee from some of the difficulties and uncertainties hich may be thought to be set in litigation. es. RIAN LANGSTAFF: All of those advantages would be
2 3 4 5 6	fr W A. Y SIR BI pr ca	ee from some of the difficulties and uncertainties hich may be thought to be set in litigation. es. RIAN LANGSTAFF: All of those advantages would be erhaps rather inconsistent with the need to have a very
2 3 4 5 6 7	fr W A. Y SIR BI pr ca	ee from some of the difficulties and uncertainties hich may be thought to be set in litigation. es. RIAN LANGSTAFF: All of those advantages would be erhaps rather inconsistent with the need to have a very areful look at what was a settlement in order to work
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	fr W A. Y SIR BI pr ca oi	ee from some of the difficulties and uncertainties hich may be thought to be set in litigation. es. RIAN LANGSTAFF: All of those advantages would be erhaps rather inconsistent with the need to have a very areful look at what was a settlement in order to work ut how much money should come off the sum now being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	fr W A. Y SIR BI Ca OI SI A. S	ee from some of the difficulties and uncertainties hich may be thought to be set in litigation. es. RIAN LANGSTAFF: All of those advantages would be erhaps rather inconsistent with the need to have a very areful look at what was a settlement in order to work ut how much money should come off the sum now being uggested.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	fr W A. Y SIR BI ca ca ca ca ca ca ca ca ca ca ca ca ca	ee from some of the difficulties and uncertainties hich may be thought to be set in litigation. es. RIAN LANGSTAFF: All of those advantages would be erhaps rather inconsistent with the need to have a very areful look at what was a settlement in order to work ut how much money should come off the sum now being uggested. o clearly it is a matter of proportionality, in my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	frr W A. Y SIR BI ca ca ou su A. S vi	ee from some of the difficulties and uncertainties hich may be thought to be set in litigation. es. RIAN LANGSTAFF: All of those advantages would be erhaps rather inconsistent with the need to have a very areful look at what was a settlement in order to work ut how much money should come off the sum now being uggested. o clearly it is a matter of proportionality, in my ew. You will appreciate that the information that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	fr W A. Y SIR BI Ca OU SU SU A. S VI	ee from some of the difficulties and uncertainties hich may be thought to be set in litigation. es. RIAN LANGSTAFF: All of those advantages would be erhaps rather inconsistent with the need to have a very areful look at what was a settlement in order to work ut how much money should come off the sum now being uggested. o clearly it is a matter of proportionality, in my ew. You will appreciate that the information that was able to obtain in relation to the nature of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	fr W A. Y SIR BI pr ca on su su A. S Vi U Se U I U	ee from some of the difficulties and uncertainties hich may be thought to be set in litigation. es. RIAN LANGSTAFF: All of those advantages would be erhaps rather inconsistent with the need to have a very areful look at what was a settlement in order to work ut how much money should come off the sum now being uggested. o clearly it is a matter of proportionality, in my ew. You will appreciate that the information that was able to obtain in relation to the nature of ettlements or the history of them was extremely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	fr W A. Y SIR BI pp ca ou su su A. S Vi Se lin lin	ee from some of the difficulties and uncertainties hich may be thought to be set in litigation. es. RIAN LANGSTAFF: All of those advantages would be erhaps rather inconsistent with the need to have a very areful look at what was a settlement in order to work ut how much money should come off the sum now being uggested. o clearly it is a matter of proportionality, in my ew. You will appreciate that the information that was able to obtain in relation to the nature of ettlements or the history of them was extremely nited, and that may be all the information there is,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	fr W A. Y SIR BI pr ca ou su su A. S Vi In Sa In In Co O	ee from some of the difficulties and uncertainties hich may be thought to be set in litigation. es. RIAN LANGSTAFF: All of those advantages would be erhaps rather inconsistent with the need to have a very areful look at what was a settlement in order to work ut how much money should come off the sum now being uggested. o clearly it is a matter of proportionality, in my ew. You will appreciate that the information that was able to obtain in relation to the nature of ettlements or the history of them was extremely mited, and that may be all the information there is, don't know, but it would seem to me it would be unwise
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Y SIR BI Ca SIR SI SIR A. S Vi In SE SIR BI	ee from some of the difficulties and uncertainties hich may be thought to be set in litigation. es. RIAN LANGSTAFF: All of those advantages would be erhaps rather inconsistent with the need to have a very areful look at what was a settlement in order to work ut how much money should come off the sum now being uggested. o clearly it is a matter of proportionality, in my ew. You will appreciate that the information that was able to obtain in relation to the nature of ettlements or the history of them was extremely nited, and that may be all the information there is, don't know, but it would seem to me it would be unwise f me not to ask the question in effect about that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	frr W A. Y SIR BI Pr Ca OI SI SIR BI In SIR BI A	ee from some of the difficulties and uncertainties hich may be thought to be set in litigation. es. RIAN LANGSTAFF: All of those advantages would be erhaps rather inconsistent with the need to have a very areful look at what was a settlement in order to work ut how much money should come off the sum now being uggested. o clearly it is a matter of proportionality, in my ew. You will appreciate that the information that was able to obtain in relation to the nature of ettlements or the history of them was extremely nited, and that may be all the information there is, don't know, but it would seem to me it would be unwise f me not to ask the question in effect about that. RIAN LANGSTAFF: So ultimately the decision might be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Y SIR BI Pr Ca OD SIR A. S Vi U SIR BI A a a	ee from some of the difficulties and uncertainties hich may be thought to be set in litigation. es. RIAN LANGSTAFF: All of those advantages would be erhaps rather inconsistent with the need to have a very areful look at what was a settlement in order to work ut how much money should come off the sum now being uggested. o clearly it is a matter of proportionality, in my ew. You will appreciate that the information that was able to obtain in relation to the nature of ettlements or the history of them was extremely nited, and that may be all the information there is, don't know, but it would seem to me it would be unwise if me not to ask the question in effect about that. RIAN LANGSTAFF: So ultimately the decision might be question of finding out what in general terms would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Y SIR BI pr ca ou su A. S vi liv se liv SIR BI a a a th	ee from some of the difficulties and uncertainties hich may be thought to be set in litigation. es. RIAN LANGSTAFF: All of those advantages would be erhaps rather inconsistent with the need to have a very areful look at what was a settlement in order to work ut how much money should come off the sum now being uggested. o clearly it is a matter of proportionality, in my ew. You will appreciate that the information that was able to obtain in relation to the nature of ettlements or the history of them was extremely nited, and that may be all the information there is, don't know, but it would seem to me it would be unwise if me not to ask the question in effect about that. RIAN LANGSTAFF: So ultimately the decision might be question of finding out what in general terms would be proportional allowance to be made for sums given in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Y SIR BI SIR BI Ca on SIR SIR SIR SIR SIR A a th A. Y	ee from some of the difficulties and uncertainties hich may be thought to be set in litigation. es. RIAN LANGSTAFF: All of those advantages would be erhaps rather inconsistent with the need to have a very areful look at what was a settlement in order to work ut how much money should come off the sum now being uggested. o clearly it is a matter of proportionality, in my ew. You will appreciate that the information that was able to obtain in relation to the nature of ettlements or the history of them was extremely mited, and that may be all the information there is, don't know, but it would seem to me it would be unwise if me not to ask the question in effect about that. RIAN LANGSTAFF: So ultimately the decision might be question of finding out what in general terms would be proportional allowance to be made for sums given in ne past.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Y SIR BI Processor SIR SI A. S Vi SIR SI SIR BI A a A. Y SIR BI	ee from some of the difficulties and uncertainties hich may be thought to be set in litigation. es. RIAN LANGSTAFF: All of those advantages would be erhaps rather inconsistent with the need to have a very areful look at what was a settlement in order to work ut how much money should come off the sum now being uggested. o clearly it is a matter of proportionality, in my ew. You will appreciate that the information that was able to obtain in relation to the nature of ettlements or the history of them was extremely nited, and that may be all the information there is, don't know, but it would seem to me it would be unwise f me not to ask the question in effect about that. RIAN LANGSTAFF: So ultimately the decision might be question of finding out what in general terms would be proportional allowance to be made for sums given in ne past. es.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Y SIR BI PC CC CC SIR A. S Vi IN SIR BI C SIR BI A A. Y SIR BI C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	ee from some of the difficulties and uncertainties hich may be thought to be set in litigation. es. RIAN LANGSTAFF: All of those advantages would be erhaps rather inconsistent with the need to have a very areful look at what was a settlement in order to work ut how much money should come off the sum now being uggested. o clearly it is a matter of proportionality, in my ew. You will appreciate that the information that was able to obtain in relation to the nature of ettlements or the history of them was extremely nited, and that may be all the information there is, don't know, but it would seem to me it would be unwise if me not to ask the question in effect about that. RIAN LANGSTAFF: So ultimately the decision might be question of finding out what in general terms would be proportional allowance to be made for sums given in he past. es. RIAN LANGSTAFF: Without spending too long on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	fr W W A. Y SIR BI CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC	ee from some of the difficulties and uncertainties hich may be thought to be set in litigation. es. RIAN LANGSTAFF: All of those advantages would be erhaps rather inconsistent with the need to have a very areful look at what was a settlement in order to work ut how much money should come off the sum now being uggested. o clearly it is a matter of proportionality, in my ew. You will appreciate that the information that was able to obtain in relation to the nature of ettlements or the history of them was extremely mited, and that may be all the information there is, don't know, but it would seem to me it would be unwise f me not to ask the question in effect about that. RIAN LANGSTAFF: So ultimately the decision might be question of finding out what in general terms would be proportional allowance to be made for sums given in the past. es. RIAN LANGSTAFF: Without spending too long on the ssessment. /ell, again, it's an area which ideally would broad-brush approach, if it could be taken, should be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	fr W W A. Y SIR BI CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC	ee from some of the difficulties and uncertainties hich may be thought to be set in litigation. es. RIAN LANGSTAFF: All of those advantages would be erhaps rather inconsistent with the need to have a very areful look at what was a settlement in order to work ut how much money should come off the sum now being uggested. o clearly it is a matter of proportionality, in my ew. You will appreciate that the information that was able to obtain in relation to the nature of ettlements or the history of them was extremely mited, and that may be all the information there is, don't know, but it would seem to me it would be unwise if me not to ask the question in effect about that. RIAN LANGSTAFF: So ultimately the decision might be question of finding out what in general terms would be proportional allowance to be made for sums given in the past. es. RIAN LANGSTAFF: Without spending too long on the ssessment. /ell, again, it's an area which ideally would

(28) Pages 109 - 112

Now I appreciate there might be issues of privilege

But as I say, it all depends on the amounts involved

around that advice, but it would seem to me not unreasonable to expect an applicant to be able to explain why a particular sum was accepted in transparent terms. And if they didn't do that, well, maybe certain

and the proportionality. It is just that I would feel that some might suggest it would be unfair if a significantly large sum, and if we are talking in six figures I would think of that as being a large sum, was not looked at, because otherwise the risk of double

Q. The next question is one which I think is completely answered in your report but perhaps important to deal with because I didn't ask you, I think, about it expressly earlier. The question is: can claims in relation to affected people be brought on behalf of an affected person's estate? And you answer that in

Q. So I'll -- I haven't answered it for you, effectively, and we don't need to put the page up, but I will just read the paragraph number. It is paragraph 2.20 on

consequences could follow.

recovery is really guite substantial.

terms: yes.

comment --

A. I did.

1		taken. And, as I say, what appears to me to have	1
2		been insofar as there were cases, a lot of them were	2
3		settled for a very small sum of money, comparatively	3
4		speaking, then it might well not be worth anyone's while	4
5		looking very long at that.	5
6	MS	RICHARDS: Just picking up on that, a specific area	6
7		I have been asked to raise with you. I appreciate much	7
8		may depend upon the individual case but whilst it might	8
9		be proportionate, for example, if there is still	9
10		an existing settlement agreement or a court approval to	10
11		look at that and see whether you could identify a head	11
12		of loss that was comparable to that for which the	12
13		compensation was being sought, but you wouldn't in	13
14		general expect there, for example, to be an attempt to	14
15		interrogate litigation files and look at whether there	15
16		were notes of settlement meetings and try to reconstruct	16
17		what might have been in the minds of those	17
18	Α.	I think that would be almost impossible. You give	18
19		the example of an approval by the court, which I think	19
20		almost invariably would involve the court having been	20
21		shown an advice of a legal adviser analysing why they	21
22		were accepting the sum on offer and suggesting to the	22
23		court that was a reasonable sum to offer. And similarly	23
24		there may be other cases where the court's approval is	24
25		not required but such advice exists.	25
		113	
1		Moving on from that, some infected with hepatitis C,	1
2		HIV, hepatitis B have, as I understand it, had compound	2
3		damage caused by constant reinfection. Would you	3
4		anticipate that those are the kinds of considerations	4
5		that the medical panel, the clinical panel, would be	5
6		considering when drawing up their different categories?	6
7	A.	Oh, definitely. That would go to the severity of	7
, 8	л.	the disease, and that is partly catered for, in my	, 8
9		rather simplistic grid, by the co-infections line, if	9
10		you like. But clearly that could be developed.	10
11		But as I have said, I think, in the report, the fact	10
12		that one has more than one disease or co-infection	12
13		doesn't necessarily mean you double up the award but you	13
14		certainly take it into account.	14
15	Q.	My next guestion relates to interim payments and the	15
16	ω.	limitation of the interim payments to those affected and	16
10		are living, and it in particular concerns the position	17
18		of those who are widows or widowers where the infected	18
10 19		partner has died. I'm going to set out a handful of	10
20		propositions and then ask for your comment.	20
21 22		So one example, and this is not a typical example in	21
22		terms of the circumstances of which the Inquiry is	22
23		aware, a widow currently getting payments from the	23
24		schemes, already registered (so in that sense in the	24
25		same position as the infected applicants	25

page 19 of your report. 114 administratively), and whom we have recommended an interim payment, a husband lost the opportunity to sort out his affairs before death, lost the opportunity to settle the estate and make provision for their children, which was the factor that you identified as being one of the factors in support of an interim payment, that widow or widower, bereaved partner, now has exactly the same desire to sort out family affairs for their children, her children, his children, the children of the deceased infected individual, and age is not in their favour, why is there any less urgency in alleviating their suffering is the question for your A. My sympathies are entirely with such people but actually the issue you raise could be said to exist in relation to any eligible affected person as well. And there will be many in that category who are elderly or would like to sort out their affairs. But I'm dealing -- or seeking to address a situation unfortunately where there is no compensation scheme in existence, where the level of assessment available without the scheme to assess who should get what is very limited indeed. What I have suggested, and I repeat it, is an exceptional measure to recognise a group of people who are alive, who have been personally directly 116

(29) Pages 113 - 116

1		infected, and I think that is something which separates	1		these questions to the scope of the recommendation is
2		them from even widows and widowers of infected people	2		an extension not to all those affected or
3		who have died, who are, I believe in this sense, and	3	Α.	No, you asked me about widows and widowers.
4		maybe this sense only, in the same category as any other	4	Q.	And the particular reason for pressing that point or
5		eligible person who understandably, and I am sure	5		being asked to press that point is really twofold. The
6		virtually everyone in these categories whatever they	6		first is that that's not a category where it is likely
7		are, want to sort things out as quickly as possible so	7		to be particularly difficult to investigate the
8		they can dispose of the sort out their own lives and	8		position. If you've got those who are already
9		indeed sort out everyone else's lives as well.	9		registered with the scheme, their circumstances are
10		Unfortunately a line, in my view, has to be drawn	10		known, the existence of the relationship is known, they
11		somewhere and just in terms of the practicalities of	11		have already been accepted as someone eligible, for
12		it, and I sought to suggest something which is simple,	12		example, for support payments, so you don't have any
13		entirely in line with in effect, just asking the	13		problems there?
14		people who run the support scheme to sign another	14	Α.	That applies to a number of other categories as well,
15		cheque, notionally, and I'm not sure that is the case in	15	~	I would think.
16		relation to anyone other than the category I've	16	Q.	But the second factor that may apply to the bereaved
17	~	mentioned.	17		partner is that of age and potentially their own ill
18	Q.	And again	18		health.
19	Α.	And also what you are suggesting, suggests to me a level	19	Α.	Everything you say attracts a huge amount of sympathy
20		of assessment would be required in order to assess the	20		from me. The problem, if you like, is caused by the
21		merit and urgency of the individual cases, which then	21		absence of a scheme. Some have suggested it should have
22		gets you into the territory of someone having to make	22		been put in place many years ago. That hasn't happened.
23		that judgment in what may well be, at that stage,	23		What I'm therefore faced with is trying to find or
24	0	a significantly large number of people.	24 25		identify pragmatic, easy-to-apply solutions which, to be
25	Q.	I think the suggested extension for the purposes of 117	20		fair I know it's difficult to some people to be 118
,			,		
1		completely fair, but to be fair to those who have to put	1		for the care so that they could continue with their
2		in place the arrangements for these schemes, which	2		careers. The question is this: that essentially
3		include the funding for them, may require a certain	3 4		reflects a concept of mitigation of loss. No other
4 5		degree of certainty and ease of administration without which support schemes themselves might become	5		element of your recommendations appears to consider an obligation to mitigate loss, so why should affected
6		overwhelmed. More sophisticated arrangements might need	6		claimants be burdened with it?
7		to be put in place to administer it. And the more that	7	٨	Well, I think what I'm seeking to reflect is not
, 8		is likely to be the case, the less easy those who might	8	Α.	actually a mitigation of loss but the effect of a policy
9		otherwise agree to this might find it. That would be my	9		which is in legal cases applied to provide some line to
10		speculation, I don't know.	10		define what is thought to be fair to require a paying
11		And of course it would be open to any government to	11		tortfeasor, wrongdoer they have to pay for and what not,
12		say, "No, Francis is wrong about that, we could very	12		and there always has to be such a line. It is by no
13		easily accommodate the people you have mentioned", and	13		means to say it is mitigation would be to suggest I'm
14		I would be the first to welcome that. But it is just	14		implicitly seeking to criticise those who care for their
15		simply in terms of what I feel it is pragmatic to	15		loved ones and, of course, I'm not doing any such thing.
16		recommend and it is by no means through a lack of	16		But the legal logic that regards care costs is to be
17		sympathy on my part for these people's needs.	17		valued on the costs of the notional costs of the
18	Q.	The next topic is in relation to the absence of	18		care, rather than the financial loss is where a line is
19	чж.	a recommendation that affected people could claim	19		drawn. As a barrister, I would be very happy to go to
20		financial loss awards.	20		court in such a case and seek to argue that the line
21		I'm reminded that you describe one of the	21		should be drawn somewhere else, and I suspect people do
22		difficulties of such an award is needing in terms of	22		that all the time, but I'm not aware that they have yet
23		some of the policy matters that underlie the approach at	23		succeeded, but it does seem to me that what I'm looking
24		Common Law needing to ask unpleasant questions about			-
		Colling Call - liceally to day anneagons areas in a strong	24		at here is the need for a degree of parity between
25			24 25		at here is the need for a degree of parity between those in an area where it is directly comparable
25		why a person gave up their career and didn't simply pay 119	24 25		at nere is the need for a degree of parity between those in an area where it is directly comparable 120

(30) Pages 117 - 120

INQY1000225_0030

124

1	between those who have a claim for negligence against	1	cleverer lawyers than I, but that that would not be
2	the whole service in a more routine way and someone who	2	admitted as a claim in an ordinary litigation claim for
3	has a claim for compensation here in relation to	3	the reasons, really, largely that I have explained.
4	something they are getting free of the obligation to	4	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: If I may, I'm not really sure it would
5	litigate.	5	actually create any practical difference for this
6 Q	. The premise underlying the next question is this, that	6	reason, that the earning capacity of the infected person
7	there is for some at least of those infected through	7	would be what would be subject to compensation. So let
8	treatment with blood products a generation where because	8	us suppose somebody with haemophilia has the added
9	of the effects of haemophilia, but possibly also the	9	disadvantage, huge disadvantage of HIV or hepatitis C or
10	effects of infection, the affected partner may have been	10	hepatitis B or a combination and that means they can no
11	the primary earner in the household.	11	longer pursue the career they would otherwise
12	And the question is this: in such cases would it be	12	reasonably, it is assessed, have followed. They would
13	equitable to permit substitution of the infected	13	be compensated for that. Albeit there is an element of
14	person's loss of earnings for the affected person? In	14	guesswork about what it would have been. That would be
15	other words, you're not advancing a claim by	15	part of your award, the loss of earnings to date.
16	the affected person for their loss of earnings, but	16	A. Yes.
17	looking at it as a household you are allowing the claim	17	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: The individual who is the higher
18	to be based upon the higher earner.	18	earner would presumably be capable of earning those
19 A		19	higher earnings anyway.
20	concept, and it is seeking to compensate something	20	A. Yes, subject to the care point obviously.
21	different to that which the principle of compensation is	21	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And that's where care comes in.
22	for. In other words, you compensate an injured person	22	A. So it is the same point really.
23	for the consequential loss to them of what they would	23	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And really the question then is the
24	have earned themselves. It is again, I would hazard	24	theoretical approach to care, as to which might be said
25	a guess, and I'm happy to be shown to be wrong by 121	25	that the approaches, is there a loss? Answer: yes, 122
1	because the infected person needs care.	1	A. I'm not in any way, sir, seeking to suggest that that is
2	And then what is the how does one assess that	2	not theoretically a way in which one could approach
3	loss? What actually has been incurred? Answer: the	3	this. What I was seeking to do was to point to what
4	loss of, let's say, £30,000 a year earnings for care	4	I believed to be the legal position with the recovery of
5	which could be supplied, on one view, for £20,000 by	5	damages, that cost of care is, generally speaking,
6	someone employed off the market to do it.	6	limited to the commercial cost, for reasons of
7	And it would then be the question whether the	7	in effect for reasons of policy, and if it not be the
8	this I think comes back to the I follow the logic of	8	case, then the answer to your question is much easier.
9	the litigation of loss question I think by saying, well,	9	But if it is the case, then there is an issue whether
10	is it unreasonable, because I think that's probably the	10	parity requires us to follow the same approach in
11	test, is it unreasonable for someone to claim £30,000	11	a compensation case scheme such as this.
12	a year rather than 20 when you could have got it down	12	Not to do so would mean, if one went along the loss
13	for 20? Is it reasonable to do it? As to which lots of	13	of earnings route, that there would be considerable
14	considerations might come in, might they not, such as	14	disparity between what individuals in this scheme would
15	the degree of love and affection which is necessary, the	15	receive for in relation to exactly the same care each
16	sheer difficulty of having someone employed to provide	16	of them has had.
17	care which might be needed at all times of the day or	17	So anyone who needed five hours care a week, it
18	night, in a particular situation dealing with night	18	doesn't matter what it is, some will find it is limited
19	sweats, et cetera. You can picture the situation quite	19	to commercial rate because their carer wasn't in work,
20	easily. Plus the sheer horror at the idea of asking for	20	whereas others might be receiving very large sums of
20	someone to come and cater for somebody who has, let's	20	money indeed because, for the reasons you mention, it
22	suppose, HIV because of the stigma that applies to HIV.	21	was not unreasonable for them to give up their job. So
22	All those considerations would, arguably, form	22	that brings with it its own set of problems.
23 24	a case. I mean, you can put a case the other side, and	23	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. At Common Law I mean,
24 25	I'm just demonstrating how it might be argued.	24	I appreciate we're talking about a scheme not Common Law 124

(31) Pages 121 - 124

1		but you are using Common Law as the comparison	1		
2	Α.	Yes.	2		
3	SIR	BRIAN LANGSTAFF: it comes down to what the principle	3		
4		actually is when it comes to	4		
5	Α.	Yes, and I would accept that probably the principle is	5		
6		not immutable, let's put it that way. But my	6		
7		understanding would be where it is at the moment.	7		
8	MS	RICHARDS: The next few questions deal with aspects of	8		
9		arising out of how things are approached in Common Law	9		
10		claims.	10		•
11		Where individuals are claiming ongoing future	11		
12		financial losses using multiplier and multiplicand, how	12		
13		would you propose the scheme would look at life	13		
14		expectancy? Would there have to be bespoke medical	14		
15		expert evidence for each applicant or consistent with	15		
16		the more rough and ready broad-brush approach, would it	16		
17		be a question of really taking the statistical average	17		
18		life expectancy?	18		
19	Α.	I would have thought the latter.	19	Q.	
20	Q.	The second question was the extent to which there might	20	.	
21	ч.	be scope for a "lost years" claim in the scheme.	20		
22	٨	Yes. I have not included such a recommendation. Of	21		
	Α.				
23		course it would be possible. It is I think it always	23	A.	
24		has been somewhat controversialI mean,	24	Q.	
25		it's accepted in damages claim. It, by definition, is 125	25		
1 2		The reference at the top to "5% above national median earnings". The question is this: do you mean	1 2		
3		people should get median earnings plus 5% or the	3		
4		55th centile of national earnings?			
5			4		
	A.	Could I go back could you just remind me of			
6	A. Q.	Could I go back could you just remind me of Yes, absolutely.	5		
6 7	Q.	Yes, absolutely.	5 6	Q.	
7	Q. A.	Yes, absolutely. the beginning of this sentence, please.	5 6 7	Q. A.	
7 8	Q.	Yes, absolutely. the beginning of this sentence, please. It is about increasing the regular payments under the	5 6	Q. A.	
7 8 9	Q. A. Q.	Yes, absolutely. the beginning of this sentence, please. It is about increasing the regular payments under the support scheme.	5 6 7 8 9		
7 8 9 10	Q. A.	Yes, absolutely. the beginning of this sentence, please. It is about increasing the regular payments under the support scheme. Thank you.	5 6 7 8 9 10	Α.	
7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q.	Yes, absolutely. the beginning of this sentence, please. It is about increasing the regular payments under the support scheme. Thank you. Well, I've said 5% not the 55th centile and I think	5 6 7 8 9 10 11		
7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q.	Yes, absolutely. the beginning of this sentence, please. It is about increasing the regular payments under the support scheme. Thank you. Well, I've said 5% not the 55th centile and I think that's what I meant. The purpose of it, which is	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Α.	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q.	Yes, absolutely. the beginning of this sentence, please. It is about increasing the regular payments under the support scheme. Thank you. Well, I've said 5% not the 55th centile and I think that's what I meant. The purpose of it, which is perhaps more important than the precise figure, would be	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Α.	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q.	Yes, absolutely. the beginning of this sentence, please. It is about increasing the regular payments under the support scheme. Thank you. Well, I've said 5% not the 55th centile and I think that's what I meant. The purpose of it, which is perhaps more important than the precise figure, would be to seek to be generous in relation to those cases where	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Α.	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q.	Yes, absolutely. the beginning of this sentence, please. It is about increasing the regular payments under the support scheme. Thank you. Well, I've said 5% not the 55th centile and I think that's what I meant. The purpose of it, which is perhaps more important than the precise figure, would be to seek to be generous in relation to those cases where it was necessary to take or advisable to take, as it	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Α.	
7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q.	Yes, absolutely. the beginning of this sentence, please. It is about increasing the regular payments under the support scheme. Thank you. Well, I've said 5% not the 55th centile and I think that's what I meant. The purpose of it, which is perhaps more important than the precise figure, would be to seek to be generous in relation to those cases where it was necessary to take or advisable to take, as it were, a standardised figure and might reflect yet again	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Α.	
7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q.	Yes, absolutely. the beginning of this sentence, please. It is about increasing the regular payments under the support scheme. Thank you. Well, I've said 5% not the 55th centile and I think that's what I meant. The purpose of it, which is perhaps more important than the precise figure, would be to seek to be generous in relation to those cases where it was necessary to take or advisable to take, as it were, a standardised figure and might reflect yet again another example of something which is going towards the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Α.	
7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q.	Yes, absolutely. the beginning of this sentence, please. It is about increasing the regular payments under the support scheme. Thank you. Well, I've said 5% not the 55th centile and I think that's what I meant. The purpose of it, which is perhaps more important than the precise figure, would be to seek to be generous in relation to those cases where it was necessary to take or advisable to take, as it were, a standardised figure and might reflect yet again another example of something which is going towards the aggravation, if you like, of this. And also because,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Α.	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q.	Yes, absolutely. the beginning of this sentence, please. It is about increasing the regular payments under the support scheme. Thank you. Well, I've said 5% not the 55th centile and I think that's what I meant. The purpose of it, which is perhaps more important than the precise figure, would be to seek to be generous in relation to those cases where it was necessary to take or advisable to take, as it were, a standardised figure and might reflect yet again another example of something which is going towards the aggravation, if you like, of this. And also because, certainly in the 9/11 scheme there was a big and that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q.	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q.	Yes, absolutely. the beginning of this sentence, please. It is about increasing the regular payments under the support scheme. Thank you. Well, I've said 5% not the 55th centile and I think that's what I meant. The purpose of it, which is perhaps more important than the precise figure, would be to seek to be generous in relation to those cases where it was necessary to take or advisable to take, as it were, a standardised figure and might reflect yet again another example of something which is going towards the aggravation, if you like, of this. And also because, certainly in the 9/11 scheme there was a big and that was a case involving a lot of very high earners sadly	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q.	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q.	Yes, absolutely. the beginning of this sentence, please. It is about increasing the regular payments under the support scheme. Thank you. Well, I've said 5% not the 55th centile and I think that's what I meant. The purpose of it, which is perhaps more important than the precise figure, would be to seek to be generous in relation to those cases where it was necessary to take or advisable to take, as it were, a standardised figure and might reflect yet again another example of something which is going towards the aggravation, if you like, of this. And also because, certainly in the 9/11 scheme there was a big and that was a case involving a lot of very high earners sadly many of the victims were very high earners and it was	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q.	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q.	Yes, absolutely. the beginning of this sentence, please. It is about increasing the regular payments under the support scheme. Thank you. Well, I've said 5% not the 55th centile and I think that's what I meant. The purpose of it, which is perhaps more important than the precise figure, would be to seek to be generous in relation to those cases where it was necessary to take or advisable to take, as it were, a standardised figure and might reflect yet again another example of something which is going towards the aggravation, if you like, of this. And also because, certainly in the 9/11 scheme there was a big and that was a case involving a lot of very high earners sadly many of the victims were very high earners and it was thought right to take an average there more comparable	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q.	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q.	Yes, absolutely. the beginning of this sentence, please. It is about increasing the regular payments under the support scheme. Thank you. Well, I've said 5% not the 55th centile and I think that's what I meant. The purpose of it, which is perhaps more important than the precise figure, would be to seek to be generous in relation to those cases where it was necessary to take or advisable to take, as it were, a standardised figure and might reflect yet again another example of something which is going towards the aggravation, if you like, of this. And also because, certainly in the 9/11 scheme there was a big and that was a case involving a lot of very high earners sadly many of the victims were very high earners and it was thought right to take an average there more comparable to what they were earning but above that, and part of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q.	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q.	Yes, absolutely. the beginning of this sentence, please. It is about increasing the regular payments under the support scheme. Thank you. Well, I've said 5% not the 55th centile and I think that's what I meant. The purpose of it, which is perhaps more important than the precise figure, would be to seek to be generous in relation to those cases where it was necessary to take or advisable to take, as it were, a standardised figure and might reflect yet again another example of something which is going towards the aggravation, if you like, of this. And also because, certainly in the 9/11 scheme there was a big and that was a case involving a lot of very high earners sadly many of the victims were very high earners and it was thought right to take an average there more comparable to what they were earning but above that, and part of the reason for that was to provide sufficient	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Q. A. Q.	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q.	Yes, absolutely. the beginning of this sentence, please. It is about increasing the regular payments under the support scheme. Thank you. Well, I've said 5% not the 55th centile and I think that's what I meant. The purpose of it, which is perhaps more important than the precise figure, would be to seek to be generous in relation to those cases where it was necessary to take or advisable to take, as it were, a standardised figure and might reflect yet again another example of something which is going towards the aggravation, if you like, of this. And also because, certainly in the 9/11 scheme there was a big and that was a case involving a lot of very high earners sadly many of the victims were very high earners and it was thought right to take an average there more comparable to what they were earning but above that, and part of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q.	

1		not something which is for the benefit of the infected
2		person. It is a sum of money which, if awarded, would,
3		by definition, be distributed to the dependents of the
4		infected. And I didn't put this in the report but,
5		I mean, I confess I did consider that, the "lost years"
6		issue, and I felt that, in relation to a scheme, it was
7		probably a step too far and probably, in the whole,
8		unnecessary, bearing in mind it is actually quite
9		a complicated calculation and it is probably an area
10		which involves a degree of speculation about all sorts
11		of things, including someone's earnings in their later
12		years and so on, and often actually produces
13		a surprisingly small amount of money.
14		So I think for those reasons it seemed to me one of
15		those areas where not having it would reinforce the
16		benefits of speed and simplicity, because the advantages
17		of being able to claim that are probably somewhat
18		limited in the scale of what we are talking about here.
19	Q.	So those factors would militate against its inclusion in
20	ч.	the scheme, but militating in favour of its inclusion
20		might be reflecting the Common Law approach and what
22		might be awarded by the court?
22	A.	Exactly.
23 24	Q.	Next guestion. If we just go to the report, please.
	Q.	
25		Page 116, please, Lawrence, top of the page. 126
		120
1		want to sue. And so a similar approach here, perhaps
1 2		
		rather less mercenary, in my view, would be to provide
2		
2 3		rather less mercenary, in my view, would be to provide people with assurance that this a rough and ready approach was one which provided them with a sufficiency
2 3 4		rather less mercenary, in my view, would be to provide people with assurance that this a rough and ready approach was one which provided them with a sufficiency without them feeling the need to go the extra mile of
2 3 4 5 6	0.	rather less mercenary, in my view, would be to provide people with assurance that this a rough and ready approach was one which provided them with a sufficiency without them feeling the need to go the extra mile of demanding much more.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	rather less mercenary, in my view, would be to provide people with assurance that this a rough and ready approach was one which provided them with a sufficiency without them feeling the need to go the extra mile of demanding much more. And then if we go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A.	rather less mercenary, in my view, would be to provide people with assurance that this a rough and ready approach was one which provided them with a sufficiency without them feeling the need to go the extra mile of demanding much more. And then if we go Sorry, let me finish that. What that figure is, whether
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		rather less mercenary, in my view, would be to provide people with assurance that this a rough and ready approach was one which provided them with a sufficiency without them feeling the need to go the extra mile of demanding much more. And then if we go Sorry, let me finish that. What that figure is, whether it is 5% or a 55th centile or whatever, it seems to me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Α.	rather less mercenary, in my view, would be to provide people with assurance that this a rough and ready approach was one which provided them with a sufficiency without them feeling the need to go the extra mile of demanding much more. And then if we go Sorry, let me finish that. What that figure is, whether it is 5% or a 55th centile or whatever, it seems to me might be a matter for economists to debate.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		rather less mercenary, in my view, would be to provide people with assurance that this a rough and ready approach was one which provided them with a sufficiency without them feeling the need to go the extra mile of demanding much more. And then if we go Sorry, let me finish that. What that figure is, whether it is 5% or a 55th centile or whatever, it seems to me might be a matter for economists to debate. If we go to the next page, please, page 117. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Α.	rather less mercenary, in my view, would be to provide people with assurance that this a rough and ready approach was one which provided them with a sufficiency without them feeling the need to go the extra mile of demanding much more. And then if we go Sorry, let me finish that. What that figure is, whether it is 5% or a 55th centile or whatever, it seems to me might be a matter for economists to debate. If we go to the next page, please, page 117. The question is this, looking at paragraph 9.99 where you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Α.	rather less mercenary, in my view, would be to provide people with assurance that this a rough and ready approach was one which provided them with a sufficiency without them feeling the need to go the extra mile of demanding much more. And then if we go Sorry, let me finish that. What that figure is, whether it is 5% or a 55th centile or whatever, it seems to me might be a matter for economists to debate. If we go to the next page, please, page 117. The question is this, looking at paragraph 9.99 where you say in the second sentence:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Α.	rather less mercenary, in my view, would be to provide people with assurance that this a rough and ready approach was one which provided them with a sufficiency without them feeling the need to go the extra mile of demanding much more. And then if we go Sorry, let me finish that. What that figure is, whether it is 5% or a 55th centile or whatever, it seems to me might be a matter for economists to debate. If we go to the next page, please, page 117. The question is this, looking at paragraph 9.99 where you say in the second sentence: "The assessed future loss of earnings would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Α.	rather less mercenary, in my view, would be to provide people with assurance that this a rough and ready approach was one which provided them with a sufficiency without them feeling the need to go the extra mile of demanding much more. And then if we go Sorry, let me finish that. What that figure is, whether it is 5% or a 55th centile or whatever, it seems to me might be a matter for economists to debate. If we go to the next page, please, page 117. The question is this, looking at paragraph 9.99 where you say in the second sentence: "The assessed future loss of earnings would be calculated by multiplying the predicted annual loss by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Α.	rather less mercenary, in my view, would be to provide people with assurance that this a rough and ready approach was one which provided them with a sufficiency without them feeling the need to go the extra mile of demanding much more. And then if we go Sorry, let me finish that. What that figure is, whether it is 5% or a 55th centile or whatever, it seems to me might be a matter for economists to debate. If we go to the next page, please, page 117. The question is this, looking at paragraph 9.99 where you say in the second sentence: "The assessed future loss of earnings would be calculated by multiplying the predicted annual loss by the number of years the loss is expected to last
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Α.	rather less mercenary, in my view, would be to provide people with assurance that this a rough and ready approach was one which provided them with a sufficiency without them feeling the need to go the extra mile of demanding much more. And then if we go Sorry, let me finish that. What that figure is, whether it is 5% or a 55th centile or whatever, it seems to me might be a matter for economists to debate. If we go to the next page, please, page 117. The question is this, looking at paragraph 9.99 where you say in the second sentence: "The assessed future loss of earnings would be calculated by multiplying the predicted annual loss by the number of years the loss is expected to last discounted for acceleration of receipt."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Α.	rather less mercenary, in my view, would be to provide people with assurance that this a rough and ready approach was one which provided them with a sufficiency without them feeling the need to go the extra mile of demanding much more. And then if we go Sorry, let me finish that. What that figure is, whether it is 5% or a 55th centile or whatever, it seems to me might be a matter for economists to debate. If we go to the next page, please, page 117. The question is this, looking at paragraph 9.99 where you say in the second sentence: "The assessed future loss of earnings would be calculated by multiplying the predicted annual loss by the number of years the loss is expected to last discounted for acceleration of receipt." That envisages future loss of earnings paid as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q.	rather less mercenary, in my view, would be to provide people with assurance that this a rough and ready approach was one which provided them with a sufficiency without them feeling the need to go the extra mile of demanding much more. And then if we go Sorry, let me finish that. What that figure is, whether it is 5% or a 55th centile or whatever, it seems to me might be a matter for economists to debate. If we go to the next page, please, page 117. The question is this, looking at paragraph 9.99 where you say in the second sentence: "The assessed future loss of earnings would be calculated by multiplying the predicted annual loss by the number of years the loss is expected to last discounted for acceleration of receipt." That envisages future loss of earnings paid as a lump sum.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q.	rather less mercenary, in my view, would be to provide people with assurance that this a rough and ready approach was one which provided them with a sufficiency without them feeling the need to go the extra mile of demanding much more. And then if we go Sorry, let me finish that. What that figure is, whether it is 5% or a 55th centile or whatever, it seems to me might be a matter for economists to debate. If we go to the next page, please, page 117. The question is this, looking at paragraph 9.99 where you say in the second sentence: "The assessed future loss of earnings would be calculated by multiplying the predicted annual loss by the number of years the loss is expected to last discounted for acceleration of receipt." That envisages future loss of earnings paid as a lump sum. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q.	rather less mercenary, in my view, would be to provide people with assurance that this a rough and ready approach was one which provided them with a sufficiency without them feeling the need to go the extra mile of demanding much more. And then if we go Sorry, let me finish that. What that figure is, whether it is 5% or a 55th centile or whatever, it seems to me might be a matter for economists to debate. If we go to the next page, please, page 117. The question is this, looking at paragraph 9.99 where you say in the second sentence: "The assessed future loss of earnings would be calculated by multiplying the predicted annual loss by the number of years the loss is expected to last discounted for acceleration of receipt." That envisages future loss of earnings paid as a lump sum. Yes. Were you meaning to suggest that that could be the only
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q.	rather less mercenary, in my view, would be to provide people with assurance that this a rough and ready approach was one which provided them with a sufficiency without them feeling the need to go the extra mile of demanding much more. And then if we go Sorry, let me finish that. What that figure is, whether it is 5% or a 55th centile or whatever, it seems to me might be a matter for economists to debate. If we go to the next page, please, page 117. The question is this, looking at paragraph 9.99 where you say in the second sentence: "The assessed future loss of earnings would be calculated by multiplying the predicted annual loss by the number of years the loss is expected to last discounted for acceleration of receipt." That envisages future loss of earnings paid as a lump sum. Yes. Were you meaning to suggest that that could be the only way it was paid?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A.	rather less mercenary, in my view, would be to provide people with assurance that this a rough and ready approach was one which provided them with a sufficiency without them feeling the need to go the extra mile of demanding much more. And then if we go Sorry, let me finish that. What that figure is, whether it is 5% or a 55th centile or whatever, it seems to me might be a matter for economists to debate. If we go to the next page, please, page 117. The question is this, looking at paragraph 9.99 where you say in the second sentence: "The assessed future loss of earnings would be calculated by multiplying the predicted annual loss by the number of years the loss is expected to last discounted for acceleration of receipt." That envisages future loss of earnings paid as a lump sum. Yes. Were you meaning to suggest that that could be the only way it was paid? No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q.	rather less mercenary, in my view, would be to provide people with assurance that this a rough and ready approach was one which provided them with a sufficiency without them feeling the need to go the extra mile of demanding much more. And then if we go Sorry, let me finish that. What that figure is, whether it is 5% or a 55th centile or whatever, it seems to me might be a matter for economists to debate. If we go to the next page, please, page 117. The question is this, looking at paragraph 9.99 where you say in the second sentence: "The assessed future loss of earnings would be calculated by multiplying the predicted annual loss by the number of years the loss is expected to last discounted for acceleration of receipt." That envisages future loss of earnings paid as a lump sum. Yes. Were you meaning to suggest that that could be the only way it was paid?

A. But it would be -- as it happened, for reasons I have 128

(32) Pages 125 - 128

1		never quite understood actually, the practice of	1
2		awarding asking for periodical payments in personal	2
3		injury cases, it is usually about anticipated costs such	3
4		as care rather than loss of earnings, and I think the	4
5		reason for that is largely that many people and their	5
6		advisers prefer to have the compensation for loss of	6
7		earnings as a lump sum because they are then free to	7
8		invest it and use it in ways which provide more benefit	8
9		to them, because the loss of earnings aspect is that	9
10		discretionary part of life where you need the freedom of	10
11		how is it you spend your earnings. Whereas care costs,	11
12		equipment costs and the like tend to be things you know	12
13		you're going to need, therefore and you know you're	13
14		going to need them on a regular basis, so it's an aspect	14
15		of autonomy but it is a matter of choice.	15
16 17		All I'm saying here is if you are providing it as	16
17		a lump sum, then the well trodden methods of calculating	17
18 10	^	those in personal injury cases should be used.	18
19 20	Q.	Then if you have those who claim a higher amount for	19 20
20		loss perform earnings on the basis that they would indeed have received more by way of earnings than they	20
21		are able to establish that to the satisfaction of the	21
22		scheme, would you envisage if at some date in the future	23
23 24		their annual income would have reduced perhaps because	23
25		of retirement that it would be structured so that they	25
		129	
1	A.	Yes.	1
1 2	A. Q.	Yes. 344,640.	
			1 2 3
2	Q.	344,640.	2
2 3	Q. A.	344,640. It may not be that now.	2 3
2 3 4	Q. A.	344,640. It may not be that now. No, exactly. The point is it's now, I'm told, 403,990.	2 3 4
2 3 4 5	Q. A.	344,640. It may not be that now. No, exactly. The point is it's now, I'm told, 403,990. If you upgraded that further by RPI to today, it would	2 3 4 5
2 3 4 5 6	Q. A.	344,640. It may not be that now. No, exactly. The point is it's now, I'm told, 403,990. If you upgraded that further by RPI to today, it would be 441,000-odd. So that's a point I think rather than	2 3 4 5 6
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. A. Q.	344,640. It may not be that now. No, exactly. The point is it's now, I'm told, 403,990. If you upgraded that further by RPI to today, it would be 441,000-odd. So that's a point I think rather than a question.	2 3 4 5 6 7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A. Q.	344,640. It may not be that now. No, exactly. The point is it's now, I'm told, 403,990. If you upgraded that further by RPI to today, it would be 441,000-odd. So that's a point I think rather than a question. No, that would be perfectly correct, yes, but I can't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q. A.	344,640. It may not be that now. No, exactly. The point is it's now, I'm told, 403,990. If you upgraded that further by RPI to today, it would be 441,000-odd. So that's a point I think rather than a question. No, that would be perfectly correct, yes, but I can't re-write the report every week.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q. A.	344,640. It may not be that now. No, exactly. The point is it's now, I'm told, 403,990. If you upgraded that further by RPI to today, it would be 441,000-odd. So that's a point I think rather than a question. No, that would be perfectly correct, yes, but I can't re-write the report every week. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q. A.	344,640. It may not be that now. No, exactly. The point is it's now, I'm told, 403,990. If you upgraded that further by RPI to today, it would be 441,000-odd. So that's a point I think rather than a question. No, that would be perfectly correct, yes, but I can't re-write the report every week. No. The next question looks at the way in which there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. A.	344,640. It may not be that now. No, exactly. The point is it's now, I'm told, 403,990. If you upgraded that further by RPI to today, it would be 441,000-odd. So that's a point I think rather than a question. No, that would be perfectly correct, yes, but I can't re-write the report every week. No. The next question looks at the way in which there might be an assessment of the awards in relation to stigma. So the social impact award. One of the factors that you identified was the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A.	344,640. It may not be that now. No, exactly. The point is it's now, I'm told, 403,990. If you upgraded that further by RPI to today, it would be 441,000-odd. So that's a point I think rather than a question. No, that would be perfectly correct, yes, but I can't re-write the report every week. No. The next question looks at the way in which there might be an assessment of the awards in relation to stigma. So the social impact award. One of the factors that you identified was the length of time that people were subjected to stigma.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A.	344,640. It may not be that now. No, exactly. The point is it's now, I'm told, 403,990. If you upgraded that further by RPI to today, it would be 441,000-odd. So that's a point I think rather than a question. No, that would be perfectly correct, yes, but I can't re-write the report every week. No. The next question looks at the way in which there might be an assessment of the awards in relation to stigma. So the social impact award. One of the factors that you identified was the length of time that people were subjected to stigma. Might it also be relevant to look at the intensity of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A.	344,640. It may not be that now. No, exactly. The point is it's now, I'm told, 403,990. If you upgraded that further by RPI to today, it would be 441,000-odd. So that's a point I think rather than a question. No, that would be perfectly correct, yes, but I can't re-write the report every week. No. The next question looks at the way in which there might be an assessment of the awards in relation to stigma. So the social impact award. One of the factors that you identified was the length of time that people were subjected to stigma. Might it also be relevant to look at the intensity of the stigma and also the particular period of time during	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A.	344,640. It may not be that now. No, exactly. The point is it's now, I'm told, 403,990. If you upgraded that further by RPI to today, it would be 441,000-odd. So that's a point I think rather than a question. No, that would be perfectly correct, yes, but I can't re-write the report every week. No. The next question looks at the way in which there might be an assessment of the awards in relation to stigma. So the social impact award. One of the factors that you identified was the length of time that people were subjected to stigma. Might it also be relevant to look at the intensity of the stigma and also the particular period of time during which individuals experienced stigma. So the daubing of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A.	344,640. It may not be that now. No, exactly. The point is it's now, I'm told, 403,990. If you upgraded that further by RPI to today, it would be 441,000-odd. So that's a point I think rather than a question. No, that would be perfectly correct, yes, but I can't re-write the report every week. No. The next question looks at the way in which there might be an assessment of the awards in relation to stigma. So the social impact award. One of the factors that you identified was the length of time that people were subjected to stigma. Might it also be relevant to look at the intensity of the stigma and also the particular period of time during which individuals experienced stigma. So the daubing of houses in the '80s, for example, might not be reflected	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q.	344,640. It may not be that now. No, exactly. The point is it's now, I'm told, 403,990. If you upgraded that further by RPI to today, it would be 441,000-odd. So that's a point I think rather than a question. No, that would be perfectly correct, yes, but I can't re-write the report every week. No. The next question looks at the way in which there might be an assessment of the awards in relation to stigma. So the social impact award. One of the factors that you identified was the length of time that people were subjected to stigma. Might it also be relevant to look at the intensity of the stigma and also the particular period of time during which individuals experienced stigma. So the daubing of houses in the '80s, for example, might not be reflected in terms of what people have experienced in the 2010s.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A.	344,640. It may not be that now. No, exactly. The point is it's now, I'm told, 403,990. If you upgraded that further by RPI to today, it would be 441,000-odd. So that's a point I think rather than a question. No, that would be perfectly correct, yes, but I can't re-write the report every week. No. The next question looks at the way in which there might be an assessment of the awards in relation to stigma. So the social impact award. One of the factors that you identified was the length of time that people were subjected to stigma. Might it also be relevant to look at the intensity of the stigma and also the particular period of time during which individuals experienced stigma. So the daubing of houses in the '80s, for example, might not be reflected in terms of what people have experienced in the 2010s. I think that would be difficult. Part of the reason	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q.	344,640. It may not be that now. No, exactly. The point is it's now, I'm told, 403,990. If you upgraded that further by RPI to today, it would be 441,000-odd. So that's a point I think rather than a question. No, that would be perfectly correct, yes, but I can't re-write the report every week. No. The next question looks at the way in which there might be an assessment of the awards in relation to stigma. So the social impact award. One of the factors that you identified was the length of time that people were subjected to stigma. Might it also be relevant to look at the intensity of the stigma and also the particular period of time during which individuals experienced stigma. So the daubing of houses in the '80s, for example, might not be reflected in terms of what people have experienced in the 2010s. I think that would be difficult. Part of the reason I have already mentioned, a stigma is inflicted and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q.	344,640. It may not be that now. No, exactly. The point is it's now, I'm told, 403,990. If you upgraded that further by RPI to today, it would be 441,000-odd. So that's a point I think rather than a question. No, that would be perfectly correct, yes, but I can't re-write the report every week. No. The next question looks at the way in which there might be an assessment of the awards in relation to stigma. So the social impact award. One of the factors that you identified was the length of time that people were subjected to stigma. Might it also be relevant to look at the intensity of the stigma and also the particular period of time during which individuals experienced stigma. So the daubing of houses in the '80s, for example, might not be reflected in terms of what people have experienced in the 2010s. I think that would be difficult. Part of the reason I have already mentioned, a stigma is inflicted and suffered, if you like, in very different ways by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q.	344,640. It may not be that now. No, exactly. The point is it's now, I'm told, 403,990. If you upgraded that further by RPI to today, it would be 441,000-odd. So that's a point I think rather than a question. No, that would be perfectly correct, yes, but I can't re-write the report every week. No. The next question looks at the way in which there might be an assessment of the awards in relation to stigma. So the social impact award. One of the factors that you identified was the length of time that people were subjected to stigma. Might it also be relevant to look at the intensity of the stigma and also the particular period of time during which individuals experienced stigma. So the daubing of houses in the '80s, for example, might not be reflected in terms of what people have experienced in the 2010s. I think that would be difficult. Part of the reason I have already mentioned, a stigma is inflicted and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

1		would then transfer back, as it were, to the regular
2		support payments?
3	Α.	Well, obviously if that's the calculation would be
4		done as you say, it should be responsive to predicted
5		either increases or decreases in salary at today's
6		values, and but that figure, the loss of earnings
7		figure, essentially would have a whether you were
8		going to go down the periodical payments route or the
9		lump sum route, you would work out on an annual or
10		periodic basis what the loss was for each year, for
11		instance, you would then deduct from that, at that
12		stage, the support payment, which might either be the
13		same or less than. If it was more than, then the award
14		is nil. You would then take that as a figure to which
15		you would apply the discount for acceleration of receipt
16		if you were providing a lump sum, or if it's a periodic
17		payment it would be the balance that you would be
18		awarding. I don't know how clear that is, but I think
19		the situation.
20	Q.	The next point is in fact not a question but a point, so
21		I will just make the point, if I may, as I have been
22		asked to.
23		Your report I don't think we need look it up
24		it's paragraph 9.28, page 101, gives the maximum general
25		damages award as currently being a particular figure.
20		130
1		awful things have happened to people, but there are also
		awful things have happened to people, but there are also cases where those things haven't happened, where.
2		cases where those things haven't happened, where,
		cases where those things haven't happened, where, firstly, they may fear them happening and, secondly,
2 3 4		cases where those things haven't happened, where, firstly, they may fear them happening and, secondly, where their experience of stigma is very deep indeed.
2 3 4 5		cases where those things haven't happened, where, firstly, they may fear them happening and, secondly, where their experience of stigma is very deep indeed. Some people, on the other hand, may be more resilient to
2 3 4 5 6		cases where those things haven't happened, where, firstly, they may fear them happening and, secondly, where their experience of stigma is very deep indeed. Some people, on the other hand, may be more resilient to that sort of thing than others they shouldn't be
2 3 4 5 6 7		cases where those things haven't happened, where, firstly, they may fear them happening and, secondly, where their experience of stigma is very deep indeed. Some people, on the other hand, may be more resilient to that sort of thing than others they shouldn't be penalised for that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		cases where those things haven't happened, where, firstly, they may fear them happening and, secondly, where their experience of stigma is very deep indeed. Some people, on the other hand, may be more resilient to that sort of thing than others they shouldn't be penalised for that. It is an area, if I may say so, like bereavement.
2 3 5 6 7 8 9		cases where those things haven't happened, where, firstly, they may fear them happening and, secondly, where their experience of stigma is very deep indeed. Some people, on the other hand, may be more resilient to that sort of thing than others they shouldn't be penalised for that. It is an area, if I may say so, like bereavement. To distinguish between the various types of stigma
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		cases where those things haven't happened, where, firstly, they may fear them happening and, secondly, where their experience of stigma is very deep indeed. Some people, on the other hand, may be more resilient to that sort of thing than others they shouldn't be penalised for that. It is an area, if I may say so, like bereavement. To distinguish between the various types of stigma people had would be highly distressing, in any event
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		cases where those things haven't happened, where, firstly, they may fear them happening and, secondly, where their experience of stigma is very deep indeed. Some people, on the other hand, may be more resilient to that sort of thing than others they shouldn't be penalised for that. It is an area, if I may say so, like bereavement. To distinguish between the various types of stigma people had would be highly distressing, in any event come to a figure which everyone would think of as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		cases where those things haven't happened, where, firstly, they may fear them happening and, secondly, where their experience of stigma is very deep indeed. Some people, on the other hand, may be more resilient to that sort of thing than others they shouldn't be penalised for that. It is an area, if I may say so, like bereavement. To distinguish between the various types of stigma people had would be highly distressing, in any event come to a figure which everyone would think of as artificial, and that's why I rather thought it would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		cases where those things haven't happened, where, firstly, they may fear them happening and, secondly, where their experience of stigma is very deep indeed. Some people, on the other hand, may be more resilient to that sort of thing than others they shouldn't be penalised for that. It is an area, if I may say so, like bereavement. To distinguish between the various types of stigma people had would be highly distressing, in any event come to a figure which everyone would think of as artificial, and that's why I rather thought it would be better it is a strong case here for having
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		cases where those things haven't happened, where, firstly, they may fear them happening and, secondly, where their experience of stigma is very deep indeed. Some people, on the other hand, may be more resilient to that sort of thing than others they shouldn't be penalised for that. It is an area, if I may say so, like bereavement. To distinguish between the various types of stigma people had would be highly distressing, in any event come to a figure which everyone would think of as artificial, and that's why I rather thought it would be better it is a strong case here for having a standardised figure of albeit reflected by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		cases where those things haven't happened, where, firstly, they may fear them happening and, secondly, where their experience of stigma is very deep indeed. Some people, on the other hand, may be more resilient to that sort of thing than others they shouldn't be penalised for that. It is an area, if I may say so, like bereavement. To distinguish between the various types of stigma people had would be highly distressing, in any event come to a figure which everyone would think of as artificial, and that's why I rather thought it would be better it is a strong case here for having a standardised figure of albeit reflected by the length of time. I appreciate that means that some
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		cases where those things haven't happened, where, firstly, they may fear them happening and, secondly, where their experience of stigma is very deep indeed. Some people, on the other hand, may be more resilient to that sort of thing than others they shouldn't be penalised for that. It is an area, if I may say so, like bereavement. To distinguish between the various types of stigma people had would be highly distressing, in any event come to a figure which everyone would think of as artificial, and that's why I rather thought it would be better it is a strong case here for having a standardised figure of albeit reflected by the length of time. I appreciate that means that some people may feel the sort of awful situation you
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		cases where those things haven't happened, where, firstly, they may fear them happening and, secondly, where their experience of stigma is very deep indeed. Some people, on the other hand, may be more resilient to that sort of thing than others they shouldn't be penalised for that. It is an area, if I may say so, like bereavement. To distinguish between the various types of stigma people had would be highly distressing, in any event come to a figure which everyone would think of as artificial, and that's why I rather thought it would be better it is a strong case here for having a standardised figure of albeit reflected by the length of time. I appreciate that means that some people may feel the sort of awful situation you described hasn't been taken into account, but I just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		cases where those things haven't happened, where, firstly, they may fear them happening and, secondly, where their experience of stigma is very deep indeed. Some people, on the other hand, may be more resilient to that sort of thing than others they shouldn't be penalised for that. It is an area, if I may say so, like bereavement. To distinguish between the various types of stigma people had would be highly distressing, in any event come to a figure which everyone would think of as artificial, and that's why I rather thought it would be better it is a strong case here for having a standardised figure of albeit reflected by the length of time. I appreciate that means that some people may feel the sort of awful situation you described hasn't been taken into account, but I just feel that's the justice of it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		cases where those things haven't happened, where, firstly, they may fear them happening and, secondly, where their experience of stigma is very deep indeed. Some people, on the other hand, may be more resilient to that sort of thing than others they shouldn't be penalised for that. It is an area, if I may say so, like bereavement. To distinguish between the various types of stigma people had would be highly distressing, in any event come to a figure which everyone would think of as artificial, and that's why I rather thought it would be better it is a strong case here for having a standardised figure of albeit reflected by the length of time. I appreciate that means that some people may feel the sort of awful situation you described hasn't been taken into account, but I just feel that's the justice of it. I think this isn't something that a court would ever
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		cases where those things haven't happened, where, firstly, they may fear them happening and, secondly, where their experience of stigma is very deep indeed. Some people, on the other hand, may be more resilient to that sort of thing than others they shouldn't be penalised for that. It is an area, if I may say so, like bereavement. To distinguish between the various types of stigma people had would be highly distressing, in any event come to a figure which everyone would think of as artificial, and that's why I rather thought it would be better it is a strong case here for having a standardised figure of albeit reflected by the length of time. I appreciate that means that some people may feel the sort of awful situation you described hasn't been taken into account, but I just feel that's the justice of it. I think this isn't something that a court would ever have to grapple with no doubt judges will be grateful
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		cases where those things haven't happened, where, firstly, they may fear them happening and, secondly, where their experience of stigma is very deep indeed. Some people, on the other hand, may be more resilient to that sort of thing than others they shouldn't be penalised for that. It is an area, if I may say so, like bereavement. To distinguish between the various types of stigma people had would be highly distressing, in any event come to a figure which everyone would think of as artificial, and that's why I rather thought it would be better it is a strong case here for having a standardised figure of albeit reflected by the length of time. I appreciate that means that some people may feel the sort of awful situation you described hasn't been taken into account, but I just feel that's the justice of it. I think this isn't something that a court would ever have to grapple with no doubt judges will be grateful for that but there are potential comparators because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		cases where those things haven't happened, where, firstly, they may fear them happening and, secondly, where their experience of stigma is very deep indeed. Some people, on the other hand, may be more resilient to that sort of thing than others they shouldn't be penalised for that. It is an area, if I may say so, like bereavement. To distinguish between the various types of stigma people had would be highly distressing, in any event come to a figure which everyone would think of as artificial, and that's why I rather thought it would be better it is a strong case here for having a standardised figure of albeit reflected by the length of time. I appreciate that means that some people may feel the sort of awful situation you described hasn't been taken into account, but I just feel that's the justice of it. I think this isn't something that a court would ever have to grapple with no doubt judges will be grateful for that but there are potential comparators because damages are awarded for unlawful discrimination, for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		cases where those things haven't happened, where, firstly, they may fear them happening and, secondly, where their experience of stigma is very deep indeed. Some people, on the other hand, may be more resilient to that sort of thing than others they shouldn't be penalised for that. It is an area, if I may say so, like bereavement. To distinguish between the various types of stigma people had would be highly distressing, in any event come to a figure which everyone would think of as artificial, and that's why I rather thought it would be better it is a strong case here for having a standardised figure of albeit reflected by the length of time. I appreciate that means that some people may feel the sort of awful situation you described hasn't been taken into account, but I just feel that's the justice of it. I think this isn't something that a court would ever have to grapple with no doubt judges will be grateful for that but there are potential comparators because damages are awarded for unlawful discrimination, for instance. But I think this is an area where, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		cases where those things haven't happened, where, firstly, they may fear them happening and, secondly, where their experience of stigma is very deep indeed. Some people, on the other hand, may be more resilient to that sort of thing than others they shouldn't be penalised for that. It is an area, if I may say so, like bereavement. To distinguish between the various types of stigma people had would be highly distressing, in any event come to a figure which everyone would think of as artificial, and that's why I rather thought it would be better it is a strong case here for having a standardised figure of albeit reflected by the length of time. I appreciate that means that some people may feel the sort of awful situation you described hasn't been taken into account, but I just feel that's the justice of it. I think this isn't something that a court would ever have to grapple with no doubt judges will be grateful for that but there are potential comparators because damages are awarded for unlawful discrimination, for instance. But I think this is an area where, in a sense, the community as a whole might feel, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		cases where those things haven't happened, where, firstly, they may fear them happening and, secondly, where their experience of stigma is very deep indeed. Some people, on the other hand, may be more resilient to that sort of thing than others they shouldn't be penalised for that. It is an area, if I may say so, like bereavement. To distinguish between the various types of stigma people had would be highly distressing, in any event come to a figure which everyone would think of as artificial, and that's why I rather thought it would be better it is a strong case here for having a standardised figure of albeit reflected by the length of time. I appreciate that means that some people may feel the sort of awful situation you described hasn't been taken into account, but I just feel that's the justice of it. I think this isn't something that a court would ever have to grapple with no doubt judges will be grateful for that but there are potential comparators because damages are awarded for unlawful discrimination, for instance. But I think this is an area where, in

(33) Pages 129 - 132

	experience, admittedly covered a little, somewhat, by	1		compensate might be the cost of private precautionary
	the length of time they suffered the stigma. That's my	2		regular six-month liver scanning?
	view.	3	Α.	
Q.	Loss of chance to have children, which you've identified	4		scanning, if it is clinically necessary, was not being
	as something that could be part of the social impact	5		provided for on the NHS.
	award, is that a loss which could, in principle, could	6		Unfortunately that is a narrative the Inquiry has heard.
	be claimed by an estate?	7	Α.	Well, maybe the Inquiry might wish to make
Α.	Yes.	8		an observation about that. Because I have said
Q.	And the evidential basis then would really depend upon	9		I mean, clearly, clinically necessary treatment and
	what information was available about the family	10		screening should be made available free of charge to
	circumstances?	11		this group of people. Then if that's not done
A.	Yes.	12		generally, then it should be done as part of the
Q.	The next question reflects the accounts of individuals.	13	~	compensation scheme.
	Diagnosed with hepatitis C, said to have cleared it or	14	Q.	•
	not to have much to worry about, their liver function	15		have a family, families had to go through IVF and incur
	tested normal, but then they are in due course	16		the costs of IVF privately, is that something which
	discovered to have liver cancer. The first question	17		should be recognised and compensated for under the
	arising out of that: does that not support the right of	18		scheme?
	the individual to choose a provisional award?	19	Α.	•
Α.	It does. I think we discussed the pros and cons of	20	~	being a financial loss, yes.
~	that.	21	Q.	In relation to again the stigma element of the social
Q.	Yes. But the second is this, would it suggest that the	22		impact award, would a factor that might fall to be
	amount and you suggested a figure of 10,000 per annum	23		considered as part of that, a recognition of stigma
	that would reflect a range of different costs but	24		surrounding childlessness where that has resulted from
	that an express element of those costs the scheme could 133	25		infection? 134
A.	Well, I think the effect of childlessness would be	1		a multitude of cases. The one thing I think people
л.	reflected by whatever the award might be for the loss of	2		probably ought to understand, it is quite impossible,
	-			
0	opportunity to have children.	3		even if I had had two years to do this, or, if I may say
Q.	opportunity to have children. Then the next is again a reflection of an experience of	3 4		even if I had had two years to do this, or, if I may say so, as long as Sir Brian has to do, to describe every
Q.	opportunity to have children. Then the next is again a reflection of an experience of an individual but not a unique reflection, so someone	3 4 5		even if I had had two years to do this, or, if I may say so, as long as Sir Brian has to do, to describe every single circumstance that might cover compensation or
Q.	opportunity to have children. Then the next is again a reflection of an experience of an individual but not a unique reflection, so someone who is themselves infected and who lost siblings to	3 4 5 6		even if I had had two years to do this, or, if I may say so, as long as Sir Brian has to do, to describe every single circumstance that might cover compensation or might be covered by compensation. One has to have, in
Q.	opportunity to have children. Then the next is again a reflection of an experience of an individual but not a unique reflection, so someone who is themselves infected and who lost siblings to infection. The question and I'm going to read it out	3 4 5 6 7		even if I had had two years to do this, or, if I may say so, as long as Sir Brian has to do, to describe every single circumstance that might cover compensation or might be covered by compensation. One has to have, in any event, broad categories. The choice you then have
Q.	opportunity to have children. Then the next is again a reflection of an experience of an individual but not a unique reflection, so someone who is themselves infected and who lost siblings to infection. The question and I'm going to read it out as given to me because I think it is powerful is	3 4 5 6 7 8		even if I had had two years to do this, or, if I may say so, as long as Sir Brian has to do, to describe every single circumstance that might cover compensation or might be covered by compensation. One has to have, in any event, broad categories. The choice you then have to make is whether you have a bespoke assessment in
Q.	opportunity to have children. Then the next is again a reflection of an experience of an individual but not a unique reflection, so someone who is themselves infected and who lost siblings to infection. The question and I'm going to read it out as given to me because I think it is powerful is this: why is there no category for as an adult watching	3 4 5 6 7 8 9		even if I had had two years to do this, or, if I may say so, as long as Sir Brian has to do, to describe every single circumstance that might cover compensation or might be covered by compensation. One has to have, in any event, broad categories. The choice you then have to make is whether you have a bespoke assessment in every single case or you put these together to provide
Q.	opportunity to have children. Then the next is again a reflection of an experience of an individual but not a unique reflection, so someone who is themselves infected and who lost siblings to infection. The question and I'm going to read it out as given to me because I think it is powerful is this: why is there no category for as an adult watching your adult brother die horribly of the same infections	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		even if I had had two years to do this, or, if I may say so, as long as Sir Brian has to do, to describe every single circumstance that might cover compensation or might be covered by compensation. One has to have, in any event, broad categories. The choice you then have to make is whether you have a bespoke assessment in every single case or you put these together to provide some sort of broad justice and but certainly the
Q.	opportunity to have children. Then the next is again a reflection of an experience of an individual but not a unique reflection, so someone who is themselves infected and who lost siblings to infection. The question and I'm going to read it out as given to me because I think it is powerful is this: why is there no category for as an adult watching your adult brother die horribly of the same infections you have and having to wonder why him and not me and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		even if I had had two years to do this, or, if I may say so, as long as Sir Brian has to do, to describe every single circumstance that might cover compensation or might be covered by compensation. One has to have, in any event, broad categories. The choice you then have to make is whether you have a bespoke assessment in every single case or you put these together to provide some sort of broad justice and but certainly the example you provide, I would expect that suffering to be
Q.	opportunity to have children. Then the next is again a reflection of an experience of an individual but not a unique reflection, so someone who is themselves infected and who lost siblings to infection. The question and I'm going to read it out as given to me because I think it is powerful is this: why is there no category for as an adult watching your adult brother die horribly of the same infections you have and having to wonder why him and not me and when will it be me and I don't want to die like that?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		even if I had had two years to do this, or, if I may say so, as long as Sir Brian has to do, to describe every single circumstance that might cover compensation or might be covered by compensation. One has to have, in any event, broad categories. The choice you then have to make is whether you have a bespoke assessment in every single case or you put these together to provide some sort of broad justice and but certainly the example you provide, I would expect that suffering to be recognised as being the suffering of someone who is
Q.	opportunity to have children. Then the next is again a reflection of an experience of an individual but not a unique reflection, so someone who is themselves infected and who lost siblings to infection. The question and I'm going to read it out as given to me because I think it is powerful is this: why is there no category for as an adult watching your adult brother die horribly of the same infections you have and having to wonder why him and not me and when will it be me and I don't want to die like that? That's the first question. And the follow-up is: should	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	0.	even if I had had two years to do this, or, if I may say so, as long as Sir Brian has to do, to describe every single circumstance that might cover compensation or might be covered by compensation. One has to have, in any event, broad categories. The choice you then have to make is whether you have a bespoke assessment in every single case or you put these together to provide some sort of broad justice and but certainly the example you provide, I would expect that suffering to be recognised as being the suffering of someone who is an eligible affected person, probably in category (f).
Q.	opportunity to have children. Then the next is again a reflection of an experience of an individual but not a unique reflection, so someone who is themselves infected and who lost siblings to infection. The question and I'm going to read it out as given to me because I think it is powerful is this: why is there no category for as an adult watching your adult brother die horribly of the same infections you have and having to wonder why him and not me and when will it be me and I don't want to die like that? That's the first question. And the follow-up is: should that be a specific factor that could be taken into	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	even if I had had two years to do this, or, if I may say so, as long as Sir Brian has to do, to describe every single circumstance that might cover compensation or might be covered by compensation. One has to have, in any event, broad categories. The choice you then have to make is whether you have a bespoke assessment in every single case or you put these together to provide some sort of broad justice and but certainly the example you provide, I would expect that suffering to be recognised as being the suffering of someone who is an eligible affected person, probably in category (f). In the case of two parents who have lost children, would
_	opportunity to have children. Then the next is again a reflection of an experience of an individual but not a unique reflection, so someone who is themselves infected and who lost siblings to infection. The question and I'm going to read it out as given to me because I think it is powerful is this: why is there no category for as an adult watching your adult brother die horribly of the same infections you have and having to wonder why him and not me and when will it be me and I don't want to die like that? That's the first question. And the follow-up is: should that be a specific factor that could be taken into account in the injury award for an infected person?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	even if I had had two years to do this, or, if I may say so, as long as Sir Brian has to do, to describe every single circumstance that might cover compensation or might be covered by compensation. One has to have, in any event, broad categories. The choice you then have to make is whether you have a bespoke assessment in every single case or you put these together to provide some sort of broad justice and but certainly the example you provide, I would expect that suffering to be recognised as being the suffering of someone who is an eligible affected person, probably in category (f). In the case of two parents who have lost children, would each be able to claim the full amount of the appropriate
Q.	opportunity to have children. Then the next is again a reflection of an experience of an individual but not a unique reflection, so someone who is themselves infected and who lost siblings to infection. The question and I'm going to read it out as given to me because I think it is powerful is this: why is there no category for as an adult watching your adult brother die horribly of the same infections you have and having to wonder why him and not me and when will it be me and I don't want to die like that? That's the first question. And the follow-up is: should that be a specific factor that could be taken into account in the injury award for an infected person? Well, what you describe there is the effect of suffering	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	even if I had had two years to do this, or, if I may say so, as long as Sir Brian has to do, to describe every single circumstance that might cover compensation or might be covered by compensation. One has to have, in any event, broad categories. The choice you then have to make is whether you have a bespoke assessment in every single case or you put these together to provide some sort of broad justice and but certainly the example you provide, I would expect that suffering to be recognised as being the suffering of someone who is an eligible affected person, probably in category (f). In the case of two parents who have lost children, would each be able to claim the full amount of the appropriate award? If we leave aside bereavement would be
_	opportunity to have children. Then the next is again a reflection of an experience of an individual but not a unique reflection, so someone who is themselves infected and who lost siblings to infection. The question and I'm going to read it out as given to me because I think it is powerful is this: why is there no category for as an adult watching your adult brother die horribly of the same infections you have and having to wonder why him and not me and when will it be me and I don't want to die like that? That's the first question. And the follow-up is: should that be a specific factor that could be taken into account in the injury award for an infected person? Well, what you describe there is the effect of suffering of an infected person is having on another member of the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		even if I had had two years to do this, or, if I may say so, as long as Sir Brian has to do, to describe every single circumstance that might cover compensation or might be covered by compensation. One has to have, in any event, broad categories. The choice you then have to make is whether you have a bespoke assessment in every single case or you put these together to provide some sort of broad justice and but certainly the example you provide, I would expect that suffering to be recognised as being the suffering of someone who is an eligible affected person, probably in category (f). In the case of two parents who have lost children, would each be able to claim the full amount of the appropriate award? If we leave aside bereavement would be a single award.
_	opportunity to have children. Then the next is again a reflection of an experience of an individual but not a unique reflection, so someone who is themselves infected and who lost siblings to infection. The question and I'm going to read it out as given to me because I think it is powerful is this: why is there no category for as an adult watching your adult brother die horribly of the same infections you have and having to wonder why him and not me and when will it be me and I don't want to die like that? That's the first question. And the follow-up is: should that be a specific factor that could be taken into account in the injury award for an infected person? Well, what you describe there is the effect of suffering of an infected person is having on another member of the family, a sibling, and they are affected by that and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A.	even if I had had two years to do this, or, if I may say so, as long as Sir Brian has to do, to describe every single circumstance that might cover compensation or might be covered by compensation. One has to have, in any event, broad categories. The choice you then have to make is whether you have a bespoke assessment in every single case or you put these together to provide some sort of broad justice and but certainly the example you provide, I would expect that suffering to be recognised as being the suffering of someone who is an eligible affected person, probably in category (f). In the case of two parents who have lost children, would each be able to claim the full amount of the appropriate award? If we leave aside bereavement would be a single award. The bereavement would be a single award. The Fatal
_	opportunity to have children. Then the next is again a reflection of an experience of an individual but not a unique reflection, so someone who is themselves infected and who lost siblings to infection. The question and I'm going to read it out as given to me because I think it is powerful is this: why is there no category for as an adult watching your adult brother die horribly of the same infections you have and having to wonder why him and not me and when will it be me and I don't want to die like that? That's the first question. And the follow-up is: should that be a specific factor that could be taken into account in the injury award for an infected person? Well, what you describe there is the effect of suffering of an infected person is having on another member of the family, a sibling, and they are affected by that and I would have thought that that would they may well	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		even if I had had two years to do this, or, if I may say so, as long as Sir Brian has to do, to describe every single circumstance that might cover compensation or might be covered by compensation. One has to have, in any event, broad categories. The choice you then have to make is whether you have a bespoke assessment in every single case or you put these together to provide some sort of broad justice and but certainly the example you provide, I would expect that suffering to be recognised as being the suffering of someone who is an eligible affected person, probably in category (f). In the case of two parents who have lost children, would each be able to claim the full amount of the appropriate award? If we leave aside bereavement would be a single award. The bereavement would be a single award. The Fatal Accidents Act equivalent would be one award which would
_	opportunity to have children. Then the next is again a reflection of an experience of an individual but not a unique reflection, so someone who is themselves infected and who lost siblings to infection. The question and I'm going to read it out as given to me because I think it is powerful is this: why is there no category for as an adult watching your adult brother die horribly of the same infections you have and having to wonder why him and not me and when will it be me and I don't want to die like that? That's the first question. And the follow-up is: should that be a specific factor that could be taken into account in the injury award for an infected person? Well, what you describe there is the effect of suffering of an infected person is having on another member of the family, a sibling, and they are affected by that and I would have thought that that would they may well have an entitlement in relation to their own infection,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		even if I had had two years to do this, or, if I may say so, as long as Sir Brian has to do, to describe every single circumstance that might cover compensation or might be covered by compensation. One has to have, in any event, broad categories. The choice you then have to make is whether you have a bespoke assessment in every single case or you put these together to provide some sort of broad justice and but certainly the example you provide, I would expect that suffering to be recognised as being the suffering of someone who is an eligible affected person, probably in category (f). In the case of two parents who have lost children, would each be able to claim the full amount of the appropriate award? If we leave aside bereavement would be a single award. The bereavement would be a single award. The Fatal Accidents Act equivalent would be one award which would be distributed to dependents in accordance with
A.	opportunity to have children. Then the next is again a reflection of an experience of an individual but not a unique reflection, so someone who is themselves infected and who lost siblings to infection. The question and I'm going to read it out as given to me because I think it is powerful is this: why is there no category for as an adult watching your adult brother die horribly of the same infections you have and having to wonder why him and not me and when will it be me and I don't want to die like that? That's the first question. And the follow-up is: should that be a specific factor that could be taken into account in the injury award for an infected person? Well, what you describe there is the effect of suffering of an infected person is having on another member of the family, a sibling, and they are affected by that and I would have thought that that would they may well have an entitlement in relation to their own infection, they are also an affected person, so that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		even if I had had two years to do this, or, if I may say so, as long as Sir Brian has to do, to describe every single circumstance that might cover compensation or might be covered by compensation. One has to have, in any event, broad categories. The choice you then have to make is whether you have a bespoke assessment in every single case or you put these together to provide some sort of broad justice and but certainly the example you provide, I would expect that suffering to be recognised as being the suffering of someone who is an eligible affected person, probably in category (f). In the case of two parents who have lost children, would each be able to claim the full amount of the appropriate award? If we leave aside bereavement would be a single award. The bereavement would be a single award. The Fatal Accidents Act equivalent would be one award which would be distributed to dependents in accordance with a process, which can lead to dispute. But there is one
A. Q.	opportunity to have children. Then the next is again a reflection of an experience of an individual but not a unique reflection, so someone who is themselves infected and who lost siblings to infection. The question and I'm going to read it out as given to me because I think it is powerful is this: why is there no category for as an adult watching your adult brother die horribly of the same infections you have and having to wonder why him and not me and when will it be me and I don't want to die like that? That's the first question. And the follow-up is: should that be a specific factor that could be taken into account in the injury award for an infected person? Well, what you describe there is the effect of suffering of an infected person is having on another member of the family, a sibling, and they are affected by that and I would have thought that that would they may well have an entitlement in relation to their own infection, they are also an affected person, so that This is in adulthood	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		even if I had had two years to do this, or, if I may say so, as long as Sir Brian has to do, to describe every single circumstance that might cover compensation or might be covered by compensation. One has to have, in any event, broad categories. The choice you then have to make is whether you have a bespoke assessment in every single case or you put these together to provide some sort of broad justice and but certainly the example you provide, I would expect that suffering to be recognised as being the suffering of someone who is an eligible affected person, probably in category (f). In the case of two parents who have lost children, would each be able to claim the full amount of the appropriate award? If we leave aside bereavement would be a single award. The bereavement would be a single award. The Fatal Accidents Act equivalent would be one award which would be distributed to dependents in accordance with a process, which can lead to dispute. But there is one award arising out of the death of the individual. How
A.	opportunity to have children. Then the next is again a reflection of an experience of an individual but not a unique reflection, so someone who is themselves infected and who lost siblings to infection. The question and I'm going to read it out as given to me because I think it is powerful is this: why is there no category for as an adult watching your adult brother die horribly of the same infections you have and having to wonder why him and not me and when will it be me and I don't want to die like that? That's the first question. And the follow-up is: should that be a specific factor that could be taken into account in the injury award for an infected person? Well, what you describe there is the effect of suffering of an infected person is having on another member of the family, a sibling, and they are affected by that and I would have thought that that would they may well have an entitlement in relation to their own infection, they are also an affected person, so that This is in adulthood It could still come within (f). I mean, subject to the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		even if I had had two years to do this, or, if I may say so, as long as Sir Brian has to do, to describe every single circumstance that might cover compensation or might be covered by compensation. One has to have, in any event, broad categories. The choice you then have to make is whether you have a bespoke assessment in every single case or you put these together to provide some sort of broad justice and but certainly the example you provide, I would expect that suffering to be recognised as being the suffering of someone who is an eligible affected person, probably in category (f). In the case of two parents who have lost children, would each be able to claim the full amount of the appropriate award? If we leave aside bereavement would be a single award. The bereavement would be a single award. The Fatal Accidents Act equivalent would be one award which would be distributed to dependents in accordance with a process, which can lead to dispute. But there is one
A. Q.	opportunity to have children. Then the next is again a reflection of an experience of an individual but not a unique reflection, so someone who is themselves infected and who lost siblings to infection. The question and I'm going to read it out as given to me because I think it is powerful is this: why is there no category for as an adult watching your adult brother die horribly of the same infections you have and having to wonder why him and not me and when will it be me and I don't want to die like that? That's the first question. And the follow-up is: should that be a specific factor that could be taken into account in the injury award for an infected person? Well, what you describe there is the effect of suffering of an infected person is having on another member of the family, a sibling, and they are affected by that and I would have thought that that would they may well have an entitlement in relation to their own infection, they are also an affected person, so that This is in adulthood	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		even if I had had two years to do this, or, if I may say so, as long as Sir Brian has to do, to describe every single circumstance that might cover compensation or might be covered by compensation. One has to have, in any event, broad categories. The choice you then have to make is whether you have a bespoke assessment in every single case or you put these together to provide some sort of broad justice and but certainly the example you provide, I would expect that suffering to be recognised as being the suffering of someone who is an eligible affected person, probably in category (f). In the case of two parents who have lost children, would each be able to claim the full amount of the appropriate award? If we leave aside bereavement would be a single award. The bereavement would be a single award. The Fatal Accidents Act equivalent would be one award which would be distributed to dependents in accordance with a process, which can lead to dispute. But there is one award arising out of the death of the individual. How the proceeds of that award are divided then becomes
A. Q.	opportunity to have children. Then the next is again a reflection of an experience of an individual but not a unique reflection, so someone who is themselves infected and who lost siblings to infection. The question and I'm going to read it out as given to me because I think it is powerful is this: why is there no category for as an adult watching your adult brother die horribly of the same infections you have and having to wonder why him and not me and when will it be me and I don't want to die like that? That's the first question. And the follow-up is: should that be a specific factor that could be taken into account in the injury award for an infected person? Well, what you describe there is the effect of suffering of an infected person is having on another member of the family, a sibling, and they are affected by that and I would have thought that that would they may well have an entitlement in relation to their own infection, they are also an affected person, so that This is in adulthood It could still come within (f). I mean, subject to the evidence and fulfilling those requirements. But the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		even if I had had two years to do this, or, if I may say so, as long as Sir Brian has to do, to describe every single circumstance that might cover compensation or might be covered by compensation. One has to have, in any event, broad categories. The choice you then have to make is whether you have a bespoke assessment in every single case or you put these together to provide some sort of broad justice and but certainly the example you provide, I would expect that suffering to be recognised as being the suffering of someone who is an eligible affected person, probably in category (f). In the case of two parents who have lost children, would each be able to claim the full amount of the appropriate award? If we leave aside bereavement would be a single award. The bereavement would be a single award. The Fatal Accidents Act equivalent would be one award which would be distributed to dependents in accordance with a process, which can lead to dispute. But there is one award arising out of the death of the individual. How the proceeds of that award are divided then becomes a matter either of the Fatal Accidents Act or the

(34) Pages 133 - 136

1	Q.	But would it be right to understand that each parent	1		and there may be other family members
2		might be able to make a claim as an affected person for	2	Α.	Yes.
3		the injury, social stigma and autonomy awards?	3	Q.	who might also have a claim or where there may be
4	A.	Yes, exactly.	4		questions of allocation in terms of a dependency or
5	Q.	How should the scheme deal with the situation where	5	Α.	Yes, it's best to deal with them all at once, so far as
6		parents have divorced and may no longer be in contact	6	~	is possible.
7		and there may be a degree of acrimony perhaps because of	7	Q.	And important for the scheme trying to be proactive in
8		the appalling experiences that they have suffered?	8		then that regard?
9	Α.	Are we talking about a living infected person or	9	Α.	Yes. And I think it would be very important, wouldn't
10	~	a deceased infected person?	10		it, for when someone comes forward with that sort of
11	Q.	I'm afraid I was asked the question in those general	11		claim that every step is taken to ensure so far as
12		terms.	12		possible that all potentially interested people are
13	А.	Where they have their own potential claims as	13		contacted so that there is a full set of information
14 15		an affected person for whatever time they were in	14 15		and, you know, one would expect whatever the
		contact with their child, they have a potential claim as	15		circumstances, for instance, of a separation that
16 17		bereaved parents, for instance. And but again, and	10		a separated spouse would draw attention to the fact that
18		it's unhappily not uncommon in the personal injury world	18	0	there is separate another spouse in the background.
10 19		where parents have separated, there can be a bit of a dispute about who gets what. But that has to be	10	Q.	Next question relates again to hepatitis B but in
20		sorted out and there is a limit I think to how far the	20		a slightly different way. A person who has contracted hepatitis B from blood products or blood, not in the
20		scheme can intervene in adjudicating on what are in	20		serious category that you've described but someone who
21		effect matrimonial or other family disputes.	21		was not informed about the risks of transfusion or
22	Q.	That would militate in favour of I think the point we	22		products, would they not should they not qualify at
23	α.	touched on earlier, which is where there is a scheme	23		least for the loss of autonomy award if nothing else?
24 25		arising out of sorry, where there is an application	24	A.	I have a little difficulty with that on the basis that
20		137	20	π.	138
4		Limpging quark single percent who received bleed or	4		amall as for them it is a notantially more problematic
1 2		I imagine every single person who received blood or blood products, whether infected or not, has been	1 2		small, so for them it is a potentially more problematic issue because they have a unique experience of risk.
2		deprived of that degree of autonomy because they were	2		I'm asked to suggest to you that that, combined with
4		not warned of the risks. I'm not sure that of itself is	4		the way in which people were informed about the
5		a reason for including them in the category.	5		existence of the exposure to vCJD, and I appreciate you
6	Q.	The next question is this: should the scheme reflect the	6		may not yourself have seen the evidence in relation to
7	ч.	particular difficulties for those infected as children	7		that, coupled with the fact that many patients, as the
, 8		to reflect the inevitable consequences for them of	, 8		Inquiry has heard, were told that they were regarded as
9		infection on the entirety of their lives, growth,	9		high risk, they had operations cancelled, put to the end
10		development, education, social development and so on?	10		of the operating list, treated in a different manner,
11	A.	I would hope the scheme would do that. I mean, there	11		would you accept that those kind of factors could and
12	<i>n</i> .	are a variety of circumstances in which infected people	12		should be taken into account through the existing awards
13		will have been suffering for various lengths of time	13		that you have identified: the injury, social impact,
14		but, clearly, someone infected in childhood by	14		loss of autonomy awards? Perhaps in particular the loss
15		definition will be infected for a very long time and	15		of autonomy awards?
16		that is something which, in my understanding of it,	16	A.	I think I have said that the sort of appearance over the
		and to controlling thirds, in thy understanding of the			i anni i naro cala anar nie conter appearance eren nie
11		would play into how severe the condition is			horizon, if you like, of the vC.ID risk in relation to
17 18	Q.	would play into how severe the condition is. Can I then just go back to the issue of vCJD, and	17		horizon, if you like, of the vCJD risk in relation to those who are infected with hepatitis C or HIV can be
18	Q.	Can I then just go back to the issue of vCJD, and	17 18		those who are infected with hepatitis C or HIV can be
18 19	Q.	Can I then just go back to the issue of vCJD, and recognising you were not suggesting that risk of vCJD	17 18 19		those who are infected with hepatitis C or HIV can be dealt with in that way.
18 19 20		Can I then just go back to the issue of vCJD, and recognising you were not suggesting that risk of vCJD alone should qualify for an award, as I understand it.	17 18 19 20		those who are infected with hepatitis C or HIV can be dealt with in that way. But if you are suggesting or it is being suggesting
18 19 20 21	A.	Can I then just go back to the issue of vCJD, and recognising you were not suggesting that risk of vCJD alone should qualify for an award, as I understand it. No.	17 18 19 20 21		those who are infected with hepatitis C or HIV can be dealt with in that way. But if you are suggesting or it is being suggesting that there is some freestanding entitlement for someone
18 19 20 21 22		Can I then just go back to the issue of vCJD, and recognising you were not suggesting that risk of vCJD alone should qualify for an award, as I understand it. No. We discussed yesterday the particular significance of	17 18 19 20 21 22		those who are infected with hepatitis C or HIV can be dealt with in that way. But if you are suggesting or it is being suggesting that there is some freestanding entitlement for someone who has been warned of such a risk but has no other
18 19 20 21 22 23	A.	Can I then just go back to the issue of vCJD, and recognising you were not suggesting that risk of vCJD alone should qualify for an award, as I understand it. No. We discussed yesterday the particular significance of being told that you were at risk or might be at risk of	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	those who are infected with hepatitis C or HIV can be dealt with in that way. But if you are suggesting or it is being suggesting that there is some freestanding entitlement for someone
18 19 20 21 22	A.	Can I then just go back to the issue of vCJD, and recognising you were not suggesting that risk of vCJD alone should qualify for an award, as I understand it. No. We discussed yesterday the particular significance of being told that you were at risk or might be at risk of vCJD for those already infected, who may of course have	17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A.	those who are infected with hepatitis C or HIV can be dealt with in that way. But if you are suggesting or it is being suggesting that there is some freestanding entitlement for someone who has been warned of such a risk but has no other injury, then I would not be with that. No.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A.	Can I then just go back to the issue of vCJD, and recognising you were not suggesting that risk of vCJD alone should qualify for an award, as I understand it. No. We discussed yesterday the particular significance of being told that you were at risk or might be at risk of	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		those who are infected with hepatitis C or HIV can be dealt with in that way. But if you are suggesting or it is being suggesting that there is some freestanding entitlement for someone who has been warned of such a risk but has no other injury, then I would not be with that.

(35) Pages 137 - 140

1		people have of not having symptoms then being diagnosed
2		and then informed often late in the day of hepatitis C
3		or HIV and then on top of that being told about vCJD is
4		actually an element of the suffering they are getting
5		from the first two, and I think to try to separate out
6		and discount the vCJD bit would be artificial.
7	Q.	Thank you.
8		The next topic, services that would otherwise have
9		been rendered by an infected person to an affected
10		person but which by reason of the infection they cannot,
11		and that might be parenting services or it could be
12		a range of other services, we touched on that in the
13		context of a dependency claim but should such an award
14		be available also where in life the infected person has
15		been unable to render those circumstances?
16	Α.	Well, I would say part of the consideration generally of
17		the injury impact award will be a consideration (screen
18		freezes) includes an inability to enjoy and provide
19		parenting, that's something that I believe should play
20		into that of the injury element of the award. I mean,
21		if you are suggesting that there are then financial
22		costs, in terms of replacing parenting, and I imagine
23		I would imagine not many cases, there are some that may
24		be so, it may be that could be a financial loss but
25		I think it has to be one or the other. Most of it will
		141
1		funded.
2	Q.	Yes.
3	A.	And my issue around that is the extent to which that is
4		a reasonable thing to expect the fund to do, and I have

3	Α.	And my issue around that is the extent to which that is
4		a reasonable thing to expect the fund to do, and I have
5		given my answer there. It is a reasonable thing for the
6		fund to be expected to do in cases where it is proper
7		for a complicated and requires a lawyer. But I'm
8		rather hoping a lot of this will be sufficiently simple
9		for people to understand. They might need lawyers at
10		a point but not, as it were, continually. I do
11		understand it all depends on a scheme like this being
12		fairly run, collaboratively run and having the support
13		services available within it that I have described.
14	Q.	And then
15	Α.	Can I just add to that? And I think the proof that
16		something like that can be done is actually potentially
17		provided by not the English support scheme but by what
18		I have heard about some of the other schemes.
19		Again, I'm not suggesting I have heard they are
20		perfect in any way but I was struck how, in some cases,
21		there appeared to be a level of trust and confidence in
22		the people running those schemes which made it much
23		easier for things to be done in a collaborative way.
24	Q.	A further question about support services or what might
25		be available. Where significant lump sums might be 143

1		be the impact on the individual of being unable to enjoy
2		and provide parenting and I have seen descriptions of
3		that in the normal way.
4	Q.	Next question is about the operation of the scheme. You
5		said today it must be a scheme that people trust. You
6		said that was a feature essential to the scheme. Given
7		what you and the Inquiry have learned about the
8		scepticism the infected and affected have in terms of
9		trusting in officialdom, is the idea of a collaborative
10		approach whilst understandable in principle somewhat
11		unrealistic for this community without at least the
12		involvement of trusted lawyers?
13	Α.	Well, I'm sorry, choices have to be made I think here,
14		and I appreciate any scheme has to earn that trust from
15		a pretty low starting point, but I have sought to
16		propose a means by which that can be done. I mean,
17		inevitably apply trusted lawyer or no, there will be
18		arguments about entitlement, whoever is running the
19		scheme and there will be incidents of complaints being
20		made. That's why there needs to be an appeal system.
21		I don't think it automatically follows that every
22		individual needs to have, as it were, their legal
23		adviser at their side at all points, as it were, in this
24		process, and that may be a matter of choice, but what
25		you are really asking actually is whether that should be
		142
1		awarded, do you consider a recipient should have access
1 2		awarded, do you consider a recipient should have access to independent financial advice to manage their
2	A.	to independent financial advice to manage their
2 3	A.	to independent financial advice to manage their investment?
2 3 4	A.	to independent financial advice to manage their investment? I don't think that would be unreasonable. I mean, it is
2 3 4 5	А.	to independent financial advice to manage their investment? I don't think that would be unreasonable. I mean, it is quite near the borderline. I simply say because in
2 3 4 5 6	А.	to independent financial advice to manage their investment? I don't think that would be unreasonable. I mean, it is quite near the borderline. I simply say because in personal injury litigation, as you will know, sir, the
2 3 4 5 6 7	А.	to independent financial advice to manage their investment? I don't think that would be unreasonable. I mean, it is quite near the borderline. I simply say because in personal injury litigation, as you will know, sir, the cost of investment advice and so on is only usually
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A.	to independent financial advice to manage their investment? I don't think that would be unreasonable. I mean, it is quite near the borderline. I simply say because in personal injury litigation, as you will know, sir, the cost of investment advice and so on is only usually recoverable on the part of claimants who are mentally
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A.	to independent financial advice to manage their investment? I don't think that would be unreasonable. I mean, it is quite near the borderline. I simply say because in personal injury litigation, as you will know, sir, the cost of investment advice and so on is only usually recoverable on the part of claimants who are mentally incapacitated or children, where there are particular
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A.	to independent financial advice to manage their investment? I don't think that would be unreasonable. I mean, it is quite near the borderline. I simply say because in personal injury litigation, as you will know, sir, the cost of investment advice and so on is only usually recoverable on the part of claimants who are mentally incapacitated or children, where there are particular responsibilities for ensuring the safety of the fund.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A.	to independent financial advice to manage their investment? I don't think that would be unreasonable. I mean, it is quite near the borderline. I simply say because in personal injury litigation, as you will know, sir, the cost of investment advice and so on is only usually recoverable on the part of claimants who are mentally incapacitated or children, where there are particular responsibilities for ensuring the safety of the fund. Generally those who receive a large award of damages do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A.	to independent financial advice to manage their investment? I don't think that would be unreasonable. I mean, it is quite near the borderline. I simply say because in personal injury litigation, as you will know, sir, the cost of investment advice and so on is only usually recoverable on the part of claimants who are mentally incapacitated or children, where there are particular responsibilities for ensuring the safety of the fund. Generally those who receive a large award of damages do not get an extra element for financial advice.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A.	to independent financial advice to manage their investment? I don't think that would be unreasonable. I mean, it is quite near the borderline. I simply say because in personal injury litigation, as you will know, sir, the cost of investment advice and so on is only usually recoverable on the part of claimants who are mentally incapacitated or children, where there are particular responsibilities for ensuring the safety of the fund. Generally those who receive a large award of damages do not get an extra element for financial advice. But part of the issue in many of the cases I have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A.	to independent financial advice to manage their investment? I don't think that would be unreasonable. I mean, it is quite near the borderline. I simply say because in personal injury litigation, as you will know, sir, the cost of investment advice and so on is only usually recoverable on the part of claimants who are mentally incapacitated or children, where there are particular responsibilities for ensuring the safety of the fund. Generally those who receive a large award of damages do not get an extra element for financial advice. But part of the issue in many of the cases I have heard about, the infection itself and the injury caused
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A.	to independent financial advice to manage their investment? I don't think that would be unreasonable. I mean, it is quite near the borderline. I simply say because in personal injury litigation, as you will know, sir, the cost of investment advice and so on is only usually recoverable on the part of claimants who are mentally incapacitated or children, where there are particular responsibilities for ensuring the safety of the fund. Generally those who receive a large award of damages do not get an extra element for financial advice. But part of the issue in many of the cases I have heard about, the infection itself and the injury caused by it has in itself caused a challenge in obtaining even
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A.	to independent financial advice to manage their investment? I don't think that would be unreasonable. I mean, it is quite near the borderline. I simply say because in personal injury litigation, as you will know, sir, the cost of investment advice and so on is only usually recoverable on the part of claimants who are mentally incapacitated or children, where there are particular responsibilities for ensuring the safety of the fund. Generally those who receive a large award of damages do not get an extra element for financial advice. But part of the issue in many of the cases I have heard about, the infection itself and the injury caused by it has in itself caused a challenge in obtaining even financial services of any description and so I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A.	to independent financial advice to manage their investment? I don't think that would be unreasonable. I mean, it is quite near the borderline. I simply say because in personal injury litigation, as you will know, sir, the cost of investment advice and so on is only usually recoverable on the part of claimants who are mentally incapacitated or children, where there are particular responsibilities for ensuring the safety of the fund. Generally those who receive a large award of damages do not get an extra element for financial advice. But part of the issue in many of the cases I have heard about, the infection itself and the injury caused by it has in itself caused a challenge in obtaining even financial services of any description and so I think there may be a case here of a degree of financial advice
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A.	to independent financial advice to manage their investment? I don't think that would be unreasonable. I mean, it is quite near the borderline. I simply say because in personal injury litigation, as you will know, sir, the cost of investment advice and so on is only usually recoverable on the part of claimants who are mentally incapacitated or children, where there are particular responsibilities for ensuring the safety of the fund. Generally those who receive a large award of damages do not get an extra element for financial advice. But part of the issue in many of the cases I have heard about, the infection itself and the injury caused by it has in itself caused a challenge in obtaining even financial services of any description and so I think there may be a case here of a degree of financial advice to be provided.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A.	to independent financial advice to manage their investment? I don't think that would be unreasonable. I mean, it is quite near the borderline. I simply say because in personal injury litigation, as you will know, sir, the cost of investment advice and so on is only usually recoverable on the part of claimants who are mentally incapacitated or children, where there are particular responsibilities for ensuring the safety of the fund. Generally those who receive a large award of damages do not get an extra element for financial advice. But part of the issue in many of the cases I have heard about, the infection itself and the injury caused by it has in itself caused a challenge in obtaining even financial services of any description and so I think there may be a case here of a degree of financial advice to be provided. Whether that should be as it were a constant advice
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A.	to independent financial advice to manage their investment? I don't think that would be unreasonable. I mean, it is quite near the borderline. I simply say because in personal injury litigation, as you will know, sir, the cost of investment advice and so on is only usually recoverable on the part of claimants who are mentally incapacitated or children, where there are particular responsibilities for ensuring the safety of the fund. Generally those who receive a large award of damages do not get an extra element for financial advice. But part of the issue in many of the cases I have heard about, the infection itself and the injury caused by it has in itself caused a challenge in obtaining even financial services of any description and so I think there may be a case here of a degree of financial advice to be provided. Whether that should be as it were a constant advice may be a different matter, but I think you are right in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Α.	to independent financial advice to manage their investment? I don't think that would be unreasonable. I mean, it is quite near the borderline. I simply say because in personal injury litigation, as you will know, sir, the cost of investment advice and so on is only usually recoverable on the part of claimants who are mentally incapacitated or children, where there are particular responsibilities for ensuring the safety of the fund. Generally those who receive a large award of damages do not get an extra element for financial advice. But part of the issue in many of the cases I have heard about, the infection itself and the injury caused by it has in itself caused a challenge in obtaining even financial services of any description and so I think there may be a case here of a degree of financial advice to be provided. Whether that should be as it were a constant advice may be a different matter, but I think you are right in principle that some recognition of the need for support,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Α.	to independent financial advice to manage their investment? I don't think that would be unreasonable. I mean, it is quite near the borderline. I simply say because in personal injury litigation, as you will know, sir, the cost of investment advice and so on is only usually recoverable on the part of claimants who are mentally incapacitated or children, where there are particular responsibilities for ensuring the safety of the fund. Generally those who receive a large award of damages do not get an extra element for financial advice. But part of the issue in many of the cases I have heard about, the infection itself and the injury caused by it has in itself caused a challenge in obtaining even financial services of any description and so I think there may be a case here of a degree of financial advice to be provided. Whether that should be as it were a constant advice may be a different matter, but I think you are right in principle that some recognition of the need for support, at least in the first instance, "What am I going to do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A.	to independent financial advice to manage their investment? I don't think that would be unreasonable. I mean, it is quite near the borderline. I simply say because in personal injury litigation, as you will know, sir, the cost of investment advice and so on is only usually recoverable on the part of claimants who are mentally incapacitated or children, where there are particular responsibilities for ensuring the safety of the fund. Generally those who receive a large award of damages do not get an extra element for financial advice. But part of the issue in many of the cases I have heard about, the infection itself and the injury caused by it has in itself caused a challenge in obtaining even financial services of any description and so I think there may be a case here of a degree of financial advice to be provided. Whether that should be as it were a constant advice may be a different matter, but I think you are right in principle that some recognition of the need for support, at least in the first instance, "What am I going to do with this large sum of money?", would not be

(36) Pages 141 - 144

The Infected Blood Inquiry

1		aspects of the law in Scotland.
2		If the purpose of the scheme is, as you indicated,
3		in part at least the need for an applicant to go to
4		court, wouldn't it be necessary for the highest common
5		denominator approach be taken to damages, as otherwise,
6		for example, Scottish claimants might still need to go
7		to court to get the full amounts that might be available
8		to them at law?
9	Α.	If it's my opinion that matters, no. I believe the
10		fairness of the parity between all these people who
11		have been injured by in an avoidable way by an agency
12		of the UK government should receive compensation based
13		on the same criterion.
14	Q.	The suggestion is not, I think, that those in Scotland
15		should receive a higher amount but that the amount
16		should be set by reference to the highest amount?
17	Α.	Well, I think, as I said, those setting the amounts
18		should have regard to what happens in Scotland, but
19		I think they also need to have regard to the fact that
20		this scheme, if it follows my recommendations, would be
21		awarding items that can't be awarded in Scotland or in
22		England, so there is some give and take involved here
23		about what is reasonable.
24	Q.	Lastly, I'm asked to illustrate and invite your comment
25		on what might be said to be the importance of
		145
1	Α.	Well, what you say is obviously a very serious case, and
2		I appreciate that. I have heard such cases. The
3		difficulty is at what point again do you draw a line
4		around this, and the thing is if this scheme encompasses
5		every single hard case, when you talk about hard cases,
6		we might as well go to the Republic of Ireland scheme,
7		and the trouble with that would be some of this would
8		just not be recoverable at all. So you either this
9		is a scheme which is I'm trying to produce something
10		which does its best to cross the whole range of people
11		
12		here. I may have failed. I may have failed. But I'm
		trying to create something or suggest something which is
13		trying to create something or suggest something which is completely new, which is going beyond in some ways what
14		trying to create something or suggest something which is completely new, which is going beyond in some ways what people aspire to get in legal proceedings, and at some
14 15		trying to create something or suggest something which is completely new, which is going beyond in some ways what people aspire to get in legal proceedings, and at some point fortunately it is not me that has to make the
14 15 16		trying to create something or suggest something which is completely new, which is going beyond in some ways what people aspire to get in legal proceedings, and at some point fortunately it is not me that has to make the decision a line has to be drawn, if you those who
14 15 16 17		trying to create something or suggest something which is completely new, which is going beyond in some ways what people aspire to get in legal proceedings, and at some point fortunately it is not me that has to make the decision a line has to be drawn, if you those who listen to this and say what you say, a line has to be
14 15 16 17 18		trying to create something or suggest something which is completely new, which is going beyond in some ways what people aspire to get in legal proceedings, and at some point fortunately it is not me that has to make the decision a line has to be drawn, if you those who listen to this and say what you say, a line has to be drawn there, well, then a whole range of financial
14 15 16 17 18 19		trying to create something or suggest something which is completely new, which is going beyond in some ways what people aspire to get in legal proceedings, and at some point fortunately it is not me that has to make the decision a line has to be drawn, if you those who listen to this and say what you say, a line has to be drawn there, well, then a whole range of financial losses suffered by people who have not been infected
14 15 16 17 18 19 20		trying to create something or suggest something which is completely new, which is going beyond in some ways what people aspire to get in legal proceedings, and at some point fortunately it is not me that has to make the decision a line has to be drawn, if you those who listen to this and say what you say, a line has to be drawn there, well, then a whole range of financial losses suffered by people who have not been infected themselves could conceivably come in. Where that stops
14 15 16 17 18 19		trying to create something or suggest something which is completely new, which is going beyond in some ways what people aspire to get in legal proceedings, and at some point fortunately it is not me that has to make the decision a line has to be drawn, if you those who listen to this and say what you say, a line has to be drawn there, well, then a whole range of financial losses suffered by people who have not been infected

22I think, difficult though that is, we may be23exposing some of the, as it were, gaps in our legal24system generally in terms of damages, but it is not25every form of loss that is recoverable in Common Law,

1	a financial loss claim for an affected person who might
2	have suffered diagnosable psychiatric injury.
3	Here I'm going to just read out the description. It
4	is a description of an individual case but, again, it is
5	not alone, based upon the Inquiry's knowledge.
6	Will there be any recognition or recompense for the
7	financial losses of parents caused by the impact of
8	their child being infected and dying, the need to have
9	to move to escape stigma, loss of job, change of working
10	patterns to enable them to cope with caring, marriage
11	break-up caused by stress and strain of caring for
12	an infected child and loss of child?
13	I think your answer, in terms of your
14	recommendation, is that there is no recompense for such
15	financial losses. Is that fair? Is that just?
16	A. Sorry, I ask, is that the result of my recommendations?
17	We are talking about parents who are of children?
18	Q. Yes.
19	A. Who have been directly sorry, affected by
20	Q. We are talking about the financial loss claims. So
21	obviously they would be able to claim injury awards,
22	social impact awards, autonomy award. But their child
23	died, in some cases children died, but no financial loss
24	that they would be able to bring, leaving aside the
25	possibility of care claim. 146
1	and I'm not sure this would, although so that's the
2 3	way I put it. I can't put it any but please I hope no one believes that I lack sympathy with the point you
4	make.
5	MS RICHARDS: Sir, those are the questions I'm proposing to
6	ask from those that have been suggested to me.
7	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes, well, I just have this one area
8	to ask you about.
9	Let us assume for the purpose of this question that
10	the government does not respond as it might to the
11	interim payment suggestion, nor does it respond to the
12	suggestion made in the course of today's proceedings
13	that there might be a shadow legal panel and a shadow
14	medical panel and some form of shadow administration
15	ready to go.
16	A. Yes.
17	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: If there were not, then it would take
18	some time presumably to have those panels be chosen,
19	meet, come to decisions and have the government consider
20	and then accept those decisions, if the government was
21	still involved and hadn't divested itself of its
22	hadn't created a fully independent scheme already.
23	Then you have the problem of the fact that the
24	door's open but there is a huge crowd of people, all of
25	whom, let us suppose, have been recipients of the
	148

(37) Pages 145 - 148

The Infected Blood Inquiry

4	support schemes thus for together with a group who have	1	deimente whether alive or new decessed there are and
1	support schemes thus far, together with a group who have	1	claimants, whether alive or now deceased, there are and
2 3	not. And all are seeking an award which, to some	2 3	from that it might be possible to make an estimation.
4	extent, is set out in accordance with your scheme on a tariff basis but, on the other, is assessed with	4	Again, it would have to be averages on how many eligible affected people there are.
4 5		4 5	I did give the example from the vCJD trust where
6	the possibility of internal review should the assessment		o
	not satisfy the claimant and appeal should it still not	6	there was one case reported by the trustee where they
7	satisfy the claimant.	7	were looking at 88 eligible people in relation to one
8	How long would such a process generally take?	8	claimant and one that impressed me sufficiently in
9	I'm going to ask you in a moment to compare it with	9	relation to having feeling there needed to be some
10	how you think, from your knowledge of the Irish scheme,	10	care about the drawing up of who is eligible as
11	the same number of people will be dealt with under that	11	an affected person.
12	scheme. These have to be very broad-brush estimates.	12	So I think it might be possible, but beyond my
13	A. One reason it's not possible fully to answer your	13	knowledge, to still come up with a number, roughly. The
14	question, sir, is I certainly don't have not been	14	experience of the Irish scheme is that and it
15	able to establish, and I don't know if it is possible to	15	affects several hundred cases a year are processed
16	establish, how many the base point, which is how many	16	out of some thousands, and the scheme has now been going
17	infected people there are. I am sure it is known how	17	really quite some time. Obviously it is a question of
18	many infected people there are who have been eligible to	18	what resource was put into the scheme, how quickly one
19	the scheme, to one or other of the support schemes,	19	does this. But it would seem to me that any sensible
20	which would be a starting point, but what I don't think	20	administration setting this out would need to understand
21	is establishable it may be by your Inquiry, I don't	21	that this has to be a front loaded event. The staff and
22	know is even an estimate of how many others there are	22	the resources you need at the beginning are going to be
23	out there who are unknown about.	23	considerably greater than they will be later on.
24	But it may be possible to produce a figure and	24	But, certainly there will have to be a queue and
25	with a bit of estimation as to how many primary 149	25	that does give rise well, firstly, it does give rise 150
1	to another issue about, in addition to the immediate	1	can be done as fast as is reasonably possible,
2	interim payment I'm suggesting now, whether there should	2	consistent with being sufficiently thorough, if it is to
3	be a process of interim payment further down the line.	3	be done quickly, then that would argue quite strongly,
4	Which there could be. I haven't suggested that but	4	would it, in favour of the interim payment scheme, quick
5	there's no reason why you couldn't do that. But that in	5	to administer scheme, as you tend to suggest, and also
6	itself then adds another layer of process to what you	6	the establishment of arrangements in readiness, if I put
7	do, and so it will take time.	7	it broadly like that, for whatever scheme the government
8	But one has to consider the alternatives, and the	8	thinks it ought to adopt?
9	alternative is no scheme at all and leaving people to		A. It also suggests I think the need for so far as is
10	their own devices, and that is, no doubt, even less	10	possible being consistent with fairness, to have to
11	desirable in terms. Some might have litigation	11	minimise the amount of assessment required in individual
12	prospects, some might not. Whatever those are, that	12	cases and the application of broad figures. In other
13	would undoubtedly be a longer process than any	13	words, to make it as easy as possible, therefore as
14	compensation scheme would offer.	14	quick as possible, for a determination to be made for
15	So I think I haven't answered your question	15	those who want that determination to be made quickly,
16	directly as to how long because the simple answer is	16	both not just as a matter of interim payment but also
17	I don't know because it depends on the number of	17	a more final one.
18	variables, but it is undoubtedly going to take a long		SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: You said earlier, I think in the
19	time.	10 19	course of your answering questions, that if you wanted
20	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Well, you've, I think, given a sense	20	to explore every complexity to get it absolutely right
20	which, coupled with the way I put the question, suggests	20	and be sure that you had, you would take two or three
22	it would not be a particularly short period.	21	years I think you intended to say three, but you said
22	If that is right, then that would seem to argue very	22	two
23 24	strongly, if one wants to achieve results quickly, and		A. I did say two, but as I I may have said three as
24 25	I throughout this Inquiry have wanted to achieve what	24 F 25	well. But I have said this is, personally, I find,
20	151	20	152

(38) Pages 149 - 152

INQY1000225_0038

The Infected Blood Inquiry

1		extraordinarily difficult, because only one has to				
2	listen to the stories I've heard and the ones that					
3		counsel has just put, and of course you think: where do				
4		I fit that into a scheme? Should it be fitted into				
5		a scheme? But every such case and the detail it brings				
6		with it, insofar as it brings another complication to				
7		the picture, may deprive loads of people and others of				
8		a simpler and slightly more generous solution.				
9		I'm afraid I can't see a way round there being				
10		a degree of trade-off in any solution we come up with.				
11	SIR	BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So what you are saying is there is, as				
12		you see it, an inevitable compromise between the need to				
13		get things done quickly in order to deliver a just				
14		solution and the desire for complete accuracy in				
15		individual cases, which inevitably would take longer?				
16	Α.	Yes.				
17	SIR	BRIAN LANGSTAFF: I see. Thank you very much.				
18	Α.	Thank you.				
19	MS	RICHARDS: Sir Robert, is there anything further that you				
20		wanted to add?				
21	Α.	Well, only to reflect on and express my gratitude for				
22		the help I received from so many people who are here				
23		today and may be listening and who are not. And, as				
24		I said in my report, the cost to them of co-operating				
25		with yet another Inquiry, as they would see it, into 153				

	153
1	Rowena Jecock tomorrow at 10 o'clock.
2	(4.31 pm)
3	(The Inquiry adjourned until 10.00 am on Wednesday,
4	13 July 2022)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 00	
20	
21	
22 23	
23 24	
24 25	
20	155

1	something which might raise expectations which they
2	have probably wonder whether they will be met or not,
3	and I have every sympathy with that and, of course, with
4	the tragedies that so many have suffered. And I hope
5	that nothing I have said indicates anything other than
6	I have the deepest respect for everyone involved and
7	their dignity and their willingness to help and, of
8	course, for the suffering they have had.
9	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: If I'm not much mistaken, I think you
10	have earned the dubious distinction of being the person
11	for whom Core Participants' questions have taken the
12	longest time yet to assemble. I think the right way to
13	look at that is actually that is a reflection of the
14	interest which your evidence has given and your work,
15	and I think anyone who has listened would have
16	understood the great care, and difficulty, of the task
17	which you undertook and which you have explained and
18	which myself you have given me quite a lot to ponder,
19	as I suspect you have given all of us quite a bit to
20	ponder, and I just want to thank you very much indeed
21	for coming to do that.
22	A. Not at all, it has been a privilege.
23	MS RICHARDS: Sir, tomorrow we have the evidence of
24	Rowena Jecock.
25	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Thank you.

25 SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Thank you. 154

1	INDEX	
2	SIR ROBERT FRANCIS (continued)	1
3	Questioned by MS RICHARDS (continued)	1
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	156	

(39) Pages 153 - 156

	400 000 141 04/0	07/6	0.7.641 40/7	400/00 440/4 444/0
	100,000 [1] 61/9	97/6	9.7 [1] 42/7	138/22 140/4 141/3
MS RICHARDS: [17]	101 [1] 130/24	20 [2] 123/12 123/13	9.88 [1] 11/19	142/4 142/7 142/18
1/5 1/24 2/4 10/5 42/5	11 [11] 41/2 41/3	20,000 [1] 123/5	9.90 [3] 66/4 66/8	143/18 143/24 144/14
53/14 53/20 96/16	42/10 43/2 43/3 43/5	2010s [1] 131/20	67/4	144/25 145/23 146/17
96/21 96/24 97/19	44/21 46/8 81/3 90/18		9.99 [1] 128/12	146/20 147/5 148/8
98/2 113/6 125/8	127/19	2022 [2] 1/1 155/4	9/11 [2] 42/10 127/19	149/23 150/10 151/1
148/5 153/19 154/23	11.15 [1] 53/14	3	95 [2] 42/7 106/25	above [5] 3/7 36/3
SIR BRIAN	11.16 am [1] 53/17		Α	76/20 127/1 127/23
LANGSTAFF: [63]	11.32 [1] 87/13	3.00 pm [1] 98/1		absence [4] 15/3
1/19 2/3 5/7 5/19 5/23	11.45 [2] 53/16 53/16	30,000 [2] 123/4	ability [9] 8/3 16/1	30/15 118/21 119/18
6/1 6/8 6/18 6/22 7/3	11.45 am [1] 53/19	123/11	27/16 30/16 72/7	absolutely [11] 8/23
	115 [1] 11/18	344,640 [1] 131/2	72/13 83/19 95/18	12/22 24/1 30/11 33/3
7/6 7/15 7/18 7/22 8/6	116 [2] 66/3 126/25	36 [1] 18/5	99/19	41/2 42/2 71/24 95/3
8/9 8/13 8/19 8/24 9/2	117 [1] 128/11	37 [1] 40/11	able [29] 17/24 24/12	127/6 152/20
9/7 9/21 9/25 41/19	118 [2] 10/12 12/10	38 [3] 53/21 56/6	26/4 27/13 29/5 34/18	acceleration [2]
41/22 41/25 42/3	119 [1] 18/19	63/13	34/20 44/13 45/19	128/17 130/15
46/23 49/19 50/3	12 [1] 46/14	39 [2] 68/23 85/23	49/3 58/8 80/7 82/22	accept [10] 29/8
50/21 52/1 52/23	12 July 2022 [1] 1/1		86/14 86/16 95/6	30/12 32/5 41/5 95/3
53/16 96/19 96/23	12.2 [1] 80/9	4	101/10 102/18 107/24	110/22 125/5 131/24
97/2 97/23 108/23	12.52 pm [1] 97/24	4.31 pm [1] 155/2	112/12 114/3 126/17	140/11 148/20
109/1 109/6 110/14	120,000 [1] 43/21	40 [1] 77/22	129/22 134/14 136/15	accepted [6] 43/6
110/19 110/23 111/23	121 [1] 54/3	403,990 [1] 131/4	137/2 146/21 146/24	94/20 101/2 114/4
112/1 112/5 112/17		441,000-odd [1] 131/6		118/11 125/25
112/22 122/4 122/17	122 [2] 56/15 94/8		about [114] 2/14 4/22	
122/21 122/23 124/24	129 [1] 66/16	5	5/14 10/7 12/23 13/1	accepting [3] 67/7
125/3 148/7 148/17	13 [4] 3/1 53/20 53/21	50 [1] 7/16	14/15 15/1 15/3 18/14	109/19 113/22
151/20 152/18 153/11	54/23	50/50 [1] 7/16	20/13 20/14 21/19	accepts [1] 99/22
153/17 154/9 154/25	13 July 2022 [1]	55th [2] 127/11 128/9	24/21 25/7 31/14	access [14] 69/20
	155/4	55th centile [1] 127/4	32/21 35/8 35/15 39/2	70/1 70/5 70/13 72/14
	135 [1] 90/18		40/5 46/19 48/16	80/7 85/2 85/24 87/4
'80s [1] 131/19	137 [1] 87/11	<u> </u>	50/23 50/24 50/25	87/9 89/17 90/11
'Simmons' [1] 3/19	139 [1] 79/15	75 [1] 38/10		90/16 144/1
0	14 [2] 56/5 63/11	0	53/3 53/11 55/9 57/17	accessibility [1]
0	15 [2] 63/12 65/22	8	58/6 59/19 61/2 61/7	69/10
0.909 [1] 3/22	15,000-odd [1] 35/19	88 [1] 150/7	61/21 62/16 62/22	accessing [2] 70/12
1	16 [5] 68/22 69/1 76/6	9	62/25 64/14 65/25	85/14
	85/22 85/23		66/2 67/6 67/11 72/10	accident [1] 21/10
1 o'clock [1] 96/17	16th edition [1] 2/24	9.102 [1] 10/15	72/24 72/25 74/12	Accidents [7] 36/2
10 [12] 3/2 3/14 4/6	17 [3] 69/23 79/13	9.103 [1] 11/3	77/4 77/12 77/17	36/8 39/16 91/6 93/23
4/6 4/9 4/11 4/17 8/17	87/6	9.106 [1] 18/20	78/17 78/20 79/2 79/5	136/19 136/24
8/24 40/8 40/12 40/21	18 [1] 70/16	9.107 [2] 18/20 19/7	82/10 83/3 84/3 84/5	accommodate [1]
10 o'clock [1] 155/1	19 [3] 68/22 77/21	9.110 [1] 26/1	85/4 85/20 86/17	119/13
10,000 [4] 12/9 12/15	114/25	9.112 [1] 36/1	87/12 88/25 89/6 90/4	accommodation [2]
13/19 133/23	1980s [1] 29/2	9.123 [1] 54/4	93/8 94/9 95/15 96/18	11/1 36/17
10.00 [1] 155/3	1990/1991 settlement	9.124 [1] 54/12	103/4 104/14 105/17	accordance [5] 18/22
10.00 am [1] 1/2	[1] 63/23	9.125 [1] 54/12	106/4 106/5 106/6	53/25 110/12 136/20
10.10 [2] 66/17 67/5	1990s [3] 5/12 5/21	9.126 [1] 54/13	107/1 107/25 108/3	149/3
100 [1] 110/16	29/2	9.127 [2] 54/5 54/22	109/22 109/22 112/16	according [1] 31/19
100 individual [1]	1991 [1] 101/8	9.128 [1] 56/18	114/16 118/3 119/12	account [31] 14/20
110/17		9.130 [1] 94/10	119/24 122/14 124/25	39/3 43/18 45/11
100 per cent [5] 6/4	2	9.137 [1] 56/18	126/10 126/18 127/8	45/12 48/5 61/18
8/10 38/6 42/17	2.20 [2] 97/15 114/24		129/3 133/10 133/15	63/17 63/25 65/22
111/13	2.20 pm [3] 97/3 97/4		134/8 137/9 137/19	66/12 67/8 67/17
		L		
	<u> </u>	1	(40)	IS RICHARDS: - account

•	00/7 400/40 400/00		4747.0040.0045	5045 5040 5740
Α	66/7 102/19 102/20	144/7 144/12 144/17	17/17 20/16 22/15	52/15 53/12 57/13
account [18] 67/20	address [3] 60/14	144/19	23/23 24/2 24/7 24/11	59/1 59/13 61/25 63/3 63/5 67/23 71/16
68/17 84/24 93/11	67/25 116/19	advisable [1] 127/15	24/19 24/19 25/13	
94/6 103/8 106/11	addressed [2] 46/18 65/21	advise [2] 70/2 76/15	28/5 28/7 29/18 30/11	72/15 75/25 77/11
106/16 107/5 107/17		adviser [2] 113/21	31/23 33/16 36/6	77/18 77/23 81/12
107/20 108/19 111/12	adds [1] 151/6	142/23	36/19 38/5 39/18 42/9	83/25 87/13 88/3
111/21 115/14 132/17	adjourned [1] 155/3	advisers [1] 129/6	44/3 45/1 49/12 56/15	88/17 89/21 90/7 91/12 96/15 99/2
135/15 140/12	adjournment [1] 97/25	advisory [3] 69/19 76/8 76/12	57/7 57/12 68/3 68/25 76/24 79/13 79/17	102/21 107/10 111/7
accountability [1]				111/18 111/22 112/5
79/1	adjudicate [1] 12/20	advocacy [2] 69/25 74/25	81/2 85/18 86/4 91/14 91/18 91/25 94/21	112/14 114/7 118/2
accountable [3] 69/4	adjudicating [1] 137/21		100/19 102/1 102/21	120/22 123/17 123/23
78/12 78/16		advocate [1] 84/6	103/24 112/24 117/18	126/10 129/16 138/5
accounts [3] 24/18	administer [3] 69/2 119/7 152/5	advocated [2] 96/13 96/13	121/24 127/16 134/21	138/12 142/23 143/11
91/13 133/13			135/4 137/16 138/18	145/10 147/8 148/24
accretion [1] 21/12	administered [2]	advocating [3] 73/12 98/16 99/18		
accuracy [1] 153/14	66/18 71/17 administering [2]		143/19 146/4 147/3 150/3	149/2 151/9 154/19 154/22
achieve [4] 42/18	72/12 102/16	affairs [6] 48/16 58/8 79/24 116/3 116/8	against [9] 39/4 43/6	alleviating [1] 116/12
109/10 151/24 151/25	administration [14]	116/18	50/7 54/10 66/14	allocation [1] 138/4
acknowledge [1] 61/3	27/7 58/18 65/11	affected [54] 1/8	78/18 107/17 121/1	allow [5] 11/4 47/11
acrimony [1] 137/7	65/15 65/19 70/22	12/22 18/4 18/15	126/19	48/7 48/22 49/5
across [2] 23/16	70/24 74/21 77/25	19/21 23/7 23/19 25/2	age [2] 116/10 118/17	allowance [2] 66/15
71/16	80/19 88/1 119/4	26/3 26/12 27/3 27/12		112/19
Act [9] 5/4 36/2 36/8	148/14 150/20	30/17 31/23 33/6	agency [3] 11/16 73/10 145/11	allowed [1] 30/24
39/16 91/6 93/14	administratively [2]	33/16 35/13 38/1 41/4	aggravated [1] 16/7	allowing [3] 6/24
93/23 136/19 136/24	85/1 116/1	49/25 51/9 57/10 58/1	aggravation [2]	50/25 121/17
acting [1] 82/24	administrators [1]	58/10 63/2 76/11	103/21 127/18	allows [1] 11/11
action [2] 42/1 110/14	72/7	87/18 90/22 90/24	ago [1] 118/22	alluded [1] 34/14
actions [2] 31/12 41/7	admit [1] 61/16	91/4 97/21 101/25	agree [8] 9/19 38/25	almost [11] 4/5 21/20
actual [7] 4/22 31/14	admitted [1] 122/2	103/12 114/18 114/19	47/23 60/15 62/22	24/16 51/3 54/9 74/15
31/16 33/10 74/21	admittedly [1] 133/1	115/16 116/16 118/2	85/15 92/19 119/9	85/1 92/12 109/23
88/20 90/13	adopt [3] 11/9 85/3	119/19 120/5 121/10	agreed [1] 95/23	113/18 113/20
actually [30] 9/3 9/8	152/8	121/14 121/16 135/18	agreement [4] 7/13	alone [2] 139/20
17/3 26/22 29/24 30/2	adopted [3] 13/16	135/21 136/13 137/2	8/16 70/20 113/10	146/5
31/17 44/6 57/17 61/1	22/1 52/9	137/14 141/9 142/8	agreements [2] 5/2	along [5] 62/19 75/12
62/10 82/12 82/21	adopts [1] 54/25	146/1 146/19 150/4	5/5	83/7 94/21 124/12
87/1 88/10 95/16	adult [3] 37/10 135/9	150/11	ahead [2] 77/22 96/17	
95/19 111/17 116/14	135/10	affecting [1] 32/24	Aid [2] 5/19 6/23	already [25] 1/11 11/7
120/8 122/5 123/3	adulthood [1] 135/22		aim [1] 4/3	11/23 19/15 33/25
125/4 126/8 126/12	adults [2] 36/17 37/9	affects [2] 24/15	aired [1] 79/11	40/9 45/10 56/25
129/1 141/4 142/25	advance [3] 57/2	150/15	ALB [2] 70/22 70/23	57/24 58/10 58/16
143/16 154/13	94/15 96/6	afforded [2] 6/23	albeit [5] 28/10	65/21 72/2 86/3 86/15
ad [1] 88/24	advancing [1] 121/15	28/18	108/13 110/17 122/13	96/25 102/18 104/19
add [5] 8/17 27/5 93/6	advantages [5] 52/23		132/14	105/6 115/24 118/8
143/15 153/20	52/24 53/8 112/5	49/9 79/3 82/17 86/20	alive [6] 18/16 33/17	118/11 131/22 139/24
added [2] 11/8 122/8	126/16	108/17 109/24 110/11	56/21 58/25 116/25	148/22
addition [5] 8/24	adversely [1] 45/19	137/11 153/9	150/1	also [33] 14/10 20/16
22/25 78/24 84/24	advice [19] 9/12	after [4] 91/2 94/12	all [67] 2/4 4/25 7/12	27/1 30/22 30/25
151/1 additional [12] 10/16	40/19 69/25 70/2 70/5	97/15 106/7	7/14 14/17 30/4 31/9	31/20 32/15 41/19
additional [12] 10/16		afternoon [2] 2/25 3/4		45/21 58/20 72/5 79/2
11/12 12/5 12/8 12/15	85/14 88/25 113/21	again [61] 13/6 13/14		82/4 88/8 88/22 89/16
18/1 40/7 50/9 58/23	113/25 114/2 144/2	14/15 14/23 16/4 16/6	34/19 45/5 45/17 46/2	91/6 91/13 98/19
				(41) account also

Α	103/21	an example [2] 59/1	an invoice [1] 13/9	answering [1] 152/19
also [14] 106/20	an agreement [1]	60/5	an issue [5] 2/8 28/23	
117/19 121/9 127/18	70/20	an exceptional [3]	61/13 124/9 144/25	anticipate [6] 63/8
131/16 131/17 132/1	an alternative [4]	57/3 62/23 116/24	an obligation [4] 4/18	
135/21 138/3 141/14	10/9 26/9 26/10 98/23	an executive [1]	78/7 78/23 120/5	103/17 115/4
145/19 152/5 152/9	an appeal [3] 77/6	73/10	an observation [2]	anticipated [3]
152/16	77/16 142/20	an existing [2] 60/19	4/1 134/8	109/10 111/9 129/3
alternative [7] 10/9	an applicant [4]	113/10	an offer [1] 79/23	anxiety [1] 19/12
26/9 26/10 29/4 83/24	43/12 86/25 114/3 145/3	an experience [1] 135/4	an older [1] 59/5	any [75] 1/25 4/11 4/13 4/24 5/1 7/12
98/23 151/9			an omission [1] 25/5 an ongoing [2] 12/19	10/16 11/5 12/12 13/7
alternatively [1] 54/1	an application [3] 45/2 45/9 137/25	an express [1]	15/2	15/6 19/11 35/7 39/1
alternatives [1] 151/8	an appropriate [2]	133/25	an option [2] 47/21	41/6 43/6 43/7 43/17
although [11] 16/22	19/16 57/16	an extension [3] 20/9		44/24 46/6 46/12
17/5 27/7 34/7 46/19	an approval [1]	20/17 118/2	an oral [3] 77/1 77/1	55/24 56/1 58/6 59/3
71/11 81/3 84/14	113/19	an extent [1] 47/2	77/10	59/6 63/18 63/20 65/2
94/14 104/9 148/1	an area [13] 4/21	an extra [1] 144/12	an ordinary [1] 122/2	66/12 66/14 67/24
altogether [1] 50/12	13/15 20/8 28/8 49/12	an hour [3] 1/14 1/23	an organisation [1]	68/15 68/19 69/21
always [8] 6/9 6/18	82/17 82/21 103/6	96/22	105/12	83/4 85/19 85/25
27/21 33/9 48/25 86/8	112/24 120/25 126/9	an identifiable [1]	an understandable	86/18 90/24 91/9
120/12 125/23	132/8 132/23	91/10	[1] 86/13	93/24 97/2 98/16
am [11] 1/2 14/7 23/11 53/16 53/17	an arm's [6] 74/22	an identity [1] 58/18	an understandably	98/16 103/1 103/7
53/19 92/7 117/5	75/2 94/24 105/3	an important [1] 77/2	[1] 101/22	105/7 106/15 106/22
144/22 149/17 155/3	105/11 105/14	an impression [1]	an understanding [1]	107/4 107/5 107/7
America [1] 6/1	an aspect [1] 129/14	88/16	89/6	108/8 108/9 108/21
amongst [4] 2/11	an assessment [3]		an unremunerated [1]	
35/6 82/8 95/5	48/3 61/11 131/12	an independent [2]	16/24	117/4 118/12 119/11
amount [18] 37/6	an attempt [1] 113/14	23/2 85/11	an uplift [4] 54/11	120/15 122/5 124/1
37/13 43/19 49/8	an autonomy [1]	an individual [5]	54/16 54/23 66/6	132/10 136/7 142/14
57/16 59/19 59/20	24/25	31/17 84/17 85/12	an urgent [1] 57/14	143/20 144/16 146/6
59/22 110/21 118/19	an average [1]	135/5 146/4	analysing [1] 113/21	148/2 150/19 151/13
126/13 129/19 133/23	127/22	an inevitable [1]	analysis [1] 14/13	153/10
136/15 145/15 145/15	an avoidable [1]	153/12	annual [12] 11/8	anyone [3] 117/16
145/16 152/11	145/11	an inevitably [1] 91/8	11/14 11/17 11/24 12/15 64/4 66/6 66/10	124/17 154/15
amounts [6] 32/22	an award [11] 20/19 24/11 41/5 45/16	an infected [9] 16/15 17/7 18/4 101/23	67/1 128/15 129/24	anyone's [1] 113/4
63/22 92/11 114/7	45/22 61/23 91/22	103/12 135/15 135/17	130/9	anything [10] 1/20 20/13 63/10 65/25
145/7 145/17	119/22 139/20 141/13	141/9 146/12	annually [2] 66/10	67/15 68/15 99/18
an accident [1] 21/10	149/2	an inflation [1] 54/16	78/15	102/11 153/19 154/5
an additional [1] 18/1	an early [1] 2/1	an initial [1] 48/19	annum [1] 133/23	anyway [6] 45/23
an administration [1]	an element [5] 6/9 8/6		another [12] 13/11	46/10 94/5 97/7 108/4
58/18	28/12 122/13 141/4	an injury [1] 15/16	51/17 52/19 80/24	122/19
an adult [2] 37/10	an eligible [2] 59/10	an integral [1] 84/15	117/14 127/17 135/17	apart [3] 54/18 95/19
135/9	136/13	an interference [1]	138/17 151/1 151/6	110/23
an advisory [2] 69/19 76/8	an entirely [1] 109/18	41/14	153/6 153/25	appalling [1] 137/8
an affected [8] 19/21	an entitlement [1]	an interim [7] 56/10	answer [13] 38/22	apparent [1] 44/8
58/10 114/19 135/21	135/20	57/17 58/14 62/14	52/2 71/14 100/18	appeal [10] 69/13
137/14 141/9 146/1	an estate [1] 133/7	62/21 116/2 116/6	104/4 114/19 122/25	69/14 76/18 77/4 77/5
150/11	an estimate [1]	an intestacy [1]	123/3 124/8 143/5	77/6 77/11 77/16
an agency [1] 145/11	149/22	136/25	146/13 149/13 151/16	142/20 149/6
an aggravation [1]	an estimation [1]	an investigation [2]	answered [3] 114/15	appeals [3] 49/17
00	150/2	31/5 89/11	114/22 151/15	77/11 77/12
				(42) also appeals

(42) also... - appeals

Α	92/16 97/21 102/15	75/9 78/10 79/9 85/23	92/5 125/8 145/1	attributable [1] 107/8
	103/1 112/25 119/23	86/19 88/7 89/20	aspire [1] 147/14	attribute [1] 108/20
appear [2] 25/3 26/21	122/24 124/2 124/10	94/24 104/24 104/25	aspires [1] 60/10	Auntie [1] 31/8
appearance [1] 140/16	125/16 126/21 128/1	105/3 105/5 105/11	assemble [1] 154/12	authors [1] 4/1
appeared [1] 143/21	128/4 142/10 145/5	105/14	assess [4] 28/21	automatically [2]
appears [4] 47/7 86/5	approached [1] 125/9		116/21 117/20 123/2	77/9 142/21
113/1 120/4		around [10] 1/21 9/12		autonomy [21] 16/6
applicable [2] 15/7	122/25	31/2 61/20 62/7 67/16	22/14 27/9 40/16	18/9 24/25 25/7 25/10
54/20	appropriate [15]	98/7 114/2 143/3	122/12 128/14 149/4	33/21 34/23 41/15
applicant [11] 11/6	13/20 19/16 52/8	147/4	assessing [5] 43/10	61/21 62/8 91/4 91/5
11/12 17/1 26/17	55/10 57/16 60/25	arrange [2] 87/16	46/11 63/17 65/23	103/18 103/22 129/15
43/12 85/16 86/25	70/13 81/15 87/9	97/13	96/2	137/3 138/24 139/3
102/2 114/3 125/15	87/18 92/12 92/16 94/23 100/15 136/15	arrangement [1] 34/9	assessment [25] 2/18 48/3 59/11 61/11	
145/3	approval [3] 113/10	arrangements [6] 26/7 35/2 35/2 119/2	66/12 74/24 79/23	availability [1] 44/22 available [18] 5/20
applicant's [2] 19/13	113/19 113/24	119/6 152/6	80/13 80/22 83/12	10/24 11/15 31/2
71/23	approved [1] 7/22	arrived [2] 108/4	83/17 84/1 84/4 92/2	58/18 86/8 87/16
applicants [12] 14/3	apt [1] 29/1	108/13	93/2 101/16 101/23	87/21 90/8 90/8
14/6 49/11 61/25 69/9	Archer [3] 87/19 88/5	articulate [2] 79/18	102/3 112/23 116/21	110/13 116/21 133/10
70/3 77/19 79/22	88/8	82/23	117/20 131/12 136/8	134/10 141/14 143/13
84/22 86/2 101/9 115/25	Archer Inquiry [1]	artificial [2] 132/12	149/5 152/11	143/25 145/7
application [4] 45/2	88/5	141/6	assessments [1]	average [2] 125/17
45/9 137/25 152/12	are [221]	as [259]	74/20	127/22
applications [1]	area [19] 4/21 13/15	aside [10] 3/8 49/22	assessor [1] 85/13	averages [1] 150/3
101/19	20/8 21/15 24/14 28/8	62/16 78/5 94/16	assessors [6] 19/11	avoid [2] 28/21 84/16
applied [5] 18/23 55/6	32/21 49/12 52/25	103/15 105/13 107/10	59/16 71/19 71/19	avoidable [1] 145/11
55/7 97/5 120/9	82/17 82/21 103/6	136/16 146/24	71/20 71/20	avoided [1] 77/18
applies [4] 60/19	112/24 113/6 120/25	ask [31] 1/12 2/13	assets [1] 62/10	avoids [3] 12/18
68/15 118/14 123/22	126/9 132/8 132/23 148/7	3/23 5/7 18/14 30/5	assist [2] 70/2 70/11	12/19 14/11
apply [16] 3/9 3/19	areas [3] 49/5 59/7	40/5 46/18 46/23 49/19 51/22 56/12	assistance [2] 80/6 82/5	await [1] 95/9 award [124] 4/5 9/15
5/3 29/10 54/17 56/2	126/15	63/9 63/10 65/25 66/1	assisted [1] 82/22	15/11 15/14 15/17
67/1 100/23 101/20	aren't [4] 33/15 48/25	83/3 87/12 90/24 97/9	assisting [1] 82/13	15/23 16/6 16/16 17/9
101/24 106/20 112/1	57/13 75/14	97/13 98/3 102/19	assume [2] 48/19	18/8 18/8 18/8 18/9
118/16 118/24 130/15	arguable [1] 61/19	112/16 114/16 115/20	148/9	18/9 18/12 18/18
142/17	arguably [3] 25/20	119/24 146/16 148/6	assuming [4] 48/6	18/21 19/8 19/20 20/2
appointed [2] 75/18 75/21	47/4 123/23	148/8 149/9	59/25 97/15 134/19	20/19 20/23 20/24
appointments [9]	argue [3] 120/20	askance [1] 22/11	assumptions [1]	22/1 23/21 23/24 24/5
75/5 75/6 75/9 75/11	151/23 152/3	asked [19] 1/12 3/24	100/8	24/11 24/23 24/25
75/14 75/15 75/19	argued [1] 123/25	10/3 10/4 10/4 28/17	assurance [2] 64/14	25/7 25/10 25/25 26/2
76/1 76/2	argument [4] 13/19	48/1 68/7 81/19 86/12	128/3	26/6 27/5 31/11 33/20
appreciate [13] 28/4	31/24 100/9 111/18	87/11 107/1 113/7	assurances [1]	33/21 33/21 33/24
50/1 86/21 104/10	arguments [4] 13/1	118/3 118/5 130/22	139/25	34/5 34/22 34/23
111/14 112/11 113/7	54/10 55/9 142/18	137/11 140/3 145/24	attempt [2] 61/16 113/14	34/23 34/23 34/24 35/3 35/8 35/11 35/12
114/1 124/25 132/15	arisen [1] 76/24 arises [1] 46/8	asking [9] 1/11 4/22 10/7 51/21 105/17	attempted [1] 36/10	35/14 35/16 35/25
140/5 142/14 147/2	arising [11] 1/6 25/21	117/13 123/20 129/2	attention [2] 106/3	41/5 43/6 43/12 43/16
appreciated [1] 72/6	46/23 58/24 63/4	142/25	138/16	43/17 43/18 43/23
approach [26] 11/10	91/24 103/7 125/9	aspect [4] 50/10	attract [1] 34/22	44/22 45/10 45/16
13/16 18/13 19/10	133/18 136/22 137/25	84/10 129/9 129/14	attracted [1] 106/3	45/22 48/2 48/4 48/17
23/23 29/9 30/11	arm's [20] 65/10 73/5	aspects [8] 32/8 69/8	attraction [1] 50/11	48/18 48/19 49/6
30/17 42/21 85/4 92/2	73/6 74/12 74/22 75/2	72/18 83/10 88/19	attracts [1] 118/19	49/10 50/6 50/7 50/14
				(13) annear awar
				(43) appear - award

				150/10
Α	47/11 52/18 56/5	134/8 135/8 137/7	68/16 75/21 77/14	153/12
award [49] 51/2	70/15 77/21 81/2	139/3 140/2 144/5	82/18 83/15 85/6	beyond [3] 95/12
51/5 51/25 52/6 52/19	85/22 90/5 90/20 98/7	151/16 151/17 153/1	86/19 89/24 91/18	147/13 150/12
53/11 53/24 54/15	105/22 123/8 127/5	become [3] 5/13	91/19 93/9 99/2	biased [1] 78/20
54/19 54/20 57/17	130/1 139/18	94/11 119/5	101/15 102/9 106/16	big [4] 39/16 94/19
	background [4] 81/25	becomes [2] 47/3	108/19 108/20 110/8	94/21 127/19
61/20 61/23 68/5 87/2	82/14 90/16 138/17	136/23	111/14 111/16 112/8	bit [13] 6/10 8/21 10/1
91/7 91/11 91/17	backstop [1] 83/16	been [82] 1/12 2/14	113/13 114/11 116/6	21/7 26/16 56/12
91/22 103/18 104/11	balance [1] 130/17	3/24 8/10 10/3 10/18	118/5 126/17 130/25	82/10 84/2 88/24
107/6 107/14 107/14	balancing [1] 111/19	15/2 19/17 19/18	134/4 134/20 136/12	137/18 141/6 149/25
115/13 119/22 122/15	bands [1] 92/11	21/19 25/6 25/12 26/2	139/23 140/20 141/1	154/19
130/13 130/25 131/13	banker [1] 33/12	26/23 27/13 28/3 29/4		Bloggs [1] 31/6
133/6 133/19 134/22	barrister [1] 120/19	29/5 30/6 33/5 36/13	143/11 146/8 152/2	blood [12] 66/20
135/2 135/15 136/16	base [1] 149/16	36/16 36/16 36/18	152/10 153/9 154/10	67/16 67/22 80/3
136/17 136/18 136/19	based [7] 40/13 40/19		believe [5] 90/11	102/24 103/3 103/3
136/22 136/23 138/24	61/17 72/7 121/18	45/21 49/23 65/13	90/12 117/3 141/19	121/8 138/20 138/20
139/20 141/13 141/17			145/9	
141/20 144/11 146/22	145/12 146/5	67/23 68/8 71/10		139/1 139/2
149/2	basically [2] 25/6	74/20 75/4 76/25	believed [1] 124/4	bluntly [1] 7/10
awardable [2] 39/15	27/22	81/19 83/6 86/8 86/12		board [4] 2/9 70/21
93/10	basis [21] 12/19	87/11 90/5 95/6 98/3	belt [1] 26/16	73/21 76/5
awarded [18] 4/7	16/24 22/16 40/16	101/2 105/2 105/4	beneficiary's [1]	bodies [7] 73/5 73/22
19/18 19/18 22/2 23/5	42/13 47/10 48/8	108/8 108/10 108/11	66/21	74/12 76/10 96/7
23/18 37/5 40/18	48/11 48/13 69/22	108/14 109/6 109/15	benefit [8] 12/17	104/25 105/5
42/23 44/6 54/18	78/3 95/24 104/13	111/4 111/8 113/2	22/22 36/6 67/9 68/9	body [21] 65/11 69/2
59/12 93/9 126/2	108/18 110/12 129/14	113/7 113/17 113/20	100/20 126/1 129/8	73/6 73/11 74/2 74/5
126/22 132/22 144/1	129/20 130/10 133/9	116/25 118/11 118/22		74/23 75/2 75/9 78/10
145/21	138/25 149/4	121/10 122/14 123/3	36/15 66/2 66/13	78/25 79/9 85/23
awarding [3] 129/2	be [708]	125/24 130/21 132/17	66/15 66/18 66/22	86/19 88/7 89/20
130/18 145/21	bear [4] 47/25 93/6	139/2 139/13 140/22	67/14 68/18 87/21	94/24 104/24 105/3
awards [29] 11/11	93/7 105/14	141/9 141/15 145/11	88/14 126/16	105/11 105/14
18/24 27/11 27/17	bearing [3] 5/17 59/5	146/19 147/19 148/6	bereaved [7] 35/11	boils [1] 85/9
46/14 49/4 50/25	126/8	148/25 149/14 149/18	35/25 101/25 102/20	borderline [1] 144/5
1	became [1] 99/13	150/16 154/22	116/7 118/16 137/16	born [1] 22/6
53/12 53/22 54/1	because [70] 5/8 5/9	before [14] 1/5 1/22	bereavement [7] 18/9	borne [1] 84/20
54/18 61/19 70/11	9/14 14/11 19/23	1/25 3/23 15/13 24/23		both [15] 12/18 14/6
74/24 84/11 91/5	19/24 22/1 22/18 23/6	46/6 52/17 58/6 58/14	132/8 136/16 136/18	16/16 29/19 48/12
91/16 92/17 95/14	23/11 24/20 26/16	77/20 93/8 97/5 116/3		49/11 51/7 53/8 55/20
95/16 103/11 119/20	27/9 29/6 32/23 34/2	beginning [2] 127/7	83/17 83/21 84/4	58/1 65/19 75/22
131/12 137/3 140/12	36/18 39/11 41/11	150/22	125/14 136/8	80/10 91/14 152/16
140/14 140/15 146/21	45/8 53/6 57/20 58/17	behalf [7] 1/13 34/21	best [5] 12/14 48/20	bottom [1] 11/18
146/22	58/20 59/13 62/19	69/20 75/14 85/24	49/20 138/5 147/10	bought [2] 27/22
aware [3] 3/15 115/23	64/15 64/17 72/4	111/4 114/18	betraying [1] 27/20	36/16
120/22	74/17 78/6 78/11 79/1	behaviour [1] 46/2	better [8] 27/8 49/14	bound [1] 81/3
away [4] 29/24 39/23	82/5 90/10 91/7 95/2	behind [3] 27/25 53/6		braces [1] 26/16
40/1 102/10	95/12 96/24 98/3	96/25	111/17 132/13	
awful [5] 13/24 21/2				break [8] 1/14 1/14 38/6 53/15 53/18
89/7 132/1 132/16	101/7 101/14 103/4	being [65] 6/12 7/9	between [22] 6/16	
D	103/19 104/5 104/15	8/2 22/8 22/9 26/10	17/6 22/3 23/4 32/11	96/22 97/3 146/11
В	109/16 114/12 114/16	26/25 29/20 30/25	37/3 46/16 47/17	break-up [1] 146/11
baby [2] 22/6 29/12	121/8 123/1 123/10	31/3 39/8 39/23 40/1	53/12 65/18 67/4 71/7	Brian [1] 136/4
back [24] 3/21 11/18	123/22 124/19 124/21	47/24 49/16 52/20	71/12 94/4 100/8	briefly [1] 21/3
12/10 17/6 23/11 25/7	126/16 127/18 129/7	53/10 53/11 57/3 58/8	101/5 120/24 121/1	bring [9] 30/16 34/18
29/1 29/11 40/10	129/9 129/24 132/21	61/6 64/16 67/21	124/14 132/9 145/10	34/20 38/18 38/19
				(44) award bring

(44) award... - bring

Torig [4] 43/24 4612 24/20 24/22 26/12 34/23 35/3 35/3 35/3 73/20 120/9 12/1/2 12/1/1 133/4 72/24 146/24 26/23 30/22 30/22 52/16 52/19 53/23 129/3 129/18 131/25 133/2 136/3 129/3 129/18 131/25 brings [] 124/23 40/9 42/8 4/18 42/26 54/19 65/24 70/14 133/2 136/1 141/23 122/4 29/9 99/93/3 153/5 153/6 40/9 42/8 4/18 42/26 87/10 88/13 88/20 143/6 13/20 144/1/3 102/4 146/9 153/5 153/6 40/9 42/51/2 56/11 58/1 90/9 00/8 90/17 91/2 150/15 152/12 153/15 changes [2] 51/4 122/5 124/19/2 50/13 52/13 52/13 123/1 120/4 120/16 120/18 81/5 Castle [3] 2/10 3/3 26/5 134/10 122/5 124/19/2 50/13 52/13 52/13 123/1 123/1 123/1 138/1 136/7 63/4 68/11 68/22 73/8 72/2 12/21 122/12 castle [3] 2/10 3/3 26/5 134/10 charges [1] 9/10 charges [1] 13/1 138/1 57/14 60/5 90/23 26/5 134/10 charges [1] 13/1 138/1 136/7 64/2 89/12 90/22 150/10 154/16 26/5 138/2/4 26/5 134/10 charges [1] 13/1 138/1 57/14 60/5 90/23 26/5 134/10 charges [1] 13/1 138/1 57/14 60/5 90/23 26/5 13/5 2/	·····	1		1	1
Dring Parage II Parage II <th< td=""><td>В</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>chance [3] 47/9 97/12</td></th<>	В				chance [3] 47/9 97/12
72/24 72/24 <th< td=""><td>bring [4] 43/24 46/2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	bring [4] 43/24 46/2				
binging [1] 93/21 bing [3] 124/23 136/6 3/37 3/78 3/8 bing [3] 124/23 136/6 136/7 132/2 132/7 147/5 136/70 149/2 12/24 42/25 bing [3] 124/23 136/70 149/2 12/24 42/25 bing [3] 124/23 136/70 149/2 12/24 42/25 bing [3] 124/23 136/70 149/2 12/24 132/6 149/12 132/6 149/12 133/7 11/7 133/7 12 133/7 13/7 13/7 13/7 13/7 13/7 13/7 13/7					
brings [1] 12/21 13/21		30/24 34/10 35/16	54/19 65/24 70/14	132/2 136/1 141/23	72/24 99/9 99/13
1536 4004 42/24 2/14 63/13 63/20 140/23 14/12 12/25 12/27 1		36/8 37/3 37/9 38/5	73/22 73/24 78/13	143/6 143/20 144/13	102/4 146/9
13/3/6 103/6 13/3/6 103/6 93/2 45/11 46/10 90/7 90/2 150/15 152/12 153/15 changes [2] 51/4 112/25 12/51/6 136/7 35/13 52/13 52/13 12/14 120/16 120/16 20/14 120/16 120/16 Castle [3] 2/10 3/3 98/16 Chapter [1] 3/11 12/5/6 149/12 53/12 56/11 58/16 12/2/20 122/21 122/24 categories [10] 2/1/7 charged [1] 8/15 Chapter [1] 3/11 12/5/6 149/12 53/12 56/11 58/16 12/2/20 122/21 122/2 categories [10] 2/1/7 charged [1] 8/15 charged [1] 8/15 12/5/6 149/12 50/14 56/16 category [13] 3/20 28/5 13/10 charged [1] 8/15	~	40/9 42/8 42/18 42/25	87/10 88/13 88/20	146/23 147/2 147/5	changed [1] 100/9
Broad [1] Broad [1] <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
112/25 1201 6 50/13 52/13 52/13 50/14 120/14 120/16 120/18 8/15 Chapter [1] 3/11 125/16 149/12 53/12 56/11 56/16 53/12 56/11 56/16 53/12 56/11 56/17 66/16 50/12 22 52/51 70 67/14 60/2 22/51 70 7/17 charge [1] 3/11 125/16 149/12 58/22 50/7 63/14 65/3 123/1 123/14 120/11 146/25 128/14 120/17 58/15 61 17/6 charge [1] 8/15 charge [1] 8/15 charge [1] 8/15 13/11 60/12 charge [1] 8/15 13/11 60/12 charge [1] 8/15 13/11 60/12 charge [1] 9/10 charge [1] 8/15 12/11 70/7 charge [1] 9/10 charge [1] 13/3					
136/10/149/12 53/12 26/11 58/16 122/21 122/24 categories [10] 27/17 charge [4] 7/10 11/16 125/16 149/12 56/12 6/17 63/14 6/53 123/1 123/4 123/17 33/1 57/14 60/5 90/23 26/15 51/4/10 broadened [1] 21/7 68/14 68/17 68/27 38/14 62/15 122/21 122/24 categories [10] 27/17 charge [1] 81/5 broadened [1] 21/7 68/14 68/17 68/27 38/14 62/15 129/14 39/1 15/6 11/7 charge [1] 81/5 broadened [1] 135/10 97/13 97/19 100/13 129/12 career [1] 119/25 59/21 60/22 60/23 charge [1] 117/15 11/18 11/7 101/17 105/5 106/8 career [1] 122/1 101/17 117/16 118/14 136/7 cheque [1] 117/15 11/25 107/17 107/21 114/17 career [1] 122/1 123/14 6/13 133/21 139/5 child [6] 59/2 137/15 child [6] 59/2 137/15 11/225 125/16 149/12 11/17/15 123/14 6/14/14 11/17 11/16 146/12 career [1] 124/19 career [1] 124/19 career [1] 124/19 career [1] 13/3 career [1] 13/3 career [1] 13/3 13/221 146/12 child [6] 59/2 13/15 13/221 146/12 child [6] 12/2 child [6] 12/2 child [6] 12/2 child [6] 12/2 ch					
Direction of L21 Separation of L21 Sep	136/10 149/12 152/12				
12/5/16 (199/12) 68/4 68/11 68/22 73/8 124/5 (124/15 124/17 93/9 (115/6 117/6 charged [1] 81/5 broadened [1] 21/7 75/15 81/14 82/15 129/4 (129/11 146/25 118/14 (136/7 charged [1] 81/5 charged [1] 81/5 <t< td=""><td>broad-brush [2]</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	broad-brush [2]				
bit conserved [1] 12/1 75/15 81/14 82/15 129/4 129/11 146/25 118/14 136/7 carege [1] 9/10 40/14 152/7 86/24 89/12 90/22 150/10 154/16 carege [1] 129/1 129/11 146/25 129/11 146/25 129/11 120/2 146/12 146/12 146/12 146/12 <td>125/16 149/12</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	125/16 149/12				
broadly [3] Bi22 Part B 2/15 <	broadened [1] 21/7				
40/14 152/7 50/24 50/17 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>					
broken [1] 109/2 90/22 9/23 9/21 career [2] 119/25 59/21 60/22 60/22 60/26 cheaper [1] 13/3 brought [5] 101/17 105/5 106/8 101/17 105/5 106/8 93/20 116/17 117/4 cheaper [1] 13/3 36/3 44/17 72/25 107/7 107/21 114/17 career [1] 120/2 13/3/1 33/21 139/21 139/21 130/8 cheaper [1] 14/16 15/2/1 cheaper [1] 117/16 118/64 cheaper [1] 117/16 118/64 16/2/2 cheaper [1] 13/2 139/21 139/21 139/21 139/21 139/21 139/21 139/21 139/21 139/21 139/21 139/21 139/21 146/12 career [1] 120/21 career [1] 120/21 career [1] 13/21 139/14 13/21 139/14 13/21 139/21 13/24 13/31 13/24 13/31 13/24 13/31 13/24 13/31 13/24 13/31 13/24 13/31 13/24 13/31 13/24 13/31 13/24 13/31 13/24 13/31 13/24 13/31 </td <td></td> <td>86/24 89/12 90/22</td> <td>150/10 154/16</td> <td>category [13] 32/3</td> <td>charging [1] 6/10</td>		86/24 89/12 90/22	150/10 154/16	category [13] 32/3	charging [1] 6/10
bit of the [1] 133/10 97/13 97/19 100/13 122/11 93/20 116/17 117/4 check [1] 70/7 brough [5] 11/25 101/17 105/5 106/8 122/11 93/20 116/17 117/4 check [1] 70/7 36/3 44/17 72/25 117/8 122/10 123/19 112/17 117/8 122/10 123/19 112/17 117/16 118/6 135/9 check [1] 70/7 brush [4] 13/15 13/71 122/14 carre [1] 12/14 carre [1] 12/14 117/16 118/6 135/9 check [1] 70/7 brush [4] 13/15 13/71 12/14 carre [1] 22/14 carre [1] 12/14 carre [1] 13/14 13/14 13/14 carre [1] 22/14 13/14 13/14 13/14 13/14 13/14 13/14 13/14 13/14 13/14 13/14 13/14 13/14 13/14 13/14 13/14 13/14 13/17 22/14 13/14 13/14 13/14 13/17 22/14 23/12 23/14 13/14 13/17 23/14 13/14 13/17 23/14 13/14 13/17 13/17 23/14 13/14 13/17		90/22 90/23 92/1	career [2] 119/25	59/21 60/22 60/23	cheaper [1] 13/3
Biologiant Dividit [1] Dividit [1] Dividit [1] Dividit [2] Dividit [2] <thdividit [2]<="" th=""> <thdividit [2]<="" th=""></thdividit></thdividit>	~ ~	97/13 97/19 100/13		93/20 116/17 117/4	check [1] 70/7
Drougn [15] 11/2 107/7 107/21 114/17 careful [2] 23/18 136/13 138/21 139/2 child [6] 59/2 137/15 114/18 11/7 12/25 11/7 107/7 107/21 114/17 careful [2] 23/18 11/7 cater [1] 12/32 136/13 138/21 139/2 136/13 138/21 139/2 146/12 131/2 131/2 146/12 146/12 146/12 146/11 146/12 146/12		101/17 105/5 106/8	careers [1] 120/2	117/16 118/6 135/9	
3030 4411 1223 117/8 122/10 123/19 112/7 cater [1] 123/21 146/8 146/12 146/12 brush [4] 13/15 112/25 125/16 149/12 136/21 137/18 cater [1] 123/12 cater [1] 123/12 146/8 146/12 146/12 built [2] 55/17 81/22 142/16 143/15 143/16 carre [1] 12/12 20/14 20/15 21/14 cater [1] 123/21 146/12 146/12 built [2] 56/17 81/22 12/16 143/15 143/16 carre [1] 12/12 20/14 20/15 21/14 cater [1] 13/14 15/3 118/20 144/14 childeseness [2] 134/24 135/1 built [2] 56/17 81/12 cant [6] 97/14 131/8 carre [1] 12/1 carre [1] 12/12 22/10 41/13 87/18 childeseness [2] 134/24 135/1 102/6 tarre [1] 120/6 132/1 140/9 carre [1] 12/12 carre [1] 12/12 146/12 146/12 carre [1] 13/12 146/14 13/15 143/16 buy [13] 109/25 tarre [1] 12/16 cancer [2] 49/3 27/24 28/5 28/15 cent [6] [5] 6/4 8/10 38/6 136/14 139/17 143/16 carre [1] 12/27 taf/16 f5 7/19 6/03 60/7 127/11 12/13 16/16 116/16 14/12 16/17 146/12 16/17 146/12 16/17 146/12 16/17 146/12 16/17 146/12 16/17 146/12 16/17 146/12 16/17 14/14/14 16/17 14/14/14/14/14/14/14/14 16/17 14/14/14/14/14/14/					
114/10 123/24 136/21 137/15 123/24 136/21 137/15 123/24 136/21 137/15 123/24 136/21 137/15 137/21 139/18 140/18 carrer [1] 129/15 caused [16] 12/23 197/12 20/6 childhood [1] 139/14 139/14 139/14 20					
Drush 14] 13/15 137/21 139/18 140/18 carers [1] 29/15 caused [16] 12/6 12/6 12/6 12/6 12/6 13/6					
11/2/25 1/25/16 1/49/1/2 14/2/16 143/15 143/16 caring [5] 19/22 20/7 12/23 19/12 20/6 childlessness [2] buill [2] 56/17 81/22 152/1 32/1 146/10 146/11 20/14 20/15 21/4 13/2/25 14/3/21 burdened [1] 120/6 132/25 145/21 148/2 carry [1] 29/12 20/14 20/15 21/4 13/17/4 102/6 133/17 carce [1] 140/9 21/23 22/4 24/19 27/1 causes [1] 17/4 116/9 116/9 16/10 133/4 135/3 102/6 cancer [2] 49/3 27/24 28/5 28/15 cancel [5] 6/4 8/10 38/6 136/14 139/7 144/9 101/5 cancer [2] 49/3 27/24 28/5 28/15 cant [6] 6/4 8/10 38/6 136/14 139/7 144/9 calculate [1] 109/12 cantol [4] 27/24 80/2 47/25 51/3 52/14 centel [5] 6/4 8/10 38/6 136/14 139/7 144/9 calculate [1] 109/12 capable [1] 122/18 capable [1] 22/18 capable [1] 22/18 central [1] 33/3 20/12 128/12 31/2 calculation [5] 61/18 capatie [1] 39/13 66/21 108/6 108/8 83/13 88/19 114/5 136/17 14/22/4 choices [2] 62/7 calculation [5] 61/18 captare [1] 25/8 captare [1] 39/13 65/12 108/6 108/8 83/13 88/19 114/5 136/17 14/22/4 choices [2] 62/7 142/14 136/14 14	brush [4] 13/15				
Dulli [2] 55/17 81/22 152/1 32/1 146/10 146/11 20/14 20/15 21/4 134/24 135/1 burdened [1] 120/6 132/25 145/21 148/2 carv [1] 29/12 22/10 41/13 87/18 16/16 (11) burdened [1] 13/11 133/9 cancelled [1] 140/9 21/23 22/4 24/19 27/1 134/24 135/1 15/15 37/15 59/2 116/5 burg [1] 109/25 candid [1] 92/1 candid [1] 92/1 21/23 22/4 24/19 27/1 causes [1] 17/4 116/9 116/9 calculate [1] 109/12 candid [1] 92/1 candid [1] 92/1 27/24 28/5 28/15 cent [5] 6/4 8/10 38/6 136/14 139/7 144/3 calculate [1] 109/12 capacity [1] 122/6 capacity [1] 122/6 capacity [1] 122/6 cent [5] 6/4 8/10 38/6 20/21 28/12 31/2 central [1] 33/3 38/17 41/14 46/16 calculating [3] 43/17 capatity [1] 122/6 capatity [1] 25/8 108/16 108/18 108/18 83/13 88/19 114/5 choices [2] 62/7 certain [6] 59/9 72/18 83/15 84/5 129/15 calculation [2] 57/8 cart [1] 6/15 cart [4] 87/21 88/12 108/16 108/18 108/18 83/13 88/19 114/5 choices [2] 62/7 taise [4] 2/13 certain [6] 59/9 72/18 83/15 84/5 129/15 136/7 142/24 choices [112/25 125/16 149/12				
bullet [2] 80/14 81/10 152/1 32/1 140/10 146/11 20/14 20/15 21/4 154/24 135/1 burdensome [1] 120/6 am't [6] 97/14 131/8 carer [1] 29/12 22/10 41/13 87/18 children [19] 16/10 burdensome [1] 132/25 145/21 148/2 7/15 8/14 21/2 21/12 144/14 5 146/7 146/11 36/15 37/9 59/2 116/5 business [1] 13/17 cancer [2] 49/3 27/24 28/5 28/15 ceased [2] 5/19 7/22 116/10 133/4 135/3 buy [1] 109/25 cancer [2] 49/3 27/124 28/5 28/15 ceased [2] 5/19 7/22 116/10 133/4 135/3 cancer [2] 49/3 27/14 80/2 47/25 51/3 52/14 centil [3] 127/4 choice [15] 14/23 calculate [1] 109/12 cancit [4] 27/24 80/2 47/25 51/3 52/14 centil [3] 127/4 choice [15] 14/23 calculate [1] 109/12 capable [1] 122/18 capitalised [1] 39/13 centil [1] 22/6 centil [3] 127/4 choice [15] 14/23 calculation [3] 34/17 capitalised [1] 39/13 85/12 108/6 108/8 certain [6] 59/9 72/18 83/15 84/5 129/15 13/67 142/24 calculation [5] 61/18 capitalised [1] 39/13 10/12 110/20 certainly [16] 1/22 12/25 22/5 83/13 13/67 142/24 13/6/5 21/22 <	built [2] 55/17 81/22				
burdened [1] 120/6 cart [6] 9/1/4 13/8 carty [1] 29/12 22/10 4/1/3 8/178 children [19] 16/10 102/6 burdensome [1] 132/25 145/21 148/2 case [61] 4/11 7/14 115/3 118/20 144/14 25/15 27/14 36/12 102/6 burdensome [1] 13/17 cancelled [1] 140/9 cancelled [1] 40/9 21/23 22/4 24/19 27/1 causes [1] 17/4 116/9 116/9 but [302] burdensome [2] 49/3 27/24 28/5 28/15 caunot [4] 27/24 80/2 21/12 37/14 30/12 116/9 116/9 but [302] 133/17 29/17 30/22 30/25 cent [5] 61/8 7/29 116/0 133/4 135/3 136/14 139/7 144/33 canot [4] 27/24 80/2 47/15 51/3 52/14 centrile [3] 127/4 choice [15] 14/23 136/14 139/7 144/23 calculate [1] 109/12 capacity [1] 122/18 68/6 68/14 73/24 centrile [3] 127/4 choice [15] 14/23 calculating [3] 43/17 capital [2] 39/13 65/12 108/16 108/8 83/13 88/19 114/5 38/17 41/14 46/16 66/21 capital [2] 39/13 capital [2] 39/13 capital [2] 39/13 capital [2] 39/13 capital [2] 20/1 120/20 123/24 123/24 certain [6] 59/9 72/18 83/15 84/5 129/15 100/15 109/9 126/9 jas/14 capital [2] 39/13					
burdensome [1] 13/2/5 149/2/1148/2 case [6] 1/1/1/1/14 110/2/14/14 25/15 2/1/4 36/12 102/6 bursiness [1] 13/17 cancelled [1] 14/15 146/7 146/11 36/15 37/9 59/2 116/5 bursiness [1] 13/17 cancer [2] 49/3 21/23 22/4 24/19 27/1 causes [1] 17/4 116/10 133/4 135/3 burg [1] 109/25 tancer [2] 49/3 27/24 28/5 28/15 central [5] 6/4 8/10 38/6 136/14 139/7 144/9 cancel [4] 109/25 tanot [4] 27/24 88/5 28/15 central [5] 6/4 8/10 38/6 136/14 139/7 144/9 cancel [4] 100/23 141/10 canot [4] 27/24 80/2 80/5 84/17 central [1] 33/3 38/17 41/14 46/16 calculate [4] 16/18 capatel [1] 108/16 108/18 108/18 83/13 88/17 14/2 42/0 47/22 52/25 8/3/3 calculation [5] 61/18 capture [1] 29/1 108/16 108/18 108/18 83/13 88/19 114/5 136/7 142/24 calculations [2] 57/8 cart [1] 13/9 10/21 11/22 central [1] 12/2 choices [2] 6/2/1 100/11 calculations [2] 57/8 say13 89					
102/6 153/9 7/15 8/14 2/12 2/1/2 144/17 146/11 36/15 3/19 59/2 116/5 business [1] 13/11 cancelled [1] 140/9 21/23 22/4 24/19 27/1 causes [1] 17/4 16/9 116/9 116/9 116/9 116/9 116/9 buy [1] 109/25 ising [2] 49/3 27/24 28/5 28/15 causes [1] 17/4 136/14 139/7 144/9 C cancelled [1] 12/1 47/25 51/3 52/14 cents [3] 17/4 136/14 139/7 144/9 calculate [1] 109/12 capable [1] 12/18 68/6 68/14 73/24 central [1] 33/3 38/17 41/14 46/16 calculate [1] 109/12 capatig [1] 39/13 capatig [1] 39/13 60/21 central [1] 33/3 38/17 41/14 46/16 calculate [1] 12/17 capatig [1] 39/13 capital [2] 39/13 66/21 central [6] 59/9 72/18 83/15 84/5 129/15 calculation [5] 61/18 capital [2] 39/13 capture [1] 25/8 capture [1] 25/8 capture [1] 25/8 136/14 144/17 149/14 150/24 certainly [16] 1/22 chose [2] 31/8 133/19 caclulator [2] 9/21 s9/13 89/13 card [4] 87/21 88/12 120/20 123/24 123/24 22/9 41/12 42/20 54/9 chose [2] 4/8 148/16 call [2] 47/13 109/25 card [4] 87/21 88/12 126/15 26/2 27/7 22/7 24/23 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
business [1] 13/11 cancer [2] 49/3 21/23 22/4 24/19 27/1 causes [1] 17/4 116/9 116/9 116/9 116/9 buy [3] 109/25 cancer [2] 49/3 27/24 28/5 28/15 ceased [2] 5/19 7/22 116/10 133/4 135/3 by [165] candid [1] 92/1 21/24 28/2 28/15 cent [5] 6/4 8/10 38/6 136/14 139/7 144/9 calculate [1] 109/12 cancer [2] 49/3 29/17 30/22 30/25 cent [5] 6/4 8/10 38/6 136/14 139/7 144/9 calculate [1] 109/12 cancer [2] 47/3 10/23 141/10 53/16 57/19 60/3 60/7 centile [3] 127/4 choice [15] 14/23 calculate [1] 109/12 captal [2] 39/13 68/6 68/14 73/24 central [1] 33/3 38/17 41/14 46/16 calculating [3] 43/17 captacity [1] 122/6 79/22 80/58/17 ceateral [6] 59/9 72/18 83/15 84/5 129/15 calculating [5] 61/18 capture [1] 20/1 108/16 108/8 83/13 88/19 114/5 136/7 142/24 calculation [2] 57/8 car [1] 6/15 car [1] 6/15 car [1] 6/15 car [1] 22/6 car [1] 22/6 chose [2] 31/8 130/3 car [1] 6/15 car [1] 6/15 capture [2] 20/1 120/20 132/24 123/12 22/9 41/12 42/20 54/9 chose [2] 41/13 100/11 car [1] 6/15		153/9	7/15 8/14 21/2 21/12	144/15 146/7 146/11	36/15 37/9 59/2 116/5
but [302] Carcer [2] 49/3 2/1/2 28/5 28/15 carcer [2] 5/19 /122 The/h0133/4 133/3 buy [1] 109/25 133/17 29/17 30/22 30/25 cantid [1] 92/1 candid [1] 92/1 29/17 30/22 30/25 cantid [1] 92/1 136/14 139/7 144/9 C candid [1] 92/1 candid [1] 92/1 116/10 133/4 133/7 144/9 42/17 111/13 146/17 146/23 C candid [1] 92/1 candid [1] 92/1 53/16 57/19 60/3 60/7 centis [3] 127/4 coice [15] 14/23 calculate [4] 16/18 capable [1] 122/18 68/6 68/14 73/24 centian [6] 59/9 72/18 38/17 41/14 46/16 calculating [3] 43/17 capital [2] 39/13 capital [2] 20/1 108/16 108/8 83/13 88/19 114/5 136/7 142/24 calculation [5] 61/18 capture [1] 25/8 car [1] 6/15 car [1] 5/2 capital 88/12 3/12 3/15 4/6 5/17 capital 88/12 3/16 3/17 capital 88/12 sapital 88/12 sapital 88/12 <td></td> <td>cancelled [1] 140/9</td> <td>21/23 22/4 24/19 27/1</td> <td>causes [1] 17/4</td> <td>116/9 116/9 116/9</td>		cancelled [1] 140/9	21/23 22/4 24/19 27/1	causes [1] 17/4	116/9 116/9 116/9
buy [1] 109/25 133/17 29/17 30/22 30/25 cent [5] 6/4 8/10 38/6 136/14 139/7 144/9 candid [1] 92/1 candid [1] 92/1 41/18 42/16 46/13 41/18 42/16 46/13 146/17 146/23 calculate [1] 109/12 canot [4] 27/24 80/2 47/125 51/3 52/14 centile [3] 127/4 146/17 146/23 calculate [1] 109/12 capable [1] 122/18 68/6 68/14 73/24 centile [3] 127/4 choice [15] 4/4/3 calculating [3] 43/17 capital [2] 39/13 68/6 68/14 73/24 centile [3] 127/4 choice [16] 5/9 72/18 66/21 129/17 capital [2] 39/13 66/21 108/16 108/18 108/18 as/13 38/19 114/5 136/7 142/24 calculation [5] 61/18 capital [2] 20/1 120/20 123/24 123/24 certain [6] 59/9 72/18 83/15 84/5 129/15 100/15 109/9 126/9 apital [2] 20/1 100/20 123/24 123/24 certain [6] 59/9 72/18 83/15 84/5 129/15 100/11 capital [2] 20/1 100/20 123/24 123/24 110/2 110/20 certain [6] 59/9 72/18 83/15 84/5 129/15 100/11 capital [2] 20/1 12/58 113/8 117/15 119/8 capital [2] 30/13 110/12 110/12 110/20 100/11 capital [2] 20/1 12/52 car [1] 6/15		cancer [2] 49/3	27/24 28/5 28/15	ceased [2] 5/19 7/22	116/10 133/4 135/3
by [165] cannot [4] 27/24 80/2 41/18 42/16 46/13 42/17 111/13 child [1] 146/17 146/23 C cannot [4] 27/24 80/2 47/25 51/3 52/14 centile [3] 127/4 child [1] 12/14 Calculate [1] 100/23 141/10 53/16 57/19 60/3 60/7 centile [3] 127/4 child [1] 22/12 child [1] 22/12 child [1] 22/14 child [1] 22/15 calculation [2] 22/15 calculation [2] 22/15 calculation [2] 22/17 113/8 108/16 108/16 108/18 108/18 23/13 23/15 24/17 13/3 23/15 24/17 13/3 21/12 22/16 21/12 22/16 21/12 22/16 21/12 21/12 21/12		133/17	29/17 30/22 30/25	cent [5] 6/4 8/10 38/6	136/14 139/7 144/9
by [163] cannot [4] 27/24 80/2 47/25 51/3 52/14 centile [3] 127/4 choice [15] 14/23 C 100/23 141/10 53/16 57/19 60/3 60/7 127/11 128/9 20/21 28/12 31/2 calculate [1] 109/12 capable [1] 122/18 68/6 68/14 73/24 central [1] 33/3 38/17 41/14 46/16 calculate [4] 16/18 36/1 37/22 128/15 capatity [1] 122/6 79/22 80/5 84/17 certain [6] 59/9 72/18 83/15 84/5 129/15 calculating [3] 43/17 66/21 08/6 108/18 108/18 108/16 108/18 108/18 83/13 88/19 114/5 136/7 14/24 42/2 calculation [5] 61/18 capture [1] 25/8 100/15 109/9 126/9 110/12 110/20 119/3 certainly [16] 1/22 14/213 100/11 cart [1] 6/15 cart [1] 6/15 127/20 132/13 134/19 103/3 106/2 109/23 choose [2] 31/8 133/19 calculator [2] 9/21 39/16 care [75] 16/15 16/16 150/6 153/5 certainly [1] 12/4 certainly [1] 12/4 choose [2] 4/8 148/18 call [2] 47/13 109/25 care [75] 21/7 22/7 22/7 22/7 24/23 38/3 12 3/15 4/6 5/17 certainly [1] 12/4 circuit [1] 88/5 calculator [2] 9/21 care [75] 216		candid [1] 92/1	41/18 42/16 46/13		146/17 146/23
C 100/23 141/10 53/16 57/19 60/3 60/7 127/11 128/9 20/21 28/12 31/2 calculate [1] 109/12 capable [1] 122/18 capable [1] 122/18 capable [1] 122/18 capable [1] 122/18 capacity [1] 122/6 38/17 41/14 46/16 36/1 37/22 128/15 capital [2] 39/13 66/21 capital [2] 20/1 91/16 100/15 108/18 108/18 83/13 88/19 114/5 136/7 142/24 choices [2] 62/7 142/13 100/15 109/9 126/9 capture [1] 25/8 113/8 117/15 119/8 certain [6] 59/9 72/18 83/15 84/5 129/15 136/7 142/24 100/15 109/9 126/9 capture [1] 25/8 110/12 110/12 110/20 119/3 certainly [16] 1/22 142/13 choices [2] 62/7 142/13 100/15 109/9 126/9 capture [1] 51/8 127/20 132/13 134/19 103/3 106/2 109/23 choices [2] 48/12 148/12 136/9 136/14 144/17 115/14 127/19 136/10 choices [2] 4/8 148/18 calculation [2] 57/8 car [1] 81/2 18/8/12 136/9 136/14 144/17 115/14 127/19 136/10 certain [1] 103/3 106/2 109/23 <td< td=""><td>by [165]</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	by [165]				
Calculate [1] 109/12 capable [1] 122/18 68/6 68/14 73/24 central [1] 33/3 38/17 41/14 46/16 Calculated [4] 16/18 capatity [1] 122/16 79/22 80/5 84/17 certain [6] 59/9 72/18 83/15 84/5 122/5 83/15 84/5 129/17 capitalised [1] 39/13 66/21 100/12 110/12	C				
calculate [1] 103/12 capacity [1] 122/6 79/22 80/5 84/17 cerebral [1] 22/6 47/22 52/25 83/13 36/1 37/22 128/15 capital [2] 39/13 65/21 108/16 108/8 83/13 88/19 114/5 83/15 84/5 129/15 calculating [3] 43/17 capital [2] 39/13 100/15 108/8 83/13 88/19 114/5 136/7 142/24 calculation [5] 61/18 capture [1] 25/8 113/8 117/15 119/8 certain [6] 59/9 72/18 83/15 84/5 129/15 100/15 109/9 126/9 capture [2] 20/1 120/20 123/24 123/24 22/9 41/12 42/20 54/9 choices [2] 61/2 100/11 car [1] 61/5 127/20 132/13 134/19 103/3 106/2 109/23 chose [1] 45/2 calculator [2] 9/21 38/13 89/13 146/4 147/1 147/5 149/14 150/24 circuit [1] 86/5 calculatior [2] 9/21 38/13 88/13 cases [49] 12/10 2/19 certainty [1] 119/4 circuit [1] 86/5 calculatior [2] 8/213 18/8 cases [49] 2/10 2/19 certainty [1] 119/4 circuit [1] 86/5 calculatior [2] <					
Calculation [2] 101/10 Capital [2] 39/13 85/12 108/6 108/8 certain [6] 59/9 72/18 83/15 84/5 129/15 Calculating [3] 43/17 66/21 108/16 108/18 108/18 83/13 88/19 114/5 136/7 142/24 Calculation [5] 61/18 capture [1] 25/8 110/12 110/12 110/20 119/3 certain [6] 59/9 72/18 83/15 84/5 129/15 100/15 109/9 126/9 capture [1] 25/8 113/8 117/15 119/8 certain [6] 59/9 72/18 83/15 84/5 129/15 100/15 109/9 126/9 capture [1] 25/8 110/12 110/12 110/20 119/3 choices [2] 62/7 130/3 captured [2] 20/1 120/20 123/24 123/24 119/3 certain [6] 59/9 72/18 83/15 84/5 129/15 100/15 109/9 126/9 captured [2] 20/1 120/20 123/24 123/24 119/3 choices [2] 62/7 choices [2] 31/8 100/11 care [1] 6/15 care [1] 6/15 127/20 132/13 134/19 103/3 106/2 109/23 choise [1] 45/2 choise [1] 45/2 calculatior [2] 9/21 39/18 89/13 146/4 147/1 147/5 149/14 150/24 circuit [1] 86/5 circuit [1] 86/					
63/51/12/11/2 63/21 108/16 108/18 108/18 83/13 83/9 114/5 136/7 142/24 66/21 129/17 capitalised [1] 39/13 110/12 110/12 110/20 119/3 certainly [16] 1/22 142/13 100/15 109/9 126/9 91/16 124/8 124/9 124/11 73/8 75/15 92/13 133/19 choices [2] 62/7 142/13 choices [2] 63/7 142/14 133/19 133/19 choices [2] 63/7 142/24 choices [2] 63/7 142/24 choices [2] 63/7 142/2					
calculating [1] 40/17 capitalised [1] 39/13 110/12 110/12 110/20 119/3 choices [2] 62/7 calculation [5] 61/18 capture [1] 25/8 113/8 117/15 119/8 certainly [16] 1/22 142/13 100/15 109/9 126/9 91/16 120/20 123/24 123/24 22/9 41/12 42/20 54/9 choose [2] 31/8 100/11 91/16 124/8 124/9 124/11 73/8 75/15 92/13 133/19 calculations [2] 57/8 car [1] 6/15 127/20 132/13 134/19 103/3 106/2 109/23 chose [1] 45/2 calculator [2] 9/21 89/13 89/13 146/4 147/1 147/5 149/14 150/24 circuit [1] 86/5 care [75] 16/15 16/16 150/6 153/5 certainty [1] 119/4 circuit [1] 86/5 circuit [1] 86/5 called [2] 8/20 29/19 16/18 16/23 18/8 21/25 22/7 22/7 24/23 3/8 3/12 3/15 4/6 5/17 certainty [1] 123/19 circuit [1] 86/5 care [75] 16/15 16/16 150/6 153/5 certainty [1] 123/19 circuit [1] 86/5 circuit [1] 86/5 cane [1] 32/16 26/10 26/15 26/22 3/8 3/12 3/15 4/6 5/17 certainty [1] 123/19 challenge [3] 108/5 32/24 35/4 45/15 46/7 c/10 9/18 10/4 10/6 10/15 11/6 11/12 31/1 31/7 31/14 31/15 35/3 86/11 89/24 109/20					
calculation [5] 61/18 capture [1] 25/8 113/8 117/15 119/8 certainly [16] 1/22 142/13 100/15 109/9 126/9 91/16 120/20 123/24 123/24 22/9 41/12 42/20 54/9 143/13 130/3 car [1] 6/15 127/20 132/13 134/19 103/3 106/2 109/23 133/19 calculations [2] 57/8 car [1] 6/15 127/20 132/13 134/19 103/3 106/2 109/23 chose [1] 45/2 calculator [2] 9/21 89/13 89/13 146/4 147/1 147/5 149/14 150/24 chose [2] 4/8 148/18 called [2] 8/20 29/19 care [75] 16/15 16/16 150/6 153/5 certainly [1] 119/4 certainly [1] 119/4 circuit [1] 86/5 cane [1] 32/16 16/18 16/23 18/8 21/25 22/7 22/7 24/23 3/8 3/12 3/15 4/6 5/17 certainly [1] 123/19 circumstance [3] cane [1] 32/16 26/10 26/15 26/22 22/9 26/17 26/19 109/20 144/15 28/13 30/1 30/5 32/23 can [90] 1/17 2/5 2/17 27/5 27/8 27/21 27/22 28/15 29/9 32/19 39/6 challenge [1] 105/2 28/13 30/1 30/5 32/23 6/10 9/18 10/4 10/6 31/1 31/7 31/14 31/15 32/13 33/10 33/24 100/15 108/24 109/21 109/14 99/14 115/22 118/9 13/1 131/7 31/14 31/15 32/13 33/10 33/24 100/15 108/24 109/21	calculating [3] 43/17				
calculation [5] 51/16 captured [2] 20/1 120/20 123/24 123/24 22/9 41/12 42/20 54/9 choose [2] 31/8 130/3 91/16 124/8 124/9 124/11 73/8 75/15 92/13 133/19 calculations [2] 57/8 car [1] 6/15 127/20 132/13 134/19 103/3 106/2 109/23 133/19 100/11 card [4] 87/21 88/12 136/9 136/14 144/17 115/14 127/19 136/10 146/4 147/1 147/5 146/4 147/1 147/5 146/4 147/1 147/5 146/4 147/1 147/5 149/14 150/24 circuit [1] 86/5	66/21 129/17				
100/15 109/9 126/9 130/3 captured [2] 20/1 91/16 120/20 123/24 123/24 124/8 124/9 124/11 22/9 41/12 42/20 54/9 73/8 75/15 92/13 choose [2] 31/8 133/19 calculations [2] 57/8 100/11 car [1] 6/15 carl [4] 87/21 88/12 120/20 123/24 123/24 124/8 124/9 124/11 22/9 41/12 42/20 54/9 73/8 75/15 92/13 choose [2] 31/8 133/19 30/16 call [2] 47/13 109/25 called [2] 8/20 29/19 came [1] 32/16 can [90] 1/17 2/5 2/17 care [75] 16/15 16/16 16/18 16/23 18/8 136/9 136/14 144/17 150/6 153/5 1150/6 153/5 certainty [1] 119/4 circuit [1] 86/5 came [1] 32/16 can [90] 1/17 2/5 2/17 16/18 16/23 18/8 cases [49] 2/10 2/19 certainty [1] 129/4 circumstances [22] 2/20 3/6 3/20 3/23 5/7 6/10 9/18 10/4 10/6 28/2 28/16 29/5 29/6 59/3 59/13 64/22 72/1 109/20 144/15 32/24 35/4 45/15 46/7 10/15 11/6 11/12 31/1 31/7 31/14 31/15 85/3 86/11 89/24 100/15 108/24 109/21 challenge [1] 105/2 52/14 81/24 83/11 10/15 11/6 11/12 32/13 33/10 33/24 100/15 108/24 109/21 109/14 133/11 138/15 139/12	calculation [5] 61/18				
calculations [2] 57/8 car [1] 6/15 127/20 132/13 134/19 103/3 106/2 109/23 chose [1] 45/2 100/11 136/9 136/14 144/17 115/14 127/19 136/10 149/14 150/24 chose [2] 4/8 148/18 239/16 16/18 16/23 18/8 146/4 147/1 147/5 149/14 150/24 circuit [1] 86/5 call [2] 47/13 109/25 16/18 16/23 18/8 150/6 153/5 cases [49] 2/10 2/19 cater [1] 123/19 circumstance [3] cane [1] 32/16 25/25 26/2 26/7 26/9 3/8 3/12 3/15 4/6 5/17 catel [3] 108/5 109/20 144/15 51/1 57/24 136/5 cane [90] 1/17 2/5 2/17 26/10 26/15 26/22 5/20 13/8 13/17 22/6 22/9 26/17 26/19 109/20 144/15 28/13 30/1 30/5 32/23 6/10 9/18 10/4 10/6 28/2 28/16 29/5 29/6 59/3 59/13 64/22 72/1 59/3 59/13 64/22 72/1 challenge [1] 105/2 52/14 81/24 83/11 10/15 11/6 11/12 32/13 33/10 33/24 100/15 108/24 109/21 109/14 99/14 115/22 118/9 133/11 138/15 139/12 32/13 33/10 33/24 100/15 108/24 109/21 109/14 133/11 138/15 139/12	100/15 109/9 126/9				
calculations [2] 57/8 car [1] 6/15 127/20 132/13 134/19 103/3 106/2 109/23 chose [1] 45/2 100/11 card [4] 87/21 88/12 136/9 136/14 144/17 115/14 127/19 136/10 chose [2] 4/8 148/18 39/16 care [75] 16/15 16/16 150/6 153/5 certainty [1] 119/4 circuit [1] 86/5 called [2] 8/20 29/19 16/18 16/23 18/8 16/18 16/23 18/8 3/8 3/12 3/15 4/6 5/17 certificate [1] 68/8 circuit [1] 86/5 cane [1] 32/16 11/17 2/5 2/17 25/25 26/2 26/7 26/9 3/8 3/12 3/15 4/6 5/17 challenge [3] 108/5 51/1 57/24 136/5 circuit [1] 82/12 26/10 26/15 26/22 26/17 26/19 109/20 144/15 32/24 35/4 45/15 46/7 2/20 3/6 3/20 3/23 5/7 27/5 27/8 27/21 27/22 28/15 29/9 32/19 39/6 challenged [1] 83/19 32/24 35/4 45/15 46/7 6/10 9/18 10/4 10/6 28/2 28/16 29/5 29/6 59/3 59/13 64/22 72/1 challenges [1] 105/2 52/14 81/24 83/11 10/15 11/6 11/12 31/1 31/7 31/14 31/15 32/13 33/10 33/24 100/15 108/24 109/21 109/14 99/14 115/22 118/9 109/14 133/11 138/15 139/12 100/15 108/24 109/21 109/14 133/11 138/15 139/12	130/3				
100/11 card [4] 87/21 88/12 136/9 136/14 144/17 115/14 127/19 136/10 chosen [2] 4/8 148/18 39/16 89/13 89/13 146/4 147/1 147/5 149/14 150/24 circuit [1] 86/5 39/16 care [75] 16/15 16/16 150/6 153/5 certainty [1] 119/4 certificate [1] 68/8 circumstance [3] called [2] 8/20 29/19 21/25 22/7 22/7 24/23 3/8 3/12 3/15 4/6 5/17 certificate [1] 68/8 circumstances [22] came [1] 32/16 25/25 26/2 26/7 26/9 5/20 13/8 13/17 22/6 cettrainty [1] 123/19 challenge [3] 108/5 28/13 30/1 30/5 32/23 2/20 3/6 3/20 3/23 5/7 26/10 26/15 26/22 28/15 29/9 32/19 39/6 challenged [1] 83/19 32/24 35/4 45/15 46/7 10/15 11/6 11/12 28/2 28/16 29/5 29/6 59/3 59/13 64/22 72/1 challenges [1] 105/2 52/14 81/24 83/11 10/15 11/6 11/12 32/13 33/10 33/24 100/15 108/24 109/21 109/14 99/14 115/22 118/9 133/11 138/15 139/12 32/13 33/10 33/24 100/15 108/24 109/21 109/14 133/11 138/15 139/12		car [1] 6/15		103/3 106/2 109/23	chose [1] 45/2
Solution [2] 9/21 89/13 89/13 146/4 147/1 147/5 149/14 150/24 circuit [1] 86/5 39/16 care [75] 16/15 16/16 150/6 153/5 certainty [1] 119/4 certificate [1] 68/8 called [2] 8/20 29/19 21/25 22/7 22/7 24/23 3/8 3/12 3/15 4/6 5/17 3/8 3/12 3/15 4/6 5/17 certainty [1] 123/19 51/1 57/24 136/5 came [1] 32/16 25/25 26/2 26/7 26/9 5/20 13/8 13/17 22/6 22/9 26/17 26/19 109/20 144/15 51/1 57/24 136/5 2/10 2/19 25/25 26/2 26/7 26/9 26/10 26/15 26/22 22/9 26/17 26/19 109/20 144/15 challenge [3] 108/5 32/24 35/4 45/15 46/7 2/20 3/6 3/20 3/23 5/7 28/2 28/16 29/5 29/6 59/3 59/13 64/22 72/1 109/20 144/15 32/24 35/4 45/15 46/7 6/10 9/18 10/4 10/6 31/1 31/7 31/14 31/15 85/3 86/11 89/24 challenges [1] 105/2 52/14 81/24 83/11 10/15 11/6 11/12 32/13 33/10 33/24 100/15 108/24 109/21 109/14 99/14 115/22 118/9 33/11 138/15 139/12 33/11 138/15 139/12 133/11 138/15 139/12 133/11 138/15 139/12		card [4] 87/21 88/12	136/9 136/14 144/17	115/14 127/19 136/10	chosen [2] 4/8 148/18
39/16 care [75] 16/15 15/15 certainty [1] 119/4 119/4 circumstance [3] 51/1 57/24 13/5 called [2] 8/20 29/19 21/25 22/17 22/17 24/23 3/8 3/12 3/15 4/6 5/17 certainty [1] 119/4 circumstance [2] 28/13 30/1 30/5 22/2 22/9 26/17 26/19 108/5 28/13 30/1 30/5 32/24 35/4 45/15 46/7 32/24 35/4 45/15 46/7 32/24 35/4 45/15 46/7 32/24 35/4 45/15 46/7 32/24 35/4 45/15 46/7 32/24 35/4 45/15 46/7 48/22 48/25 52/4 52/6			146/4 147/1 147/5	149/14 150/24	
Contine Contine <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
called [2] 8/20 29/19 21/25 22/7 22/7 24/23 3/8 3/12 3/15 4/6 5/17 cetera [1] 123/19 circumstances [22] came [1] 32/16 25/25 26/2 26/7 26/9 5/20 13/8 13/17 22/6 challenge [3] 108/5 28/13 30/1 30/5 32/23 can [90] 1/17 2/5 2/17 26/10 26/15 26/22 22/9 26/17 26/19 109/20 144/15 32/24 35/4 45/15 46/7 2/20 3/6 3/20 3/23 5/7 27/5 27/8 27/21 27/22 28/15 29/9 32/19 39/6 challenged [1] 83/19 6/10 9/18 10/4 10/6 28/2 28/16 29/5 29/6 59/3 59/13 64/22 72/1 challenges [1] 105/2 52/14 81/24 83/11 10/15 11/6 11/12 31/1 31/7 31/14 31/15 85/3 86/11 89/24 challenging [1] 99/14 115/22 118/9 15/13 18/3 18/19 21/3 32/13 33/10 33/24 100/15 108/24 109/21 109/14 133/11 138/15 139/12					
Came [1] 32/16 25/25 26/2 26/7 26/9 5/20 13/8 13/17 22/6 challenge [3] 108/5 28/13 30/1 30/5 32/23 can [90] 1/17 2/5 2/17 26/10 26/15 26/22 2/9 26/17 26/19 109/20 144/15 32/24 35/4 45/15 46/7 2/20 3/6 3/20 3/23 5/7 27/5 27/8 27/12 27/22 28/15 29/9 32/19 39/6 challenged [1] 83/19 48/22 48/25 52/4 52/6 59/3 59/13 64/22 72/1 challenges [1] 105/2 52/14 81/24 83/11 10/15 11/6 11/12 31/1 31/1 31/1 31/1 33/24 100/15 108/24 109/14 99/14 115/22 118/9 10/15 18/3 18/19 21/3 33/10 33/24 100/15 108/24 109/14 133/11 133/11 138/					
can [90] 1/17 2/5 2/17 26/10 26/15 26/22 22/9 26/17 26/19 109/20 144/15 32/24 35/4 45/15 46/7 2/20 3/6 3/20 3/23 5/7 27/5 27/8 27/21 27/22 28/15 29/9 32/19 39/6 challenged [1] 83/19 48/22 48/25 52/4 52/6 52/14 52/14 52/14 52/14 52/14 52/14 52/14 52/14 83/11 99/14 115/22 118/9 100/15 100/15 108/24 109/20 144/15 32/24 35/4 45/15 46/7 6/10 9/18 10/4 10/6 10/15 109/14 105/2 52/14 81/24 52/14 52/14 83/11 99/14 115/22 118/9 10/15 18/3 18/19 21/3 33/10 33/24 100/15 108/24 109/21 109/14 133/11 133/11 138/15 139/12					
2/20 3/6 3/20 3/23 5/7 27/5 27/8 27/21 27/22 28/15 29/9 32/19 39/6 challenged [1] 83/19 48/22 48/25 52/4 52/6 6/10 9/18 10/4 10/6 28/2 28/16 29/5 29/6 59/3 59/13 64/22 72/1 challenges [1] 105/2 52/14 81/24 83/11 10/15 11/6 11/12 31/1 31/7 31/14 31/15 85/3 86/11 89/24 challenging [1] 99/14 115/22 118/9 15/13 18/3 18/19 21/3 32/13 33/10 33/24 100/15 108/24 109/21 109/14 133/11 138/15 139/12					
2/20 0/0 0/20 0/20 0/20 0/20 0/20 0/20					
10/15 11/6 11/12 31/1 31/1 31/14 31/15 85/3 86/11 89/24 challenging [1] 99/14 115/22 118/9 15/13 18/3 18/19 21/3 32/13 33/10 33/24 100/15 108/24 109/21 109/14 133/11 138/15 139/12					
15/13 18/3 18/19 21/3 32/13 33/10 33/24 100/15 108/24 109/21 109/14 133/11 138/15 139/12					
	10/15 11/6 11/12				
(45) bring circumstances	15/13 18/3 18/19 21/3	32/13 33/10 33/24	100/15 108/24 109/21	109/14	133/11 138/15 139/12
(45) bring circumstances					
(45) bring circumstances) hainan air4
				(45) pring circumstances

С	133/7	collaborative [4] 85/4	127/22	47/17 84/7 95/11
circumstances [1]	claiming [3] 82/11	97/11 142/9 143/23	comparables [1]	96/12 114/14 119/1
141/15	111/20 125/11	collaboratively [1]	19/14	147/13
cirrhosis [12] 47/1	claims [35] 5/6 5/24	143/12	comparative [1]	completeness [1]
47/3 47/9 47/11 47/18	10/15 11/5 12/19	collate [1] 84/12	23/14	40/11
47/18 48/2 48/4 48/10	12/21 13/4 14/13	College's [1] 2/22	comparatively [1]	completes [1] 83/3
48/20 49/1 52/8	17/22 18/3 18/14 21/4	column [1] 4/8	113/3	complex [4] 27/8 75/1
	27/9 27/21 28/22	combination [5]	comparators [1]	79/21 95/4
cities [1] 72/14	28/25 30/18 30/24	81/17 89/3 89/20	132/21	complexity [6] 14/4
City [1] 31/7	35/12 36/8 36/23	110/15 122/10	compare [1] 149/9	61/3 61/3 63/6 74/8
civil [3] 24/12 47/22 53/2	37/22 54/1 54/6 55/4	combined [1] 140/3	compared [1] 23/15	152/20
	55/5 55/20 93/22	come [25] 4/21 15/7	comparison [2] 32/11	complicated [4]
claim [84] 5/3 11/5 16/15 17/7 18/1 19/19	100/14 106/10 110/17	17/6 28/19 35/8 47/11	125/1	13/11 39/10 126/9
19/21 21/18 22/10	114/17 125/10 137/13	50/7 51/22 70/15	compelled [1] 28/10	143/7
23/17 23/19 24/12	146/20	74/19 85/19 92/24	compelling [1] 60/8	complication [1]
23/17 23/19 24/12	clarification [1]	98/7 98/8 99/25 106/8	compensate [3]	153/6
26/10 26/12 26/18	105/16	112/8 123/14 123/21	121/20 121/22 134/1	complications [1]
26/24 27/6 29/20	clarify [1] 10/1	132/11 135/23 147/20	compensated [3]	57/21
30/16 32/3 33/10	classically [1] 91/21	148/19 150/13 153/10	104/15 122/13 134/17	components [1] 18/7
33/13 33/19 34/3	clawback [1] 67/18	comes [7] 8/25 95/16	compensating [1]	compound [1] 115/2
34/18 34/20 35/17	clear [8] 15/8 47/16	122/21 123/8 125/3	28/9	compromise [1]
36/2 36/3 36/11 36/21	47/17 47/17 48/25	125/4 138/10	compensation [80]	153/12
37/12 38/18 38/19	99/22 100/18 130/18	coming [4] 72/8 77/7	4/3 4/16 4/20 4/23 5/4	compulsion [1] 60/8
43/24 43/25 44/13	clear-cut [1] 48/25	97/16 154/21	5/4 6/4 9/15 25/22	conceivably [1]
44/14 44/16 45/20	cleared [1] 133/14	comment [6] 2/16	26/13 30/10 40/13	147/20
48/22 52/5 59/8 59/9	clearer [1] 14/25	3/23 3/25 115/20	40/15 40/18 40/19	conceive [1] 75/13
60/9 62/19 67/20 68/4	clearly [23] 9/13	116/13 145/24	42/22 43/12 44/9	concept [5] 16/7 36/7
82/23 83/20 84/9	13/20 15/10 18/25	commercial [2] 124/6	44/14 44/19 45/3 45/9	109/18 120/3 121/20
86/24 87/5 102/14	27/8 27/9 43/11 47/16	124/19	45/17 54/24 58/7 59/3	concern [5] 75/4
108/11 108/22 109/10	47/20 62/4 62/12	commission [6]	60/10 63/17 64/6 65/9	79/19 86/12 86/13
109/10 110/8 119/19	64/25 68/1 68/13 84/8	73/22 73/25 75/11	65/10 65/17 67/3 69/3	86/17
121/1 121/3 121/15	86/9 94/3 102/2 102/6	75/16 75/19 78/14	70/17 70/21 70/24	concerned [4] 5/14
121/17 122/2 122/2	112/10 115/10 134/9	commissions [1]	71/3 71/25 79/23	7/8 78/19 134/3
123/11 125/21 125/25	139/14	70/23	79/24 80/7 83/10	concerns [3] 39/19
126/17 129/19 136/15	cleverer [1] 122/1	Committee [1] 79/25	84/10 86/24 88/2	85/18 115/17
137/2 137/15 138/3	client [1] 7/11	committees [1] 78/17	89/25 90/3 92/6 92/11	conclusion [1] 80/2
138/11 141/13 146/1	clinical [2] 40/20	common [30] 20/10	93/9 94/20 99/11	concrete [1] 73/18
146/21 146/25	115/5	20/17 21/15 21/18	100/16 103/10 103/15	condition [3] 47/2
claimant [12] 6/20	clinically [2] 134/4	21/22 27/20 28/6	103/25 104/6 104/7	51/4 139/17
6/24 45/12 45/14	134/9	28/25 29/19 30/17	104/12 104/23 105/11	conditional [2] 5/1
45/16 45/19 47/22	close [5] 16/24 51/8	34/11 34/13 40/15	105/19 106/9 106/19	108/20
82/14 82/24 149/6	62/2 76/11 105/8	47/5 55/23 59/12	107/13 107/18 111/13	conditions [1] 76/15
149/7 150/8	co [4] 4/1 115/9	59/13 92/15 92/22	113/13 116/20 121/3	conduct [1] 45/12
claimant's [2] 7/9	115/12 153/24	92/23 100/14 110/21	121/21 122/7 124/11	conducted [1] 105/24
84/7	co-authors [1] 4/1	119/24 124/24 124/25	129/6 134/13 136/5	confess [1] 126/5
claimants [9] 14/21	co-infection [1]	125/1 125/9 126/21	136/6 145/12 151/14	confidence [2] 42/11
57/6 85/7 98/21	115/12	145/4 147/25	competence [1]	143/21
110/16 120/6 144/8	co-infections [1]	community [3] 33/5	81/15	confirm [1] 86/15
145/6 150/1	115/9	132/24 142/11	complaints [1]	confirmed [1] 106/19
claimed [5] 16/25	co-operating [1]	companies [1] 82/9	142/19	connection [2] 71/21
27/24 43/13 111/9	153/24			72/6
	cohort [1] 111/5	87/25 113/12 120/25	completely [8] 4/24	cons [1] 133/20
	<u> </u>		(46) circumstances cons

(46) circumstances... - cons

С	context [4] 28/24 72/8	8/4 8/6 12/8 44/24	105/21	46/22 64/4 66/17
consensual [1] 42/22	94/9 141/13	45/4 45/6 45/10 46/4	coupled [2] 140/7	68/19 98/15 100/22
consent [4] 16/12	continually [2] 51/13	46/11 84/19 120/16	151/21	102/23
16/12 25/15 86/7	143/10	120/17 120/17 129/3	course [37] 2/24 5/9	currently [6] 39/20
consequence [2] 3/9	continuation [3] 10/9	129/11 129/12 133/24	10/6 13/3 14/18 14/25	86/6 88/19 101/6
134/14	17/19 67/7	133/25 134/16 141/22	37/9 37/10 39/9 42/18	115/23 130/25
consequences [9]	continue [14] 17/20	could [112] 7/7 10/11	44/16 50/10 50/22	customer [1] 76/4
15/19 15/25 19/22	38/14 38/15 38/17	13/2 13/8 16/15 16/16	52/13 53/1 57/6 59/24	cut [9] 6/2 48/25
20/18 21/20 87/19	39/1 39/21 58/25	16/18 17/5 17/17	62/8 73/1 74/3 75/24	98/12 98/15 98/24
89/7 114/6 139/8	64/11 64/13 64/15	17/17 17/25 18/4 18/5	95/21 96/11 102/2	98/25 99/3 99/4 99/9
consequential [1]	64/18 65/17 67/1	18/14 19/21 19/23	105/1 111/7 111/14	cut-off [7] 98/12
121/23	120/1	24/9 28/1 28/18 29/14	119/11 120/15 125/23	98/15 98/24 98/25
consider [13] 19/11	continued [6] 1/3 1/4	30/12 30/25 31/3 32/5	133/16 139/24 148/12	99/3 99/4 99/9
54/14 60/25 78/7 88/7	3/10 64/5 156/2 156/3	36/14 37/20 38/17	152/19 153/3 154/3	n
94/23 94/25 97/1	continues [1] 66/25	38/18 39/7 44/17	154/8	D
120/4 126/5 144/1	contract [2] 41/23	44/23 45/4 45/8 45/20	court [29] 4/5 5/6	damage [1] 115/3
	42/1	48/6 48/8 48/9 48/11	8/15 42/20 43/10 44/5	damages [48] 2/18
148/19 151/8	contracted [1] 138/19	48/13 49/9 49/11 50/8	44/23 44/24 45/4	2/22 2/23 4/5 4/10
considerable [2] 51/12 124/13	contradiction [2] 67/4	51/2 51/9 52/5 52/22	45/11 45/17 46/1 46/9	4/19 4/22 5/17 6/2 8/7
considerably [1]	67/6	53/1 53/21 57/7 59/12	46/10 52/18 57/21	8/9 8/19 16/7 28/25
150/23	controversial [1]	60/7 60/9 60/18 61/23	102/11 104/9 107/13	29/23 30/18 35/17
consideration [11]	125/24	62/11 62/18 63/12	107/14 113/10 113/19	40/15 42/25 43/7
1/18 4/24 70/18 81/12	controversialI [1]	65/9 66/3 66/16 74/15	113/20 113/23 120/20	43/10 43/13 43/17
87/24 90/24 93/24	125/24	74/19 77/9 79/5 79/11	126/22 132/19 145/4	43/19 43/25 45/17
	convenient [1] 12/8	80/10 80/15 82/22	145/7	45/25 47/13 48/4
104/1 104/22 141/16	conveniently [1]	84/22 87/11 89/25	court's [1] 113/24	53/25 55/20 64/22
	45/21	91/15 91/21 93/6	courts [4] 5/13 45/10	68/5 68/13 92/3 93/14
considerations [4]	conventional [1]	94/11 94/14 94/17	47/5 47/14	104/10 104/12 104/19
30/20 115/4 123/14 123/23	10/15	94/23 94/24 95/14	cover [8] 7/11 8/21	110/5 110/21 124/5
	conversation [1] 84/3	96/21 102/5 103/17	11/8 12/7 12/16 13/16	125/25 130/25 132/22
considered [12] 9/13 9/14 26/23 32/5 72/15	converted [1] 3/20	104/9 107/17 111/15	135/25 136/5	144/11 145/5 147/24
72/20 79/25 93/1	coordinated [1] 88/21	111/19 112/25 113/11	covered [3] 78/10	dangers [1] 58/3
94/22 95/7 103/6	coordination [2]	114/6 115/10 116/15	133/1 136/6	date [6] 53/24 53/24
134/23	89/22 90/12	119/12 119/19 120/1	create [3] 100/1 122/5	54/20 98/24 122/15
considering [2] 95/2	cope [1] 146/10	123/5 123/12 124/2	147/12	129/23
115/6	Core [7] 1/13 46/20	127/5 127/5 128/21	created [2] 70/21	dated [1] 101/8
	81/20 97/8 97/20 98/4	133/5 133/6 133/6	148/22	dates [7] 98/12 98/15
consistent [6] 38/12 38/13 102/13 125/15	154/11	133/25 135/14 135/23	credit [2] 44/13 53/5	98/25 99/3 99/4 99/9
	Core Participants [1]	140/11 141/11 141/24	credited [1] 39/3	99/14
152/2 152/10	46/20	147/20 151/4	Criminal [1] 105/10	daubing [1] 131/18
constant [2] 115/3	corner [1] 73/4	couldn't [3] 52/21	criterion [2] 98/24	Dawson [1] 93/18
144/19	correct [9] 6/6 6/7	95/8 151/5	145/13	day [5] 8/1 94/3 96/12
consultation [4]	7/25 38/5 42/2 56/21	Council [2] 73/20	criticise [2] 81/8	123/17 141/2
13/21 94/4 94/13 95/4	104/18 107/10 131/8	78/14	120/14	days [1] 96/10
consulted [1] 76/16	corrected [1] 104/17	counsel [2] 97/10	criticism [2] 105/2	deal [14] 12/14 14/4
consumer [1] 76/4	cost [13] 5/14 13/8	153/3	105/4	25/25 49/11 51/17
contact [2] 137/6 137/15	26/15 27/21 27/25	counselling [5] 10/25	critiques [1] 40/23	51/22 74/23 90/21
	31/15 109/25 111/1	70/14 87/10 87/23	cross [1] 147/10	101/17 104/12 114/15
contacted [1] 138/13	124/5 124/6 134/1	88/20	crowd [1] 148/24	125/8 137/5 138/5
contained [1] 77/25	144/7 153/24	country [4] 6/2 56/4	crudely [1] 37/4	dealing [7] 49/4 59/16
contention [1] 17/4	costs [26] 6/8 6/23	75/18 75/21	culpability [1] 32/14	73/20 85/6 101/18
contents [1] 71/2	6/25 7/12 7/16 7/23	couple [2] 93/15	current [9] 11/22 38/2	116/18 123/18
			(47) consensual - dealing

(47) consensual - dealing

D	123/15 126/10 137/7	deprived [1] 139/3	developments [1]	147/21 147/22 153/1
	139/3 144/17 153/10	descend [2] 13/12	71/9	difficulties [7] 15/3
deals [1] 12/2	degrees [2] 60/7	14/5	devices [1] 151/10	90/10 111/15 111/16
dealt [5] 14/17 108/17	109/12	descended [1] 15/9	devolved [5] 70/18	112/2 119/22 139/7
110/11 140/19 149/11	delayed [1] 96/3	describe [8] 36/8	70/19 70/25 88/23	difficulty [5] 64/16
death [6] 21/14 37/8	delegates [1] 70/23	73/23 90/23 100/9	94/4	123/16 138/25 147/3
62/10 91/2 116/3	deliberately [2] 72/19	111/13 119/21 135/16	diagnosable [1]	154/16
136/22	108/18	136/4	146/2	dignity [1] 154/7
debate [1] 128/10	deliver [2] 83/20	described [10] 14/7		direct [3] 25/18 27/15
decades [2] 103/14	153/13	33/20 36/3 40/17	141/1	29/25
103/25	delivered [3] 70/17	91/17 106/18 111/23	diagnosis [1] 12/6	direction [1] 74/1
deceased [11] 36/4	71/18 72/1	132/17 138/21 143/13	did [15] 32/20 42/12	directly [10] 25/16
36/12 36/13 37/7	demand [2] 49/23	description [5] 13/23	47/8 47/15 56/13	25/16 26/4 69/4 71/14
37/13 37/18 56/24	49/25	100/6 144/16 146/3	61/18 78/8 79/24 81/5	78/12 116/25 120/25
58/2 116/10 137/10	demanding [2] 22/7	146/4	93/16 106/6 114/21	146/19 151/16
150/1	128/6	descriptions [2]	126/5 150/5 152/24	director [1] 73/21
decide [3] 45/18	demarcation [1]	48/14 142/2	didn't [11] 7/3 7/14	disability [1] 66/15
49/20 72/23	47/17	design [4] 65/13	28/19 31/8 32/18	disabled [1] 29/12
decided [1] 45/16	demonstrably [1]	76/16 77/24 96/9	51/19 77/21 114/5	disadvantage [2]
decision [11] 8/1 30/8	72/25	designed [1] 46/4	114/16 119/25 126/4	122/9 122/9
55/13 61/7 72/12	demonstrate [1]	designer [1] 49/21	die [3] 60/6 135/10	disadvantages [2]
77/16 85/19 85/21	81/15	designing [1] 96/9	135/12	52/25 83/25
96/1 112/17 147/16	demonstrates [1]	desirable [1] 151/11	died [12] 27/2 34/11	disagree [2] 4/14
decisions [2] 148/19	61/5	desire [2] 116/8	58/10 59/2 59/3 62/15	4/14
148/20	demonstrating [2]	153/14	62/15 103/25 115/19	disappear [1] 73/3
decreases [1] 130/5	24/18 123/25	detail [8] 13/12 14/5	117/3 146/23 146/23	disclosed [2] 87/1
deduct [2] 110/10	denominator [3]	15/10 42/5 72/20	dies [2] 101/23 102/2	109/16
130/11	92/16 92/22 145/5	93/20 95/2 153/5	dietary [1] 11/2	discount [4] 57/25
deduction [1] 107/5	depart [1] 28/6	detailed [3] 12/18	difference [6] 22/3	66/19 130/15 141/6
deep [1] 132/4	department [9] 66/19	12/20 13/6	45/24 53/12 62/16	discounted [2]
deepest [1] 154/6	68/7 68/12 73/10 74/6	details [1] 92/8	102/8 122/5	108/15 128/17
deeply [1] 77/13	74/6 75/7 88/6 89/19	deteriorated [1] 51/16	differences [6] 18/25	discovered [1]
defeating [1] 61/2	departmental [1]	deteriorates [1] 47/2	32/24 55/24 56/1	133/17
defendant [5] 8/5 44/10 44/12 45/13	73/11	deterioration [3]	92/20 100/7	discretion [2] 11/14
110/2	departments [1]	21/14 51/12 51/24	different [40] 3/8 4/24	85/10
	78/21	determination [6]	5/11 19/1 22/21 28/13	
defendants [1] 7/7 define [1] 120/10	depend [6] 19/1 35/1	13/14 74/24 77/20	28/14 29/8 30/4 30/11	11/11 70/1 70/5
defined [8] 26/3 40/16	77/10 104/20 113/8	87/2 152/14 152/15	30/12 32/22 32/23	129/10
48/22 52/8 52/22	133/9	determinations [1]	47/4 52/16 67/12 80/6	discrimination [2]
58/21 58/22 68/9	dependency [16]	95/17	88/13 89/4 92/6 92/14	29/7 132/22
definitely [2] 85/17	35/7 36/2 36/7 36/18	determinative [1]	96/12 99/14 99/14	discuss [1] 24/9
115/7	37/12 38/18 38/19	84/7	101/2 101/4 102/3	discussed [5] 40/9
definition [9] 21/7	39/6 39/7 40/3 91/7	determine [2] 57/16	102/6 103/1 109/18	83/9 91/24 133/20
39/11 59/14 59/22	91/11 91/17 91/19	108/7	110/15 110/24 115/6	139/22
60/18 62/24 125/25	138/4 141/13	determining [2] 13/13		discussion [3] 76/20
126/3 139/15	dependent [1] 37/8	44/24	133/24 138/19 140/10	94/9 94/13
definitions [2] 51/23	dependents [4] 36/25	develop [5] 47/1 47/3	144/20	disease [6] 19/3 47/4
52/17	37/3 126/3 136/20	47/9 47/10 48/21	difficult [16] 20/3	49/5 49/7 115/8
degree [14] 15/6 23/5	depends [7] 6/17	developed [2] 90/18	21/17 25/23 59/11	115/12
26/12 31/1 56/11	97/16 100/5 100/6	115/10	75/13 80/1 83/18	diseases [3] 13/24
71/21 119/4 120/24	114/7 143/11 151/17	development [2]	88/21 95/11 108/7	29/11 90/14
	deprive [1] 153/7	139/10 139/10	118/7 118/25 131/21	disparagingly [1]
				48) deals - disparagingly

(48) deals - disparagingly

D	47/18 47/19 48/11	153/13	17/22 17/25 27/23	element [16] 6/9 8/3
	48/17 49/5 52/21 53/7	door's [1] 148/24	28/21 32/3 33/13	8/6 17/8 17/10 23/19
disparagingly [1] 109/24	62/12 64/20 66/1	double [5] 7/16 18/11	37/16 40/3 68/5 110/9	28/12 35/9 46/15
	67/15 67/21 67/23	44/2 114/12 115/13	121/14 121/16 122/15	120/4 122/13 133/25
disparity [3] 71/8	73/1 82/20 83/14	doubt [9] 14/20 23/10		134/21 141/4 141/20
100/1 124/14	83/20 88/10 88/23	60/9 60/18 79/2 94/5	126/11 127/2 127/3	144/12
dispose [2] 62/9	92/9 95/7 103/19	108/13 132/20 151/10		elements [9] 16/13
117/8	114/5 120/21 123/6	down [7] 85/9 90/23	129/4 129/7 129/9	33/19 34/19 35/10
dispositions [1]	123/13 124/3 124/12	107/3 123/12 125/3	129/11 129/20 129/21	41/3 44/16 92/6 94/14
136/25	127/2 136/3 136/4	130/8 151/3	130/6	94/17
disproportionate [1]	139/11 143/4 143/6	dramatically [1]	ease [2] 58/19 119/4	eligibility [8] 57/1
23/12	143/10 144/1 144/11	52/16	easier [4] 37/23 81/4	84/9 86/11 89/23
dispute [2] 136/21				
137/19	144/22 147/3 151/5	draw [6] 29/18 53/3	124/8 143/23	98/11 99/4 101/4
disputes [2] 49/8	151/7 153/3 154/21	59/8 60/23 138/16	easily [5] 3/20 77/9	101/10
137/22	doctor [1] 52/10	147/3	101/3 119/13 123/20	eligible [26] 26/3
disregarded [1] 66/14	document [3] 2/13	drawing [3] 22/21	easy [5] 60/24 112/1	26/11 26/12 33/16
disrespect [1] 91/9	2/21 110/6	115/6 150/10	118/24 119/8 152/13	35/13 41/4 51/8 56/20
disrespecting [1]	does [24] 3/19 53/11	drawn [6] 92/2 117/10		57/6 58/5 58/19 59/10
23/14	54/17 56/23 58/1 65/9	120/19 120/21 147/16		69/17 76/7 86/15
disruption [1] 25/21	65/15 74/7 74/9 74/11	147/18	economical [1] 85/5	100/22 100/25 101/6
dissatisfied [1] 79/11	88/11 91/3 100/1	draws [1] 71/1	economists [1]	116/16 117/5 118/11
distinct [2] 44/18 92/4	100/12 120/23 123/2	drop [1] 4/8	128/10	136/13 149/18 150/3
distinction [2] 53/3	133/18 133/20 147/10	dubious [1] 154/10	edition [2] 2/24 3/13	150/7 150/10
154/10	148/10 148/11 150/19	due [7] 10/6 21/13	editors [1] 4/7	else [7] 35/4 60/20
distinguish [1] 132/9	150/25 150/25	50/22 57/12 57/15	education [3] 27/14	79/7 86/24 90/9
distinguishes [1]	doesn't [9] 4/8 25/3	91/3 133/16	36/19 139/10	120/21 138/24
101/5	49/18 64/19 65/1 73/3	during [3] 54/2	effect [20] 4/9 7/11	else's [2] 21/5 117/9
distress [5] 12/23	111/9 115/13 124/18	108/23 131/17	21/6 48/20 54/14	elsewhere [2] 36/10
18/25 19/12 20/14	doing [11] 31/9 79/9	DWP [2] 66/19 66/22	54/24 65/6 68/12	63/1
41/13	80/22 80/24 81/7 81/9	dying [2] 58/6 146/8	89/12 96/7 98/13	elucidate [1] 84/9
distressing [3] 31/3	84/20 88/6 95/7 96/14	E	98/24 100/24 112/16	emanates [1] 88/8
89/16 132/10	120/15		117/13 120/8 124/7	emphasise [3] 81/20
1	don't [40] 2/12 5/2	each [11] 7/11 27/10	135/1 135/16 137/22	90/2 101/14
distribute [3] 31/19 35/6 95/19	15/9 24/3 30/13 42/6	32/24 70/18 109/17	effective [1] 47/7	employed [2] 123/6
	47/10 48/10 50/6	110/20 124/15 125/15	effectively [5] 54/22	123/16
distributed [3] 35/1	50/11 57/18 57/19	130/10 136/15 137/1	55/5 65/10 85/19	enable [5] 1/15 62/6
126/3 136/20	60/6 65/24 67/6 67/20	earlier [9] 40/22	114/22	62/8 110/7 146/10
distributing [1] 17/4	71/11 76/13 86/2	96/18 96/21 97/4	effects [6] 19/2 19/13	encompass [2] 34/18
distrust [1] 82/10	90/15 95/5 95/9 97/2	106/13 114/17 137/24	49/6 103/15 121/9	34/20
divested [1] 148/21	101/1 102/8 111/18	144/25 152/18	121/10	encompasses [1]
divided [2] 32/19	112/15 114/23 118/12	early [2] 2/1 99/2	efficiency [1] 69/11	147/4
136/23	119/10 130/18 130/23		either [24] 11/16	encouraged [1] 65/14
division [1] 32/25	135/12 142/21 144/4	earned [3] 33/11	16/19 19/17 21/6 21/8	end [6] 31/3 32/10
divisions [1] 32/20	149/14 149/15 149/20	121/24 154/10	32/4 49/17 54/11	94/3 96/11 111/15
divorced [1] 137/6	149/21 151/17	earner [3] 121/11	54/15 54/21 55/11	140/9
divvy [1] 37/2	done [22] 6/1 22/15	121/18 122/18	62/1 64/1 70/20 77/7	ending [2] 1/22 52/3
do [64] 1/25 3/20 4/13	26/11 28/10 28/12	earners [2] 127/20	84/11 92/23 96/12	England [10] 54/7
5/3 9/23 11/23 14/25	32/18 48/8 52/13 53/1	127/21	100/10 107/13 130/5	55/4 55/20 71/19
17/5 23/1 28/11 29/16	72/21 72/21 74/20	earning [3] 122/6	130/12 136/24 147/8	72/10 89/19 92/3
31/1 34/7 36/10 37/23	96/14 130/4 134/11	122/18 127/23	elaborate [1] 86/6	92/13 93/11 145/22
39/17 42/16 42/21	134/12 142/16 143/16	earnings [33] 10/8	elderly [1] 116/17	English [2] 38/4
46/10 47/3 47/12	143/23 152/1 152/3	12/12 14/16 17/11	elect [2] 17/25 87/5	143/17
L	1	1	(49)	disparagingly English

(49) disparagingly ... - English

F	149/21	avidance [10] 77/15	aviating [12] 10/24	ovalaited [1] 92/19
<u>E</u>	established [3] 37/21	evidence [10] 77/15 78/16 88/17 98/25	existing [12] 19/24 38/25 60/19 65/12	exploited [1] 82/18 explore [4] 3/24
enjoy [2] 141/18	37/21 103/20	100/19 125/15 135/24		58/23 63/16 152/20
142/1	establishing [1]	140/6 154/14 154/23	86/18 101/6 101/15	explored [1] 58/15
enough [4] 26/19	31/16	evidencing [1] 89/23	113/10 140/12	exposing [1] 147/23
83/18 90/12 111/5	establishment [1]	evidential [1] 133/9	exists [2] 62/25	exposure [1] 140/5
enquiry [1] 31/12	152/6	ex [2] 106/18 107/12	113/25	express [4] 56/12
ensure [5] 75/8 77/2	estate [11] 27/6 34/14		expect [13] 1/20 14/2	86/12 133/25 153/21
92/15 104/6 138/11	35/5 35/13 58/9 62/19	exacerbation [1]	62/1 84/24 91/11	expressed [2] 47/24
ensuring [2] 73/2	63/3 63/5 114/19	19/23	91/15 99/7 102/16	67/25
144/10	116/4 133/7	exactly [9] 8/12 10/5	113/14 114/3 136/11	expressly [2] 102/25
entering [1] 70/20	estates [2] 56/24	50/18 102/22 116/8	138/14 143/4	114/17
enterprise [1] 97/11	62/14	124/15 126/23 131/4	expectancy [2]	extended [2] 57/7
entire [2] 33/5 86/17	estimate [2] 96/18	137/4	125/14 125/18	57/9
entirely [4] 96/19	149/22	example [25] 12/7	expectation [2] 81/7	extending [2] 52/25
109/18 116/14 117/13	estimates [2] 2/2	27/13 52/7 59/1 60/5	84/19	98/25
entirety [2] 92/25	149/12	69/19 75/4 76/8 76/9	expectations [1]	extension [4] 20/9
139/9	estimation [2] 149/25	86/14 92/7 99/1 101/7	154/1	20/17 117/25 118/2
entitled [8] 6/5 8/11	150/2	106/24 113/9 113/14	expected [5] 36/13	extensive [1] 99/24
23/2 26/6 57/20 89/15	estranged [1] 26/20	113/19 115/21 115/21	57/23 84/23 128/16	extent [15] 4/15 28/6
97/9 103/10	et [1] 123/19	118/12 127/17 131/19	143/6	29/21 47/2 55/24
entitlement [7] 41/23 43/7 66/12 66/14	et cetera [1] 123/19	136/11 145/6 150/5	expecting [1] 102/20	72/15 75/6 84/16
	etc [1] 12/9	examples [4] 10/18	expenditure [3] 12/13	93/12 103/20 106/8
135/20 140/21 142/18	evaluate [1] 25/23	73/18 92/8 104/25	14/16 69/5	106/9 125/20 143/3
entitles [1] 88/14	evaluated [1] 37/20	exceed [3] 11/23	expense [3] 11/9	149/3
entitling [1] 87/21 envisage [12] 20/1	even [11] 13/8 20/19	27/24 39/7	14/12 74/4	extra [2] 128/5 144/12
20/3 22/15 26/17	31/23 62/11 99/23	except [1] 64/16	expenses [3] 11/12	extracts [1] 93/15
26/24 52/13 83/15	100/4 117/2 136/3	exceptional [4] 57/3	12/17 15/11	extraneous [1] 52/15
86/25 105/9 106/16	144/15 149/22 151/10	58/13 62/23 116/24	expensive [2] 74/10	extraordinarily [1]
107/20 129/23	event [12] 1/25 5/1	exceptionally [1] 65/1	79/3	153/1
envisages [1] 128/18	21/10 21/11 39/1 46/6	excess [3] 11/13	experience [14] 13/3	extreme [1] 57/19
envisaging [1] 20/17	46/8 67/24 83/4	33/10 45/25	19/13 20/15 21/5	extremely [2] 32/15
equates [1] 11/25	132/10 136/7 150/21	exchange [1] 66/9	27/20 73/19 76/14	112/13
equipment [4] 10/20	events [1] 20/15	excluded [1] 63/25	85/8 132/4 133/1	F
13/1 15/5 129/12	ever [1] 132/19	exclusion [1] 100/2	135/4 140/2 140/25	
equitable [1] 121/13	every [18] 4/5 6/5 9/3	• •	150/14	fabled [1] 33/12
equivalent [5] 17/21	51/3 68/6 83/20 89/8	73/21	experienced [2]	faced [1] 118/23
67/2 91/7 93/22	131/9 136/4 136/9	executives [2] 75/22	131/18 131/20	facilitation [3] 70/13
136/19	138/11 139/1 142/21	75/22	experiences [2]	87/9 89/17 facility [1] 87/25
escape [1] 146/9	147/5 147/25 152/20	executors [1] 35/4	103/19 137/8	facility [1] 87/25 fact [15] 29/24 42/12
esoteric [1] 2/11	153/5 154/3	exemplary [2] 104/11		48/15 53/5 59/4 81/4
essential [2] 71/24	everybody [2] 60/10	104/18	25/19 84/21 85/11	88/23 101/2 106/6
142/6	79/7	exempt [1] 68/16	93/17 125/15	115/11 130/20 138/16
essentially [10] 2/23	everyone [12] 26/20	exemption [5] 66/23	expertise [2] 22/24	140/7 145/19 148/23
8/15 21/8 36/11 40/21	47/23 49/14 51/8 60/9	66/25 67/8 67/13 68/14	92/12	factor [7] 3/22 44/23
41/10 44/1 46/9 120/2	60/20 82/15 90/10		experts [1] 85/6	104/17 116/5 118/16
130/7	117/6 117/9 132/11 154/6		explain [5] 10/14 21/3	134/22 135/14
establish [7] 21/17		exercise [1] 95/9	28/24 68/4 114/4	factors [6] 40/17
84/9 101/3 101/10	everyone's [1] 28/13 everything [6] 6/5	exist [3] 82/20 86/9 116/15	explained [6] 15/24 104/19 106/13 106/17	103/17 116/6 126/19
129/22 149/15 149/16	61/4 74/20 86/3	existence [4] 59/14	122/3 154/17	131/14 140/11
establishable [1]	102/21 118/19	116/20 118/10 140/5	explicitly [1] 100/13	failed [2] 147/11
	102121110/10		evhicing [1] 100/10	
1	1	1	I	(50) eniov - failed

(50) enjoy - failed

	E 1 E41 4/40	44440 44447 4404	70/40 04/04 04/05	00/05 00/4 00/7 00/47
<u>F</u>	feed [1] 1/16	144/16 144/17 146/1	72/19 94/24 94/25	96/25 98/4 98/7 99/17
failed [1] 147/11	feel [14] 23/15 25/16 28/5 28/10 42/15	146/7 146/15 146/20 146/23 147/18	95/1 95/19 96/8 105/8	99/18 100/19 101/2 103/15 105/22 106/20
failure [2] 49/2	51/13 52/20 53/9	find [6] 29/5 111/24	105/13 123/23 147/25 148/14	107/5 107/21 110/23
108/16	97/21 114/8 119/15	118/23 119/9 124/18	formal [1] 64/10	112/2 115/1 115/23
fair [11] 30/14 33/6	132/16 132/18 132/24	152/25	forms [2] 32/13 74/25	117/2 118/20 130/11
54/5 92/24 93/23	feeling [6] 33/14	finding [1] 112/18	formulae [2] 36/22	134/24 138/20 141/5
110/10 118/25 119/1	41/15 64/21 111/4	findings [5] 78/2 78/5	55/7	142/14 148/6 149/10
119/1 120/10 146/15	128/5 150/9	99/1 99/17 104/21	formulate [1] 82/23	150/2 150/5 153/22
fairer [1] 111/17	fees [3] 4/18 4/25 7/6		fortunately [1] 147/15	
fairly [8] 35/6 37/21	felt [4] 23/7 25/13	1/24 128/8	~	front loaded [1] 50/16
47/16 47/16 47/17	49/13 126/6	finite [1] 51/23		fulfil [1] 101/1
65/13 80/8 143/12	few [4] 3/11 5/7 36/9	firmly [1] 51/20		fulfilling [1] 135/24
fairness [5] 30/23	125/8	firms [1] 81/14	foster [2] 91/1 91/9	fulfillment [1] 69/5
69/11 111/10 145/10	field [1] 28/22	first [21] 12/2 18/14	fought [2] 103/25	full [7] 24/22 41/5
152/10	fields [2] 64/19 82/20	18/18 23/19 34/14	109/11	77/2 96/7 136/15
fall [3] 60/21 107/17	fiercely [1] 73/23	38/6 61/16 62/12	four [2] 69/8 71/7	138/13 145/7
134/22	fifth [1] 107/3	63/16 72/22 80/14		fully [3] 109/16
fallible [1] 99/2	fighting [1] 103/14	87/13 88/3 98/11	framework [2] 40/13	148/22 149/13
falls [1] 60/21	figure [29] 3/20 3/21	107/1 118/6 119/14	94/21	function [2] 76/22
familiar [2] 36/7	4/10 4/11 9/17 9/20	133/17 135/13 141/5	FRANCIS [3] 1/3	133/15
82/14	11/23 19/16 37/5	144/22	119/12 156/2	fund [5] 85/11 143/4
families [4] 26/20	59/12 59/13 61/1 61/9		frankly [6] 20/12	143/6 144/10 147/21
31/4 34/5 134/15	61/17 62/20 108/4	64/12 71/3 100/5	72/14 79/1 95/6 99/7	funded [6] 69/21 70/6
family [31] 16/1 16/10	109/2 110/5 127/13	107/25 132/3 150/25	109/16	71/15 73/24 85/25
18/8 21/21 22/8 24/23	127/16 128/8 130/6	fit [1] 153/4	fraught [1] 32/21	143/1
25/9 25/13 25/19	130/7 130/14 130/25	fitted [1] 153/4	free [12] 11/15 12/5	funding [3] 5/24
25/21 25/25 26/23 27/5 29/4 32/25 33/8	132/11 132/14 133/23	five [2] 68/10 124/17	26/5 34/5 34/7 66/7	14/11 119/3
33/12 33/24 35/2	149/24	five hours [1] 124/17	87/22 97/21 112/2	funds [2] 5/18 69/5
35/11 35/25 36/20	figures [8] 3/10 3/12	five years [1] 68/10	121/4 129/7 134/10	fungus [1] 74/13
37/19 101/24 102/21	3/14 3/16 38/5 109/7	flexible [1] 102/12	freedom [1] 129/10	further [16] 10/3
116/8 133/10 134/15	114/11 152/12	flow [2] 99/16 99/16	freely [1] 87/21	29/24 35/10 46/19
135/18 137/22 138/1	files [1] 113/15	focused [2] 92/1	freestanding [1]	63/8 63/11 63/16
far [9] 7/7 29/11 107/7	final [11] 17/8 41/6	103/3	140/21	65/25 76/13 94/1 97/2
126/7 137/20 138/5	46/14 48/2 48/17	follow [7] 27/14 38/15		104/22 131/5 143/24
138/11 149/1 152/9	49/21 50/7 50/18 51/5	1	friend [1] 16/24	151/3 153/19
fast [1] 152/1	91/23 152/17	124/10 135/13	from [84] 3/8 3/13 4/1	
Fatal [7] 36/2 36/8	finalised [1] 74/20	follow-up [1] 135/13	4/24 5/12 6/11 8/5	16/18 17/15 17/24
39/15 91/6 93/22	finality [5] 47/24	followed [1] 122/12	8/25 9/15 12/22 13/9	26/7 34/3 34/4 38/20
136/18 136/24	49/24 50/4 50/10 53/8		19/5 22/22 28/6 29/20	40/1 46/17 49/17
fault [1] 3/7	financial [42] 10/8	63/22	29/25 31/20 32/6	65/23 65/23 109/4
favour [4] 116/11	10/10 10/14 12/6	follows [6] 12/11	32/16 33/23 34/5	110/5 125/11 128/14
126/20 137/23 152/4	12/13 17/9 18/12	31/20 71/9 98/14	36/14 43/9 44/18 45/8	128/18 129/23
fear [3] 29/3 74/12	27/11 27/16 30/16	142/21 145/20	46/24 48/21 49/25	G
132/3	34/24 35/11 35/25 36/15 40/16 53/23	food [1] 36/16	53/23 54/18 63/4 63/21 65/3 71/2 71/2	gaps [1] 147/23
feature [2] 45/10	54/18 65/24 66/7	foresee [2] 30/25 31/10	71/9 71/23 72/4 72/5	gardening [1] 37/19
142/6	70/10 70/12 87/14	foreseeable [1] 30/4	72/572/1473/13	gather [2] 86/3
features [4] 33/3 71/1	88/25 100/12 100/15	forever [1] 74/17	73/14 76/10 79/7	102/17
83/8 111/7	107/6 119/20 120/18	forfeited [1] 7/20	80/22 82/17 84/12	gave [3] 31/6 93/14
fee [4] 5/1 7/4 9/10	125/12 134/20 141/21		85/14 88/8 88/9 89/4	119/25
81/5	141/24 144/2 144/12	41/1 59/3 65/2 71/3		general [18] 2/18
				(51) failed general

(51) failed... - general

G	135/8 142/6 143/5	governed [1] 37/12	Н	49/23 52/7 52/14
	151/20 154/14 154/18	government [30] 5/16		53/14 58/10 59/8
general [17] 2/22 4/5 19/8 38/12 38/13	154/19	8/1 59/23 60/24 64/7	6/23 7/10 7/12 8/6	59/13 64/17 68/8
43/25 46/3 59/21	gives [3] 28/16 88/12	64/10 64/17 64/20	19/18 19/21 27/12	69/20 71/10 74/20
73/20 78/14 80/18	130/24	64/23 65/3 65/4 71/15	28/2 28/9 28/14 29/23	76/25 83/20 83/20
103/9 108/23 112/18	giving [2] 2/2 64/9	73/4 73/14 73/24	32/10 32/17 33/8 33/8	89/3 91/1 91/13 92/24
113/14 130/24 137/11	global [1] 109/7	73/25 75/15 75/19	33/9 36/13 36/18	93/11 94/3 105/4
generalisation [1]	go [56] 2/20 3/1 3/5	78/1 78/7 78/21 78/22	37/14 37/14 41/15	109/6 115/12 115/19
58/3	6/13 10/11 11/17	94/5 96/10 119/11	42/23 45/16 51/5	116/8 117/10 120/12
generalised [1] 59/17	12/10 18/10 18/19	145/12 148/10 148/19	51/19 52/11 52/21	121/3 122/8 123/3
generally [17] 5/19	29/11 35/24 40/10	148/20 152/7	55/8 81/3 92/17 92/19	123/21 124/16 125/24
11/14 20/9 20/22 21/3	42/6 42/20 45/16 54/3	governments [3]	93/23 102/18 105/18	134/6 134/24 136/4
21/16 22/10 24/20	56/5 56/15 63/6 63/12	64/16 70/19 94/4	107/1 108/8 110/5	136/6 137/19 138/19
29/23 36/22 57/22	63/14 65/15 66/3	grandparents [1]	111/4 111/5 115/2	139/2 140/8 140/22
124/5 134/12 141/16	74/17 76/13 77/21	91/20	124/16 132/10 134/15	140/22 141/14 141/25
144/11 147/24 149/8	79/15 80/9 83/23	grapple [1] 132/20	136/3 136/3 140/9	142/14 144/15 147/15
generated [1] 42/11	85/22 87/6 87/11	grateful [2] 93/18	152/21 154/8	147/16 147/17 150/16
generation [2] 59/5	90/15 92/8 92/21	132/20	had asked [1] 107/1	150/21 151/8 153/1
121/8	92/23 94/8 95/12 97/2	gratia [2] 106/18	hadn't [2] 148/21	153/3 154/14 154/15
generosity [1] 21/16	102/10 102/21 105/22	107/12	148/22	154/22
generous [5] 42/15	115/7 120/19 126/24	gratitude [1] 153/21	haemophilia [3]	hasn't [2] 118/22
49/15 92/7 127/14	127/5 128/5 128/7	great [6] 14/4 15/6	63/23 121/9 122/8	132/17
153/8	128/11 130/8 134/15	49/11 51/17 51/22	half [4] 1/14 1/23 24/5	have [345]
genuinely [1] 85/4	139/18 145/3 145/6	154/16	96/22	haven't [11] 26/23
get [29] 4/16 6/5 7/14	147/6 148/15	greater [4] 17/24 55/8	hand [4] 30/22 62/6	32/5 55/1 59/14 59/16
9/18 12/7 13/2 23/10	goes [4] 46/9 63/3	60/17 150/23	101/9 132/5	83/6 86/8 114/22
26/20 29/25 39/21	63/7 86/20	green [1] 96/2	handed [1] 86/19	132/2 151/4 151/15
45/5 48/10 51/16	going [56] 1/9 1/10	grid [1] 115/9	handful [4] 63/15	having [30] 5/11 9/4
57/23 58/7 61/12 62/1	2/12 2/14 5/14 6/18	grids [1] 92/10	68/25 83/5 115/19	12/18 12/20 21/13
68/2 77/20 84/17	10/5 15/14 18/13 28/4	ground [1] 55/23	hands [1] 26/8	29/12 34/6 41/15
88/15 111/6 116/22	32/22 38/20 45/5	grounds [2] 30/23	happen [7] 48/1 48/7	43/12 50/14 51/14
127/3 144/12 145/7	46/18 48/10 49/2 49/2	83/17	48/11 48/21 51/20	61/14 66/4 74/5 82/6
147/14 152/20 153/13	50/15 52/1 52/18	group [13] 32/12 57/5	64/25 65/1	89/15 95/13 103/24
gets [5] 9/3 60/17	53/20 54/4 56/16 58/7		happened [6] 25/17	103/25 113/20 117/22
100/10 117/22 137/19	59/22 63/1 63/2 64/18	89/18 90/11 110/14	30/2 118/22 128/25	123/16 126/15 132/13
getting [10] 9/8 23/8	64/20 65/17 65/18	110/17 116/24 134/11	132/1 132/2	135/11 135/17 135/25
42/25 48/3 84/22	76/17 81/2 83/18	149/1	happening [4] 21/11	141/1 143/12 150/9
90/10 95/25 115/23	83/19 84/11 90/20	groups [1] 81/19	48/12 95/6 132/3	hazard [1] 121/24
121/4 141/4	92/8 93/19 95/4 98/3	grow [1] 74/13	happens [4] 17/1	HCV [1] 12/6
give [14] 3/23 24/3	102/3 104/11 115/19	growth [1] 139/9	88/10 101/22 145/18	head [5] 9/23 11/5
25/24 27/12 73/18	127/17 129/13 129/14	guarantee [2] 65/5	happily [1] 58/15	107/22 108/21 113/11
78/16 107/25 110/25	130/8 135/7 144/22	66/10	happy [3] 96/17	heading [4] 10/13
113/18 124/22 145/22	146/3 147/13 149/9	guaranteed [3] 11/24	120/19 121/25	25/6 35/10 87/14
150/5 150/25 150/25	150/16 150/22 151/18	64/6 69/3	hard [4] 12/7 74/8	heads [4] 10/10 10/13
given [28] 4/11 24/6	gone [2] 53/14 59/15	guess [1] 121/25	147/5 147/5	15/14 110/4
25/14 26/22 33/25	good [1] 73/2	guesswork [1] 122/14	harm [1] 22/9	health [13] 15/17
36/19 36/20 49/7 53/5	goods [1] 11/15	guidance [1] 92/1	has [75] 19/17 21/7	19/22 19/24 22/19
60/5 70/19 81/12	got [16] 7/5 8/22 9/3	guidelines [9] 2/9	21/10 25/12 25/17	32/13 70/13 75/7 87/9
85/12 87/25 90/15	17/2 29/22 38/9 45/8	2/18 2/22 3/18 4/2 4/8	26/2 27/2 30/6 31/23	88/6 88/13 89/19
90/25 93/24 96/9	45/22 47/6 49/1 59/16	4/17 9/17 19/14	33/4 33/5 34/11 37/10	90/17 118/18
99/20 103/22 112/19	83/5 98/2 101/16		43/21 45/22 49/22	heard [15] 12/22
	118/8 123/12			20/12 20/13 20/14
				(52) general heard

(52) general ... - heard

Н	127/20 127/21 140/9	129/11 130/18 136/22	I consider [1] 54/14	142/15 143/4 143/13
	higher [9] 22/2 35/19	137/5 137/20 139/17	I could [4] 30/25	143/18 143/19 144/13
heard [11] 21/19	37/12 104/2 121/18	143/20 149/8 149/10	60/18 77/9 111/19	147/2 154/3 154/5
49/24 91/1 91/13 134/6 140/8 143/18	122/17 122/19 129/19	149/16 149/16 149/17	I couldn't [1] 95/8	154/6
143/19 144/14 147/2	145/15	149/22 149/25 150/3	I describe [1] 100/9	I haven't [5] 32/5 83/6
153/2	highest [3] 92/23	150/18 151/16	I did [6] 61/18 106/6	114/22 151/4 151/15
hearing [4] 77/1 77/1	145/4 145/16	however [9] 3/15 6/8	114/21 126/5 150/5	I heard [4] 12/22
77/10 77/10	highly [4] 28/15 31/6	6/22 16/17 19/7 19/16	152/24	20/13 20/14 21/19
heavily [1] 108/15	31/10 132/10	54/17 74/8 97/17	l didn't [3] 77/21	I hope [6] 9/25 23/13
heavy [1] 57/25	him [1] 135/11	huge [3] 118/19 122/9	114/16 126/4	28/18 43/21 148/2
heightened [1] 83/22	hint [1] 22/12	148/24	I disagree [2] 4/14	154/4
held [4] 16/25 69/20	his [2] 116/3 116/9	hugely [1] 61/17	4/14	I hoped [1] 99/7
85/24 86/18	historic [1] 85/18	human [1] 25/20	I do [6] 42/21 53/7	I identify [1] 58/5
help [4] 36/19 36/20	history [8] 5/10 53/6	hundred [1] 150/15	66/1 88/10 103/19	I imagine [2] 139/1
153/22 154/7	74/7 75/3 75/20 81/25	hundreds [1] 33/13	143/10	141/22
helpful [3] 31/4 40/25	89/16 112/13	husband [2] 34/21	I don't [23] 5/2 15/9	I include [1] 2/11
92/20	HIV [9] 12/6 29/2	116/2	30/13 50/11 65/24	I indicated [1] 96/21
helps [1] 22/21	63/23 115/2 122/9		67/6 71/11 76/13 95/5	l just [17] 33/6 43/2
hepatitis [21] 46/25	123/22 123/22 140/18		95/9 102/8 111/18	56/12 58/23 68/4
47/6 47/8 48/14 99/20	141/3	l absolutely [2] 30/11	112/15 119/10 130/18	68/24 70/7 87/12
99/22 100/1 100/2	hoc [1] 88/24	95/3	130/23 135/12 142/21	90/22 90/23 93/6
101/7 105/17 105/20	Holidays [1] 10/22	l accept [2] 110/22	144/4 149/15 149/20	97/19 111/6 132/17
105/25 115/1 115/2	home [2] 31/8 79/24	131/24	149/21 151/17	143/15 148/7 154/20
122/9 122/10 133/14	honest [1] 106/4	l acknowledge [1]	I doubt [1] 23/10	I know [6] 5/8 50/23
138/18 138/20 140/18	hope [13] 9/25 13/23	61/3	I emphasise [2] 90/2	51/21 96/25 97/19
141/2	23/13 28/18 28/20	l agree [1] 62/22	101/14	118/25
hepatitis B [9] 99/20	42/22 43/21 62/2	l am [5] 14/7 23/11 92/7 117/5 149/17	I feel [1] 119/15	I lack [1] 148/3
100/2 105/17 105/20	102/4 107/16 139/11	1	I felt [2] 49/13 126/6	I learned [1] 42/9
105/25 115/2 122/10	148/2 154/4	I anticipate [1] 63/8 I appreciate [12] 28/4	I find [1] 152/25	l looked [1] 71/23
138/18 138/20	hoped [1] 99/7	50/1 86/21 104/10	I finish [2] 1/10 1/10	I made [1] 41/11
hepatitis C [12] 46/25	hopefully [1] 74/10	111/14 113/7 114/1	I fit [1] 153/4	I make [1] 42/8
47/6 47/8 48/14 99/22	noping [2] 1/24 143/8	124/25 132/15 140/5	I follow [1] 123/8	I may [9] 5/8 38/23
100/1 101/7 115/1	horizon [1] 140/17	142/14 147/2	l give [1] 3/23	90/2 105/23 122/4
122/9 133/14 140/18	horribly [1] 135/10	l ask [4] 3/23 5/7	l go [1] 127/5	130/21 132/8 147/11
141/2	horror [1] 123/20	46/23 146/16	l going [1] 144/22	147/11
her [2] 64/10 116/9	hour [3] 1/14 1/23 96/22	l bear [1] 47/25	l got [1] 8/22 l had [4] 32/17 51/19	I mean [19] 4/14 15/2 51/6 57/12 57/18 62/4
here [45] 1/15 1/16	hourly [1] 31/15	I believe [5] 90/11	55/8 136/3	72/25 73/8 75/17
2/11 9/9 9/20 14/8	hours [2] 31/15	90/12 117/3 141/19	I have [56] 1/12 3/24	78/13 83/18 96/11
20/21 21/12 21/22	124/17	145/9	9/23 11/7 14/18 19/3	123/24 124/24 126/5
24/11 24/23 25/4 29/1	household [2] 121/11		20/8 22/8 24/17 26/19	134/9 139/11 141/20
35/10 37/25 41/18	121/17	I can [11] 21/3 21/8	30/24 36/10 39/2 40/7	142/16
44/7 44/8 45/13 50/12	houses [1] 131/19	30/24 37/3 42/25 47/8	48/13 51/7 57/9 65/13	
53/8 60/14 61/21	how [46] 13/7 14/17	50/11 50/13 52/13		I mentioned [1]
62/22 65/1 74/9 79/18	22/13 23/18 25/23	53/12 73/8	72/20 81/7 81/19	108/14
81/8 86/7 88/15 89/21	29/19 29/23 31/12	l can't [5] 97/14 131/8		I met [1] 32/16
90/3 97/19 120/24	35/1 36/9 45/10 49/3	132/25 148/2 153/9	88/16 93/8 94/22	I might [1] 95/7
121/3 126/18 128/1	57/15 62/9 70/7 79/5	I certainly [2] 103/3	95/21 99/19 108/18	I misunderstood [1]
129/16 132/13 142/13	80/1 91/3 97/14 97/16	149/14	111/5 113/7 115/11	67/5
144/17 145/22 146/3	104/22 105/23 108/4	I come [1] 98/8	116/23 125/22 128/25	I note [1] 78/19
147/11 153/22	108/12 112/8 123/2	I completely [1] 95/11		I now [1] 73/21
high [5] 7/7 7/7	123/25 125/9 125/12	I confess [1] 126/5	138/25 140/16 142/2	l perhaps [1] 28/23
		.		- Ferricke [1] 70/20
				(53) heard I perhaps

	04/00 00/0 05/5 00/	50/4 70/2 00/20	400/40 404/4 105/5	
	31/20 32/9 35/5 38/4	52/1 72/2 88/22	129/16 131/4 135/7	15/23 16/1 16/9 18/7
I personally [1] 134/3	38/10 38/22 40/8	105/17 112/12 124/3	137/11 139/4 140/3	18/8 18/18 18/21
I predict [1] 13/22	40/21 40/25 41/19	137/11 143/20	142/13 143/7 143/19	18/24 19/12 19/20
I propose [1] 96/16	42/16 44/7 47/20	I wasn't [1] 105/21	145/24 146/3 147/9	19/25 20/2 20/23
I put [5] 52/7 61/7	50/24 53/12 54/5 54/6		147/11 148/1 148/5	20/24 22/19 23/21
148/2 151/21 152/6	55/1 55/7 55/10 56/1	63/10 64/24 106/4	149/9 151/2 153/9	23/24 27/15 31/22
I raised [2] 3/4 144/25	59/11 59/22 60/7	114/23 130/21	154/9	33/20 33/20 34/22
I rather [1] 132/12	63/14 65/14 65/21	l won't [1] 48/24	l've [11] 13/18 15/9	43/16 43/23 61/19
I read [1] 105/23	67/13 68/10 69/15	I would [49] 5/3 9/19	20/12 60/5 60/13	91/5 100/3 131/13
I received [2] 64/12	72/9 73/15 75/1 75/13	9/23 13/23 14/23	60/17 83/5 98/2	133/5 134/22 140/13
153/22	75/20 76/20 79/8	20/24 23/15 26/24	117/16 127/11 153/2	141/17 142/1 146/7
I recognise [3] 20/21	79/17 81/2 82/21 84/2	31/10 32/5 38/25 39/1	idea [10] 46/3 52/2	146/22
53/1 57/2	84/5 84/24 85/7 85/9	51/20 60/15 62/2 74/2	58/7 58/13 61/5 61/22	implementation [2]
	86/12 86/20 89/20	76/13 77/13 77/18	61/22 103/24 123/20	72/16 94/7
I recommend [3]	90/2 91/10 91/23	83/15 84/6 84/24 85/3	142/9	implication [1] 74/4
53/22 66/9 77/24	92/24 93/14 95/16	91/18 95/22 99/7	ideally [2] 22/15	implicitly [1] 120/14
I recommended [1]	95/20 96/24 99/7	100/17 100/25 102/4	112/24	import [1] 104/23
78/12	99/21 100/5 100/18	103/5 103/9 104/8	identical [1] 43/15	importance [4] 1/7
I remember [1] 29/12	100/24 101/5 102/25	104/13 114/8 114/11	identifiable [1] 91/10	79/19 82/2 145/25
I repeat [2] 62/23	103/5 104/22 105/14	118/15 119/14 120/19	identification [1]	important [15] 24/10
116/23	105/16 105/20 106/5	121/19 121/24 125/5	58/20	39/18 62/11 72/9
I said [6] 25/7 47/20	106/23 106/23 106/25	125/19 134/19 135/19	identified [8] 60/17	72/22 75/8 76/14 77/2
49/12 60/23 145/17	113/18 113/19 114/14	136/11 139/11 140/23	62/21 92/21 95/13	86/10 92/9 101/22
153/24	114/16 115/11 117/1	141/16 141/23	107/7 116/5 131/14	114/15 127/13 138/7
I saw [1] 72/4	117/25 120/7 121/19	I wouldn't [1] 81/8	133/4	138/9
I say [5] 20/3 25/18	123/8 123/9 123/10	l'd [1] 92/19	identified: [1] 140/13	importantly [1] 20/16
107/10 113/1 114/7	125/23 126/14 127/11	I'd agree [1] 92/19	identified: the [1]	imported [1] 75/25
I see [3] 86/7 87/3	129/4 130/18 131/6	I'II [1] 114/22	140/13	imposed [1] 22/8
153/17	131/21 132/19 132/23		identify [13] 19/15	impossible [5] 39/10
I should [3] 1/11 81/1	133/20 135/1 135/8	1/24 2/1 2/12 2/14	35/10 55/24 58/5	48/24 110/20 113/18
91/9	137/20 137/23 138/9	5/16 9/18 10/5 15/9	59/12 60/25 69/8	136/2
I simply [1] 144/5	140/16 140/25 141/5	15/13 18/13 23/13	74/15 88/22 107/15	impressed [5] 32/15
I sought [2] 22/12	141/25 142/13 143/15	23/14 24/13 25/19	107/21 113/11 118/24	47/23 48/15 88/22
117/12	144/16 144/20 145/19	27/20 29/11 38/6	identifying [1] 89/21	150/8
I specifically [1]	146/13 147/22 150/12	42/20 43/11 46/18	identity [1] 58/18	impression [2] 51/19
103/6	151/20 152/9 154/9	46/21 48/5 49/9 53/4	if [186]	88/16
I speculate [1] 95/12	154/12 154/15	53/20 54/4 56/16	ill [2] 15/5 118/17	improved [1] 79/5
I start [1] 58/12	I throughout [1]	57/12 59/19 60/14	illness [7] 15/8 19/6	improvement [1]
I suggest [2] 11/10	151/25	60/18 60/20 61/6	20/15 20/20 31/25	69/18
19/10	I took [1] 105/20	62/22 67/11 67/24	103/16 103/16	improvements [1]
I suggested [1] 9/16	I turn [1] 34/10	68/1 68/13 69/14	illnesses [1] 89/5	79/12
I suppose [1] 73/18	I understand [11]		illustrate [1] 145/24	inability [1] 141/18
I suspect [6] 48/13	28/11 53/2 61/11	79/3 81/3 82/17 82/19		incapacitated [1]
60/24 72/18 93/10	63/20 67/10 82/3	84/6 86/20 89/2 89/21		144/9
120/21 154/19	84/14 97/18 98/10	93/17 93/18 96/17	141/23	incapacity [1] 91/2
I then [5] 15/13 53/2	115/2 139/20	98/3 105/10 105/11	immediacy [1] 62/17	incarnations [2]
68/22 106/8 139/18	I want [3] 18/13 40/5	108/17 109/22 109/24	immediate [1] 151/1	76/25 107/11
I think [133] 3/5 5/8	68/24	110/11 115/19 116/18	immediately [1] 26/20	
5/20 14/23 16/21 18/7	I wanted [3] 63/15	117/15 118/23 119/21	immunity [2] 8/20	inclined [2] 73/15
18/10 20/16 21/25	83/3 91/23	120/7 120/13 120/15	109/3	83/23
22/25 24/4 24/10 25/5	I was [13] 10/7 23/1	120/22 120/23 121/25	immutable [1] 125/6	include [10] 2/11 3/14
25/5 27/23 29/21 31/4	32/15 47/22 48/15	122/4 123/25 124/1	impact [36] 15/16	26/18 37/18 59/21
			*	
			(54) I personally - include

r	r			
I	107/25 145/2	141/14 142/8 146/8	140/23 141/17 141/20	14/10 111/20
include [5] 69/24	indicates [1] 154/5	146/12 147/19 149/17	144/6 144/14 146/2	interference [5] 25/9
87/8 92/12 102/23	indication [1] 39/10	149/18	146/21	25/18 41/14 78/20
119/3	individual [32] 12/18	infected/affected [1]	injury's [1] 68/4	103/21
included [5] 24/24	23/20 27/17 29/25	97/21	input [3] 79/6 79/7	interim [28] 56/6
43/16 99/23 100/14	31/17 32/3 34/2 44/17	infection [24] 15/25	85/14	56/10 56/19 56/23
125/22	62/24 83/14 83/15	19/14 21/21 23/15	Inquiry [25] 78/3 78/6	57/2 57/17 57/22
includes [3] 4/6 63/20	84/17 85/7 85/12 86/2	41/7 43/23 44/25	78/8 81/13 87/19 88/5	58/14 58/24 60/4 61/9
141/18	86/18 89/10 101/23	46/25 53/24 87/19	88/8 88/18 91/1 91/13	62/14 62/21 94/9
	110/17 110/20 113/8	91/3 99/5 100/3 101/8	94/12 95/10 97/11	94/16 95/20 100/20
including [7] 15/19 23/17 51/8 81/12	116/10 117/21 122/17	105/20 115/12 121/10	99/1 99/17 104/21	100/23 101/20 115/15
	133/19 135/5 136/22	134/14 134/25 135/7	115/22 134/6 134/7	115/16 116/2 116/6
87/22 126/11 139/5	142/1 142/22 146/4	135/20 139/9 141/10	140/8 142/7 149/21	148/11 151/2 151/3
inclusion [2] 126/19	152/11 153/15	144/14	151/25 153/25 155/3	152/4 152/16
126/20	individuals [15] 17/22		Inquiry's [1] 146/5	internal [2] 81/1
income [5] 33/9 66/21	51/12 56/20 56/20	89/7 115/9 135/10	insist [1] 42/12	149/5
67/14 68/17 129/24	60/5 76/7 80/6 82/17	inflation [7] 54/2		
inconsistent [1]	84/11 89/12 101/25	54/11 54/15 54/16	107/21 108/2 113/2	interrelationship [1]
112/6	124/14 125/11 131/18	54/23 55/12 66/11	153/6	17/6
increase [2] 12/2 62/7	133/13	inflicted [1] 131/22	instance [19] 22/6	interrogate [1] 113/15
increased [2] 12/7	inevitable [5] 21/20	inflicting [1] 21/20	28/14 33/8 36/12	intervene [1] 137/21
66/10	79/21 85/1 139/8	influenced [1] 49/23	37/11 43/14 45/23	intervention [2] 13/6
increases [1] 130/5	153/12	influences [1] 29/21	64/21 67/14 74/21	82/13
increasing [2] 7/23	inevitably [6] 1/6 6/19		94/23 96/6 99/15	intestacy [1] 136/25
127/8	32/20 91/8 142/17	information [18]	108/8 130/11 132/23	intimately [1] 25/12
increasingly [1] 5/13	153/15	84/12 86/16 86/22	137/16 138/15 144/22	into [56] 13/12 13/13
incredibly [1] 13/6	infected [92] 1/8 2/7	86/25 87/5 102/7	instances [3] 84/8	14/5 14/20 15/9 30/13
incur [2] 45/3 134/15	12/23 15/15 15/16	102/17 102/19 102/20	84/13 96/5	31/12 33/13 39/3
incurred [5] 10/16	15/23 16/15 16/25	103/22 105/19 107/24	instinctively [1] 23/7	43/18 45/11 45/11
11/6 15/11 104/16	17/7 17/8 18/4 18/16	108/3 109/17 112/11	institutionally [1]	48/5 51/15 51/18
123/3	18/24 19/2 19/9 19/17	112/14 133/10 138/13	73/13	54/24 60/21 60/21
indeed [20] 5/16 11/5	20/1 23/6 23/9 24/2	informed [4] 16/11	instructed [1] 85/7	61/18 64/5 65/9 65/15
14/3 19/5 21/14 41/13	24/8 24/16 26/3 26/5	138/22 140/4 141/2	insurance [5] 10/23	65/22 66/11 67/17
45/12 51/10 74/8	26/8 26/11 26/18 27/1		12/7 15/3 50/9 82/9	68/16 70/22 75/25
74/15 74/19 78/14		injured [4] 28/1 62/24		77/13 84/24 89/12
82/8 84/15 116/22	34/10 36/4 38/9 39/2	121/22 145/11		91/1 93/11 94/6 103/8
117/9 124/21 129/21			intend [1] 95/21	
132/4 154/20	39/25 41/4 49/25	injuries [5] 19/4	intended [3] 4/18	104/23 106/11 106/16
independence [1]	56/20 56/20 57/6 58/2	20/13 22/5 22/18	16/23 152/22	107/5 107/17 107/20
69/3	58/5 58/25 59/10	105/10	intensity [1] 131/16	108/19 109/2 111/12
independent [19]	61/25 62/15 66/20	injury [51] 2/12 2/19	intention [1] 42/14	111/21 115/14 117/22
23/2 35/7 40/20 67/15	67/15 67/21 76/11	4/17 5/10 5/20 5/24	intentionally [1]	132/17 135/14 139/17
69/12 70/1 70/5 73/13	80/3 87/17 87/20	10/17 15/16 18/7	20/12	140/12 141/20 150/18
73/23 75/10 76/19	97/21 100/22 101/23	18/18 18/21 18/25	interdependent [1]	153/4 153/4 153/25
80/12 80/18 80/25	102/23 103/12 115/1	19/4 19/11 19/20 20/2	27/10	introduces [1] 51/17
81/1 85/6 85/11 144/2	115/18 115/25 116/10	20/6 20/8 20/23 21/4	interest [15] 53/22	introduction [1] 4/12
148/22	117/1 117/2 121/7	21/5 23/6 26/19 29/20	54/11 54/16 54/23	intrusion [1] 51/14
independently [1]	121/13 122/6 123/1	31/22 33/20 34/22	54/25 55/2 55/10	invariably [2] 37/5
75/21	126/1 126/4 135/6	36/23 43/15 43/16	55/11 55/19 56/2 76/4	113/20
index [2] 54/17 155/5	135/15 135/17 137/9	43/23 53/25 55/4 55/5	104/2 104/5 104/14	invent [1] 49/9
indicated [6] 35/14	137/10 139/2 139/7	67/20 68/6 90/6 95/14	154/14	invest [1] 129/8
72/2 96/21 99/21	139/12 139/14 139/15	129/3 129/18 135/15	interested [1] 138/12	investigate [1] 118/7
	139/24 140/18 141/9	137/3 137/17 140/13	interests [3] 14/6	investigation [2] 31/5
			(55)	include investigation
			(00)	monute myesuyation

1	6217 6311 6617 67146	iudicial [4] 2/0 2/24	70/10 06/6 06/25	Jaware [15] 2/17
	62/7 63/4 66/7 67/16 68/25 76/24 79/10	judicial [4] 2/9 2/21 75/18 77/7	79/10 96/6 96/25 97/19 112/15 118/25	lawyers [15] 3/17 4/19 5/10 80/11 80/17
investigation [1]	86/7 91/24 100/11	judicially [2] 69/13	119/10 129/12 129/13	80/21 80/25 81/7 82/6
89/11	103/7 114/1	76/19	130/18 138/14 144/6	82/10 82/19 109/24
investigations [1]		July [2] 1/1 155/4	147/21 149/15 149/22	122/1 142/12 143/9
83/1	it'd [1] 95/6			
investment [2] 144/3		jurisdictions [1] 3/18	151/17	layer [1] 151/6
144/7	9/14 9/15 9/23 14/6	just [71] 1/5 1/22 3/5	knowledge [5] 81/24	lead [4] 45/14 75/22
invite [3] 2/16 3/25	16/22 16/22 21/15	3/23 5/7 13/18 15/14	111/2 146/5 149/10	111/7 136/21
145/24	23/12 24/20 26/16	21/23 23/15 24/22	150/13	lead-up [1] 45/14
invoice [1] 13/9	26/23 28/5 29/18	33/6 33/16 39/18	known [5] 56/25	leads [2] 57/20 72/22
invoices [1] 12/25	29/24 30/2 30/3 30/5	39/25 40/10 43/2	58/15 118/10 118/10	leap [1] 98/7
involve [6] 5/17 37/9	39/17 44/8 45/10	43/20 45/1 45/17 46/3	149/17	leaps [1] 104/24
69/17 77/9 90/6	46/24 47/16 49/12	53/14 54/3 56/12	1	learned [2] 42/9
113/20	49/13 50/3 54/5 58/19	58/23 63/16 64/8		142/7
involved [11] 9/9 22/4	68/10 68/10 71/11	64/17 68/4 68/24	lack [5] 16/11 76/25	learning [1] 79/5
51/11 57/25 75/19	73/18 74/16 75/13	69/23 70/4 70/7 73/18	103/22 119/16 148/3	least [24] 1/23 10/1
76/16 90/6 114/7	76/14 79/4 81/20	83/5 85/22 87/12 88/3	large [10] 22/12 42/23	
145/22 148/21 154/6	81/21 83/19 90/10	90/4 90/18 90/20	90/12 97/8 114/10	51/13 56/24 72/19
involvement [7]	96/5 96/10 97/10	90/22 90/23 93/6 94/7	114/11 117/24 124/20	76/5 76/11 89/13 93/1
69/10 75/11 76/3 76/6	101/16 109/23 112/24	97/19 102/19 102/24	144/11 144/23	97/5 102/5 102/6
76/12 77/3 142/12	118/25 125/25 129/14	103/5 106/24 111/6	largely [4] 4/4 109/6	104/1 105/25 106/6
involves [2] 51/3	130/16 130/24 131/4	113/6 114/8 114/23	122/3 129/5	111/10 121/7 138/24
126/10	137/17 138/5 145/9	117/11 117/13 119/14	larger [2] 72/11 108/2	142/11 144/22 145/3
involving [1] 127/20	149/13	123/25 126/24 127/5	last [7] 3/5 3/6 68/9	leave [9] 10/5 15/13
Ireland [10] 54/8 55/4	it's accepted [1]	130/21 132/17 139/18	73/15 81/10 94/7	49/21 59/1 64/24 78/5
55/21 71/21 72/5	125/25	143/15 146/3 146/15	128/16	96/16 105/13 136/16
83/24 88/10 92/3	item [2] 24/23 107/18	147/8 148/7 152/16	lasting [1] 51/10	leaving [5] 62/16
92/13 147/6	items [3] 14/16	153/3 153/13 154/20	Lastly [1] 145/24	94/16 107/10 146/24
	108/11 145/21	justice [5] 45/20	late [2] 34/21 141/2	151/9
Irish [2] 149/10 150/14	its [12] 31/18 56/13	53/10 111/10 132/18	later [9] 1/23 10/4	led [3] 31/13 69/13
	69/5 74/1 83/19 83/22	136/10	50/8 50/15 50/17 97/5	76/19
ironed [1] 56/1	85/2 124/23 126/19	justifiable [1] 57/4	97/16 126/11 150/23	left [1] 2/5
is: [1] 114/17	126/20 147/10 148/21		latter [3] 88/3 109/8	legal [33] 1/16 2/15
is: can [1] 114/17		justified [1] 82/12	125/19	5/19 6/23 9/12 30/3
isn't [7] 9/9 41/20	51/7 79/9 82/22 84/10		law [29] 2/12 5/14	40/20 41/6 41/25
44/7 52/14 89/23 90/3		justify [1] 104/1	20/10 20/17 21/3	43/24 45/4 45/10
132/19	148/21 151/6		21/15 21/18 21/22	46/20 59/15 70/2 70/5
isolation [3] 15/25	IVF [2] 134/15 134/16	K	27/20 28/7 28/25	79/16 80/1 81/13
24/15 29/3		key [1] 17/18	29/19 30/8 30/17	81/23 82/1 92/9 92/14
issue [24] 2/8 3/3	J	kind [13] 10/7 12/12	40/15 47/5 93/17	97/9 102/9 113/21
9/11 28/20 28/23	Jecock [2] 154/24	12/20 14/13 28/24	93/20 100/14 110/21	120/9 120/16 124/4
29/22 46/14 49/1	155/1	60/2 60/4 73/6 80/5	119/24 124/24 124/25	142/22 147/14 147/23
52/22 61/13 67/12	job [5] 28/16 31/6	97/23 99/2 103/17	125/1 125/9 126/21	148/13
79/16 84/6 98/11	33/11 124/22 146/9	140/11	145/1 145/8 147/25	legislation [6] 64/7
102/10 116/15 124/9	jobs [1] 29/17	kindly [2] 28/17 93/16		64/24 72/17 72/24
126/6 139/18 140/2	judge [1] 68/1	kinds [2] 12/16 115/4		73/1 73/2
143/3 144/13 144/25	judges [2] 75/17	know [34] 2/1 2/13	56/6 63/13 68/23	length [25] 65/11 69/2
151/1	132/20	3/17 5/8 13/21 24/17	77/22 106/25 126/25	73/5 73/6 74/12 74/23
issued [2] 87/20	judgment [8] 28/7	26/19 29/17 31/9	lawyer [11] 4/25 6/2	75/2 75/9 78/10 79/9
89/12	28/8 49/13 69/4 74/2	33/12 48/10 50/12	6/10 6/10 7/9 7/19 9/9	85/23 86/19 88/7
issues [18] 16/8 31/2	99/19 100/5 117/23	50/18 50/23 51/21	81/4 82/13 142/17	89/20 94/24 104/15
56/9 57/1 59/15 59/16	judgments [1] 32/11	65/3 72/10 75/17	143/7	104/24 104/25 105/3
)			104/24 104/20 100/0
1	I	1	(56) investigation length

(56) investigation ... - length

Г		r	[
L	line [14] 29/18 39/1	long [14] 5/10 24/10	130/10 133/4 133/6	20/22 26/2 26/10
length [6] 105/5	107/3 115/9 117/10	47/8 51/10 81/22	134/20 135/2 138/24	26/25 27/6 29/20
105/11 105/14 131/15	117/13 120/9 120/12	97/14 97/17 112/22	140/14 140/14 141/24	30/23 30/25 35/12
132/15 133/2	120/18 120/20 147/3	113/5 136/4 139/15	146/1 146/9 146/12	35/16 36/11 39/11
	147/16 147/17 151/3	149/8 151/16 151/18	146/20 146/23 147/25	41/11 45/21 48/3
lengths [1] 139/13	lines [4] 59/7 62/19	longer [15] 1/13 1/21	losses [16] 10/8 12/6	48/23 49/13 49/16
lengthy [1] 4/12	75/12 94/22	26/22 51/9 57/9 59/3	12/12 14/15 14/18	51/2 54/19 55/1 56/10
less [16] 21/22 27/8	list [5] 25/3 52/11	59/23 60/2 60/15	30/16 40/16 53/23	58/16 59/8 60/13
32/6 39/15 50/14 59/9	68/9 135/25 140/10	62/24 83/2 122/11	54/18 100/13 107/6	63/18 63/21 64/22
60/24 62/20 82/18	listen [2] 147/17	137/6 151/13 153/15	107/8 125/12 146/7	67/1 67/21 68/5 75/6
91/9 95/1 116/11	153/2	longest [1] 154/12	146/15 147/19	75/9 75/14 79/12 81/4
119/8 128/2 130/13				85/19 85/21 86/8
151/10	listened [1] 154/15	look [24] 2/13 22/11	lost [9] 7/13 28/3	
lesser [1] 33/2	listening [4] 5/9 14/8	23/9 24/23 30/14	91/14 116/2 116/3	91/21 102/5 103/12
let [5] 97/7 122/7	100/20 153/23	31/21 44/5 45/18	125/21 126/5 135/6	109/9 109/11 110/8
128/8 148/9 148/25	litigate [6] 42/13	45/20 46/1 51/7 55/19	136/14	112/19 134/10 134/19
let's [8] 23/1 31/24	42/24 43/1 45/3 45/23	69/23 87/12 97/12	lot [18] 1/6 20/14	136/25 142/13 142/20
104/12 104/12 110/16	121/5	106/24 111/11 112/7	26/22 38/22 40/9 46/5	143/22 148/12 152/14
123/4 123/21 125/6	litigated [1] 110/17	113/11 113/15 125/13		152/15
level [6] 11/25 22/1	litigating [2] 46/6	130/23 131/16 154/13	89/15 95/5 96/24 97/1	magnitude [2] 47/4
108/9 116/20 117/19	110/1	looked [10] 2/13	113/2 127/20 143/8	62/18
143/21	litigation [21] 5/11	34/19 56/8 61/18	154/18	main [1] 17/9
	6/13 10/15 13/4 17/2	67/12 71/23 88/17	lots [1] 123/13	maintain [1] 51/14
levels [1] 71/4	43/13 44/11 44/12	106/13 108/6 114/12	love [1] 123/15	maintained [1] 36/16
liability [1] 44/24	44/17 45/24 46/2	looking [13] 2/6 23/14		Majesty's [1] 64/10
life [13] 16/10 25/9	63/23 77/20 111/1	23/16 33/11 42/9	103/16 120/15	majority [1] 12/16
25/19 25/21 64/6	111/2 112/3 113/15	55/20 77/13 111/19	lovely [1] 91/9	make [33] 7/10 9/2
64/11 65/6 73/19	122/2 123/9 144/6	113/5 120/23 121/17	low [2] 35/17 142/15	12/18 23/3 27/5 34/3
74/16 125/13 125/18	151/11	128/12 150/7	lower [1] 59/21	41/15 42/8 45/2 46/5
129/10 141/14	little [8] 20/13 35/19			48/19 51/24 55/9 60/7
lifetime [2] 22/7 66/9		looks [3] 13/22	lowest [3] 92/15	
light [2] 78/2 96/2	42/5 56/12 66/1 87/12	103/11 131/11	92/22 99/25	60/9 61/7 62/6 72/12
like [27] 11/10 16/2	133/1 138/25	lose [1] 28/19	lump [21] 8/19 15/7	81/25 87/5 99/19
21/10 30/10 32/9 50/9	live [2] 56/4 59/4	loss [80] 8/20 10/8	15/20 16/4 16/6 16/13	111/18 116/4 117/22
61/18 74/5 74/13	lived [3] 36/13 37/14	10/10 10/14 10/16	16/17 16/19 17/13	127/25 130/21 134/7
77/15 77/18 78/13	76/14	11/6 14/16 17/9 17/9	17/17 37/6 39/15	136/8 137/2 147/15
88/25 102/11 105/12	liver [5] 49/2 52/10	17/10 17/15 17/21	46/16 54/17 107/5	148/4 150/2 152/13
115/10 116/17 118/20	133/15 133/17 134/2	17/25 18/12 27/11	128/19 129/7 129/17	makes [4] 42/21
127/18 129/12 131/23	lives [5] 51/15 51/18	27/23 28/21 31/13	130/9 130/16 143/25	65/19 109/14 147/21
132/8 135/12 140/17	117/8 117/9 139/9	32/3 33/13 34/24	lunch [4] 1/14 1/25	making [8] 45/8 50/16
143/11 143/16 152/7	living [9] 7/10 57/6	35/11 35/25 36/1 40/3	2/1 96/22	56/19 57/2 58/24
likely [12] 3/11 19/4	57/10 58/1 58/5 59/10	46/17 65/24 68/5 91/5	luncheon [1] 97/25	59/19 95/16 104/14
	66/15 115/17 137/9	91/7 91/10 91/18	lunchtime [1] 1/21	man [1] 4/9
38/19 61/12 61/14	loaded [2] 50/16	100/12 100/16 104/2		manage [1] 144/2
61/24 78/6 79/4	150/21	104/16 107/23 109/3	M	management [1]
108/10 110/6 118/6	loads [1] 153/7	109/4 109/4 110/9	Mabel [1] 31/8	70/11
119/8	local [5] 70/22 70/24	113/12 119/20 120/3	Macfarlane [3] 63/21	manner [1] 140/10
limit [2] 29/22 137/20	71/21 71/25 72/6	120/5 120/8 120/18	75/5 106/21	many [43] 5/17 9/24
limitation [1] 115/16	locally [4] 70/18	121/14 121/16 121/23	Macfarlane Trust [1]	12/22 13/8 14/8 22/9
limited [11] 52/6	71/17 72/1 72/7	122/15 122/25 123/3	75/5	24/13 29/10 31/4 39/6
74/11 74/16 106/4			machines [1] 12/25	
107/24 110/13 112/14	locally-based [1] 72/7		made [64] 4/5 10/16	41/11 41/12 48/15
116/22 124/6 124/18	logic [2] 120/16 123/8		10/19 11/12 11/21	49/3 58/5 59/7 60/12
126/18	logically [1] 59/22	128/18 129/4 129/6	13/5 18/4 18/15 19/18	62/5 72/1 72/3 73/1
	London [1] 72/14	129/9 129/20 130/6		79/22 81/22 82/19
				(57) length many

(57) length ... - many

(r			·
Μ	132/8 132/16 135/19	means-tested [1]	methods [1] 129/17	militating [1] 126/20
many [19] 96/5	136/3 137/6 137/7	66/18	mid [2] 5/21 6/15	millennium [1] 7/24
97/16 103/13 103/25	138/1 138/3 139/24	meant [3] 7/6 8/9	mid-1990s [1] 5/21	mind [10] 5/17 47/25
116/17 118/22 127/21	140/6 141/23 141/24	127/12	might [145] 1/25 2/7	55/8 57/5 59/5 78/8
	142/24 144/17 144/20	measure [3] 57/4	4/4 4/16 6/8 13/19	90/3 93/7 93/7 126/8
129/5 140/7 141/23	147/11 147/11 147/22	62/23 116/24	15/1 15/2 17/7 17/24	minded [1] 75/21
144/13 149/16 149/16	149/21 149/24 152/24	measured [1] 27/21	20/7 22/21 25/20 27/1	minds [2] 55/8 113/17
149/18 149/22 149/25	153/7 153/23	median [2] 127/2	27/6 28/17 28/23	mine [1] 55/9
150/3 153/22 154/4	maybe [6] 4/21 52/15	127/3	28/25 29/7 29/10	Mini [1] 6/15
marginal [1] 6/12	61/6 114/5 117/4	medical [23] 10/24	29/12 31/11 33/2	minimise [1] 152/11
market [1] 123/6	134/7	12/8 13/23 15/19	33/14 34/21 36/18	minimum [1] 57/23
marriage [1] 146/10	me [73] 1/17 2/15	22/20 51/21 52/9	36/18 36/20 36/25	ministerial [1] 73/10
material [2] 85/20		59/15 73/20 78/14		
110/12	4/22 9/11 13/15 21/19		37/12 38/16 42/25	minor [2] 23/13 23/15
matrimonial [1]	23/3 23/7 24/10 24/13	84/12 84/13 84/14	44/9 44/9 45/18 46/1	minute [1] 14/13
137/22	24/19 30/9 35/20	84/17 84/18 84/25	47/9 48/11 50/12	minute analysis [1]
matter [27] 1/8 37/15	37/23 37/23 38/5 39/9	85/2 85/14 85/14	52/20 53/9 55/9 57/23	14/13
41/19 42/1 43/8 43/14	39/19 41/13 41/17	87/17 115/5 125/14	59/2 59/23 60/3 61/1	mirror [1] 4/4
44/15 45/13 49/19	45/15 46/21 47/24	148/14	62/3 64/18 71/4 71/17	mirrored [1] 99/10
50/21 52/9 60/11 74/7	48/16 50/22 50/25	meet [1] 148/19	73/23 74/15 74/22	missing [1] 33/23
79/17 79/18 88/11	52/22 58/1 59/8 63/9	meetings [3] 32/17	77/14 80/4 80/6 82/25	mistaken [1] 154/9
93/1 94/6 101/4	65/4 65/16 71/24	105/24 113/16	83/1 83/10 83/11	misunderstood [1]
112/10 124/18 128/10	72/21 74/7 77/22 79/7	member [2] 37/19	83/23 83/25 84/3 85/5	67/5
	83/6 85/1 87/2 89/11	135/17	86/14 86/16 88/17	mitigate [1] 120/5
129/15 136/24 142/24	89/21 96/25 97/1 97/3	members [4] 25/13	93/22 94/1 94/2 95/7	mitigation [3] 120/3
144/20 152/16	97/7 98/4 103/4	29/4 111/5 138/1	95/11 96/4 98/24	120/8 120/13
matters [7] 7/24	103/19 107/25 108/3	memory [1] 106/2	99/16 101/4 101/10	modest [1] 33/9
16/10 16/11 40/18	109/21 110/6 112/15	mental [7] 15/17 19/4	102/7 103/11 104/1	modifications [1]
96/16 119/23 145/9	112/16 113/1 114/2	20/14 20/14 20/18	106/11 108/12 108/14	109/11
maximum [2] 19/16	117/19 118/3 118/20	21/4 22/19	109/15 109/17 110/13	moment [6] 47/6
130/24	120/23 126/14 127/5	mentally [1] 144/8	110/19 111/1 111/3	49/22 57/13 59/25
may [95] 1/19 5/8	128/8 128/9 135/8	mention [1] 124/21	111/8 111/10 111/13	125/7 149/9
10/1 10/2 17/20 19/5				
20/16 22/18 22/20	135/11 135/12 147/15		112/17 113/4 113/8	money [34] 8/2 17/2
25/12 26/22 27/2	148/6 150/8 150/19	9/20 10/19 13/18	113/17 114/1 114/9	17/4 22/2 22/12 28/2
27/12 28/10 28/15	154/18	16/21 19/3 47/25	119/5 119/6 119/8	28/20 31/19 36/19
29/3 35/1 35/19 38/23	mean [36] 4/14 13/18	75/17 108/14 117/17	119/9 122/24 123/14	36/20 37/6 37/6 42/19
40/25 44/16 46/19	15/2 48/7 51/6 53/4	119/13 131/22	123/14 123/17 123/25	57/15 61/24 62/6 63/1
46/20 46/25 49/19	57/12 57/18 62/4	menu [1] 52/2	124/20 125/20 126/21	
50/1 50/13 50/17 51/6	64/19 65/10 72/25	mercenary [1] 128/2	126/22 127/16 128/10	82/15 90/4 95/19
51/7 52/11 58/25 59/4	73/8 75/17 78/13	merchant [1] 33/12	130/12 131/12 131/16	95/23 96/3 108/1
59/4 59/5 63/2 63/8	83/18 86/21 96/1	merged [3] 64/5 65/9	131/19 132/24 134/1	108/2 112/8 113/3
67/23 68/3 68/10	96/11 105/13 111/10	70/23	134/3 134/7 134/22	124/21 126/2 126/13
70/15 71/16 71/19	111/16 115/13 123/24	merit [2] 77/13	135/2 136/5 136/6	144/23
73/9 76/20 81/14 84/5	124/12 124/24 125/24	117/21	137/2 138/3 139/23	monies [1] 106/9
90/2 90/7 92/6 97/5	126/5 127/2 134/9	merits [1] 85/12	141/11 143/9 143/24	month [1] 134/2
	135/23 139/11 140/25		143/25 145/6 145/7	moral [2] 21/23 30/23
97/15 98/6 99/24	141/20 142/16 144/4	message [1] 64/12	145/25 146/1 147/6	more [75] 6/10 7/11
100/3 105/1 105/23	meaning [1] 128/21	met [4] 32/16 52/4	148/10 148/13 150/2	13/12 13/12 13/13
108/2 109/15 112/3	means [13] 50/14	89/24 154/2	150/12 151/11 151/12	19/1 19/4 21/12 21/16
112/14 113/8 113/24	58/16 66/12 66/14	method [2] 5/24	154/1	21/23 22/2 22/3 23/8
117/23 118/16 119/3	66/18 69/15 88/16	64/17	mile [1] 128/5	24/4 24/5 27/2 30/1
121/10 122/4 130/21	104/8 119/16 120/13	methodologies [1]	militate [2] 126/19	32/25 38/20 39/14
131/3 132/3 132/5	122/10 132/15 142/16		137/23	42/5 42/19 42/21 44/6
	122/10/102/10/142/10	01120	101/20	TLIU TLI 10 42/21 44/0
	1	1	1	(58) many more

(58) many... - more

M	9/19 128/15	31/16 32/9 39/16 42/6	night [2] 123/18	92/13
more [51] 45/20	multitude [1] 136/1	42/24 47/24 57/4 57/7	123/18	not [234]
46/5 47/12 47/23	must [4] 54/15 77/10	57/14 58/4 58/9 58/21	nil [1] 130/14	note [2] 3/2 78/19
50/23 52/21 53/10	97/12 142/5	60/15 60/19 62/17	no [84] 7/4 7/4 14/20	notes [1] 113/16
53/11 57/18 57/19	my [53] 1/9 3/7 4/15	62/23 69/9 74/18	23/13 23/17 24/5	nothing [6] 7/5 22/11
57/22 59/20 60/21	4/23 9/23 13/3 25/22	74/18 75/25 76/1 76/3	25/19 26/22 27/18	67/23 86/24 138/24
62/1 62/11 66/1 72/13	27/20 28/8 32/16	80/7 82/16 84/2 84/11	29/4 33/10 38/12	154/5
72/21 73/5 73/9 74/13	38/22 44/11 49/17	84/17 85/20 89/6	39/23 39/24 39/25	notional [1] 120/17
74/14 77/14 81/20	57/5 61/16 61/22 63/5 73/19 73/19 76/2	89/18 89/22 90/15	40/4 40/7 41/9 42/4	notionally [1] 117/15
82/12 83/12 85/5	86/10 88/9 90/3 95/8	95/8 97/2 103/7 112/6 114/23 119/6 120/24	42/24 44/4 50/23 51/9 56/25 57/9 57/22 59/1	notwithstanding [1] 96/17
87/12 90/15 92/7	96/18 99/8 100/9	128/5 129/10 129/13	59/25 60/9 60/17	novel [3] 79/2 79/4
93/10 93/17 100/6	100/24 101/21 106/2	129/14 130/23 143/9	61/23 62/24 63/17	121/19
109/8 109/14 111/3	106/2 106/3 110/3	144/21 145/3 145/6	63/21 63/25 64/15	now [33] 3/11 3/13
115/12 119/6 119/7	112/10 115/8 115/15	145/19 146/8 150/20	68/21 79/2 81/4 81/7	6/9 8/6 9/25 10/6
121/2 125/16 127/13	116/14 117/10 119/9	150/22 152/9 153/12	87/3 88/16 92/19	13/18 18/13 19/20
127/22 128/6 129/8 129/21 130/13 132/5	119/17 125/6 128/2	needed [7] 8/14 15/2	93/10 93/17 93/25	25/25 27/11 35/19
140/1 152/17 153/8	133/2 135/25 139/16	23/3 102/19 123/17	94/5 96/5 97/4 98/18	42/18 43/9 50/14 56/8
mortgages [1] 15/4	143/3 143/5 145/9	124/17 150/9	99/19 100/17 101/19	73/5 73/21 81/22
most [7] 6/12 13/16	145/20 146/16 150/12	needing [3] 22/7	103/3 103/9 104/3	90/13 94/18 95/25
26/17 61/25 107/25	153/21 153/24	119/22 119/24	105/3 106/21 108/13	100/11 104/24 111/14
127/25 141/25	myself [4] 2/11 60/20	needs [25] 9/10 9/13	116/20 118/3 119/12	112/8 114/1 116/7
mouth [1] 30/13	97/22 154/18	9/14 14/22 20/25	119/16 120/3 120/12	131/3 131/4 150/1
move [4] 68/22 71/10	N	21/25 24/21 29/25	122/10 128/23 131/4	150/16 151/2
71/11 146/9	naive [1] 61/6	37/11 52/16 55/11	131/8 131/10 132/20	nuisance [1] 109/25
moves [1] 100/11	narrative [1] 134/6	58/4 59/17 76/2 83/16 86/23 87/18 89/3	135/9 137/6 139/21 140/22 140/24 142/17	number [17] 40/22 41/12 49/8 52/6 64/19
moving [3] 21/15	narrowly [2] 58/21	89/10 89/16 95/9	145/9 146/14 146/23	80/4 81/19 97/7 97/8
83/17 115/1	58/22	119/17 123/1 142/20	148/3 151/5 151/9	106/5 114/24 117/24
Mr [1] 93/18	nation [1] 70/18	142/22	151/10	118/14 128/16 149/11
Mr Dawson [1] 93/18	national [2] 127/1	negligence [2] 32/13	nodding [2] 5/15 10/2	150/13 151/17
Mrs [1] 31/6	127/4	121/1	Nolan [1] 75/24	
Mrs Bloggs [1] 31/6	nations [4] 71/7 71/12	negligent [1] 30/6	non [9] 21/9 54/18	0
MS [3] 1/4 97/22 156/3	88/23 94/5	negotiated [1] 108/9	66/14 73/10 73/11	o'clock [2] 96/17
MS RICHARDS [2]	nature [8] 7/13 19/11	never [5] 46/25 51/8	73/21 75/22 87/14	155/1
1/4 156/3	22/18 77/10 89/1	52/3 59/4 129/1	100/2	objectives [1] 83/23
Ms Scott [1] 97/22	92/14 110/9 112/12	never-ending [1] 52/3		obligation [8] 4/18
much [24] 8/2 13/7	near [2] 90/4 144/5	new [5] 82/6 101/9	73/21	20/7 28/11 78/7 78/23
19/4 23/18 24/16	necessarily [12] 6/15 29/13 36/7 40/2 52/18		non-executives [1]	79/8 120/5 121/4 obligations [2] 19/23
25/23 29/23 31/9	63/2 74/17 92/16	next [28] 24/22 35/24		22/8
31/17 34/6 42/19 44/6	92/22 98/5 111/9	49/4 64/19 73/3 80/9 98/8 100/18 101/13	non-financial [2]	obliged [1] 35/5
72/21 83/1 96/4 112/8	115/13	101/21 103/11 104/24	54/18 87/14 non-means [1] 66/14	observation [2] 4/1
113/7 124/8 128/6	necessary [10] 3/19		non-serious [1] 100/2	10.00
133/15 143/22 153/17	18/24 42/16 60/8 70/1	119/18 121/6 125/8	none [1] 71/7	observations [1] 4/13
154/9 154/20	123/15 127/15 134/4	126/24 128/11 130/20	nonetheless [2]	obtain [2] 84/18
multiplicand [1]	134/9 145/4	131/11 133/13 135/4	54/14 131/24	112/12
125/12 multiplicity [2] 51/1	necessity [2] 59/9	138/18 139/6 141/8	nor [1] 148/11	obtained [1] 4/4
multiplicity [2] 51/1 89/17	70/4	142/4	normal [3] 96/10	obtaining [1] 144/15
multiplier [1] 125/12	need [63] 9/21 10/4	NHS [6] 10/24 87/22	133/16 142/3	obvious [3] 27/15
multiplying [3] 3/21	13/13 14/4 14/12 15/3	89/19 90/9 107/24	Northern [6] 54/8	37/1 57/21
	15/4 23/17 31/11	134/5	55/4 55/21 71/20 92/3	obviously [29] 22/1
				(59) more obviously

(59) more... - obviously

0	72/11 72/11 73/2 73/6	option [2] 47/21	39/13 42/5 43/20	18/5 18/10 18/19
0	73/23 74/15 75/3	47/21	44/18 46/23 52/6 54/5	24/22 31/22 33/23
obviously [28] 25/8	75/15 75/17 76/24	or [200]	54/10 56/1 56/15	35/24 40/11 42/7
25/17 32/22 34/4 34/7	78/18 80/10 80/24	oral [4] 77/1 77/1	57/20 58/24 63/6 66/5	53/21 54/3 54/13 56/6
35/22 44/8 49/21	81/5 83/8 84/10 84/21	77/10 105/24	66/16 66/22 68/12	56/15 63/13 66/3
50/23 55/8 56/8 59/19	85/7 85/18 86/24	order [13] 8/21 28/16	68/24 72/22 80/4 82/9	66/16 68/23 77/22
72/11 72/25 78/6	89/24 91/10 92/21	31/7 39/14 41/16	91/24 96/3 96/13	79/15 85/23 87/11
82/16 84/21 86/22	92/23 92/24 93/11	42/24 80/7 89/9 97/13	98/20 98/21 102/18	90/18 94/8 106/25
88/12 92/20 94/19	94/6 101/21 101/22	112/7 117/20 134/14	103/7 105/5 108/11	114/23 114/25 126/25
108/5 122/20 130/3	103/16 106/1 106/6	153/13	109/11 112/8 112/18	126/25 128/11 128/11
132/25 146/21 147/1	107/16 108/6 109/10	ordinary [1] 122/2	115/19 116/3 116/8	130/24
150/17	109/15 111/3 111/12	organisation [4] 74/9	116/18 117/7 117/8	page 101 [1] 130/24
occupy [1] 49/11	114/14 115/12 115/12	90/1 90/11 105/12	117/9 125/9 130/9	page 115 [1] 11/18
occur [1] 48/23	115/21 116/6 119/21	organised [1] 28/1	133/18 134/19 135/7	page 116 [2] 66/3
occurs [1] 39/9	123/2 123/5 124/2	origin [1] 19/1	136/22 137/20 137/25	126/25
odd [2] 35/19 131/6 off [23] 2/5 35/22	124/12 126/14 128/4	other [61] 6/11 6/25	141/5 146/3 149/3	page 117 [1] 128/11
36/24 37/16 38/13	131/14 136/1 136/6	10/10 10/13 12/13	149/23 150/16 150/20	page 118 [2] 10/12
43/6 49/14 82/11 84/3	136/19 136/21 138/14	20/24 27/10 29/11	outcome [3] 13/13	12/10
84/4 98/12 98/15	141/25 148/3 148/7	30/22 32/13 37/11	95/10 109/13	page 119 [1] 18/19
98/21 98/24 98/25	149/13 149/19 150/6	40/15 42/8 42/9 42/25	outline [1] 80/5	page 121 [1] 54/3
99/3 99/4 99/9 109/25	150/7 150/8 150/18		outside [1] 99/8	page 122 [2] 56/15
110/4 112/8 123/6	151/8 151/24 152/17	64/19 67/9 67/14	outweighed [1] 53/9	94/8
153/10	153/1	67/20 68/18 72/14	over [13] 2/20 18/10	page 129 [1] 66/16
offensive [1] 31/10	ones [3] 33/19 120/15	74/16 74/25 81/14	21/7 21/12 49/16	page 13 [1] 3/1
offer [5] 41/5 79/23	153/2	82/20 83/5 84/10 89/4	54/13 58/3 65/11	page 135 [1] 90/18
113/22 113/23 151/14	ongoing [6] 3/12	89/5 93/4 93/13 95/5	74/13 86/19 96/8	page 137 [1] 87/11
offered [2] 108/3	12/19 14/13 15/2 85/2 125/11	101/1 101/9 106/22 109/15 109/16 110/25	102/21 140/16	page 139 [1] 79/15
111/14	online [1] 1/19	113/24 117/4 117/16	over-generalisation [1] 58/3	page 19 [1] 114/25 page 36 [1] 18/5
offering [1] 109/19	only [12] 3/13 5/5	118/14 120/3 121/15	over-pessimistic [1]	page 37 [1] 40/11
officers [1] 78/16	24/15 38/19 46/8	121/22 123/24 132/5	49/16	page 38 [3] 53/21
officialdom [1] 142/9	58/24 107/21 117/4	137/22 138/1 140/22	overall [2] 33/5 110/8	56/6 63/13
often [7] 13/5 74/12	128/21 144/7 153/1		overlap [1] 16/9	page 39 [2] 68/23
82/8 82/12 106/18	153/21	149/4 149/19 152/12	overnight [3] 2/14	85/23
126/12 141/2	onward [1] 5/12	154/5	95/6 95/7	page 40 [1] 77/22
Oh [4] 22/23 70/8 85/17 115/7	open [4] 47/5 47/5	others [9] 53/9 62/10	overpaid [1] 61/15	page 95 [2] 42/7
older [1] 59/5	119/11 148/24	64/24 68/1 82/10	oversee [1] 70/21	106/25
omission [1] 25/5	operating [2] 140/10	124/20 132/6 149/22	overspeaking [3]	pages [1] 56/16
once [2] 74/19 138/5	153/24	153/7	32/7 65/12 106/1	paid [13] 4/25 6/9
one [110] 2/15 4/1	operation [3] 75/1	otherwise [14] 9/4 9/8		6/20 6/25 7/14 8/2 8/7
9/16 9/17 9/19 13/22	75/23 142/4	57/15 85/15 88/2	119/6	15/21 29/16 31/6
16/21 17/18 19/4 19/5	operational [2] 74/1	88/14 96/4 105/7	overwhelmingly [1]	63/22 128/18 128/22
19/25 20/24 23/13	94/12	111/8 114/12 119/9	74/10	pain [4] 2/23 8/20
26/17 28/20 29/1	operations [1] 140/9	122/11 141/8 145/5	own [14] 73/19 81/24	43/25 109/3
32/11 32/14 32/15	opinion [2] 52/9	ought [4] 13/22	82/24 85/2 85/15 95/8	painful [1] 102/5
33/2 34/4 35/22 37/11	145/9 opinions [1] 32/21	102/12 136/2 152/8 our [5] 1/22 3/17	103/16 105/1 117/8 118/17 124/23 135/20	palsy [1] 22/7 panel [16] 13/23
38/12 42/8 42/8 42/22	opportunity [3] 116/2	53/15 65/6 147/23	137/13 151/10	22/20 22/20 51/22
49/14 49/19 50/13	116/3 135/3	out [68] 1/6 2/14 4/18		59/15 59/15 81/14
55/12 58/23 59/8 59/9	opposed [7] 22/4	8/7 9/4 10/18 13/21	<u>P</u>	84/25 85/15 92/10
61/23 61/24 63/6 64/9	32/12 51/4 74/5 77/13	14/20 18/6 25/21 33/7	page [37] 2/20 3/1	93/7 94/25 115/5
64/13 64/17 71/1	77/15 92/4	35/3 36/23 36/24	10/12 11/18 12/10	115/5 148/13 148/14
				(60) obviously panel

(60) obviously... - panel

	440/7 440/47		07/04 07/00 74/04	
P	146/7 146/17	passed [1] 104/16	67/21 67/22 74/21	perceived [1] 108/15
panels [5] 40/20 95/1	parity [6] 32/9 71/7 71/12 120/24 124/10	passing [1] 105/1	83/11 94/10 94/16 100/21 100/23 106/14	percentage [1] 48/12
95/3 95/25 148/18	145/10	past [25] 14/15 14/18 14/25 15/12 16/16	106/18 106/20 106/21	perception [1] 99/9
paragraph [16] 11/19	Parliament [6] 69/4	17/9 17/14 17/15 26/6	107/12 115/15 115/16	perfect [2] 71/11 143/20
26/1 42/7 66/4 66/17	78/4 78/9 78/13 78/15	26/9 26/15 33/25	115/23 118/12 127/8	perfectly [2] 96/10
80/9 80/9 87/6 87/13	79/1	34/19 34/23 34/23	128/24 129/2 130/2	131/8
94/10 106/25 107/1		53/23 53/23 54/19	130/8	perform [1] 129/20
114/24 114/24 128/12	Parliamentary [1]	63/18 63/20 75/4	penalised [1] 132/7	performance [3]
130/24	part [39] 3/11 12/17	104/2 107/6 109/4		78/17 78/22 78/25
paragraph 10.10 [1]	16/6 20/23 20/23	112/20	penny [2] 6/5 9/3 pension [1] 100/13	performing [1] 76/22
66/17	26/22 42/10 57/12		pensions [5] 65/7	
paragraph 11.32 [1]	57/15 60/12 77/2	patients [1] 140/7	66/19 68/7 74/6	perhaps [31] 1/14 1/22 3/6 4/21 6/9 6/12
87/13	78/10 80/12 80/17	patterns [1] 146/10	100/13	6/18 13/8 15/6 20/23
paragraph 12.2 [1]	84/15 91/18 93/2	pausing [2] 70/4 71/1	people [107] 6/13	22/22 28/23 42/10
80/9	96/10 96/20 97/10	pay [14] 4/18 6/14 28/18 30/6 47/10	10/2 12/23 17/1 21/21	44/7 47/23 49/15
paragraph 2.20 [1]		50/14 50/15 57/18	22/4 22/5 24/13 24/16	49/15 52/2 61/20
114/24	106/14 107/2 107/7 107/13 107/14 107/22	57/19 61/1 61/13 82/9	26/21 27/12 28/4 28/9	82/18 83/16 85/5
paragraph 9.110 [1]	108/21 119/17 122/15	119/25 120/11	29/6 29/16 31/10	85/14 103/5 112/6
26/1	127/23 129/10 131/21	payable [2] 19/16	32/16 32/22 33/4	114/15 127/13 128/1
paragraph 9.130 [1]	133/5 134/12 134/23			129/24 137/7 140/14
94/10	133/5 134/12 134/23	53/22	35/18 37/8 38/1 41/12 42/15 42/23 46/5 48/8	
paragraph 9.28 [1]	141/16 144/6 144/13	paying [6] 42/19 44/12 96/3 110/24	48/15 51/19 57/5	period [6] 1/21 21/13 54/2 68/11 131/17
130/24	Participants [6] 1/13	111/20 120/10	57/13 58/22 59/20	151/22
paragraph 9.6 [2]				
106/25 107/1	46/20 81/20 97/8 97/20 98/5	paymaster [5] 50/11 50/12 50/24 51/6 53/5	60/21 61/12 62/3 63/2 63/9 64/13 64/21	periodic [4] 78/3 78/ 130/10 130/16
paragraph 9.7 [1]				
42/7	Participants' [1]	payment [46] 5/18	67/22 72/3 72/5 72/8	periodical [8] 16/19
paragraph 9.88 [1]		10/9 11/8 11/14 14/19	75/21 78/19 79/11	17/18 39/12 46/16
11/19	particular [31] 3/17	16/4 16/6 16/20 35/22	82/11 86/15 88/12	64/22 128/24 129/2
paragraph 9.90 [1]	5/13 29/2 35/2 42/10	38/7 38/14 38/25	88/14 88/22 88/24	130/8
66/4	57/5 57/24 60/15 62/8 81/24 87/3 89/3 89/18	39/11 39/12 47/12	89/5 89/14 90/4 90/7 100/22 101/5 103/4	periods [3] 15/1 15/8 99/4
paragraph 9.99 [1]	93/5 94/17 98/6	47/12 49/14 51/17 54/11 56/10 57/2	103/9 103/24 104/6	permanent [1] 73/3
128/12	107/22 108/21 109/19	57/17 57/22 58/14	104/15 114/18 116/14	
paragraphs [5] 3/6	109/20 110/4 114/4	59/17 61/9 62/14	116/24 117/2 117/14	permit [1] 121/13 permitted [1] 50/5
3/6 18/20 54/4 67/4	115/17 118/4 123/18	62/21 64/4 65/5 95/20	117/24 118/25 119/13	perpetual [1] 77/20
paragraphs 9.106 [1]	130/25 131/17 139/7	101/20 104/5 107/13	119/19 120/21 127/3	person [79] 6/4 15/1
18/20	139/22 140/14 144/9	109/23 109/25 111/12	127/25 128/3 129/5	15/16 15/23 16/15
paragraphs 9.90 [1]	particularly [7] 44/8	116/2 116/7 130/12	131/15 131/20 131/24	17/7 17/9 18/4 18/4
67/4	46/6 72/4 105/8 111/2	130/17 148/11 151/2	132/1 132/5 132/10	18/16 19/2 19/9 19/1
paralegals [3] 80/11	118/7 151/22	151/3 152/4 152/16	132/16 134/11 136/1	19/21 23/6 23/7 23/9
80/17 80/21	partly [6] 29/22 29/24		138/12 139/12 140/4	24/2 24/8 26/3 26/5
parameters [1] 22/21	58/19 58/20 88/8	11/24 12/3 17/18	141/1 142/5 143/9	26/8 26/11 26/12 27/2
parent [5] 59/8 91/2	115/8	17/19 38/3 38/3 38/18	143/22 145/10 147/10	27/3 28/1 28/16 30/1
91/3 91/8 137/1	partner [4] 115/19	38/21 39/20 40/1	143/22 143/10 147/10	30/6 31/7 31/23 33/1
parental [1] 37/19	116/7 118/17 121/10	46/16 50/17 56/7	149/11 149/17 149/18	33/17 34/2 34/11
parenting [4] 141/11	partnership [1] 70/20	56/19 56/23 58/16	150/4 150/7 151/9	34/15 34/16 35/13
141/19 141/22 142/2		58/24 60/4 63/18	153/7 153/22	36/5 36/12 36/13 37/
parents [12] 22/10	parts [1] 71/16	63/20 63/21 64/13		37/13 37/18 38/9
25/14 59/2 91/10	party [4] 44/12 110/24 110/25 111/3	64/22 65/8 65/16	people's [4] 51/4 51/18 103/21 119/17	39/25 45/2 49/3 57/20
91/14 91/20 136/14	pass [1] 1/17	65/23 66/6 66/11	per [6] 6/4 8/10 38/6	58/11 59/10 87/5
137/6 137/16 137/18		66/13 66/20 67/1 67/9	42/17 111/13 133/23	103/12 116/16 117/5
	passages [1] 105/21	00/13/00/20/07/1/07/9	+2/17 111/10 100/20	
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	(61) panels - perso
				() I manage Haras

D	141/10	102/6 102/12 109/7	nradominantly [4]	100/15 100/7
P	141/19 pleaded [1] 108/10	102/6 102/12 108/7 108/12 108/20 117/7	predominantly [1] 22/19	102/15 138/7 probabilities [1] 48/9
person [23] 119/25	please [11] 3/1 10/11	125/23 138/6 138/12	prefer [3] 50/18 103/5	
121/14 121/16 121/22	18/5 38/5 68/23 79/15	149/13 149/15 149/24	129/6	15/4 17/10 18/11 24/4
122/6 123/1 126/2	126/24 126/25 127/7	150/2 150/12 152/1	preferable [1] 11/9	24/16 25/14 27/20
135/15 135/17 135/21	128/11 148/2	152/10 152/13 152/14	preferably [4] 14/24	29/10 29/15 29/15
136/13 137/2 137/9	plus [2] 123/20 127/3	possibly [5] 54/7	46/5 69/12 76/19	30/10 33/10 35/3 39/7
137/10 137/14 138/19	pm [6] 97/3 97/4 97/6	74/15 94/14 95/12	prejudice [1] 29/7	40/8 40/23 40/24
139/1 141/9 141/10	97/24 98/1 155/2	121/9	premise [1] 121/6	48/10 53/15 73/9
141/14 146/1 150/11 154/10	point [47] 10/5 12/2	post [1] 101/8	prepare [1] 79/22	73/15 89/4 90/13 95/1
person's [5] 26/18	24/10 33/2 33/6 39/18	potential [11] 16/9	prepared [4] 29/16	95/17 97/6 100/18
36/24 91/4 114/19	42/8 51/6 55/16 55/19	49/8 51/1 53/8 61/19	85/11 89/19 108/8	123/10 125/5 126/7
121/14	58/12 63/16 64/8	77/3 86/7 108/5	prescriptions [1]	126/7 126/9 126/17
personal [24] 2/12	71/23 80/14 81/10	132/21 137/13 137/15	87/22	136/2 136/13 154/2
2/19 5/10 5/20 5/24	81/19 88/3 93/4 93/6	potentially [20] 12/19	present [4] 33/23	problem [3] 8/13
26/19 36/4 36/23	93/13 93/19 95/17	14/6 14/10 27/15 52/4	79/19 83/4 98/25	118/20 148/23
43/15 43/15 53/25	98/14 99/20 102/9	69/17 73/25 75/10	presented [1] 98/4	problematic [1] 140/1
55/3 55/5 67/19 68/4	103/13 105/16 105/16	76/7 82/4 84/13 86/9	press [1] 118/5	problems [3] 19/24
68/6 73/19 86/17	118/4 118/5 122/20	92/10 95/14 104/18	pressing [1] 118/4	118/13 124/23
86/22 103/14 129/2	122/22 124/3 130/20	107/2 118/17 138/12	pressures [2] 110/25	procedurally [1]
129/18 137/17 144/6	130/20 130/21 131/4	140/1 143/16	110/25	102/4
personally [4] 72/2	131/6 137/23 142/15 143/10 147/3 147/15	pounds [1] 33/14	presumably [8] 12/17 62/18 82/4 102/16	procedure [1] 102/12 proceed [1] 43/13
116/25 134/3 152/25	148/3 149/16 149/20	powerful [2] 32/17 135/8	107/15 110/23 122/18	proceed [1] 43/13 proceedings [6]
persons [6] 18/15	pointing [1] 35/3	practical [4] 82/4	148/18	44/25 45/14 47/22
26/3 39/2 41/4 69/17	points [7] 17/19 58/23		pretty [2] 63/14	53/2 147/14 148/12
87/18	63/15 83/5 90/17	practicalities [1]	142/15	proceeds [2] 45/23
perspective [1] 43/9	91/23 142/23	117/11	previous [5] 12/24	136/23
persuaded [1] 60/20	policy [8] 29/22 30/8	practice [6] 2/12 17/3	76/25 106/10 106/14	process [29] 19/15
persuading [1] 97/3	30/20 32/7 50/9	17/21 53/25 108/24	139/25	42/22 69/8 69/10
pessimistic [1] 49/16	119/23 120/8 124/7	129/1	previously [6] 25/7	69/13 69/14 69/14
physical [8] 15/17 15/19 19/3 19/22	ponder [2] 154/18	practising [1] 73/19	35/15 69/21 71/8	76/18 77/2 77/12
19/24 20/4 20/8 20/13	154/20	pragmatic [2] 118/24	85/25 86/3	77/19 82/25 86/6 93/2
physiotherapy [1]	populating [1] 92/10	119/15	primary [2] 121/11	94/13 96/2 101/17
87/23	posed [1] 28/25	pre [6] 3/10 3/12 3/16	149/25	101/23 101/25 102/3
pick [5] 2/5 10/6 41/2	position [21] 2/6 5/12	3/21 47/18 48/2	principle [17] 6/3	102/8 102/9 109/1
68/24 91/23	34/10 34/12 38/16	pre-cirrhosis [2]	6/12 41/20 49/19	136/21 142/24 149/8
picked [2] 76/21 83/6	39/8 42/3 54/6 54/7	47/18 48/2	52/24 55/11 55/16	151/3 151/6 151/13
picking [7] 16/7 64/8	55/3 66/2 66/17 83/21		56/9 94/20 98/19	processed [1] 150/15
79/13 81/21 88/3	90/5 90/22 91/13	3/12 3/16 3/21	103/9 121/21 125/3	produce [5] 12/25
103/24 113/6	91/25 115/17 115/25 118/8 124/4	precautionary [1] 134/1	125/5 133/6 142/10 144/21	14/1 61/17 147/9 149/24
picture [4] 14/1 15/1	possibilities [2] 52/3	precise [4] 53/10	principles [7] 18/23	produces [1] 126/12
123/19 153/7	52/12	53/11 100/6 127/13	28/7 37/22 75/24	production [1] 13/9
piece [1] 23/16	possibility [3] 76/21	precisely [1] 60/4	75/24 98/20 102/14	products [5] 103/3
place [5] 72/11 99/6	146/25 149/5	precursors [1]	priority [1] 62/13	121/8 138/20 138/23
118/22 119/2 119/7	possible [35] 10/13	106/15	private [2] 25/9 134/1	139/2
placed [3] 49/20 72/3	11/4 11/10 14/1 15/6	predecessors [1]	privately [1] 134/16	profess [1] 5/2
91/1	39/17 48/13 51/20	63/19	privilege [2] 114/1	progress [3] 13/24
places [2] 88/22 89/24	60/11 64/25 73/14	predict [1] 13/22	154/22	49/2 49/3
play [2] 139/17	75/10 77/18 90/4	predicted [2] 128/15	privileged [1] 109/17	progresses [1] 79/4
	95/13 96/15 101/3	130/4	proactive [3] 69/11	promise [1] 97/14
				(62) person promise

(62) person... - promise

D	provinional [45]	augntified [4] 10/6	151/19 151/10	roady [2] 105/46
P	provisional [15] 46/15 47/13 48/18	quantified [1] 48/6 question [62] 9/14	154/18 154/19 quote [1] 45/24	ready [3] 125/16 128/3 148/15
proof [1] 143/15	49/4 49/6 49/10 50/5	28/5 28/17 28/24		realistically [1] 49/15
proper [3] 111/11	50/5 50/14 50/25 51/2	29/18 38/23 39/23	R	reality [3] 29/4 41/16
111/13 143/6	51/25 52/5 53/11	39/25 40/7 41/22	raise [4] 28/23 113/7	63/1
properly [1] 111/19	133/19	46/23 52/23 52/24	116/15 154/1	really [22] 5/7 21/5
proportion [3] 24/21	provisional-type [1]	61/4 71/14 81/21 94/7	raised [8] 3/4 39/19	45/25 51/22 51/23
61/12 110/8	50/5	98/11 100/11 101/13	75/4 105/25 105/25	61/20 65/14 71/9
proportional [1]	provisions [1] 3/9	101/21 103/11 104/24	106/2 106/3 144/25	71/23 72/9 78/24
112/19	psychiatric [5] 19/6	105/18 105/19 106/8	raising [1] 84/5	111/6 114/13 118/5
proportionality [5]	20/20 22/22 31/25	109/6 112/16 112/18	random [2] 30/1 30/7	122/3 122/4 122/22
23/16 32/9 111/16	146/2	114/14 114/17 115/15	range [11] 19/8 20/4	122/23 125/17 133/9
112/10 114/8	psychiatrist [1] 23/11	116/12 120/2 121/6	60/3 61/19 66/18	142/25 150/17
proportionate [2]	psychological [5]	121/12 122/23 123/7	95/14 98/2 133/24	reason [22] 9/16
46/2 113/9	19/5 20/18 22/19	123/9 124/8 125/17	141/12 147/10 147/18	21/25 29/8 56/9 57/4
proposals [1] 77/24	22/24 87/17	125/20 126/24 127/2	ranking [1] 6/15	60/12 72/20 97/4
propose [4] 65/24	public [4] 5/18 69/5	128/12 130/20 131/7	rare [1] 4/7	100/17 101/19 103/9
96/16 125/13 142/16	73/11 75/11	131/11 133/13 133/17	rarely [1] 17/4	104/19 118/4 122/6
proposed [1] 11/7	publication [2] 94/12	135/7 135/13 137/11	rate [8] 55/1 55/2 55/6	
proposing [4] 1/25	106/7	138/18 139/6 142/4	55/10 55/25 56/2	135/25 139/5 141/10
46/21 105/21 148/5	publicly [2] 69/21	143/24 148/9 149/14	104/2 124/19	149/13 151/5
propositions [1]	85/25	150/17 151/15 151/21	rates [5] 31/15 40/14	reasonable [6] 31/12
115/20	pull [1] 40/25	question's [1] 100/18	40/14 40/18 55/20	113/23 123/13 143/4
proprietary [1] 77/12	pulls [2] 40/8 40/21	questionable [1]	rather [35] 1/21 4/12	143/5 145/23
pros [1] 133/20	punitive [2] 104/10	95/20	6/17 9/3 9/22 19/2	reasonably [4] 30/4
prospect [1] 51/3	104/11	Questioned [2] 1/4	21/11 27/5 37/23	62/1 122/12 152/1
prospects [5] 7/15	purely [1] 104/14	156/3	46/15 47/12 51/6 54/8	reasoning [2] 49/17
49/16 50/8 108/15	purpose [6] 4/15	questions [23] 1/6	62/11 63/6 63/10	106/17
151/12	42/24 97/6 127/12	1/11 1/12 1/16 5/7	78/13 86/6 87/1 89/4	reasons [16] 7/23
protect [1] 82/17	145/2 148/9	9/25 10/3 39/19 40/5	91/20 95/23 99/18	14/7 37/1 42/25 52/15
proved [1] 13/9	purposes [7] 34/4	63/8 97/10 97/12	102/20 109/24 111/3	77/16 79/18 80/4 81/6
provide [16] 3/10	46/22 66/20 68/17	97/16 97/22 98/2 98/9	112/6 115/9 120/18	108/14 122/3 124/6
13/24 34/6 42/14	83/4 93/21 117/25	118/1 119/24 125/8	123/12 128/2 129/4	124/7 124/21 126/14
86/16 87/16 88/23	pursue [3] 17/25 41/6	138/4 148/5 152/19	131/6 132/12 143/8	128/25
120/9 123/16 127/24	122/11	154/11	rationale [2] 7/9 86/1	reassessment [1]
128/2 129/8 136/9	put [29] 13/13 21/9	queue [1] 150/24	re [4] 56/17 88/4	51/11
136/11 141/18 142/2	30/13 33/6 37/3 52/1	quick [3] 111/24	101/24 131/9	recall [1] 78/11
provided [18] 3/14	52/7 52/10 54/24 61/7	152/4 152/14	re-apply [1] 101/24	recap [2] 15/14 33/16
16/23 26/5 26/15	62/6 90/4 97/10	quickly [8] 63/15	re-read [1] 56/17	receipt [3] 43/17
31/18 31/19 35/6	101/15 114/23 118/22	101/10 117/7 150/18	re-visiting [1] 88/4	128/17 130/15
36/17 37/8 37/18	119/1 119/7 123/24	151/24 152/3 152/15	re-write [1] 131/9	receive [20] 15/16
80/10 88/1 88/19	125/6 126/4 136/9	153/13	reached [1] 80/2	15/23 32/22 34/8 38/2
91/19 128/4 134/5	140/9 148/2 148/2	quite [34] 7/6 7/7 15/9	reactions [2] 23/12	38/17 40/2 41/16 44/1
143/17 144/18	150/18 151/21 152/6	21/5 21/17 22/5 25/16	50/13	44/2 59/1 61/10 63/4
provides [2] 85/23	153/3	27/15 31/3 36/21	read [12] 2/14 18/11	67/22 104/6 106/6
89/14	putting [1] 7/10	38/22 48/10 49/6	54/4 56/16 56/17	124/15 144/11 145/12
providing [4] 44/9 90/6 129/16 130/16		51/23 58/9 58/21 61/7	56/17 68/24 70/7	145/15
	Q	75/20 78/18 91/25	105/23 114/24 135/7	received [16] 16/17
provision [9] 26/16 53/23 65/24 69/24	qualify [4] 52/18	95/4 95/22 110/23	146/3	16/19 17/13 36/14
74/25 87/16 107/6	100/25 138/23 139/20	114/13 123/19 126/8	reader [1] 89/13	43/12 43/21 43/24
107/9 116/4	Quality [3] 73/22	129/1 136/2 144/5	readily [2] 86/8 88/15	64/12 68/8 105/18
101/3 110/4	73/25 78/13	147/21 150/17 152/3	readiness [1] 152/6	106/14 107/4 129/21
				(63) proof - received

(63) proof - received

R	recommendation 16	reflect [17] 1/9 1/12	relation [52] 4/20	96/6
received [3] 139/1	[1] 76/6	4/16 4/18 14/2 15/17	9/13 14/18 14/25 16/9	repeat [4] 62/23
139/25 153/22	recommendation 17	16/23 17/21 40/14	16/10 17/8 23/24 30/9	80/15 89/15 116/23
receives [1] 32/12	[1] 79/13	46/10 91/3 120/7	33/16 40/7 46/17	repeated [1] 89/8
receiving [6] 33/13	recommendations	127/16 133/24 139/6	46/24 49/9 51/11	replace [1] 28/19
39/20 47/7 60/10	[15] 2/8 4/15 18/6	139/8 153/21	51/15 51/24 53/4 54/6	replaced [2] 5/23
110/25 124/20	18/15 40/22 43/2	reflected [7] 13/4	60/16 63/9 65/2 66/2	6/23
recipient [2] 70/11	60/13 78/2 78/5 83/4	54/22 91/11 103/18	67/9 67/18 74/1 75/3	replacement [1] 91/8
144/1	90/21 99/17 120/4	131/19 132/14 135/2	81/10 90/13 90/16	replacing [1] 141/22
recipients [1] 148/25	145/20 146/16	reflecting [4] 1/7 38/4		report [50] 1/7 10/11
reclaimed [2] 68/11	recommended [8]	92/14 126/21	99/20 103/2 106/20	15/10 16/22 31/20
68/12	15/15 27/11 27/16 61/10 78/12 87/20	reflection [7] 15/11 24/3 91/21 94/1 135/4	112/12 114/18 116/15 117/16 119/18 121/3	31/21 36/10 40/17 40/23 42/7 53/21 54/3
recognise [5] 14/8	94/22 116/1	135/5 154/13	124/15 126/6 127/14	56/17 56/18 56/23
20/21 53/1 57/2	recommending [2]	reflections [1] 59/6	131/12 134/21 135/20	59/24 61/4 71/2 78/1
116/24	32/2 42/20	reflects [12] 15/24	140/6 140/17 150/7	78/15 79/8 79/9 83/9
recognised [9] 8/13	recompense [2]	16/8 16/11 21/22	150/9	84/18 90/19 90/21
19/6 20/20 31/24	146/6 146/14	30/17 35/16 37/6	relationship [6] 19/1	93/24 94/8 94/13
54/15 81/13 93/21	reconstruct [1]	39/18 54/7 61/11	23/4 31/25 65/18	98/13 98/20 99/21
134/17 136/12	113/16	120/3 133/13	81/23 118/10	100/9 100/12 100/19
recognising [2] 67/7 139/19	records [7] 51/14	regard [12] 31/17	relationships [1] 16/2	102/25 105/21 105/23
recognition [7] 12/5	69/20 84/12 84/18	32/10 35/18 44/23	relative [1] 16/24	106/7 106/14 106/17
20/25 22/13 103/15	85/24 86/8 86/17	69/9 76/22 77/1 92/17	relatively [3] 23/13	106/23 114/15 114/25
134/23 144/21 146/6	recover [5] 2/7 6/10	92/19 138/8 145/18	41/17 108/1	115/11 126/4 126/24
recommend [10]	8/3 24/20 38/20	145/19	relatives [2] 28/17	130/23 131/9 153/24
17/20 53/22 54/21	recoverable [6] 8/5	regarded [1] 140/8	93/21	reported [2] 78/4
56/23 66/9 66/25 76/6	20/10 110/21 144/8	regards [2] 98/11	release [1] 95/23	150/6
77/24 81/11 119/16	147/8 147/25	120/16	relevant [12] 3/10	reporting [1] 78/9
recommendation [60]	recovered [4] 7/18	regime [1] 46/4	3/13 3/16 19/9 45/13	reports [5] 78/8 84/13
12/11 12/14 15/13	9/10 100/14 106/10	region [1] 35/21	86/16 86/23 87/1	84/15 84/21 84/23
18/3 21/22 24/24	recovering [1] 7/12	registered [2] 115/24 118/9	88/17 106/13 107/18 131/16	represent [2] 33/25 76/10
24/24 30/14 30/15	recovery [3] 44/2 114/13 124/4	regretted [1] 32/19	relieve [1] 14/3	representation [6]
33/24 38/12 38/14	reduced [2] 43/19	regular [8] 11/21	remain [1] 3/16	9/12 70/2 70/6 76/9
40/6 40/8 40/12 40/21	129/24	11/24 12/3 38/2 127/8	remarkable [1] 21/1	82/1 106/6
40/24 41/2 41/3 41/11	reduction [1] 111/8	129/14 130/1 134/2	remarkably [1] 81/4	representations [2]
44/11 44/21 46/8	refer [2] 77/21 100/12		remedy [1] 62/7	105/18 106/5
46/14 53/20 53/21	referable [2] 107/15	regulations [2] 68/15	remember [1] 29/12	representatives [11]
54/23 54/25 55/2 56/5	107/22	68/16	remind [1] 127/5	1/17 2/15 36/4 46/20
56/10 56/13 56/19 63/11 63/12 65/22	reference [12] 11/17	regulatory [1] 72/24	reminded [1] 119/21	69/18 76/8 81/13
66/5 67/11 68/22 69/1	19/14 54/16 55/6	rehearsal [1] 77/15	remit [2] 74/11 77/11	81/23 82/1 97/9 98/4
69/1 70/16 76/6 77/21	65/14 69/6 79/14	reinfection [1] 115/3	remote [2] 29/20 30/2	represented [1] 97/8
78/11 79/13 84/14	80/16 99/8 108/12	reinforce [1] 126/15	remoteness [1] 29/19	representing [2]
85/22 87/6 87/7 87/24	127/1 145/16	reinvent [2] 37/24	remove [1] 32/6	34/15 82/11
88/4 90/16 98/6	referenced [1] 102/25	82/6	removes [1] 46/12	Republic [2] 83/24
100/21 100/24 118/1	referred [5] 19/15	relate [1] 19/8	remunerated [1]	147/6
119/19 125/22 146/14	25/6 83/9 104/25	related [5] 8/4 32/13	28/16	require [8] 13/6 72/18
recommendation 14	105/6	41/7 44/25 46/14	remuneration [1]	74/9 85/2 95/4 111/10
[1] 63/11	referring [2] 2/21	relates [5] 5/5 66/23	34/8	119/3 120/10
recommendation 15	55/3	94/7 115/15 138/18	render [1] 141/15	required [19] 10/6
[1] 65/22	refers [3] 2/22 3/3 9/16	relating [3] 2/9 7/23 68/25	rendered [1] 141/9 reorganisation [1]	13/2 13/25 15/5 15/8 41/5 41/8 57/8 68/6
		00/20	reviganisation [1]	+1/0 +1/0 01/0 00/0
1	I	1	1	(64) received - required

(64) received... - required

R	ridiculous [1] 42/19	S	54/5 54/13 60/18	Scottish [4] 92/5
required [10] 72/23	riding [1] 6/13	sadly [3] 62/24 74/18	61/23 62/12 65/8 66/4	93/17 93/20 145/6
74/9 74/23 80/1 83/1	right [28] 4/10 8/22	127/20	66/7 66/24 68/5 74/5	screen [4] 10/11 18/5
94/2 102/7 113/25	8/23 12/10 16/20 23/9	safeguard [2] 78/18	79/20 80/10 80/17	24/22 141/17
117/20 152/11	38/4 41/6 42/13 55/24	83/16	81/1 81/3 81/10 81/21	screening [1] 134/10
requirements [3] 11/2	57/11 73/11 80/13	safeguards [1] 82/16	89/21 93/23 94/10	scrupulous [1] 82/18
12/24 135/24	84/18 86/1 98/12	safety [1] 144/10	95/13 96/17 97/7	scrutinised [1] 31/1
requires [6] 21/24	100/21 105/22 107/19	said [39] 2/4 2/14	97/19 98/13 99/10	second [12] 10/13
52/10 64/24 75/2	111/11 121/19 127/22	8/15 14/18 25/7 28/23	100/25 103/5 105/7	12/4 23/21 46/15
124/10 143/7	133/18 137/1 144/20	35/17 39/2 47/20	107/3 107/10 108/7	48/22 81/10 99/20
requiring [1] 12/25	151/23 152/20 154/12	49/12 49/22 59/23	110/7 110/20 111/21	107/2 118/16 125/20
research [1] 95/5	rights [1] 25/20	60/23 62/16 64/17	113/1 114/7 118/19	128/13 133/22
resilient [1] 132/5	rise [2] 150/25 150/25	76/18 87/13 90/2 93/8	119/12 120/13 123/4	secondly [1] 132/3
Resolution [1] 107/24	risk [16] 7/12 8/4 48/3	98/14 108/5 108/18	128/13 130/4 132/8	section [3] 31/22
resource [6] 13/12	48/5 48/6 48/12 83/22	115/11 116/15 122/24	136/3 141/16 144/5	90/18 98/20
37/13 51/11 74/4	96/11 114/12 139/19	127/11 133/14 134/8	147/1 147/17 147/17	section 11 [1] 90/18
111/18 150/18	139/23 139/23 140/2	140/16 142/5 142/6	152/22 152/24	sections [1] 90/20
resources [2] 36/24	140/9 140/17 140/22	145/17 145/25 152/18	saying [10] 43/11	secured [2] 64/7
150/22	risks [4] 109/12	152/22 152/24 152/25	52/4 53/4 57/13 76/13	64/23
respect [7] 4/14	138/22 139/4 139/25	153/24 154/5	82/19 121/19 123/9	securing [1] 81/25
11/15 16/16 22/3	RLIT0001640 [1] 2/17	sake [3] 13/19 31/24	129/16 153/11	security [6] 50/8 64/9
30/15 46/4 154/6	RLRs [1] 81/13	40/10	says [1] 60/1	64/14 65/2 67/16 90/9
respects [1] 83/13	ROBERT [6] 1/3 2/5	salary [1] 130/5	scale [2] 105/8	see [31] 2/20 18/19
respond [4] 59/24	4/12 98/2 153/19	same [26] 18/22 42/3	126/18	30/22 32/6 39/14
79/23 148/10 148/11	156/2 Data atta (4) 4/7	43/7 43/14 44/14 45/8	scandal [2] 79/25	42/25 47/8 50/11
response [3] 59/25	Robert's [1] 1/7	56/1 58/9 62/19 64/20	80/3	54/21 55/23 59/4
60/1 60/16	robust [1] 78/18	65/6 67/17 67/19	scanning [2] 134/2	63/10 77/13 77/18
responsibilities [2]	role [1] 96/8	71/16 80/2 81/8	134/4 scepticism [3] 96/18	78/21 80/1 86/7 87/3 91/18 95/5 95/9
32/1 144/10	Rolls [1] 6/14 rough [3] 93/12	115/25 116/8 117/4	96/19 142/8	100/17 102/8 103/9
responsible [1] 25/16	125/16 128/3	122/22 124/10 124/15	scheme [171]	111/11 113/11 134/19
responsive [1] 130/4	roughly [2] 7/16	130/13 135/10 145/13	scheme's [1] 70/21	153/9 153/12 153/17
rest [2] 37/2 75/25	150/13	149/11	schemes [31] 5/11	153/25
restricts [1] 21/4	round [4] 23/10 27/9	satisfaction [2]	11/22 11/25 12/24	seek [2] 120/20
result [7] 10/16 19/5	73/4 153/9	127/25 129/22	38/2 40/15 42/9 58/17	127/14
19/22 31/25 32/1	route [4] 89/24	satisfy [3] 42/23	63/19 64/5 65/8 67/2	seeking [15] 6/6 25/8
42/18 146/16	124/13 130/8 130/9	149/6 149/7	70/23 70/25 72/25	28/21 43/25 60/14
resulted [1] 134/24	routine [1] 121/2	satisfying [1] 83/22	75/3 76/25 86/4 86/14	67/24 89/2 111/23
results [1] 151/24	Rowena [2] 154/24	save [1] 82/15	100/23 101/7 102/23	116/19 120/7 120/14
retain [1] 85/10	155/1	saves [1] 82/15	106/15 107/11 115/24	121/20 124/1 124/3
retirement [1] 129/25	Rowena Jecock [1]	saw [3] 41/14 62/17	119/2 119/5 143/18	149/2
return [2] 46/21 97/14	154/24	72/4	143/22 149/1 149/19	seem [11] 32/20 58/1
returning [1] 144/25	Royce [1] 6/14	say [87] 1/11 9/11 9/24 11/3 11/18 12/9	scope [3] 56/14 118/1	65/16 74/7 77/19
review [8] 69/12 69/14 69/18 77/7	RPI [1] 131/5	14/23 16/8 18/21 20/3	125/21	109/21 112/15 114/2
77/14 78/9 95/8 149/5	rules [3] 58/15 64/24	20/7 20/8 22/6 25/18	Scotland [19] 54/8	120/23 150/19 151/23
reviewed [1] 78/1	65/3	28/25 29/14 30/24	55/21 71/20 72/4 72/5	seemed [10] 7/24
reviewer [1] 23/2	run [3] 117/14 143/12	31/24 35/25 38/23	91/25 92/4 92/7 92/12	9/11 21/19 23/3 41/17
revisited [2] 7/25	143/12	39/1 40/24 43/21 44/5	92/15 92/18 93/4	45/15 71/24 72/21
87/24	running [3] 33/13	45/5 45/16 47/6 47/21	93/10 93/13 93/14	108/1 126/14
RICHARDS [2] 1/4	142/18 143/22	48/9 48/18 48/24	145/1 145/14 145/18	seems [13] 2/10 17/3
156/3	runs [1] 96/11	50/12 50/17 53/13	145/21	24/18 30/9 59/7 65/4
			Scott [1] 97/22	79/7 84/25 87/2 94/11
				(65) required seems

(65) required... - seems

	1			
S	143/13 143/24 144/16	18/11 18/23 19/10	significance [1]	six [4] 18/7 110/5
seems [3] 103/19	set [31] 10/18 18/6	19/17 20/22 22/13	139/22	114/10 134/2
110/6 128/9	42/5 43/6 44/18 52/6	24/3 24/4 25/5 25/23	significant [4] 61/23	six-month [1] 134/2
	54/5 54/10 56/15 57/3	26/4 26/6 28/6 36/1	61/24 105/14 143/25	sixteenth [1] 3/13
seen [10] 22/9 24/17	58/14 60/2 66/5 66/16	38/13 38/14 38/15	significantly [5]	sketchy [1] 65/13
26/19 48/14 64/18	66/22 80/4 88/7 94/15	39/3 39/21 40/17	11/13 23/8 84/4	slice [1] 52/19
66/5 71/8 82/20 140/6	94/18 96/7 98/8 98/20	40/19 40/23 41/4 41/8	114/10 117/24	slightly [7] 28/13
142/2	98/20 106/1 108/11	43/6 43/11 43/18	signposting [1] 89/22	
sees [1] 4/10	112/3 115/19 124/23	43/19 44/23 48/23	similar [8] 22/5 23/23	
select [1] 78/17				
self [1] 61/2	138/13 145/16 149/3	49/20 53/5 53/14 55/2	23/23 68/16 77/7 88/9	small [8] 23/12 41/17
self-defeating [1]	setting [8] 19/7 55/14	55/10 55/16 56/1 56/2	100/3 128/1	46/1 108/1 109/23
61/2	57/21 74/4 94/23	61/6 61/10 63/4 63/17	similarities [2] 100/7	113/3 126/13 140/1
sense [19] 21/23 23/4	94/25 145/17 150/20	64/5 65/1 65/5 66/11	105/15	smaller [1] 6/19
24/3 24/21 30/3 32/17	settle [3] 58/8 60/19	66/13 67/17 67/18	similarly [1] 113/23	smooth [1] 93/12
33/2 39/13 51/24	116/4	68/20 69/23 70/17	Simmons [3] 2/10 3/3	so [201]
56/11 61/2 65/19	settled [5] 48/16	70/19 71/4 71/7 76/3	8/15	Sol[1] 5/1
	60/11 108/24 111/6	76/15 76/19 78/1	Simmons v Castle [3]	
75/17 91/16 115/24	113/3	78/12 79/6 81/1 83/12	2/10 3/3 8/15	15/24 15/25 16/9 18/8
117/3 117/4 132/24	settlement [19] 41/6	87/3 87/4 87/5 87/8	simple [5] 57/15 61/7	20/24 23/21 23/24
151/20	41/17 41/25 63/23	87/15 87/20 87/24	117/12 143/8 151/16	24/15 29/3 33/20
sensible [1] 150/19	106/10 107/4 107/8	88/1 88/6 89/11 90/6	simpler [1] 153/8	34/22 65/2 67/16
sensitive [3] 86/21	107/19 107/21 108/10	90/8 91/9 91/15 92/19	simplicity [2] 69/10	87/17 89/7 90/9 91/5
86/22 86/25	108/13 108/14 108/21	93/1 93/2 94/18 96/14	126/16	131/13 133/5 134/21
sent [1] 78/15	109/2 109/2 110/3	97/21 98/21 100/14		137/3 139/10 140/13
sentence [3] 81/11			simplistic [1] 115/9	
127/7 128/13	112/7 113/10 113/16	102/13 102/15 103/10	simply [8] 3/21 9/19	146/22
sentences [1] 36/9	settlements [3]	104/8 104/14 107/4	50/17 86/19 111/4	socialisation [1]
separate [5] 26/24	106/22 107/25 112/13	108/19 108/19 111/21	119/15 119/25 144/5	24/15
73/14 80/22 138/17	several [2] 63/7	112/8 112/25 116/22	single [9] 51/3 68/6	solicitors [1] 6/25
141/5	150/15	118/21 120/5 120/21	98/6 136/5 136/9	solution [6] 84/25
separated [2] 137/18	severe [1] 139/17	127/3 129/18 130/4	136/17 136/18 139/1	92/24 96/12 153/8
138/16	severity [3] 19/8 23/5	134/10 134/12 134/17	147/5	153/10 153/14
separately [1] 9/15	115/7	135/13 137/5 138/23	sir [23] 1/3 1/5 1/7 1/9	
separates [1] 117/1	shadow [8] 94/24	139/6 139/20 140/12	2/5 4/12 6/7 8/23 42/2	solve [1] 48/24
separation [1] 138/15	94/25 95/1 95/19 96/7	141/13 141/19 142/25	53/14 68/24 96/16	some [99] 3/15 5/24
September [1] 101/8	148/13 148/13 148/14	144/1 144/19 145/12	98/2 109/8 111/7	10/1 10/18 11/23
	shared [5] 58/9 59/21	145/15 145/16 145/18	124/1 136/4 144/6	12/24 14/21 14/21
September 1991 [1]	65/20 85/16 132/25	149/5 149/6 151/2	148/5 149/14 153/19	21/17 21/18 22/13
101/8	shares [1] 60/20	153/4	154/23 156/2	22/21 23/8 28/4 29/21
serious [5] 22/9	she [1] 97/11	shouldn't [5] 40/24	Sir Brian [1] 136/4	31/1 31/2 31/12 32/19
100/2 131/24 138/21	sheer [2] 123/16	61/13 89/8 101/19	Sir Robert [4] 2/5	35/17 39/18 40/23
147/1	123/20	132/6	4/12 98/2 153/19	47/8 48/6 48/7 50/15
service [10] 69/25	shock [1] 21/10	show [4] 11/6 11/13	SIR ROBERT	50/17 52/15 56/9 59/2
71/25 72/14 75/1	short [4] 53/18 86/5	17/24 86/10	FRANCIS [1] 156/2	60/1 62/7 63/8 63/14
76/17 76/22 77/8				72/19 73/18 74/18
88/11 89/14 121/2	93/19 151/22	shown [2] 113/21	Sir Robert's [1] 1/7	
services [22] 11/15	shortage [1] 29/15	121/25	sit [1] 73/21	75/3 76/10 76/20
37/19 69/24 70/10	shortfall [2] 6/19 6/19		sitting [1] 31/8	76/22 77/12 78/19
70/12 70/14 79/14	shortly [1] 17/7	siblings [1] 135/6	situation [15] 8/16	79/18 82/2 83/10
87/7 87/10 87/23	should [142] 1/11	side [7] 6/11 6/25	21/21 26/24 47/15	83/17 84/13 84/16
88/21 88/25 89/17	3/19 4/23 4/25 6/3 6/4	109/15 109/16 109/17	51/5 61/14 75/13	85/3 86/11 88/22
89/22 89/23 90/17	6/5 8/4 8/10 9/3 9/17	123/24 142/23	83/24 90/25 116/19	88/23 89/24 89/24
141/8 141/11 141/12	11/14 11/25 13/16	sides [1] 53/8	123/18 123/19 130/19	90/15 90/17 91/16
ודי 1/11 די 1/12	14/17 14/19 15/10	sign [2] 41/8 117/14	132/16 137/5	93/12 94/14 95/2
				(66) seems some

S	somewhat [5] 27/25	spell [1] 43/20	stop [3] 74/19 84/22	successful [3] 6/24
	125/24 126/17 133/1	spend [1] 129/11	95/18	7/19 7/19
some [38] 97/19	142/10	spending [1] 112/22	stops [2] 147/20	such [45] 5/5 15/1
98/8 104/17 104/25	somewhere [3] 110/7		147/21	16/8 16/10 16/11 22/9
105/1 111/5 112/2	117/11 120/21	73/20	stories [2] 12/22	23/17 30/24 37/22
114/9 115/1 118/21	soon [1] 60/11	spoke [1] 48/16	153/2	41/15 47/2 47/15 51/2
118/25 119/23 120/9	sophisticated [2]	spoken [2] 49/24	straightforward [2]	52/7 54/1 58/16 66/11
121/7 124/18 129/23	61/17 119/6	95/21	27/2 43/20	66/15 84/22 87/25
132/5 132/15 136/10	sophistication [1]	spouse [2] 138/16	strain [2] 21/13	103/9 103/19 105/3
140/21 141/23 143/18	108/9	138/17	146/11	106/19 107/8 110/3
143/20 144/21 145/22	sorry [16] 3/6 4/23	spreading [1] 50/16	street [1] 4/10	111/1 113/25 116/14
146/23 147/7 147/13	5/16 38/24 63/25	staff [1] 150/21	stress [3] 21/13	119/22 120/12 120/15
147/14 147/23 148/14	67/18 69/14 70/8	staffed [2] 80/11	103/14 146/11	120/20 121/12 123/14
148/18 149/2 150/9	80/15 106/23 107/14	80/16	strictly [1] 21/6	124/11 125/22 129/3
150/16 150/17 151/11	128/8 137/25 142/13	stage [9] 48/2 49/4	strikes [1] 24/13	134/3 140/22 141/13
151/12	146/16 146/19	63/11 65/25 74/22	striking [1] 58/21	146/14 147/2 149/8
somebody [4] 46/9	sort [27] 13/5 21/20	74/24 77/14 117/23	strong [3] 64/12	153/5
52/7 122/8 123/21	23/4 26/24 28/2 28/20	130/12	75/20 132/13	sudden [1] 21/11
somehow [1] 6/23	29/22 30/5 31/11	stages [3] 18/13	stronger [2] 60/3	suddenly [1] 52/15
someone [29] 4/16	37/11 46/7 74/2 74/9	51/23 80/6	100/10	sue [2] 41/10 128/1
8/10 9/2 21/5 28/18 35/20 38/5 43/21	75/22 95/9 109/21	standard [4] 11/7	strongly [2] 151/24	suffer [2] 46/24 89/5
	116/3 116/8 116/18	11/13 11/17 14/24	152/3	suffered [10] 19/21
45/22 48/9 52/14	117/7 117/8 117/9	standardised [2]	struck [6] 13/15	23/6 31/23 99/24
52/21 57/23 58/10	132/6 132/16 136/10	127/16 132/14	24/13 37/23 72/3	131/23 133/2 137/8
82/22 89/8 117/22	138/10 140/16	standing [1] 81/22	89/11 143/20	146/2 147/19 154/4
118/11 121/2 123/6 123/11 123/16 123/21	sorted [3] 8/14 63/6	start [13] 1/5 2/1 3/7	structured [2] 92/17	suffering [13] 2/23
135/5 136/12 138/10	137/20	58/12 62/5 62/9 74/13	129/25	8/20 19/9 22/5 44/1
138/21 139/14 140/21	sorts [4] 13/25 63/3	95/1 95/15 95/25	Studies [1] 2/9	109/3 116/12 135/16
someone's [2] 21/14	90/7 126/10	97/15 97/15 101/25	subject [9] 43/7 43/14	136/11 136/12 139/13
126/11	sought [4] 22/12	starting [7] 1/21	44/15 67/13 68/14	141/4 154/8
something [55] 6/15	113/13 117/12 142/15	37/15 55/16 55/19	73/25 122/7 122/20	sufficiency [1] 128/4
13/2 14/20 24/20	sounds [1] 17/2	98/14 142/15 149/20	135/23	sufficient [4] 62/4
25/12 25/22 28/9	speak [2] 95/21	state [6] 11/16 44/8	subjected [3] 105/2	62/4 85/5 127/24
42/14 59/17 60/6 61/6	132/25	44/10 66/13 67/9 90/6	105/4 131/15	sufficiently [6] 42/15
62/2 64/18 73/2 73/12	speaking [8] 20/22	statement [1] 106/23	subjective [2] 23/1	51/16 67/25 143/8
74/17 74/22 75/12	21/17 22/10 36/22	statistical [1] 125/17	24/9	150/8 152/2
77/6 77/7 79/2 81/2	57/22 96/25 113/4	status [1] 86/15	subjectively [1] 51/15	
82/2 88/5 88/6 88/9	124/5	statutory [1] 55/6	submissions [3]	19/10 20/25 69/23
90/2 91/15 91/20 94/1	special [7] 11/2 15/5	stays [1] 73/3	10/19 50/21 106/1	89/2 97/22 104/8
98/22 99/16 101/14	21/2 24/19 37/10	step [2] 126/7 138/11	submit [1] 86/4	104/13 114/9 117/12
101/16 104/20 107/12	63/21 106/21	stigma [18] 15/25	submitted [1] 86/4	120/13 124/1 128/21
110/1 110/4 110/9	specific [12] 36/21		subsequent [1] 44/10	
117/1 117/12 121/4	40/7 55/1 74/14 86/16	123/22 131/13 131/15		152/5
121/20 126/1 127/17	92/8 103/7 108/6	131/17 131/18 131/22	103/14 114/13	suggested [16] 9/16
132/19 133/5 134/16	113/6 131/25 131/25	132/4 132/9 133/2	substitute [1] 104/10	12/2 12/15 18/23
139/16 141/19 143/16	135/14	134/21 134/23 137/3	substitution [1]	35/16 64/9 83/6 92/11
147/9 147/12 147/12	specifically [1] 103/6	146/9	121/13	102/13 112/9 116/23
154/1	speculate [1] 95/12	still [13] 18/16 27/24	succeed [2] 7/3 110/2	
sometimes [6] 26/21	speculation [2]	33/17 58/25 60/6	succeeded [2] 7/1	148/6 151/4
28/14 78/17 82/12	119/10 126/10	66/13 71/21 113/9	120/23	suggesting [14] 24/2
88/24 109/8	speculative [1] 95/24	135/23 145/6 148/21	success [2] 81/6	68/13 68/20 78/24
	speed [1] 126/16	149/6 150/13	108/16	88/5 103/1 113/22
	I		I	(67) some suggesting

(67) some ... - suggesting

[· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	r		[]
S	71/22 75/1 76/9 79/14	30/11 36/11 36/24	tend [2] 129/12 152/5	151/13 154/5
suggesting [7]	79/16 80/1 80/11	37/16 45/11 45/11	tends [1] 77/17	thank [11] 10/2 56/6
117/19 139/19 140/20	80/16 85/25 86/4	47/25 48/5 53/15	terms [66] 10/8 10/10	63/13 77/22 90/23
140/20 141/21 143/19	86/14 86/18 87/7	61/18 65/11 67/20	12/11 18/18 19/20	127/10 141/7 153/17
151/2	87/14 87/15 87/17	84/23 90/22 93/11	20/18 25/13 26/9 27/7	153/18 154/20 154/25
suggestion [8] 1/9	87/23 88/19 88/20	94/6 96/8 96/21 97/3	29/5 30/3 31/15 31/18	that [879]
24/4 45/4 57/10 59/19	88/24 89/9 99/3 99/10	97/15 110/4 111/1	33/5 36/21 37/7 38/20	that's [58] 8/24 9/20
145/14 148/11 148/12	101/15 101/17 106/15	111/12 115/14 127/15	43/20 45/25 51/15	9/24 14/2 14/20 16/4
suggestions [1]	107/11 116/6 117/14	127/15 127/22 130/14	54/22 54/25 56/8	23/19 24/7 25/4 26/11
86/10	118/12 119/5 127/9	145/22 148/17 149/8	56/13 59/18 62/9	28/2 28/2 28/4 28/20
suggests [4] 106/23	130/2 130/12 133/18	151/7 151/18 152/21	62/17 62/18 65/14	29/20 30/8 32/14
117/19 151/21 152/9	143/12 143/17 143/24	153/15	65/23 67/8 68/19 69/6	39/16 47/13 52/3
suitable [1] 49/10	144/21 149/1 149/19	taken [38] 9/4 14/12	71/17 73/5 74/10 75/5	53/12 55/8 60/11
sum [55] 8/19 9/4 9/7	supported [1] 99/1	14/20 19/10 30/12	76/5 76/18 78/9 78/18	61/11 67/24 68/1
9/8 11/8 11/17 12/5	supporting [1] 36/25	30/17 39/3 39/23 40/1	79/3 83/25 84/21	68/15 70/7 75/1 75/4
12/15 13/18 13/20	suppose [5] 73/18	43/18 55/13 63/18	93/13 98/19 99/8	76/24 80/17 83/21
13/22 14/2 14/24 15/7	110/16 122/8 123/22	63/25 66/11 67/8	100/20 105/8 106/22	87/7 88/6 96/16 97/23
15/21 16/4 16/6 16/13	148/25	67/17 68/16 82/25	108/12 108/23 112/18	101/1 102/25 103/4
16/17 16/19 16/22	sure [16] 9/2 9/18	84/1 96/1 99/5 102/15	114/5 115/22 117/11	103/5 106/2 109/20
17/13 17/17 19/17	14/7 23/11 24/13	103/8 106/11 106/16	119/15 119/22 131/20	118/6 121/19 122/21
22/13 23/8 28/20 37/6	60/18 84/6 104/14	107/5 107/17 107/20	137/12 138/4 141/22	123/10 127/12 130/3
39/15 46/16 61/24	105/12 117/5 117/15	108/19 111/21 112/25	142/8 146/13 147/24	131/6 132/12 132/18
66/7 66/10 107/4	122/4 139/4 148/1	113/1 132/17 135/14	151/11	133/2 134/11 135/13
107/5 109/3 109/3	149/17 152/21	138/11 140/12 145/5	terms: [1] 114/20	141/19 142/20 148/1
109/4 109/19 110/5	surprised [1] 95/22	154/11	terms: yes [1] 114/20	their [88] 1/16 4/17
110/10 112/8 113/3	surprisingly [1]	takes [8] 13/14 30/8	terrible [2] 2/1 21/9	6/25 19/22 19/25
113/22 113/23 114/4	126/13	59/23 60/2 60/15 71/4	terrifying [1] 17/2	25/15 25/18 27/14
113/22 113/23 114/4	surround [1] 30/2	97/17 105/13	territory [1] 117/22	27/16 28/17 31/25
128/19 129/7 129/17	surrounding [2]	taking [6] 6/2 29/8	test [4] 52/8 85/8	32/1 32/23 34/21
130/9 130/16 144/23	24/17 134/24	65/22 74/13 96/7	101/1 123/11	36/13 36/15 36/16
summoned [1] 78/16	surveillance [1]	125/17	tested [4] 66/13 66/14	36/19 36/20 37/7
summons [1] 102/11	51/14	talk [2] 61/2 147/5	66/18 133/16	37/15 39/25 41/14
sums [9] 22/12 23/5	suspect [6] 48/13	talking [19] 31/14	tests [1] 99/2	41/14 43/23 45/24
41/17 54/17 108/1	60/24 72/18 93/10	35/15 53/3 61/21	text [1] 40/10	46/20 47/2 48/16
108/2 112/19 124/20	120/21 154/19	62/22 62/25 67/11	than [59] 1/13 6/10	49/16 51/15 52/9
143/25	sweats [1] 123/19	72/10 77/4 93/8 103/4	9/4 9/22 19/2 21/11	52/16 58/8 62/6 62/10
supervised [1] 75/16	sympathetic [1]	109/22 109/22 114/10	21/16 23/8 24/5 27/5	62/10 63/19 67/2
supplemented [1]	102/15	124/25 126/18 137/9	37/23 38/21 39/15	69/17 76/7 76/11
69/25	sympathies [1]	146/17 146/20	46/5 46/15 47/12	79/22 81/23 81/24
supplied [1] 123/5	116/14	targeted [1] 90/7	50/24 51/7 54/8 55/3	82/1 82/24 84/12
support [78] 10/8	sympathy [4] 118/19		55/9 57/18 57/19	85/15 90/25 95/25
11/8 11/24 12/3 12/24	119/17 148/3 154/3	40/13 83/11 149/4	57/22 59/9 62/11	100/3 103/16 103/16
14/19 17/19 38/2 38/2	symptoms [2] 99/24	tariffs [2] 92/11 100/1	62/20 63/7 63/10	105/1 106/15 115/6
38/3 38/7 38/14 38/17	141/1	task [1] 154/16	72/13 72/14 73/5	116/4 116/9 116/11
38/21 38/25 39/11	system [13] 6/14 6/22	tasks [1] 29/14	76/13 81/20 82/13	116/12 116/18 117/8
40/1 58/17 59/1 63/18		tax [3] 12/5 66/1 66/7	85/6 91/20 93/10 95/1	118/9 118/17 119/25
64/4 65/4 65/8 65/16	92/5 92/14 104/9	tax-free [1] 66/7	97/4 98/21 99/18	120/1 120/14 121/16
66/6 67/2 67/8 67/14	104/23 111/24 142/20	taxpayer's [1] 79/3	102/20 111/4 115/12	124/19 124/22 126/11
67/24 68/17 69/11	147/24	technical [1] 21/9	117/16 120/18 122/1	129/5 129/24 132/4
69/21 69/24 70/10	Τ	technically [1] 110/15	123/12 127/13 129/4	133/15 135/20 137/13
70/22 70/25 71/10		tell [3] 13/7 35/20	129/21 130/13 130/13	137/15 139/9 142/22
10/22 10/23 11/10	take [37] 9/17 25/14	89/13	131/6 132/6 150/23	142/23 144/2 146/8
				(68) suggesting their

(68) suggesting... - their

T	110/19 113/4 115/20	37/23 57/17 64/20	98/8 98/17 99/22	104/16 111/1 120/22
their [4] 146/22	117/21 122/23 123/2	74/14 81/8 99/2 105/3	100/2 100/20 101/8	131/15 131/17 132/15
151/10 154/7 154/7	123/7 124/8 124/9	120/15 132/6 136/1	102/16 102/23 103/17	133/2 137/14 139/13
them [57] 1/17 5/3	128/7 129/7 129/17	143/4 143/5 147/4	105/6 109/22 111/7	139/15 148/18 150/17
12/23 12/25 19/8	129/19 130/1 130/11	things [28] 9/24 13/7	111/20 111/20 112/5	151/7 151/19 154/12
24/17 32/19 36/14	130/13 130/14 133/9	13/25 15/1 21/18	113/17 115/4 115/16	times [2] 49/3 123/17
37/8 41/13 51/22 58/6	133/16 134/11 134/12		115/18 118/2 118/8	tissue [1] 102/24
61/15 62/5 62/6 62/8	135/4 136/7 136/23	40/25 42/9 60/19	119/1 119/8 120/14	to [1187]
68/9 68/24 68/25 72/1	138/8 139/18 140/23	64/14 74/14 78/19	120/25 121/1 121/7	to ask [1] 65/25
72/9 76/15 81/8 82/2	141/1 141/2 141/3	79/5 83/1 88/25 89/1	122/18 123/23 126/14	
82/11 87/21 89/7 89/9	141/21 143/14 144/25		126/15 126/19 127/14	29/16 131/5 142/5
96/9 97/13 97/13	147/18 148/17 148/20		129/18 129/19 132/2	144/25 153/23
97/13 98/3 103/22	148/23 151/6 151/23	132/1 132/2 143/23	133/25 135/24 137/11	
108/1 111/21 112/13	152/3	153/13	139/7 139/24 140/11	130/5 148/12
113/2 117/2 119/3	theoretical [1] 122/24		140/18 141/15 143/22	
121/23 124/16 124/22	theoretically [1]	think: [1] 153/3	144/11 145/14 145/17	33/15 40/9 40/22 41/1
128/4 128/5 129/9	124/2	think: where [1]	147/16 148/5 148/6	102/17 136/9 149/1
129/14 132/3 132/25	theory [4] 17/5 27/25	153/3	148/18 148/20 151/12	
138/5 139/5 139/8	51/2 53/2	thinking [6] 23/24	152/15	139/23 140/8 141/3
	there [266]	29/1 42/6 62/9 77/6	though [5] 13/8 25/23	
146/10 153/24	there's [10] 6/9 6/18	95/15	95/17 99/24 147/22	155/1
themselves [10] 34/6	24/19 35/9 56/25 60/9		~ ~ ~	too [5] 8/2 34/11
37/1 37/17 62/12 83/5	60/17 75/20 79/8	third [1] 100/11	26/21 30/3 85/3 94/17	34/13 112/22 126/7
86/2 119/5 121/24	151/5	this [178]	110/1 111/4 112/3	took [2] 8/1 105/20
135/6 147/20	thereafter [2] 38/9	thorough [1] 152/2	120/10 125/19 127/22	top [7] 31/22 35/24
then [127] 2/15 3/24	78/3	those [145] 1/8 1/15	132/12 135/19	47/11 47/12 126/25
7/3 7/14 8/13 9/24	thereby [1] 45/3	1/15 1/16 1/19 2/6	thousands [2] 33/14	127/1 141/3
10/18 11/3 14/15	therefore [19] 4/9 5/23 8/3 24/18 33/9	2/11 4/19 5/9 9/25 10/19 12/20 14/10	150/16 three [9] 3/5 16/13	topic [5] 98/6 98/7 98/7 119/18 141/8
15/13 16/15 16/18	38/16 45/15 48/17	14/17 16/12 17/1	41/3 52/12 68/10 73/8	
17/8 17/15 18/3 18/10	56/25 58/19 59/10	17/20 17/21 17/24	152/21 152/22 152/24	
23/9 24/22 31/18	62/25 72/9 82/25	18/19 23/17 27/12	three years [1] 68/10	120/11
34/10 35/1 35/9 35/24	99/25 110/10 118/23	27/13 27/17 27/24	through [35] 1/10	total [1] 12/1
37/2 37/16 41/2 43/24	129/13 152/13	29/4 29/14 30/9 34/15	1/16 11/16 13/21 20/7	
44/21 45/22 46/15	these [40] 4/2 4/17	35/4 35/6 35/6 36/6	25/9 27/14 44/17 54/4	105/17 137/24 141/12
46/23 47/3 48/21 49/1	9/17 11/5 12/16 13/7	37/24 38/16 38/17	56/16 56/18 59/15	towards [4] 71/10
50/3 52/13 53/2 54/13	13/24 14/13 14/15	39/20 39/20 39/21	63/14 68/22 77/8 87/8	71/12 73/15 127/17
55/7 55/24 56/5 60/21	14/22 20/15 29/9	40/5 44/19 45/15 46/7	90/9 91/4 91/6 91/7	trade [1] 153/10
62/22 62/25 63/12	29/16 30/4 32/10 34/5		91/16 92/8 93/19	trade-off [1] 153/10
64/3 66/16 66/22	35/12 36/23 52/4	49/24 50/4 50/4 50/7	97/16 102/21 102/23	tragedies [1] 154/4
66/24 68/11 68/22	52/17 64/11 64/13	52/11 52/14 53/9	102/24 102/24 104/13	
68/24 69/8 69/23 70/9	68/15 75/6 75/25	55/14 56/17 56/24	104/18 106/10 119/16	
71/18 72/23 78/23	76/15 77/23 86/21	56/24 57/5 57/8 58/25	121/7 134/15 140/12	138/22
79/13 80/9 83/21	89/6 89/14 90/7 96/10		throughout [1]	transparency [2]
84/23 85/22 87/6	111/15 117/6 118/1	63/25 64/1 67/17	151/25	85/20 86/20
90/20 91/23 93/4 94/7 96/1 96/3 97/12 97/15	119/2 119/17 136/9	68/16 68/17 73/16	thus [1] 149/1	transparent [2] 76/1
98/7 99/14 100/9	145/10 149/12	73/22 75/12 76/14	time [33] 1/10 1/22	114/4
101/4 101/8 101/24	thesis [1] 9/7	76/15 79/19 80/12	2/2 13/14 14/12 15/1	transplant [2] 52/10
	they [183]	80/22 82/8 82/19	21/13 24/10 47/8	102/24
102/3 102/1 102/10	they're [1] 9/8	84/24 86/7 89/20 91/1	49/11 51/10 74/18	transplants [1] 52/11
	thing [18] 9/23 13/5	91/14 92/19 92/20	74/19 82/15 82/25	transport [3] 10/21
103/24 104/22 100/0	unig[10] 3/20 10/0			
103/24 104/22 106/8 106/22 107/17 109/11	16/21 32/14 32/15	94/2 95/3 96/25 97/12	84/1 89/8 96/18	12/8 15/5

Traumatised [1] 21/8 Upsical [2] 14/1 14/3/8 143/11 15/20 16/24 used [6] 11/15 21/16 Treasury [2] 95/22 19/15 20/6 21/12 understandably [3] until [1] 51/16 53/16 36/23 10/48 10/9 Treatery [2] 52/20 19/15 20/6 21/12 understandably [3] until [1] 51/16 53/16 understandably [3] until [1] 51/16 53/16 using [2] 125/1 UK [9] 40/15 70/19 56/3 88/8 8/8 10/16 56/3 88/8 8/8 10/16 understands [1] using [2] 126/1 using [2] 126/1 UK wide [1] 71/16 17/16 72/16 56/3 88/8 8/8 10/16 understands [1] understands [1] using [2] 128/1 using [2] 12	Т	73/8 132/9	136/2 137/1 139/20	unremunerated [1]	37/24 111/17 129/8
Treasury [2] 95/22 96/33 115/21 18/15 20/6 21/12 understandable [3] 96/3 112/1 14/2/10 30/25 18/35 3/16 96/3 112/1 14/2/10 30/25 18/35 3/16 12/5 18/15 20/6 21/12 30/25 18/35 3/16 12/5 18/15 20/6 21/12 30/25 18/35 3/16 91/2 12/5 18/15 20/6 21/12 30/25 18/35 3/16 12/5 12/5 12/5 12/5 12/5 12/5 12/5 12/5		typical [2] 14/1	143/9 143/11 150/20	16/24	used [6] 11/15 21/16
98/23 12/11 12/12 12/17 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
Iterated [2] 52/20 18/15 20/6 21/12 understandaby [3] until [1] 19/15 33/16 using [1] 12/5/12 140/10 U<					
140/10 ⁻¹ U 25/17 101/2 117/5 94/12 97/3 97/3 100/6 126/15 2 12/6 15/20 16/12 11/15 7/16 11/16 12/17 <		18/15 20/6 21/12			
treating [1] 52/10 UK [9] 40/15 70/19 T14/1/15 70/19 T15/3 T15/3 Usual [1] 24/14					
treatment [12] 10/2 10/16 56/3 8/3 8/3 8/3 8/3 8/3 8/3 8/3 8/3 8/3 8					
12/8 16/20 16/12 25/15 477. 497. 497. 490.13 146/12 71/18 92/25 94/5 146/12 understands [1] 82/24 understands [1] unwise [1] 12/25 understands [1] 27/12 28/16 31/3 31/6 27/12 28/16 31/3 31/6 utterly [1] 58/13 99/5 99/23 12/18 134/9 UK-wide [1] 71/8 0/1 112/17 understands [1] 82/24 unwise [1] 12/25 understands [1] 27/12 28/16 31/3 31/6 utterly [1] 58/13 17/16 92/25 28/17 understands [1] 12/17 understands [1] 82/24 understands [1] 27/12 28/16 31/3 31/6 understands [1] 27/12 28/16 31/3 31/6 17/17 unastic [3] 32/2 add (1) 41/17 add (1) 41/17 <t< td=""><td>treatment [12] 10/24</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	treatment [12] 10/24				
25/15 4/7 4/97 90/13 145/12 82/24 minipage 1/1 11/1 <td>12/8 15/20 16/12</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	12/8 15/20 16/12				
99/5 99/23 121/8 14/9 Tribunal [3] 76/21 Tribunal [3] 76/21 16/22 12 23/11 12/72 28/16 31/3 31/6 11/72 22 12/23/11 12/72 28/16 31/3 31/6 11/72 22 12/23/11 12/72 28/16 31/3 31/6 12/72 23/22 41/2 31/18 32/10 37/7 31/18 32/10 37/7 31/19 32/2 41/2/1 31/17 33/7 37/2/4 43/14 31/17/7 31/17 33/7 37/2/4 43/14 31/17/7 31/17 33/7 37/2/4 43/14 31/17/7 31/17 33/10 4/17 41/3 141/6 31/18 37/17 31/17 33/14 31/14 3	25/15 47/7 49/7 90/13				utteriy [1] 56/13
134/9 utimately [3] 16/21 utimately [3] 14/11 154/16 27/12 28/16 31/3 31/6 value [11] 28/2 28/7 7/16 88/11 unabel [3] 32/21 111/21 17 undertake [2] 29/4 34/5 37/2 38/23 41/2 39/14 49/11 54/16 tride [1] 101/11 undertaking [6] 41/10 50/7 51/23 55/14 57/3 54/20 72/3 100/5 54/20 72/3 100/5 trouble [1] 129/17 undertaking [6] 41/10 50/7 51/23 55/14 57/3 54/20 72/3 100/5 54/20 72/3 100/5 3/3/4 2/16 32/2 75/5 uncertainties [2] undertok [1] 15/7 80/13 undertok [1] 15/7 110/24 130/6 3/3/4 2/16 32/2 75/5 111/2 11/22 undertok [1] 15/7 111/2 11/2 variable [1] 49/16 3/3/4 2/16 32/2 75/5 1116 51/16 undertaking not [1] 115/6 115/13 119/25 37/3 76/24 107/11 143/21 150/5 11/7 25 111/2 11/2 undertaking not [1] 115/6 115/13 119/25 37/3 76/24 107/11 113/16 141/5 11/2 45/17 34/3 undertaking not [1] 111/1 15/16 11/2 110/24 130/6 113/17 17 undertaking not [1] 137/17 14/16 11/9 111/1/1 133/14 3/16 3/19 37/3 76/24 107/11					V
Indunal [3] 60/1 112/17 unable [3] 32/2 undertakling [6] 41/10 34/5 37/2 38/23 41/2 31/18 32/10 37/7 Ying 88/1 unable [3] 32/2 undertakling [6] 41/10 45/14 47/11 47/12 39/14 49/13 54/19 tridgers [1] 78/7 unaffected [1] 44/19 undertakling [6] 41/10 50/7 51/23 55/14 57/3 54/20 72/3 100/5 trouble [1] 129/17 trouble [1] 14/17 uncertainties [2] undertakling [6] 41/10 56/14 60/2 64/8 68/25 values [3] 104/7 true [2] 72/4 44/7 uncertainties [2] undertakling not [1] 41/10 undertakling not [1] 41/10 variable [1] 42/19 variable [1] 42/17 37/3 76/24 109/2 variable [1] 42/17 variable [1] 42/17 variable [1] 42/17 37/3 76/24 109/2 variable [1] 42/17 variable [1] 42/17 37/3 76/24 109/2 variable [1] 56/1 37/3 76/24 109/1					value [11] 28/2 28/7
77/78 88/11 unable [3] 32/2 14/15 142/1 39/14 49/13 54/19 tride [1] 101/11 tride [1] 121/17 14/15 142/1 undertaking [6] 41/10 50/7 51/23 55/14 57/3 39/14 49/13 54/19 trouble [1] 129/17 unamimous [2] 50/1 50/3 64/8 64/10 64/23 58/14 60/2 64/8 68/25 38/14 (9/12 81/22 83/7 83/2) 110/24 130/6 trouble [1] 129/17 uncertaintis [2] undertaking [6] 41/10 88/7 91/23 84/24 94/25 variable [1] 49/6 s3/4 42/1 163/21 75/5 uncertaintis [2] undertok [1] 154/17 94/18 94/24 94/25 variable [1] 139/12 30/4 11/15 121/20 uncertaintis [2] undertok [1] 154/17 94/18 94/24 94/25 variable [1] 139/12 30/4 2/11 42/5 142/21 uncertaintis [2] undertok [1] 154/17 111/15 113/6 114/23 variable [1] 139/12 30/14 49/13 54/19 undertok [1] 154/17 undertok [1] 154/17 110/24 130/6 variable [1] 139/12 30/14 111 162/21 142/21 uncertaintis [2] undertok [1] 155/17 111/15 113/6 114/23 variable [1] 139/12 30/14 49/13 54/12 uncertaintis [2] undertok [1] 152/17 undertok [1] 152/17 111/15 113/6 114/21 variable [1] 6/17 30/14 14/21 142/21 uncertaintis					
trice [1] 10/111 141/15 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
Intiger [1] 76/7 unaffected [1] 44/19 64/7 64/8 64/10 64/23 58/14 60/2 64/8 68/25 valued [1] 120/17 trouble [1] 129/17 50/3 uncertainties [2] 10/24 130/6 valued [1] 42/17 true [2] 27/4 44/7 uncertainties [2] 111/2 112/2 undertaking not [1] 41/10 81/2 181/22 83/7 83/3 110/24 130/6 106/21 142/16 142/14 111/2 112/2 uncertainty [4] 46/12 undertok [1] 154/17 96/7 103/24 109/2 variables [1] 151/8 106/21 142/16 142/14 uncharitable [1] 21/23 22/25 57/3 74/3 111/15 113/6 114/23 37/3 76/24 107/1 142/17 uncharitable [1] 21/3 30/5 114/9 unfairly [1] 52/20 146/11 150/10 150/13 37/3 76/24 107/1 142/17 137/17 uncharitable [1] 21/3 30/5 114/9 unfairly [1] 52/20 146/11 150/10 150/13 37/3 76/24 107/1 142/17 137/17 uncharitable [1] 21/3 43/13 151/18 11/16 11/17/20 13/11 11/1 11/16 11/17/20 14/17 14/3 14/16 10/11 14/17 14/3 14/16 11/14 14/16 11/14 14/16 11/14 14/16 11/14 14/16 11/14 14/16 11/14 14/16 11/14 14/16 11/14 14/16 11/14 14/16 11/14 14/16 11/14 14/1					54/20 72/3 100/5
unanimous [2] 50/1 unanimous [2] 50/1 80/13 74/4 76/21 79/13 values [3] 104/7 trodden [1] 129/17 uncertainties [2] undertoking not [1] 80/13 undertoking not [1] 81/21 81/22 83/7 88/3 values [3] 104/7 trust [11] 16/25 17/3 uncertainties [2] undertoking not [1] undertoking not [1] 88/7 91/23 94/15 variable [1] 49/6 variaty [14 52/2 uncertainties [2] undertoking not [1] undertoking not [1] 94/18 94/24 94/25 variable [1] 49/6 variaty [14 5/17/3 uncertainties [2] undertoking 15/3 51/18 115/6 111/2 113/6 111/5 113/6 114/23 variable [1] 49/6 variaty [1] 142/9 uncertainties [2] undertoking 16/2 111/2 113/6 111/5 113/6 114/23 variable [1] 49/6 variable [1] 49/6 variaty [1] 142/9 uncertainties [2] undertoking 16/2 111/5 113/6 114/23 21/3 7/6 /24 107/11 113/6 /11 /24 13/6 /11 /24 /11 /24 /11 /24 /11 /24 /11 /24 /11 /24 /11 /24 /11 /24 /11 /24 /11 /24 /11 /24 /14 /15 /11 /24 /14 /15 /11 /24 /14 /15 /11 /24 /14 /15 /11 /24 /14 /14 /11 /24 /14 /14 /16 /11 /24 /14 /14 /14 /14 /14 /14 /14 /14 /14 /1		unaffected [1] 44/19			valued [1] 120/17
trouble [1] 47/7 uncertainties [2] unc			80/13	74/4 76/21 79/13	
true [2] 27/4 44/7 111/12 112/2 41/10 111/12 112/2 trust [1] 16/25 17/3 111/12 112/2 111/16 12/5 111/12 112/2 33/4 42/1 43/21 75/5 111/18 2/21 75/6 111/12 112/2 111/16 12/16 12/2 111/16 12/16 12/2 111/17 12/3 33/4 42/1 42/5 142/14 142/5 142/14 142/5 142/14 142/17 111/16 12/3 15/13 15/13 15/18 115/6 114/23 132/9 139/13 variable [1] 13/1/1 142/17 142/17 137/17 111/16 12/2 9/9 11/5 115/13 15/18 115/6 115/13 119/25 37/3 76/24 107/11 142/17 137/17 137/17 11/16 12/2 9/9 11/5 116/11 15/13 119/25 37/3 76/24 107/11 137/17 11/14 12/2 11/14 12/2 11/14 12/2 13/9/19 139/13 varing [1] 43/9 142/17 137/17 11/14 16/2 9/9 11/5 11/16 12/2 9/9 11/5 140/17 14/13 14/16 13/16 141/5 11/14 12/22 250/4 13/16 14/16 19/11 12/12 13/14 116/19 117/10 31/12 3/14 3/16 3/19 13/17 17/2 5/11 12/11 12/14 3/14 13/17 17/2 5/11 12/1 12/11 12/14 3/14 12/16 13/12 13/12 12/12 11/2 1/14 13/14 3/16 13/17 17/22 5/2/2 145/2/2 50/4 51/13 63/16 44/65/8 61/16 11/1 13/1/2 13/17 17/2 14/2/1 12/11 12/11 12/14 14			undertaking not [1]	81/21 81/22 83/7 88/3	
trust [11] 16/25 17/3 33/4 42/11 63/21 75/5 106/21 142/5 142/14 true creatainty [4] 46/12 uncertainty [4] 46/12 under [56] 5/4 5/5 5/11 6/22 9/9 11/5 trustee [1] 75/6 trusting [1] 142/9 try [4] 45/174/8 38/1 39/15 44/22 45/9 11/1 61/1 12/2 under [26] 5/4 5/5 5/11 6/22 9/9 11/5 11/12 36/10 36/2 36/8 34/11 116/9 117/10 under [1] 13/12 under [
33/4 42/11 63/21 75/5 intertainty [4] 40/12 undoubtedly [6] 96/7 103/24 109/2 various [7] 5/17 /23 106/21 14/25 14/2/14 uncharitable [1] 22/23 22/25 57/3 7/43 111/16 11/36 114/23 various [7] 5/11 7/23 143/21 150/5 uncharitable [1] 15/1/13 15/11/8 undoubtedly [6] 22/23 22/25 57/3 7/43 111/16 11/36 11/23 various [7] 5/11 7/23 142/17 uncommon [1] undrity [1] 5/20 unfairly [1] 5/20 13/17 various [7] 5/11 7/23 various [7] 5/11 7/23 13/17 under [36] 5/4 5/5 5/11 6/22 9/9 11/5 various [7] 5/11 7/23 139/19 139/24 140/5 13/16 141/5 11/24 35/10 36/2 36/8 34/11 116/19 117/10 undrotuntely [4] 3/2 139/19 139/24 140/5 13/16 141/5 11/24 35/10 36/2 36/8 34/16 11/9 117/10 13/16 41/5 13/16 31/1 11/6 13/16 141/5 11/2 6/17 7/12 31/16 11/1 11/23 31/11 11/1 13/12 2/14 3/16 31/0 12/12 2/14 3/16 31/0 13/17 14/9 147/12 5/12 6/2/2 14/2/2 2/14 5/12 6/2/2 0/2 8/13 13/11 7/1 14/12 11/2 14/17 7/1 13/16 14/15 13/17 11 11/2 13/14 7/16 31/2 11/2 13/14 7/1 13/16 11/3 13/17 7 13/14 7/1					
106/21 142/5 142/14 5/1/3 6/2/ 108/13 22/25 22/25 5//3 /43 111/15 113/6 114/23 3/1/16 14/123 3/1/16 13/123 3/1/16 13/123 3/1/16 14/123 3/1/16 13/123 3/1/16 14/123 3/1/16 14/123 3/1/16 14/123 3/1/16 14/123 3/1/16 14/123 3/1/16 14/123 3/1/16 14/123 3/1/16 14/123 3/1/16 14/123 3/1/16 14/123 3/1/16 14/123 3/1/16 14/123 3/1/16 14/123 3/1/16 14/123<					
143/21 150/5 uncannal lable [1] 151/3 151/18 115/6 115/3 119/25 51/3 10/24 10/71 142/17 uncommon [1] unfair [2] 30/5 114/9 124/2 130/23 135/13 132/9 139/13 143/21 150/6 uncommon [1] unfair [2] 30/5 114/9 124/2 130/23 135/13 132/9 139/13 143/21 150/6 uncommon [1] under [36] 5/4 5/5 under [36] 5/4 5/5 unfair [2] 30/5 114/9 124/2 130/23 135/13 132/9 139/13 113/16 141/5 under [36] 5/4 5/5 under [36] 5/4 5/5 unfortunately [4] upgraded [1] 3/2 139/19 139/24 140/5 113/16 141/5 5/11 6/22 9/9 11/5 unfortunately [4] unfair [2] 13/4 13/14 11/6 149 17/10 140/17 141/3 149/2 113/16 141/5 5/11 6/2 9/9 11/5 under [1] 13/2 under [1] 13/2 unfair [2] 13/4 3/21 4/6 4/9 4/17 9/18 20/13 21/1 21/1 21/3 13/17 11/2 5/11 6/2/2 08/71 unique [2] 135/5 6/6/6 227 22/11 24/14 25/12 28/14 31/4 20/13 21/1 21/1 21/3 13/17 11/2 undergone [1] 103/3 unique [2] 135/5 6/6/6 27/12 73/5 5/1 62/1 6/16 27/12 73/2 58/20 13/17 11/2 undergone [1] 103/3 undergone [1] 103/3 unigue [2] 11/20 77/6	1				
trusted [2] 142/12 21/23 uncommon [1] uncommon [1] 124/22 130/23 135/13 132/3 139/13 142/17 trustee [1] 150/6 uncommon [1] unfairly [1] 146/11 150/10 150/13 varying [1] 60/7 trusteg [1] 150/6 uncommon [1] unfairly [1] 152/20 unfairly [1] 146/11 150/10 150/13 varying [1] 60/7 trusteg [1] 55/11 6/22 9/9 11/5 unfairly [1] 133/14 139/14 146/11 150/10 150/13 140/17 140/17 140/17 140/17 140/17 140/17 140/17 140/17 140/17 140/2 111 140/2 111 140/2 111 140/2 111 140/2 111 140/2 111 141/2 12/17 141/2 141/2 12/17 141/2 141/2 111 141/2 141/2 141/2 141/2 141/2 141/2 141/2 141/2					
142/17 Infommon [1] Infommon [1] Starling [1] Starl					
trustee [1] 150/6 under [36] 5/4 5/5 5/11 6/22 9/9 11/5 139/19 139/24 140/5 trusteing [1] 142/9 11/2 4 35/10 36/2 36/8 2/15 34/5 53/7 Updated [1] 3/2 139/19 139/24 140/5 trusting [1] 142/9 11/2 4 35/10 36/2 36/8 3/11 116/19 117/10 13/16 3/19 very [56] 3/11 17/4 113/16 141/5 14/5 45/21 45/22 50/4 13/13 63/18 64/4 65/8 3/11 16/19 117/10 3/12 3/14 3/16 3/19 very [56] 3/11 17/4 13/17 147/9 147/12 57/2 67/2 70/20 87/13 unique [2] 135/5 130/11 80/16 3/14/1 54/22 3/2 2/17 34/16 54/23 2/17 34/16 54/23 2/17 22/12 4/14 31/9 13/10 53/20 56/5 107/18 127/18 134/17 136/25 149/11 undergone [1] 103/13 undergone [1] 30/2 10/115 104/21 113/8 79/17 86/9 93/16 50/13 55/12 58/23 undergone [1] 30/2 undergone [1] 30/2 undergone [1] 30/2 11/12/25 10/115 104/21 113/8 79/17 86/9 93/16 10/8 136/3 136/14 undergone [1] 50/11 undergone [1] 50/11 undergone [1] 50/11 undergone [1] 51/20 11/12/21 13/11 13/2 11/12/21 13/21 13/2 11/12/21 13/21 13/2 11/12/21					
trustees [1] 75/6 5/11 6/22 9/9 11/5 trusting [1] 142/9 11/24 35/10 36/2 36/8 try [4] 45/1 74/8 38/1 39/15 44/22 45/9 13/16 141/5 11/24 35/10 36/2 36/8 trying [8] 37/24 68/2 38/1 39/15 44/22 45/9 84/16 105/10 118/23 5/11 6/22 9/9 11/5 13/8/7 147/9 147/12 13/6 7/2 67/2 70/20 87/13 Tuesday [1] 1/1 11/24 104/15 106/15 turn [6] 1/17 18/3 107/18 127/8 134/17 34/10 53/20 56/5 107/18 127/8 134/17 66/16 107/18 127/8 134/17 turns [2] 57/20 96/13 107/18 127/8 134/17 twoc [2] 46/5 46/6 107/18 127/8 134/17 stwoc [2] 46/5 46/6 undergone [1] 103/13 undergine [1] 103/13 undergine [1] 103/13 undergine [1] 30/20 undergine [1] 30/20 undergine [1] 30/20 undergine [1] 30/20 undergine [1] 30/20 undergine [1] 30/20 undergine [1] 20/21 undergine [1] 30/20 undergine [1] 20/21 undergine [1] 45/11 undergine [1] 102/24 undergine [1] 45/11 undergine [1] 102/24 undergine [1] 45/11 undergine [1] 102/24 undergine [1] 45/11	trustee [1] 150/6				
trusting [1] 142/9 11/24 35/10 36/2 36/8 34/11 116/19 17/10 uplift [15] 3/2 3/10 150/5 try [4] 45/1 74/8 38/1 39/15 44/22 45/9 34/11 116/19 17/10 134/6 uplift [15] 3/2 3/10 150/5 try [6] 37/24 68/2 36/13 63/15 64/4 65/8 37/17 34/16 54/23 22/7 22/11 24/14 21/3 21/1 21/1 21/3 38/7 147/9 147/12 Tuesday [1] 1/1 11/1 13/17 unique [2] 135/5 66/6 21/1 21/1 21/3 22/7 22/11 24/14 138/7 147/9 147/12 Tuesday [1] 1/1 101/24 104/15 106/15 unique [2] 135/5 66/6 21/1 21/1 21/3 32/17 37/21 43/20 101/24 104/15 106/15 107/18 127/8 134/17 136/25 149/11 unique [2] 135/5 140/2 uplifte [4] 3/20 4/11 51/10 57/23 58/20 107/18 127/8 134/17 106/25 149/11 unider [1] 103/13 undergone [1] 103/13 unlesd [2] 11/20 7/8 0/14 64/12 72/2 68/20 92/1 94/1 74/14 76/11 79/2 50/13 55/12 58/23 98/19 102/14 121/6 underping [1] 30/20 underping [1] 45/11 underping [1] 45/11 underping [1] 56/11 underping [1] 45/11 unde	trustees [1] 75/6				
try [4] 45/1 /4/8 38/1 39/15 44/22 45/9 34/6 3/12 3/14 3/16 3/19 very [56] 3/11 17/4 113/16 141/5 45/21 45/22 50/4 134/6 3/12 3/14 3/16 3/19 20/13 21/1 21/1 21/3 138/7 147/9 147/9 13/12 3/14 3/16 3/19 3/12 3/14 3/16 3/19 20/13 21/1 21/1 21/3 138/7 147/9 147/9 13/12 3/14 3/16 11/7 3/21 3/14 3/16 3/19 20/13 21/1 21/1 21/3 138/7 147/9 147/12 13/12 3/12 3/14 3/16 11/7 3/12 3/14 3/16 3/19 20/13 21/1 21/1 21/3 138/7 147/9 147/12 13/17 unique [2] 135/5 3/12 3/14 3/16 11/9 2/17 22/11 24/14 138/7 147/9 147/12 11/17 18/3 3/12 3/14 3/16 11/9 3/12 3/14 3/16 11/9 2/17 22/11 24/14 140/2 unique [2] 135/5 unique [2] 135/5 140/2 11/17 3/12 14 3/20 101/14 104/15 106/15 107/18 127/8 134/17 unitel [4] 80/11 80/16 11/12 20 19/25 58/21 59/11 59/17 13/13 5/12 58/23 underping [4] 60/12 unknown [1] 149/23 11/12 11/13/8 79/17 86/9 93/16 100/8 136/3 136/14 underping [1] 56/11 underping [1] 56/11 unikely [3] 62/20 93/18 97/23 103/8 11/22 119/12 120/19 11/22 119/12 120/19 100/8 136/3 136/14 14/1					
113/16 141/5 45/21 45/22 50/4 unhappily [2] 13/4 3/21 4/6 4/9 4/17 9/18 20/13 21/1 21/1 21/3 84/16 105/10 118/23 51/13 63/18 64/4 65/8 137/17 3/21 4/6 4/9 4/17 9/18 20/13 21/1 21/1 21/3 138/7 147/9 147/12 51/2 67/2 70/20 87/13 unhappily [2] 13/4 137/17 3/21 4/6 4/9 4/17 9/18 20/13 21/1 21/1 21/3 138/7 147/9 147/12 51/2 67/2 70/20 87/13 unique [2] 135/5 0/14 6/9 4/17 9/18 20/13 21/1 21/1 21/3 113/16 141/2 107/18 127/8 134/17 unique [2] 135/5 0/14 6/12 72/2 22/12 28/14 31/4 31/9 111/1 101/24 104/15 106/15 unit [4] 80/11 80/16 unit [4] 12/20 19/25 58/21 59/11 59/17 101/24 103/25 107/18 127/8 134/17 11/1 80/20 unit [4] 80/11 80/16 upon [14] 12/20 19/25 58/21 59/11 59/17 66/16 underlying [4] 60/12 underlying [4] 60/12 unikeful [1] 132/22 unlawful [1] 132/22 101/15 104/21 113/8 79/17 86/9 93/16 50/13 55/12 58/23 underlying [1] 56/11 understand [29] unikely [3] 62/20 9/14 127/20 127/21 103/8 9/1/23 103/8 100/8 136/14 14/15 152/23 15/26 11/1 63/20 noderlying [4] 63/12 10/15 103/21 122/20 11/26/8 1					
11/11 (1) 51/13 63/18 64/4 65/8 137/17 147/12 137/17 147/12 137/17 147/12 137/17 147/12 137/17 147/12 137/17 147/12 137/17 137/17 147/12 137/17 137/17 147/12 137/17 147/12 137/17 137/17 147/12 137/17 137/17 147/14 147/14 147/14 147/14 147/14 147/14 147/14		45/21 45/22 50/4			20/13 21/1 21/1 21/3
63/10 105/10					22/7 22/11 24/14
Tuesday [1] 1/1 1/1/2 93/22 101/0 140/2 upiffed [4] 3/20 4/11 52/17 3/12 43/20 turn [6] 1/17 18/3 101/24 104/15 106/15 unit [4] 80/11 80/16 17/20 54/1 51/10 57/23 58/20 66/16 107/18 127/8 134/17 136/25 149/11 unknown [1] 149/23 unknown [1] 132/22 17/15 35/1 62/10 60/14 64/12 72/2 66/16 undergone [1] 103/13 undergone [1] 103/13 undergone [1] 103/13 unlawful [1] 132/22 85/20 92/1 94/1 74/14 76/11 79/2 10/2 miles [2] 11/20 77/8 undergone [1] 103/13 unlawful [1] 132/22 11/15 104/21 113/8 79/17 86/9 93/16 101/15 104/21 113/8 undergone [1] 30/20 unlawful [1] 127/25 unless [2] 11/20 77/8 121/18 133/9 146/5 93/18 97/23 103/8 98/19 102/14 121/6 94/11 127/25 understand [29] uniderstand [29] unikely [3] 62/20 121/18 133/9 146/5 93/18 97/23 103/8 100/8 136/3 136/14 understand [29] unjkely [1] 45/1 ungecs [5] 56/11 116/22 119/12 120/19 12/11 28/11 43/2 45/1 ungesant [1] 119/24 urgent [3] 57/4 57/14 138/9 139/15 147/1 12/24 53/2 61/11 63/20 67/10 72/8 73/12 119/24 urgent [3] 51/9 57/9 96/1 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>unique [2] 135/5</td><td></td><td></td></t<>			unique [2] 135/5		
turn [6] 1/17 18/3 10/1/24 10/1/24 10/1/24 10/1/25 58/21 59/11 58/21 59/17 60/14 64/12 72/1 60/14 64/12 72/16 59/17 59/17 60/14 64/12 72/1 60/14 64/12 72/16 59/17 79/17 86/9 59/16 10/1/15 10/1/14 79/17 86/9 93/18 97/23 10/3/8 93/18 97/23 10/3/8 10/1/14 10/24 10/1/14 10/1/13 10/1/13 10/1/14 10/1/24 <			140/2	uplifted [4] 3/20 4/11	
34/10 53/20 56/5 66/16 101/16 12/10 104/11 81/1 87/15 upon [14] 12/20 19/25 50/21 50/11 50/11 136/25 149/11 undergone [1] 103/13 undergone [1] 102/14 undergone [1] 103/13 undergone [1] 102/14 undergone [1] 102/14 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>unit [4] 80/11 80/16</td><td>17/20 54/1</td><td></td></t<>			unit [4] 80/11 80/16	17/20 54/1	
66/16 undergone [1] 103/13 underlying [1] 119/23 27/15 35/1 62/10 85/20 92/1 94/1 74/14 76/11 79/2 twice [2] 46/5 46/6 underlying [4] 60/12 underlying [4] 60/12 unlikely [3] 62/20 101/15 104/21 113/8 79/17 86/9 93/16 50/13 55/12 58/23 98/19 102/14 121/6 98/19 102/14 121/6 94/11 127/25 uprated [1] 66/10 107/24 109/23 110/13 11/17 86/20 90/23 understand [29] understand [29] unpick [1] 45/1 126/8 urgency [5] 56/11 116/22 119/12 120/19 12/24 understand [29] unpick [1] 45/1 58/4 60/16 116/11 124/20 127/20 127/20 127/21 131/23 131/25 132/4 119/24 urgent [3] 57/4 57/14 138/9 139/15 147/1 131/23 131/25 132/4 149/12 151/23 153/17 79/22 82/3 84/14 unreasonable [7] use [8] 51/9 57/9 96/1 154/20 12/18 105/22 115/2 101/18 105/22 115/2 144/24 use [5] 3/18 14/21 32/12 75/18 88/1					
turns [2] 57/20 96/13 underlig [1] 103/13 underlig [1] 119/23 underlig [1] 102/14 101/15 104/21 113/8 79/17 86/9 93/16 two [19] 3/6 32/8 35/9 98/19 102/14 121/6 98/19 102/14 121/6 unlikely [3] 62/20 121/18 133/9 146/5 93/18 97/23 103/8 50/13 55/12 58/23 98/19 102/14 121/6 94/11 127/25 underpins [1] 56/11 understand [29] 101/15 104/21 113/8 107/24 109/23 110/13 100/8 136/3 136/14 12/11 28/11 43/2 45/1 understand [29] 12/11 28/11 43/2 45/1 126/8 unpleasant [1] 117/21 116/22 119/12 120/19 152/24 twofold [1] 118/5 53/2 61/11 63/20 67/10 72/8 73/12 unpleasant [1] 117/21 138/9 139/15 147/1 119/24 unreasonable [7] 19/7 114/3 123/10 123/11 124/22 144/4 149/12 151/23 153/17 12/16 50/5 10/118 105/22 115/2 101/18 105/22 115/2 123/11 124/22 144/4 148/25 154/19 victims [5] 32/12 101/18 105/22 115/2 101/18 105/22 115/2 144/24 148/25 154/19 32/12 79/25 80/2	1				1
twice [2] 46/5 46/6 underlying [4] 60/12 unless [2] 11/20 77/8 101/15 104/21 113/8 13/17 06/3 05/10 05/10 05/10 05/10 05/10 00/10 0	1				
two [19] 3/6 32/8 35/9 underlying [4] 00/12 unikely [3] 62/20 121/18 133/9 146/5 93/10 37/23 103/3 50/13 55/12 58/23 98/19 102/14 121/6 underpin [1] 30/20 underpins [1] 56/11 underpins [1] 56/11 107/24 109/23 110/13 81/17 86/20 90/23 understand [29] 12/11 28/11 43/2 45/1 126/8 116/22 119/12 120/19 100/8 136/3 136/14 12/11 28/11 43/2 45/1 126/8 116/22 119/12 120/19 121/1 28/11 43/2 45/1 12/11 28/11 43/2 45/1 126/8 116/22 119/12 120/19 121/1 28/11 43/2 45/1 12/11 63/20 119/24 131/23 131/25 132/4 138/9 139/15 147/1 138/9 139/15 147/1 138/9 139/15 147/1 138/9 139/15 147/1 138/9 139/15 147/1 149/12 151/23 153/17 19/22 82/3 84/14 19/7 114/3 123/10 12/2/8 124/10 148/9 148/25 154/19 19/7 18 98/10 98/12 19/7 114/3 123/10 148/25 154/19 12/12 79/25 80/2 101/18 105/22 115/2 144/24 148/25 154/19 32/12 79/25 80/2	twice [2] 46/5 46/6				
50/13 55/12 58/23 underpin [1] 30/20 underpins [1] 56/11 understand [29] 12/6 113/3 113/5 100/8 136/3 136/14 12/11 28/11 43/2 45/1 understand [29] unpick [1] 45/1 58/4 60/16 116/11 124/20 127/20 127/21 152/24 12/11 28/11 43/2 45/1 unpicks [1] 45/1 unpleasant [1] 117/21 131/23 131/25 132/4 152/24 67/10 72/8 73/12 119/24 unrealistic [1] 142/11 149/12 151/23 153/17 19/2 82/3 84/14 19/7 114/3 123/10 19/7 114/3 123/10 122/8 124/10 148/9 149/12 151/23 153/17 22/16 50/5 101/18 105/22 115/2 101/18 105/22 115/2 123/11 124/22 144/4 148/25 154/19 victims [5] 32/12 101/18 105/22 115/2 144/24 144/24 144/24 122/8 124/10 148/9 122/12 79/25 80/2	two [19] 3/6 32/8 35/9				1
65/18 /1/1 80/10 anderpins 11 56/11 116/22 116/22 119/12 120/19 81/17 86/20 90/23 underpins 11 56/11 116/22 119/12 120/19 100/8 136/3 136/14 12/11 28/14 43/2 45/1 unpick 11 124/20 127/20 127/20 127/21 141/5 152/21 152/23 12/11 28/11 43/2 45/1 unpick 11 131/23 131/25 132/4 138/9 139/15 147/1 131/23 131/25 132/4 138/9 139/15 147/1 138/9 139/15 147/1 149/12 151/23 153/17 149/12 151/23 153/17 149/12 151/23 153/17 149/12 151/23 153/17 154/20 149/12 151/23 153/17 154/20 154/20 154/20 154/20 154/20 154/20 154/20 154/20 154/20 154/20 154/20 122/8 124/10 148/9 154/20 154/20 152/21 151/23 152/21					
81/17 86/20 90/23 understand [29] unpick [1] 45/1 48/16 12/10 13/10 12/10 12/10 13/10 12/10 13/10 12/10 12/10 13/10 12/10 12/10 14/10 12/10 14/10 12/10 14/10 12/10<	65/18 71/1 80/10				
100/8 136/3 136/14 12/11 28/11 43/2 45/1 unpleasant [1] 117/21 131/23 131/25 132/4 141/5 152/21 152/23 53/2 61/11 63/20 119/24 unpleasant [1] 117/21 138/9 139/15 147/1 152/24 53/2 61/11 63/20 119/24 unrealistic [1] 142/11 58/4 149/12 151/23 153/17 152/24 79/22 82/3 84/14 unreasonable [7] unreasonable [7] 122/8 124/10 148/9 154/20 22/16 50/5 97/18 98/10 98/12 123/11 124/22 144/4 148/25 154/19 148/25 154/19 victims [5] 32/12 101/18 105/22 115/2 144/24 144/24 148/25 154/19 32/12 79/25 80/2					1
141/3 152/21 152/23 152/24 twofold [1] 118/5 type [4] 8/16 11/9 22/16 50/5 types [4] 12/13 13/1 53/2 61/11 63/20 67/10 72/8 73/12 79/22 82/3 84/14 84/19 86/1 89/9 95/11 97/18 98/10 98/12 101/18 105/22 115/2 119/24 unrealistic [1] 142/11 19/7 114/3 123/10 123/11 124/22 144/4 144/24 urgent [3] 57/4 57/14 188/9 139/15 147/1 149/12 151/23 153/17 154/20 virealistic [1] 142/11 19/7 114/3 123/10 123/11 124/22 144/4 144/24 138/9 139/15 147/1 149/12 151/23 153/17 154/20					1
132/24 67/10 72/8 73/12 unrealistic [1] 142/11 58/4 149/12 151/23 153/17 twofold [1] 118/5 79/22 82/3 84/14 unrealistic [1] 142/11 58/4 149/12 151/23 153/17 22/16 50/5 84/19 86/1 89/9 95/11 19/7 114/3 123/10 122/8 124/10 148/9 154/20 97/18 98/10 98/12 123/11 124/22 144/4 148/25 154/19 victims [5] 32/12 101/18 105/22 115/2 144/24 use [5] 3/18 14/21 32/12 79/25 80/2		53/2 61/11 63/20			138/9 139/15 147/1
tworoid [1] 113/5 79/22 82/3 84/14 unreasonable [7] us [8] 51/9 57/9 96/1 154/20 type [4] 8/16 11/9 84/19 86/1 89/9 95/11 19/7 114/3 123/10 122/8 122/8 124/10 148/9 via [2] 75/18 88/1 types [4] 12/13 13/1 97/18 98/10 98/12 123/11 124/22 144/4 148/25 154/19 victims [5] 32/12 101/18 105/22 115/2 144/24 144/24 use [5] 3/18 14/21 32/12 79/25 80/2		67/10 72/8 73/12			
type [4] 6/10 11/9 84/19 86/1 89/9 95/11 19/7 114/3 123/10 122/8 124/10 148/9 via [2] 75/18 88/1 types [4] 12/13 13/1 97/18 98/10 98/12 123/11 124/22 144/4 148/25 154/19 victims [5] 32/12 types [4] 12/13 13/1 105/22 115/2 144/24 148/25 154/19 victims [5] 32/12 use [5] 3/18 14/21 32/12 79/25 80/2		79/22 82/3 84/14			154/20
victims [5] 32/12 types [4] 12/13 13/1 97/18 98/12 123/11 124/22 144/4 148/25 154/19 victims [5] 32/12 101/18 105/22 115/2 144/24 use [5] 3/18 14/21 32/12 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
	13403 [4] 12/13 13/1	101/18 105/22 115/2	144/24	use [5] 3/18 14/21	32/12 79/25 80/2
				r	70) traumatised - victims

(70) traumatised - victims

V	47/22 48/5 48/15	140/4 140/19 142/3	Wednesday [1] 155/3	127/23 128/21 130/1
	49/17 51/19 52/1 57/3	143/20 143/23 145/11	weeds [1] 65/15	130/7 130/16 131/15
victims [1] 127/21	57/7 61/5 61/17 61/22	148/2 151/21 153/9	week [2] 124/17	137/14 139/3 139/19
view [21] 4/23 23/1	61/23 61/24 62/12	154/12	131/9	139/23 139/25 140/4
23/2 24/9 25/22 30/12	63/22 64/14 64/15	ways [5] 80/11 86/20	welcome [1] 119/14	140/8 140/8 142/22
49/16 50/2 50/23	72/2 72/3 73/20 77/6	129/8 131/23 147/13	well [77] 4/14 8/15	142/23 143/10 144/19
58/12 63/5 71/24 76/2	77/11 81/2 81/4 85/11	we [136] 1/5 1/13	9/7 9/11 9/21 14/8	147/23 148/17 150/7
84/7 109/14 110/3	85/21 88/22 96/19	1/25 2/5 2/5 2/6 2/8	14/18 20/7 25/14	weren't [2] 7/20
112/11 117/10 123/5	98/23 99/8 99/13	2/17 2/20 2/20 2/21	28/18 29/10 37/20	106/18
128/2 133/3	101/3 105/3 105/17	2/24 3/1 3/5 3/7 3/9	39/7 41/11 43/11 44/5	what [174]
viewing [1] 43/9	105/25 105/25 106/2	3/13 3/15 3/17 4/21	45/5 47/6 47/20 48/9	what's [5] 2/14 31/20
virtually [3] 60/8	107/8 107/12 107/12	8/16 10/6 10/10 11/17	48/9 50/3 50/14 50/18	31/21 45/13 87/13
110/19 117/6	107/15 108/4 108/7	12/10 15/13 16/21	64/15 71/23 73/9	whatever [17] 1/10
visiting [1] 88/4	108/13 109/11 109/18	17/6 18/3 18/5 18/6	74/22 76/4 77/6 78/10	48/5 52/8 59/20 71/3
voluntarily [1] 28/10	110/8 112/7 112/12	18/10 18/10 18/19	79/7 82/8 82/22 84/21	71/4 76/16 86/23
voluntary [1] 53/6	112/13 113/12 113/13	18/19 23/17 24/9	86/14 90/8 91/6 92/13	105/12 109/14 117/6
W	113/23 114/4 114/11	24/22 24/23 29/17	94/19 97/22 99/7	128/9 135/2 137/14
wait [1] 63/10	116/5 124/3 124/3	31/21 33/14 33/19	102/2 106/9 107/14	138/14 151/12 152/7
waiting [2] 59/3 60/6	124/22 125/20 126/6	34/14 34/19 35/8	108/3 108/12 108/14	wheel [2] 37/24 82/7
waiver [3] 41/8 41/16	127/15 127/19 127/20	35/24 36/11 40/9 41/2	110/7 111/5 112/24	when [30] 1/10 4/24
42/12	127/21 127/24 128/4	42/6 44/6 46/18 47/13	113/4 114/5 116/16	7/19 7/20 7/24 13/4
Wales [7] 54/7 55/4	128/22 130/10 130/13	50/14 50/15 50/18	117/9 117/23 118/14	13/22 15/1 19/7 31/14
55/21 71/20 72/6 92/3	131/14 133/10 134/4	50/18 50/18 51/21	120/7 123/9 127/11	35/15 44/24 48/11
92/13	135/25 137/11 138/22	52/17 53/3 53/14	129/17 130/3 134/7	63/3 65/8 95/16 96/8
want [28] 6/13 18/13	142/6 143/20 148/20	53/20 54/3 54/21 56/5	135/1 135/16 135/19	97/14 98/8 100/25
30/13 32/18 38/19	150/6 150/18	56/5 56/8 56/15 59/14	141/16 142/13 145/17	105/17 108/24 110/14
40/5 45/18 45/19 46/1	washing [1] 12/25	59/16 59/16 61/21	147/1 147/6 147/18	111/12 115/6 123/12
46/21 51/19 56/17	wasn't [4] 29/13	62/25 63/12 63/14	148/7 150/25 151/20	125/4 135/12 138/10
57/18 57/19 60/11	105/21 106/3 124/19	65/2 65/21 66/3 66/5	152/25 153/21	147/5
63/9 66/1 68/24 70/15	wasted [1] 96/15	66/16 67/20 69/23	went [1] 124/12	where [92] 2/5 3/8 3/9
87/12 92/21 97/1	watch [1] 1/20	70/15 72/10 74/8 77/4	were [95] 1/8 2/6 2/7	3/18 4/6 7/15 8/16
111/6 117/7 128/1	watching [2] 1/19	77/21 78/5 79/15 80/9	2/21 6/6 6/13 7/6 7/8	8/24 9/9 11/5 11/12
135/12 152/15 154/20	135/9	82/20 85/3 85/22 87/6	7/15 7/19 7/24 13/1	11/25 13/4 13/15 15/8
wanted [9] 50/7 63/15	way [72] 8/2 12/14	87/11 90/15 90/22	14/19 23/5 27/9 30/24	18/16 26/2 27/1 27/23
64/13 70/7 83/3 91/23	14/3 17/17 17/18	91/24 93/6 93/8 93/14	31/5 31/12 32/17	27/24 28/8 28/15
151/25 152/19 153/20	17/25 20/6 20/24 21/9	94/8 95/8 96/21 97/3	34/15 37/2 39/3 41/12	28/15 29/18 29/19
wanting [1] 48/16	23/9 23/15 23/18	97/15 97/15 98/6	41/16 43/13 44/13	31/23 33/12 33/17
wants [1] 151/24	29/23 30/7 30/14 33/1	105/17 106/13 106/24	45/25 51/13 51/20	34/10 44/14 47/15
warned [2] 139/4	33/6 34/8 48/6 48/17	109/21 109/24 114/10	52/17 52/20 54/10	49/12 50/18 51/5 52/5
140/22	50/16 51/18 56/13	114/23 116/1 119/12	57/5 57/8 57/14 62/14	52/14 52/21 54/19
was [124] 2/8 6/3 6/5	58/9 61/8 64/9 65/6	126/18 126/24 128/7	62/15 62/17 63/6 72/4	65/5 67/13 68/4 70/1
6/11 6/20 6/24 7/4	67/18 67/19 68/8 69/19 71/18 72/23	128/11 130/23 133/20	72/8 72/12 75/6 76/4	70/4 71/24 75/14
7/13 8/2 8/2 8/13 8/14	73/2 76/8 80/24 80/24	136/16 137/9 137/23 139/22 141/12 146/17	78/20 78/25 79/6 79/11 82/6 84/25	77/14 79/11 82/17 82/21 84/11 86/9
10/7 13/2 13/15 15/8	82/23 83/7 85/5 88/20	146/20 147/6 147/22	85/13 94/16 94/20	96/15 96/16 98/20
16/25 23/1 23/8 28/8	88/24 89/25 91/9	153/10 154/23	94/22 101/15 102/5	109/22 111/16 113/24
28/8 29/14 29/15 31/2	92/17 96/14 96/14	we'll [1] 47/10	102/10 103/4 104/6	115/18 116/19 116/20
31/11 32/15 32/16	101/24 102/5 102/6	we're [2] 104/11	104/17 106/4 106/17	118/6 120/18 120/25
33/9 33/10 33/12 34/8	104/17 104/18 106/3	124/25	107/24 108/2 109/19	121/8 122/21 125/7
34/9 39/14 40/12	106/24 121/2 124/1	we've [5] 29/22 40/9	110/14 110/16 111/12	125/11 126/15 127/14
42/10 42/11 42/12	124/2 125/6 128/22	71/8 83/8 91/16	113/2 113/2 113/16	128/12 129/10 131/25
42/13 42/15 43/15	129/21 131/11 138/19		113/22 127/16 127/21	132/2 132/2 132/4
44/13 44/14 45/25				, or term 1 and 1 of term
				(71) victims where

W	57/14 58/10 58/19	wider [4] 32/23 60/3	39/25 41/14 43/2	48/24 98/5
	58/25 59/1 60/5 60/9	60/23 93/20	43/13 47/24 49/4 49/5	wonder [2] 135/11
where [17] 132/23	60/10 61/10 62/15	widow [2] 115/23	49/15 51/9 51/22	154/2
134/24 137/5 137/13	62/15 63/4 67/22	116/7	51/23 53/25 57/9	word [2] 9/18 21/1
137/18 137/24 137/25	67/22 76/10 76/14	widower [1] 116/7	58/12 58/13 58/23	words [8] 30/13 43/18
138/3 141/14 143/6	76/15 80/21 81/14	widowers [5] 38/3	59/16 62/22 67/15	67/20 74/16 101/1
143/25 144/9 147/20	81/22 81/23 82/6 82/8	39/21 115/18 117/2	67/21 67/23 69/3 73/3	121/15 121/22 152/13
147/21 150/5 150/6	82/11 82/14 82/22	118/3	73/20 74/23 75/10	work [18] 27/13 32/2
153/3	89/5 89/14 89/14	widows [5] 38/3	76/24 81/23 82/14	34/6 36/23 66/19 68/7
whereas [7] 6/14	91/14 92/10 97/8	39/21 115/18 117/2	83/16 83/25 84/4	72/22 74/6 76/12 95/2
31/14 41/25 57/8	97/19 99/22 100/22	118/3	84/22 85/6 85/16	95/3 95/25 96/15
82/24 124/20 129/11	101/5 103/13 115/18	will [74] 1/24 3/12	85/19 87/20 89/12	102/18 112/7 124/19
wherever [3] 11/10	116/17 116/21 116/25	3/15 4/22 5/9 6/14	90/21 92/11 93/12	130/9 154/14
13/10 90/9	116/25 117/3 117/3	6/19 8/17 14/8 14/21	99/22 100/2 100/2	worked [2] 13/21
whether [43] 13/2	117/5 117/14 118/8	17/6 18/22 32/25	101/17 101/18 102/13	42/16
20/22 39/14 45/20	119/1 119/8 120/14	33/24 34/2 35/20 36/6	103/21 104/13 105/20	working [3] 3/17
46/1 46/12 51/16	121/1 121/2 122/17	45/11 47/23 57/22	108/9 108/9 108/17	39/13 146/9
55/23 58/7 64/23	123/21 124/17 129/19	60/1 60/10 60/24	110/11 110/12 112/6	works [1] 36/9
67/25 72/13 72/15	135/6 135/6 136/12	61/16 63/1 63/3 63/9	113/7 114/16 115/1	world [1] 137/17
82/9 84/8 85/10 87/25	136/14 137/19 138/3	63/10 64/11 64/24	116/14 117/13 118/9	worried [2] 58/6
88/19 89/12 89/18	138/19 138/21 139/1	67/22 72/18 74/18	118/23 120/1 120/6	64/14
90/24 101/2 103/6	139/24 140/18 140/22	78/11 84/8 84/20	121/8 122/8 123/18	worries [1] 50/25
103/12 105/6 105/10	144/8 144/11 145/10	86/10 88/18 89/13	124/4 124/23 125/8	worry [2] 52/22
105/13 105/18 108/7	146/1 146/17 146/19	95/4 96/8 96/15 96/24		133/15
111/11 113/11 113/15	147/16 147/19 149/1	97/3 97/5 97/6 97/14	132/20 133/14 136/20	worse [3] 38/13 98/21
123/7 124/9 128/8	149/18 149/23 150/10	97/17 103/13 106/4	137/5 137/15 138/5	100/4
130/7 136/8 139/2	152/15 153/22 153/23	110/6 112/11 114/23	138/10 138/25 140/3	worth [2] 35/3 113/4
142/25 144/19 150/1	154/15	116/16 124/18 130/21	140/7 140/18 140/19	would [428]
151/2 154/2	who can [1] 81/14	131/25 132/20 135/12	140/23 144/23 146/10	wouldn't [20] 23/9
which [223] whichever [1] 56/4	who'd [1] 35/6	136/25 139/13 139/15	147/7 148/3 149/1	40/2 44/1 44/2 44/2
	who's [1] 59/2	141/17 141/25 142/17	149/3 149/4 149/9	45/5 45/18 49/10 62/4
while [7] 19/3 33/2 84/5 84/21 92/21	who've [1] 10/19	142/19 143/8 144/6	149/11 149/25 150/13	74/23 81/8 82/5 88/14
101/23 113/4	whoever [5] 31/19	146/6 149/11 150/23	151/21 152/2 152/10	92/21 95/21 101/6
whilst [3] 86/13 113/8	34/21 35/4 44/11	150/24 151/7 154/2	153/6 153/10 153/25	102/10 113/13 138/9
142/10	142/18	willing [1] 29/6	154/3 154/3	145/4
who [137] 1/8 1/15	whole [8] 6/11 27/23	willingness [2] 29/13	within [11] 70/18 74/6	wrapped [1] 38/22
1/15 1/17 1/19 2/6	102/9 121/2 126/7	154/7	77/25 79/10 85/13	writ [1] 102/11
2/12 3/18 5/9 6/4 9/10	132/24 147/10 147/18		99/4 99/23 99/25	write [1] 131/9
17/24 19/25 23/17	whom [6] 62/3 100/3	Windrush [1] 79/25	100/16 135/23 143/13	written [1] 106/5
25/14 25/15 26/21	101/9 116/1 148/25	wiser [1] 111/17	without [17] 9/17	wrong [8] 5/8 21/1
26/22 27/12 27/13	154/11	wish [5] 9/23 50/4	16/12 31/17 58/2 73/1	30/10 38/6 77/8
29/6 29/16 30/6 31/8	whose [1] 49/20	76/13 92/23 134/7	89/15 90/5 90/20	105/23 119/12 121/25
31/17 31/18 32/16	why [26] 27/19 28/24	wished [1] 52/5	93/19 98/22 99/22	wrongdoer [1] 120/11
36/6 36/12 37/10 38/1	31/7 47/15 56/9 56/12		104/1 112/22 116/21	wrongly [1] 25/16
38/16 39/20 41/12	56/23 80/4 84/3 87/3	with [134] 2/1 3/24	119/4 128/5 142/11	Y
44/8 44/10 45/22	100/17 101/19 103/9	4/12 4/14 5/10 9/19	witness [2] 21/14	
45/22 46/24 46/25	104/4 109/18 109/20	9/24 12/2 12/14 14/17	111/3	year [5] 38/6 123/4
47/18 47/19 49/20	113/21 114/4 116/11	15/7 18/22 18/24 19/2	witnessing [3] 19/13	123/12 130/10 150/15
49/24 50/4 50/7 52/7	119/25 120/5 132/12	22/6 25/9 25/25 27/14		years [10] 21/7 68/10
52/11 52/21 56/17	135/9 135/11 142/20	31/1 31/1 36/7 36/19	wobbly [1] 111/3	68/10 118/22 125/21
56/21 56/24 57/8	151/5	36/20 37/15 38/12	won [1] 45/24	126/5 126/12 128/16
	wide [2] 71/4 71/18	38/13 39/2 39/19	won't [4] 1/20 31/6	136/3 152/22
				(72) where years

(72) where ... - years

Y	46/25 71/11 120/22		
yes [145] 1/24 1/24	127/16 153/25 154/12		
2/3 5/16 5/22 5/25	you [370]		
6/17 6/21 7/2 7/9 7/17	you're [4] 77/22		
7/21 8/1 8/8 8/18 8/23	121/15 129/13 129/13		
9/1 9/6 9/11 9/21 14/9	you've [24] 12/15		
14/14 15/18 15/22	17/2 18/23 27/16		
16/3 16/5 16/14 16/21	35/15 35/17 47/6 49/1		
17/12 17/14 17/16	49/22 49/23 56/15		
17/23 18/2 18/17 20/3	61/10 62/16 75/16		
20/5 20/11 20/21	76/18 83/9 90/15		
21/25 22/17 22/23	104/19 104/25 111/23		
23/22 25/1 25/11	118/8 133/4 138/21		
26/14 26/16 27/7	151/20		
30/19 30/21 32/8	your [78] 2/7 2/16		
33/18 33/22 34/1 34/4	3/25 6/9 6/10 9/7		
34/17 34/25 35/19	10/11 12/2 12/11		
35/23 38/8 38/11	12/13 18/14 21/22		
38/22 39/5 39/22	21/23 24/4 30/13		
40/23 41/21 41/24	30/14 31/20 31/21		
42/8 43/4 43/11 43/22	38/12 38/13 40/12		
44/20 45/7 46/12 50/1	42/5 42/7 44/18 45/6		
50/20 50/23 53/1	54/3 56/23 59/24 61/4		
55/15 55/18 55/22	66/5 71/2 71/14 83/9		
56/3 56/22 61/16	84/14 84/19 90/18		
63/24 64/2 64/12	92/10 93/24 94/8		
65/13 69/7 69/16 70/8	96/19 98/13 98/19		
71/6 71/9 73/7 73/15	98/20 99/21 100/12		
73/17 75/13 76/23	100/19 100/19 100/21 102/25 104/4 105/21		
80/20 80/23 81/18	105/22 106/14 106/17		
85/17 86/5 91/12	106/22 106/23 108/23		
91/22 93/3 94/3 96/23	114/15 114/25 115/20		
99/19 101/12 103/23	116/12 120/4 122/15		
104/22 106/12 108/25	124/8 129/11 130/23		
109/5 110/18 110/22	135/10 145/24 146/13		
111/7 111/25 112/4	146/13 149/3 149/10		
112/21 114/20 122/16	149/13 149/21 151/15		
122/20 122/25 124/24	152/19 154/14 154/14		
125/2 125/5 125/22	yourself [2] 98/16		
127/6 128/20 131/1	140/6		
131/8 133/8 133/12			
133/22 134/20 137/4			
138/2 138/5 138/9			
143/2 146/18 148/7			
148/16 153/16			
yesterday [15] 2/6			
2/21 2/25 3/4 10/7			
34/14 35/15 46/18			
47/20 56/8 76/20 90/3			
98/14 99/21 139/22			
yet [8] 4/21 13/11			
			(73) yes - yourself