Steptoe Ian LSPG Sol C4

From:	Trefgarne Mary LSPG Sol C4
Sent:	31 July 2003 15:31
To:	Steptoe Ian LSPG Sol C4
Subject:	FW: Recombinant Clotting Factors

-----Original Messape From: Čarl.Evans@ GRO-C [mailto:Carl.Evans@ **GRO-C** Sent: 31 July 2003 15:10. To: Richard Gutowski@ **GRO-C** Zubeda.Seedat@ GRO-C k Vicki.King@ GR Helen.M.Robinson@ GRO-C k Croin March Jan Philo@ Cc: David.Daley@ GRO-C GRO-C Jill Taylor@ GRO-C GRO-C GRO-C lan.Philp@ GRO-C Subject. Re: Recombinant Clotting Factors

Richard

Thanks for your minute of 31 July.

This aspect of policy has not yet been drawn to my attention by the field as age discriminatory practice. That may not be particularly surprising given the emphasis of the age discrimination work within the context of the NSF for Older People.

However, my initial view, based on my limited knowledge of the decision and how it was arrived at is that it is an age discriminatory policy. I tend to agree with the comments made in letter (Annex A) on the policy implications of Older People's NSF and the NHS plan. I worked closely with the King's Fund who published a document earlier this year on Auditing Age Discrimination (copy in the post - see page 23). This provides a framework for looking at a policy and its effect on different ages (age group) - does it apply differently but equally? differently but worse? - and when might age-related criteria be fair or justifiable.

I am less clear of the implications of the Human Rights Act and am not aware of any test cases in this area - SOL will no doubt have a view.

I think that the age based approach taken will be difficult to justify beyond saying that it is a pragmatic approach to controlling and getting the required funding out quickly. It might help to have some idea of the potential cost of identifying people by need if this shows that there would then be little or no funding left for treatment. But, like all such age cut-offs it is has the potential to arouse strong reaction in individuals who feel unfairly treated when they have the same or greater needs as others.

I'm happy to give further advice or explanation, but not sure how helpful it will be in justifying the position.

Carl

- - Disclaimer - -

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, any reading, printing, storage, disclosure, copying or any other action taken in respect of this e-mail is prohibited and may be unlawful. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by using the reply function and then permanently delete what you have received.

Incoming and outgoing e-mail messages are routinely monitored for compliance with the Department of Health's policy on the use of electronic communications. For more information on the Department of Health's e-mail policy click here http://www.doh.gov.uk/emaildisclaimer.htm