1		Thursday, 31 March 2022	1		and qualified to release batches of product for
2	•				clinical use.
3	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Good morning, Dr Perry.			Q.	Can you just give an outline of the nature of the work
4	THI	E WITNESS: Good morning.	4		you undertook between 1975 and 1981, so before you
5	SIR	BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Now you are talking not just to the	5		joined the PFC.
6		audience that you see in front of you here, but you	6	A.	1975 I was I had just finished my PhD and I did
7		will be talking to others who will be watching online,	7		a little bit of teaching during that. I then from
8		I imagine quite a number, probably, from Scotland. So	8		my recollection, I joined the water authority in
9		that is the audience that you have.	9		Wolverhampton or close to Wolverhampton, where I was
10		You will start your evidence once Mary has	10		an analyst in a laboratory. And after that I moved
11		administered the oath and then Ms Richards will ask	11		on, about after about 18 months to become the chief
12		you the questions.	12		analyst at an organisation called the Regional Sterile
13	THI	E WITNESS: Okay, thank you.	13		Supply Unit in Wolverhampton, which was a fairly
14		DR ROBERT JOHN PERRY (affirmed)	14		large-scale manufacturing organisation for producing
15		Questioned by MS RICHARDS	15		sterile fluids and topical fluids for use in the
16	MS	RICHARDS: Dr Perry, you have a degree in chemistry	16		West Midlands Regional Health Authority, health
17		awarded in 1971 and a PhD in chemistry 1975; is that	17		service.
18		right?	18	Q.	Was that the job you were doing immediately prior to
19	A.	That's correct.	19		moving to PFC?
20	Q.		20	Α.	It was, yes.
21		does that mean in practice?	21	Q.	So you joined the PFC in 1981 as quality control
22	A.	The qualified person status, I was it effectively	22		inspector.
23		applies to, I think, probably all the pharmaceutical	23	Α.	Yes.
24		industry, that there was a requirement to have in any	24	Q.	I will ask you in a few minutes a little more about
25		pharmaceutical enterprise somebody who was authorised 1	25		that. Then in 1985 you became the acting director of 2
1		the PFC, then, in 1985, the director of the PFC,	1	Q.	We will come on to that towards the end of your
2		a post you remained in until 2003?	2		evidence, Dr Perry, so I won't ask you anything
3	A.	I think it was 1984 that I became the acting director	3		further about that at this stage.
4		of PFC.	4		Since that time, as I understand it, you have
5	Q.	Sorry, that's what I meant to say, yes.	5		been a self-employed consultant and working with the
6	A.	Sorry, yes. Otherwise that is correct, yes.	6		International Plasma and Fractionation Association?
7	Q.	2003 to 2004, you were seconded to the role of	7	A.	That is correct.
8		personnel director for SNBTS?	8	Q.	What is that association, what does it do?
9	A.	Yes.	9	A.	The International Plasma and Fractionation Association
10	Q.	Then between May 2004 and 2005 you were director of	10		was an organisation it started out as the European
11		pharmaceutical and technical projects for National	11		Plasma and Fractionation Association in 1991, and it
12		Services Scotland?	12		was I guess the best way to describe it, it was
13	A.	That is right.	13		a trade association established following the
14	Q.	In a sentence, what did that entail?	14		regulatory development in Europe that plasma products
15	A.	I think there were a large number, as indeed	15		had to be were to be regulated by the European
16		throughout the health service, of small regional	16		Medicines Agency as it was then. And the European
17		pharmacies making special products, products that	17		Medicines Agency fairly soon, early on in this
18		weren't available commercially, and there was a view	18		development, stated that they didn't want to speak
19		that that perhaps could and should be centralised into	19		individually to the not for profit all the
20		a single organisation. So I was asked to do	20		not-for-profit organisations in Europe. So there was
21		a feasibility study which I carried out on behalf of	21		a requirement to create an effective and efficient
22	_	National Services Scotland.	22		interface between the not-for-profit fractionators in
23	Q.	June 2015 (sic) to January 2007, you were a director	23	_	Europe with the European Medicines Agency.
24		of SNBTS's Better Blood Transfusion programme?	24	Q.	And what kind of work has your work as a consultant
25	A.	Yes.	25		involved over the last decade or so?  4
		Ť			•

(1) Pages 1 - 4

1	A.	I think it has been involved primarily with the	1	Q.	So that would have involved, amongst other things,
2		European I did little bit of work with the WHO at	2		attending regular meetings with SNBTS directors?
3		one stage, but I think the majority of my work has	3	A.	Yes.
4		been involved in developing documents,	4	Q.	And with Dr Cash?
5		position statements, supporting the members of the	5	A.	Yes.
6		International Plasma and Fractionation Association,	6	Q.	You were a member of the Biological Subcommittee of
7		which are it is an international organisation and	7		the Committee on Safety of Medicines from 1986 to
8		it has members in Japan, Australia, New Zealand,	8		1990, is that right?
9		Canada, the US and Europe and so on. So it has been	9	A.	That is correct.
10		a general role in supporting the activities of that	10	Q.	You took over in fact from Mr Watt who had been on the
11		organisation.	11		committee previously?
12	Q.	Does that association's membership include	12	A.	Mr Watt retired from the SNBTS or resigned from the
13		pharmaceutical companies, commercial fractionators	13		SNBTS in early 1984, but I think he continued his
14	A.	No, it doesn't. It is an association. There is	14		membership of CSM for a short period after that. But
15		a comparable organisation that was established around	15		then I think there was a view that it would be useful
16		about the same time as EPFA was established and that's	16		to have somebody from the fractionation centre in
17		called the Plasma [Products] Therapeutics Association,	17		Scotland to provide expert input into the decisions of
18		PPTA.	18		the Biological Subcommittee, so I was appointed to
19	Q.	During your career with SNBTS you were involved with	19		that committee.
20		a range of committees and working groups, which you	20	Q.	And you were a member of the Advisory Committee on the
21		have listed in your statement. For present purposes	21		Virological Safety of Blood from its inception?
22		I'm just going to mention three of them. You were	22	A.	
23		part of the SNBTS directors committee once you became	23	Q.	
24		director of the PFC, is that right?	24		1991 but we will come to the minutes and its
25	A.	That is correct.	25		decision-making at a later stage of your evidence
		5			6
1		in fact it is 1989.	1		DDCE0002760, which relates to the infected DEC
1					PRSE0003769, which relates to the infected PFC
2		Okay, thank you.	2		batch and the investigation undertaken into that in
3	Q.	,	3		late 1984.
4		Microbiological Safety of Blood [and Tissue] for	4		PRSE0002178, which looks at issues relating to
5		transplantation, you remained on that committee?	5		AIDS and viral inactivation to 1985.
6	A.	Yes, I basically just transferred over to the new	6		PRSE0001258, viral inactivation 1985-87.
7		committee. The membership changed but I remained on	7		PRSE0000145, hepatitis C screening.
8		it.	8		PRSE0002320, use of concentrates in, I think it
9	Q.	That was until around 2004, is that right?	9		is, '85 to '87.
10	A.	That is correct.	10		PRSE0002938, recall of unheated Factor IX, issue
11	Q.	We will certainly come back to the decision-making of	11		of heated Factor IX.
12		the Advisory Committee on the Virological Safety of	12		PRSE0001919, that's a joint statement with
13		Blood at a later stage.	13		Dr Cuthbertson and Dr Foster on conditions at Liberton
14		You provided multiple written statements to the	14		in the '80s.
15		Penrose Inquiry. I'm going to read for the benefit of	15		PRSE0002620, on package inserts and non-A, non-B
16		the transcript the references to the main statements	16		hepatitis.
17		you provided, some but not all of which are listed in	17		PRSE0003806, which contains communications with
18		your witness statement. That, Dr Perry, is not	18		Montagnier.
19		because we are going to look at them all, but it is	19		And PRSE0004392, which contains comments on the
20		for the benefit of others so that there is, collected	20		issue of self-sufficiency.
21		in a single place, all the relevant reference numbers	21		I think you also contributed to a number of
22		for your principal statements.	22		joint or corporate statements on behalf of SNBTS in
23	A.	Yes, I understand.	23		the Penrose Inquiry. I'm not proposing to list those.
24	Q.	So it is PRSE0001823 on the topic of high-risk donors.	24		Then you gave oral evidence to the Penrose
25		DDCE0003755 on the tenie of AIDC	25		Inquiry on 24 Moreh 2011 PDSE0006011 on the

(2) Pages 5 - 8

1		question, again, of high-risk donors and particularly	1		which was basically a lot of data collection and
2		prison donors.	2		submission to the Scottish Executive was undertaken by
3		13 May 2011, PRSE0006025 on the topic of	3		Dr Foster. But I would have been involved at some
4		self-sufficiency.			level, yes.
5		24 June 2011, PRSE0006038 on the implicated PFC	4 5	Q.	Then can we just look briefly at one document. It is
6		batch.	6	ω,.	one I will come back to later but it is PRSE0001885.
7		13 September 2011, PRSE0006045, that was topic	7		This is a letter from you to Professor Cash,
8		B3, which temporarily escapes me what that was.	8		14 March 1988. If we look at the text of the letter
9		28 October 2011, PRSE0006058, that's about viral	9		it is SNBTS' response to HIV contamination of blood
10		inactivation.	10		products:
11		23 November 2011, PRSE0006068, hepatitis C	11		"Further to your request for details of SNBTS
12		testing.	12		actions in response to the emergence of AIDS, I have
13		7 December 2011, PRSE0006074, on the issue of 8Y	13		now assembled the enclosed summary of key events.
14		and Z8.	14		"There is much supportive documentation of these
15		I list those so that nobody else has to go	15		events should this become necessary."
16		through the exercise of pooling them together.	16		If we just go over the page. As I say, I will
17		You have told us in your statement you didn't	17		come back to the some of the detail of it, but we can
18		•	18		see there is a chronological narrative and a thematic
19		give evidence to any other enquiries or investigations, is that right?	19		narrative set out.
	Α.		20		If we just go back to the first page, please,
20	A. Q.	•	21		Sully. Can you recall what the purpose of this was?
21 22	Q.	So you didn't give evidence to the Lindsay or Archer Inquiries. Does that mean you weren't involved in	22		Why you had been asked by Professor Cash to pull this
23		providing evidence on behalf of SNBTS to the Scottish	23		information together?
24		Executive Investigation?	24	A.	No, I can't, I can't recall a specific event or
25	A.	I think I was involved in it but I think that role	25	Α.	a specific issue that Professor Cash raised, but it
23	A.	9	25		10
1		was not unusual for him to write spontaneously	1		had taken the Medicines Inspector visit and their
1		was not unusual for him to write spontaneously,	1		had taken the Medicines Inspector visit and their
2		because he had something in mind, to ask for a summary	2		quite detailed inspection of the facilities and the
2		because he had something in mind, to ask for a summary of what happened during this important period. So,	2 3		quite detailed inspection of the facilities and the arrangements at PFC which took place in 1979/1980, and
2 3 4		because he had something in mind, to ask for a summary of what happened during this important period. So, I don't think there was anything specific happened	2 3 4		quite detailed inspection of the facilities and the arrangements at PFC which took place in 1979/1980, and I think there was a view that the organisation needed
2 3 4 5		because he had something in mind, to ask for a summary of what happened during this important period. So, I don't think there was anything specific happened in March 1988 that triggered this other than	2 3 4 5		quite detailed inspection of the facilities and the arrangements at PFC which took place in 1979/1980, and I think there was a view that the organisation needed to hire somebody with experience in the field, not in
2 3 4 5 6		because he had something in mind, to ask for a summary of what happened during this important period. So, I don't think there was anything specific happened in March 1988 that triggered this other than Professor Cash just seeking a summary of the events	2 3 4 5 6		quite detailed inspection of the facilities and the arrangements at PFC which took place in 1979/1980, and I think there was a view that the organisation needed to hire somebody with experience in the field, not in biologicals manufacture but in the principles and the
2 3 4 5 6 7		because he had something in mind, to ask for a summary of what happened during this important period. So, I don't think there was anything specific happened in March 1988 that triggered this other than Professor Cash just seeking a summary of the events and what PFC in particular did in response to those	2 3 4 5 6 7		quite detailed inspection of the facilities and the arrangements at PFC which took place in 1979/1980, and I think there was a view that the organisation needed to hire somebody with experience in the field, not in biologicals manufacture but in the principles and the practice of good manufacturing practice. And I had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	0	because he had something in mind, to ask for a summary of what happened during this important period. So, I don't think there was anything specific happened in March 1988 that triggered this other than Professor Cash just seeking a summary of the events and what PFC in particular did in response to those events.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		quite detailed inspection of the facilities and the arrangements at PFC which took place in 1979/1980, and I think there was a view that the organisation needed to hire somebody with experience in the field, not in biologicals manufacture but in the principles and the practice of good manufacturing practice. And I had obtained that during my work in Wolverhampton at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	because he had something in mind, to ask for a summary of what happened during this important period. So, I don't think there was anything specific happened in March 1988 that triggered this other than Professor Cash just seeking a summary of the events and what PFC in particular did in response to those events.  We know that there was around this time a piece of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		quite detailed inspection of the facilities and the arrangements at PFC which took place in 1979/1980, and I think there was a view that the organisation needed to hire somebody with experience in the field, not in biologicals manufacture but in the principles and the practice of good manufacturing practice. And I had obtained that during my work in Wolverhampton at the sterile supply unit. So I was appointed really with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	because he had something in mind, to ask for a summary of what happened during this important period. So, I don't think there was anything specific happened in March 1988 that triggered this other than Professor Cash just seeking a summary of the events and what PFC in particular did in response to those events.  We know that there was around this time a piece of litigation ongoing, which the Inquiry have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		quite detailed inspection of the facilities and the arrangements at PFC which took place in 1979/1980, and I think there was a view that the organisation needed to hire somebody with experience in the field, not in biologicals manufacture but in the principles and the practice of good manufacturing practice. And I had obtained that during my work in Wolverhampton at the sterile supply unit. So I was appointed really with a quite far reaching role, which I think is why it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	because he had something in mind, to ask for a summary of what happened during this important period. So, I don't think there was anything specific happened in March 1988 that triggered this other than Professor Cash just seeking a summary of the events and what PFC in particular did in response to those events.  We know that there was around this time a piece of litigation ongoing, which the Inquiry have been referring to as the "HIV Haemophilia Litigation". Do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		quite detailed inspection of the facilities and the arrangements at PFC which took place in 1979/1980, and I think there was a view that the organisation needed to hire somebody with experience in the field, not in biologicals manufacture but in the principles and the practice of good manufacturing practice. And I had obtained that during my work in Wolverhampton at the sterile supply unit. So I was appointed really with a quite far reaching role, which I think is why it was probably called quality control inspector.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		because he had something in mind, to ask for a summary of what happened during this important period. So, I don't think there was anything specific happened in March 1988 that triggered this other than Professor Cash just seeking a summary of the events and what PFC in particular did in response to those events.  We know that there was around this time a piece of litigation ongoing, which the Inquiry have been referring to as the "HIV Haemophilia Litigation". Do you know whether it was in relation to that at all?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		quite detailed inspection of the facilities and the arrangements at PFC which took place in 1979/1980, and I think there was a view that the organisation needed to hire somebody with experience in the field, not in biologicals manufacture but in the principles and the practice of good manufacturing practice. And I had obtained that during my work in Wolverhampton at the sterile supply unit. So I was appointed really with a quite far reaching role, which I think is why it was probably called quality control inspector.  I had authority in all parts of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	because he had something in mind, to ask for a summary of what happened during this important period. So, I don't think there was anything specific happened in March 1988 that triggered this other than Professor Cash just seeking a summary of the events and what PFC in particular did in response to those events.  We know that there was around this time a piece of litigation ongoing, which the Inquiry have been referring to as the "HIV Haemophilia Litigation". Do you know whether it was in relation to that at all? It might have been. I have just noted in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		quite detailed inspection of the facilities and the arrangements at PFC which took place in 1979/1980, and I think there was a view that the organisation needed to hire somebody with experience in the field, not in biologicals manufacture but in the principles and the practice of good manufacturing practice. And I had obtained that during my work in Wolverhampton at the sterile supply unit. So I was appointed really with a quite far reaching role, which I think is why it was probably called quality control inspector.  I had authority in all parts of the organisation, because the principle is that everything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		because he had something in mind, to ask for a summary of what happened during this important period. So, I don't think there was anything specific happened in March 1988 that triggered this other than Professor Cash just seeking a summary of the events and what PFC in particular did in response to those events.  We know that there was around this time a piece of litigation ongoing, which the Inquiry have been referring to as the "HIV Haemophilia Litigation". Do you know whether it was in relation to that at all? It might have been. I have just noted in the annotation to go with the litigation papers. So it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		quite detailed inspection of the facilities and the arrangements at PFC which took place in 1979/1980, and I think there was a view that the organisation needed to hire somebody with experience in the field, not in biologicals manufacture but in the principles and the practice of good manufacturing practice. And I had obtained that during my work in Wolverhampton at the sterile supply unit. So I was appointed really with a quite far reaching role, which I think is why it was probably called quality control inspector.  I had authority in all parts of the organisation, because the principle is that everything that happens in a pharmaceutical manufacturing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A.	because he had something in mind, to ask for a summary of what happened during this important period. So, I don't think there was anything specific happened in March 1988 that triggered this other than Professor Cash just seeking a summary of the events and what PFC in particular did in response to those events.  We know that there was around this time a piece of litigation ongoing, which the Inquiry have been referring to as the "HIV Haemophilia Litigation". Do you know whether it was in relation to that at all? It might have been. I have just noted in the annotation to go with the litigation papers. So it may well have been triggered by that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		quite detailed inspection of the facilities and the arrangements at PFC which took place in 1979/1980, and I think there was a view that the organisation needed to hire somebody with experience in the field, not in biologicals manufacture but in the principles and the practice of good manufacturing practice. And I had obtained that during my work in Wolverhampton at the sterile supply unit. So I was appointed really with a quite far reaching role, which I think is why it was probably called quality control inspector.  I had authority in all parts of the organisation, because the principle is that everything that happens in a pharmaceutical manufacturing organisation can have an impact on product quality and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		because he had something in mind, to ask for a summary of what happened during this important period. So, I don't think there was anything specific happened in March 1988 that triggered this other than Professor Cash just seeking a summary of the events and what PFC in particular did in response to those events.  We know that there was around this time a piece of litigation ongoing, which the Inquiry have been referring to as the "HIV Haemophilia Litigation". Do you know whether it was in relation to that at all? It might have been. I have just noted in the annotation to go with the litigation papers. So it may well have been triggered by that.  But you didn't have any direct involvement to yourself	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	۵	quite detailed inspection of the facilities and the arrangements at PFC which took place in 1979/1980, and I think there was a view that the organisation needed to hire somebody with experience in the field, not in biologicals manufacture but in the principles and the practice of good manufacturing practice. And I had obtained that during my work in Wolverhampton at the sterile supply unit. So I was appointed really with a quite far reaching role, which I think is why it was probably called quality control inspector.  I had authority in all parts of the organisation, because the principle is that everything that happens in a pharmaceutical manufacturing organisation can have an impact on product quality and product safety.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q.	because he had something in mind, to ask for a summary of what happened during this important period. So, I don't think there was anything specific happened in March 1988 that triggered this other than Professor Cash just seeking a summary of the events and what PFC in particular did in response to those events.  We know that there was around this time a piece of litigation ongoing, which the Inquiry have been referring to as the "HIV Haemophilia Litigation". Do you know whether it was in relation to that at all? It might have been. I have just noted in the annotation to go with the litigation papers. So it may well have been triggered by that.  But you didn't have any direct involvement to yourself with litigation at the time?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	quite detailed inspection of the facilities and the arrangements at PFC which took place in 1979/1980, and I think there was a view that the organisation needed to hire somebody with experience in the field, not in biologicals manufacture but in the principles and the practice of good manufacturing practice. And I had obtained that during my work in Wolverhampton at the sterile supply unit. So I was appointed really with a quite far reaching role, which I think is why it was probably called quality control inspector.  I had authority in all parts of the organisation, because the principle is that everything that happens in a pharmaceutical manufacturing organisation can have an impact on product quality and product safety.  What in practice did that entail? In a typical week
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q.	because he had something in mind, to ask for a summary of what happened during this important period. So, I don't think there was anything specific happened in March 1988 that triggered this other than Professor Cash just seeking a summary of the events and what PFC in particular did in response to those events.  We know that there was around this time a piece of litigation ongoing, which the Inquiry have been referring to as the "HIV Haemophilia Litigation". Do you know whether it was in relation to that at all? It might have been. I have just noted in the annotation to go with the litigation papers. So it may well have been triggered by that.  But you didn't have any direct involvement to yourself with litigation at the time?  No, I don't recall having any detailed involvement.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		quite detailed inspection of the facilities and the arrangements at PFC which took place in 1979/1980, and I think there was a view that the organisation needed to hire somebody with experience in the field, not in biologicals manufacture but in the principles and the practice of good manufacturing practice. And I had obtained that during my work in Wolverhampton at the sterile supply unit. So I was appointed really with a quite far reaching role, which I think is why it was probably called quality control inspector.  I had authority in all parts of the organisation, because the principle is that everything that happens in a pharmaceutical manufacturing organisation can have an impact on product quality and product safety.  What in practice did that entail? In a typical week in 1982, what would your work have involved?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q.	because he had something in mind, to ask for a summary of what happened during this important period. So, I don't think there was anything specific happened in March 1988 that triggered this other than Professor Cash just seeking a summary of the events and what PFC in particular did in response to those events.  We know that there was around this time a piece of litigation ongoing, which the Inquiry have been referring to as the "HIV Haemophilia Litigation". Do you know whether it was in relation to that at all? It might have been. I have just noted in the annotation to go with the litigation papers. So it may well have been triggered by that.  But you didn't have any direct involvement to yourself with litigation at the time?  No, I don't recall having any detailed involvement.  So, can we then come back to your work at the PFC.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	quite detailed inspection of the facilities and the arrangements at PFC which took place in 1979/1980, and I think there was a view that the organisation needed to hire somebody with experience in the field, not in biologicals manufacture but in the principles and the practice of good manufacturing practice. And I had obtained that during my work in Wolverhampton at the sterile supply unit. So I was appointed really with a quite far reaching role, which I think is why it was probably called quality control inspector.  I had authority in all parts of the organisation, because the principle is that everything that happens in a pharmaceutical manufacturing organisation can have an impact on product quality and product safety.  What in practice did that entail? In a typical week in 1982, what would your work have involved?  In 1982 I think it was fairly — it was still fairly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q.	because he had something in mind, to ask for a summary of what happened during this important period. So, I don't think there was anything specific happened in March 1988 that triggered this other than Professor Cash just seeking a summary of the events and what PFC in particular did in response to those events.  We know that there was around this time a piece of litigation ongoing, which the Inquiry have been referring to as the "HIV Haemophilia Litigation". Do you know whether it was in relation to that at all? It might have been. I have just noted in the annotation to go with the litigation papers. So it may well have been triggered by that.  But you didn't have any direct involvement to yourself with litigation at the time?  No, I don't recall having any detailed involvement.  So, can we then come back to your work at the PFC.  Starting with your role as quality control inspector.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		quite detailed inspection of the facilities and the arrangements at PFC which took place in 1979/1980, and I think there was a view that the organisation needed to hire somebody with experience in the field, not in biologicals manufacture but in the principles and the practice of good manufacturing practice. And I had obtained that during my work in Wolverhampton at the sterile supply unit. So I was appointed really with a quite far reaching role, which I think is why it was probably called quality control inspector.  I had authority in all parts of the organisation, because the principle is that everything that happens in a pharmaceutical manufacturing organisation can have an impact on product quality and product safety.  What in practice did that entail? In a typical week in 1982, what would your work have involved?  In 1982 I think it was fairly — it was still fairly on in my career with SNBTS. I think my priorities at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q.	because he had something in mind, to ask for a summary of what happened during this important period. So, I don't think there was anything specific happened in March 1988 that triggered this other than Professor Cash just seeking a summary of the events and what PFC in particular did in response to those events.  We know that there was around this time a piece of litigation ongoing, which the Inquiry have been referring to as the "HIV Haemophilia Litigation". Do you know whether it was in relation to that at all? It might have been. I have just noted in the annotation to go with the litigation papers. So it may well have been triggered by that.  But you didn't have any direct involvement to yourself with litigation at the time?  No, I don't recall having any detailed involvement.  So, can we then come back to your work at the PFC. Starting with your role as quality control inspector.  What did that entail?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		quite detailed inspection of the facilities and the arrangements at PFC which took place in 1979/1980, and I think there was a view that the organisation needed to hire somebody with experience in the field, not in biologicals manufacture but in the principles and the practice of good manufacturing practice. And I had obtained that during my work in Wolverhampton at the sterile supply unit. So I was appointed really with a quite far reaching role, which I think is why it was probably called quality control inspector.  I had authority in all parts of the organisation, because the principle is that everything that happens in a pharmaceutical manufacturing organisation can have an impact on product quality and product safety.  What in practice did that entail? In a typical week in 1982, what would your work have involved?  In 1982 I think it was fairly — it was still fairly on in my career with SNBTS. I think my priorities at that time were establishing more robust documentation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q.	because he had something in mind, to ask for a summary of what happened during this important period. So, I don't think there was anything specific happened in March 1988 that triggered this other than Professor Cash just seeking a summary of the events and what PFC in particular did in response to those events.  We know that there was around this time a piece of litigation ongoing, which the Inquiry have been referring to as the "HIV Haemophilia Litigation". Do you know whether it was in relation to that at all? It might have been. I have just noted in the annotation to go with the litigation papers. So it may well have been triggered by that.  But you didn't have any direct involvement to yourself with litigation at the time?  No, I don't recall having any detailed involvement.  So, can we then come back to your work at the PFC. Starting with your role as quality control inspector.  What did that entail?  The role of quality control inspector it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		quite detailed inspection of the facilities and the arrangements at PFC which took place in 1979/1980, and I think there was a view that the organisation needed to hire somebody with experience in the field, not in biologicals manufacture but in the principles and the practice of good manufacturing practice. And I had obtained that during my work in Wolverhampton at the sterile supply unit. So I was appointed really with a quite far reaching role, which I think is why it was probably called quality control inspector.  I had authority in all parts of the organisation, because the principle is that everything that happens in a pharmaceutical manufacturing organisation can have an impact on product quality and product safety.  What in practice did that entail? In a typical week in 1982, what would your work have involved?  In 1982 I think it was fairly — it was still fairly on in my career with SNBTS. I think my priorities at that time were establishing more robust documentation systems, creating a portfolio of standard operating
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q.	because he had something in mind, to ask for a summary of what happened during this important period. So, I don't think there was anything specific happened in March 1988 that triggered this other than Professor Cash just seeking a summary of the events and what PFC in particular did in response to those events.  We know that there was around this time a piece of litigation ongoing, which the Inquiry have been referring to as the "HIV Haemophilia Litigation". Do you know whether it was in relation to that at all? It might have been. I have just noted in the annotation to go with the litigation papers. So it may well have been triggered by that.  But you didn't have any direct involvement to yourself with litigation at the time?  No, I don't recall having any detailed involvement. So, can we then come back to your work at the PFC. Starting with your role as quality control inspector.  What did that entail?  The role of quality control inspector it was a curious title, actually, for the job, but it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		quite detailed inspection of the facilities and the arrangements at PFC which took place in 1979/1980, and I think there was a view that the organisation needed to hire somebody with experience in the field, not in biologicals manufacture but in the principles and the practice of good manufacturing practice. And I had obtained that during my work in Wolverhampton at the sterile supply unit. So I was appointed really with a quite far reaching role, which I think is why it was probably called quality control inspector.  I had authority in all parts of the organisation, because the principle is that everything that happens in a pharmaceutical manufacturing organisation can have an impact on product quality and product safety.  What in practice did that entail? In a typical week in 1982, what would your work have involved?  In 1982 I think it was fairly — it was still fairly on in my career with SNBTS. I think my priorities at that time were establishing more robust documentation systems, creating a portfolio of standard operating procedures which didn't exist when I arrived. It
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Q. A. Q.	because he had something in mind, to ask for a summary of what happened during this important period. So, I don't think there was anything specific happened in March 1988 that triggered this other than Professor Cash just seeking a summary of the events and what PFC in particular did in response to those events.  We know that there was around this time a piece of litigation ongoing, which the Inquiry have been referring to as the "HIV Haemophilia Litigation". Do you know whether it was in relation to that at all? It might have been. I have just noted in the annotation to go with the litigation papers. So it may well have been triggered by that.  But you didn't have any direct involvement to yourself with litigation at the time?  No, I don't recall having any detailed involvement.  So, can we then come back to your work at the PFC. Starting with your role as quality control inspector.  What did that entail?  The role of quality control inspector it was a curious title, actually, for the job, but it was a post that was established I think primarily as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		quite detailed inspection of the facilities and the arrangements at PFC which took place in 1979/1980, and I think there was a view that the organisation needed to hire somebody with experience in the field, not in biologicals manufacture but in the principles and the practice of good manufacturing practice. And I had obtained that during my work in Wolverhampton at the sterile supply unit. So I was appointed really with a quite far reaching role, which I think is why it was probably called quality control inspector.  I had authority in all parts of the organisation, because the principle is that everything that happens in a pharmaceutical manufacturing organisation can have an impact on product quality and product safety.  What in practice did that entail? In a typical week in 1982, what would your work have involved?  In 1982 I think it was fairly — it was still fairly on in my career with SNBTS. I think my priorities at that time were establishing more robust documentation systems, creating a portfolio of standard operating procedures which didn't exist when I arrived. It involved an examination of the facilities and their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q.	because he had something in mind, to ask for a summary of what happened during this important period. So, I don't think there was anything specific happened in March 1988 that triggered this other than Professor Cash just seeking a summary of the events and what PFC in particular did in response to those events.  We know that there was around this time a piece of litigation ongoing, which the Inquiry have been referring to as the "HIV Haemophilia Litigation". Do you know whether it was in relation to that at all? It might have been. I have just noted in the annotation to go with the litigation papers. So it may well have been triggered by that.  But you didn't have any direct involvement to yourself with litigation at the time?  No, I don't recall having any detailed involvement. So, can we then come back to your work at the PFC. Starting with your role as quality control inspector.  What did that entail?  The role of quality control inspector it was a curious title, actually, for the job, but it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		quite detailed inspection of the facilities and the arrangements at PFC which took place in 1979/1980, and I think there was a view that the organisation needed to hire somebody with experience in the field, not in biologicals manufacture but in the principles and the practice of good manufacturing practice. And I had obtained that during my work in Wolverhampton at the sterile supply unit. So I was appointed really with a quite far reaching role, which I think is why it was probably called quality control inspector.  I had authority in all parts of the organisation, because the principle is that everything that happens in a pharmaceutical manufacturing organisation can have an impact on product quality and product safety.  What in practice did that entail? In a typical week in 1982, what would your work have involved?  In 1982 I think it was fairly — it was still fairly on in my career with SNBTS. I think my priorities at that time were establishing more robust documentation systems, creating a portfolio of standard operating procedures which didn't exist when I arrived. It

(3) Pages 9 - 12

1		basically examining the comments and the criticisms	1		taking over an inherited role. Did you receive any
2		that were made by the medicines inspectors and	2		training or education or assistance in understanding
3		beginning to formulate a response to that.	3		more about fractionation and transfusion?
4	Q.	If we can just look at a short extract from one part	4	A.	I don't think there was anything that you could
5		of your oral evidence to the Penrose Inquiry.	5		describe as a formal training programme. I think, as
6		Sully, it is PRSE0006011, please. If we go to	6		was typical of the time, it was very much on the job
7		page 93, and pick it up at line 9.	7		training. Mr Watt, who was my boss, was very open.
8		Your evidence had started, just for the benefit	8		He was very generous. I think to an extent he applied
9		of anyone following on page 89. We can see you were	9		the sort of deep immersion technique and from a very
10		being asked about your work and you explain at line 9	10		early stage he allowed me or asked me to go and
11		to 11 you had:	11		deputise for him at national meetings, at local
12		" no prior experience of blood or plasma	12		meetings with the Haemophilia Centres directors'
13		products. This was a completely new area of endeavour	13		organisation and also made every effort to ensure that
14		for me."	14		I could attend international meetings and national
15		If we go to page 102, please, top of the page.	15		meetings associated with plasma products. So although
16		Lines 4 to 6 you say:	16		there was no formal training, he was very active in
17		"I had no knowledge of the plasma fractionation	17		exposing me to all the issues.
18		industry or blood establishments or blood transfusion	18	Q.	
19		services prior to my emigration to Scotland."	19		director, first acting director and then director,
20		So blood fractionation, blood transfusion, was	20		after Mr Watt left. Now, as I understand it, Mr Watt
21		something completely new to you when you took up your	21		tendered his resignation in around the middle of 1983?
22		first post at the PFC?	22	A.	That is correct.
23	A.	Absolutely, yes.	23	Q.	And then left in December 1983?
24	Q.	Were you provided with any kind of training? You told	24	A.	Yes.
25		us yours was effectively a new post so you weren't 13	25	Q.	Did you have any understanding first of all, was 14
1		that unexpected?	1		was very innovative and Professor Cash was a very
2	A.	No, he'd tendered his resignation. I think his	2		strong leader in those issues that he felt were
3		original plan was to leave a little later but for	3		important and I guess the combination of that meant
4		reasons I don't think were ever disclosed to me or	4		that they didn't always see eye to eye on every issue,
5		others, he left certainly significantly earlier than	5		but I honestly can't recall any major conflict that
6		was originally anticipated. I think it was meant to	6		caused any disruption to the delivery of services.
7		be maybe the middle of 1984 but it turns out he left	7	Q.	Now, as director what was essentially your role? What
8		at the end of 1983.	8		did you do as director of the PFC?
9	Q.	And so you were was it unexpected then being asked	9	A.	I was appointed to be responsible for all the
10		to step up to the role of acting director?	10		activities of PFC. The operational activities
11	A.	Well, I had, even though I had only been in the	11		primarily. I was an operational manager for the
12		organisation two or three years by that time, I saw it	12		plasma fractionation centre which was the
13		as a good opportunity. I was enthusiastic about the	13		manufacturing unit of the SNBTS.
14		work of the PFC and I applied for the job of director	14	Q.	And you were accountable to Dr Cash; is that right?
15		when the shortlisting took place for that role. So	15	A.	No, I was accountable to, again, a slightly curious
16		I think the Common Services Agency and Professor Cash	16		arrangement but not untypical of its time, I was
17		and others involved thought, well, this is somebody	17		responsible to the Committee of Management of the
18		that could perhaps take on the role of acting director	18		Common Services Agency, so my boss was a committee.
19		until the substantive replacement for Mr Watt had	19		But subject and I think this was stated in my job
20		taken place. So I readily accepted that offer.	20		description to the duties and responsibilities of
21	Q.	We have heard some evidence of a less than harmonious	21		Professor Cash.
00		LC LC LC LC MANGE LD L		_	1 C

24

A. No.

22

23

24

relationship between Mr Watt and Dr Lane. Do you have

relationship had been between Mr Watt and Dr Cash?

any understanding or recollection of what the

25 A. They were both powerful strong personalities. Mr Watt

(4) Pages 13 - 16

22 Q. In practice did you have much by way of interaction

25 Q. So in practice you would be discussing key issues with

with the Committee of the Common Services Agency?

1		Dr Cash?	1	Q.	Did the change of management structure in 1990, did it
2	A.	Absolutely, yes. He was the National Medical Director	2		have any particular advantages or disadvantages?
3		at the time. He was very authoritative. He was	3	A.	I think it was a much more coherent process. As
4		a very strong leader and I think it is fair to say	4		an operational manager of one of the units of SNBTS,
5		that I had daily interactions with Professor Cash.	5		my line management reporting and accountability was
6	Q.	And if we just go back to one part of your oral	6		much clearer than it had been before. So I was still
7		evidence to the Penrose Inquiry.	7		subject to the duties and responsibilities of the
8		PRSE0006011, page 94, please.	8		National Medical and Scientific Director but I had
9		From line 3, you are here describing your role	9		a clear operational manager in the form of
10		and responsibilities as director:	10		Mr McIntosh.
11		"My responsibilities were effectively the	11	Q.	I want to ask you a little more now about the
12		operational management of the fractionation centre of	12		organisation of the PFC itself. If we take it from
13		the SNBTS, and my responsibilities covered everything	13		your witness statement to start with, so could we have
14		from financial control, operational management to	14		WITN6920001 please, Sully.
15		production, quality control, not single-handed,	15		If we go to page 7. If we pick it up at the
16		obviously I had a staff of about 200/250 people	16		bottom of the page. You explain the departmental
17		and also the research and development of new plasma	17		structure of the centre. So the first department that
18		product that the service wanted to bring into use."	18		you identify, manufacturing, responsible for all
19		Is that a fair description of your principal	19		aspects of product manufacture from bulk collection of
20		responsibilities?	20		plasma from Regional Transfusion Centres through to
21	A.	Yes, I think that is a good description.	21		top of the next page return of manufactured plasma
22	Q.	Then your statement tells us that from 1990 your	22		products to RTCs. So that's the Department that's
23		direct accountability was to the SNBTS general	23		doing the core work of the PFC, to take the plasma and
24		manager?	24		turn it into blood products?
25	A.	Yes, that is correct.	25	A.	Yes, I actually think it was probably called the
		17			18
1		production department at that time. But that is	1		product licensing following the removal of Crown
2		a good enough title, manufacturing department.	2		Immunity status", which I think was around 1991?
3	Q.	The next department you identify is the quality	3	A.	
4	٠.,	department and this would be the essentially area	4	Q.	Obviously there were attempts at regulatory
5		of activity that you led from 1981 to 1984; is that	5	٠,٠	compliance, there were product licences in existence
6		right, to the end of '84?	6		prior to that?
7	A.	Yes, that is correct. I think prior to my appointment	7	A.	•
8	Λ.	I think the arrangements in place at PFC were more	8	Q.	Who had responsibility at that point?
9		like a quality control arrangement. It had	9	Α.	I think that would probably have been myself. When
10		a laboratory which had a laboratory manager and	10	Λ.	I took over in 1981, I recall Mr Watt saying that it
11		manufacturing, but there was no single department or	11		was very important. Although we operated under Crown
12		person with responsibility for quality across the	12		immunity I do not think anyone in PFC or the wider
13		whole organisation.	13		SNBTS saw that as a satisfactory arrangement. So the
14	Q.	•	14		PFC did make attempts, and successful attempts, to
15	Œ.	of this department:	15		obtain product licences from the Medicines Control
16		" Responsible for development and enforcement	16		
17		of Quality Systems, Quality Control laboratory testing	17		Agency.  It was always a moot point. What the status of
18		of products and intermediates, approval of finished	18		these licences were, given that we were operating
19		products for use and, latterly, regulatory compliance	19		under Crown immunity. A good example of that was
20		and product licensing following the removal of Crown	20		in I think during 1983 we had just developed a new
21		Immunity status."	21		intravenous immunoglobulin product and we were very
22 23		Just pausing there, I will want to come on to ask you about product licensing, interaction with	22 23		anxious to establish its safety and its efficacy and its quality prior to entering into clinical trials and
40		aan you about brouget heerianid. Hitelaction with	2.3		no quanty prior to criterina ilito cililical tilais and

25

24

25

medicines inspectors and so on in due course. But you

have said there "latterly, regulatory compliance and

(5) Pages 17 - 20

I suggested that the best way of doing that would be

to submit a full and comprehensive product licence

application for that product, which we did. 2 2 I think the Medicines Control Agency, when we 3 3 submitted it were slightly bemused because they 4 weren't quite sure what to do with it because we were 4 5 5 an organisation operating under Crown immunity. But 6 there were certainly strong links that we tried to 6 7 7 maintain with the Medicines Control Agency. 8 8 I will ask you some more about that in due course. If 9 we continue on this page the next department, research 9 10 and development, led by Dr Foster, who obviously the 10 11 Inquiry heard from last week. 11 12 Was the research and development department 12 13 essentially left to set its own priorities, get on 13 14 with its own work or was it directed from above by 14 15 15 Mr Watt or Dr Cash or you? 16 I think probably all of those people that you have 16 Α. 17 mentioned, including myself, had a very close working 17 18 relationship with the R&D department. Dr Foster was 18 19 19 a very able leader. He was very competent. He had 20 an excellent track record of innovation and careful 20 work, but in terms of giving direction to the R&D 21 21 22 department, that would certainly have come from people 22 23 like Mr Watt, Professor Cash and latterly myself when 23 24 I took over as director. 24 25 25 Would it be right to say you might well have been

when you became director, you wouldn't have had much 1 2 knowledge or involvement in funding issues prior to 3 that?

4

5

6

7

8

9

25

- A. I might have had some understanding of financial issues. John -- Mr Watt was very open and he involved myself quite closely with his operational managers so you might have expressed frustration about delays in funding and so on but I would not have been closely involved I don't think.
- 10 In terms of funding for research and development, was 11 there a particular budget for that to your knowledge?
- 12 There was not a ring-fenced budget. It was part of 13 the overall PFC operational budget. It had its own 14 staff who were -- so there would have been a staffing 15 budget for the Department but I don't think -- but 16 that would have been a local -- so for instance if we 17 wanted to bring somebody from manufacturing and put 18 them in R&D then that would have been a perfectly 19 legitimate and easy thing for me or Mr Watt to have 20
- 21 Q. Now, if we could go to page 9 of the statement, 22 I think it is the next page in fact, bottom of the 23 next page. You describe the remit of the PFC as 24 follows in paragraph 29 of your statement:

"At the time of my appointment to SNBTS in 1981

involved in discussions with Dr Foster whilst you were quality control inspector, but in terms of trying to give any steer or direction as to how the R&D department should organise itself or prioritise particular projects over another, that wouldn't really have fallen to you until 1984 onwards?

- No, I would have had an awareness of what Dr Foster was doing. We worked closely together. It was a relatively small centre, the PFC, so we had daily contact and daily conversations I think.
- Q. And then we can take I think the remaining three departments rather more quickly. Engineering: so responsibility for all aspects of building, plant and equipment maintenance. Project engineering: responsible for specialist engineering support, IT, et cetera, and then administration and business support services.

Now, you have told us in your statement, and I think we heard elsewhere, that in terms of the PFC's funding, that came through the Common Services Agency?

That is correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You have said in your statement you don't recall funding being denied for key developments or upgrading. Would it be right to understand you are really talking there of the period from 1984 onwards

> the remit of PFC was to manufacture and supply a range of plasma derived products (Albumin, Coagulation Factor products and Immunoglobulin products as well as anticoagulant and infusion fluids) ..."

Just pausing there, we have heard about albumin, coagulation factor products, of course, and immunoglobulin. Very briefly, what does the reference to anti-coagulant and infusion fluids encompass?

- I think this was a project which was initiated by my 10 predecessor, Mr Watt, and he felt the facilities that 11 existed at PFC were not only capable of making plasma products but we had the ability and the expertise and 12 13 the knowledge to make infusion fluids such as sodium 14 chloride injection and just sterile aqueous solutions 15 that are used for patient treatment, but also 16 preparation of specialist anti-coagulant solutions for 17 use in the blood transfusion service.
- 18 Q. Then continuing with the sentence:
  - "... for the treatment of patients throughout Scotland. In the early to mid 1980s this remit was expanded to include bulk collection of plasma from Northern Ireland Blood Service and manufacture and supply to Northern Ireland of plasma products from this plasma."

Then you say in the next paragraph this, which

(6) Pages 21 - 24

I just wanted to ask you a little bit more about: products. 2 2 "It was considered (particularly by So if there was an enquiry about a particular 3 3 Professor Cash) an important principle that the product, that would go to the regional transfusion 4 distribution to Health Boards, hospitals and 4 centre and that would be fielded by either the 5 Haemophilia Centres of manufactured plasma products 5 director or a nominated medical consultant that was 6 should only be via SNBTS (and Northern Ireland) 6 employed by each individual RTC. 7 Regional Transfusion Centres, which should be 7 So, whatever PFC produced would be distributed by PFC 8 8 to the Regional Transfusion Centre for onward responsible for their onward distribution to Health 9 Board hospitals and Haemophilia Centres. This 9 distribution by the Regional Transfusion Centre to 10 arrangement was designed to reinforce the role of RTC 10 a Haemophilia Centre or to a hospital? 11 medical staff for the professional and operational 11 Absolutely. That's exactly what happened. 12 liaison with prescribing doctors in Health Boards. 12 Which -- in practice, would that mean that the PFC had 13 This principle and practice was maintained throughout 13 limited ties, directly, then, with the clinicians who 14 the period of plasma product supply from PFC." 14 were prescribing and using its products? 15 Why was it that Professor Cash in particular 15 Yes, I think we did have very limited -- I wouldn't 16 thought this was such an important principle? 16 call it limited access but the main route of 17 A. My understanding, which was quite clear, he was very 17 communication on issues associated with plasma 18 clear on this point and he often went to great lengths 18 products would have always been initially via the 19 to actually reinforce it, I think his view was that 19 Regional Transfusion Centre and the nominated 20 everything to do with blood and plasma products was 20 consultant for whatever the product was. 21 part of the umbrella term of "transfusion medicine", 21 If they felt the need to involve somebody from 22 and we had centres with consultant medics and other 22 PFC in responding to that, then we were quite free to 23 medical staff and scientific staff and he felt very 23 do that, but it was a very important principle, 24 much that they should be involved as an interface 24 underscored by Professor Cash on numerous occasions. 25 25 between the manufacturer and the ultimate users of the And so everything that we produced went through the Regional Transfusion Centre. because everything went through the Regional 1 2 2 Q. Does it follow from that that at the PFC you would Transfusion Centre? 3 have, again, a relatively limited knowledge and 3 I think we knew -- we knew sufficient for our purposes 4 understanding of what the approaches to product usage 4 where the products went. We knew albumin was 5 were in the individual Haemophilia Centres or, indeed, 5 distributed by the Regional Transfusion Centres to 6 the hospitals utilising your products? 6 most hospitals because it is used so widely in patient Well, the arrangement I described didn't preclude 7 7 care, for acute emergencies and so on. We would have 8 conversations between myself and Haemophilia Directors 8 9 or anybody else in the PFC, and indeed they took place 9 certainly knew where the haemophilia treatment 10 fairly frequently. Through those channels. But also 10 products were sent and located, and we -- I --11 through the close relationships we had with the 11 although, as I have said, PFC was very much the 12 Regional Transfusion Centres, both the directors and 12 wholesaler to the Regional Transfusion Centres, we had 13 the scientific and medical staff employed by them, 13 a fairly good knowledge, geographical knowledge, of 14 I think we had quite a good idea of the nature of the 14 where the products went and how they were used. 15 15 In relation specifically to Factor VIII and Factor IX

products we made and what their purpose and use was. Then, is it right to understand that the PFC itself Q. had no medical staff amongst its employees, apart from Dr Cash as the overall director of -- the national medical director of SNBTS?

20 That is correct, he was the de facto medical adviser 21 or medical director of PFC.

16

17

18

19

22 Do you have any recollection as to what proportion of 23 concentrates produced by PFC would end up being

24 distributed to hospitals other than Haemophilia

25 Centres, or is that something you simply wouldn't know known where the immunoglobulin products went. And we

concentrates, did you have any sense of the extent to 16 17 which they were being used outside of Haemophilia 18 Centres? I don't mean for home treatment, I mean like 19 hospitals other than Haemophilia Centres --

20 Coagulation factor products, I think I knew at 21 a fairly early stage that -- well, Factor VIII would 22 only be used in the context of haemophilia. I don't 23 think there are any other uses for Factor VIII, so 24 I think it was quite clear that that was only used for 25 haemophilia care.

28

(7) Pages 25 - 28

1		Factor IX or the three factor the	1		a substantial amount of work required to bring the
2		Factor II, IX and X product, DEFIX, I was certainly	2		facilities up to modern GMP standards. And I would
3		aware, and others were, that that was also used for	3		say that the modern GMP standards as I've defined were
4		anticoagulant reversal therapy in some patients.	4		established after the commissioning of the PFC and
5	Q.	Did the PFC ever play any part in the ordering,	5		certainly well beyond the date on which the facility
6		selecting, storing or distributing of any commercial	6		was designed in the late 1960s.
7		factor concentrates?	7	Q.	Is that, therefore, your understanding of why, only
8	A.	No.	8		five years into the life of the building, there was
9	Q.	Can I then ask you a little about the facilities and	9		this pretty critical report by the inspectors, which
10		the premises of the PFC when you arrived in 1981.	10		might have been less surprising in a building that had
11		Can you give us a brief tour of what the	11		been in existence since the 1950s but might, at first
12		physical premises comprised?	12		blush, be thought surprising in relation to a Building
13	A.	From 1981?	13		that opened in 1975?
14	Q.	In 1981, when you arrived, yes.	14	A.	I think the facility as it was established in the
15	A.	It was a facility that had been established and	15		original design, and I think Mr Watt shared this view
16		commissioned in 1975. It had been designed at	16		and his disappointment that during the phases of
17		a substantially earlier date than that. So when	17		building and approval of funding, I think there were
18		I arrived in 1981 it was clear that it was technically	18		economies that were required to bring the cost of the
19		quite an advanced operation. It had this quite unique	19		facility down and what suffered was storage
20		method of fractionation, the continuous small volume	20		facilities, general pharmaceutical manufacturing
21		mixing system which was fairly unique to Scotland, and	21		areas, but they were all cut down to a minimum size.
22		the associated IT systems that were necessary to drive	22		And I think the consequence of that was that very
23		that. But otherwise I think my early impressions,	23		soon, very early on, particularly as plasma supply
24		perhaps informed by the Medicines Inspector's report	24		began to increase in the 1980s, that they were found
25		of the facility in 1979/1980 was that there was	25		to be inadequate to meet the needs of a modern
		29			30
1		pharmaceutical facility.	1		standard operation procedures is generally acceptable.
2	Q.	·	2		"A major effort regarding those aspects is now
3		Inspectors. So a visit that took place by the time	3		coming to fruition."
4		you were in post.	4		So that was the work you were focusing on in
5	A.	Yes.	5		1981?
6	Q.	So it is BNOR0000572. It is not the clearest document	6	A.	That is right. I think that's where my initial focus
7		to read but we can see at the top of the page it	7		was applied, because it was something that could be
8		refers to an "Inspectorate report on the current	8		done without additional funding or building
9		status at the Protein Fractionation Centre, Edinburgh	9		modifications and so on.
10		as of October 1 1981". So you were in post at this	10	Q.	Then there are two major exceptions to that noted.
11		point in time?	11		Then 4.2 then turns to deficiencies regarding
12	A.	I was, yes.	12		buildings and facilities. It says:
13	Q.	If we go over the page. We can see under the heading	13		"Firm proposals to remedy those deficiencies
14		"General Comments", the bottom half of the page, there	14		regarding buildings and facilities as reported in the
15		is reference to your appointment and that there had	15		first inspection are still awaited, with dates of
16		been "progress towards a" and then we miss a word,	16		implementation."
17		perhaps "fully integrated Quality Assurance system",	17		Then paragraph 4.3 if we can go down a tiny
18		and that had been progressed.	18		bit, Sully:
19		If we just go, please, to page 7, and the	19		"The present buildings and facilities continue
20		"Conclusions". Again, we can see if we pick it up	20		to fail to reach minimum standards of GMP, and
21		under the heading "Conclusions" at the top of the page	21		a licence would not be recommended for an industrial
22		it says:	22		equivalent unless agreed upgradings agreed upon as
23		"Progress towards implementing necessary	23		a matter of urgency."
24		standards of CHP in general Quality Assurance matters	24		So, that would tend to suggest that the response
25		including provision of standard process documents and	25		to the first increation had been. I'm not suggesting

25

including provision of standard process documents and

(8) Pages 29 - 32

to the first inspection had been -- I'm not suggesting

1		this was necessarily the only thing done but your	1		by that stage I will have identified the need for
2		appointment focused on the quality assurance matters,	2		an automated bottle and vial dispensing and
3		but there were still a number of problems identified	3		sterilisation and dispensing facility, because those
4		in the first inspection regarding buildings and	4		activities were entirely manual in 1981 and that was
5		facilities that hadn't been addressed and that the	5		a clear and outstanding requirement to be rectified.
6		Inspectorate hadn't even had proposals for addressing.	6	Q.	Now, we understood from Dr Foster's evidence that the
7		Was that your understanding?	7	Œ.	original manufacturing licence, when it came to expire
8	A.	I think that's probably right. This was October.	8		in the early 1980s, was not renewed or in the sense
9	_	I think you	9		that a further application for a manufacture's licence
10	Q.	October '81, the first inspection having concluded in	10		was not submitted on the basis of advice to or from
11		January 1980. So over 18 months on.	11		SHHD in reliance upon Crown immunity.
12	A.	Absolutely. Well, when I arrived in 1981 I don't	12	A.	Yes.
13		think there was any action being taken in response to	13	Q.	What's your understanding of the position and why that
14		the Medicines Inspector's report. It was the	14		happened?
15		beginning of a fairly long road to get the to	15	A.	My understanding is similar to Dr Foster's. I think
16		identify the operational solutions to the criticisms	16		SHHD were, at that time I think through the chief
17		to get the funding, to create the necessary close down	17		pharmacist of SHHD were very anxious that we didn't
18		periods, shut down periods at PFC to implement these.	18		step outside our authority, which was granted by the
19		I think by then I would have had a fairly good	19		Secretary of State for Scotland. So his view was that
20		idea on what needed to be done, and I think there may	20		we had the authority to do what we did directly from
21		have already been some work in progress for areas	21		the Secretary of State from Scotland and there was no
22		where simple changes could be made, like labelling and	22		requirement to go through central licensing and
23		packaging areas and so on, but I think one of the	23		processing systems. We thought this was an appalling
24		first things I did was to improve the arrangements.	24		idea but it was what SHHD's position was at the time.
25		But I don't think this happened in October 1981. But 33	25	Q.	Is it right to understand that from the point of 34
		55			<b>3</b> -
		and the first section of the section of the	,		
1		expiry of the first manufacturer's licence and I'm	1		quite a sustained battle, I think, with SHHD and CSA
2		afraid I don't have the precise date, but presumably	2		to get the necessary funding at the right time.
3		around 1981, I think it was a five-year	3		Eventually it came through but that process probably
4	Α.	I think it was earlier than that, the first oh, the	4		took and it was a continuous process and carried
5		manufacturing licence?	5		out through the 1980s until the early 1990s, where
6	Q.	The manufacturing licence.	6		there was a very substantial investment in the centre
7	A.	I'm sorry, yes. Yes.	7		to increase warehousing and various other essential
8	Q.	So whatever the precise date, which I am sure we can	8		buildings.
9		establish, from that point until, would it be then,	9	Q.	And there was a shut down for around three months at
10		the early 1990s, PFC did not have the manufacturer's	10		the end of 1984
11		licence, it relied instead on Crown immunity?	11	A.	That is correct.
12	A.	I think that is correct.	12	Q.	for building works. Was that the longest period of
13	Q.	In practical terms, and in relation to the	13		shut down up until that point or had there been
14		deficiencies that the Inspectors had identified with	14		earlier periods of shut down for
15		regard to buildings and facilities, to what extent	15	A.	I think there would have been earlier periods of shut
16		were those addressed and when?	16	Λ.	down and they may have been shorter, but they may have
	Α	They were addressed throughout the 1980s. It was	17		been around about the same time. We were able to do
17	Α.				
18		a continuous process, involving numerous refits to the	18	^	it in 1984 because we had such good stocks of product.
19		organisation which had to be done in small pieces	19	Q.	Yes. We will certainly be coming onto the issue of
20		of activity because we couldn't afford to close the	20		the surplus or stockpile and how that was built up and
21		facility down for a year to do a complete rebuild and	21		what was done with it. Just on the conditions at the

23

24

25

PFC. If we could look at one of the written

topic. PRSE0001919.

statements please to the Penrose Inquiry on this

We can see this is a statement entitled,

22

23

24

25

requirement.

refit of the organisation. So it was a progressive

generally inhibited the activities of PFC, it was

And although I've said I didn't think funding

(9) Pages 33 - 36

3

4

5

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Conditions at PFC, Liberton during the 1980s", and it is a joint response prepared by you, Dr Foster and Dr Cuthbertson, and we can see the context of it from the first main paragraph. You had been asked -- well, I'm not sure whether you had been asked to or whether you were addressing because you wanted to, comments that Professor Cash had made in a letter in July 1988 which we looked at I think in earlier Inquiry hearings.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

7

9

20

21

22

23

24

25

For present purposes can we just turn to page 3, paragraph 1.2, where the joint response says this:

"Since 1980 and in particular following the [Medicines]] Inspection of 79/80 (by Mr John Flint and Dr John Purves), the PFC had consistently identified, documented and communicated its concerns (to the CSA and the SHHD) regarding facilities and staffing arrangements at the PFC. In particular, the inescapable requirement for a major building extension in the light of anticipated major increases in plasma throughput to meet self-sufficiency targets, a wider product portfolio and increasingly complex manufacturing processes. The requirement for these developments and investments was reinforced by comments and reports from (informal) [Medicines Inspectorate] inspections and visits."

I think good examples are, I think others have 2 mentioned this had as well, that -- well, first of 3 all, I think we were blessed with having people like Dr Foster and Dr Cuthbertson who were basically 5 committed to the National Health Service and the work 6 we were doing in PFC. So we weren't looking to replace people like that. They were perfectly --8 well, they were more than fit for purpose, as it were. But I think in many other areas of activity of PFC, 10 particularly employing people, skilled, trained 11 operators who had experience within a pharmaceutical 12 manufacturing environment, simply didn't fit the 13 definitions the Whitley Council prescribed. Because 14 the Whitley Council prescribed the qualifications and 15 the experience you needed to become a medical 16 laboratory scientific officer, and we didn't need 17 medical laboratory scientific officers. We needed 18 pharmaceutical operatives and so on. 19

Q. Then just continuing:

> "By 1987, little progress had been made in gaining recognition by either the CSA or the SHHD of the need for further substantial investment at PFC."

Then you go on to refer to a letter you wrote which we can look at I think at a later stage if we need to, in October 1987, setting out your concerns.

Just pausing there. Is it right to understand from the reference there in brackets to informal, that although you had no manufacturing licence at PFC in the early 1980s, there was a degree of further involvement on a voluntary informal basis?

6 Yes, we had quite a good and constructive and almost 7 collegiate relationship with the inspectors and they 8 were certainly as enthusiastic as we were to assist in 9 developing the facilities and fixing the problems that 10 still existed

> So we I think from my memory of those years, I think we very much welcomed the continuous and the continued involvement of the inspectors in our activities

Q. Then picking it up:

> "Between 1980 and 1987 significant improvements and investment in equipment, facilities and quality systems had been made, but on-site storage facilities remained too small and the Centre was constrained in its ability to recruit appropriately skilled and qualified staff by the rigid application of NHS Whitley Council staff employment Regulations."

Again, just pausing there. In what areas of the PFC's activities were you having difficulties of recruitment?

38

We will look at the extent to which the PFC, 1 2 notwithstanding the constraints described here, was 3 able to increase production, essentially achieve 4 self-sufficiency in domestic products for Scotland. 5 And indeed build up a surplus which was distributed in 6 part as I understand it to BPL. But would it be right 7 to understand, looking at this, that had there been 8 further substantial investment in terms of both 9 facilities and staff in the PFC, that could presumably 10 have resulted in even more by way of production of 11 factor concentrates and other plasma products? 12 I think probably the answer is yes, it would have 13 needed a fair degree of planning and building up 14 15

stocks, because one of the key underlying principles of operation of the PFC and the SNBTS was that there would be continuous supply of products from voluntary donors from Scotland. We didn't have the option of closing down for a year and not supplying because that would have been a failure, so I think even in an ideal world we would have had to have done it as a progressive programme of work. I think the main -one of the main constraints was about staffing arrangements and this was a dominant theme throughout the 1980s because it was always envisaged that the PFC would run on a continuous basis using the continuous

(10) Pages 37 - 40

,		
1		small volume mixing system, and to do that sensibly.
2		And to run the downstream processes following the
3		initial fractionation, we were constrained by the
4		requirement to shoehorn those into an eight-hour
5		working day and sometimes manufacturing processes
6		don't usefully fit. So it constrained our activities.
7		It actually constrained some of the development
8		programmes as well because they had to be adapted to
9		basically fit the Whitley Council employment
10		conditions for staff working at the facility.
11	Q.	If we then just go to your statement to this Inquiry,
12		WITN6920001, page 24. I just want to look briefly
13		with you at the range of products produced by the PFC.
14		This is specifically in relation to factor
15		concentrates. So if we just zoom in please on the
16		table. Again, just so that we understand what was and
17		wasn't being produced, you have got there:
18		"1956, Factor VIII concentrate (Cohn fraction
19		I), freeze dried.
20		"1966, Cryoprecipitate
21		Then, 1968, the first freeze-dried Factor IX
22		concentrate, is that right, being produced by SNBTS?
23	A.	Yes.
24	Q.	Referred to as PPSB?
25	A.	Yes.
		41

Q. Then 1971 we see DEFIX. Is it right to understand
 DEFIX remained the Factor IX concentrate then that the
 PFC produced over the following years?
 A. It did, yes. That's right, yes.
 Q. Then 1974 we have the first Factor VIII concentrate

6 being produced; is that right?

 $7 \hspace{0.5cm} \textbf{A.} \hspace{0.5cm} \textbf{That's my understanding, yes.} \\$ 

8 Q. NY?

9 A. Yes.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10 Q. Then NY remained the name of SNBTS' Factor VIII
11 product until we get to Z8 in the second half of the
12 '80s?

13 A. Yes, that is correct.

14 **Q.** We can see that just at the top of the next page.15 Obviously there was then heating.

16 A. There was heat treatment programmes, yes.

17 Q. If we go to the next page.

So then we can see that the dry heated DEFIX referred to as "modified DEFIX", and the "modified NY", and then the production of Z8, April 1987.

Then I don't think I need to ask you about any of the later products, such as Liberate or HIPFIX.

Can I turn -- sorry, we can take that down, thank you -- to ask you a little bit about the PFC's relationship with other organisations and bodies.

So, starting with the regional transfusion 1 2 services in Scotland, is it right to understand that 3 PFC was essentially an equal partner in terms of the 4 structure of SNBTS with each of the regional 5 transfusion services? 6 I think that's correct, yes. The director of PFC always sat with the other directors at the director's 7 8 meetings, the coordinating group meetings, the 9 meetings of Haemophilia Centre Directors and so, yes, 10 it was a fully integrated and I think although it 11 did -- the nature of this work was quite different to 12 an RTC, it was a fully integrated part of the 13 management systems within SNBTS. 14 Q. With Professor Cash as the -- or Dr Cash, later 15 Professor Cash, as the National Medical Director, 16 essentially in overarching control and to whom the 17 directors of the regional transfusion services and 18 then the director of the PFC could all report? 19 It wasn't quite like that and this was always Α. 20 a frustration for Professor Cash and I think to an extent Regional Transfusion Centre directors, that 21 22 I think each of them were like myself responsible to 23 the Committee of Management of the Common Services 24 Agency.

So in many senses they were -- they had

25

an independent -- they could have operated 2 an independent -- independently of Professor Cash. In 3 practice they never did and Professor Cash I think 4 often expressed his concern and frustration that he 5 didn't have this line management authority. But 6 I think he was a highly consultative individual and 7 sought to get agreement and 99% of the time did get 8 agreement with Regional Transfusion Centre directors 9 and the PFC director on key issues that emerged from 10 time to time.

Q. Now, obviously, PFC's relationship with the regional
 transfusion services in Scotland was, as you described
 it, was different from the relationship between BPL in
 England and Wales and its relationship with the
 regional transfusion services of England and Wales.

Were there particular advantages or disadvantages to the system in Scotland in your mind?

A. Well, as it operated, certainly in the 1980s and perhaps for the duration of my employment, it always seemed to me to be the sensible way to run an organisation. I think in the early 1980s, it wasn't quite a truly national service. Individual centres had some freedom to act under the direction of the medical directors for the individual regions but

for the large part, 99% of the time, it did operate 44

(11) Pages 41 - 44

and function very much as a national service. 2 And I think that provided the opportunity and 3 the ability to develop the programmes it did and the 4 reason, for example, in 1984, that the SNBTS had 5 managed to develop large product stocks was that --6 the basis for that was set in the mid-1970s, when 7 Dr Cash as he was then was director of the Southeast 8 Scotland Blood Transfusion Centre and he instituted 9 a major programme to convert doctors and clinicians 10 and surgeons to the use of packed red cells instead of 11 whole blood. I know it is a long distance between that and self-sufficiency but it is the fundamental 12 13 building block, or it was in the 1980s, for 14 self-sufficiency. 15

So those programmes he was able to -- and when he became National Medical Director he reinforced those programmes and I think was very instrumental and successful in moving Scotland into an area where it used predominantly packed red cells and whole blood became a very rare product and it was that that created the ability for PFC to build the stocks that it did during the early 1980s.

Q. You as director of PFC, Mr Watt as your predecessor,
 attended regular meetings with the other SNBTS
 directors; is that right?

45

basically a mini conference with the scientific and senior technical staff. I think latterly that became a fixed activity and it developed into — initially, it was run by the scientists themselves with the blessing of Professor Cash and regional doctors and so on.

It subsequently turned into a combined scientific meeting but also a social gathering for SNBTS staff. It was usually held at weekends and individuals, just to give some measure of the importance of it, I think individuals were required to pay to go to it actually. But it was a very important annual meeting of the whole of the SNBTS team.

- 14 Q. Then in terms of the involvement of Northern Ireland,
  15 Dr McClelland, Dr Morris McClelland, once the
  16 arrangement with Northern Ireland was established, he
  17 effectively joined the SNBTS directors meetings; is
  18 that right?
- 19 **A**. Yes.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. What about the relationship between the PFC and the
   SHHD? First of all, in practical terms, what was the
   nature of the relationship? How often were there
   interactions with the SHHD?
- A. It varied over time. I think certainly throughout my
   tenure within SNBTS there were annual meetings of the

A. Yes.

2 Q. Were they quarterly, roughly?

3 I think they were quarterly or as required there would 4 have been ad hoc meetings called of -- well, there 5 were, I think from memory they were -- the directors 6 meetings, of which the representatives from SHHD would 7 attend, usually the medical officer, I think they were 8 quarterly. I think they were more regular 9 coordinating group meetings and, as the title 10 suggests, the coordinating group was designed to allow 11 the National Medical Director to coordinate and, where 12 necessary, standardise the activities of all the 13 Regional Transfusion Centres.

- 14 Q. There was something called Scot Blood which15 I understand to be an annual conference?
- 16 A. Yes

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 17 Q. Who organised that and what kind of issues in the18 early '80s would it look at?
- A. I think the genesis of the Scot Blood meeting was with the scientific staff of SNBTS felt, I think it was probably as far back as in the 1970s, that it would be a good idea if they organised an annual conference or an annual meeting, probably not a conference then, but an annual meeting where all the scientists in the SNBTS throughout Scotland could get together and have

annual SNBTS and Haemophilia Directors' Group which was very instrumental in driving forward the programme for provision of haemophilia -- a product for treating haemophilia. And that was always either chaired or certainly attended by senior medical staff and other officials from SHHD.

From that group a coagulation factor working party I think it was called, this is different from Professor Cash's coagulation factor study group, this was an annual -- the working party was established to take forward some of the output from the annual meeting and develop it into operational activity.

And I think, as I say, during my tenure I think it was Professor Ludlam who chaired that and that would have also been attended by medical staff from SHHD. So they were closely appraised of what was happening within SNBTS with respect to the provision of haemophilia treatment products.

- 19 Q. In the course of the 1980s who were the officials from
   20 SHHD that you recall having dealings with on --
- A. Dr Bell, Dr Burt Bell, who was a great enthusiast for
   self-sufficiency, I recall. And I think he was partly
   instrumental in setting up the annual meeting of
   haemophilia directors and SNBTS directors. There were
   a number of other -- Dr Forester, who was not

48

(12) Pages 45 - 48

1		associated with us for very long but he was the SHHD
2		person, and I think latterly throughout the 1980s it
3		was Dr Keel. Dr Aileen Keel from SHHD. There may
4		have been others but
5	Q.	And do you have any particular recollections of the
6		relationship between SHHD, whether it is any of those
7		individuals you have identified or others, and Dr Cash
8		and whether there were any difficulties in the
9		relationship there?
10	A.	Well, there was frequent contact between
11		Professor Cash and SHHD at a fairly senior level and
12		I think, in truth, they didn't always agree. There
13		was I think Professor Cash was always trying to
14		drive the service forward, particularly in terms of
15		self-sufficiency and introduction of donation testing
16		and issues like that. And there was not often but
17		occasionally they had slightly they were facing in
18		different directions. And I think this became evident

Q. We will certainly pick up that particular issue at
 49

maybe that's not the way forward.

during the late 1980s, early '90s, for the

introduction of hepatitis C testing, so -- where

I think SHHD were quite clear this had to be

implemented on a single date for the whole of the UK

and I think others, including Professor Cash, thought

limited, if essentially the expectation was that SHHDwould follow the DHSS's line?

As my statement says, that is my recollection. I can't recall any situations or circumstances where SHHD took a different approach to a product safety -- a blood safety issue. They were always step in step with DHSS. Where they did diverge was the policy approach to self-sufficiency throughout the 1970s and 1980s where SHHD were always quite explicitly committed to I think what we would regard as the true definition of self-sufficiency, which was meeting all the needs of patients in Scotland for products from voluntary non-remunerated donors. That was the target. It wasn't to balance commercial supplies and so on, so there was a divergence of policy there.

So far as influencing the UK position, I think my recollection is that the SNBTS directors and senior scientific and medical staff were quite influential in those -- and there were many UK fora in which issues, particularly of blood safety and testing techniques, testing regimes, introduction of hepatitis C testing, and SNBTS were always well represented and always quite vociferous and quite influential in those meetings, so it's not correct to say we didn't have the ability to influence, I think we did, but it would

a later stage of your evidence.

Just more generally in relation to SHHD, can I ask you to look at PRSE0004392. This is one of your multiple written statements to the Penrose Inquiry. If we go to the second page. I just want to look at your -- question numbered 4 towards the top of the page. You were asked the question:

"Was it your experience that SHHD policy normally mirrored that of DHSS?"

Then we can see your reply:

"In my experience on matters relating to blood safety, product licensing and professional practices SHHD policy mostly, if not always, reflected that of DHSS."

Then you refer to the role of the licensing authority committee on the safety of medicines and decisions taken in that capacity applied equally to all UK territories.

Now, given your experience that the SHHD policy largely or mostly reflected DHSS policy, would it follow that notwithstanding the quite regular interaction that you describe between SNBTS and SHHD, the scope for SNBTS to influence national policy in Scotland and indeed in the wider UK or to influence matters on a national level may have been quite

still be within a UK context.

- Q. Just before we break, you rightly observe that there
   were SNBTS directors on a number of national
   committees, the Advisory Committee on the Virological
   Safety of Blood obviously being an example of that?
- A. And SACTTI and the Standing Advisory Committee on
   Transfusion Transmitted Disease and so on.
- 8 Q. SACTTI I think was later, 1989, probably -- well, in
   9 fact, I think they were both later. In any event, is
   10 it correct that when you sat in those committees you
   11 were there in, as it were, a personal capacity? You
   12 were not there as a representative of SNBTS or PFC?
- 13 A. Oh, this is my role in respect of ACVS --
- **Q**. Yes.

Yes, it was, to start with. My understanding is, yes, I was there in a personal capacity. I was not there to represent the SNBTS, but I was only there because I had skills and experience gained whilst in the employment of SNBTS. And the same is true of my membership of the Committee on Safety of Medicines Biological Subcommittee. I was there as an individual and forbidden, for obvious reasons, to divulge anything outside of that meeting that may have occurred.

MS RICHARDS: We will come back to that issue of the

(13) Pages 49 - 52

1	confidentiality of those types of meetings at a later	1		the relationship between Dr Cash and Dr Lane?
2	stage.	2	A.	Not a great deal. I think there was some they had
3	Sir, perhaps we could take the morning break?	3		conflicting views which I think probably arose from
4	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes, we will do that and come back	4		the discussions that took place in the 1970s and
5	at 11.50 am.	5		perhaps early '80s about how the overall supply of UK
6	Now this is the first break. Let me say to you	6		plasma should be fractionated in Scotland versus at
7	what I say to all witnesses either at the very	7		BPL.
8	beginning of their evidence or at the first break, and	8		But I attended meetings where they were both
9	it is this: you are giving evidence, you must not	9		present and there was always a constructive dialogue.
10	discuss the evidence you have given or, for that	10		I don't think they agreed on all issues but, as I said
11	matter, any evidence which you think you may later be	11		about Mr Watt, they were both strong personalities and
12	asked to give with anyone, whoever that anyone is.	12		had clear views on certain topics.
13	But you can talk about anything else you like.	13	Q.	Then we have heard evidence that at an informal level,
14	A. Thank you, I understand.	14		and I have in mind the written evidence of Dr Smith
15	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: 11.50 am.	15		and then the written and oral evidence of Dr Foster
16	(11.20 am)	16		and Dr Snape, that there were good informal links and
17	(A short break)	17		communications between, for example, those working on
18	(11.50 am)	18		research and development in PFC and those working on
19	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes.	19		research and development in BPL.
20	MS RICHARDS: Dr Perry, still on the topic of the PFC's	20		What was the nature of your own interactions
21	relationship with other bodies, I wanted to ask you	21		with BPL? Who did you tend to have dealings with?
22	next about the relationships with BPL.	22	A.	I had dealings with Dr Snape, Dr Smith, Dr Smith's
23	First of all, on a personal level, we have heard	23		co-worker, Dr Winkelman, Dr Lane. Although probably
24	evidence about the relationship between Mr Watt and	24		more frequently with Dr Smith and Dr Snape than
25	Dr Lane, do you have any particular recollections of	25		Dr Lane. But I had fairly regular, though not
	53			54
1	frequent, contact with Dr Lane and of course I met him	1		Services for formal cooperation concerning joint
2	periodically at meetings and we would always have	2		product development programmes."
3	constructive discussions I think.	3		Then if we just go over the page. You then set
4	Q. Now, if we just go to your statement to this Inquiry,	4		out, I don't need to go to any particular paragraph,
5	so WITN6920001, and if we go to page 15, bottom half	5		but you talk about your own relationships with BPL
6	of the page. You say in paragraph 46 sorry, let me	6		personnel and communications in particular between
7	pick it up in paragraph 45. You say there:	7		Dr Foster and Dr Smith.
8	" PFC considered its relationship with	8		If we go to the next page then, please, Sully,
9	BPL to be very important, although as separate units	9		and just look at paragraph 52. You say:
10	under different UK jurisdictions there were few, if	10		"There may have been some merit in a joint
11	any, mechanisms or fora for regular formal liaison and	11		approach for the development, production and supply of
12	collaboration. However there was a regular and	12		plasma products for the UK wide NHS (particularly for
13	productive scientific collaboration."	13		providing increased benefit of scale for PFC) but this
14	Then if we go to the next paragraph, please,	14		did not apparently enjoy the support of DHSS or SHHD
15	Sully. You reiterate in the opening sentence of	15		to the extent of serious consideration or study."
16	paragraph 46 you don't recall any formal liaison	16		Can you just assist us first of all with what
17	mechanisms between BPL and PFC:	17		you mean by the passage in brackets, referring to
18	" although Professor Cash was a consistent	18		providing increased benefit of scale for PFC?
19	and strong advocate for closer and more formal	19	A.	I think it was simply that the PFC, as it became set
20	cooperation between UK Blood Services concerning the	20	А.	up for Scotland and Northern Ireland, was a relatively
21	development of safer NHS products."	21		small fractionator in international terms. They
22	Then if we look at the last sentence on that	22		were certainly compared to BPL and certainly
23		23		compared to some of the larger units in France and the
24	page you say: "I am not aware of or cannot recall any formal	24		Netherlands and so on. And as with most endeavours of
25	mechanisms being established by DHSS/SHHD or the Blood	25		this type, increased scale creates greater efficiency.
20	medianisms being established by birios/orithb of the blood	20		ino typo, moreased soale oreates greater emolerity.

(14) Pages 53 - 56

56

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

18

19

20

21

24

25

1 So, I think PFC always felt, certainly during the 2 1970s and 1980s, that it would benefit not only PFC 3 but the UK as a whole to have a more equitable split 4 of plasma to supply to both PFC and BPL. 5 But by the time I'd arrived I think that 6 discussion -- I don't think it was concluded or it was 7 over, but it was certainly well advanced to the stage 8 where it seemed to me that Scotland would pursue its 9 programme of self-sufficiency for Scotland and with

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

well

Q. You have said in one of your Penrose statements --I am not going to put it up on screen but the reference is PRSE0001258, page 11 -- you observed that it would be difficult to argue against a case for the closest possible collaboration between two UK NHS services engaged in identical and unique activities.

the prospect of Northern Ireland coming on stream as

But as the statement we have just got on screen explains, your sense appears to be that there wasn't the support of either the Scottish Home and Health Department or the Department of Health in London for formal closer collaborative endeavours?

23 No, I think that was my sense when I joined the PFC, A. 24 that these discussions had been going on some time. 25 And I think there was the shift experiment that was

inactivated was non-A, non-B hepatitis.

It may well have been that in a more formal structured arrangement those involved in the discussions of the technology to choose may well have chosen pasteurisation, in which case I think the outcomes in terms of the speed with which both BPL, PFL and PFC developed their dry heat treated products would have been much slower.

- Q. If we leave aside the research and development element, and I understand your answer in that regard, but look at what the benefits might have been of a more formal, liaison in other terms, a more formal liaison or arrangement between Scotland, England and Wales and Northern Ireland might have resulted, might it not, in increased overall production of domestic concentrates?
- 16 17 A. Yes, I'm not absolutely clear in my mind whether the 18 constraint on BPL's output was capacity or plasma 19 supply or perhaps a combination of both. But I think 20 PFC certainly took the view that it did have spare capacity or it could -- spare capacity could have been 21 22 developed fairly quickly with additional resources, 23 freeze dryers and so on, but the central processing 24 technology had the capacity to produce more product. 25 So, yes, I think, is the answer to that question.

done to still establish whether or not PFC could increase its throughput and so on, but I -- I have actually thought about this, and the first point I would make is I think the arrangements that we had, the "informal arrangements" as they are called, were highly productive. And it may well have been, in the context of haemophilia product development, that a more formal arrangement for collaborative working between BPL and PFL and PFC may not have improved the outcome, for example, and I am sure we will come on to it, heat treatment.

I think at BPL and PFL, under Dr Jim Smith's leadership, they chose to go down a dry heat treatment route and we, in the early stages of our heat treatment programme, wanted to do pasteurisation because we thought that was the best technology to adopt in terms of non-A, non-B hepatitis.

I think it is possible to speculate that had there been a formal arrangement, then, it could well have been that both organisations might have chosen to simply pursue pasteurisation because that was --I think Dr Jim Smith has mentioned this in his witness statement, that that was -- I think there was quite a strong consensus between PFL, BPL and PFC that that was the preferred technology if the target virus to be

- Q. And did you ever get the -- any sense of what 2 underpinned the lack of enthusiasm on the part of SHHD 3 and DHSS that you described for a closer joint 4 collaborative exercise?
- 5 A. No, I don't. I don't.
- 6 Q. Can I move then to relationships with fractionators 7 beyond BPL and PFL.

8 First of all, to what extent did PFC have links 9 with fractionation centres outside of the United 10 Kinadom?

11 A. It had -- well, I think Mr Watt set up -- who set up 12 the PFC and commissioned it and so on, he made it his 13 business to appraise himself of the work of many 14 fractionators. These were predominantly 15 not-for-profit organisations in Europe but there were 16 commercial organisations that he had dialogue with as 17 well

So that extended into my tenure as well and we had really quite excellent relationships with the Dutch Red Cross, the Finnish Red Cross, I think latterly the French fractionation facilities under the 22 CRTS, as it was then. So, yes, quite an extensive 23 network of contacts, predominantly in the not-for-profit sector.

In terms of the full profit sector, the commercial

(15) Pages 57 - 60

1		fractionators, the pharmaceutical companies producing
2		their Factor VIIIs and so on, to what extent was there
3		any relationship, formal or informal, between PFC and
4		those pharmaceutical companies?
5	A.	I think we knew who the commercial companies were and
6		I think we knew some of the key, particularly
7		scientific staff involved in those activities.
8		I don't recall there ever being a free exchange of
9		information. I think, as so many others have said,
10		the commercial sector kept their processes and their
11		know-how very closely guarded secrets, for obvious
12		commercial reasons. So although we would have
13		dialogue, we had a broad general understanding of what
14		they are doing but we never I don't think we ever
15		accessed at any deep level a scientific understanding
16		of their processes and how they made them work.
17	Q.	It might be said, having regard of Dr Foster's
18		evidence, that a picture emerged of being able to
19		glean bits and pieces here and there at international
20		conferences on a small pieces of a jigsaw?
21	A.	Yes.
22	Q.	It would presumably, in principle, have been
23		enormously helpful if there had been a more extensive
24		or formal process for the exchange of information?
25	A.	Kind of global co-operation.

 Kind of global co-operation. Q. Did you ever for example get the minutes of the 2 meetings of the Regional Transfusion Directors of

3

England and Wales?

4 A. I think I probably did see them, yes. 5 Q. Would that have been through Professor Cash? 6 That would have been through Professor Cash. Can I then turn to relationships with Haemophilia 7 8 Centres and Haemophilia Centre Directors. We have 9 obviously touched on that in relation to Scotland 10 because of your earlier evidence about 11 Professor Cash's principle of everything going through 12 the Regional Transfusion Centres. 13 Did you have a closer relationship with a degree 14 of interaction with the Haemophilia Centre in 15 Edinburgh for reasons of geography, geographical 16 proximity than other services or was there no real 17 difference in Scotland? 18 I think it is probably true to say we did have 19 a slightly closer relationship with the Centre in 20 Edinburgh. It was adjacent to the transfusion service 21 in Edinburgh and it was literally five miles from 22 the PFC. And Professor Ludlam was the chair of the 23 Coagulation Factor Working Party. So, yes, we did 24 have a close working relationship. But that wasn't to 25 the exclusion of other haemophilia directors.

Q. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

25

Well, yes. But I think, for reasons that I have described -- the not-for-profit sector was much more like a family in Europe. They weren't competing. The national services in France, Finland, Holland, the UK, weren't competing with each other for markets so there was a much freer exchange of scientific information and co-operation.

The commercial sector were fiercely competitive with each other and still are. So they guarded their proprietary information and know-how, as I say, very closely. They were closely kept secrets. So there was never in my view any real prospect of accessing their information and if we did -- if one did then more often than not it would be patented. So not accessible for the public sector to utilise.

- 17 Now, moving then back to England and Wales but now the 18 regional transfusion services in England and Wales. 19 Is it right to understand that at the PFC you didn't 20 have much by way of dealings or interactions with the 21 Regional Transfusion Directors in England and Wales?
- 22 I think that's a fair summary, yes. I think we knew 23 many of the regional directors and they knew us but 24 these were informal contacts met at conferences and 25 meetings.

62

Q. In relation to the Haemophilia Centres in England and 2 Wales, we will come on to Northern Ireland in 3 a moment, there was no particular tie or, as 4 I understand it, regular degree of interaction other 5 than through a degree of PFC attendance at the annual 6 meetings of the UK Haemophilia Centre Directors? 7 I think that is correct. I think that's true. 8 Q. And I think we see you attending UKHCDO meetings 9 fairly early on in your PFC career, October 1981, and 10 you were there again, I think, in '82 and '83? 11 A. Yes. 12 What was the thinking behind having PFC represented at 13 those meetings? 14 I think Mr Watt, who I reported to, felt it was a good A. 15 idea that I should be exposed to these meetings 16 because, in the absence of a formal training 17 programme, this was a very good way of learning what 18 the issues were and what the priorities were and what 19 the -- what was happening in haemophilia care. So 20 these were very important meetings. 21 I don't think I probably contributed greatly to 22 them but I certainly listened carefully and learnt 23 a great deal, at a personal level. But they were UK 24 meetings. So they were there to represent the

Scottish haemophilia directors and the Northern

(16) Pages 61 - 64

1	_	Ireland haemophilia directors as well.	1		the manufacturer's licence?
2	Q.	Then, specifically in relation to Northern Ireland,		Α.	This would have been prior to 1984?
3		you had contact with Dr Morris McClelland, as the		Q.	Yes.
4		Regional Transfusion Director, through his attendance		Α.	I think as I have said previously, I think both
5		at SNBTS meetings once the arrangement with Northern	5		Mr Watt and Professor Cash felt that formal regulatory
6		Ireland was established.	6		approval of PFC was an important objective, but
7		What about the Haemophilia Centre in Northern	7		I think certainly following the inspection by Flint
8		Ireland and the Haemophilia Centre Director, Dr Mayne,	8		and Purves in '79 and '80, I think there was probably
9		to what extent were there any interactions between the	9		a view taken by both Professor Cash and Mr Watt that
10		PFC and Dr Mayne or her centre?	10		it would unlikely an application for
11	A.	I never went to a Haemophilia Centre in Belfast but	11		a manufacturer's licence would have been unlikely to
12		I think as soon as PFC started processing Northern	12		have been granted at that stage.
13		Irish plasma and supplying them coagulation factors,	13		So the absence of the manufacturing licence
14		albumin and immunoglobulin products back,	14		became an important I guess I would call it
15		Elizabeth Mayne, I think it is correct that she joined	15		an important tool to try to get the funding that we
16		the annual meeting of Scottish Haemophilia Centre	16		felt we needed.
17		Directors and Transfusion Directors, but she certainly	17	Q.	Just for the benefit of others and the transcript,
18		attended the Coagulation Factor Working Party on	18		there is a reference to the views of Mr Watt and
19		a regular basis. So we had quite a close relationship	19		Dr Cash and their disagreement with the decision not
20		with Dr Mayne. She was a good colleague.	20		to renew the manufacturer's licence in a document put
21	Q.	Can I then come on to some aspects of regulation. We	21		together by Mr McIntosh for the Penrose Inquiry. I am
22		have obviously touched on the issue relating to the	22		not going to go to it given that it predates, I think,
23		manufacturer's licence. Just in relation to that, is	23		your involvement. PRSE0002556, page 16 is the
24		it right to understand that Dr Cash and Mr Watt,	24		reference for that.
25		neither of them agreed with the decision not to renew 65	25		But before I ask you a little about the process 66
4		in relation to product licenses. Livet want to cak	4		parties. I think there was I have seen desuments
1		in relation to product licences, I just want to ask	1		parties. I think there was I have seen documents
2		you about interactions with another regulatory body,	2		that have been sent to me, and I certainly recall, of
3		NIBSC, so the National Institute for Biological	3		an AIDS scientific working party that was established
4		Standards and Controls. Have I got that right?	4		by NIBSC.
5		What interactions did PFC have with NIBSC?	5		So NIBSC, I think, part of its vision, certainly
6	A.	We had, I guess in some senses, a formal relationship	6		in the early 1980s by its then director,
7		with the NIBSC, as the national control authority,	7		Geoffrey Schild, was to become much more integrated in
8		whose responsibility, amongst many other things, was	8		the work of the transfusion service, and the best way
9		to approve batches for release. I think latterly in	9		to do that was to set up working groups on scientific
10		our relationship to do virological testing, to test	10		issues.
11		product batches for potency and so on. So that was		Q.	Can we just look at your statement to this Inquiry.
12		the formal relationship and it was very close and	12		WITN6920001, page 13, please, Sully.
13		mirrored very much other organisations that had	13		I just wanted to ask you a little bit more about
14		products on the market in the UK.	14		paragraph 40. You described the PFC as being:
15		But there was also a I guess you would call	15		" required to submit samples of finished
16		it a more informal relationship between scientists in	16		products, intermediates and plasma pools for control
17		PFC, and the wider SNBTS, with scientists at NIBSC.	17		testing and batch release to NIBSC as the national
18		For example, Dr Cuthbertson was quite closely involved	18		control laboratory."
19		with NIBSC in developing assay techniques for testing	19		Can you just help us understand a little more
20		for virological markers in plasma products and	20		about how that process worked. So what samples would
21		adapting test systems that were available and designed	21		be submitted to NIBSC and at what point in the or
22		for single donation use to assay systems that would be	22		what stage in the life cycle of a product would that

24

25

take place?

A. I can certainly explain what it meant, and what

I can't give you is a timescale for the various

23

24

25

sufficiently sensitive for testing plasma product. So

And I think NIBSC set up various working

that is an example of the sort of collaboration.

(17) Pages 65 - 68

changes that took place. But in the very early stages of this, I think samples of PFC product would be submitted to NIBSC for Factor VIII potency testing, for example, to check that the potency that we were assigning to the product matched their determination. And that was important because finding assays that were suitable for plasma products was not always easy. So there was an ongoing collaboration in that area.

I think as time went on there was a requirement for -- following the introduction of HIV testing and probably hepatitis B testing, we were required -- or we did -- perhaps we weren't required because we were under -- we were operating under Crown immunity, but we took the view that we should engage and integrate our activities with -- in common with the commercial sector and anyone else who is putting products on the market in the UK.

So the -- NIBSC, as I say, would determine whether or not our assay systems -- so we would send a sample of a batch of Factor VIII, for example and we had already tested it and we found it negative for HIV and negative for hepatitis B surface antigen. That finished product vial would go to NIBSC and they would test it and confirm the result.

And I think when this system operated, we

have perhaps been -- or maybe -- well, it talks about plasma pool, so it might have been part of a more informal collaboration on a scientific level. I don't -- on reflection, I don't recall there being any specific regulatory requirement submitting intermediate fractions for testing by NIBSC but we may well have done that as part of a scientific collaboration.

- Q. In terms of the contribution of NIBSC to virological safety, its ability to contribute really depended upon the availability of screening tests or tests for markers of a virus?
- Primarily virological markers, but it also had this important role of standardising Factor VIII assays. NIBSC, amongst the other things it did, it did create international standards for Factor VIII and it was always a matter of concern when a new international standard was coming out because at a stroke a change in the international standard could have reduced the measured yield in PFC products -- and this problem would have applied to BPL as well -- by as much as 20%

So they had this very important role of creating Factor VIII reference standards against which operational routine standards would be calibrated.

wouldn't have released the product until we had confirmation from NIBSC that they too had found the product to be negative in their assay systems.

Then I think it further developed into a phase of requiring plasma pool testing. So, we would take a sample of the plasma pool -- actually, strictly speaking, it was the cryosupernatant, not the plasma pool. There was a cryosupernatant, and that would be tested by PFC for virological markers, and a frozen sample to be sent to NIBSC and they would do -- they would test it for HIV, hepatitis B surface antigen, and eventually hepatitis C, in the early 1990s, when that test was introduced, and, similarly, the pool would be released.

Although, because of the nature of the process, we couldn't delay the processing of the pool until we got the result back from NIBSC, because the turnaround time was sometimes a fortnight, but it -- the pool would have to have been found negative for the finished product batch associated with the pool to be released for use.

- Q. And the reference to "intermediates", what's thata reference to, samples of intermediates?
- A. It could well have been that at some stages
   intermediate fractions, like Fraction II -- this would
   70

Q. Then, can we look at a letter that you wrote in
 late 1987. It was referred to in one of the extracts
 to your Penrose evidence that we looked at before the
 break.

It is at PRSE0000712, please, Sully.
So this is a letter you wrote 21 December 1987 to Mr Donald, the general manager at the Common Services Agency. It is headed "Product liability/personal liability". You say, picking it up in the second sentence:

"... I note that the Agency has not (and perhaps cannot) provided an absolute assurance that it will underwrite the activities of its employees at the Protein Fractionation Centre. I am also aware that professions such as pharmacists and doctors can arrange personal indemnity insurance. Unfortunately, the professions represented at PFC (including my own) do not have access to such facilities and thus I must conclude that in the face of existing rules of negligence and the new consumer protection laws, I (and my senior colleagues) remain exposed and vulnerable in an area of product manufacture which attracts considerable public attention."

Then just continuing with the next paragraph:
"Perhaps my sustained anxieties stem mainly from
72

(18) Pages 69 - 72

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1 the fact that the manufacturing activities of the 2 Centre are at a level substantially above that for 3 which the Centre was designed and there exist major 4 breaches of GMP in our day to day activities as 5 a consequence which can only be resolved by the 6 provision of additional buildings. One might argue 7 therefore that I am professionally negligent in 8 allowing such activities to continue. However, 9 I continue to do so in the interests of 10 self-sufficiency and, in my opinion, in the public 11 interest. The Agency is aware of this position but to 12 my knowledge has never explicitly instructed me to 13 continue with a policy of growth. I am therefore 14 knowingly operating the Centre above its capacity and 15 I am ever conscious of recent disasters elsewhere 16 whereby the corporate body and individuals are subject 17 to criminal proceedings. As the captain of this 18 particular ship, I find the analogy too close for 19 comfort."

Then over the page you conclude:

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

25

"Clearly, I am not implying an imminent disaster at PFC since we continually make strident efforts to compensate for deficiencies at the Centre but I believe it is appropriate (and reassuring for myself and senior staff) that we clarify unequivocally that

I think this was my attempt to do pretty much what it says in the letter, which is if I am -- and I was committed at the time. I didn't write this letter with any prospect of closing PFC down. That wasn't my intention. But it was to try and raise personal and I think they were legitimate concerns at the time, that I was responsible, I would have been considered responsible and I didn't have recourse to things like medical defence unions or the associated body for pharmacists to protect me in the event that there was some litigation that was directly attributable to the circumstances. So I was actually asking for the CSA to understand the issues and underwrite the activities of PFC, and also to try and force funding, to be crude, yes.

And did you get a response from the CSA at any stage? 16 Q.

17 A. I think there was a response. I don't think it was 18 a satisfactory response because that's what provoked 19 Professor Cash to write his letter, and the response 20 was from the SHHD. I don't think the CSA really 21 understood what the issue was because they very seldom 22 engaged in details such as this in their activities. 23 But SHHD I think responded by saying, "In our view, 24 the PFC is perfectly suitable for its purpose", and

I think that's what promoted Professor Cash to write

the Agency is aware of the deficiencies at the Centre and has authorised the continuation of activity at the present level. I recognise that this amounts to an instruction to carry out our professional duties outwith minimum standards required of the pharmaceutical industry but in the circumstances, such an instruction will at least relieve some of the anxiety felt by myself and senior colleagues."

Now, that doesn't read like a letter that would be written lightly, Dr Perry?

11 No, no, I remember. I do remember this letter.

What were the particular concerns that drove you to 12 13 write in those terms in 1987 to the CSA?

14 I think the background to this particular letter was 15 an increasing level of frustration that we were not 16 getting approval or agreement by SHHD or the Common 17 Services Agency for that matter, although they would 18 have got the money from SHHD, for what was required at

19 PFC, which was a fairly major building programme,

20 involving cold rooms, storage areas, increased and 21

improved processing areas, new sterile filtration areas and so on. So this was really, I think, part of

23 a process and I think you showed the letter from 24 Professor Cash, this slightly pre-dated that and

25 Professor Cash was responding to this letter.

his letter. Which in some senses was underpinning and emphasising the points that I was making, but in his typical way he went a little bit further.

Q. We can take that down, thank you, Sully.

I want to ask you next a little bit about product licences. I'm specifically focusing on obviously Factor VIII, Factor IX products.

First of all, at the PFC, whose job was it to make the applications for the product licence or have any ongoing interaction with the medicines division of the licensing authority?

12 I think that was probably assigned to me when I joined 13 in 1981. I think that was one of the portfolio of 14 activities, and I think, as I briefly mentioned 15 before, one of the major tasks that I undertook in 16 1983 was to assemble a comprehensive product licence 17 application which was an enormous undertaking at the 18 time for our newly developed intravenous 19 immunoglobulin product.

20 Q. What did you understand the purpose of the product 21 licensing system to be?

22 It was -- I guess, effectively, a peer review system. 23 That we felt that self regulation, particularly in the 24 area of complex biological manufacture, we felt it was 25 professionally appropriate that we should submit our

(19) Pages 73 - 76

The Infected Blood Inquiry 1 studies, our processes, and their intricate designs to 1 2 2 peer review. And the only peer review system that 3 3 existed was product licensing. And that, for example, 4 was the rationale I made for applying for a product 4 5 licence for intravenous immunoglobulin in 1983. 5 6 I did get a response when the SHHD discovered 6 7 that I had submitted this licence to the MCA. I think 7 8 8 the chief pharmacist he expressed his concern that 9 I had, I think, in his words, usurped the authority of 9 10 the Secretary of State. 10 11 Q. I'm just going to ask you to look at part of your 11 evidence to the Penrose Inquiry on the licensing 12 12 13 system in broad terms, PRSE0006025. 13 14 So this is an extract from your evidence -- or 14 15 15 this is the transcript, sorry, of your evidence on 16 13 May 2011. If we go to page 42, please. We can 16 17 pick it up I think at line 16. This is in a question 17 18 that was being asked to you. It says: 18 19 "And what you are referring to there is that the 19 20 licensing of products -- I think the idea in your 20 21 statement -- please correct me if I am wrong -- is 21 22 that the fact that a commercial product was licensed 22 23 would inform the doctor or the clinician as to whether 23 24 or not it was appropriate to use that material; in 24 25 25 other words, if it was licensed, then it was all right

> disruption in the treatment of patients that it was considered an inappropriate thing to do."

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Sorry, can we have the rest of the first half of the page, Sully, because it is not in fact that point I want to ask you about at this stage. It is the next bit. You continue:

"I would still take the view that the licensing system was and is set up to establish that products can and should still be used safely in clinical use. That doesn't preclude an individual doctor for a whole number of reasons not using a particular product. But I agree, that there is a slight conflict there. The system, you know, as we now know, was not as effective as it might have been but the consequence of creating a safer environment was to expose patients to no treatment at all, certainly with concentrates."

Now, I'm showing you that for present purposes really just to understand what you thought the purpose of the product licensing system was. It was intended, is this right, to provide a degree of external assessment of the safety of the product?

Yes, before you showed me that I was going to clarify that that was the other major reason and prescribing doctors, although they understood the status of PFC, they had a reasonable expectation to understand what to use it. Is that what you are suggesting?"

Then we have your answer:

"I think that's what the licensing system is intended to achieve. It's intended to give prescribing doctors [top of the next page] clear indications that the product is safe, it's efficacious, its risk/benefit balance has been properly and objectively assessed and it is suitable for use, absolutely."

Then there is a question -- sorry, if we go back to the whole page -- or an observation put to you along the lines that the evidence the Penrose Inquiry had heard was that licence didn't make any particular impact on the clinical decision-making of Haemophilia Centre Directors.

Then if we go to the bottom of the page you were asked if you wanted to comment on that and at line 21 you said:

"Only to say that at that particular time I think the licensing and the continued licensing of products was part of the confused world that we operated in. I think, as we have discussed previously, the notion of removing licences for these products on the basis of what we now describe as a precautionary principle would have created so much

measures we had taken to assure the safety of these 2 products. And the only system that exists for doing 3 that is the licensing system.

4 Q. If we then turn to the question of the product 5 licences for factor concentrates at the PFC. We will 6 see, probably this afternoon when we look at some of 7 the examples of package inserts and product warnings, 8 we will see that licence applications were submitted 9 in I think 1978, designed by Mr Watt, for product 10 licences for Factor VIII and Factor IX, so the NY and 11 for DEFIX. So that was I think in 1978 and they would 12 therefore have come up for renewal in around 1983?

13 Yes, that is right.

14 Q. As far as you can recall, were those licences renewed 15 in 1983 for the factor concentrates?

16 A. I think they were but I would have to check on 17 individual products and so on but I believe there was 18 an attempt made to -- or perhaps a successful attempt 19 to get them re-licensed and their licences extended.

20 Q. Now --

A. I would add that the original licence application in 21 22 1978, although it was written carefully, it probably 23 wasn't as substantive as the type of licence 24 application that would have been required by 25 a commercial manufacturer to submit. But the key

(20) Pages 77 - 80

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

		The
1		information was included and the licensing authority
2		took the view that it should be licensed.
3	Q.	Now, during the lifetime of a product licence, so in
4		relation to PFC's product licence, if you were to say
5		from 1978 to 1983, at least in relation to the first
6		licence, were there any particular reporting
7		obligations or reporting practices undertaken by the
8		PFC in terms of, for example, adverse reactions,
9		instances of hepatitis, jaundice?
10	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	Would those, in the life of the licence, be reported
12		to the licensing authority or would they be reported
13		elsewhere, do you know?
14	A.	Certainly latterly it was quite carefully prescribed
15		when the licences became substantive, following the
16		removal of Crown immunity, any significant adverse
17		reactions, and there would be a definition what
18		significant meant. They certainly would be reported
19		or in regular post-marketing surveillance studies and
20		so on, not necessarily on a one by one basis but they
21		would be collected together and submitted to
22		a licensing authority.
23		I think in the early 1980s, it was certainly the
24		case that Regional Transfusion Centres were encoura
25		to have a close liaison with the users of the products 81

uraded

3 ranged from no action to a complete recall of the 4 batch. 5 Then can you recall, and if you can't obviously please Q. 6 say so, whether information on pool sizes was part of 7 the information that PFC would submit to the licensing 8 authority when applying for a licence?

would have carried out an investigation and the

appropriate outcome implemented, which would have

1 2

9 I think it was actually, yes. I think there would A. 10 have been a requirement to state -- it was a measure 11 of the scale of activity which was an important 12 parameter I think. I don't know whether it is 13 expressed in kilos, litres or individual donations but 14 one or all of those would have been used to measure it. I think that's the case, yes.

15 16 Q. Then during the lifetime of the licence, you have got 17 your licence granted for five years, you don't have to 18 renew for five years. During that period of time, if 19 there are changes that might have a bearing on risk, 20 for example, increase in pool sizes or the development 21 of knowledge that non-A, non-B hepatitis is a more 22 serious condition than hitherto believed, or the 23 development of knowledge that non-A, non-B hepatitis 24 is -- the belief that it is an inevitable consequence 25 of a first dose with NHS concentrates. If that the

and if there were any adverse reactions they would be reported directly to PFC.

So I think we had a fairly good idea. I would not call it a fully developed pharmacovigilance system as one witnesses nowadays, but it was certainly a link between individual patients. I think interestingly though it may have been the case that Factor VIII infusions to patients so frequently caused elevations of ALTs and perhaps even jaundice, I don't know the clinical details, but that was prescribed as an expected adverse effect. So that wouldn't necessarily have to be recorded, so we didn't get routine reports. This is before introduction of heat treatment, of patients seroconverting for non-A, non-B, for example. But we would have got reports of a hepatitis B transmission.

- 17 This may be a hypothetical question because I don't 18 know if it happened, but if you got reports of 19 a hepatitis B transmission reported to you at PFC, do 20 you know whether in the period really leading up to 21 the mid-80s or indeed beyond that, the period when 22 there was Crown immunity, did that get reported by PFC 23 or by SNBTS more generally to the licensing authority?
- 24 I can't be sure of that. I can't be sure of that. It 25 would certainly have been reported to the PFC. PFC

kind of information that might have a bearing on risk comes to light in the course of the duration of a product licence, do you know whether there was any obligation on the licence holders to notify the licensing authority? Or was that just something that would be picked up at the next renewal?

I think the licensing authority were interested in adverse events which fell outside the recognised adverse events which -- like slight haemolysis or even transmission of hepatitis viruses. The licensing authority would have regarded those as fairly frequent and not remarkable.

We now know that they were extremely remarkable but at the time it was, as we now know, a significant and severe problem with the products.

Whether -- so -- I do recall one example of a Factor VIII infusion taking place, for example, in Glasgow and the patient developed a significant reaction to his treatment and that was reported and thoroughly investigated. And I believe actually a medicines inspector came up to look at that. It turned out that it was as a result of a too fast infusion by the patient. I think it was finally determined to be an issue of citrate toxicity rather than a problem with the product batch.

(21) Pages 81 - 84

1		But that was reported to the licensing authority	1	Q.	If we take the example of increased pool sizes. Do
2		because it was unusual and I think we hadn't seen it	2		you think it is more likely than not that that that
3		before so we felt it was appropriate to report it.	3		that wouldn't have been reported by PFC to the
4		But, as I say, the pharmacovigilance systems that we	4		licensing authority during the lifetime of the
5		have now are much more significant and robust.	5		licence? Or are you just
6	Q.	Just so that you understand, Dr Perry, the purpose of	6	A.	I think it would have been an unusual event. It is
7		these questions is to really try and get a sense of	7		difficult to define what a small change and a large
8		how in practice the product licensing system operated.	8		change might be. But a small change in pool size
9		We have looked in earlier hearings at some of the	9		would have been considered not of consequence, of
10		legal requirements. We have looked at some of the	10		sufficient consequence, to notify the licensing
11		applications from commercial companies, but it is	11		authority because they would simply note the position
12		really the extent to which the once the licence was	12		bearing in mind that most of the products that they
13		granted, the extent it was an ongoing dialogue with	13		were licensing, which was sensitive to pool size were
14		the licensing authority at this period, late '70s,	14		commercial concentrates with pool sizes orders of
15		first half of the '80s, that prompts the questions.	15		magnitude larger than the PFC. So if the PFC had
16	A.	I think it wasn't fully developed either because the	16		submitted a licence application or an amendment to the
17		licensing authority I talked in my Penrose	17		a licence application saying we have changed the we
18		I think you showed it in the Penrose, and it was	18		have modified the pool size from 500 litres to
19		a confused area. I think it was confused not only for	19		1,000 litres, I don't think they would have taken much
20		PFC and the SNBTS but it was confused for the	20		notice of that. I think they would have said, well,
21		licensing authority as well. They weren't quite sure	21		that's still orders of magnitude less than the size
22		how to deal with an organisation submitting product	22		of or substantially less than the size of, for
23		licence applications when actually they operated under	23		example, commercial pools.
24		Crown immunity, so the status of that relationship was	24	Q.	Then the example of developing knowledge in relation
25		a little bit confused.	25		to non-A, non-B hepatitis. Would it be right to
		85			86
1		understand from your earlier answers, and please	1		staff. This situation remained largely unchanged
2		correct me if this is not right, that a growing	2		until reorganisations of the service in the 1990s. In
3		awareness of the seriousness of non-A, non-B hepatitis	3		its original Licence Applications to DHSS Medicines
4		would not be something PFC would necessarily report to	4		Division for Factor VIII information on donor
5		the licensing authority because post-transfusion	5		selection practice or policy was neither supplied by
6		hepatitis was already a known risk?	6		PFC/SNBTS or requested by the UK Licensing Authority."
7	A.	No, I think we would have assumed or I would have	7		So, as I understand it, two points essentially
8		assumed that this was a well known phenomena by the	8		emerging from that part of your statement. First of
9		licensing authority and they didn't need reminding	9		all, in submitting your licence applications, you were
10		from one manufacturer that that was the case.	10		neither asked to provide nor volunteered information
11	Q.	Can I then just ask you a little about PFC's	11		about donor selection practices in SNBTS?
12		involvement or I think more accurately lack of	12	A.	I think that my understanding is that that's the
13		involvement in issues relating to donor selection. If	13		case yes.
14		we pick it up again in one of your Penrose statements.	14	Q.	Then, secondly, your broader point is that the
15		PRSE0001823, please, Sully. If we go I think to	15		approach to donor selection was not something that PFC
16		page 3. Second paragraph. Top of the page, please.	16		got involved with. It regarded that as the term
17		Thank you Sully.	17		used here the "exclusive responsibility" of the
18		You say in the second paragraph:	18		Regional Transfusion Service?

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. I think that is correct as well. That subsequently

changed as the quality systems developed -- and PFC

was also -- I was personally instrumental in trying to

expand the concept of quality systems throughout the

Regional Transfusion Centres, driven by the need for

PFC to have a modern and mature quality system in

place. But I think it was still the case that the

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Latterly during this period PFC and Regional

Centres worked more closely on the development of

the processing and testing of plasma but this did not

responsibility of Regional Directors and their medical

extend to issues of donor selection which, at that

time, would have been accepted as the exclusive

quality systems and standard operating procedures for

(22) Pages 85 - 88

1	detailed considerations for donor selection were	1		that you were capable of giving it. So why wouldn't
2	I think personally and I think generally were	2		the information about donor selection have been given
3	considered to be the exclusive responsibility of	3		to the licensing authority?
4	Regional Transfusion Centres.	4	A.	I think it probably would nowadays. But I think if
5	That is not to say that I was excluded from	5		the period that we are talking about is the early '80s
6	an interest in that but certainly in the early 1980s	6		or the late 1970s, it is the case that the licensing
7	that would have been a given that the plasma coming to	7		authority didn't seek this information. Nor,
8	PFC had been subject to suitable donor exclusion and	8		incidentally, I imagine, did they seek the specific
9	testing procedures.	9		information of those commercial suppliers of
10	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: May I ask, on whose behalf was the	10		Factor VIII in terms of their donor selection
11	application made for what would in other what was	11		procedures and so on. So it didn't have that the
12	originally, and would, after the mid-80s, possibly,	12		focus of attention which we now know it should have
13	had you been a commercial organisation who made the	13		done.
14	application; SNBTS or PFC?	14	SIR	BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. Thank you.
15	A. Well, neither actually, sir. It was made, I think	15	MS	RICHARDS: We can just see the first page of a licence
16	and I'm not sure when this changed, but maybe never,	16		application if we go to PRSE0002726.
17	it was made on behalf of the Committee of Management	17		Just to confirm if we go to page 5, I think,
18	of the Common Services Agency.	18		Sully the answer that Dr Perry just gave. Can you
19	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: And they managed both SNBTS and	19		go on five pages, Sully? There we are.
20	the Regional Transfusion	20		So if we look at zoom in on the top half of
21	A. They were the umbrella organisation that managed the	21		the page, we can see this is the Factor VIII product
22	SNBTS and many other organisations.	22		licence application. Then we can see the proposed
23	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: So this was one organisation which	23		licence holder is the Committee of Management,
24	was responsible, albeit vicariously, for donor	24		Scottish Health Service Common Services Agency.
25	selection as it was responsible for the information 89	25	A.	Right. 90
1	Q. Then:	1		be, but we would check to make sure there was
2	"Trading style to be shown on licence if	2		a documented system in place and that was operated.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Trading style to be shown on licence if different from above: Scottish National Blood Transfusion Service Protein Fractionation Centre."

Then if we go just to the bottom of the page we can see that this was the licence application submitted by Mr Watt in March 1978.

8 On behalf of the management committee.

3

4

5

6

7

12

13

14

15

9 On behalf of the management committee. We will come 10 back to that document for different purposes at 11 a later stage, Dr Perry.

> Just sticking, however, with the issue of donor selection just for a moment. Do you recall whether the PFC ever did its own audit or assessment or checks on the donor selection policies and practices that were being implemented by the different transfusion centres in Scotland?

16 17 18 A. I don't think it would have considered itself 19 competent to identify -- to go into the detail of 20 donor selection procedures but it would have checked 21 and audited against the need to have donor selection. 22 And standard operating procedures for donor selection 23 would have been the sort of working title. So the PFC 24 would have taken the view that it wasn't competent to 25 judge specifically what the exclusion criteria might

a documented system in place and that was operated.

Perhaps not in -- certainly not in the 1970s or in the early '80s, but that developed over time. The whole concept of PFC auditing Regional Transfusion Centres as a supplier of a raw material, I can't remember the date on which -- in which it emerged and developed, but it was sometime in the 1980s, I think. Q. I want to move to the topic of self-sufficiency next

and get started on that before we break for lunch. If we look at PRSE0006011. Again, this is

an extract from the oral evidence given to the Penrose Inquiry, 24 March 2011. I want to go to page 94. We looked at one passage on this page earlier. I want to look at a different passage now.

So you were being asked here about your arrival at and your role at the centre. I just want to pick it up at line 11 onwards. You say:

"I think the preoccupation at that time -- and there was absolutely no doubt in my mind that this was the case when I joined in 1981 and certainly strengthened as the 1980s moved forward, that the dominant goal and target was self-sufficiency. It was very clearly evident to everyone who worked in it that, in terms of plasma products, the goal and the

(23) Pages 89 - 92

1 aim was to make Scotland self sufficient in plasma understood that. 2 2 Q. The reason for asking, Dr Perry, is there might be products and in particular coagulation factors. 3 Does that remain, first of all, your 3 a number of reasons why it is said self-sufficiency is 4 recollection of the position? 4 a good goal. It might be, for example, the 5 5 elimination of commercial concentrates because A. Yes, a very, very clear recollection. And that became 6 very clear to me very soon after I started in 1981. 6 ultimately that would be cheaper for the State. 7 It didn't take long for me to understand why the PFC 7 That's why I wanted to pick up on your understanding 8 8 was there. for the reasons for it. 9 Q. Do you recall -- if we keep this up on screen in 9 If we could go on in this transcript to 10 a moment because we are going to go to a different 10 page 118. Picking it up at line 5, you come back to 11 page in a moment. Do you recall gleaning or gaining 11 your understanding about this being a goal: 12 an understanding of why self-sufficiency was this 12 "My understanding at the time when I joined the 13 dominant goal for the PFC? 13 service, as a new person to the blood service, was Yes. I think the complexity of the answer to that 14 14 that this was a goal or a policy that had been set by Α. developed over time, but initially it was very clear 15 15 the Scottish executive at the time, that we wanted to 16 to me that there was a view that plasma derived from 16 meet the WHO recommendations for self-sufficiency. 17 voluntary non-remunerated donors and used as a raw 17 But I think also it became very clear that one of the 18 material for plasma products was a lower risk material 18 prime justifications for self-sufficiency was 19 19 than plasma or products obtained by the commercial a belief, which was based on fairly good evidence, 20 sector. 20 that imported products from the USA, which were the 21 21 So the object of self-sufficiency was to alternative source of products, were much higher risk 22 effectively eliminate the need for commercial products 22 products than those that would be produced from 23 to be used in Scotland. That was the stated aim. It 23 voluntary non-remunerated blood donors from one's 24 was guite clear, it was unambiguous. And clearly --24 community. So it was a target which was aimed at 25 and I believe everyone that I came into contact with 25 creating a sufficiency of supply from our own community but also a target which sought to reduce not. Sorry, wrong reference. 1 2 2 Can we go to PRSE0006025. Then page 57 of that the risk to haemophilia patients of transmission of 3 disease from other countries." 3 document. 4 So that remains your evidence, does it, that one 4 So this is your evidence to the Penrose Inquiry 5 5 on 13 May 2011. We don't need to go to it but the of the prime motivating factors or was it the prime 6 motivating factor was the issue in relation to safety? 6 bottom of the previous page you had been asked what I think was the prime motivating factor. I don't 7 was the goal that you took yourself to be working 7 8 8 believe there was ever a comparison of what the cost towards in terms of self-sufficiency. 9 saving is. It certainly wasn't driven by a perceived 9 Then this is your answer: 10 lower cost. I'm not sure it was lower cost to produce 10 "Answer: Meeting most, if not all the needs for 11 one's own products rather than buy commercial 11 plasma products in Scotland, with the exception of occasional rare products, individual patients who had 12 products. So I think that was the clear 12 13 justification. 13 idiosyncratic reactions to the product that we had on 14 14 offer. We would fully accept that it would certainly be And it was a goal set well before my arrival in 15 15 SNBTS by SHHD, who -- I don't think there's any formal justified to use a non-NHS product." 16 grand statement by SHHD that, "We will become self 16 Do I understand that last sentence to 17 sufficient", but it was a concept that they clearly 17 mean: justified to use a non-NHS product in the 18 supported, and funded, because collecting --18 exceptional circumstance that you described in the 19 19 collecting increased levels of plasma required previous sentence? 20 significant injection of revenue funding for blood 20 If an individual patient had an acute reaction or

21

22

23

24

25

21

22

23 **Q**.

24

25

bags and the consumables and so on associated with

Then in terms of your understanding of what

self-sufficiency meant, I think it is probably in the

same document at page 57, please, Sully. No, it's

(24) Pages 93 - 96

a severe reaction to our product, if it was

a haemophilia product and the haemophilia director

judged that his or her patient needed an alternative

would have had any involvement in that. If that's

product, then I'm not sure that the PFC or the SNBTS

1		what the haemophilia director in Scotland decided was	1		SNBTS self-sufficiency planning or work up to it was
2		the case, they would have purchased it and I think	2		work that was carried out by Professor Cash and other
3		periodically that did happen.	3		senior haematologists in Scotland in the '70s and '80s
4	Q.	But leaving aside what you describe as an exceptional	4		and they sat down and they identified in some
5		category of cases, you understood self-sufficiency for	5		considerable detail how much Factor VIII would be
6		Scotland as meaning the production of sufficient	6		needed for the haemophilia population of Scotland.
7		factor concentrates to provide for treatment at	7		And the figure that sticks in my mind, I think
8		a level to be determined by the Haemophilia Centre	8		it started out lower than this but the figure they
9		Directors for all haemophilia patients in Scotland?	9		arrived at was 2.75 million units per million
10	A.	Yes, I think that is correct. There were some very,	10		population. I think if one reads the minutes of the
11		very rare coagulation deficient patients, Factor XIII	11		annual meeting of haemophilia directors and
12		deficient or maybe even Factor V, and I don't think	12		transfusion directors, you will see that every year
13		PFC ever had an aspiration to make every product for	13		that figure, that aspirational figure or that target
14		every patient. So we had as we have talked earlier	14		figure was underlined and agreed to by haemophilia
15		about the productive relationship we had with BPL,	15		directors by SHHD and others attending the meetings.
16		I think from PFL, Dr Smith had available products	16		So it wasn't just a blind aspiration to be self
17		Factor XIII products, Factor X perhaps, and if we	17		sufficient. There was actually there were numbers
18		needed those products or if haemophilia directors	18		placed on this.
19		needed those products they could readily obtain them	19	MS	RICHARDS: Sir, I have got a number of other questions
20		from BPL.	20		relating to self-sufficiency but perhaps we can pick
21	Q.	So this would encompass producing and therefore	21		those up after the lunch break.
22		providing enough factor concentrates at least in terms	22	SIF	R BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes, let's do that.
23		of Factor VIII and Factor IX for home treatment needs	23		May we come back at 2.00 pm. 2.00 pm, please.
24		for patients?	24	(1.0	01 pm)
25	A.	For all the estimated needs and I think part of the 97	25		(The short adjournment) 98
1	(2.0	0 pm)	1		preserving the important principle of clinical
2	MS	RICHARDS: Dr Perry, I'm going to ask you to look,	2		freedom", accepted by whom?
3		still on the topic of self-sufficiency, at a short	3	A.	I think it was accepted by the Scottish Home and
4		passage from one of your Penrose statements.	4		Health Department and the medical staff inside that,
5		PRSE0003755.	5		it was accepted by SNBTS colleagues, certainly medical
6		If we go to the very bottom of the page we can	6		colleagues who understood the importance of this
7		see it says:	7		principle, and I think they certainly internalised
8		"At this time [so this is the last two lines]	8		that as an important principle. I think in the case
9		self-sufficiency was an accepted goal for Scotland	9		of Professor Cash, I think his view was always that we
10		which dominated its planning throughout the 1980s. It	10		needed he had no authority to impose SNBTS products
11		was also accepted that prescribing doctors were free	11		on doctors and so on, so his approach was always one
12		to exercise their own judgment in the choice of either	12		of persuasion, perhaps slightly bordering on coercion
13		SNBTS or commercial products preserving the important	13		occasionally but that was in the spirit of not
14		principle of clinical freedom. Therefore whilst SNBTS	14		exposing patients to commercial products when SNBTS
15		and Haemophilia Directors collectively embraced the	15		products were available.
16		goal of self sufficiency the use of NHS products was	16		So, yes, it was a I think, from my

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not and could not be enforced by SNBTS."

evidence I think, referred to the principle of

were free to exercise their own judgment ...

to it, is PRSE0006025, pages 1 to 2.

Sully -- you also elsewhere, in your Penrose oral

clinical freedom as being quite a sacred principle at

the time. The reference for that, we don't need to go

When you say here it was "accepted that doctors

Now if we just leave that on screen -- please,

perspective -- I didn't deal with patients directly, for reasons that are perhaps self-evident, but he certainly took the view that he would try to do everything he could to enable prescribing doctors to avoid the use of commercial products. And to do that, the products that SNBTS provided had to be of a quality and specification that would be considered the product of choice by SNBTS doctors, including the fact that they were derived from voluntary

100

(25) Pages 97 - 100

- 1 non-remunerated donors, which at this time was still 2 an important safety parameter.
- 3 Do you know what, if any, particular steps were taken, 4 whether by Dr Cash or by SNBTS or specifically by the 5 PFC, to try to persuade, influence clinicians to use 6 SNBTS products rather than commercial products?
- 7 I think there was a regular process, at least once 8 a year, where the prescribing doctors, the Haemophilia 9 Directors and the SNBTS directors, including the 10 PFC directors and SHHD officials, which was the annual 11 meeting of directors, I think Professor Cash and 12 myself always sought to influence or just illuminate 13 the availability of SNBTS products and -- just to make 14 sure that they absolutely understood what was 15 available from SNBTS and that, in the absence of any 16 good clinical reason, took the view that these 17 products should be preferred over commercial products.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

But it wasn't a position that was forced on Haemophilia Directors. They would have taken part in those discussions, there might have been discussions about their need for commercial purchase, but that was the regular forum in which I think the whole idea and concept of self-sufficiency was born.

But collectively, I think, and going back even to the 1970s, haemophilia doctors and SNBTS directors

Do you recall it being drawn to your attention or coming to your attention that at Yorkhill Hospital there was significant use of commercial concentrates?

- 3 4 Α. Yes, I did know that. I don't know when I knew it or 5 where the information came from but I was aware that 6 there was a significant use of commercial factor 7 concentrates in Yorkhill. I don't know the reasons 8 for that, and certainly that changed quite abruptly 9 when, I think it was, Dr Gibson became the paediatric 10 haemophilia director for Yorkhill and the paediatric 11 service in general for haemophilia.
- 12 Do you know, and I appreciate you probably can't speak 13 to the time before you joined PFC, but do you know 14 whether there was any contact made by the PFC or, more 15 broadly, by SNBTS or Dr Cash with Dr Willoughby at 16 Yorkhill to explore why there was this very 17 significant use for children of commercial 18 concentrates?
- I don't know, is the honest answer. I think one of 19 A. 20 the problems SNBTS had, certainly during the 1980s and 21 perhaps through the 1980s, we didn't have a clear 22 understanding of the pattern of usage throughout 23 Scotland. We knew what was being used of SNBTS 24 products but we didn't have any regular data from 25 either individual hospitals or Haemophilia Centres on

- and the SHHD had collectively signed up to the concept 2 of self-sufficiency, I think even as early as the 3 1970s. They understood the benefits of that in terms 4 of product safety and I think largely were supportive 5 of the actions and the efforts that SNBTS was making.
- 6 Q. Did you ever get reported back to you -- I'm really 7 thinking of the first half of the 1980s, any 8 particular concerns being expressed by haemophilia 9 clinicians about the nature or quality of PFC 10 products, any reasons why they were using commercial 11 products in place of SNBTS products?
- Yes, there was. We occasionally got reports. I think 12 A. 13 there were issues certainly in the early '80s about 14 product solubility and convenience. I think it was 15 a larger dose volume than commercial products. And 16 I think there may have even been a preference 17 expressed by some patients that this was a more 18 convenient product: it dissolved more quickly, there 19 was a lower infusion volume and they could do their 20 home therapy much more conveniently with commercial 21 products. But set against that was the -- it was more 22 than a perceived risk, it was a known risk, as far as 23 the SNBTS was concerned, that these products were less 24 safe than SNBTS products.
- 25 We can take that down now, thank you, Sully. 102
  - their use of non-SNBTS products.
- 2 Q. Would you have expected someone to be gathering that 3 data, not necessarily PFC, because PFC essentially is 4 a producer of its own products --
- 5 A. Sure yes.

1

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

25

- 6 -- but someone, again SNBTS or SHHD, to be -- in order 7 to achieve self-sufficiency, to overcome the obstacle 8 created by the sacred principle of clinical freedom, 9 to find out what commercial concentrates were being 10 used and why, so that there could be a systematic 11 approach to reducing that usage?
- I think Professor Cash made efforts to understand the pattern of usage in Scotland and to understand the reasons why non-SNBTS products were being used in certain circumstances. I'm not sure that SHHD took 16 any specific action. I suppose, in a sense, from my perspective, they could have done, and indeed the annual meeting of SNBTS directors and haemophilia directors could have collectively agreed to undertake 20 that analysis. And I think these data did slowly begin to emerge and maybe the overriding organisation, 22 the umbrella organisation, the UKHCDO organisation was 23 in a position and, I believe, did collect certain data 24 on the use of NHS products and commercial products but it didn't break it down into individual hospitals or

(26) Pages 101 - 104

25

11

12

13

14

15

- individual prescribing doctors. 2 Q. Yes. It might be said in relation to the latter 3 organisation, you are right, they might be well placed 4 to know what was being used, but they are the very 5 clinicians who might be jealously guarding the 6 principle of clinical freedom? 7 Yes, but they wouldn't necessarily jealously guard the 8 information. I think they were collectively signed up
- 8 information. I think they were collectively signed up
  9 to openness and transparency, and I have no reason to
  10 believe that individual Haemophilia Directors withheld
  11 or certainly falsified information for that reason.
  12 I have no reason to believe that was the case. So
  13 there were efforts.

14 It took some time to better understand the use 15 of commercial -- the reason for commercial products, 16 but it all -- the use of commercial product, other 17 than in very few and perhaps rare circumstances --18 I was never aware of what particular circumstances it 19 would be appropriate to use a commercial product, but 20 by 1983/84 the use of commercial product had all but 21 disappeared, I think as a result of the collective 22 efforts of both haemophilia doctors and SNBTS staff to 23 address that particular issue.

Q. Did Scotland achieve self-sufficiency, in your view,
 in the 1980s, and if so, approximately when do you say

in the 1980s, and it so, approximately when do you sa 105

- introduction of his fairly unique technology for the continuous thawing of plasma. And that yielded very substantial increases in product yield at that time.
- 4 Q. Did the pro rata system as operated in Scotland have 5 any particular role to play?
- A. I think it was originally designed -- if my
   understanding is correct, the pro rata system was
   based on the pro rata supply of products in proportion
   to the amount of plasma that a particular region
   supplied. There might have been a population bias in
   that as well but I think it was primarily introduced
   to incentivise regions to increase their plasma
- output, because that meant that the regions in which they operated and their respective health authorities would have had reduced costs associated with
- 16 commercial purchase. So it was -- I think it was done
  17 to incentivise plasma collection and to provide some
- to incentivise plasma collection and to provide sobasis for an equitable supply of product.
- 19 Q. Now, in terms of the PFC's ability to fractionate
   20 plasma collected other than in Scotland or Northern
   21 Ireland, so plasma from England and Wales, what's your
   22 understanding of why that did not happen?
- 23 A. In England and Wales?
- Q. So why the PFC was not used to fractionate plasma
   collected in England and Wales.

1 that was achieved?

2 Α. I think it was probably achieved in 1983, early 1984. 3 And I think it was -- it depends how you define 4 self-sufficiency, of course, and I think that was 5 sustained until perhaps 1987/1988, when I think 6 the organisation faced the sort of collective 7 headwinds of increased demand, loss of yield, as 8 a result of introduction of heat treatment and virus 9 inactivation procedures, and I think in 1988 there was 10 a requirement for some commercial purchase. That was 11 a big disappointment.

12 Q. To what do you attribute Scotland achieving13 self-sufficiency by late '83, early '84?

14 I think I alluded this morning to the fact that it set 15 out fairly early on, on the road to eliminating the 16 use of whole blood transfusions, and therefore being 17 able to collect the plasma which could be used for 18 fractionation. And that process began in the 1970s 19 and certainly progressed in the 1980s, and by 1983/84 20 I think whole blood was very seldom used in 21 transfusion in Scotland, which inevitably meant that 22 there was more plasma available for fractionation, 23 which could increase the output from PFC.

The other factor was the work undertaken by Dr Foster to improve process yields at PFC, with the 106

In all honesty, I do not think I know the answer to 2 that question. We certainly took the view that we 3 could and perhaps should have done. I'm not familiar 4 with the timeline in England and Wales in terms of the 5 availability of plasma or the capacity of BPL or their 6 building programmes. It may well have been that 7 the plasma simply wasn't available. It could have 8 been a plasma shortage, in which case the fact that 9 PFC had capacity to process more wouldn't have 10 actually improved matters in England.

But I think, from my perspective, certainly when I took over as director, it was always my view that had we been approached to process plasma then we would have done our utmost to adjust our activities and our processes and procedures to accommodate that.

16 Q. Had you had any involvement or any direct knowledge of17 the shift working trial in late 1981?

18 A. Yes, I was in post then and I was involved in it, from 19 a quality perspective, because at that stage I was 20 responsible for all issues associated with quality, so 21 I had an overview from a quality perspective on the 22 process. So, yes, it was undertaken, as I recall, 23 from basically outdated plasma. It wasn't fresh 24 plasma. And I think its primary purpose was to 25 establish the capacity of its -- of the PFC's central

(27) Pages 105 - 108

8

24

25

1

4

processing system, that's the CSVM, to operate continuously and to demonstrably be able to process more plasma than it could.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And I think it achieved that objective. I think it proved over the two or three-week experiment that was undertaken that it could do that.

But, it didn't encompass all the downstream processing associated with albumin or immunoglobulin and certainly not coagulation factors, because they weren't prepared from that plasma.

So it was a partial success in that sense. I don't think it proved the ability of the PFC to instantly process all the plasma to finished product but it demonstrated that its core technology was capable of doing more than it did. Certainly with shift working.

- Q. Did you have any involvement or direct knowledge of any of the discussions relating to shift working or funding that might need to be made available to enable PFC to fractionate English or Welsh plasma?
- 21 Not in the early '80s, but when I took over as 22 director that was still an ongoing -- yes, I will call 23 it a fight. It was an ongoing fight to get 24 recognition and understanding that the PFC was fairly 25 unique in -- not special -- the health service and it
  - a bespoke collection of systems for remunerating staff and paying shift premiums and so on. But it took ten years.
  - **Q**. It might be said that the failure to use PFC's fractionating capacity more fully, so as to fractionate plasma from England and Wales, was a lost opportunity for the UK as a whole. Would you agree with that?
- 9 A. I think from my perspective, yes, it was. Yes. I 10 think others might disagree and might say the correct 11 solution was the solution we had then, which was to 12 rebuild BPL for the whole of England and Wales. But 13 I think a joint approach to providing the capacity for 14 fractionating products for the UK would have been more 15 quickly met and more efficiently met by a joint 16 approach.

And I think it would have been more secure as well. As it was, BPL were processing at least 90% of the plasma for the UK and the PFC was only producing 10%. Now, in any sensible organisation you wouldn't have that imbalance. You would say: in order to secure the long-term security of supply, or at least a minimum level, something closer to a 50/50 split would be appropriate.

25 In relation to Northern Ireland, your arrival in 1981,

needed alternative arrangements for paying its staff 2 in ways which we could put in place a shift working 3 process. So it -- and that was necessary not only for 4 coagulation factors but for the -- all the other 5 products as well, that we were constrained by the 6 8-hour day.

And was that a fight with the SHHD or the CSA? Who was the impediment to progress in that respect?

9 A. I think it was the Whitley Council. But to answer 10 your question more directly, I think it was 11 an inability between the CSA and the Scottish Home and Health Department to recognise this requirement and 12 13 make what was seen as a fairly substantial variation 14 order to the Whitley Council. Because that's how 15 these things were done. If you wanted to employ 16 somebody -- and we had to do this routinely -- if you 17 wanted to employ somebody that didn't meet the 18 criteria for a medical laboratory scientific officer, 19 then you had to apply for a variation order. And they 20 sometimes took some time. So, in a sense, it was a failure of CSA and SHHD to collectively, in my view, 21 22 provide a solution to that. 23

That changed in the early 1990s. I think it was one of the initial projects that Mr McIntosh engaged on, and we did succeed, eventually, in getting 110

essentially coincided with the introduction of the 2 arrangement with Northern Ireland for the 3 fractionation of plasma collected in Northern Ireland. Do you know why it wasn't something that was 5 undertaken earlier? Do you have any knowledge of 6

7 No. My understanding is that Northern Ireland had A. 8 some of their plasma processed but it was mostly 9 outdated plasma by BPL, and they presumably got 10 some -- and I'm not sure what the transfer 11 arrangement, whether it was a cross-charging 12 arrangement or a contract fractionation arrangement. 13 But I don't know. I think it came as a result of the 14 culmination of discussions about UK capacity and the 15 final decision that BPL would be redesigned and 16 rebuilt to process for England and Wales, and Scotland 17 would manage the interests and the needs of Scotland 18 and Northern Ireland.

19 Q. I think you were involved in the quality audit that 20 was undertaken in advance of the plasma actually 21 beginning to be shipped and fractionated at the PFC?

22 Α

23 Q. In broad terms, what did that entail?

24 I think it was an arrangement where both myself and, 25 I recall, Dr Cuthbertson were -- basically went to

112

1		Northern Ireland centre, I can't remember how long we	1		product use in Northern Ireland, except for the
2		spent there, but we did a basic audit of their	2		understanding and knowledge from conversations with
3		facilities for the collection, the separation of	3		Dr Morris McClelland (Northern Ireland BTS) that
4		plasma, their environmental conditions and so on.	4		supplies of PFC products were insufficient for all
5		But, importantly, their testing arrangements, their	5		patient needs and accordingly were supplemented with
6		arrangements for virological testing of the plasma.	6		commercial product purchase."
7		And I think as has been recorded, there was a problem	7		Do you know why it was the case that the amount
8		with that initially with the sensitivity of their	8		of PFC product going to Northern Ireland was
9		hepatitis B assay. So plasma didn't come to PFC until	9		insufficient and thus there was still a lot of
10		that matter was resolved. But thereafter I think	10		commercial concentrate being used in Northern Ireland?
11		there was subsequently subsequent audits of the	11	A.	Yes, it is quite simple: they didn't provide enough
12		Northern Ireland centre by PFC as well as the Scottish	12		plasma for us to be able to reward them with
13		centres.	13		an increased level of product. The product they got
14	Q.	If we could look at your witness statement to the	14		from PFC was in direct proportion to the amount of
15		Inquiry.	15		plasma that they supplied.
16		WITN6920001, please. If we go to page 55,	16	Q.	It was a feature of the pro rata system?
17		please, Sully, bottom of the page.	17	A.	It was and that remained in place for
18		Just picking it up at the very bottom of the	18		Northern Ireland because they were separately funded,
19		page, you refer to information about product usage	19		they came under a different jurisdiction, and so it
20		being submitted to the Penrose Inquiry. You then say	20		was always it was always the case, even after
21		this:	21		I dismantled the pro rata system, that Northern
22		"These details do not include products used in	22		Ireland would only get back products as a proportion
23		Northern Ireland, for which no information was sought	23		of the amount of in relation, in direct relation to
24		or presented. To the best of my knowledge there was	24		the amount of plasma that was supplied.
25		no information held by SNBTS on the breakdown of 113	25	Q.	Do you know, and it may be that this is not something 114
1		you'd have expected to have knowledge of, do you know	1		Broadly speaking, it is right, isn't it, that
2		if issues like that were raised on behalf of	2		there was an upward trajectory in terms of increase in
3		Northern Ireland with SNBTS or SHHD or the CSA to see	3		pool sizes?
4		whether there was a way in which Northern Ireland	4	A.	There was, yes.
5		could receive a greater quantity of PFC product?	5	Q.	I think the figure Dr Foster gave the Penrose
6	A.	I don't think there was. I think there was	6	ч.	Inquiry which was I think somewhere in your
7	Α.	certainly Dr Morris McClelland, who regularly attended	7		evidence also you endorsed was around
8		our meetings, understood the arrangements that were in	8		4.000 donations?
9		place and, in a sense, he knew what needed to be done	9	A.	That was certainly during the later 1980s, I think
10		if he wanted to increase the supplies of NHS products	10	Λ.	it went up to about 900 to 1,000 litres or kilograms
11		for haemophilia patients in Northern Ireland.	11		of plasma, which would have equated to 4,000 donations
12		I guess, in 1984, when we did decant what we	12		roughly.
13		considered to be excessive Factor VIII to England and	13	Q.	How were decisions taken at PFC and by whom were they
14		Wales, it would have been possible, maybe we did this,	14	w.	taken to increase pool sizes?
15		to have supplied additional quantities to Northern	15	A.	I think it would primarily taken as a result of
16		Ireland as well.	16	Α.	discussions between manufacturing managers, the
	^	Can I then ask you next in broad terms about pool	17		
17	Q.		18		PFC director and probably would involve Dr Foster as well if there were issues associated with scaling up
18		SIZES.			
19		We can take that down, thank you, Sully.	19		and so on. He would have been an important have
20		I don't think I need trouble you with looking at	20		an important input into those decisions.
21		what the data is in relation to each individual year.	21		But I think also, in our regular, both formal
22		It is captured in a number of documents, it is	22 23		and informal, briefings with Professor Cash, he would
23		captured in documents from the Penrose Inquiry and you	23		have been aware of the kind of pool sizes that were

25

being used.

24

25

will know this Inquiry has attempted to capture it in

its own presentation reports.

(29) Pages 113 - 116

But the requirement to increase pool sizes, as

116

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 I think I mentioned in my witness statement, was 2 driven by the need to increase capacity. And you 3 could either do that by increasing the number of 4 batches that you made, which wasn't immediately 5 accessible to us. You need more freeze dryers, 6 a different configuration of manufacturing facilities, 7 or you simply increased the batch size. And I think 8 we felt that the increase in batch size -- it wasn't 9 taken unilaterally, it was taken after discussion --10 was justified because, even with our increased batch 11 size, up to 4,000 donations, that was still 12 substantially less certainly than commercial producers 13 were using and certainly substantially less than the 14 pool sizes used by BPL. 15

But then BPL were dealing with more plasma. So that's not a criticism of BPL. It is simply a reality associated with the scale of manufacture. I think the way you put it at one point on your witness statement is not increasing pool sizes would have exposed patients to greater risks. Am I right in understanding that's on the assumption that the alternative then for those patients is the use of commercial concentrates?

24 A. If we hadn't increased pool size to increase our 25 manufacturing capacity, then we wouldn't have kept pace with demand, and the only alternative then would have been for Haemophilia Directors to buy commercial product, which would have been the worst of all outcomes.

But I have thought about this some more since the 1980s and reduced pool size. The pool size is an important parameter, there is no question of that, but it is mostly important when you only have a single use or occasional use of a product. For those patients who are on lifelong therapy using Factor VIII, simply keeping small pool sizes would mean that those same patients would be subject to the same amount of donors because the individual batches wouldn't last as long.

So you don't necessarily, in the long-term, get a reduced exposure to patients over a period of a year or a lifelong treatment for haemophilia. So it would only be a transient benefit, certainly so far as non-A, non-B hepatitis was concerned. And I think that was our thinking as well. Although pool size was important, smaller batches certainly constrained capacity but didn't necessarily -- in terms of chronic exposure to factor concentrates -- necessarily reduce the overall donor exposure over a significant period of time.

118

Q. One question I have been asked to ask you in relation to Factor IX production is whether smaller pools could have been used to prepare Factor IX due to the fact

3 that far less was required by way of Factor IX

concentrates?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

4

- 5 6 Yes, but I think the same principles apply to Factor IX as they do to Factor VIII. Although it was 7 8 a lower output product, it did consume manufacturing 9 capacity of PFC. So had we increased or decreased the 10 pool size for Factor IX, or kept it at a very low 11 level, that would have consumed our freeze-drying capacity and our downstream processing capacity, which 12
- 13 would have limited our ability to make Factor VIII.
- 14 So both Factor VIII and Factor IX, which drew upon
- 15 freeze-drying resources, were interlinked as well. 16
- Q. Is it right to understand, if one is looking at a much 17 bigger picture, what you are talking about is the
- 18 decisions that were made constrained by PFC, as it 19 was, with the capacity, the size, the number of
- 20 freeze dryers, the storage facilities, the production
- lines, the staff it had --21
- 22 Α
- 23 Q. -- rather than it not being possible to devise 24 a system whereby smaller pools could be used?
- 25

I think had you -- had the plasma supply continued to

increase in the way that we wanted it to increase, and indeed it did increase, and we hadn't increased the pool size, then we would have had to have completely reconfigured the manufacturing activities at PFC. It would have become effectively not a large scale but a small scale manufacturing unit with multiple process streams going on simultaneously and it is highly expensive, it is highly inefficient.

Also, the smaller the pool size, there is a thing called a "line loss" during the manufacturing process. As you go from one step to another, you also lose some material because it's residues in tanks and so on. And QC sampling, the size of product loss through QC sampling, for example, can start to become very, very substantial. The smaller the pool size becomes, you could be losing 10 or 20 per cent of your batch, just through QC sampling, because the number of samples that you need to take for QC testing is fixed. And these become -- when you put them all together, they become very substantial losses in output. Can I just ask you to look at one letter, it is from

Q. Dr Cash to you, just to see if you can help us understand what he was referring to. It is PRSE0003102. It is a letter of 7th December 1984 to you. I just wanted to -- it is headed "batch

120

(30) Pages 117 - 120

dedication", and I will come later to the question of batch dedication, but I just wondered if you could help us with the second paragraph:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

a

December '84.

"Any moves directed towards restricting donor pool size (designated plasma pool for each donor) must be discussed by all directors before implementation. It is an exciting option but I suspect will have colossal cost and operational implications. There's much to be done before we need to consider this option."

Do you know what Dr Cash was referring to there? Yes, I do. I didn't remember this letter until it was Α. provided to me by the Inquiry and it was a response to a suggestion by Dr Crawford for a system of restricting or creating individual donor pools, and I have to say that I never really understood at the time what he was actually proposing. But it did seem to me, and it certainly seemed to Professor Cash at the time, that it might have been horrendously expensive and operationally extremely complex. So he was simply saying, "We have arrangements in place to control donor pool size". What was the date of this?

24 A. December '84. By then we had agreed to introduce 25 a system of batch dedication to control patient 121

decision-making relating to the use of freeze dried cryo seen here?

I don't recall having any detailed discussions about it. I think it is by 1982 I would have been aware of this but perhaps primarily from a historical perspective. I think by the time I arrived at PFC, I think, as far as I was concerned, the discussion and debate about this was all but over. There was still the option, and it hadn't been formally abandoned as an option, but for all the reasons that have been provided, freeze dried cryoprecipitate was, I think, formally put to bed, as it were, in early 1983.

In addition, I think my view at the time was that such an activity would have required a complete redesign of the manufacturing facilities. It was a completely different process. It was small-pool, multiple small-pool manufacture. We didn't have the environments in which to do that, the sterile handling environments and so on, and at that time I was in the middle of a process of redesigning facility for large-scale manufacture. So my view would have been this can't be done.

- 23 O. At the PFC?
- 24 A. At the PFC from a quality perspective.
- 25 But you didn't have any involvement in the question of

exposure to donors and this, however exciting, was

2 extremely complicated and should not -- and

Professor Cash's view, and I think probably mine as

4 well, was that it was not really a viable option.

- 5 Q. Is it right to understand it received no further 6 consideration?
- 7 Oh absolutely none, no. Well, that's not fair. There 8 was no further discussion on this as an option.
- 9 Q. Freeze dried cryoprecipitate; we know from 10 documentation that the Inquiry has already looked at 11 and indeed evidence, oral evidence that the Inquiry 12 has heard, a little of what happened in relation to 13 the freeze dried cryoprecipitate production at the Law
- 14 Hospital?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. I think you have seen in the materials provided to you 17 documents that suggest it is something that Mr Watt 18 certainly was not keen on, describing it as a step 19 back in history and a "suitable product perhaps for 20 Turkey but not Scotland" I think were his words?
- 21 Yes, they were.
- 22 And I think you'll also have seen some exchanges 23 between Mr Watt and Dr Cash on it. As I understand 24 the chronology, it was essentially abandoned in 25 January 1983. Did you have any involvement in the

whether it could or should be done elsewhere?

2 Α. No No

6

7

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

3 Q. Can I then come to it the stockpile or surplus that 4 was built up. I want to look at a handful of 5 contemporaneous documents with you in a moment.

> But what was -- how was it that by the end of 1983 and into 1984 that PFC had built up this significant quantity of product?

9 I think it was a consequence of increased throughput, 10 plus, and probably more importantly, the rewards from 11 the work that Dr Foster did in terms of increasing 12 process yield for Factor VIII at that time.

And I can remember discovering that we had this large quantity of Factor VIII and doing some simple calculations and concluding that unless we took some action, then this product would outdate, and I communicated that to Mr Watt, and Mr Watt subsequently communicated it to Professor Cash. Is my understanding of the events.

20 What I wanted to do is look at a couple of those 21 communications from '83 and then look at a couple of 22 communications in '84 with BPL and then explore it 23 a little more generally with you.

24 So if we start by looking at PRSE0001576. 25 This is a memo from you to Mr Watt and others,

(31) Pages 121 - 124

1		18 November 1983. It says:	1		you and Mr Grant have done some form of stock
2		"A recent survey of the stock levels of	2		exercise?
3		FVIII concentrate both at RTCs and within the PFC	3	A.	Yes. I can't remember whether it was initiated by
4		'sausage machine' indicates the development of some	4		Mr Grant or maybe just in terms of my routine sort of
5		important and interesting trends which I feel should	5		surveillance of the centre, I noticed we had very,
6		be examined as a matter of urgency by the SNBTS so	6		very large quantities of Factor VIII and perhaps asked
7		that appropriate action (if any) can be taken".	7		Mr Grant, who was the manufacturing manager, to do
8		Then you refer to the stock levels here.	8		a stock take and find out some details of what product
9		I don't, I think, need to read out that second	9		existed, what its expiry dates were and so on.
10		paragraph.	10		And I remember being quite shocked at the
11		Then looking at the third paragraph you say:	11		outcome that we had accumulated that amount of
12		"Looking back at the SNBTS annual statistical	12		material whilst still operating a pro rata
13		sticks for 1982/83 the SNBTS issued [approximately]	13		distribution system. But we may come on to that.
14		25,000 vials of Factor VIII. Assuming this rate of	14	Q.	Your concern was that you were going to have more than
15		usage is maintained present stocks represent 1.4 years	15		you needed to issue and you were essentially going to
16		supply. If this stockpile continues to grow at the	16		be left with outdated concentrate that couldn't be
17		present rate (ie 10,000 vials/year) then we will soon	17		used?
18		be in a position of manufacturing Factor VIII which	18	A.	It's not so much
19		will inevitably outdate."	19	Q.	It would be wasted or
20		Then you conclude:	20	Α.	Well, yes, in a sense, but the concern that I and
21		"However, I have a feeling that we may already	21		certainly Professor Cash had, and others, was that
22		be in a position where other existing material or	22		this was valuable material, the UK was short of
23		future batches will outdate."	23		Factor VIII, certainly NHS Factor VIII, and it would
24		Then you suggest trying to "gain a more accurate	24		have been a shocking waste of material if this was not
25		picture of where we are holding". We can see there 125	25		usefully used for haemophilia care in the UK. So it 126
1		was the waste of product in terms of haemophilia care	1		So that is the point I think you were just
2		that was shocking and, in a sense, having a surplus	2		making?
3		product in itself is not a problem, you can destroy	3	A.	Yes.
4		it, but that would have been a criminal act I think.	4	Q.	"I have a gut reaction (but we need this corroborated
5	Q.	Then if we go to PRSE0001537.	5		by PFC) that supplies may be sufficient for us to pull
6		This is Dr Cash to Mr Watt, 29 November 1983,	6		out RTC deposited product that has 6 months shelf life
7		copied to you or referred to you as well, as we see	7		left and offer it to the NBTS."
8		from the stamp. If we just look at the first	8		Then he asks Mr Watt to provide him with certain
9		paragraph to start with:	9		information.
10		"Recent correspondence with colleagues at PFC	10		Then we go over the page, just so we don't need
11		and odd comments gleaned from others has indicated	11		to come back to this document, we can see there the
12		that we may be genuinely moving near to a national	12		second point is:
13		(SHS) surplus of factor VIII."	13		"Batch issue to individual patients
14		SHS, is that Scottish health service?	14		"I have long dreamt that this might eventually
15	A.	Scottish health service, yes.	15		be introduced (even gradually) to reduce the number
16	Q.	"It would be imprudent to make any rash moves at the	16		of donor exposures. I would be most grateful for your
17		moment we will take the opportunity of examining	17		thoughts on how this would be introduced in your
18		the position together in the near future.	18		region."
19		"There are two points I would wish to make at	19		Then the PS:
20		this time."	20		"An answer to (a) is more urge lit required than
21		Then the first:	21		(b)."
22		"Outdating	22		So we will come back to the issue of batch issue
23		"It would be a very serious matter if product	23		or batch dedication
24		outdated when patients South of the border continue to	24	A.	This letter was, I think, almost certainly sent to
25		be exposed to commercial material."	25		regional directors as well, because he is asking them 128

(32) Pages 125 - 128

1		for data on the amount of product that they had in	1	PFC"
2		their centres.	2	Next paragraph:
3	Q.	So that is end of November 1983, the existence of this	3	"You will appreciate that a regular supply
4		surplus or stockpile appears to have been clearly	4	commitment cannot be made but our best estimate is
5		identified, a little work being done to ascertain	5	that the a total of 7-9 x 10 [to the power of] 6 IU
6		exactly what was held and to consider options and to	6	[I think that says] could be decanted to England and
7		deal with it:	7	Wales within the current financial year."
8	A.	Yes.	8	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: It is 7 to 9 million, isn't it?
9	Q.	We then move, I think in terms of the documents I'm	9	A. It is 7 to 9 million units, yes.
10		going to ask you to look at, and then I'm going to see	10	MS RICHARDS: "I am now most anxious to establish the
11		if you can help fill the gap to June 1984.	11	necessary arrangements for product supply.
12		CBLA0001850.	12	"We will supply the product in our standard
13		Now, of course, that was the document we just	13	package and I would suggest that a quarterly
14		looked at was 29 November 1983. Mr Watt left soon	14	collection would be the most practicable arrangement.
15		after in December 1983. You took over in the	15	"Perhaps you could let me know when you will be
16		beginning of '84 as acting director, and this is sent	16	in a position to receive and distribute this material
17		by you in your capacity as acting director to	17	and no doubt you will contact me if you require any
18		Mr Pettet at BPL, 8 June. If we go to the text of the	18	further information from this end. Our interest in
19		letter:	19	the material once it has been sent to CBLA will be
20		"I understand that agreement has been reached	20	restricted to arrangements for product recall in the
21		between Dr Cash and Dr Lane that excess stocks of PFC	21	event of a product defect/adverse reaction. In such
22		Factor VIII are to be decanted to CBLA for subsequent	22	an event, I believe it would be most sensible if you
23		distribution to Regional Transfusion Centres in	23	acted on our behalf."
24		England and Wales. Dr Lane has also indicated that	24	So that's you writing to BPL, 8 June '84. Then
25		you are the most appropriate person to liaise with 129	25	if we go to CBLA0001882. This is a further letter 130
1		from you, 7 September 1984, to Mr Pettet. It says: "Following our telephone conversation I can now	1 2	I took over as acting director from Mr Watt, and that was the dismantling of the pro rata system and putting
3		confirm that 2,123,500 a IU of"	3	in its place a supply arrangement based on clinical
4	A.	The "a" is a typographical error.	4	need, on a truly national distribution system,
5		" of Factor VIII Concentrate will be delivered to	5	
6	Q.	your centre on Friday, 14 September."	6	irrespective of the amount of plasma that was coming on. And that decision was proposed and agreed by
7		•	7	directors on the basis of the stocks that had been
8		You explain it is equivalent to 8,320 vials.	8	
9		Then the next paragraph: "It is difficult at this stage to assess how	9	built up. So I think I'm trying to reconstruct what
9 10		ū	10	• •
11		much will be available in the next quarter (December '84)"	11	might have happened and which will have caused this I wouldn't call it a delay why we didn't
12		Then you give an estimate of what the surplus	12	
13		may be but say that:	13	actually formalise the arrangement until June, and that was we were waiting to see what impact that
14		" you shouldn't plan on this being	14	arrangement would have on stock levels and so on. Or
15		available!"	15	maybe it was no more complex than wanting to
16		Now, having looked at that correspondence, can	16	reconfigure our supply arrangement in Scotland and
17		you help us understand, first of all, why, on the	17	then move on to this as a separate topic.
18		basis of this correspondence, it looks like it took	18	I don't think we perceived it as an urgent
19		until the middle of 1984 for the arrangement to be	19	activity.
20		made to supply surplus to BPL?	20	Q. And then
20				
21	Α.	Yes.	21 22	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Just on that last point, the letter from John Cash of 29 November 1983, where he spoke
22	Q.	Do you know why that couldn't have taken place more	23	about the need to reduce it and asked for an answer to
23 24	A.	quickly?  No, I think there was one parallel activity that was	23	two points, point (a) and point (b). He said, in
25	А.	going on at the time, at the beginning of 1984, when	25	effect, point (b) can wait but point (a) can't and
		131	20	132

(33) Pages 129 - 132

1		point (a) was this. So if it wasn't urgency, it must	1		view of how much could be supplied to England and
2		have been the next best thing.	2		Wales.
3	A.	I think Professor Cash was making the point it was	3	SIR	R BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Just on that point, in this letter
4		urgent from a SNBTS perspective to establish exactly	4		that's currently on the screen, the quarter in which
5		what the stock level was at the Regional Transfusion	5		14 September falls, 2 million. The quarter in which
6		Centres as well as the PFC. I do not think he was	6		December falls, 2 million. That's a rate of 8 million
7		implying that there was an urgent requirement to	7		a year.
8		decant the product, other than its imminent outdating.	8	A.	Yes, I don't think the intention was to do it on
9		So he was simply saying we need to fix this problem	9		a continuous basis at 8 million a year. I think the
10		first because we cannot be seen to be allowing	10		surplus that had been built up had been built up over
11		Factor VIII to outdate on our shelves. That would be	11		a number of well, not a large number of years but
12		a shocking dereliction of duty, I think his view was	12		maybe '83 and '84 we had built this stockpile and
13		and I think I shared that view.	13		I think it was simply I think in some ways it was
14	MS	RICHARDS: Do you know what else was done with the	14		demonstrating proof of principle, that it was possible
15		surplus? Was this supply to BPL essentially the	15		and appropriate to transfer products from one part of
16		answer to the issue identified by you, by Dr Cash?	16		the NHS in Scotland to another part of the NHS in
17	A.	Well, it was envisaged at that stage to be an initial	17		England and Wales. I don't think it was ever
18		step. I think I made I was quite surprised when	18		envisaged as an on-going arrangement. It was
19		I reviewed this correspondence to see that I had	19		a mutually agreed and satisfactory and, I think,
20		estimated 7 to 9 million units. That would have	20		appropriate thing to do in the circumstances, because
21		clearly been over a long period of time and I think,	21		product I think this was quite unprecedented.
22		with hindsight, it probably didn't take account	22		I know that sounds quite surprising in today's world.
23		properly of losses on heat treatment which were coming	23		But it was unprecedented at the time that product from
24		in and increased demand and so on. So it was probably	24		Scotland should be transferred to England or vice
25		a slightly exaggerated, or at least over-optimistic,	25		versa. They were administratively quite separate
		133			134
1		units and where there was, as we've said before, high	1	A.	Correct, yes.
2		degrees of co-operation, there was never large-scale	2	Q.	Can we just look before we leave this topic at
3		transfer of product.	3		a discussion in your oral evidence to the Penrose
4	MS	RICHARDS: Was consideration given by you or by Dr Cash	4		Inquiry.
5		or anyone else, to your knowledge, to providing some	5		Sully, it should be PRSE0006025, please.
6		of the stockpile to Northern Ireland given the	6		If we go to sorry, page 28 I think it might
7		relationship that already existed with Northern	7		be. This is in the course of a series of questions
8		Ireland and the difficulties that Northern Ireland	8		and answers about the surplus and what was done with
9		faced in terms of the effect of the pro rata system?	9		it, but I just wanted to ask you about the exchange
10	A.	I don't know that I've got a clear memory or	10		here. So you refer towards the top of the page of
11		recollection of that. I don't recall there being	11		importance of finding out this is lines 4 to 5
12		discussions but I think, as I mentioned before lunch,	12		what the Regional Transfusion Centre stock was, which
13		that would have been an appropriate thing to do.	13		is what I think you referred to a few minutes ago.
14	Q.	Then this letter envisaged that there may be a further	14		Then there is a reference to the Glasgow figure.
15		supply in December 1984.	15		If we just go further down the page I'm
16	A.	Yes.	16		sorry, there is a passage that I'm yes, sorry, top
17	Q.	Did that ever happen or did that get overtaken by	17		of page 30. My apologies. Yes, you pick up in your
18		events	18		answer in relation to the Glasgow figure and you talk
19	A.	That was overtaken by events.	19		about this is lines 4 to 5:
20	Q.	Is it right to understand and obviously we will	20		"Certainly the stock level in Glasgow was much
21		come on to this the surplus that was held by PFC	21		higher than I thought it would have been."
22		was then effectively heat treated	22		Can you recall anything about that now, the
23	Δ	Vec	23		particular detail in relation to the level of stock

25

Centre?

24  $\,$  Q. -- to enable supply of the heat-treated product to

patients in Scotland?

25

(34) Pages 133 - 136

held in presumably the Glasgow Regional Transfusion

136

1	A.	We're really talking about 1984 mid-84 now, aren't	1		any, knowledge did you have of hepatitis?
2	_	we	2	A.	Of hepatitis? Very little indeed. I think in my
3			3		witness statement I described I spent a very
4	A.	or certainly late '83 when you were carrying out	4		informative and interesting year working as
5	_	this exercise.	5		a biochemist in the Royal Postgraduate Medical School
6	Q.	Yes.	6		in Hammersmith in the chemical pathology unit, and
7	A.	Yes, I think all of these observations and narratives	7		I guess that's where I first came across hepatitis
8		actually contributed to my one of the first things	8		because samples were supplied for analysis in yellow
9		I did when I became acting director which was to	9		tubes and, when I asked what the yellow tubes meant,
10		dismantle the pro rata system and build in a system	10		they said that's coming from a patient that is
11		which was based on supplying Regional Transfusion	11		suspected of having hepatitis, and we were told to be
12		Centres with product based on their stock levels and	12		very careful with it. That was probably the extent of
13		their estimated clinical need and, built into that	13		the intervention that occurred.
14		system from early 1984, was a requirement for Regional	14		But beyond that, and from discussions with
15		Transfusion Centres to feed back on a monthly basis	15		colleagues and doctors that worked in the unit in
16		what their stock levels were and then PFC could, as	16		Hammersmith, I had some understanding of what sort of
17		the central unit responsible for supplying products,	17		patients that material would come from. But beyond
18		would have a much better overview of the overall stock	18		that, I had no knowledge of its pathology and its
19		position in what I described earlier as a sausage	19		clinical sequelae to becoming infected with hepatitis.
20	^	machine.	20	^	So it was very rudimentary understanding.
21	Q.	You can take that down, thank you, Sully.	21	Q.	Then you've said in your witness statement to this
22		I want to move next then to the topic of hepatitis and specifically your knowledge of hepatitis	22		Inquiry that you became aware of the association
23			23 24		between hepatitis viruses and blood and blood products
24 25		and what was understood about hepatitis at the PFC.	2 <del>4</del> 25		within weeks of joining SNBTS. You describe it as
23		At the time you took up your role in 1981, what, if 137	23		a knowledge that was superficial initially but 138
					,,,,
1		deepening with time through discussion with PFC	1		well, I think my learning curve was quite steep in
2		colleagues and wider SNBTS colleagues.	2		respect of non-A, non-B hepatitis.
3		So, going back to, I think, a question I asked	3	Q.	And in terms of the nature of non-A, non-B hepatitis,
4		you earlier, would it be right to understand that	4		do you recall what the prevailing view was in PFC that
5		there was no formal training that you received about	5		was being shared with you about the nature of it or
6		risks of viruses or hepatitis in particular?	6		the seriousness of it or its potential for long-term
7	A.	No. I had no formal training. I think, without	7		sequelae?
8		wishing to be too immodest, I think I learnt very	8	Α.	At the beginning of the 1980s?
9		quickly from the regular and detailed discussions and	9	Q.	
10		correspondence and meeting with doctors and visiting	10	A.	I think it probably reflected the wider perspective on
11		Regional Transfusion Centres and so on, but it was not	11		non-A, non-B hepatitis, which was it wasn't properly
12		a formal structured programme to educate me in issues	12		understood. I think, to be honest, the view that was
13	_	associated with hepatitis.	13		conveyed to me or the view that I took away from
14	Q.	Do you recall learning relatively quickly or otherwise	14		discussions and conversations with colleagues was that
15		about non-A, non-B hepatitis?	15		it was a mild, self-limiting disease undesirable;
16	A.	Yes, it was the first time I'd heard of such a thing	16		you wouldn't want to catch it but it's not a major
17		when I joined the SNBTS but, as I say, again, within	17		issue. That was at the beginning of the 1980s. Now,
18		weeks I was educated in what this actually meant at	18		as we know, I think that particular view that
19		a very superficial level. You know, there is	19		I'd picked up developed quite quickly into a better
11/1					
20		hepatitis B which I was familiar with well, I was	20		recognition of what this disease caused and what its
21		aware of but I had never heard of non-A, non-B	21		long-term sequelae was.

25

24

25

But, as I say from discussions with colleagues

and basically the work of PFC and from Mr Watt as

(35) Pages 137 - 140

SNBTS and attendance at -- and particularly when

I became acting director and I attended regional

1		directors' meetings, RTC or SNBTS directors' meetings,	1		would not be sustainable in the longer term."
2		the topic of non-A, non-B hepatitis was discussed	2		Two matters arising out of that paragraph,
3		frequently. So that was a useful and important	3		Dr Perry. First of all, if we just have 87 and 88 on
4		learning experience as well.	4		the screen, please, Sully.
5	Q.	Can I just ask you to look at one paragraph in your	5		Are you able to be more specific about what "at
6		statement on this issue and see if you can help	6		this time" refers to?
7		illuminate it a little further. It's WITN6920001,	7	A.	I think my earliest recollection of this type of
8		please, Sully, page 31. Three zeros.	8		conversation with Professor Cash was a lunchtime
9		So paragraph 87 is you saying that you became	9		discussion. We often met at lunch because we went to
10		aware of the association between hepatitis viruses,	10		lunch at the same time and we spent the lunchtime
11		blood and blood products within weeks of joining	11		discussing non-A, non-B hepatitis. I think that would
12		SNBTS, and then you explain how that deepened through	12		have been probably in maybe late 1981 or 1982. The
13		time with discussion, in particular, following	13		view that was expressed to me by Professor Cash was
14		appointment as acting director.	14		that we really can't sustain a product supply which is
15		Then it is the next paragraph I wanted to ask	15		transmitting non-A, non-B hepatitis to all its
16		you about. You say:	16		recipients. We just saw that as it might be nowadays
17		"Also, at this time, Professor Cash was	17		seen as a blinding glimpse of the obvious, but at that
18		increasingly expressing his view during informal	18		time he was simply saying that this is not
19		discussions and conversations that manufacturers	19		sustainable, even measured against the perceived
20		(including PFC) should begin to address the challenge	20		benefit of clotting factor concentrates in haemophilia
21		of producing non-infective (with respect to hepatitis)	21		care. He found that, I think, genuinely, deeply
22		products and that a prevailing view amongst	22		uncomfortable and that's why he created the
23		haemophilia care providers and the fractionation	23		Factor VIII study group in 1982.
24		industry that risks of infectivity were greatly	24	Q.	Did you understand Professor Cash to be articulating
25		outweighed by the benefits of increased treatment 141	25		that view because it reflected a deeper understanding 142
1		that non-A, non-B hepatitis was not this mild,	1		programme, although I think it said I was attending on
2		self-limiting condition?	2		behalf of Mr Watt but
3	A.	I don't think he necessarily I wouldn't necessarily	3	Q.	If we just go to page 19, please, Sully. We can see

8

4 infer that from his conversation. He was simply 5 saying we know that there is something contaminating 6 the blood supply and that is finding its way into coagulation factor concentrates. That, in itself, is 7 8 a justifiable reason for taking action. I don't think 9 he was either giving me or whether he concluded 10 himself that non-A, non-B hepatitis was a much more 11 serious condition than had once been thought. It was 12 simply the fact that a pharmaceutical product that was 13 injected into patients should not be infective for 14 anything.

15 Q. Then just still sticking with the end of 1981, if we
16 look at CBLA0001464. These are the minutes of
17 a meeting of the UK Haemophilia Centre Directors,
18 9th October 1981. If we go to the third page, we can
19 see you're in attendance. You are the sixth name down
20 or thereabouts, Dr Perry.

21 **A**. Yes.

Q. So this would have been the first time, obviously, you
 attended a meeting of UKHCDO because it's the first
 year of your employment at PFC.

25 **A.** Yes, I think this was part of my unstructured training

Q. If we just go to page 19, please, Sully. We can see
 there the heading "Reports from Working Party
 Chairman", and the first report is in relation to
 hepatitis:

"Dr Craske presented the report which he had pre-circulated to all Haemophilia Centre Directors."

9 Then there's a discussion recorded in the 10 minutes. Do you know whether the reports of the 11 working parties were circulated to other attendees who 12 were not Haemophilia Centre Directors?

13 A. I do not think they were confidential documents.
 14 I think they enjoyed quite wide circulation is my
 15 understanding. Does it provide a clue to that on the
 16 heading?

17 Q. No, that's why I was asking. It says it was
18 pre-circulated to Haemophilia Centre Directors.
19 I'm just wondering whether you were, as it were, able
20 to go home from the meeting with copies of Dr Craske's
21 reports to share amongst colleagues in SNBTS or PFC?

A. Well, it might not have been in a written report. It
 might have been a verbal report that he delivered
 and -- but I have no memory that, in the introduction
 to these meetings, it was stated that they were

144

(36) Pages 141 - 144

1		confidential or anything like that. And indeed, on	1		not the minutes. This is a note taken by someone in
2		the occasions that I did attend these meetings,	2		attendance.
3		I would often write a report and circulate it to	3		If we go to I think it's probably page 3. Is
4		colleagues. It was part of the collegiate discussions	4		this your note, do you think?
5		that the transfusion services had with haemophilia	5	A.	I have seen this. And I have tried to work out myself
6		directors. I don't think they would have served any	6		whether in a sense it could be. It sounds like it
7		purpose if they had been confidential.	7		might have been written by me, but it might have been
8	Q.	No, I wasn't suggesting that they were confidential,	8		written by somebody like Dr Chris Prowse, who was very
9		Dr Perry. It is really just a question as to whether	9		familiar with coagulation factor products.
10		you can recall whether we know Dr Craske did	10		It seems to have a fair amount of high level
11		produce written reports. I was simply	11		scientific and clinical data in it for me, at that
12	A.	He did indeed.	12		stage in my career, to have competently written this,
13	Q.	wondering whether they were made available beyond	13		but some of the phraseology does sound familiar to me.
14		Haemophilia Centre Directors?	14	Q.	In any event, whether it was written by you, it would
15	A.	Well, they would have been circulated if I had	15		appear from the very top of the page, where it says
16		received such a report from Dr Craske at the meeting,	16		"Notes (On Matters of Relevance to PFC)", it was
17		then as soon as I got back to Edinburgh I would have	17		written by someone associated with PFC for the purpose
18		circulated that to colleagues. It would have been	18		of sharing with the PFC, is that a fair inference?
19		photocopied and posted out.	19	A.	Absolutely, yes, yes. Yes. This would have been
20	Q.	Then if we just move forward, perhaps before the	20		circulated throughout the SNBTS I think.
21		break, to the second UKHCDO meeting you would have	21	Q.	Then I just want to ask you briefly about something at
22		attended.	22		page 5.
23		So PRSE0000185, please, Sully.	23		You can see you don't need to look at the top
24		So this refers to the Haemophilia Directors'	24		of the page, but there is a discussion about liver
25		annual meeting, 13 to 14 September 1982. These are	25		disease in haemophiliacs. Then it is the bottom half
		145			146
1		of the page I just wanted to ask you about.	1		implement this, because the same there would have
2		So there is a reference to a presentation, it	2		been the same downside for BPL to it's basically
3		would appear, by Professor Zuckerman on non-A, non-B	3		post-transfusion surveillance.
4		hepatitis. Then a presentation by Dr Snape, which is	4	Q.	Then if we see there is a reference there to a policy
5		described as containing no revelations. But then it	5		in relation to infrequent uses of Factor VIII and IX.
6		says:	6		Then over the page in relation to regular users.
7		"It was the declared policy of BPL in (in the	7		I just wanted to ask you about the first of those. We
8		future) to establish a system whereby all incoming	8		can see the second, "Selective Vaccination", the
9		plasma would be quarantined for 6 months in order to	9		third, "Heat Inactivation - currently being
10		enable reports of post-transfusion hepatitis from	10		investigated". The first:
11		centres to be traced to plasma donations or pools."	11		"Improvements in donor screening and pool
12		Do you know whether a similar system was or	12		security."
13		policy operated at PFC?	13		Do you know what's meant by that?
14	A.	No, we did not operate that policy.	14	A.	No. Can we go back a page, please?
15	Q.	And do you know whether any consideration was given to	15	Q.	Yes. It is the bottom of the previous page.
16		whether one that should be adopted on receipt of	16	A.	Is that or is that just the heading?
17		this?	17	Q.	Yes.
18	A.	I don't know. This was 1982, wasn't it?	18	A.	"Removal of Viral Contaminants"
19	Q.	Yes, September '82.	19		What is that?
20	A.	If we had done that, that would have required the	20	Q.	It is a note of Dr Snape presenting BPL policy in
21		express consent, approval and support of Mr Watt and	21		relation to infrequent users and then, over the page,
22		Professor Cash to do that. And removing effectively	22		regular users?
23		six months' supply of plasma would have severely	23	A.	Yes, okay.
20		on months supply of plasma would have severely			, <b>,</b> -
24		affected our ability to maintain our output and so on.	24	Q.	It is just the reference to obviously donor

(37) Pages 145 - 148

1	A.	"Improvements in donor screening and pool security."	1		94 you say this:
2		I'm not quite sure I can explain what that	2		"In any event it became clear to me at an early
3		means.	3		stage in my employment in SNBTS that the transmission
4		"Selective Vaccination" is fairly obvious.	4		of NANB to eg haemophilia patients, was
5		That's perhaps infecting injecting susceptible	5		an unacceptable state of affairs thus the decision
6		haemophilia patients with hepatitis B vaccine, because	6		to establish a SNBTS FVIII study group."
7		that was the only vaccine that was available for	7		That is picking up on a concern expressed by
8		hepatitis viruses at the time.	8		Professor Cash?
9		And "Heat Inactivation".	9	A.	Yes, it is part of that.
10		But, no, I can't unless Dr Snape was	10	Q.	Then, I just wanted to ask you about 95:
11		suggesting that you could do some more to reduce the	11		"Notably, in the early 1980s research was
12		viral burden in plasma pools by changes to donor	12		conducted in SNBTS with the objective of identifying
13		screening. And I'm not sure what pool security meant,	13		specific candidate markers and tests for NANB
14		but	14		hepatitis."
15	Q.	Just before we leave this topic and break, if we can	15		What particular research did you have in mind
16		go back to your witness statement at WITN69290001,	16		there?
17		page 33, please.	17	A.	It wasn't being undertaken at PFC, it was being
18	A.	I would say, having just read that last document, that	18		undertaken in Dr Brian McClelland's centre by and
19		I'm fairly confident that it was written by myself	19		I do remember his name his name was Bob Hopkins,
20		now.	20		and his project was he spent a considerable amount
21	Q.	Thank you. I think there was a prolonged debate	21		of time, I think measured in years, in searching for
22		during your oral evidence to the Penrose Inquiry	22		appropriate markers that you could measure that would
23		whether it was or wasn't. So one mystery solved	23		be indicative of non-A, non-B hepatitis infection.
24		hopefully.	24		I think despite his best efforts, and he was a very
25		Then if we just look at paragraphs 94 and 95.	25		good scientist, I don't think it had a successful
		149			150
1		outcome. But it was a process in place in SNBTS.	1	A.	Yes, I would have either read it or appropriate
2	MS	RICHARDS: Sir, I note the time and I'm moving to	2		abstracts from it would have been photocopied and
3		a different topic, so perhaps a good moment	3		circulated. That was part of the SNBTS document
4	SIR	BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. We will take a break now until	4		sharing before internet existed. That's how
5		3.50 pm.	5		information was circulated around the SNBTS. So
6	(3.2	23 pm)	6		I regularly saw MMWR, yes.
7	•	(A short break)	7	Q.	So in the second half of 1982, not necessarily
8	(3.5	60 pm)	8		immediately they were published, but those
9		RICHARDS: Dr Perry, I'm going to move to ask you now	9		publications would have come to your attention?
10		a little bit about AIDS and your knowledge of AIDS.	10	A.	I can't guarantee that but it was certainly a regular
11		Do you recall roughly how or when you learnt	11		feature of my background reading, as it were.
12		about AIDS for the first time?	12	Q.	And do you recall, in general terms I'm not asking
13	A.	No, I can't put a precise date on it, but my guess	13		here about specific meetings or specific dates, but do
14		would be some time in 1982, perhaps, from discussions	14		you recall how and when it became apparent that there
15		with Mr Watt and just general surveillance of the	15		might be a link with blood or blood products and
16		literature and so on. But I can't I think my very	16		an issue of potential significance for SNBTS and,
17		early discussions with Mr Watt was one of the ideas he	17		indeed, for fractionators?
18		had that it was CMV infection, it was a consequence of	18	A.	No, I think I would be guessing if I pretended that
19		CMV infection, but that was pure speculation.	19		I did know exactly when that happened. But it would
20	Q.	We know that in July 1982 there was reported cases of	20		perhaps have been around late 1982, early 1983, that
21	٠.,	AIDS in haemophiliacs in the States, July 1982. And	21		there was increasing I don't think there was ever
22		then later, I think December 1982, further reports in	22		a key event, a single event, that triggered the
23		the MMWR report of a transfused baby or toddler in	23		complete understanding of AIDS and its actiology and
24		California. Would you have read the MMWRs or had them	24		epidemiology but it would have been around that time.
25		brought to your attention, do you recall?	25		I think the view that it was a blood-borne virus was
		151			152

(38) Pages 149 - 152

1		hardening up, I think.	1	Q.	Yes, and that's a little later in 1983
2	Q.	Then if we look at your witness statement,	2	A.	That's a little later, yes.
3		WITN6920001. If we go to page 28 and look at the	3	Q.	so we see discussions emerging or taking place
4		bottom of that page, I think you say at paragraph 79:	4		certainly in Scotland in May of 1983.
5		"I do not recall any specific practical actions	5	A.	Yes. My recollection what we did do (but it wasn't
6		being undertaken by PFC in response to early reports	6		in response to AIDS, it was in response to non-A,
7		concerning AIDS other than paying close attention to	7		non-B hepatitis) which was establish the SNBTS
8		emerging UK, international and regulatory	8		coagulation factor study group under Professor Cash
9		(particularly FDA) opinion."	9		and, of course, had that been had early success,
10		Then, over the page, you refer in the second	10		then it would have been applied to HIV risk as well as
11		line at the top of the page to:	11		non-A, non-B risk.
12		"SNBTS and PFC staff regularly attended UK and	12	Q.	We heard from Dr Foster about his attendance at the
13		international meetings and conferences in which AIDS	13		World Federation of Haemophilia conference in
14		increasingly featured in the scientific programmes and	14		Stockholm in the middle of 1983. Did you attend
15		discussions."	15		that conference?
16		So I think it is right to understand from your	16	A.	No, I don't remember going to that, no.
17		statement that, at this point in time, late '82 into	17	Q.	Now, a meeting you did attend, and which you refer to
18		1983, there was nothing in particular PFC did as	18		in your statement, is the meeting of Haemophilia
19		a response to the emerging and increasing knowledge	19		Centre Directors in October 1983. If we can look at
20		about AIDS.	20		the note that I think you made, or someone from PFC
21	A.	I'm not sure when I think the answer to that is no,	21		made, about that meeting, it is at PRSE0000040 please
22		we didn't do anything in particular. I'm not quite	22		Sully. We can see it is entitled:
23		sure when I can't remember, although it's been	23		"Notes on the Fourteenth Meeting of UK
24		discussed recently at the Inquiry, when the first	24		Haemophilia Centre Directors at Oxford RHA
25		leaflets were proposed to be sent to donors and so on. 153	25		17th October 1983." 154
1		Looking at this now, is it your belief that this	1		worse than the existing product."
2		was your note?	2		Then this records, assuming this is your note,
3	A.	I think it is, yes. I think so.	3		you asking whether any viral inactivation data was
4	Q.	Then we can see it records:	4		available on the process, to which Terry responded by
5		"Major points of interest emerging from the	5		saying that:
6		morning session were brief discussions on BPL ATIII	6		" such data may be available in due course
7		concentrate"	7		but would probably follow the general availability of
8		Is that antithrombin III?	8		the product and therefore be retrospective."
9	A.	Yes, antithrombin III.	9		Do you have any recollection of that issue being
10	Q.	And then:	10		discussed and explored?
11		'non-infective' FVIII concentrates."	11	A.	I can't remember the actual question and answer
12	A.	Yes.	12		exchange, but clearly I asked the question about
13	Q.	Then there's an account about what Dr Snape was saying	13		this would have been model viral inactivation data,
14		about ATIII?	14		not patient data, or this would have been spiking
15	A.	Yes.	15		samples with model viruses and I think that's what
16	Q.	If we go to the bottom half of the page, we can see	16		I was probing Dr Snape about.
17		there the heading "Heat-treated Factor VIII	17	Q.	Then we see the second option identified is
18		concentrate" and it records Dr Snape seeking	18		"pasteurisation". Then if we go over the page, I just
19		haemophilia directors' views on two options regarding	19		want to pick up the first main paragraph on the page.
20		heat-treated Factor VIII products. The first option	20		It says:
21		is dry heat, and you record:	21		"In general discussion of above options
22		"No technical details were presented	22		Dr Craske pointed out that limited experience
23		"Subject to demand, Terry Snape indicated that	23		(Travenol) of heated dry product was not encouraging.
24		such a product could be available within 2-3 months	24		There also emerged a general fear and fateful
25		would be available on the basis that it is no 155	25		acceptance that the production of non-infective 156

(39) Pages 153 - 156

1		products would lead to reduction in availability of	1		and it says that Terry seemed to reinforce this view.
2		NHS concentrates (i.e. loss of yield). Terry seemed to	2		So I think Terry, representing BPL, reinforced that
3		reinforce this view quoting figures of up to 25% loss	3		view that the output of BPL, if they were to heat
4		in yield over the existing product. I pointed out	4		treat their products, would fall by a further 25%.
5		that, while hard data was not yet available,	5		So it was and the inevitable consequence of
6		developments relating to other aspects of the overall	6		that would be for increased purchases of commercial
7		manufacturing process upstream of any heating process	7		products, whether heated or unheated, which would not
8		may partly or fully offset any yield inherent in	8		have been a good outcome.
9		pasteurisation. I quoted, in particular, Peter's	9	Q.	Then why was it, looking at the last sentence of that
10		publication on zinc and calcium."	10		paragraph, that you, Dr Ludlam and Dr Boulton didn't
11		That's presumably Dr Foster?	11		think it appropriate to discuss publicly what was
12	A.	Yes.	12		happening in terms of PFC's trial of heat-treated
13	Q.	"Neither Dr Boulton, Dr Ludlam or myself considered it	13		Factor VIII?
14		appropriate to discuss publicly the details of our	14	A.	Sorry, what was the date of this?
15		current 'clinical trial' on heat treated Factor VIII."	15	Q.	It is 17 October 1983.
16		So just pausing there, can you assist, first of	16	A.	Oh, okay. I think it was because clearly the
17		all, with the general fear and fateful acceptance that	17		details of our what is described there is our
18		production of non-infective products would lead to	18		clinical trial, I think this was the ZHT the PFC
19		a reduction in availability of NHS concentrates. What	19		pasteurised product. Details of that had already been
20		was the issue or the concern there? Who was	20		shared with BPL, but amongst only within between
21		expressing fear or fateful acceptance? Was that the	21		BPL and PFL. And I think Dr Boulton, Ludlam and
22		general attendees at the meeting?	22		myself thought it was neither ready or appropriate to
23	A.	I would imagine it would have been the Haemophilia	23		discuss this in a wider public forum. I don't
24		Centre Directors who were already suffering from	24		think I am not sure whether the trial at that stage
25		shortages of NHS product, and perhaps also, I think 157	25		had taken place or whether it was in planning. 158
1	Q.	Then the note continues:	1	Q.	And is it right to understand that this meeting didn't
2		"Despite the unvalidated nature of BPL's short	2		lead to any change of approach or direction on the
3		term solution there seemed to be a general feeling in	3		part of PFC's work? You continued when I say
4		favour of a heated dry product since such a solution	4		"you", I mean PFC continued with its pasteurisation
5		would 'do no harm'. It was suggested that BPL	5		project?
6		manufacture a limited scale batch of heated dry	6	A.	Yes.
7		product with a view to conducting a small clinical	7	Q.	Then if we go to the bottom half of the page we can

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

product with a view to conducting a small clinical trial in virgin haemophiliacs (or at least those with no previous exposure to concentrates and who have normal LFTs)."

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

heat treatment.

That appears to suggest the mood of the meeting favouring dry-heated product over a pasteurised product. Is that the right way to read this note? I think it was simply reflecting that the dry heat treated product, without really any evidence that it would be effective against non-A, non-B or any other unknown viruses, because we don't know their nature, would have -- it would have been quicker, so in a sense if there was any favour shown to the dry heat treated product, I think it was simply the timescale for its introduction. I think, certainly from where I sat, most people felt that a pasteurised product was likely to be more efficacious in terms of virus kill over a wide range of viruses than quite modest dry

159

7 Q. Then if we go to the bottom half of the page we can 8 see the "Afternoon session". It says:

"Comprehensive written reports were circulated 10 (attached)."

I think that probably answers my earlier question to you about obtaining copies of the written reports.

Then there is a reference to the situation in relation to the States. We can see towards the bottom it says:

"... total of 21 cases of transfusion associated AIDS in the USA."

Then if we go to the top of the next page it says:

"Crude interpretation of these figures provides the following risk statistics.

> "Transfusion -- 1 in 500,000 at risk. "Haemophiliacs -- 1.2 in 1,000 at risk.

25 "Conclusion -- Serious disease in haemophiliacs

(40) Pages 157 - 160

1		a low possibility??"	1		case and the Bristol case, or Cardiff patient and
2		I don't know whether you can, at this distance	2		Bristol patient. In any event, do you have any
3		of time, say, Dr Perry, but is that your	3		recollection of discussions within PFC or within SNBTS
4		interpretation of what the figures that have been	4		about the fact that by October 1983 there were cases
5		delivered or is that you recording a discussion at the	5		in haemophiliacs in the United Kingdom? Do you
6		meeting about numbers at risk and the extent to which	6		remember that being a subject of discussion and
7		serious disease	7		concern at SNBTS?
8	A.	I think this is they are certainly not my figures.	8	A.	There were two cases, weren't there, in haemophilia
9		I don't think I would in been in a position to do	9		patients?
10		those calculations. I think these were the data	10	Q.	Yes.
11		that was presented. I have to say it was a in many	11	A.	That's right. I can't remember whether I'm pretty
12		senses, as we know now, they were wildly off the mark,	12		certain it will have been discussed. This was
13		and simply because they were just taking numbers of	13		a report that went out to all my colleagues in PFC and
14		known patients with AIDS and dividing that by the	14		certainly colleagues in RTCs. So it would have
15		total population and, in a sense, projecting that as	15		provoked discussion. I can't remember what that
16		a risk, which clearly completely underestimated the	16		discussion was or what the outcome of that discussion
17		number of patients that seroconverted to HIV but had	17		might have been.
		•		0	•
18	CID	yet to be detected.	18	Q.	Then if we just look at the bottom of this page, we
19	SIK	BRIAN LANGSTAFF: It wasn't dealing with risk at all,	19		have got the heading "Hepatitis": "NHS material is no better than commercial
20		was it? It was dealing with perceived incidence.	20		
21		It was an incidence calculation, you are right, yes.	21		product, with respect to disease transmission.
22	WS	RICHARDS: There's then a summary of the UK situation	22		"In a small study using an 'accredited donor'
23		and reference to details of haemophiliac cases A1 and	23		pool, manufactured by BPL, one in eight recipients
24		A4. That, I suspect, is a reference to the	24		have so far developed signs of NANB hepatitis. So far
25		individuals that we have referred to as the Cardiff 161	25		this study is of two months duration and unfortunately 162
1		BPL cannot determine the pool size used to make the	1		it was certainly known by then that NHS products were
2		batch."	2		not free from the risk of non-A, non-B hepatitis.
3		Now, that first paragraph under the heading	3		They were believed, certainly in the early studies, to
4		"Hepatitis":	4		have lower severity of disease and the clinical
5		"NHS material is no better than commercial	5		symptoms were shorter lived. Whether that turned out
6		product, with suspected disease transmission."	6		to be a valid observation, I don't know, so there were
7		Would be a fairly fundamental piece of	7		differences but the idea that NHS products were safe
8		information, would it not, for PFC, whose	8		was simply discounted as a result of this.
9		raison d'être, as you've described it, has been very	9	Q.	Can I then just ask you to look briefly at the formal
10		much "domestic NHS concentrates are safer than	10		minutes of the meeting, rather than your note.
11		commercial concentrates". Can you recall the	11		We will find those at PRSE0004440.
12		discussion about that issue?	12		We have got the date there again,
13	A.	I think this relates to unless my timing is wrong,	13		17 October 1983, and your attendance is recorded on
14		but to the really quite key and sentinel publication	14		the second page.
15		by Dr Kernoff, who did detailed studies in patients on	15		If we go to, I think it should be page 9, first
16		NHS product and on commercial product, and he found	16		of all, Sully.
17		that I think I think something like 8 out of 9	17		I don't have a question for you in relation to
18		patients on NHS product developed symptoms of non-A,	18		this, Dr Perry, but I'm going to flag up that if we
19		non-B hepatitis, 100 per cent for commercial product,	19		look at the penultimate paragraph on the page, it
20		and I think it is referring to that. So in my note	20		says:
21		this is simply a shorthand referring to an appendix.	21		"In reply to a query Dr Snape said that BPL
22		So maybe there is more detail in the appendix but	22		hoped that not more than 10-15% of the factor VIII
23		I can't remember what that appendix actually	23		yield would be lost in the making of the virus free
24		contained.	24		products."
25		But if this meeting is from late 1983, I think	25		I just draw attention to that, because I think
		163			164

(41) Pages 161 - 164

1		your note had recorded a possibility of up to 25%. It	1		Now, that is a debate perhaps of most direct
2		may not be inconsistent but I just wanted to draw that	2		interest to haemophilia clinicians, but is it one that
3		out.	3		you have any recollection of, that part of that
4	A.	Okay.	4		meeting?
5	Q.	If we go to the next page, there is a discussion under	5	A.	No, I don't recall the detail of the meeting, I'm
6	Œ.	the heading "Any Other Business", and this is	6	۸.	afraid. I think I was certainly aware subsequently
7		a passage the Inquiry has looked at on a number of	7		that this was beginning to be the case, but, I'm
8		occasions, but it refers to Dr Chisholm, who was the			sorry, I can't elaborate on that at all.
			8	^	•
9		Haemophilia Centre Director in Southampton,	9	Q.	Can I then just pick matters up at PRSE0001885.
10		identifying a problem of patients not wanting to take	10		We looked earlier at this letter from you to
11		up commercial Factor VIII and a discussion about	11		Dr Cash in March of 1988, attaching the summary of
12		reversion to cryoprecipitate. And Professor Bloom's	12		events. I just want to ask you about a couple of
13		response:	13		passages now in the summary itself.
14		" no need for patients to stop using the	14		So if we go to page 2, please, Sully.
15		commercial concentrates because at present there was	15		Under the heading "Summary of SNBTS response to
16		no proof that the commercial concentrates were a cause	16		HIV contamination of PFC coagulation factors", which
17		of AIDS."	17		is the heading, if we can zoom in on the top half of
18		Then Dr Chisholm is recorded as saying she can	18		the page. What we have got identified there under the
19		get unlimited supplies of cryoprecipitate, other	19		heading "[Factor] VIII heat treatment developments" is
20		directors report the same. And then it records	20		as follows:
21		an agreement that:	21		"Early 1982. In response to known hepatitis
22		" patients should not be encouraged to go	22		risk of [Factor] VIII concentrates, PFC initiated
23		over to cryoprecipitate for home therapy but should	23		development programme for solution heating of
24		continue to receive the NHS or commercial concentrates	24		Factor VIII"
25		in their usual way.	25		I'm not going to ask you further about that at
		165			166
1		the moment. You then say:	1		of protein through regular infusions and so on. And
2		"BPL (Elstree) were exploring dry heat as	2		Professor Ludlam, he didn't advocate these. He simply
3		an option on a collaborative basis with PFC."	3		suggested that these might either contribute or could
4		In terms of 1982, what is the reference there to	4		conceivably or there was evidence that they could
5		collaboration with PFC? What was it that PFC was	5		conceivably be the case. I think I'm simply
6		contributing to BPL's dry heat work?	6		reflecting there from my own personal knowledge that
7	A.	I think we were simply sharing information. I don't	7		by that time it was absolutely clear.
8		think there was a jointly agreed research programme.	8	Q.	The next entry is October '84. That's the "Report
9		I think it was simply an observation that PFC and BPL,	9		from SEBTS of seroconversion of haemophiliac cohort",
10		or PFL, were exchanging information, particularly in	10		which we will come on to in due course.
11		terms of BPL's success or otherwise with their dry	11		Then October '84 still refers to PFC examining
12		heat treatment programme. And likewise the PFC with	12		tolerance of the unheated stock to withstand dry heat.
13		its pasteurisation process.	13		And then we have reference to the Groningen
14	Q.	Then the next item that you record, "1983/1984":	14		conference.
15	٠.	"International debate as to causative agent of	15		Can you just assist in unpicking this. It says:
16		AIDS. Consensus view that causative agent was	16		"Present at conference (Groningen)"
17		an infectious agent (virus) emerged in mid-1984."	17		Were you present at that conference?
18		Now, why were you identifying the consensus view		۸	
			18	Α.	I was, yes.
19		emerging in mid-1984, as you put it, as the next	19	Q.	" where first virus inactivation results were
20		significant item here?	20		announced (US) from CDC/Cutter study within one day of
21	Α.	I don't really know. I think what I was reflecting	21		results being obtained."
22		was that there were other at that time, perhaps,	22		Is that saying that the announcement in the
23		maybe a little earlier than mid-1984, that there were	23		conference was within a day of CDC/Cutter having that
24		other putative explanations in terms of T cell	24		data?
25		abnormalities and repeated infusion of high quantities 167	25	Α.	It was. I think CDC had been invited to the meeting 168

(42) Pages 165 - 168

- 1 to give a presentation but it was really presented as 2 breaking news. They had literally had those data 3 passed to them from the US and they took the 4 opportunity to basically announce this really quite 5 important finding. 6 Q. If we just go back and look at the first half of that
- 7 page as a whole. And bearing in mind this is what is 8 being described as -- I think it says "SNBTS 9 response", but it is, I think, really reflecting PFC's 10 work, is it not, because we know SNBTS were doing 11 other things such as the AIDS leaflet and so on.
- 12 Α. Yes, of course. Yes, yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

13 Q. It might be said this gives a picture that PFC itself 14 didn't really focus upon any matters relating to AIDS 15 until October 1984, when there was this combination of 16 factors -- Groningen, the discovery of the infected 17 PFC batch -- and it is only at that point that PFC 18 then focused upon the possibility of adapting its work 19 to respond to the risk of AIDS.

Is that a fair inference?

21 A. I think that's a reasonably fair summary of the 22 position. We were still moving forward in the hope 23 that the pasteurisation process would develop fairly 24 quickly and be implemented routinely. It turned out 25 that that wasn't the case.

instance the Factor VIII product was -- I think it latterly was supplied as ten vials of Factor VIII and ten vials of water for reconstitution in a single container (a multi-dose, as it were, container) but even when it was supplied as a single product, there would have been really mandated by pharmacopoeia monographs and regulations that there was certain minimum information that you had to put on the label, on the outer packaging and in the product insert leaflet.

I'm sure you'll explore it more but the product insert leaflet at that stage was primarily directed at professionals, not patients.

14 Q. Yes and certainly we're going to explore all these 15 issues

> In terms of a responsibility at PFC, whose responsibility either in terms of department or individual was working out what information should be included on the insert or the leaflet or on the vial? That would have been -- the quality department would have been responsible for that, not necessarily for its procurement, but the procurement would have been done, I think at that stage in the early '80s, by the manufacturing department as part of its responsibility

for procuring raw materials and so on.

I'll come back to the events of autumn 1984, Dr Perry, 2 tomorrow. What I want to do, just before we finish 3 for the day, is start looking with you at a topic 4 relating to product warnings and labels. We won't, 5 I think, finish that in the next ten minutes but 6 I think it's worth starting.

> You can take that down, please, Sully. So, in terms of the PFC products that were issued to Regional Transfusion Centres for onward issue to Health Boards and to Haemophilia Centres, what were the different categories of information that accompanied that project? There'd be a label, was this right, on the vial itself?

14 A.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1

4

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

15 Q. And there'd be what's I think sometimes described as 16 a "leaflet" or sometimes described as an "insert". 17 Are they the same thing or were they different things?

18 Yes. Certainly in the early 1980s, there would have 19 been a leaflet or a product insert included with each 20 product which was typical of the industry generally 21 and it still is.

22 And was there anything else? Was there anything else 23 external or by way of informational packaging?

24 There was key -- if the products were contained in 25 an outer carton, which they often were, because for 170

I think also Professor Cash, acting as medical 2 director or adviser, would have been asked, either 3 formally or informally by Mr Watt in the early 1980s, and subsequently by myself, to sign-off the precise 5 text. Although, it was largely informed by -- I noted 6 I was provided with a series of pharmacopoeia monographs here and labelling on vials is often 8 prescribed by the pharmacopoeia monograph.

Q. Yes, and we'll certainly look at that and that really leads to the next question I wanted to ask you which is, what was the decision-making process, as far as you can recall, about what should be included on the vial of the leaflet? I appreciate you joined in 1981, so you wouldn't have been around in 1978 when the licence application was first submitted and the text of an insert or leaflet was identified.

In terms of your own knowledge from 1981 onwards, can you recall what the process was? Was it just a case of looking at the pharmacopoeia and using that language or --

A. I think it was the pharmacopoeia and other regulations that existed that prescribed -- for example, when you submitted a licence application, an important part of the licence application was what you were going to put on the label. So the precise wording, and even the

172

(43) Pages 169 - 172

3

11

12

13

14

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 size of the respective messages, were authorised as 2 a result of being granted a marketing authorisation. 3 So we would have gone through that process and 4 you're right to say that when I joined in 1981 I'd had 5 no impact -- or input into that. But I think --6 I can't remember exactly when, maybe 1982 -- I did 7 a review of the packaging, particularly for 8 coagulation factors, and within that review I would 9 have certainly reviewed the precise wording and made 10 any changes that were necessary, and had that approved 11 by the PFC director and his medical adviser, who was 12 Professor Cash. 13 Q. We'll look at the language of the pharmacopoeia in the 14 morning rather than now. 15 Just in terms of the input or involvement of the

Just in terms of the input or involvement of the licensing authority, would it be right to understand that what happened is PFC would submit its application which would contain, as you say, draft wording, contain -- and we will look at an example in the morning -- a leaflet or insert which had certain

information about hepatitis on it?Yes

22 A. Yes.
23 Q. But it would be submitting that as part of the product
24 application process?

25 A. Yes.

16

17

18

19

20

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

173

complies with the monograph.

Q. Then just in terms of who the audience was for the inserts or the labels on the vials and so on, can I just ask you to look at an extract from your evidence to Penrose. PRSE0006038.

If we start — so this is your evidence on 24th June 2011. If we start on page 95 — I just want to pick it up from the bottom half of the page from line 14 onwards. You were asked essentially the same question that I'm asking you: who were those pack inserts designed for? Was it for the doctors, the patients or who. And this is your response:

"It's a good question. Certainly nowadays you have a thing called a 'product information leaflet' and a 'technical information leaflet' and they have different target audiences and they are written in completely different ways."

Just pausing there, Dr Perry, do you know when that particular change or --

20 A. I think it was the early 1990s.

21 Q. Then you say:

"At that time, I think the answer to your question is they were targeted in the way they were written, certainly at prescribing doctors. They gave some basic characteristic but also some of the

Q. It might or might not then be approved. But the licensing authority didn't itself come up with a required form of wording; is that right?

4 A. Periodically, they may have -- in response to any
manufacturer submitting a licence application, there
might be some form of words, particularly to do with
the product inserts where different companies take -use different wording. It's not standardised, it's
not one size fits all and they may well come back and
ask for changes or a different emphasis and so on.

I think our product inserts were fairly simple and fairly straightforward and -- but it is the case that the licensing authority could come back and ask you to change something.

15 Q. Yes, I accept that. I think my question was
 16 a slightly different one. The licensing authority
 17 didn't itself produce a form of wording for use on
 18 Factor VIII concentrates.

19 A. No.

20 Q. It would respond to what was being submitted to it by 21 PFC or BPL or Armour or whoever it might be?

A. That's right. But if we -- and we did, we called our
 product "human anti-haemophiliac product BP", so that
 would automatically trigger the licensing authority to
 look at the BP monograph and check whether our wording

information was very accessible to lay people in terms of how you reconstituted the product, how you used it and so on."

A question was:

"Was part of the purpose of the package insert to give information about the possibility of there being risks of viruses being transmitted through the product?

"A. The package inserts that we had in common with the rest of the industry certainly included warnings that -- I think we were very general in our warnings saying, 'this product, although the plasma is tested for hepatitis B it cannot be assumed to be free of infectious risk', or words to that effect. So, yes, it was designed to give a warning to both patients and certainly to doctors, but doctors already knew this."

And then we continue with your answer at 16 that:

"... these products carried a risk associated with them. So the document that was included with each vial was really part of that process but also to satisfy our essentially legal obligations within the pharmaceutical industry ... even then the industry was required for prescription medicines to have some sort

(44) Pages 173 - 176

1		of information leaflet associated with them."		1	a little time so I won't embark upon it now.
2		It would appear from that answer you're		2	SIR BRIAN LANGSTAFF: Yes. We will take a break now
3		suggesting it's, is this right, predominantly aimed at		3	then, until 10 o'clock in the morning, if you please.
4		doctors but also with a view to providing information		4	So 10 o'clock in the morning.
5		to patients?		5	(4.31 pm)
6	A.	I think they were designed and designed primarily for		6	(Adjourned until 10.00 am on Friday, 1 April 2022)
7		doctors and, indeed, pharmacists. I think the whole		7	, ,
8		product insert leaflets at that time were there to		8	
9		inform not only the prescribing doctor but if there		9	
10		was a pharmacy for our products, that wasn't the		10	
11		case because they weren't supplied through pharmacies		11	
12		but, as a general principle, these leaflets were there		12	
13		to provide important information to both prescribers		13	
14		and pharmacists who were fulfilling prescriptions.		14	
15		I think I always took the view, and I think		15	
16		others did, that these products were supplied with the		16	
17		product and patients on home therapy would have been		17	
18		supplied with the whole package, so that they would		18	
19		have had the leaflet and many of them may well have		19	
20		read it. But I think the precise wording was		20	
21		prescribed by the requirement to satisfy the		21	
22		professional requirements for the product, rather than		22	
23		the individual patients. Those leaflets came later.		23	
24	MS	RICHARDS: Perhaps we can pick that up in the morning		24	
25		by looking at the actual wording, which will take		25	
		177			178
1		INDEX			
2	DR	ROBERT JOHN PERRY (affirmed)	1		
3		Questioned by MS RICHARDS	1		

2	DR ROBERT JOHN PERRY (affirmed)	
3	Questioned by MS RICHARDS	
4		

(45) Pages 177 - 179

1950s [1] 30/11 129/3 129/14 129/15 77/16 92/13 96/5 ... although [1] 55/18 175/7 ... **no [1]** 13/12 **1956 [1]** 41/18 132/22 152/20 153/18 MS RICHARDS: [13] ... Responsible [1] 1960s [1] 30/6 154/1 154/4 154/14 **2015 [1]** 3/23 1/16 52/25 53/20 19/16 **1966 [1]** 41/20 154/19 154/25 158/15 **2022 [2]** 1/1 178/6 90/15 98/19 99/2 **1968 [1]** 41/21 162/4 163/25 164/13 **21 [2]** 78/17 160/17 130/10 133/14 135/4 **1970s [10]** 45/6 46/21 1983/1984 [1] 167/14 | 21 December 1987 [1] 151/2 151/9 161/22 1 April 2022 [1] 178/6 51/8 54/4 57/2 90/6 **1983/84 [2]** 105/20 72/6 177/24 **1,000 [1]** 160/24 92/3 101/25 102/3 106/19 23 November 2011 [1] SIR BRIAN 1,000 litres [2] 86/19 106/18 **1984 [28]** 3/3 6/13 8/3 9/11 LANGSTAFF: [16] 116/10 **1971 [2]** 1/17 42/1 15/7 19/5 22/6 22/25 **24 [1]** 41/12 1/3 1/5 53/4 53/15 1.01 pm [1] 98/24 **1974 [1]** 42/5 36/10 36/18 45/4 66/2 **24 June 2011 [1]** 9/5 53/19 89/10 89/19 **1.2 [2]** 37/11 160/24 **1975 [5]** 1/17 2/4 2/6 106/2 115/12 120/24 24 March 2011 [2] 89/23 90/14 98/22 **1.4 years [1]** 125/15 29/16 30/13 8/25 92/13 124/7 129/11 131/1 130/8 132/21 134/3 **10 [3]** 111/20 120/16 **1978 [6]** 80/9 80/11 131/19 131/25 135/15 | **24th June [1]** 175/7 151/4 161/19 178/2 130/5 137/1 137/14 167/14 80/22 81/5 91/7 **25 [3]** 157/3 158/4 THE WITNESS: [2] **10 o'clock [2]** 178/3 167/17 167/19 167/23 165/1 172/14 1/4 1/13 178/4 **1979/1980 [2]** 12/3 169/15 170/1 **25,000 [1]** 125/14 **10,000 [1]** 125/17 **250 [1]** 17/16 29/25 **1985 [3]** 2/25 3/1 8/5 **10-15 [1]** 164/22 **1980 [5]** 12/3 29/25 **1985-87 [1]** 8/6 **28 [3]** 9/9 136/6 153/3 **'70s [2]** 85/14 98/3 **10.00 [2]** 1/2 178/6 33/11 37/12 38/16 **1986 [1]** 6/7 **29 [1]** 23/24 **79 [1]** 66/8 100 per cent [1] **1980s [35]** 24/20 **1987 [7]** 38/16 39/20 29 November 1983 [3] **'80 [1]** 66/8 163/19 30/24 34/8 35/17 36/5 39/25 42/20 72/2 72/6 127/6 129/14 132/22 **'80s [11]** 8/14 42/12 **102 [1]** 13/15 37/1 38/4 40/24 44/18 74/13 46/18 54/5 85/15 90/5 **11 [3]** 13/11 57/14 44/21 45/13 45/22 **1987/1988 [1]** 106/5 92/4 98/3 102/13 92/18 **3.23 pm [1]** 151/6 48/19 49/2 49/19 51/9 **1988 [6]** 10/8 11/5 109/21 171/23 **3.50 pm [2]** 151/5 **11.20 [1]** 53/16 57/2 68/6 81/23 89/6 37/7 106/5 106/9 **'81 [1]** 33/10 **11.50 [3]** 53/5 53/15 151/8 92/8 92/22 99/10 166/11 **'82 [3]** 64/10 147/19 53/18 **30 [1]** 136/17 102/7 103/20 103/21 **1989 [2]** 7/1 52/8 153/17 **118 [1]** 94/10 **31 [1]** 141/8 105/25 106/19 116/9 **1990 [3]** 6/8 17/22 **'83 [5]** 64/10 106/13 **13 [3]** 9/7 68/12 31 March 2022 [1] 1/1 118/6 140/8 140/17 18/1 124/21 134/12 137/4 145/25 **33 [1]** 149/17 150/11 170/18 172/3 **1990s [6]** 35/10 36/5 **'84 [11]** 19/6 106/13 **13 May 2011 [3]** 9/3 1981 [28] 2/4 2/21 70/12 88/2 110/23 121/23 121/24 124/22 77/16 96/5 19/5 20/10 23/25 175/20 129/16 130/24 131/11 **4,000 [1]** 116/11 **14 [1]** 175/9 29/10 29/13 29/14 **1991 [3]** 4/11 6/24 134/12 168/8 168/11 4,000 donations [2] 14 March 1988 [1] 29/18 31/10 32/5 20/2 '85 [1] 8/9 116/8 117/11 10/8 33/12 33/25 34/4 35/3 **'87 [1]** 8/9 4.2 [1] 32/11 14 September [2] 64/9 76/13 92/21 93/6 **'90s [1]** 49/19 **4.3 [1]** 32/17 108/17 111/25 137/25 | 2 million [2] 134/5 131/6 134/5 'accredited [1] **4.31 pm [1]** 178/5 14 September 1982 134/6 142/12 143/15 143/18 162/22 40 [1] 68/14 [1] 145/25 **2,123,500 [1]** 131/3 172/13 172/17 173/4 'clinical [1] 157/15 42 [1] 77/16 **1982** [16] 12/18 12/19 | **2-3** [1] 155/24 **15 [2]** 55/5 164/22 **'do [1]** 159/5 **45 [1]** 55/7 2.00 pm [3] 98/23 **16 [3]** 66/23 77/17 123/4 142/12 142/23 'non [1] 155/11 **46 [2]** 55/6 55/16 176/18 98/23 99/1 145/25 147/18 151/14 'non-infective' [1] 151/20 151/21 151/22 | **2.75 million [1]** 98/9 17 October 1983 [2] 155/11 158/15 164/13 **20 [2]** 71/22 120/16 152/7 152/20 166/21 'product [1] 175/14 **50 [1]** 111/23 17th October 1983 [1] | 167/4 173/6 **200/250 [1]** 17/16 'sausage [1] 125/4 500 litres [1] 86/18 154/25 **2003 [2]** 3/2 3/7 **1982/83 [1]** 125/13 'technical [1] 175/15 **500,000 [1]** 160/23 **1983 [30]** 14/21 14/23 **2004 [3]** 3/7 3/10 7/9 18 months [2] 2/11 'this [1] 176/12 **52 [1]** 56/9 15/8 20/20 76/16 77/5 | **2005 [1]** 3/10 33/11 **55 [1]** 113/16 18 November 1983 [1] 80/12 80/15 81/5 **2007 [1]** 3/23 **57 [2]** 95/25 96/2 125/1 **2011 [11]** 8/25 9/3 9/5 106/2 122/25 123/12 ... **[3]** 13/12 19/16 **19 [1]** 144/3 9/7 9/9 9/11 9/13 55/18 124/7 125/1 127/6 (46) MS RICHARDS: - 6 IU

	1	T		T
6	38/20 45/3 45/21	174/15	35/25 38/14 38/24	adverse [7] 81/8
6 months [2] 128/6	51/25 71/10 107/19	acceptable [1] 32/1	41/6 46/12 57/17 61/7	81/16 82/1 82/11 84/8
147/9	109/12 119/13 147/24	acceptance [3]	69/15 72/13 73/1 73/4	84/9 130/21
	able [10] 21/19 36/17	156/25 157/17 157/21	73/8 75/14 75/22	advice [1] 34/10
7	40/3 45/15 61/18	accepted [8] 15/20	76/14 108/14 120/4	adviser [3] 27/20
7 December 2011 [1]	106/17 109/2 114/12	87/24 99/9 99/11	activity [10] 19/5	172/2 173/11
9/13	142/5 144/19	99/24 100/2 100/3	35/20 39/9 47/3 48/12	Advisory [5] 6/20 7/3
7 September 1984 [1]	abnormalities [1]	100/5	74/2 83/11 123/14	7/12 52/4 52/6
131/1	167/25	access [2] 26/16	131/24 132/19	advocate [2] 55/19
<b>7-9</b> [1] 130/5	about [94] 2/11 2/11	72/18	actual [2] 156/11	168/2
<b>79 [1]</b> 153/4	2/24 4/3 5/16 9/9	accessed [1] 61/15	177/25	aetiology [1] 152/23
<b>79/80 [1]</b> 37/13	13/10 14/3 15/13	accessible [3] 62/16	actually [21] 11/23	affairs [1] 150/5
7th December 1984	17/16 18/11 19/23	117/5 176/1	18/25 25/19 41/7	affected [1] 147/24
<b>[1]</b> 120/24	21/8 23/7 24/5 25/1	accessing [1] 62/13	47/12 58/3 70/6 75/12	affirmed [2] 1/14
8	26/2 29/9 36/17 40/22	accommodate [1]	83/9 84/20 85/23	179/2
	42/21 42/24 47/20 53/13 53/22 53/24	108/15	89/15 98/17 108/10 112/20 121/17 132/12	afford [1] 35/20
8 June [1] 129/18		accompanied [1] 170/12		afraid [2] 35/2 166/6
8 June '84 [1] 130/24	54/5 54/11 56/5 58/3 63/10 65/7 66/25 67/2	accordingly [1] 114/5	137/8 139/18 147/25 163/23	after [11] 2/10 2/11 6/14 14/20 30/4 89/12
8 million [2] 134/6	68/13 68/20 71/1 76/5		acute [2] 28/7 96/20	93/6 98/21 114/20
134/9	79/5 87/11 88/11 90/2	account [2] 133/22 155/13	ACVS [1] 52/13	117/9 129/15
8,320 [1] 131/7	90/5 92/16 94/11	accountability [2]	ad [1] 46/4	afternoon [2] 80/6
<b>8-hour [1]</b> 110/6 <b>80 [1]</b> 37/13	97/15 101/21 102/9	17/23 18/5	adapted [1] 41/8	160/8
<b>80s [2]</b> 82/21 89/12	102/13 112/14 113/19	accountable [2]	adapting [2] 67/21	again [12] 9/1 16/15
<b>83 [1]</b> 125/13	115/17 116/10 118/5	16/14 16/15	169/18	27/3 31/20 38/23
<b>84 [3]</b> 105/20 106/19	119/17 123/3 123/8	accredited [1] 1/20	add [1] 80/21	41/16 64/10 87/14
137/1	132/23 136/8 136/9	accumulated [1]	addition [1] 123/13	92/11 104/6 139/17
<b>87 [3]</b> 8/6 141/9 142/3		126/11	additional [4] 32/8	164/12
<b>88 [1]</b> 142/3	137/24 139/5 139/15	accurate [1] 125/24	59/22 73/6 115/15	against [6] 57/15
<b>89 [1]</b> 13/9	140/5 141/16 142/5	accurately [1] 87/12	address [2] 105/23	71/24 91/21 102/21
<b>8Y [1]</b> 9/13	146/21 146/24 147/1	achieve [4] 40/3 78/4	141/20	142/19 159/16
	148/7 150/10 151/10	104/7 105/24	addressed [3] 33/5	Agency [18] 4/16
9	151/12 152/13 153/20	achieved [3] 106/1	35/16 35/17	4/17 4/23 15/16 16/18
9 million [3] 130/8	154/12 154/21 155/13	106/2 109/4	addressing [2] 33/6	16/23 20/16 21/2 21/7
130/9 133/20	155/14 156/12 156/16	achieving [1] 106/12	37/6	22/20 43/24 72/8
<b>90 [1]</b> 111/18	160/12 161/6 162/4	across [2] 19/12	adjacent [1] 63/20	72/11 73/11 74/1
<b>900 [1]</b> 116/10	163/12 165/11 166/12	138/7	<b>Adjourned [1]</b> 178/6	74/17 89/18 90/24
93 [1] 13/7	166/25 172/12 173/21	act [2] 44/23 127/4	adjournment [1]	agent [3] 167/15
<b>94 [4]</b> 17/8 92/14	176/6	acted [1] 130/23	98/25	167/16 167/17
149/25 150/1	above [5] 21/14 73/2	acting [12] 2/25 3/3	adjust [1] 108/14	ago [2] 14/18 136/13
<b>95 [3]</b> 149/25 150/10	73/14 91/3 156/21	14/19 15/10 15/18		agree [3] 49/12 79/12
175/7	abruptly [1] 103/8	129/16 129/17 132/1	administration [1]	111/7
99 [2] 44/7 44/25	absence [3] 64/16	137/9 140/25 141/14	22/16	agreed [10] 32/22
9th October [1]	66/13 101/15	172/1	administratively [1]	32/22 54/10 65/25
143/18	absolute [1] 72/12	action [6] 33/13 83/3	134/25	98/14 104/19 121/24
Α	absolutely [12] 13/23	104/16 124/16 125/7	adopt [1] 58/17	132/6 134/19 167/8
<b>A1 [1]</b> 161/23	17/2 26/11 33/12	143/8	adopted [1] 147/16	agreement [5] 44/7
<b>A4</b> [1] 161/24	59/17 78/9 92/20	actions [3] 10/12	advance [1] 112/20	44/8 74/16 129/20 165/21
abandoned [2]	101/14 122/7 146/19	102/5 153/5	advanced [2] 29/19 57/7	
122/24 123/9	147/25 168/7	active [1] 14/16		<b>AIDS [20]</b> 7/25 8/5 10/12 68/3 151/10
ability [10] 24/12	abstracts [1] 152/2 accept [2] 96/14	activities [21] 5/10 16/10 16/10 34/4	advantages [2] 18/2 44/16	151/10 151/12 151/21
, ,	accept [z] 30/14	10/10/10/10/04/4	TT/ IU	101/10 101/12 101/21
L	I	I	I	(47) 6 months - AIDS

120/11 122/22 129/24 165/21 an option [3] 122/8 Α an extensive [1] 141/17 156/24 157/25 an alternative [1] 60/22 123/10 167/3 AIDS... [12] 152/23 172/1 175/25 176/22 96/23 an extent [2] 14/8 an organisation [5] 153/7 153/13 153/20 177/4 an amendment [1] 43/21 2/12 4/10 21/5 44/21 154/6 160/18 161/14 85/22 alternative [5] 94/21 86/16 an extract [3] 77/14 165/17 167/16 169/11 96/23 110/1 117/22 92/12 175/4 an analyst [1] 2/10 an outer [1] 170/25 169/14 169/19 an ideal [1] 40/19 118/1 an annual [5] 46/15 an outline [1] 2/3 Aileen [1] 49/3 46/22 46/23 46/24 although [20] 14/15 an imminent [1] an overview [1] aim [2] 93/1 93/23 20/11 28/11 35/24 108/21 48/10 73/21 aimed [2] 94/24 177/3 38/3 43/10 54/23 55/9 an answer [2] 128/20 an impact [1] 12/15 an unacceptable [1] albeit [1] 89/24 55/18 61/12 70/15 132/23 an important [11] 150/5 albumin [5] 24/2 24/5 74/17 79/24 80/22 an appalling [1] 25/16 66/6 66/14 an understanding [1] 28/4 65/14 109/8 118/20 119/7 144/1 34/23 66/15 83/11 100/8 93/12 all [61] 1/23 4/19 7/17 153/23 172/5 176/12 101/2 116/19 116/20 an appendix [1] an unusual [1] 86/6 7/19 7/21 11/12 12/12 ALTs [1] 82/9 118/7 172/23 163/21 an upward [1] 116/2 14/17 14/25 16/9 always [27] 16/4 an application [1] an inability [1] 110/11 an urgent [2] 132/18 18/18 21/16 22/13 20/17 26/18 40/24 66/10 an inappropriate [1] 133/7 30/21 39/3 43/18 43/7 43/19 44/19 48/4 | an appropriate [1] 79/2 analogy [1] 73/18 46/12 46/24 47/21 49/12 49/13 50/13 135/13 an incidence [1] analysis [2] 104/20 50/18 51/11 53/7 51/6 51/9 51/22 51/22 an area [1] 45/18 161/21 138/8 53/23 54/10 56/16 54/9 55/2 57/1 69/7 analyst [2] 2/10 2/12 an arrangement [1] an increased [1] 60/8 76/8 77/25 79/16 71/17 100/9 100/11 112/24 114/13 annotation [1] 11/14 83/14 88/9 93/3 96/10 101/12 108/12 114/20 an aspiration [1] an increasing [1] announce [1] 169/4 97/9 97/25 105/16 114/20 177/15 97/13 74/15 announced [1] 105/20 108/1 108/20 **am [21]** 1/2 35/8 53/5 an association [1] an independent [2] 168/20 109/7 109/13 110/4 53/15 53/16 53/18 5/14 44/1 44/2 announcement [1] 114/4 118/3 120/19 55/24 57/13 58/10 an attempt [1] 80/18 an individual [3] 168/22 121/6 123/8 123/10 66/21 72/14 73/7 an automated [1] 52/21 79/10 96/20 annual [17] 46/15 131/17 137/7 142/3 73/13 73/15 73/21 34/2 an inevitable [1] 46/22 46/23 46/24 142/15 144/8 147/8 75/2 77/21 117/20 an awareness [1] 83/24 47/13 47/25 48/1 157/17 161/19 162/13 130/10 158/24 178/6 22/7 an infectious [1] 48/10 48/11 48/23 164/16 166/8 171/14 amendment [1] 86/16 an early [1] 150/2 167/17 64/5 65/16 98/11 174/9 amongst [7] 6/1 an eight-hour [1] **an informal [1]** 54/13 101/10 104/18 125/12 allow [1] 46/10 27/17 67/8 71/15 41/4 an inherited [1] 14/1 145/25 allowed [1] 14/10 141/22 144/21 158/20 an enormous [1] an initial [1] 133/17 another [4] 22/5 67/2 allowing [2] 73/8 amount [12] 30/1 76/17 an insert [1] 172/16 120/11 134/16 133/10 107/9 114/7 114/14 an enquiry [1] 26/2 an instruction [2] answer [19] 40/12 alluded [1] 106/14 114/23 114/24 118/13 an equal [1] 43/3 74/4 74/7 59/10 59/25 78/2 almost [2] 38/6 126/11 129/1 132/5 an interest [1] 89/6 90/18 93/14 96/9 an equitable [1] 128/24 146/10 150/20 107/18 an interface [1] 25/24 103/19 108/1 110/9 along [1] 78/12 amounts [1] 74/3 an estimate [1] an international [1] 128/20 132/23 133/16 already [9] 33/21 an 'accredited [1] 131/12 5/7 136/18 153/21 156/11 69/21 87/6 122/10 an event [1] 130/22 162/22 an investigation [1] 175/22 176/18 177/2 125/21 135/7 157/24 an absolute [1] 72/12 an examination [1] 83/1 answers [3] 87/1 158/19 176/16 an accepted [1] 99/9 12/24 an issue [2] 84/24 136/8 160/11 also [31] 1/20 8/21 an accredited [1] an example [3] 52/5 152/16 anti [3] 24/8 24/16 14/13 17/17 24/15 67/24 173/19 an observation [2] 174/23 1/20 27/10 29/3 47/8 48/15 an excellent [1] 21/20 78/11 167/9 an activity [1] 123/14 anti-coagulant [2] 67/15 71/13 72/14 an acute [1] 96/20 an exceptional [1] an ongoing [4] 69/8 24/8 24/16 75/14 88/21 94/17 an advanced [1] 97/4 85/13 109/22 109/23 anti-haemophiliac [1] 95/1 99/11 99/19 an exciting [1] 121/7 29/19 an operational [2] 174/23 116/7 116/21 120/9 an agreement [1] an expected [1] 82/11 16/11 18/4 anticipated [2] 15/6

(48) AIDS... - anticipated

30/17 66/6 74/16 40/23 58/4 58/5 110/1 assigned [1] 76/12 apparent [1] 152/14 Α **apparently** [1] 56/14 147/21 113/5 113/6 115/8 assigning [1] 69/5 anticipated... [1] approve [1] 67/9 121/21 130/11 130/20 assist [4] 38/8 56/16 appear [3] 146/15 37/19 147/3 177/2 approved [2] 173/10 arrival [3] 92/16 157/16 168/15 anticoagulant [2] appears [3] 57/19 174/1 95/14 111/25 assistance [1] 14/2 24/4 29/4 arrived [8] 12/23 129/4 159/11 approximately [2] associated [17] 14/15 antigen [2] 69/22 appendix [3] 163/21 105/25 125/13 29/10 29/14 29/18 26/17 29/22 49/1 70/11 **April [2]** 42/20 178/6 33/12 57/5 98/9 123/6 70/20 75/9 95/21 163/22 163/23 antithrombin [2] application [20] 21/1 April 1987 [1] 42/20 107/15 108/20 109/8 articulating [1] 155/8 155/9 34/9 38/21 66/10 aqueous [1] 24/14 142/24 116/18 117/17 139/13 anxieties [1] 72/25 76/17 80/21 80/24 Archer [1] 9/21 as [235] 146/17 160/17 176/20 anxiety [1] 74/8 86/16 86/17 89/11 are [44] 1/5 1/20 5/7 ascertain [1] 129/5 177/1 anxious [3] 20/22 89/14 90/16 90/22 7/17 7/19 17/9 22/24 aside [2] 59/9 97/4 association [10] 4/6 34/17 130/10 91/6 172/15 172/23 24/15 28/23 32/10 ask [41] 1/11 2/24 4/2 4/8 4/9 4/11 4/13 5/6 any [88] 1/24 9/18 172/24 173/17 173/24 32/15 39/1 53/9 58/5 11/2 18/11 19/23 21/8 5/14 5/17 138/22 11/16 11/18 13/24 25/1 29/9 42/21 42/24 174/5 61/14 62/10 73/2 141/10 14/1 14/25 15/23 16/5 association's [1] 5/12 applications [6] 76/9 73/16 77/19 78/1 50/3 53/21 66/25 67/1 16/6 18/2 22/3 27/22 83/19 85/5 86/5 90/5 68/13 76/5 77/11 79/5 | assumed [3] 87/7 80/8 85/11 85/23 88/3 28/16 28/23 29/5 29/6 88/9 90/19 93/10 100/18 87/11 89/10 99/2 87/8 176/13 33/13 42/21 49/5 49/6 applied [6] 14/8 15/14 105/3 105/4 118/10 115/17 119/1 120/21 assuming [2] 125/14 49/8 51/4 52/9 53/11 32/7 50/17 71/21 119/17 125/25 127/19 129/10 136/9 141/5 156/2 53/25 55/11 55/16 141/15 146/21 147/1 154/10 129/22 129/25 142/5 assumption [1] 55/24 56/4 60/1 61/3 143/16 143/19 145/25 148/7 150/10 151/9 applies [1] 1/23 117/21 61/15 62/13 65/9 71/4 161/8 161/21 163/10 164/9 166/12 166/25 apply [2] 110/19 assurance [4] 31/17 75/4 75/16 76/10 170/17 175/16 172/10 174/10 174/13 31/24 33/2 72/12 119/6 78/13 81/6 81/16 82/1 applying [2] 77/4 83/8 area [7] 13/13 19/4 175/4 assure [1] 80/1 84/3 95/15 96/25 appointed [3] 6/18 45/18 69/8 72/22 asked [22] 3/20 10/22 **ATIII [2]** 155/6 155/14 101/3 101/15 102/7 12/9 16/9 76/24 85/19 13/10 14/10 15/9 37/4 attached [1] 160/10 102/10 103/14 103/24 areas [8] 30/21 33/21 37/5 50/7 53/12 77/18 attaching [1] 166/11 appointment [5] 19/7 104/16 107/5 108/16 23/25 31/15 33/2 33/23 38/23 39/9 78/17 88/10 92/16 attempt [3] 75/1 108/16 109/17 109/18 96/6 119/1 126/6 141/14 74/20 74/21 74/22 80/18 80/18 111/20 112/5 121/4 aren't [1] 137/1 132/23 138/9 139/3 appraise [1] 60/13 attempted [1] 115/24 122/25 123/3 123/25 appraised [1] 48/16 argue [2] 57/15 73/6 156/12 172/2 175/9 attempts [3] 20/4 125/7 127/16 130/17 appreciate [3] 103/12 arising [1] 142/2 asking [7] 75/13 94/2 20/14 20/14 138/1 145/6 146/14 130/3 172/13 **Armour [1]** 174/21 128/25 144/17 152/12 attend [5] 14/14 46/7 147/15 150/2 153/5 approach [9] 51/5 arose [1] 54/3 156/3 175/10 145/2 154/14 154/17 156/3 156/9 157/7 51/8 56/11 88/15 around [14] 5/15 7/9 asks [1] 128/8 attendance [7] 64/5 157/8 159/15 159/16 aspects [5] 18/19 100/11 104/11 111/13 11/9 14/21 20/2 35/3 65/4 140/24 143/19 159/19 160/2 162/2 111/16 160/2 36/9 36/17 80/12 146/2 154/12 164/13 22/13 32/2 65/21 162/2 165/6 166/3 approached [1] 116/7 152/5 152/20 157/6 attended [10] 45/24 169/14 173/10 174/4 108/13 152/24 172/14 **aspiration** [2] 97/13 48/5 48/15 54/8 65/18 anybody [1] 27/9 approaches [1] 27/4 arrange [1] 72/16 98/16 115/7 140/25 143/23 anyone [6] 13/9 20/12 appropriate [17] arrangement [23] aspirational [1] 98/13 145/22 153/12 53/12 53/12 69/16 assay [5] 67/19 67/22 attendees [2] 144/11 73/24 76/25 77/24 16/16 19/9 20/13 135/5 83/2 85/3 105/19 25/10 27/7 47/16 58/8 69/19 70/3 113/9 157/22 anything [11] 4/2 111/24 125/7 129/25 58/19 59/3 59/13 65/5 assays [2] 69/6 71/14 attending [4] 6/2 64/8 11/4 14/4 52/23 53/13 134/15 134/20 135/13 112/2 112/11 112/12 assemble [1] 76/16 98/15 144/1 136/22 143/14 145/1 150/22 152/1 157/14 112/12 112/24 130/14 **assembled** [1] 10/13 attention [8] 72/23 153/22 170/22 170/22 158/11 158/22 131/19 132/3 132/12 assess [1] 131/9 90/12 103/1 103/2 apart [1] 27/17 appropriately [1] 132/14 132/16 134/18 assessed [1] 78/8 151/25 152/9 153/7 apologies [1] 136/17 38/20 arrangements [14] assessment [2] 79/21 164/25 appalling [1] 34/23 12/3 19/8 33/24 37/17 approval [5] 19/18 91/14 attracts [1] 72/23 (49) anticipated... - attracts

45/16 45/20 47/2 66/11 66/12 68/2 being [48] 13/10 15/9 awareness [2] 22/7 Α 87/3 49/18 56/19 66/14 70/19 70/24 71/1 71/2 22/23 27/23 28/17 attributable [1] 75/12 81/15 93/5 94/17 75/7 78/7 79/14 80/24 33/13 41/17 41/22 away [1] 140/13 attribute [1] 106/12 103/9 137/9 138/22 82/7 82/25 83/10 42/6 52/5 55/25 61/8 audience [3] 1/6 1/9 В 140/25 141/9 150/2 83/14 86/3 86/6 86/9 61/18 68/14 71/4 175/2 **B3 [1]** 9/8 152/14 87/24 89/7 89/8 89/13 77/18 91/16 92/16 **audiences** [1] 175/16 baby [1] 151/23 because [65] 7/19 90/2 91/23 94/14 96/6 94/11 99/21 102/8 audit [3] 91/14 112/19 back [32] 7/11 10/6 11/2 12/13 21/3 21/4 101/20 102/16 107/10 103/1 103/23 104/9 113/2 10/17 10/20 11/19 28/1 28/6 32/7 34/3 108/6 108/8 108/13 104/14 105/4 106/16 audited [1] 91/21 17/6 46/21 52/25 53/4 35/20 36/18 37/6 111/14 111/17 113/7 113/20 114/10 116/24 auditing [1] 92/5 62/17 65/14 70/17 39/13 40/14 40/18 115/14 116/19 116/23 119/23 126/10 129/5 audits [1] 113/11 78/10 91/10 94/10 40/24 41/8 52/17 118/2 118/3 119/1 131/14 135/11 140/5 Australia [1] 5/8 98/23 101/24 102/6 119/3 121/19 123/4 58/16 58/21 63/10 148/9 150/17 150/17 authorisation [1] 114/22 122/19 125/12 64/16 69/6 69/12 123/9 123/10 123/21 153/6 156/9 162/6 173/2 128/11 128/22 137/15 70/15 70/17 71/18 126/24 127/4 129/4 168/21 169/8 173/2 authorised [3] 1/25 139/3 145/17 148/14 75/18 75/21 79/4 129/20 130/19 132/7 174/20 176/7 176/7 74/2 173/1 149/16 169/6 170/1 82/17 85/2 85/16 133/2 133/21 134/10 Belfast [1] 65/11 authoritative [1] 17/3 174/9 174/13 86/11 87/5 93/10 94/5 134/10 135/13 136/21 belief [3] 83/24 94/19 authorities [1] 107/14 background [2] 74/14 95/18 104/3 107/13 142/12 143/11 143/22 155/1 authority [35] 2/8 152/11 108/19 109/9 110/14 144/22 144/23 145/7 believe [9] 73/24 2/16 12/12 34/18 bags [1] 95/21 114/18 117/10 118/13 145/15 145/18 146/7 80/17 84/20 93/25 34/20 44/5 50/16 67/7 balance [2] 51/14 120/12 120/17 128/25 146/7 146/19 148/2 95/8 104/23 105/10 76/11 77/9 81/1 81/12 78/7 133/10 134/20 138/8 152/2 152/20 152/24 105/12 130/22 81/22 82/23 83/8 84/5 based [5] 94/19 107/8 142/9 142/25 143/23 153/23 154/9 154/10 believed [2] 83/22 84/7 84/11 85/1 85/14 132/3 137/11 137/12 148/1 149/6 158/16 156/13 156/14 157/23 164/3 85/17 85/21 86/4 basic [2] 113/2 159/17 161/13 164/25 158/8 158/19 159/18 **Bell [2]** 48/21 48/21 86/11 87/5 87/9 88/6 175/25 165/15 169/10 170/25 161/4 161/9 162/12 bemused [1] 21/3 90/3 90/7 100/10 **basically [11]** 7/6 177/11 162/17 163/9 168/25 benefit [10] 7/15 7/20 173/16 174/2 174/13 10/1 13/1 39/4 41/9 become [9] 2/11 170/19 171/6 171/20 13/8 56/13 56/18 57/2 174/16 174/24 47/1 108/23 112/25 10/15 39/15 68/7 171/21 171/22 172/2 66/17 78/7 118/18 automated [1] 34/2 139/25 148/2 169/4 95/16 120/5 120/14 172/14 177/17 142/20 automatically [1] basis [14] 34/10 38/5 before [22] 2/4 18/6 120/19 120/20 benefits [3] 59/11 174/24 40/25 45/6 65/19 becomes [1] 120/16 52/2 66/25 72/3 76/15 102/3 141/25 autumn [1] 170/1 78/24 81/20 107/18 becoming [1] 138/19 79/22 82/13 85/3 bespoke [1] 111/1 availability [6] 71/11 131/18 132/7 134/9 bed [1] 123/12 92/10 95/14 103/13 best [8] 4/12 20/24 101/13 108/5 156/7 137/15 155/25 167/3 been [154] 4/5 5/1 5/4 121/6 121/9 135/1 58/16 68/8 113/24 157/1 157/19 batch [20] 8/2 9/6 5/9 6/10 10/3 10/22 135/12 136/2 139/22 130/4 133/2 150/24 available [17] 3/18 68/17 69/20 70/20 11/10 11/13 11/15 145/20 149/15 152/4 better [6] 3/24 105/14 67/21 97/16 100/15 83/4 84/25 117/7 15/11 15/24 18/6 20/9 170/2 137/18 140/19 162/20 101/15 106/22 108/7 117/8 117/10 120/17 21/25 23/8 23/14 began [2] 30/24 163/5 109/19 131/10 131/15 120/25 121/2 121/25 23/16 23/18 26/18 106/18 between [35] 2/4 3/10 145/13 149/7 155/24 128/13 128/22 128/23 29/15 29/16 30/10 begin [2] 104/21 4/22 15/22 15/24 155/25 156/4 156/6 159/6 163/2 169/17 30/11 31/16 31/18 141/20 25/25 27/8 38/16 157/5 batches [7] 2/1 67/9 32/25 33/5 33/21 beginning [9] 13/3 44/13 45/11 47/20 avoid [1] 100/21 67/11 117/4 118/13 36/13 36/15 36/16 33/15 53/8 112/21 49/6 49/10 50/22 awaited [1] 32/15 118/21 125/23 36/17 37/4 37/5 38/18 129/16 131/25 140/8 53/24 54/1 54/17 awarded [1] 1/17 battle [1] 36/1 39/20 40/7 40/19 46/4 140/17 166/7 55/17 55/20 56/6 aware [13] 29/3 55/24 be [202] 48/15 49/4 50/25 57/16 58/9 58/24 behalf [10] 3/21 8/22 72/14 73/11 74/1 bearing [4] 83/19 56/10 57/24 58/6 9/23 89/10 89/17 91/8 59/13 61/3 65/9 67/16 103/5 105/18 116/23 84/1 86/12 169/7 58/19 58/20 59/2 59/8 91/9 115/2 130/23 82/6 110/11 116/16 123/4 138/22 139/21 became [22] 2/25 3/3 59/11 59/21 61/22 144/2 122/23 129/21 138/23 141/10 166/6 5/23 7/3 14/18 23/1 61/23 63/5 63/6 66/2 behind [1] 64/12 141/10 158/20 (50) attributable - between

74/16 75/23 80/9 came [10] 22/20 34/7 В born [1] 101/23 briefings [1] 116/22 borne [1] 152/25 briefly [6] 10/5 24/7 80/24 81/7 81/20 36/3 84/21 93/25 beyond [6] 30/5 60/7 **boss [2]** 14/7 16/18 41/12 76/14 146/21 82/22 82/23 84/23 103/5 112/13 114/19 82/21 138/14 138/17 **both [20]** 15/25 27/12 164/9 86/3 87/8 88/5 88/6 138/7 177/23 145/13 40/8 52/9 54/8 54/11 bring [4] 17/18 23/17 88/23 91/7 91/16 can [101] 2/3 10/5 bias [1] 107/10 57/4 58/20 59/6 59/19 30/1 30/18 93/19 94/14 95/9 10/17 10/21 11/19 big [1] 106/11 95/15 95/16 97/8 98/2 66/4 66/9 89/19 **Bristol** [2] 162/1 12/15 13/4 13/9 19/14 bigger [1] 119/17 22/11 29/9 29/11 31/7 105/22 112/24 116/21 162/2 98/14 98/15 99/17 biochemist [1] 138/5 119/14 125/3 176/15 broad [4] 61/13 77/13 100/2 100/3 100/5 31/13 31/20 32/17 biological [5] 6/6 6/18 112/23 115/17 177/13 100/24 101/4 101/4 35/8 36/25 37/3 37/10 52/21 67/3 76/24 bottle [1] 34/2 broader [1] 88/14 101/4 102/8 102/17 39/24 42/14 42/18 biologicals [1] 12/6 bottom [18] 18/16 broadly [2] 103/15 103/14 103/15 104/8 42/23 42/23 50/2 bit [11] 2/7 5/2 25/1 116/1 105/20 106/13 106/19 50/10 53/13 56/16 23/22 31/14 55/5 32/18 42/24 68/13 78/16 91/5 96/6 99/6 **brought [1]** 151/25 106/24 110/5 111/15 60/6 63/7 65/21 68/11 76/3 76/5 79/6 85/25 112/9 113/12 113/25 113/17 113/18 146/25 **BTS [1]** 114/3 68/19 68/24 72/1 151/10 148/15 153/4 155/16 116/13 117/2 117/3 72/15 73/5 76/4 77/16 budget [4] 23/11 bits [1] 61/19 160/7 160/15 162/18 23/12 23/13 23/15 117/14 119/4 119/18 79/3 79/9 80/14 83/5 blessed [1] 39/3 175/8 **build [3]** 40/5 45/21 121/6 121/13 121/14 87/11 90/15 90/18 blessing [1] 47/5 **Boulton [3]** 157/13 137/10 121/24 123/4 123/6 90/21 90/22 91/6 96/2 blind [1] 98/16 158/10 158/21 building [12] 22/13 124/6 124/24 125/6 98/20 99/6 102/25 blinding [1] 142/17 **BP [2]** 174/23 174/25 30/8 30/10 30/12 126/3 128/5 129/17 115/17 115/19 120/14 block [1] 45/13 **BPL [48]** 40/6 44/13 30/17 32/8 36/12 132/6 133/16 133/16 120/21 120/22 124/3 blood [40] 3/24 6/21 53/22 54/7 54/19 124/13 125/7 125/25 37/18 40/13 45/13 135/4 135/4 135/17 7/4 7/13 10/9 13/12 54/21 55/9 55/17 56/5 74/19 108/6 135/19 135/21 141/25 127/3 128/11 129/11 13/18 13/18 13/20 56/22 57/4 58/9 58/12 | **buildings [7]** 32/12 142/13 146/1 146/7 131/2 131/16 132/25 13/20 18/24 24/17 58/24 59/6 60/7 71/21 32/14 32/19 33/4 146/8 146/14 146/17 136/2 136/22 137/21 24/22 25/20 45/8 97/15 97/20 108/5 35/15 36/8 73/6 147/3 147/4 148/13 141/5 141/6 143/18 45/11 45/19 46/14 111/12 111/18 112/9 built [8] 36/20 124/4 149/12 149/19 150/7 144/3 145/10 146/23 46/19 50/11 51/6 112/15 117/14 117/15 | 124/7 132/8 134/10 150/18 153/6 156/4 148/8 148/14 149/2 51/20 52/5 55/20 117/16 124/22 129/18 134/10 134/12 137/13 158/4 161/14 162/4 149/15 154/19 154/22 55/25 91/3 94/13 130/24 131/20 133/15 | bulk [2] 18/19 24/21 162/23 163/15 164/1 155/4 155/16 157/16 94/23 95/20 106/16 147/7 147/25 148/2 burden [1] 149/12 168/7 170/23 171/6 160/7 160/15 161/2 106/20 138/23 138/23 148/20 155/6 158/2 Burt [1] 48/21 171/23 172/3 172/4 163/11 164/9 165/18 139/22 141/11 141/11 158/3 158/20 158/21 business [3] 22/16 172/5 172/8 173/11 166/9 166/17 168/15 143/6 152/15 152/15 174/20 177/21 177/25 159/5 162/23 163/1 60/13 165/6 170/7 172/12 172/18 152/25 164/21 167/2 167/9 but [255] 179/3 175/3 177/24 **Bloom's [1]** 165/12 buy [2] 95/11 118/2 174/21 by PFC [1] 128/5 can't [26] 10/24 10/24 blush [1] 30/12 **BPL's [4]** 59/18 159/2 by [161] 1/15 4/15 16/5 51/4 68/25 82/24 C BNOR0000572 [1] 10/2 10/22 11/15 13/2 82/24 83/5 92/6 167/6 167/11 31/6 calcium [1] 157/10 brackets [2] 38/2 15/12 16/22 21/10 103/12 113/1 123/22 Board [1] 25/9 calculation [1] 161/21 56/17 21/14 24/9 25/2 26/4 126/3 132/25 142/14 Boards [3] 25/4 25/12 calculations [2] breaches [1] 73/4 26/6 26/7 26/9 26/24 149/10 151/13 151/16 170/10 124/15 161/10 break [14] 52/2 53/3 27/13 27/23 28/5 152/10 153/23 156/11 **Bob** [1] 150/19 calibrated [1] 71/25 29/24 30/9 31/3 33/19 53/6 53/8 53/17 72/4 162/11 162/15 163/23 Bob Hopkins [1] California [1] 151/24 34/1 34/18 37/2 37/13 92/10 98/21 104/25 166/8 173/6 150/19 call [6] 26/16 66/14 145/21 149/15 151/4 37/23 38/21 39/20 Canada [1] 5/9 **bodies [2]** 42/25 67/15 82/4 109/22 151/7 178/2 39/21 40/10 41/3 candidate [1] 150/13 53/21 132/11 41/13 41/22 47/4 48/5 breakdown [1] cannot [6] 55/24 body [3] 67/2 73/16 called [11] 2/12 5/17 113/25 48/15 55/25 56/17 72/12 130/4 133/10 75/10 12/11 18/25 46/4 breaking [1] 169/2 57/5 62/20 66/7 66/9 163/1 176/13 border [1] 127/24 46/14 48/8 58/5 **Brian [1]** 150/18 66/21 68/4 68/6 70/9 capable [3] 24/11 bordering [1] 100/12 120/10 174/22 175/14 brief [2] 29/11 155/6 71/6 71/21 73/5 74/8 90/1 109/15

(51) beyond - capable

C 66/5 66/9 66/19 74/24 113/1 113/12 126/5 change [8] 18/1 71/18 clarify [2] 73/25 79/22 74/25 75/19 75/25 131/6 136/12 136/25 86/7 86/8 86/8 160/2 clear [20] 18/9 25/17 capacity [22] 50/17 98/2 100/9 101/4 143/17 144/8 144/12 174/14 175/19 25/18 28/24 29/18 52/11 52/16 59/18 101/11 103/15 104/12 144/18 145/14 150/18 changed [6] 7/7 86/17 34/5 49/21 54/12 59/21 59/21 59/24 116/22 120/22 121/11 154/19 154/24 157/24 88/20 89/16 103/8 59/17 78/5 93/5 93/6 73/14 108/5 108/9 121/18 122/23 124/18 165/9 110/23 93/15 93/24 94/17 108/25 111/5 111/13 126/21 127/6 129/21 centres [36] 14/12 changes [6] 33/22 95/12 103/21 135/10 112/14 117/2 117/25 18/20 25/5 25/7 25/9 150/2 168/7 132/22 133/3 133/16 69/1 83/19 149/12 118/22 119/9 119/12 135/4 141/17 142/8 25/22 27/5 27/12 clearer [1] 18/6 173/10 174/10 119/12 119/19 129/17 142/13 142/24 147/22 27/25 28/5 28/12 channels [1] 27/10 clearest [1] 31/6 captain [1] 73/17 150/8 154/8 166/11 28/18 28/19 44/23 characteristic [1] clearly [9] 73/21 capture [1] 115/24 46/13 60/9 63/8 63/12 172/1 173/12 175/25 92/24 93/24 95/17 captured [2] 115/22 Cash's [3] 48/9 63/11 64/1 81/24 87/20 charging [1] 112/11 129/4 133/21 156/12 115/23 122/3 88/23 89/4 91/17 92/6 cheaper [1] 94/6 158/16 161/16 Cardiff [2] 161/25 103/25 113/13 129/2 check [4] 69/4 80/16 catch [1] 140/16 clinical [18] 2/2 20/23 162/1 129/23 133/6 137/12 78/14 79/9 82/10 categories [1] 170/11 92/1 174/25 care [7] 28/7 28/25 category [1] 97/5 137/15 139/11 147/11 **checked [1]** 91/20 99/14 99/21 100/1 64/19 126/25 127/1 causative [2] 167/15 170/9 170/10 checks [1] 91/14 101/16 104/8 105/6 141/23 142/21 certain [7] 54/12 132/3 137/13 138/19 167/16 chemical [1] 138/6 career [4] 5/19 12/20 cause [1] 165/16 104/15 104/23 128/8 **chemistry [2]** 1/16 146/11 158/18 159/7 64/9 146/12 caused [4] 16/6 82/8 162/12 171/7 173/20 164/4 1/17 careful [2] 21/20 132/10 140/20 certainly [76] 7/11 chief [3] 2/11 34/16 clinician [1] 77/23 138/12 CBLA [2] 129/22 15/5 21/6 21/22 28/9 77/8 clinicians [6] 26/13 carefully [3] 64/22 45/9 101/5 102/9 29/2 30/5 36/19 38/8 children [1] 103/17 130/19 80/22 81/14 CBLA0001464 [1] 44/18 47/24 48/5 Chisholm [2] 165/8 105/5 166/2 carried [5] 3/21 36/4 143/16 49/25 56/22 56/22 165/18 close [11] 2/9 21/17 83/1 98/2 176/20 CBLA0001850 [1] 57/1 57/7 59/20 64/22 chloride [1] 24/14 27/11 33/17 35/20 carry [1] 74/4 129/12 65/17 66/7 68/2 68/5 **choice [2]** 99/12 63/24 65/19 67/12 carrying [1] 137/4 CBLA0001882 [1] 68/24 79/16 81/14 100/24 73/18 81/25 153/7 carton [1] 170/25 130/25 81/18 81/23 82/5 **choose [1]** 59/4 closely [9] 22/8 23/6 case [24] 57/15 59/5 CDC [3] 168/20 23/8 48/16 61/11 82/25 89/6 92/3 92/21 **chose [1]** 58/13 81/24 82/7 83/15 chosen [2] 58/20 59/5 168/23 168/25 95/9 96/14 100/5 62/12 62/12 67/18 87/10 88/13 88/25 100/7 100/19 102/13 CHP [1] 31/24 87/20 CDC/Cutter [2] 90/6 92/21 97/2 100/8 168/20 168/23 103/8 103/20 105/11 Chris [1] 146/8 closer [6] 55/19 57/22 105/12 108/8 114/7 cell [1] 167/24 106/19 108/2 108/11 chronic [1] 118/22 60/3 63/13 63/19 114/20 162/1 162/1 cells [2] 45/10 45/19 109/9 109/15 115/7 chronological [1] 111/23 166/7 168/5 169/25 116/9 117/12 117/13 cent [2] 120/16 closest [1] 57/16 10/18 172/19 174/12 177/11 chronology [1] 163/19 118/18 118/21 121/18 closing [2] 40/18 75/4 cases [6] 97/5 151/20 122/18 126/21 126/23 122/24 central [4] 34/22 clotting [1] 142/20 160/17 161/23 162/4 59/23 108/25 137/17 128/24 136/20 137/4 circulate [1] 145/3 **clue [1]** 144/15 162/8 centralised [1] 3/19 152/10 154/4 159/21 circulated [9] 144/8 CMV [2] 151/18 Cash [72] 6/4 10/7 centre [54] 6/16 161/8 162/14 164/1 144/11 144/18 145/15 151/19 10/22 10/25 11/6 16/12 17/12 18/17 164/3 166/6 170/18 145/18 146/20 152/3 CMV infection [2] 15/16 15/24 16/1 22/9 26/4 26/8 26/9 171/14 172/9 173/9 152/5 160/9 151/18 151/19 16/14 16/21 17/1 17/5 26/10 26/19 27/1 28/2 175/13 175/24 176/10 circulation [1] 144/14 co [4] 54/23 61/25 21/15 21/23 25/3 31/9 36/6 38/19 43/9 176/16 circumstance [1] 62/8 135/2 25/15 26/24 27/18 43/21 44/8 45/8 63/8 cetera [1] 22/16 96/18 co-operation [3] 37/7 43/14 43/14 63/14 63/19 64/6 65/7 61/25 62/8 135/2 chair [1] 63/22 circumstances [7] 43/15 43/20 44/2 44/3 65/8 65/10 65/11 chaired [2] 48/4 51/4 74/6 75/12 co-worker [1] 54/23 45/7 47/5 49/7 49/11 65/16 72/14 73/2 73/3 48/14 104/15 105/17 105/18 coagulant [2] 24/8 49/13 49/23 54/1 73/14 73/23 74/1 Chairman [1] 144/5 134/20 24/16 55/18 63/5 63/6 65/24 78/15 91/4 92/17 97/8 | challenge [1] 141/20 citrate [1] 84/24 coagulation [17] 24/2

(52) capacity - coagulation

confident [1] 149/19 C 80/12 91/9 94/10 committees [3] 5/20 114/10 125/3 126/16 98/23 113/9 121/1 52/4 52/10 131/5 155/7 155/18 confidential [4] coagulation... [16] 124/3 126/13 128/11 concentrates [35] 8/8 144/13 145/1 145/7 common [11] 15/16 24/6 28/20 48/7 48/9 128/22 135/21 138/17 16/18 16/23 22/20 27/23 28/16 29/7 145/8 63/23 65/13 65/18 152/9 168/10 170/1 43/23 69/15 72/7 40/11 41/15 59/16 confidentiality [1] 93/2 97/11 109/9 74/16 89/18 90/24 174/2 174/9 174/13 79/16 80/5 80/15 53/1 110/4 143/7 146/9 83/25 86/14 94/5 97/7 configuration [1] comes [1] 84/2 176/9 154/8 166/16 173/8 comfort [1] 73/19 97/22 103/3 103/7 communicated [3] 117/6 coercion [1] 100/12 coming [9] 32/3 36/19 37/15 124/17 124/18 103/18 104/9 117/23 confirm [3] 69/24 coherent [1] 18/3 90/17 131/3 57/10 71/18 89/7 communication [1] 118/23 119/5 142/20 Cohn [1] 41/18 103/2 132/5 133/23 143/7 155/11 157/2 confirmation [1] 70/2 26/17 cohort [1] 168/9 communications [5] 138/10 157/19 159/9 163/10 conflict [2] 16/5 79/12 coincided [1] 112/1 163/11 165/15 165/16 comment [1] 78/17 8/17 54/17 56/6 conflicting [1] 54/3 cold [1] 74/20 **comments** [6] 8/19 124/21 124/22 165/24 166/22 174/18 confused [5] 78/21 collaboration [8] 13/1 31/14 37/6 37/24 **community** [2] 94/24 concept [5] 88/22 85/19 85/19 85/20 55/12 55/13 57/16 92/5 95/17 101/23 127/11 95/1 85/25 67/24 69/8 71/3 71/8 **commercial [58]** 5/13 **companies [6]** 5/13 102/1 conscious [1] 73/15 167/5 61/1 61/4 61/5 85/11 29/6 51/14 60/16 concern [8] 44/4 consensus [3] 58/24 collaborative [4] 60/25 61/5 61/10 174/7 71/17 77/8 126/14 167/16 167/18 57/22 58/8 60/4 167/3 61/12 62/9 69/15 comparable [1] 5/15 126/20 150/7 157/20 consent [1] 147/21 colleague [1] 65/20 consequence [9] 77/22 80/25 85/11 compared [2] 56/22 162/7 colleagues [16] 72/21 86/14 86/23 89/13 56/23 concerned [3] 102/23 30/22 73/5 79/14 74/8 100/5 100/6 90/9 93/19 93/22 94/5 comparison [1] 95/8 118/19 123/7 83/24 86/9 86/10 127/10 138/15 139/2 95/11 99/13 100/14 concerning [3] 55/20 124/9 151/18 158/5 compensate [1] 139/2 139/24 140/14 100/21 101/6 101/17 73/23 56/1 153/7 consider [2] 121/9 140/23 144/21 145/4 101/21 102/10 102/15 | competent [3] 21/19 concerns [5] 37/15 129/6 145/18 162/13 162/14 102/20 103/3 103/6 91/19 91/24 39/25 74/12 75/6 considerable [3] collect [2] 104/23 103/17 104/9 104/24 competently [1] 102/8 72/23 98/5 150/20 106/17 105/15 105/15 105/16 146/12 conclude [3] 72/19 consideration [4] collected [5] 7/20 105/19 105/20 106/10 competing [2] 62/4 73/20 125/20 56/15 122/6 135/4 81/21 107/20 107/25 concluded [3] 33/10 107/16 114/6 114/10 62/6 147/15 112/3 117/12 117/23 118/2 competitive [1] 62/9 57/6 143/9 considerations [1] collecting [2] 95/18 concluding [1] 127/25 158/6 162/20 complete [4] 35/21 89/1 95/19 163/5 163/11 163/16 83/3 123/14 152/23 124/15 considered [10] 25/2 collection [7] 10/1 163/19 165/11 165/15 completely [6] 13/13 Conclusion [1] 55/8 75/8 79/2 86/9 18/19 24/21 107/17 165/16 165/24 13/21 120/3 123/16 160/25 89/3 91/18 100/23 111/1 113/3 130/14 161/16 175/17 115/13 157/13 commercially [1] Conclusions [2] collective [2] 105/21 3/18 complex [4] 37/21 31/20 31/21 consistent [1] 55/18 106/6 76/24 121/20 132/15 condition [3] 83/22 commissioned [2] consistently [1] collectively [6] 99/15 29/16 60/12 complexity [1] 93/14 143/2 143/11 37/14 101/24 102/1 104/19 commissioning [1] compliance [4] 12/25 **conditions [5]** 8/13 constrained [7] 38/19 105/8 110/21 30/4 19/19 19/25 20/5 36/21 37/1 41/10 41/3 41/6 41/7 110/5 collegiate [2] 38/7 commitment [1] complicated [1] 113/4 118/21 119/18 145/4 122/2 conducted [1] 150/12 130/4 constraint [1] 59/18 colossal [1] 121/8 **committed** [3] 39/5 complies [1] 175/1 **conducting [1]** 159/7 constraints [2] 40/2 combination [3] 16/3 51/10 75/3 comprehensive [3] conference [10] 40/22 59/19 169/15 committee [21] 5/23 20/25 76/16 160/9 46/15 46/22 46/23 constructive [3] 38/6 combined [1] 47/7 6/7 6/11 6/19 6/20 7/3 comprised [1] 29/12 47/1 154/13 154/15 54/9 55/3 come [31] 4/1 6/24 7/5 7/7 7/12 16/17 conceivably [2] 168/4 168/14 168/16 168/17 consultant [5] 4/5 7/11 10/6 10/17 11/19 16/18 16/23 43/23 168/5 168/23 4/24 25/22 26/5 26/20 19/22 21/22 52/25 50/16 52/4 52/6 52/20 | concentrate [10] conferences [3] consultative [1] 44/6 53/4 58/10 64/2 65/21 89/17 90/23 91/8 91/9 41/18 41/22 42/2 42/5 61/20 62/24 153/13 consumables [1] (53) coagulation... - consumables

С	contributed [3] 8/21	costs [1] 107/15	33/16	121/22 151/13 158/14
consumables [1]	64/21 137/8	could [54] 3/19 14/4	cross [3] 60/20 60/20	164/12
95/21	contributing [1]	14/14 15/18 18/13	112/11	dated [1] 74/24
consume [1] 119/8	167/6	23/21 32/7 33/22	Crown [11] 19/20	dates [3] 32/15 126/9
consumed [1] 119/11	contribution [1] 71/9	36/22 40/9 43/18 44/1	20/1 20/11 20/19 21/5	152/13
consumer [1] 72/20	control [18] 2/21	46/25 53/3 58/1 58/19	34/11 35/11 69/13	day [7] 41/5 73/4 73/4
contact [7] 22/10	11/20 11/22 12/11	59/21 59/21 70/24	81/16 82/22 85/24	110/6 168/20 168/23
49/10 55/1 65/3 93/25	17/14 17/15 19/9	71/19 94/9 97/19	CRTS [1] 60/22	170/3
103/14 130/17	19/17 20/15 21/2 21/7	99/17 100/20 102/19	crude [2] 75/15	de [1] 27/20
contacts [2] 60/23	22/2 43/16 67/7 68/16	104/10 104/17 104/19	160/21	deal [5] 54/2 64/23
62/24	68/18 121/22 121/25	106/17 106/23 108/3	cryo [1] 123/2	85/22 100/17 129/7
contain [2] 173/18	Controls [1] 67/4	108/7 109/3 109/6	cryoprecipitate [7]	dealing [3] 117/15
173/19	convenience [1]	110/2 113/14 115/5	41/20 122/9 122/13	161/19 161/20
contained [2] 163/24	102/14	117/3 119/2 119/24	123/11 165/12 165/19	dealings [4] 48/20
170/24	convenient [1]	120/16 121/2 124/1	165/23	54/21 54/22 62/20
container [2] 171/4	102/18	130/6 130/15 134/1	cryosupernatant [2]	debate [4] 123/8
171/4	conveniently [1]	137/16 146/6 149/11	70/7 70/8	149/21 166/1 167/15
	102/20	150/22 155/24 168/3	CSA [11] 36/1 37/15	decade [1] 4/25
containing [1] 147/5 contains [2] 8/17 8/19	conversation [3]	168/4 174/13	39/21 74/13 75/13	decant [2] 115/12
Contaminants [1]	131/2 142/8 143/4	couldn't [4] 35/20	75/16 75/20 110/7	133/8
148/18	conversations [5]	70/16 126/16 131/22	110/11 110/21 115/3	decanted [2] 129/22
contaminating [1]	22/10 27/8 114/2	Council [6] 38/22	CSM [1] 6/14	130/6
143/5	140/14 141/19	39/13 39/14 41/9	CSVM [1] 109/1	<b>December [11]</b> 9/13
contamination [2]	convert [1] 45/9	110/9 110/14	culmination [1]	14/23 72/6 120/24
10/9 166/16	conveyed [1] 140/13	countries [1] 95/3	112/14	121/23 121/24 129/15
contemporaneous [1]	cooperation [2] 55/20	couple [3] 124/20	curious [2] 11/23	131/11 134/6 135/15
124/5	56/1	124/21 166/12	16/15	151/22
context [4] 28/22 37/3	coordinate [1] 46/11	course [13] 19/24	current [3] 31/8 130/7	December '84 [1]
52/1 58/7	coordinating [3] 43/8	21/8 24/6 48/19 55/1	157/15	131/11
continually [1] 73/22	46/9 46/10	84/2 106/4 129/13	currently [2] 134/4	December 1982 [1]
continuation [1] 74/2	copied [1] 127/7	136/7 154/9 156/6	148/9	151/22
continue [9] 21/9	copies [2] 144/20	168/10 169/12	curve [1] 140/1	December 1983 [2]
32/19 73/8 73/9 73/13	160/12	covered [1] 17/13	cut [1] 30/21	14/23 129/15
79/6 127/24 165/24	core [2] 18/23 109/14	Craske [4] 144/7	Cuthbertson [5] 8/13	December 1984 [1]
176/18	corporate [2] 8/22	145/10 145/16 156/22	37/3 39/4 67/18	135/15
continued [6] 6/13	73/16	Craske's [1] 144/20	112/25	decided [1] 97/1
38/13 78/20 119/25	correct [28] 1/19 3/6	Crawford [1] 121/14	Cutter [2] 168/20	decision [10] 6/25
160/3 160/4	4/7 5/25 6/9 6/22 7/10	create [3] 4/21 33/17	168/23	7/11 65/25 66/19
continues [2] 125/16	9/20 14/22 17/25 19/7	71/15	cycle [1] 68/22	78/14 112/15 123/1
159/1	22/21 27/20 35/12	created [4] 45/21	D	132/6 150/5 172/11
continuing [3] 24/18	36/11 42/13 43/6	78/25 104/8 142/22		decision-making [5]
39/19 72/24	51/24 52/10 64/7	creates [1] 56/25	d'être [1] 163/9	6/25 7/11 78/14 123/1
continuous [9] 29/20	65/15 77/21 87/2	creating [5] 12/22	daily [3] 17/5 22/9	172/11
35/18 36/4 38/12	88/19 97/10 107/7	71/23 79/14 94/25	22/10	decisions [5] 6/17
40/16 40/25 40/25	111/10 136/1	121/15	data [16] 10/1 103/24	50/17 116/13 116/20
107/2 134/9	correspondence [5]	criminal [2] 73/17	104/3 104/20 104/23	119/18
continuously [1]	127/10 131/16 131/18	127/4	115/21 129/1 146/11	declared [1] 147/7
109/2	133/19 139/10	criteria [2] 91/25	156/3 156/6 156/13	<b>decreased [1]</b> 119/9
contract [1] 112/12	corroborated [1]	110/18	156/14 157/5 161/10	dedication [4] 121/1
contribute [2] 71/10	128/4	critical [1] 30/9	168/24 169/2	121/2 121/25 128/23
168/3	cost [5] 30/18 95/8	criticism [1] 117/16	date [10] 29/17 30/5	deep [2] 14/9 61/15
. 30/0	95/10 95/10 121/8	criticisms [2] 13/1	35/2 35/8 49/22 92/7	deepened [1] 141/12
			18.45	naumahlan dan sa
			(54) CO	nsumables deepened

22/12 47/3 59/7 59/22 70/4 154/14 154/17 163/15 45/7 45/16 45/23 D depended [1] 71/10 76/18 82/4 84/18 173/6 174/22 177/16 46/11 65/4 65/8 68/6 deepening [1] 139/1 depends [1] 106/3 85/16 88/20 92/4 92/8 didn't [45] 4/18 9/17 96/22 97/1 103/10 deeper [1] 142/25 deposited [1] 128/6 93/15 140/19 162/24 9/21 11/16 12/23 16/4 108/12 109/22 116/17 deeply [1] 142/21 deputise [1] 14/11 163/18 27/7 34/17 35/24 129/16 129/17 132/1 defect [1] 130/21 dereliction [1] 133/12 developing [4] 5/4 39/12 39/16 40/17 137/9 140/25 141/14 defect/adverse [1] derived [3] 24/2 38/9 67/19 86/24 44/5 49/12 51/24 165/9 172/2 173/11 130/21 62/19 75/3 75/8 78/13 93/16 100/25 development [20] director's [1] 43/7 defence [1] 75/9 describe [7] 4/12 4/14 4/18 17/17 19/16 82/12 87/9 90/7 90/11 directors [58] 5/23 deficiencies [5] 32/11 14/5 23/23 50/22 21/10 21/12 23/10 93/7 100/17 103/21 6/2 27/8 27/12 43/7 32/13 35/14 73/23 78/24 97/4 138/24 41/7 54/18 54/19 103/24 104/25 109/7 43/9 43/17 43/21 44/8 74/1 described [15] 27/7 55/21 56/2 56/11 58/7 110/17 113/9 114/11 44/24 45/25 46/5 deficient [2] 97/11 40/2 44/12 60/3 62/3 59/9 83/20 83/23 118/22 121/12 123/17 47/17 48/24 48/24 97/12 68/14 96/18 137/19 87/20 125/4 166/23 123/25 132/11 133/22 51/17 52/3 62/21 define [2] 86/7 106/3 138/3 147/5 158/17 153/22 158/10 160/1 developments [4] 62/23 63/2 63/8 63/25 **defined** [1] 30/3 22/23 37/23 157/6 163/9 169/8 170/15 168/2 169/14 174/2 64/6 64/25 65/1 65/17 definition [2] 51/11 170/16 166/19 174/17 65/17 78/15 87/25 81/17 describing [2] 17/9 devise [1] 119/23 difference [1] 63/17 97/9 97/18 98/11 definitions [1] 39/13 122/18 **DHSS [8]** 50/9 50/14 differences [1] 164/7 98/12 98/15 99/15 **DEFIX [6]** 29/2 42/1 description [4] 16/20 50/20 51/7 55/25 different [23] 43/11 101/9 101/9 101/10 42/2 42/18 42/19 44/13 48/8 49/18 51/5 56/14 60/3 88/3 101/11 101/19 101/25 17/19 17/21 19/14 80/11 design [1] 30/15 **DHSS's [1]** 51/2 55/10 91/3 91/10 104/18 104/19 105/10 degree [7] 1/16 38/4 designated [1] 121/5 DHSS/SHHD [1] 91/16 92/15 93/10 118/2 121/6 128/25 40/13 63/13 64/4 64/5 designed [12] 25/10 114/19 117/6 123/16 132/7 143/17 144/8 55/25 79/20 29/16 30/6 46/10 dialogue [4] 54/9 151/3 170/11 170/17 144/12 144/18 145/6 degrees [1] 135/2 67/21 73/3 80/9 107/6 60/16 61/13 85/13 174/7 174/8 174/10 145/14 154/19 154/24 **delay [2]** 70/16 175/11 176/15 177/6 did [92] 2/6 3/14 5/2 174/16 175/16 175/17 157/24 165/20 132/11 177/6 11/7 11/21 12/17 14/1 different things [1] directors' [6] 14/12 delays [1] 23/7 designs [1] 77/1 14/25 16/8 16/22 18/1 48/1 141/1 141/1 170/17 delivered [3] 131/5 despite [2] 150/24 18/1 20/14 21/1 26/15 difficult [3] 57/15 145/24 155/19 144/23 161/5 disadvantages [2] 159/2 28/16 29/5 33/24 86/7 131/9 delivery [1] 16/6 34/20 35/10 42/4 difficulties [3] 38/24 18/2 44/17 destroy [1] 127/3 demand [4] 106/7 detail [6] 10/17 91/19 43/11 44/3 44/7 44/25 49/8 135/8 disagree [1] 111/10 118/1 133/24 155/23 98/5 136/23 163/22 45/3 45/22 51/7 51/25 direct [7] 11/16 17/23 disagreement [1] demonstrably [1] 166/5 54/21 56/14 59/20 108/16 109/17 114/14 66/19 109/2 detailed [6] 11/18 60/1 60/8 62/14 62/14 114/23 166/1 disappeared [1] demonstrated [1] 12/2 89/1 123/3 139/9 63/1 63/4 63/13 63/18 directed [3] 21/14 105/21 109/14 63/23 67/5 69/12 163/15 121/4 171/12 disappointment [2] demonstrating [1] 71/15 71/15 75/16 30/16 106/11 details [10] 10/11 direction [4] 21/21 134/14 75/22 82/10 113/22 76/20 77/6 82/22 22/3 44/23 160/2 disaster [1] 73/21 denied [1] 22/23 126/8 155/22 157/14 87/22 90/8 91/14 97/3 directions [1] 49/18 disasters [1] 73/15 department [21] 158/17 158/19 161/23 102/6 103/4 104/20 directly [6] 26/13 disclosed [1] 15/4 18/17 18/22 19/1 19/2 detected [1] 161/18 104/23 105/24 107/4 34/20 75/11 82/2 discounted [1] 164/8 19/3 19/4 19/11 19/15 107/22 109/15 109/17 discovered [1] 77/6 determination [1] 100/17 110/10 21/9 21/12 21/18 110/25 112/23 113/2 69/5 director [50] 2/25 3/1 discovering [1] 21/22 22/4 23/15 determine [2] 69/18 115/12 115/14 119/8 3/3 3/8 3/10 3/23 5/24 124/13 57/21 57/21 100/4 120/2 121/17 122/25 14/19 14/19 14/19 discovery [1] 169/16 163/1 110/12 171/17 171/20 124/11 135/17 135/17 15/10 15/14 15/18 discuss [4] 53/10 **determined** [2] 84/24 171/24 97/8 137/9 138/1 142/24 16/7 16/8 17/2 17/10 157/14 158/11 158/23 departmental [1] develop [4] 45/3 45/5 145/2 145/10 145/12 18/8 21/24 23/1 26/5 discussed [6] 78/22 18/16 48/12 169/23 147/14 147/25 150/15 27/18 27/19 27/21 121/6 141/2 153/24 departments [1] developed [16] 20/20 152/19 153/18 154/5 43/6 43/15 43/18 44/9 156/10 162/12 (55) deepening - discussed

41/1 49/5 53/4 53/25 146/13 88/15 89/1 89/8 89/24 122/23 124/11 127/6 D doesn't [3] 5/14 74/9 58/15 67/10 68/9 90/2 90/10 91/12 129/21 129/21 129/24 discussing [3] 16/25 70/10 72/18 73/9 91/15 91/20 91/21 133/16 135/4 142/3 79/10 140/23 142/11 74/11 75/1 79/2 81/13 | doing [10] 2/18 18/23 91/22 118/24 121/4 143/20 144/7 144/20 discussion [19] 57/6 82/19 84/3 84/16 86/1 121/5 121/15 121/22 145/9 145/10 145/16 20/24 22/8 39/6 61/14 117/9 122/8 123/7 128/16 148/11 148/24 91/13 93/9 93/11 80/2 109/15 124/14 146/8 147/4 148/20 136/3 139/1 141/13 96/16 98/22 100/19 169/10 149/1 149/12 149/10 150/18 151/9 142/9 144/9 146/24 100/21 101/3 102/19 donor' [1] 162/22 domestic [3] 40/4 154/12 155/13 155/18 156/21 161/5 162/6 103/1 103/12 103/13 59/15 163/10 donors [11] 7/24 9/1 156/16 156/22 157/11 162/15 162/16 162/16 9/2 40/17 51/13 93/17 105/25 106/12 108/1 dominant [3] 40/23 157/13 157/13 158/10 163/12 165/5 165/11 109/6 110/16 112/4 92/23 93/13 94/23 101/1 118/13 158/10 158/21 161/3 discussions [24] 22/1 112/5 113/22 114/7 dominated [1] 99/10 122/1 153/25 163/15 164/18 164/21 54/4 55/3 57/24 59/4 114/25 115/1 117/3 don't [71] 11/4 11/18 dose [3] 83/25 102/15 165/8 165/18 166/11 101/20 101/20 109/18 14/4 15/4 22/22 23/9 119/7 121/11 121/12 171/4 170/1 175/18 179/2 112/14 116/16 123/3 123/18 124/20 126/7 Dr Aileen Keel [1] 23/15 28/18 28/22 doubt [2] 92/20 135/12 138/14 139/9 131/22 133/6 133/14 33/12 33/25 35/2 41/6 130/17 49/3 139/24 140/14 141/19 134/8 134/20 135/13 42/21 54/10 55/16 down [23] 30/19 **Dr Bell [1]** 48/21 145/4 151/14 151/17 30/21 32/17 33/17 139/14 140/4 144/10 56/4 57/6 60/5 60/5 Dr Boulton [3] 157/13 153/15 154/3 155/6 144/13 146/4 147/12 61/8 61/14 64/21 71/4 33/18 35/21 36/9 158/10 158/21 162/3 147/15 147/22 148/13 71/4 75/17 75/20 82/9 36/13 36/14 36/16 **Dr Brian [1]** 150/18 disease [10] 52/7 149/11 150/19 151/11 82/17 83/12 83/17 40/18 42/23 58/13 Dr Burt [1] 48/21 95/3 140/15 140/20 75/4 76/4 98/4 102/25 151/25 152/12 152/13 86/19 91/18 95/7 Dr Cash [22] 6/4 146/25 160/25 161/7 153/5 153/22 154/5 95/15 96/5 97/12 104/25 115/19 136/15 15/24 16/14 17/1 162/21 163/6 164/4 99/22 103/4 103/7 137/21 143/19 170/7 21/15 27/18 43/14 156/9 161/9 162/2 dismantle [1] 137/10 162/5 170/2 174/6 103/19 109/12 112/13 downside [1] 148/2 45/7 49/7 54/1 65/24 dismantled [1] 175/18 115/6 115/20 118/15 downstream [3] 41/2 66/19 101/4 103/15 114/21 doctor [3] 77/23 123/3 125/9 128/10 109/7 119/12 120/22 121/11 122/23 dismantling [1] 132/2 79/10 177/9 132/18 134/8 134/17 **Dr [126]** 1/3 1/14 1/16 127/6 129/21 133/16 dispensing [2] 34/2 doctors [23] 25/12 135/10 135/11 143/3 4/2 6/4 7/18 8/13 8/13 135/4 166/11 34/3 45/9 47/5 72/15 78/5 143/8 145/6 146/23 10/3 15/22 15/24 Dr Chisholm [2] disruption [2] 16/6 79/24 99/11 99/24 147/18 150/25 152/21 16/14 17/1 21/10 165/8 165/18 79/1 100/11 100/20 100/24 154/16 158/23 159/17 21/15 21/18 22/1 22/7 **Dr Chris [1]** 146/8 dissolved [1] 102/18 101/8 101/25 105/1 161/2 161/9 164/6 27/18 34/6 34/15 37/2 Dr Craske [4] 144/7 distance [2] 45/11 105/22 138/15 139/10 164/17 166/5 167/7 37/3 37/14 39/4 39/4 145/10 145/16 156/22 161/2 175/11 175/24 176/16 167/21 43/14 45/7 47/15 Dr Craske's [1] distribute [1] 130/16 176/16 177/4 177/7 Donald [1] 72/7 47/15 48/21 48/21 144/20 distributed [4] 26/7 document [11] 10/5 donation [2] 49/15 48/25 49/3 49/3 49/7 Dr Crawford [1] 27/24 28/5 40/5 31/6 66/20 91/10 67/22 53/20 53/25 54/1 54/1 121/14 distributing [1] 29/6 95/25 96/3 128/11 54/14 54/15 54/16 donations [5] 83/13 Dr Cuthbertson [5] distribution [6] 25/4 129/13 149/18 152/3 116/8 116/11 117/11 54/22 54/22 54/22 8/13 37/3 39/4 67/18 25/8 26/9 126/13 176/21 147/11 54/23 54/23 54/24 112/25 129/23 132/4 documentation [3] done [25] 23/20 32/8 54/24 54/25 55/1 56/7 **Dr Forester [1]** 48/25 diverge [1] 51/7 10/14 12/21 122/10 33/1 33/20 35/19 56/7 58/12 58/22 **Dr Foster [16]** 8/13 divergence [1] 51/15 36/21 40/20 58/1 71/7 61/17 65/3 65/8 65/10 documented [2] 10/3 21/10 21/18 22/1 dividing [1] 161/14 37/15 92/2 90/13 104/17 107/16 65/20 65/24 66/19 22/7 37/2 39/4 54/15 division [2] 76/10 documents [9] 5/4 108/3 108/14 110/15 67/18 74/10 85/6 56/7 106/25 116/5 88/4 115/9 121/9 123/22 90/18 91/11 94/2 116/17 124/11 154/12 31/25 68/1 115/22 divulge [1] 52/22 115/23 122/17 124/5 97/16 99/2 101/4 124/1 126/1 129/5 157/11 do [92] 3/20 4/8 11/11 129/9 144/13 133/14 136/8 147/20 103/9 103/15 103/15 **Dr Foster's [3]** 34/6 15/22 16/8 20/12 21/4 does [10] 1/21 4/8 171/23 106/25 112/25 114/3 34/15 61/17 25/20 26/23 27/22 5/12 9/22 24/7 27/2 donor [25] 87/13 115/7 116/5 116/17 **Dr Gibson [1]** 103/9 34/20 35/21 36/17 93/3 95/4 144/15 87/23 88/4 88/11 120/22 121/11 121/14 | Dr Jim Smith [1] (56) discussing - Dr Jim Smith

driven [3] 88/23 95/9 106/15 109/21 110/23 elsewhere [5] 22/19 England [22] 44/14 D 117/2 123/12 137/14 150/2 73/15 81/13 99/19 44/15 59/13 62/17 Dr Jim Smith... [1] driving [1] 48/2 150/11 151/17 152/20 124/1 62/18 62/21 63/3 64/1 58/22 drove [1] 74/12 153/6 154/9 164/3 Elstree [1] 167/2 107/21 107/23 107/25 Dr Jim Smith's [1] dry [15] 42/18 58/13 166/21 170/18 171/23 embark [1] 178/1 108/4 108/10 111/6 58/12 59/7 155/21 156/23 172/3 175/20 **embraced** [1] 99/15 111/12 112/16 115/13 **Dr John [1]** 37/14 159/4 159/6 159/12 easy [2] 23/19 69/7 emerge [1] 104/21 129/24 130/6 134/1 **Dr Keel [1]** 49/3 159/14 159/19 159/24 economies [1] 30/18 134/17 134/24 **emerged** [5] 44/9 Dr Kernoff [1] 163/15 English [1] 109/20 167/2 167/6 167/11 Edinburgh [5] 31/9 61/18 92/7 156/24 **Dr Lane [8]** 15/22 168/12 63/15 63/20 63/21 167/17 enjoy [1] 56/14 53/25 54/1 54/23 dry-heated [1] 159/12 145/17 **emergence** [1] 10/12 enjoyed [1] 144/14 54/25 55/1 129/21 dryers [3] 59/23 educate [1] 139/12 emergencies [1] 28/7 enormous [1] 76/17 129/24 117/5 119/20 educated [1] 139/18 enormously [1] 61/23 **emerging [6]** 88/8 Dr Ludlam [2] 157/13 drying [2] 119/11 education [1] 14/2 153/8 153/19 154/3 enough [3] 19/2 158/10 effect [4] 82/11 155/5 167/19 119/15 97/22 114/11 Dr Mayne [3] 65/8 132/25 135/9 176/14 due [5] 19/24 21/8 **emigration** [1] 13/19 **enquiries** [1] 9/18 65/10 65/20 119/3 156/6 168/10 effective [3] 4/21 **emphasis** [1] 174/10 enquiry [1] 26/2 Dr McClelland [1] duration [3] 44/19 79/13 159/16 emphasising [1] 76/2 ensure [1] 14/13 47/15 84/2 162/25 effectively [9] 1/22 **employ [2]** 110/15 entail [4] 3/14 11/21 **Dr Morris [3]** 65/3 during [21] 2/7 5/19 13/25 17/11 47/17 110/17 12/17 112/23 114/3 115/7 76/22 93/22 120/5 11/3 12/8 20/20 30/16 employed [3] 4/5 26/6 entering [1] 20/23 Dr Morris McClelland 37/1 45/22 48/13 135/22 147/22 27/13 enterprise [1] 1/25 [1] 47/15 49/19 57/1 81/3 83/16 efficacious [2] 78/7 employees [2] 27/17 enthusiasm [1] 60/2 Dr Perry [17] 1/3 1/16 83/18 86/4 87/19 159/23 72/13 enthusiast [1] 48/21 4/2 7/18 53/20 74/10 103/20 116/9 120/10 efficacy [1] 20/22 **employing [1]** 39/10 enthusiastic [2] 85/6 90/18 91/11 94/2 141/18 149/22 efficiency [1] 56/25 employment [6] 15/13 38/8 99/2 142/3 145/9 Dutch [1] 60/20 efficient [1] 4/21 38/22 41/9 44/19 entirely [1] 34/4 151/9 161/3 164/18 **efficiently [1]** 111/15 duties [3] 16/20 18/7 52/19 143/24 150/3 entitled [2] 36/25 170/1 enable [4] 100/20 74/4 effort [2] 14/13 32/2 154/22 **DR ROBERT [2]** 1/14 duty [1] 133/12 efforts [6] 73/22 109/19 135/24 147/10 entry [1] 168/8 179/2 102/5 104/12 105/13 enclosed [1] 10/13 environment [2] **Dr Smith [5]** 54/14 E 105/22 150/24 encompass [3] 24/8 39/12 79/15 54/22 54/24 56/7 each [9] 26/6 43/4 eg [1] 150/4 97/21 109/7 environmental [1] 97/16 43/22 62/6 62/10 eight [2] 41/4 162/23 encouraged [2] 81/24 113/4 **Dr Smith's [1]** 54/22 115/21 121/5 170/19 either [14] 26/4 39/21 165/22 environments [2] **Dr Snape [9]** 54/16 176/22 48/4 53/7 57/20 85/16 encouraging [1] 123/18 123/19 54/22 54/24 147/4 earlier [17] 15/5 99/12 103/25 117/3 156/23 envisaged [4] 40/24 148/20 149/10 155/18 29/17 35/4 36/14 143/9 152/1 168/3 end [9] 4/1 15/8 19/6 133/17 134/18 135/14 156/16 164/21 36/15 37/8 63/10 85/9 171/17 172/2 27/23 36/10 124/6 **EPFA [1]** 5/16 Dr Willoughby [1] 87/1 92/15 97/14 elaborate [1] 166/8 129/3 130/18 143/15 epidemiology [1] 103/15 112/5 137/19 139/4 element [1] 59/10 endeavour [1] 13/13 152/24 Dr Winkelman [1] 160/11 166/10 167/23 elevations [1] 82/8 endeavours [2] 56/24 equal [1] 43/3 54/23 earliest [1] 142/7 eliminate [1] 93/22 57/22 equally [1] 50/17 draft [1] 173/18 early [46] 4/17 6/13 endorsed [1] 116/7 eliminating [1] equated [1] 116/11 draw [2] 164/25 165/2 14/10 24/20 28/21 106/15 enforced [1] 99/17 equipment [2] 22/14 drawn [1] 103/1 29/23 30/23 34/8 elimination [1] 94/5 enforcement [1] 38/17 dreamt [1] 128/14 35/10 36/5 38/4 44/21 Elizabeth [1] 65/15 equitable [2] 57/3 19/16 drew [1] 119/14 45/22 46/18 49/19 engage [1] 69/14 Elizabeth Mayne [1] 107/18 dried [6] 41/19 41/21 54/5 58/14 64/9 68/6 65/15 engaged [3] 57/17 equivalent [2] 32/22 122/9 122/13 123/1 69/1 70/12 81/23 89/6 else [8] 9/15 27/9 75/22 110/24 131/7 123/11 90/5 92/4 102/2 53/13 69/16 133/14 engineering [3] 22/12 error [1] 131/4 drive [2] 29/22 49/14 102/13 106/2 106/13 135/5 170/22 170/22 22/14 22/15 **escapes** [1] 9/8

(57) Dr Jim Smith... - escapes

156/12 124/22 171/11 171/14 41/10 123/20 E every [5] 14/13 16/4 97/13 97/14 98/12 exchanges [1] 122/22 **explored** [1] 156/10 facing [1] 49/17 essential [1] 36/7 everyone [2] 92/24 **exploring** [1] 167/2 fact [13] 6/10 7/1 exchanging [1] essentially [15] 16/7 93/25 167/10 expose [1] 79/15 23/22 52/9 73/1 77/22 19/4 21/13 40/3 43/3 **everything** [7] 12/13 79/4 100/25 106/14 **exciting [2]** 121/7 exposed [4] 64/15 43/16 51/1 88/7 104/3 17/13 25/20 26/25 122/1 72/21 117/20 127/25 108/8 119/3 143/12 112/1 122/24 126/15 28/1 63/11 100/20 excluded [1] 89/5 exposing [2] 14/17 162/4 133/15 175/9 176/23 evidence [42] 1/10 exclusion [3] 63/25 100/14 facto [1] 27/20 establish [10] 20/22 4/2 6/25 8/24 9/18 89/8 91/25 factor [95] 8/10 8/11 **exposure [5]** 118/16 35/9 58/1 79/8 108/25 9/21 9/23 13/5 13/8 exclusive [3] 87/24 118/23 118/24 122/1 24/3 24/6 28/15 28/15 130/10 133/4 147/8 15/21 17/7 34/6 50/1 88/17 89/3 159/9 28/20 28/21 28/23 150/6 154/7 53/8 53/9 53/10 53/11 **executive** [3] 9/24 exposures [1] 128/16 29/1 29/1 29/2 29/7 established [13] 4/13 53/24 54/13 54/14 10/2 94/15 express [1] 147/21 40/11 41/14 41/18 5/15 5/16 11/24 14/18 54/15 61/18 63/10 exercise [6] 9/16 60/4 expressed [8] 23/7 41/21 42/2 42/5 42/10 29/15 30/4 30/14 72/3 77/12 77/14 99/12 99/25 126/2 44/4 77/8 83/13 102/8 48/7 48/9 61/2 63/23 47/16 48/10 55/25 102/17 142/13 150/7 77/15 78/12 92/12 137/5 65/18 69/3 69/20 65/6 68/3 94/19 95/4 96/4 99/20 exist [2] 12/23 73/3 expressing [2] 71/14 71/16 71/24 establishing [1] 76/7 76/7 80/5 80/10 116/7 122/11 122/11 existed [7] 24/11 141/18 157/21 12/21 136/3 149/22 159/15 38/10 77/3 126/9 extend [1] 87/23 80/10 80/15 82/7 establishments [1] 168/4 175/5 175/6 135/7 152/4 172/22 **extended** [2] 60/18 84/17 88/4 90/10 13/18 evident [3] 49/18 existence [3] 20/5 90/21 95/6 95/7 97/7 80/19 estimate [2] 130/4 92/24 100/18 30/11 129/3 **extension** [1] 37/18 97/11 97/12 97/17 131/12 97/17 97/22 97/23 exactly [5] 26/11 existing [4] 72/19 **extensive** [2] 60/22 estimated [3] 97/25 129/6 133/4 152/19 125/22 156/1 157/4 97/23 98/5 103/6 61/23 133/20 137/13 173/6 exists [1] 80/2 extent [13] 14/8 28/16 106/24 115/13 118/11 et [1] 22/16 exaggerated [1] expand [1] 88/22 35/15 40/1 43/21 118/23 119/2 119/3 et cetera [1] 22/16 133/25 expanded [1] 24/21 56/15 60/8 61/2 65/9 119/4 119/7 119/7 Europe [6] 4/14 4/20 examination [1] expectation [2] 51/1 85/12 85/13 138/12 119/10 119/13 119/14 4/23 5/9 60/15 62/4 12/24 79/25 161/6 119/14 124/12 124/14 **European [5]** 4/10 **examined** [1] 125/6 expected [3] 82/11 **external [2]** 79/20 125/14 125/18 126/6 4/15 4/16 4/23 5/2 **examining** [3] 13/1 104/2 115/1 170/23 126/23 126/23 127/13 even [17] 15/11 33/6 127/17 168/11 **expensive [2]** 120/8 extract [4] 13/4 77/14 129/22 131/5 133/11 40/10 40/19 82/9 84/9 example [23] 20/19 121/20 92/12 175/4 142/20 142/23 143/7 97/12 101/24 102/2 45/4 52/5 54/17 58/10 experience [10] 12/5 extracts [1] 72/2 146/9 148/5 154/8 102/16 114/20 117/10 63/1 67/18 67/24 69/4 13/12 39/11 39/15 **extremely [3]** 84/13 155/17 155/20 157/15 128/15 142/19 171/5 69/20 77/3 81/8 82/15 50/8 50/11 50/19 121/20 122/2 158/13 164/22 165/11 172/25 176/24 83/20 84/16 84/17 52/18 141/4 156/22 eye [2] 16/4 16/4 166/19 166/22 166/24 event [11] 10/24 52/9 86/1 86/23 86/24 94/4 | experiment [2] 57/25 171/1 171/2 174/18 F 75/10 86/6 130/21 120/14 172/22 173/19 | 109/5 Factor II [1] 29/2 130/22 146/14 150/2 face [1] 72/19 **examples** [2] 39/1 expert [1] 6/17 Factor IX [15] 8/10 152/22 152/22 162/2 faced [2] 106/6 135/9 80/7 **expertise** [1] 24/12 8/11 28/15 29/1 41/21 events [11] 10/13 facilities [22] 12/2 excellent [2] 21/20 **expire** [1] 34/7 42/2 76/7 80/10 97/23 10/15 11/6 11/8 84/8 12/24 24/10 29/9 30/2 60/19 **expiry [2]** 35/1 126/9 119/2 119/3 119/4 84/9 124/19 135/18 30/20 32/12 32/14 119/7 119/10 119/14 except [1] 114/1 explain [6] 13/10 135/19 166/12 170/1 32/19 33/5 35/15 **exception [1]** 96/11 18/16 68/24 131/7 Factor V [1] 97/12 eventually [4] 36/3 37/16 38/9 38/17 exceptional [2] 96/18 | 141/12 149/2 factor VIII [47] 28/15 70/12 110/25 128/14 38/18 40/9 60/21 explains [1] 57/19 28/21 28/23 41/18 97/4 ever [14] 15/4 29/5 72/18 113/3 117/6 42/5 42/10 69/3 69/20 **exceptions** [1] 32/10 explanations [1] 60/1 61/8 61/14 63/1 119/20 123/15 excess [1] 129/21 167/24 71/14 71/16 71/24 73/15 91/14 95/8 facility [10] 29/15 excessive [1] 115/13 explicitly [2] 51/9 76/7 80/10 82/7 88/4 97/13 102/6 134/17 29/25 30/5 30/14 **exchange** [5] 61/8 73/12 90/10 90/21 97/23 135/17 152/21 30/19 31/1 34/3 35/21 98/5 115/13 118/11 61/24 62/7 136/9 explore [4] 103/16 (58) essential - factor VIII

F 33/4 33/10 33/24 35/1 14/16 55/11 55/16 France [2] 56/23 62/5 **favouring [1]** 159/12 free [7] 26/22 61/8 **FDA [1]** 153/9 35/4 37/4 39/2 41/21 55/19 55/24 56/1 factor VIII... [26] fear [3] 156/24 157/17 42/5 47/21 53/6 53/8 57/22 58/8 58/19 59/2 99/11 99/25 164/2 119/7 119/13 119/14 53/23 56/16 58/3 60/8 59/12 59/12 61/3 164/23 176/13 157/21 124/12 124/14 125/14 76/8 79/3 81/5 83/25 61/24 64/16 66/5 67/6 freedom [6] 44/23 feasibility [1] 3/21 125/18 126/6 126/23 feature [2] 114/16 85/15 88/8 90/15 93/3 67/12 95/15 116/21 99/14 99/21 100/2 126/23 127/13 129/22 152/11 102/7 127/8 127/21 139/5 139/7 139/12 104/8 105/6 131/5 133/11 142/23 131/17 133/10 137/8 164/9 featured [1] 153/14 freer [1] 62/7 148/5 155/17 155/20 Federation [1] 154/13 138/7 139/16 142/3 formalise [1] 132/12 freeze [11] 41/19 157/15 158/13 164/22 **feed [1]** 137/15 143/22 143/23 144/5 formally [3] 123/9 41/21 59/23 117/5 165/11 166/24 171/1 feel [1] 125/5 148/7 148/10 151/12 123/12 172/3 119/11 119/15 119/20 171/2 174/18 feeling [2] 125/21 153/24 155/20 156/19 | formulate [1] 13/3 122/9 122/13 123/1 Factor VIIIs [1] 61/2 157/16 163/3 164/15 159/3 fortnight [1] 70/18 123/11 Factor X [1] 97/17 fell [1] 84/8 168/19 169/6 172/15 forum [2] 101/22 freeze dryers [1] Factor XIII [2] 97/11 fit [4] 39/8 39/12 41/6 felt [15] 16/2 24/10 158/23 119/20 97/17 freeze-dried [1] 41/21 25/23 26/21 46/20 41/9 forward [7] 48/2 factors [8] 65/13 93/2 57/1 64/14 66/5 66/16 | **fits** [1] 174/9 48/11 49/14 49/24 freeze-drying [2] 95/5 109/9 110/4 74/8 76/23 76/24 85/3 | **five [6]** 30/8 35/3 92/22 145/20 169/22 119/11 119/15 166/16 169/16 173/8 117/8 159/22 63/21 83/17 83/18 Foster [16] 8/13 10/3 French [1] 60/21 fail [1] 32/20 fenced [1] 23/12 90/19 21/10 21/18 22/1 22/7 frequent [3] 49/10 failure [3] 40/19 few [5] 2/24 14/18 37/2 39/4 54/15 56/7 five years [1] 30/8 55/1 84/11 110/21 111/4 55/10 105/17 136/13 five-year [1] 35/3 106/25 116/5 116/17 frequently [4] 27/10 fair [9] 17/4 17/19 field [1] 12/5 fix [1] 133/9 124/11 154/12 157/11 54/24 82/8 141/3 40/13 62/22 122/7 fixed [2] 47/3 120/18 fresh [1] 108/23 fielded [1] 26/4 Foster's [3] 34/6 146/10 146/18 169/20 Friday [2] 131/6 fiercely [1] 62/9 fixing [1] 38/9 34/15 61/17 169/21 fight [3] 109/23 flag [1] 164/18 found [6] 30/24 69/21 178/6 **fairly [28]** 2/13 4/17 109/23 110/7 Flint [2] 37/13 66/7 70/2 70/19 142/21 from [155] 1/8 2/7 6/7 12/19 12/19 27/10 figure [8] 98/7 98/8 **fluids [5]** 2/15 2/15 163/16 6/10 6/12 6/12 6/16 28/13 28/21 29/21 24/4 24/8 24/13 Fourteenth [1] 154/23 6/21 10/7 13/4 14/9 98/13 98/13 98/14 33/15 33/19 49/11 116/5 136/14 136/18 **focus [3]** 32/6 90/12 fraction [2] 41/18 17/9 17/14 17/22 54/25 59/22 64/9 figures [4] 157/3 169/14 70/25 18/12 18/19 18/20 74/19 82/3 84/11 160/21 161/4 161/8 19/5 20/15 21/11 focused [2] 33/2 Fraction II [1] 70/25 94/19 106/15 107/1 169/18 fractionate [4] 107/19 21/14 21/22 22/25 fill [1] 129/11 109/24 110/13 149/4 filtration [1] 74/21 focusing [2] 32/4 107/24 109/20 111/6 23/17 24/21 24/23 149/19 163/7 169/23 final [1] 112/15 76/6 fractionated [2] 54/6 25/14 26/21 27/2 174/11 174/12 finally [1] 84/23 follow [4] 27/2 50/21 112/21 27/17 29/13 31/2 34/6 fall [1] 158/4 financial [3] 17/14 51/2 156/7 34/10 34/20 34/21 fractionating [2] fallen [1] 22/6 23/4 130/7 **following [13]** 4/13 111/5 111/14 34/25 35/9 37/3 37/24 falls [2] 134/5 134/6 13/9 19/20 20/1 37/12 | fractionation [22] 4/6 find [4] 73/18 104/9 38/2 38/11 40/16 falsified [1] 105/11 126/8 164/11 41/2 42/3 66/7 69/10 4/9 4/11 5/6 6/16 40/17 44/9 44/13 46/5 familiar [4] 108/3 finding [4] 69/6 81/15 131/2 141/13 13/17 13/20 14/3 46/6 48/6 48/7 48/8 139/20 146/9 146/13 136/11 143/6 169/5 160/22 16/12 17/12 29/20 48/11 48/15 48/19 family [1] 62/4 finish [2] 170/2 170/5 | follows [2] 23/24 31/9 41/3 60/9 60/21 49/3 51/12 54/3 63/21 far [11] 12/10 46/21 finished [6] 2/6 19/18 | 166/20 72/14 91/4 106/18 70/2 70/17 72/25 51/16 80/14 102/22 106/22 112/3 112/12 68/15 69/23 70/20 fora [2] 51/19 55/11 74/18 74/23 75/16 118/18 119/4 123/7 109/13 forbidden [1] 52/22 141/23 75/20 77/14 81/5 83/3 162/24 162/24 172/11 Finland [1] 62/5 force [1] 75/15 85/11 86/18 87/1 fractionator [1] 56/21 fast [1] 84/22 87/10 88/8 89/5 91/3 Finnish [1] 60/20 forced [1] 101/18 fractionators [6] 4/22 fateful [3] 156/24 Firm [1] 32/13 Forester [1] 48/25 5/13 60/6 60/14 61/1 92/12 93/16 94/20 157/17 157/21 first [56] 10/20 13/22 form [5] 18/9 126/1 152/17 94/22 94/23 94/25 favour [2] 159/4 14/19 14/25 18/17 174/3 174/6 174/17 fractions [2] 70/25 95/3 97/16 97/20 99/4 159/19 30/11 32/15 32/25 **formal [25]** 14/5 71/6 100/16 100/25 101/15

(59) factor VIII... - from

F	future [3] 125/23	50/19 53/10 66/22	172/24	Н
from [67] 103/5	127/18 147/8	89/7 90/2 92/12 135/4	gone [1] 173/3	had [171] 2/6 4/15
103/24 104/16 106/23	<b>FVIII [3]</b> 125/3 150/6	135/6 147/15	good [27] 1/3 1/4 12/7	6/10 10/22 11/2 12/1
107/21 108/11 108/18	155/11	gives [1] 169/13	12/25 15/13 17/21	12/7 12/12 13/8 13/11
108/21 108/23 109/10	G	giving [4] 21/21 53/9	19/2 20/19 27/14	13/17 15/11 15/11
111/6 111/9 114/2	gain [1] 125/24	90/1 143/9	28/13 33/19 36/18	15/19 15/24 17/5
114/14 115/23 120/11	gained [1] 52/18	Glasgow [5] 84/18	38/6 39/1 46/22 54/16	17/16 18/6 18/8 19/9
120/21 122/9 123/5	gaining [2] 39/21	136/14 136/18 136/20	64/14 64/17 65/20	19/10 20/8 20/20
123/24 124/10 124/21	93/11	136/24	82/3 94/4 94/19	21/17 21/19 22/7 22/9
124/25 127/8 127/11	gap [1] 129/11	glean [1] 61/19	101/16 150/25 151/3	23/1 23/4 23/13 24/12
130/18 131/1 132/1	gathering [2] 47/8	gleaned [1] 127/11	158/8 175/13	25/22 26/12 27/11
132/22 133/4 134/15	104/2	gleaning [1] 93/11	got [19] 41/17 57/18 67/4 70/17 74/18	27/14 27/17 28/12
134/23 137/14 138/10	gave [4] 8/24 90/18	glimpse [1] 142/17 global [1] 61/25	82/15 82/18 83/16	29/15 29/16 29/19
138/14 138/17 139/9	116/5 175/24	GMP [4] 30/2 30/3	88/16 98/19 102/12	30/10 31/15 31/18
139/24 139/25 140/13	general [18] 5/10	32/20 73/4	112/9 114/13 135/10	32/25 33/6 33/19
140/23 143/4 144/4	17/23 30/20 31/14	go [69] 9/15 10/16	140/22 145/17 162/19	34/20 35/14 35/19
144/20 145/16 146/15	31/24 61/13 72/7	10/20 11/14 13/6	164/12 166/18	36/13 36/18 37/4 37/5
147/10 151/14 152/2	103/11 151/15 152/12	13/15 14/10 17/6	gradually [1] 128/15	37/7 37/14 38/3 38/6
153/16 154/12 154/20	156/7 156/21 156/24	18/15 23/21 26/3	grand [1] 95/16	38/18 39/2 39/11
155/5 157/24 159/21	157/17 157/22 159/3	31/13 31/19 32/17	Grant [3] 126/1 126/4	39/20 40/7 40/20 41/8
163/25 164/2 166/10	176/11 177/12	34/22 39/23 41/11	126/7	43/25 44/23 45/4
168/6 168/9 168/20	generally [7] 32/1	42/17 47/12 50/5 55/4	granted [5] 34/18	49/17 49/21 52/18
169/3 172/17 175/4	35/25 50/2 82/23 89/2	55/5 55/14 56/3 56/4	66/12 83/17 85/13	54/2 54/12 54/22
175/8 175/8 177/2	124/23 170/20	56/8 58/13 66/22	173/2	54/25 57/24 58/4
from PFC [1] 25/14	generous [1] 14/8	69/23 77/16 78/10	grateful [1] 128/16	58/18 59/24 60/11 60/16 60/19 61/13
front [1] 1/6 frozen [1] 70/9	genesis [1] 46/19	78/16 87/15 90/16	great [4] 25/18 48/21	61/23 65/3 65/19 67/6
fruition [1] 32/3	genuinely [2] 127/12	90/17 90/19 91/5	54/2 64/23	67/13 69/21 70/1 70/2
frustration [4] 23/7	142/21	91/19 92/13 93/10	greater [3] 56/25	71/13 71/23 77/7 77/9
43/20 44/4 74/15	Geoffrey [1] 68/7	94/9 96/2 96/5 99/6	115/5 117/20	78/13 79/25 80/1 82/3
fulfilling [1] 177/14	Geoffrey Schild [1]	99/22 113/16 120/11	greatly [2] 64/21	86/15 89/8 89/13
full [2] 20/25 60/25	68/7	127/5 128/10 129/18	141/24	94/14 96/6 96/12
fully [8] 31/17 43/10	geographical [2]	130/25 136/6 136/15	Groningen [3] 168/13	96/13 96/20 96/25
43/12 82/4 85/16	28/13 63/15	143/18 144/3 144/20	168/16 169/16	97/13 97/14 97/15
96/14 111/5 157/8	geography [1] 63/15	146/3 148/14 149/16	group [9] 43/8 46/9	97/16 100/10 100/22
function [1] 45/1	get [24] 21/13 33/15	153/3 155/16 156/18	46/10 48/1 48/7 48/9	102/1 103/20 105/20
fundamental [2]	33/17 36/2 42/11 44/7	160/7 160/19 164/15	142/23 150/6 154/8	107/15 108/9 108/13
45/12 163/7	44/7 46/25 60/1 63/1 66/15 75/16 77/6	165/5 165/22 166/14	groups [2] 5/20 68/9	108/16 108/16 108/21
funded [2] 95/18	80/19 82/12 82/22	169/6	grow [1] 125/16	110/16 110/19 111/11
114/18	85/7 92/10 102/6	goal [10] 92/23 92/25	growing [1] 87/2	112/7 119/9 119/21
funding [14] 22/20	109/23 114/22 118/15	93/13 94/4 94/11	growth [1] 73/13	119/25 119/25 120/3
22/23 23/2 23/8 23/10	135/17 165/19	94/14 95/14 96/7 99/9	guarantee [1] 152/10	121/24 124/7 124/13
30/17 32/8 33/17	getting [2] 74/16	99/16	guard [1] 105/7	126/5 126/11 126/21
35/24 36/2 66/15	110/25	going [27] 5/22 7/15 7/19 57/13 57/24	guarded [2] 61/11 62/10	129/1 132/7 133/19
75/15 95/20 109/19	Gibson [1] 103/9	63/11 66/22 77/11	guarding [1] 105/5	134/10 134/10 134/12
further [18] 4/3 10/11	give [13] 2/3 9/18	79/22 93/10 99/2	guess [9] 4/12 16/3	138/16 138/18 139/7
34/9 38/4 39/22 40/8	9/21 22/3 29/11 47/10	101/24 114/8 120/7	66/14 67/6 67/15	139/21 143/11 144/7
70/4 76/3 122/5 122/8	53/12 68/25 78/4	126/14 126/15 129/10		145/5 145/7 145/15
130/18 130/25 135/14	131/12 169/1 176/6	129/10 131/25 134/18	151/13	147/20 150/25 151/18
136/15 141/7 151/22	176/15	139/3 151/9 154/16	guessing [1] 152/18	151/24 154/9 154/9
158/4 166/25	given [10] 20/18	164/18 166/25 171/14		158/19 158/25 161/17
			V [1]	
				(60) from had

holder [1] 90/23 148/16 155/17 162/19 140/2 140/3 140/11 Н happen [3] 97/3 107/22 135/17 163/3 165/6 166/15 141/2 141/10 141/21 holders [1] 84/4 had... [10] 165/1 166/17 166/19 142/11 142/15 143/1 holding [1] 125/25 happened [10] 11/3 168/25 169/2 169/2 11/4 26/11 33/25 headwinds [1] 106/7 143/10 144/6 147/4 Holland [1] 62/5 171/8 173/4 173/10 health [17] 2/16 2/16 147/10 149/6 149/8 home [9] 28/18 57/20 34/14 82/18 122/12 173/20 176/9 177/19 3/16 25/4 25/8 25/12 150/14 150/23 154/7 132/10 152/19 173/17 97/23 100/3 102/20 hadn't [6] 33/5 33/6 happening [3] 48/17 39/5 57/20 57/21 162/19 162/24 163/4 110/11 144/20 165/23 85/2 117/24 120/2 90/24 100/4 107/14 163/19 164/2 166/21 64/19 158/12 177/17 123/9 109/25 110/12 127/14 173/21 176/13 honest [2] 103/19 happens [1] 12/14 haematologists [1] 127/15 170/10 hard [1] 157/5 hepatitis B [6] 69/11 140/12 98/3 hardening [1] 153/1 heard [11] 15/21 69/22 113/9 139/20 honestly [1] 16/5 haemolysis [1] 84/9 harm' [1] 159/5 21/11 22/19 24/5 149/6 176/13 honesty [1] 108/1 haemophilia [80] hepatitis C [4] 9/11 harmonious [1] 15/21 53/23 54/13 78/13 hope [1] 169/22 11/11 14/12 25/5 25/9 has [23] 1/10 4/24 5/1 122/12 139/16 139/21 49/20 51/21 70/12 hoped [1] 164/22 26/10 27/5 27/8 27/24 hopefully [1] 149/24 5/3 5/8 5/9 9/15 58/22 154/12 her [2] 65/10 96/23 28/9 28/17 28/19 72/11 73/12 74/2 78/7 | hearings [2] 37/9 here [13] 1/6 17/9 Hopkins [1] 150/19 28/22 28/25 43/9 48/1 113/7 115/24 122/10 85/9 40/2 61/19 88/17 horrendously [1] 48/3 48/4 48/18 48/24 122/12 127/11 128/6 heat [26] 42/16 58/11 92/16 99/24 123/2 121/19 58/7 63/7 63/8 63/14 129/20 129/24 130/19 58/13 58/14 59/7 125/8 136/10 152/13 hospital [3] 26/10 63/25 64/1 64/6 64/19 163/9 165/7 82/13 106/8 133/23 167/20 172/7 103/2 122/14 64/25 65/1 65/7 65/8 high [5] 7/24 9/1 135/22 135/24 148/9 have [327] hospitals [8] 25/4 65/11 65/16 78/14 having [13] 11/18 149/9 155/17 155/20 135/1 146/10 167/25 25/9 27/6 27/24 28/6 95/2 96/22 96/22 97/1 155/21 157/15 158/3 33/10 38/24 39/3 high-risk [2] 7/24 9/1 28/19 103/25 104/25 97/8 97/9 97/18 98/6 48/20 61/17 64/12 158/12 159/14 159/19 higher [2] 94/21 hour [2] 41/4 110/6 98/11 98/14 99/15 123/3 127/2 131/16 159/25 166/19 167/2 136/21 how [26] 22/3 28/14 101/8 101/19 101/25 138/11 149/18 168/23 | 167/6 167/12 168/12 highly [4] 44/6 58/6 36/20 47/22 54/5 102/8 103/10 103/11 he [67] 6/13 11/2 14/8 heat-treated [4] 120/7 120/8 61/11 61/16 62/11 103/25 104/18 105/10 14/8 14/10 14/16 15/5 135/24 155/17 155/20 him [4] 11/1 14/11 68/20 85/8 85/22 98/5 105/22 115/11 118/2 15/7 16/2 17/2 17/3 158/12 55/1 128/8 106/3 110/14 113/1 118/17 126/25 127/1 17/3 21/19 21/19 23/5 | heated [7] 8/11 42/18 himself [2] 60/13 116/13 124/6 128/17 141/23 142/20 143/17 24/10 25/17 25/18 156/23 158/7 159/4 143/10 131/9 134/1 141/12 144/8 144/12 144/18 25/23 27/20 44/4 44/6 159/6 159/12 151/11 152/4 152/14 hindsight [1] 133/22 145/5 145/14 145/24 45/7 45/8 45/15 45/16 heating [3] 42/15 HIPFIX [1] 42/22 176/2 176/2 149/6 150/4 154/13 45/16 47/16 48/22 157/7 166/23 hire [1] 12/5 however [5] 55/12 154/18 154/24 155/19 49/1 60/12 60/16 76/3 | held [5] 47/9 113/25 his [32] 6/13 14/21 73/8 91/12 122/1 157/23 162/8 165/9 77/8 100/10 100/18 129/6 135/21 136/24 15/2 15/2 23/6 25/19 125/21 166/2 170/10 100/19 100/20 115/9 help [6] 68/19 120/22 30/16 34/19 44/4 human [1] 174/23 haemophiliac [3] 115/10 116/19 116/22 121/3 129/11 131/17 58/22 60/12 65/4 hypothetical [1] 161/23 168/9 174/23 75/19 76/1 76/2 77/8 120/23 121/17 121/20 141/6 82/17 haemophiliacs [6] 128/8 128/25 132/22 helpful [1] 61/23 77/9 84/19 96/23 146/25 151/21 159/8 132/24 133/6 133/9 hepatitis [62] 8/7 8/16 100/9 100/11 107/1 160/24 160/25 162/5 I accept [1] 174/15 142/18 142/21 142/22 9/11 49/20 51/21 122/20 133/12 141/18 half [14] 31/14 42/11 I actually [1] 18/25 143/3 143/4 143/9 58/17 59/1 69/11 143/4 150/19 150/19 55/5 79/3 85/15 90/20 150/20 150/24 154/12 | I agree [1] 79/12 143/9 144/7 144/23 69/22 70/11 70/12 102/7 146/25 152/7 I alluded [1] 106/14 145/12 150/20 150/24 81/9 82/16 82/19 173/11 155/16 160/7 166/17 I always [1] 177/15 151/17 163/16 168/2 83/21 83/23 84/10 historical [1] 123/5 169/6 175/8 lam [13] 35/8 57/13 86/25 87/3 87/6 113/9 history [1] 122/19 168/2 Hammersmith [2] 58/10 66/21 72/14 118/19 137/23 137/23 he'd [1] 15/2 hitherto [1] 83/22 138/6 138/16 73/7 73/13 73/15 headed [2] 72/8 137/24 138/1 138/2 HIV [8] 10/9 11/11 handed [1] 17/15 73/21 75/2 77/21 120/25 138/7 138/11 138/19 69/10 69/21 70/11 handful [1] 124/4 130/10 158/24 154/10 161/17 166/16 heading [12] 31/13 138/23 139/6 139/13 handling [1] 123/18 I and [1] 126/20 31/21 144/4 144/16 139/15 139/20 139/22 hoc [1] 46/4

(61) had... - I and

28/18 28/22 33/12 103/4 I suggested [1] 20/24 | I wouldn't [3] 26/15 I suppose [1] 104/16 33/25 35/2 42/21 I know [3] 45/11 132/11 143/3 l applied [1] 15/14 54/10 56/4 57/6 60/5 I suspect [2] 121/7 **I'd [4]** 57/5 139/16 108/1 134/22 l appreciate [2] 61/8 61/14 64/21 71/4 | I learnt [2] 139/8 161/24 140/19 173/4 103/12 172/13 75/17 75/20 82/9 I then [9] 2/7 29/9 I'd heard [1] 139/16 140/22 I arrived [4] 12/23 82/17 83/12 86/19 I list [1] 9/15 63/7 65/21 87/11 I'd picked [1] 140/19 29/18 33/12 123/6 115/17 124/3 164/9 91/18 95/7 95/15 I made [2] 77/4 **I'II [1]** 170/1 l ask [3] 50/3 66/25 133/18 I'm [42] 5/22 7/15 97/12 103/4 103/7 166/9 89/10 103/19 109/12 112/13 | I mean [2] 28/18 8/23 32/25 35/1 35/7 I think [364] l asked [3] 138/9 115/6 115/20 123/3 160/4 I think, need [1] 37/5 59/17 76/6 77/11 139/3 156/12 125/9 132/18 134/8 I meant [1] 3/5 125/9 79/17 89/16 95/10 l attended [2] 54/8 134/17 135/10 135/11 | I mentioned [2] 117/1 | I thought [1] 136/21 96/24 99/2 102/6 140/25 143/3 143/8 145/6 135/12 104/15 108/3 112/10 I took [6] 20/10 21/24 I basically [1] 7/6 147/18 150/25 152/21 | I met [1] 55/1 108/12 109/21 132/1 129/9 129/10 132/9 I became [2] 137/9 154/16 158/23 161/2 136/15 136/16 144/19 I might [1] 23/4 140/13 140/25 I turn [1] 42/23 161/9 164/6 164/17 I move [1] 60/6 147/25 149/2 149/13 I believe [6] 73/24 I understand [11] 4/4 166/5 167/7 167/21 I moved [1] 2/10 149/19 151/2 151/9 80/17 84/20 93/25 I feel [1] 125/5 I must [1] 72/18 14/20 40/6 46/15 152/12 153/21 153/22 104/23 130/22 I find [1] 73/18 I need [2] 42/21 53/14 59/10 64/4 88/7 162/11 164/18 166/5 I briefly [1] 76/14 I first [1] 138/7 115/20 96/16 122/23 129/20 166/7 166/25 168/5 I came [1] 93/25 I got [2] 140/22 I never [2] 65/11 I undertook [1] 76/15 171/11 175/10 I can [4] 68/24 124/13 145/17 121/16 I want [9] 18/11 76/5 I'm just [1] 144/19 131/2 149/2 I guess [7] 4/12 16/3 I note [2] 72/11 151/2 79/5 92/9 92/13 92/15 | I've [3] 30/3 35/24 l can't [19] 10/24 51/4 66/14 67/15 76/22 I noted [1] 172/5 124/4 137/22 170/2 135/10 68/25 82/24 82/24 115/12 138/7 I noticed [1] 126/5 I wanted [4] 53/21 I've got [1] 135/10 92/6 113/1 126/3 I had [21] 2/6 12/7 I only [1] 140/22 94/7 141/15 172/10 I've said [1] 35/24 149/10 151/13 151/16 12/12 13/17 15/11 I pointed [1] 157/4 I was [44] 2/6 2/9 i.e [1] 157/2 152/10 153/23 156/11 15/11 17/5 17/16 18/8 | I pretended [1] 3/20 6/18 9/25 12/9 idea [9] 27/14 33/20 162/11 162/15 163/23 52/18 54/22 54/25 152/18 34/24 46/22 64/15 15/13 16/9 16/11 166/8 173/6 77/7 77/9 108/21 I probably [2] 63/4 16/15 16/16 18/6 29/2 77/20 82/3 101/22 I carried [1] 3/21 133/19 138/16 138/18 64/21 31/12 52/16 52/16 164/7 I certainly [2] 64/22 139/7 139/21 145/15 52/17 52/21 75/3 75/7 ideal [1] 40/19 I readily [1] 15/20 68/2 I have [20] 11/13 I recall [4] 20/10 75/12 76/2 79/22 ideas [1] 151/17 I communicated [1] 28/11 54/14 58/2 62/2 48/22 108/22 112/25 88/21 89/5 103/5 identical [1] 57/17 124/17 66/4 68/1 98/19 105/9 | I recognise [1] 74/3 105/18 108/18 108/18 **identified** [11] 33/3 I continue [1] 73/9 105/12 118/5 119/1 I regularly [1] 152/6 108/19 123/7 123/19 34/1 35/14 37/14 49/7 I could [1] 14/14 121/16 125/21 128/4 I remained [1] 7/7 133/18 139/18 139/20 98/4 129/5 133/16 I described [3] 27/7 128/14 144/24 146/5 I remember [1] 139/20 144/1 144/17 156/17 166/18 172/16 137/19 138/3 145/11 156/16 166/6 146/5 161/11 126/10 identify [4] 18/18 19/3 I did [9] 2/6 5/2 33/24 I honestly [1] 16/5 I reported [1] 64/14 167/21 168/18 172/6 33/16 91/19 77/6 103/4 137/9 I imagine [2] 1/8 90/8 | I reviewed [1] 133/19 I wasn't [1] 145/8 identifying [3] 150/12 145/2 152/19 173/6 I joined [7] 57/23 I right [1] 117/20 I will [8] 2/24 10/6 165/10 167/18 l didn't [5] 35/24 75/3 76/12 92/21 94/12 I said [1] 54/10 10/16 19/22 21/8 34/1 idiosyncratic [1] 75/8 100/17 121/12 139/17 139/22 173/4 | I sat [1] 159/22 109/22 121/1 96/13 I dismantled [1] l just [19] 25/1 41/12 I saw [1] 15/12 I won't [2] 4/2 178/1 ie [1] 125/17 114/21 if [146] 10/8 10/16 50/5 67/1 68/13 92/17 | I say [9] 10/16 48/13 I would [23] 10/3 22/7 l do [9] 20/12 74/11 120/21 120/25 121/2 53/7 62/11 69/18 85/4 23/8 30/2 33/19 58/4 10/20 13/4 13/6 13/15 84/16 108/1 121/12 136/9 141/5 146/21 139/17 139/24 160/3 66/14 75/7 79/7 80/16 17/6 18/12 18/15 133/6 144/13 150/19 147/1 148/7 156/18 I shared [1] 133/13 80/21 82/3 87/7 123/4 18/15 21/8 23/16 153/5 165/2 166/12 175/4 I should [1] 64/15 128/16 145/3 145/17 23/21 26/2 26/21 31/2 l don't [59] 11/4 11/18 149/18 152/1 152/18 175/7 I spent [1] 138/3 31/13 31/19 31/20 14/4 15/4 23/9 23/15 I knew [2] 28/20 I started [1] 93/6 157/23 161/9 173/8 32/17 36/22 39/24

(62) I applied - if

imminent [2] 73/21 38/16 148/11 149/1 152/17 177/7 influencing [1] 51/16 indemnity [1] 72/16 133/8 imprudent [1] 127/16 influential [2] 51/18 if... [124] 41/11 41/15 immodest [1] 139/8 inability [1] 110/11 independent [2] 44/1 51/23 42/17 46/22 50/5 immunity [11] 19/21 inactivated [1] 59/1 44/2 inform [2] 77/23 50/13 51/1 55/4 55/5 20/2 20/12 20/19 21/5 | inactivation [9] 8/5 independently [1] 177/9 55/10 55/14 55/22 8/6 9/10 106/9 148/9 34/11 35/11 69/13 44/2 informal [12] 37/24 56/3 56/8 58/25 59/9 149/9 156/3 156/13 38/2 38/5 54/13 54/16 81/16 82/22 85/24 **INDEX [1]** 178/7 61/23 62/14 62/14 indicated [3] 127/11 58/5 61/3 62/24 67/16 immunoglobulin [8] 168/19 75/2 77/16 77/21 20/21 24/3 24/7 28/8 inadequate [1] 30/25 129/24 155/23 71/3 116/22 141/18 77/25 78/10 78/16 65/14 76/19 77/5 inappropriate [1] indicates [1] 125/4 informally [1] 172/3 78/17 80/4 81/4 82/1 109/8 79/2 indications [1] 78/6 information [39] 82/18 82/18 83/5 impact [4] 12/15 incentivise [2] 107/12 indicative [1] 150/23 10/23 61/9 61/24 62/7 83/18 83/25 86/1 78/14 132/13 173/5 107/17 individual [22] 26/6 62/11 62/14 81/1 83/6 86/15 87/2 87/13 27/5 44/6 44/22 44/24 impediment [1] 110/8 inception [1] 6/21 83/7 84/1 88/4 88/10 87/15 90/4 90/16 89/25 90/2 90/7 90/9 implement [2] 33/18 incidence [2] 161/20 52/21 79/10 80/17 90/17 90/20 91/2 91/5 148/1 161/21 82/6 83/13 96/12 103/5 105/8 105/11 92/11 93/9 94/9 96/10 implementation [2] incidentally [1] 90/8 96/20 103/25 104/25 113/19 113/23 113/25 96/20 96/21 96/25 include [3] 5/12 24/21 32/16 121/6 105/1 105/10 115/21 128/9 130/18 152/5 97/17 97/18 98/10 implemented [4] 118/13 121/15 128/13 163/8 167/7 167/10 113/22 99/6 99/18 101/3 49/22 83/2 91/16 included [6] 81/1 171/18 177/23 170/11 171/8 171/18 105/25 107/6 110/15 170/19 171/19 172/12 | individually [1] 4/19 173/21 175/14 175/15 169/24 110/16 113/14 113/16 176/1 176/6 177/1 implementing [1] 176/10 176/21 **individuals** [5] 47/10 115/2 115/10 116/18 47/11 49/7 73/16 177/4 177/13 31/23 including [7] 21/17 117/24 119/16 120/22 implicated [1] 9/5 31/25 49/23 72/17 161/25 informational [1] 121/2 124/24 125/7 implications [1] 100/24 101/9 141/20 industrial [1] 32/21 170/23 125/16 126/24 127/5 121/8 incoming [1] 147/8 industry [8] 1/24 **informative** [1] 138/4 127/8 127/23 129/11 implying [2] 73/21 inconsistent [1] 13/18 74/6 141/24 informed [2] 29/24 129/18 130/17 130/22 133/7 165/2 170/20 176/10 176/24 172/5 130/25 133/1 136/6 infrequent [2] 148/5 importance [3] 47/11 | increase [17] 30/24 176/24 136/15 137/25 141/6 148/21 100/6 136/11 36/7 40/3 58/2 83/20 inefficient [1] 120/8 142/3 143/15 143/18 106/23 107/12 115/10 important [30] 11/3 inescapable [1] 37/18 infusion [7] 24/4 24/8 144/3 145/7 145/15 16/3 20/11 25/3 25/16 116/2 116/14 116/25 inevitable [2] 83/24 24/13 84/17 84/23 145/20 146/3 147/20 26/23 47/12 55/9 117/2 117/8 117/24 158/5 102/19 167/25 148/4 149/15 149/25 64/20 66/6 66/14 120/1 120/1 120/2 inevitably [2] 106/21 infusions [2] 82/8 152/18 153/2 153/3 66/15 69/6 71/14 increased [18] 56/13 125/19 168/1 154/19 155/16 156/18 71/23 83/11 99/13 56/18 56/25 59/15 infected [3] 8/1 inherent [1] 157/8 158/3 159/19 160/7 inherited [1] 14/1 74/20 86/1 95/19 100/1 100/8 101/2 138/19 169/16 160/19 162/18 163/25 116/19 116/20 118/7 106/7 114/13 117/7 infecting [1] 149/5 inhibited [1] 35/25 164/15 164/18 165/5 118/8 118/21 125/5 117/10 117/24 119/9 infection [3] 150/23 initial [4] 32/6 41/3 166/14 166/17 169/6 151/18 151/19 141/3 169/5 172/23 120/2 124/9 133/24 110/24 133/17 170/24 174/22 175/6 177/13 141/25 158/6 infectious [2] 167/17 initially [5] 26/18 47/3 175/7 177/9 178/3 importantly [2] 113/5 increases [2] 37/19 176/14 93/15 113/8 138/25 **II [2]** 29/2 70/25 124/10 107/3 infective [4] 141/21 initiated [3] 24/9 **III [2]** 155/8 155/9 imported [1] 94/20 increasing [6] 74/15 143/13 156/25 157/18 126/3 166/22 illuminate [2] 101/12 117/3 117/19 124/11 impose [1] 100/10 infective' [1] 155/11 injected [1] 143/13 141/7 152/21 153/19 infectivity [1] 141/24 impressions [1] injecting [1] 149/5 imagine [3] 1/8 90/8 29/23 increasingly [3] infer [1] 143/4 injection [2] 24/14 157/23 37/21 141/18 153/14 inference [2] 146/18 improve [2] 33/24 95/20 imbalance [1] 111/21 106/25 indeed [14] 3/15 27/5 169/20 innovation [1] 21/20 immediately [3] 2/18 improved [3] 58/9 27/9 40/5 50/24 82/21 influence [5] 50/23 **innovative** [1] 16/1 117/4 152/8 74/21 108/10 104/17 120/2 122/11 50/24 51/25 101/5 input [4] 6/17 116/20 immersion [1] 14/9 improvements [3] 138/2 145/1 145/12 101/12 173/5 173/15

(63) if... - input

integrate [1] 69/14 introduce [1] 121/24 49/25 51/6 52/25 148/5 integrated [4] 31/17 introduced [4] 70/13 65/22 75/21 84/24 Inquiries [1] 9/22 43/10 43/12 68/7 107/11 128/15 128/17 91/12 95/6 105/23 Inquiry [31] 7/15 8/23 **January [3]** 3/23 intended [3] 78/4 introduction [10] 126/15 128/13 128/22 8/25 11/10 13/5 17/7 33/11 122/25 49/15 49/20 51/21 128/22 133/16 140/17 78/4 79/19 21/11 36/23 37/8 January 1980 [1] 141/6 152/16 156/9 **intention [2]** 75/5 69/10 82/13 106/8 41/11 50/4 55/4 66/21 33/11 107/1 112/1 144/24 134/8 157/20 163/12 170/10 68/11 77/12 78/12 January 2007 [1] 159/21 issued [2] 125/13 interaction [6] 16/22 92/13 96/4 113/15 3/23 19/23 50/22 63/14 investigated [2] 170/9 113/20 115/23 115/24 Japan [1] 5/8 64/4 76/10 84/20 148/10 issues [23] 8/4 14/17 116/6 121/13 122/10 jaundice [2] 81/9 82/9 interactions [7] 17/5 investigation [3] 8/2 16/2 16/25 23/2 23/5 122/11 136/4 138/22 jealously [2] 105/5 47/23 54/20 62/20 9/24 83/1 26/17 44/9 46/17 149/22 153/24 165/7 105/7 65/9 67/2 67/5 investigations [1] 49/16 51/19 54/10 insert [9] 170/16 jigsaw [1] 61/20 interest [5] 73/11 9/19 64/18 68/10 75/13 170/19 171/9 171/12 Jim [2] 58/12 58/22 87/13 87/23 102/13 89/6 130/18 155/5 investment [4] 36/6 171/19 172/16 173/20 job [6] 2/18 11/23 108/20 115/2 116/18 166/2 38/17 39/22 40/8 176/5 177/8 14/6 15/14 16/19 76/8 interested [1] 84/7 investments [1] 139/12 171/15 inserts [7] 8/15 80/7 **JOHN [6]** 1/14 23/5 it's [17] 51/24 78/4 interesting [2] 125/5 37/23 174/7 174/11 175/3 37/13 37/14 132/22 138/4 invited [1] 168/25 78/6 95/25 120/12 175/11 176/9 179/2 interestingly [1] 82/6 involve [2] 26/21 126/18 140/16 141/7 inside [1] 100/4 John Cash [1] 132/22 **interests [2]** 73/9 143/23 146/3 148/2 116/17 inspection [7] 12/2 joined [14] 2/5 2/8 112/17 involved [21] 4/25 5/1 153/23 170/6 174/8 32/15 32/25 33/4 2/21 47/17 57/23 174/8 175/13 177/3 interface [2] 4/22 5/4 5/19 6/1 9/22 9/25 33/10 37/13 66/7 65/15 76/12 92/21 10/3 12/18 12/24 25/24 item [2] 167/14 inspections [1] 37/25 94/12 103/13 139/17 interlinked [1] 119/15 15/17 22/1 23/5 23/9 167/20 inspector [7] 2/22 139/22 172/13 173/4 intermediate [2] 25/24 59/3 61/7 67/18 its [50] 6/21 6/24 11/20 11/22 12/1 joining [2] 138/24 70/25 71/6 88/16 108/18 112/19 16/16 20/22 20/22 12/11 22/2 84/21 141/11 intermediates [4] involvement [15] 20/23 21/13 21/14 Inspector's [3] 11/25 joint [9] 8/12 8/22 11/16 11/18 23/2 38/5 19/18 68/16 70/22 23/13 26/14 27/17 29/24 33/14 37/2 37/11 56/1 56/10 70/23 38/13 47/14 66/23 37/15 38/20 44/14 Inspectorate [3] 31/8 60/3 111/13 111/15 internalised [1] 100/7 87/12 87/13 96/25 55/8 57/8 58/2 68/5 33/6 37/25 jointly [1] 167/8 international [13] 4/6 108/16 109/17 122/25 68/6 71/10 72/13 inspectors [7] 13/2 judge [1] 91/25 4/9 5/6 5/7 14/14 123/25 173/15 73/14 75/24 78/7 88/3 19/24 30/9 31/3 35/14 judged [1] 96/23 56/21 61/19 71/16 involving [2] 35/18 91/14 99/10 104/4 38/7 38/13 judgment [2] 99/12 71/17 71/19 153/8 74/20 108/24 108/25 109/14 instance [2] 23/16 99/25 153/13 167/15 Ireland [35] 24/22 110/1 115/25 126/9 171/1 **July [3]** 37/7 151/20 24/23 25/6 47/14 132/3 133/8 138/18 internet [1] 152/4 instances [1] 81/9 151/21 interpretation [2] 47/16 56/20 57/10 138/18 140/6 140/20 instantly [1] 109/13 July 1982 [2] 151/20 59/14 64/2 65/1 65/2 142/15 143/6 152/23 160/21 161/4 instead [2] 35/11 151/21 intervention [1] 65/6 65/8 107/21 159/21 160/4 167/13 45/10 July 1988 [1] 37/7 138/13 111/25 112/2 112/3 169/18 171/22 171/24 **Institute [1]** 67/3 June [7] 3/23 9/5 into [25] 3/19 6/17 8/2 112/7 112/18 113/1 173/17 instituted [1] 45/8 129/11 129/18 130/24 itself [11] 18/12 22/4 17/18 18/24 20/23 113/12 113/23 114/1 instructed [1] 73/12 132/12 175/7 30/8 41/4 45/18 47/3 114/3 114/8 114/10 27/16 91/18 127/3 instruction [2] 74/4 June 1984 [1] 129/11 47/7 48/12 60/18 70/4 114/18 114/22 115/3 143/7 166/13 169/13 74/7 jurisdiction [1] 91/19 93/25 104/25 115/4 115/11 115/16 170/13 174/2 174/17 instrumental [4] 114/19 135/6 135/8 135/8 IU [2] 130/5 131/3 116/20 124/7 137/13 45/17 48/2 48/23 jurisdictions [1] 140/19 143/6 143/13 Irish [1] 65/13 IX [17] 8/10 8/11 88/21 55/10 153/17 173/5 irrespective [1] 132/5 28/15 29/1 29/2 41/21 insufficient [2] 114/4 42/2 76/7 80/10 97/23 | just [114] 1/5 2/3 2/6 intravenous [3] 20/21 isn't [2] 116/1 130/8 114/9 5/22 7/6 10/5 10/16 76/18 77/5 issue [27] 8/10 8/20 119/2 119/3 119/4 insurance [1] 72/16 10/20 11/6 11/13 13/4 9/13 10/25 16/4 36/19 intricate [1] 77/1 119/7 119/10 119/14

(64) Inquiries - just

80/25 152/22 163/14 160/2 133/5 136/20 136/23 L 170/24 leader [3] 16/2 17/4 139/19 146/10 label [3] 170/12 171/8 just... [103] 13/8 17/6 kill [1] 159/23 levels [6] 95/19 125/2 21/19 19/22 20/20 24/5 172/25 kilograms [1] 116/10 leadership [1] 58/13 125/8 132/14 137/12 24/14 25/1 31/19 labelling [2] 33/22 kilos [1] 83/13 leading [1] 82/20 137/16 36/21 37/10 38/1 172/7 kind [6] 4/24 13/24 leads [1] 172/10 **LFTs [1]** 159/10 38/23 39/19 41/11 labels [2] 170/4 175/3 46/17 61/25 84/1 leaflet [11] 169/11 liability [2] 72/9 72/9 41/12 41/15 41/16 laboratory [8] 2/10 116/23 170/16 170/19 171/10 liability/personal [1] 42/14 47/10 50/2 50/5 19/10 19/10 19/17 Kingdom [2] 60/10 171/12 171/19 172/13 72/9 52/2 55/4 56/3 56/9 39/16 39/17 68/18 162/5 172/16 173/20 177/1 liaise [1] 129/25 56/16 57/18 65/23 110/18 knew [13] 28/3 28/3 177/19 liaison [6] 25/12 66/17 67/1 68/11 lack [2] 60/2 87/12 28/4 28/9 28/20 61/5 leaflet' [2] 175/14 55/11 55/16 59/12 68/13 68/19 72/24 Lane [8] 15/22 53/25 61/6 62/22 62/23 175/15 59/13 81/25 77/11 79/18 84/5 85/6 54/1 54/23 54/25 55/1 103/4 103/23 115/9 leaflets [4] 153/25 Liberate [1] 42/22 86/5 87/11 90/15 129/21 129/24 176/17 177/8 177/12 177/23 **Liberton [2]** 8/13 37/1 90/17 90/18 91/5 language [2] 172/20 know [57] 11/9 11/12 licence [47] 20/25 learning [4] 64/17 91/12 91/13 92/17 173/13 27/25 45/11 61/11 139/14 140/1 141/4 32/21 34/7 34/9 35/1 98/16 99/18 101/12 large [11] 2/14 3/15 learnt [4] 64/22 139/8 62/11 79/13 79/13 35/5 35/6 35/11 38/3 44/25 45/5 86/7 120/5 101/13 113/18 120/17 81/13 82/9 82/18 65/23 66/1 66/11 140/22 151/11 120/21 120/22 120/25 123/21 124/14 126/6 82/20 83/12 84/3 least [8] 74/7 81/5 66/13 66/20 76/9 121/2 126/4 127/8 134/11 135/2 84/13 84/14 90/12 76/16 77/5 77/7 78/13 97/22 101/7 111/18 128/1 128/10 129/13 large-scale [3] 2/14 101/3 103/4 103/4 111/22 133/25 159/8 80/8 80/21 80/23 81/3 132/21 134/3 136/2 123/21 135/2 103/7 103/12 103/13 leave [5] 15/3 59/9 81/4 81/6 81/11 83/8 136/9 136/15 141/5 largely [4] 50/20 88/1 103/19 105/4 108/1 99/18 136/2 149/15 83/16 83/17 84/3 84/4 142/3 142/16 143/15 102/4 172/5 112/4 112/13 114/7 85/12 85/23 86/5 leaving [1] 97/4 144/3 144/19 145/9 larger [3] 56/23 86/15 114/25 115/1 115/24 **led [2]** 19/5 21/10 86/16 86/17 88/3 88/9 145/20 146/21 147/1 102/15 121/11 122/9 130/15 left [8] 14/20 14/23 90/15 90/22 90/23 148/7 148/16 148/24 last [9] 4/25 21/11 131/22 133/14 134/22 15/5 15/7 21/13 91/2 91/6 172/15 149/15 149/18 149/25 55/22 96/16 99/8 135/10 139/19 140/18 126/16 128/7 129/14 172/23 172/24 174/5 150/10 151/15 156/18 118/14 132/21 149/18 143/5 144/10 145/10 legal [2] 85/10 176/23 licences [11] 20/5 157/16 161/13 162/18 158/9 147/12 147/15 147/18 legitimate [2] 23/19 20/15 20/18 67/1 76/6 164/9 164/25 165/2 late [13] 8/3 30/6 148/13 151/20 152/19 75/6 78/23 80/5 80/10 49/19 72/2 85/14 90/6 166/9 166/12 168/15 159/17 161/2 161/12 lengths [1] 25/18 80/14 80/19 81/15 169/6 170/2 172/19 106/13 108/17 137/4 164/6 167/21 169/10 less [8] 15/21 30/10 licensed [4] 77/22 173/15 175/2 175/4 142/12 152/20 153/17 175/18 86/21 86/22 102/23 77/25 80/19 81/2 175/7 175/18 163/25 know-how [2] 61/11 117/12 117/13 119/4 licensing [43] 19/20 justifiable [1] 143/8 late 1987 [1] 72/2 62/11 let [3] 53/6 55/6 19/23 20/1 34/22 justification [1] 95/13 later [19] 6/25 7/13 knowingly [1] 73/14 130/15 50/12 50/15 76/11 10/6 15/3 39/24 42/22 justifications [1] knowledge [26] 13/17 let's [1] 98/22 76/21 77/3 77/12 43/14 50/1 52/8 52/9 94/18 23/2 23/11 24/13 27/3 letter [25] 10/7 10/8 77/20 78/3 78/20 justified [3] 96/15 53/1 53/11 91/11 28/13 28/13 73/12 37/7 39/23 72/1 72/6 78/20 79/7 79/19 80/3 96/17 117/10 116/9 121/1 151/22 83/21 83/23 86/24 74/9 74/11 74/14 81/1 81/12 81/22 154/1 154/2 177/23 108/16 109/17 112/5 74/23 74/25 75/2 75/4 82/23 83/7 84/5 84/7 latter [1] 105/2 113/24 114/2 115/1 75/19 76/1 120/21 84/10 85/1 85/8 85/14 Keel [2] 49/3 49/3 latterly [10] 19/19 135/5 137/23 138/1 120/24 121/12 128/24 85/17 85/21 86/4 keen [1] 122/18 19/25 21/23 47/2 49/2 138/18 138/25 151/10 129/19 130/25 132/21 86/10 86/13 87/5 87/9 keep [1] 93/9 60/21 67/9 81/14 153/19 168/6 172/17 134/3 135/14 166/10 88/6 90/3 90/6 173/16 keeping [1] 118/11 87/19 171/2 known [7] 28/8 87/6 level [20] 10/4 49/11 174/2 174/13 174/16 kept [4] 61/10 62/12 **Law [1]** 122/13 87/8 102/22 161/14 50/25 53/23 54/13 174/24 117/25 119/10 laws [1] 72/20 164/1 166/21 61/15 64/23 71/3 73/2 | **life [4]** 30/8 68/22 **Kernoff [1]** 163/15 lay [1] 176/1 74/3 74/15 97/8 81/11 128/6 key [10] 10/13 16/25 lead [3] 157/1 157/18 111/23 114/13 119/11 | lifelong [2] 118/10 22/23 40/14 44/9 61/6

(65) just... - lifelong

litres [4] 83/13 86/18 120/13 157/2 157/3 making [12] 3/17 6/25 166/11 86/19 116/10 losses [2] 120/20 7/11 24/11 76/2 78/14 | March 1978 [1] 91/7 lifelong... [1] 118/17 little [26] 2/7 2/24 5/2 133/23 102/5 123/1 128/2 March 1988 [1] 11/5 lifetime [3] 81/3 83/16 15/3 18/11 25/1 29/9 lost [2] 111/6 164/23 133/3 164/23 172/11 mark [1] 161/12 86/4 markers [6] 67/20 39/20 42/24 66/25 manage [1] 112/17 lot [2] 10/1 114/9 light [2] 37/19 84/2 68/13 68/19 76/3 76/5 **low [2]** 119/10 161/1 managed [3] 45/5 70/9 71/12 71/13 lightly [1] 74/10 85/25 87/11 122/12 lower [7] 93/18 95/10 89/19 89/21 150/13 150/22 like [21] 19/9 21/23 124/23 129/5 138/2 95/10 98/8 102/19 management [12] market [2] 67/14 28/18 33/22 39/3 39/7 141/7 151/10 154/1 16/17 17/12 17/14 119/8 164/4 69/17 43/19 43/22 49/16 154/2 167/23 178/1 Ludlam [6] 48/14 18/1 18/5 43/13 43/23 |marketing [2] 81/19 53/13 62/4 70/25 74/9 lived [1] 164/5 63/22 157/13 158/10 44/5 89/17 90/23 91/8 173/2 75/9 84/9 115/2 liver [1] 146/24 158/21 168/2 markets [1] 62/6 131/18 145/1 146/6 local [2] 14/11 23/16 lunch [5] 92/10 98/21 manager [7] 16/11 Mary [1] 1/10 146/8 163/17 located [1] 28/10 135/12 142/9 142/10 17/24 18/4 18/9 19/10 matched [1] 69/5 likely [2] 86/2 159/23 72/7 126/7 London [1] 57/21 **lunchtime** [2] 142/8 material [15] 77/24 likewise [1] 167/12 long [12] 33/15 45/11 142/10 managers [2] 23/6 92/6 93/18 93/18 limited [8] 26/13 49/1 93/7 111/22 116/16 120/12 125/22 126/12 26/15 26/16 27/3 51/1 M 113/1 118/14 118/15 mandated [1] 171/6 126/22 126/24 127/25 119/13 156/22 159/6 machine [1] 137/20 128/14 133/21 140/6 manual [1] 34/4 130/16 130/19 138/17 limiting [2] 140/15 machine' [1] 125/4 140/21 manufacture [10] 162/20 163/5 143/2 made [27] 13/2 14/13 long-term [4] 111/22 12/6 18/19 24/1 24/22 materials [2] 122/16 **Lindsay** [1] 9/21 27/15 33/22 37/7 118/15 140/6 140/21 72/22 76/24 117/17 171/25 line [13] 13/7 13/10 38/18 39/20 60/12 123/17 123/21 159/6 longer [1] 142/1 matter [7] 32/23 17/9 18/5 44/5 51/2 61/16 77/4 80/18 longest [1] 36/12 53/11 71/17 74/17 manufacture's [1] 77/17 78/17 92/18 89/11 89/13 89/15 look [47] 7/19 10/5 34/9 113/10 125/6 127/23 94/10 120/10 153/11 89/17 103/14 104/12 10/8 13/4 36/22 39/24 manufactured [3] matters [10] 31/2 175/9 109/19 117/4 119/18 40/1 41/12 46/18 50/3 18/21 25/5 162/23 31/24 33/2 50/11 line 11 [1] 92/18 130/4 131/20 133/18 50/5 55/22 56/9 59/11 manufacturer [4] 50/25 108/10 142/2 line 14 [1] 175/9 145/13 154/20 154/21 68/11 72/1 77/11 80/6 25/25 80/25 87/10 146/16 166/9 169/14 line 16 [1] 77/17 173/9 84/21 90/20 92/11 174/5 mature [1] 88/24 line 21 [1] 78/17 magnitude [2] 86/15 92/15 99/2 113/14 manufacturer's [6] may [38] 3/10 9/3 line 3 [1] 17/9 86/21 120/21 124/4 124/20 35/1 35/10 65/23 66/1 11/15 33/20 36/16 line 5 [1] 94/10 main [6] 7/16 26/16 124/21 127/8 129/10 66/11 66/20 36/16 49/3 50/25 line 9 [2] 13/7 13/10 37/4 40/21 40/22 136/2 141/5 143/16 manufacturers [1] 52/23 53/11 56/10 lines [6] 13/16 78/12 156/19 146/23 149/25 153/2 141/19 58/6 58/9 59/2 59/4 99/8 119/21 136/11 mainly [1] 72/25 153/3 154/19 162/18 manufacturing [31] 71/6 77/16 82/7 82/17 136/19 maintain [2] 21/7 2/14 12/7 12/14 12/25 164/9 164/19 169/6 89/10 96/5 98/23 lines 4 [3] 13/16 147/24 172/9 173/13 173/19 16/13 18/18 19/2 102/16 108/6 114/25 136/11 136/19 maintained [2] 25/13 19/11 23/17 30/20 174/25 175/4 125/21 126/13 127/12 link [2] 82/5 152/15 125/15 looked [10] 37/8 72/3 34/7 35/5 35/6 37/22 128/5 131/13 135/14 links [3] 21/6 54/16 maintenance [1] 85/9 85/10 92/14 38/3 39/12 41/5 66/13 154/4 156/6 157/8 60/8 122/10 129/14 131/16 | 22/14 73/1 116/16 117/6 165/2 174/4 174/9 list [2] 8/23 9/15 major [12] 16/5 32/2 165/7 166/10 117/25 119/8 120/4 177/19 listed [2] 5/21 7/17 looking [12] 39/6 40/7 32/10 37/18 37/19 120/6 120/10 123/15 May 2004 [1] 3/10 listened [1] 64/22 45/9 73/3 74/19 76/15 125/18 126/7 157/7 115/20 119/16 124/24 maybe [14] 15/7 lit [1] 128/20 79/23 140/16 155/5 125/11 125/12 155/1 171/24 49/24 71/1 89/16 literally [2] 63/21 **majority** [1] 5/3 158/9 170/3 172/19 many [10] 39/9 43/25 97/12 104/21 115/14 169/2 make [15] 20/14 177/25 51/19 60/13 61/9 126/4 132/15 134/12 literature [1] 151/16 24/13 58/4 73/22 76/9 looks [2] 8/4 131/18 62/23 67/8 89/22 142/12 163/22 167/23 **litigation [5]** 11/10 78/13 92/1 93/1 97/13 lose [1] 120/12 161/11 177/19 173/6 11/11 11/14 11/17 101/13 110/13 119/13 losing [1] 120/16 March [7] 1/1 8/25 Mayne [4] 65/8 65/10 75/11 127/16 127/19 163/1 loss [5] 106/7 120/10 10/8 11/5 91/7 92/13 65/15 65/20

(66) lifelong... - Mayne

medicines [21] 4/16 82/21 89/12 137/1 model [2] 156/13 114/3 115/7 M 4/17 4/23 6/7 11/25 167/17 167/19 167/23 156/15 most [11] 28/6 56/24 MCA [1] 77/7 12/1 13/2 19/24 20/15 mid-1970s [1] 45/6 modern [4] 30/2 30/3 86/12 96/10 128/16 McClelland [5] 47/15 21/2 21/7 29/24 33/14 mid-1984 [3] 167/17 30/25 88/24 129/25 130/10 130/14 47/15 65/3 114/3 130/22 159/22 166/1 37/13 37/24 50/16 167/19 167/23 modest [1] 159/24 115/7 52/20 76/10 84/21 mid-80s [2] 82/21 modifications [1] mostly [4] 50/13 McClelland's [1] 88/3 176/25 89/12 32/9 50/20 112/8 118/8 150/18 medics [1] 25/22 mid-84 [1] 137/1 modified [3] 42/19 motivating [3] 95/5 McIntosh [3] 18/10 meet [4] 30/25 37/20 middle [5] 14/21 15/7 42/19 86/18 95/6 95/7 66/21 110/24 94/16 110/17 123/20 131/19 154/14 moment [8] 64/3 move [7] 60/6 92/9 me [33] 9/8 13/14 meeting [34] 46/19 Midlands [1] 2/16 91/13 93/10 93/11 129/9 132/17 137/22 14/10 14/10 14/17 46/23 46/24 47/8 might [46] 11/13 124/5 127/17 151/3 145/20 151/9 15/4 23/19 44/20 53/6 47/13 48/12 48/23 21/25 23/4 23/7 30/10 167/1 moved [2] 2/10 92/22 55/6 57/8 68/2 73/12 51/11 52/23 65/16 30/11 58/20 59/11 money [1] 74/18 moves [2] 121/4 75/10 76/12 77/21 96/10 98/11 101/11 59/14 59/14 61/17 monograph [3] 172/8 127/16 79/22 87/2 93/6 93/7 moving [6] 2/19 45/18 104/18 139/10 143/17 71/2 73/6 79/14 83/19 174/25 175/1 93/16 121/13 121/18 143/23 144/20 145/16 84/1 86/8 91/25 94/2 monographs [2] 62/17 127/12 151/2 130/15 130/17 139/12 145/21 145/25 154/17 94/4 101/20 105/2 171/7 172/7 169/22 140/13 142/13 143/9 154/18 154/21 154/23 105/3 105/5 107/10 Mr [52] 6/10 6/12 14/7 Montagnier [1] 8/18 146/7 146/11 146/13 157/22 159/11 160/1 109/19 111/4 111/10 monthly [1] 137/15 14/20 14/20 15/19 150/2 months [7] 2/11 161/6 163/25 164/10 111/10 121/19 128/14 15/22 15/24 15/25 mean [8] 1/21 9/22 166/4 166/5 168/25 132/10 136/6 142/16 33/11 36/9 128/6 18/10 20/10 21/15 26/12 28/18 28/18 144/22 144/23 146/7 meetings [35] 6/2 147/9 155/24 162/25 21/23 23/5 23/19 56/17 118/12 160/4 14/11 14/12 14/14 146/7 152/15 162/17 months' [1] 147/23 24/10 30/15 37/13 mean: [1] 96/17 14/15 43/8 43/8 43/9 168/3 169/13 174/1 mood [1] 159/11 45/23 53/24 54/11 mean: justified [1] 45/24 46/4 46/6 46/9 174/1 174/6 174/21 moot [1] 20/17 60/11 64/14 65/24 96/17 47/17 47/25 51/24 mild [2] 140/15 143/1 more [66] 2/24 12/21 66/5 66/9 66/18 66/21 meaning [1] 97/6 53/1 54/8 55/2 62/25 miles [1] 63/21 14/3 18/3 18/11 19/8 72/7 80/9 91/7 110/24 means [1] 149/3 63/2 64/6 64/8 64/13 million [9] 98/9 98/9 21/8 22/12 25/1 39/8 122/17 122/23 124/17 meant [12] 3/5 15/6 64/15 64/20 64/24 130/8 130/9 133/20 40/10 46/8 50/2 54/24 124/17 124/25 126/1 16/3 68/24 81/18 65/5 98/15 115/8 134/5 134/6 134/6 55/19 57/3 58/8 59/2 126/4 126/7 127/6 95/24 106/21 107/13 134/9 59/12 59/12 59/24 128/8 129/14 129/18 141/1 141/1 144/25 138/9 139/18 148/13 145/2 152/13 153/13 mind [9] 11/2 44/17 61/23 62/3 62/15 131/1 132/1 139/25 149/13 member [2] 6/6 6/20 54/14 59/17 86/12 67/16 68/7 68/13 144/2 147/21 151/15 measure [4] 47/10 members [2] 5/5 5/8 92/20 98/7 150/15 68/19 71/2 82/23 151/17 172/3 83/10 83/14 150/22 membership [4] 5/12 169/7 83/21 85/5 86/2 87/12 Mr Donald [1] 72/7 measured [3] 71/20 6/14 7/7 52/20 mine [1] 122/3 87/20 102/17 102/18 Mr Grant [3] 126/1 142/19 150/21 memo [1] 124/25 mini [1] 47/1 102/20 102/21 103/14 126/4 126/7 measures [1] 80/1 106/22 108/9 109/3 memory [4] 38/11 minimum [5] 30/21 Mr John [1] 37/13 mechanisms [3] 46/5 135/10 144/24 32/20 74/5 111/23 109/15 110/10 111/5 Mr McIntosh [3] 55/11 55/17 55/25 mention [1] 5/22 171/8 111/14 111/15 111/17 18/10 66/21 110/24 medical [28] 17/2 mentioned [6] 21/17 minutes [11] 2/24 117/5 117/15 118/5 Mr Pettet [2] 129/18 18/8 25/11 25/23 26/5 39/2 58/22 76/14 6/24 14/18 63/1 98/10 124/10 124/23 125/24 131/1 27/13 27/17 27/19 136/13 143/16 144/10 117/1 135/12 126/14 128/20 131/22 Mr Watt [41] 6/10 27/20 27/21 39/15 merit [1] 56/10 146/1 164/10 170/5 132/15 142/5 143/10 6/12 14/7 14/20 14/20 39/17 43/15 44/24 messages [1] 173/1 mirrored [2] 50/9 149/11 159/23 163/22 15/19 15/22 15/24 45/16 46/7 46/11 48/5 met [5] 55/1 62/24 164/22 171/11 15/25 20/10 21/15 67/13 48/15 51/18 75/9 21/23 23/5 23/19 111/15 111/15 142/9 miss [1] 31/16 morning [10] 1/3 1/4 87/25 100/4 100/5 method [1] 29/20 mixing [2] 29/21 41/1 53/3 106/14 155/6 30/15 45/23 53/24 110/18 138/5 172/1 Microbiological [1] MMWR [2] 151/23 173/14 173/20 177/24 54/11 60/11 64/14 173/11 7/4 152/6 178/3 178/4 65/24 66/5 66/9 66/18 medicine [1] 25/21 mid [8] 24/20 45/6 MMWRs [1] 151/24 Morris [4] 47/15 65/3 80/9 91/7 122/17 (67) MCA - Mr Watt

M Mr Watt... [14] 122/23 124/17 124/17 124/25 127/6 128/8 129/14 132/1 139/25 144/2 147/21 151/15 151/17 172/3 Ms [3] 1/11 1/15 179/3 Ms Richards [3] 1/11 1/15 179/3 much [33] 10/14 14/6 16/22 18/3 18/6 23/1 25/24 28/11 38/12 45/1 59/8 62/3 62/7 62/20 67/13 68/7 71/21 75/1 78/25 85/5 86/19 94/21 98/5 102/20 119/16 121/9 126/18 131/10 134/1 136/20 137/18 143/10 163/10 multi [1] 171/4 multiple [4] 7/14 50/4 120/6 123/17 must [4] 53/9 72/18 121/5 133/1 mutually [1] 134/19 my [84] 2/6 2/8 5/3 12/8 12/20 12/20 13/19 14/7 16/18 16/19 17/11 17/13 18/5 19/7 23/25 24/9 25/17 29/23 32/6 34/15 38/11 42/7 44/19 47/24 48/13 50/11 51/3 51/3 51/17 52/13 52/15 52/19 57/23 59/17 60/18 62/13 72/17 72/21 72/25 73/10 73/12 75/1 75/5 85/17 88/12 92/20 94/12 95/14 98/7 100/16 104/16 107/6 108/11 108/12 110/21 111/9 112/7 113/24 117/1 123/13 123/21 124/18 126/4 136/17 137/8 138/2 140/1 140/22 142/7 143/25 144/14 146/12

150/3 151/13 151/16 152/11 154/5 160/11 161/8 162/13 163/13 163/20 168/6 174/15 myself [15] 20/9 21/17 21/23 23/6 27/8 43/22 73/24 74/8 101/12 112/24 146/5 149/19 157/13 158/22 172/4 mystery [1] 149/23

## N

name [4] 42/10 143/19 150/19 150/19 **NANB [3]** 150/4 150/13 162/24 narrative [2] 10/18 10/19 narratives [1] 137/7 **national [23]** 3/11 3/22 14/11 14/14 17/2 18/8 27/19 39/5 43/15 44/22 45/1 45/16 46/11 50/23 50/25 52/3 62/5 67/3 67/7 68/17 91/3 127/12 132/4 **National Health** 

**Service [1]** 39/5 national medical [1] 27/19

nature [11] 2/3 27/14 43/11 47/22 54/20 70/15 102/9 140/3 140/5 159/2 159/17 **NBTS [1]** 128/7 near [2] 127/12 127/18

necessarily [13] 33/1 81/20 82/12 87/4 104/3 105/7 118/15 118/22 118/23 143/3 143/3 152/7 171/21 necessary [9] 10/15 29/22 31/23 33/17 36/2 46/12 110/3 130/11 173/10 need [29] 26/21 34/1 39/16 39/22 39/25 42/21 56/4 87/9 88/23

91/21 93/22 96/5

99/22 101/21 109/19 115/20 117/2 117/5 120/18 121/9 125/9 128/4 128/10 132/4 132/23 133/9 137/13 146/23 165/14 needed [14] 12/4 33/20 39/15 39/17 40/13 66/16 96/23 97/18 97/19 98/6 100/10 110/1 115/9 126/15 needs [7] 30/25 51/12 96/10 97/23 97/25 112/17 114/5 negative [4] 69/21 69/22 70/3 70/19 negligence [1] 72/20 negligent [1] 73/7 neither [6] 65/25 88/5 88/10 89/15 157/13 158/22 Netherlands [1] 56/24 network [1] 60/23 never [10] 44/3 61/14 62/13 65/11 73/12 89/16 105/18 121/16 135/2 139/21 new [11] 5/8 7/6 13/13 13/21 13/25 17/17 20/20 71/17 72/20 74/21 94/13 New Zealand [1] 5/8 **newly [1]** 76/18 news [1] 169/2 next [31] 18/21 19/3 21/9 23/22 23/23 24/25 42/14 42/17 53/22 55/14 56/8 72/24 76/5 78/5 79/5 84/6 92/9 115/17 130/2 131/8 131/10 133/2 137/22 141/15 160/19 165/5 167/14 167/19 168/8 170/5 172/10 **NHS [24]** 38/21 55/21 56/12 57/16 83/25 96/15 96/17 99/16

104/24 115/10 126/23

134/16 134/16 157/2

157/19 157/25 162/20

163/5 163/10 163/16 163/18 164/1 164/7 165/24 NHS product [1] 163/16 **NIBSC [19]** 67/3 67/5 67/7 67/17 67/19 67/25 68/4 68/5 68/17 68/21 69/3 69/18 69/23 70/2 70/10 70/17 71/6 71/9 71/15 no [68] 5/14 10/24 11/18 13/12 13/17 14/16 15/2 16/15 16/24 19/11 22/7 27/17 29/8 34/21 38/3 57/23 60/5 63/16 64/3 74/11 74/11 79/15 83/3 87/7 92/20 95/25 100/10 105/9 105/12 112/7 113/23 113/25 118/7 122/5 122/7 122/8 124/2 124/2 130/17 131/24 132/15 138/18 139/5 139/7 139/7 144/17 144/24 145/8 147/5 147/14 148/14 149/10 151/13 154/16 155/22 155/25 159/5 159/9 162/20 163/5 165/14 165/16 166/5 173/5 174/19 nobody [1] 9/15 nominated [2] 26/5 26/19 non [63] 8/15 8/15 51/13 58/17 58/17 59/1 59/1 82/14 82/15 83/21 83/21 83/23 83/23 86/25 86/25 87/3 87/3 93/17 94/23 96/15 96/17 101/1 104/1 104/14 118/19 118/19 139/15 139/15 139/21 139/21 140/2 140/2 140/3 140/3 140/11 140/11 141/2 141/2 141/21 142/11 142/11 142/15 142/15 | **not [148]** 1/5 4/19 143/1 143/1 143/10 143/10 147/3 147/3

150/23 150/23 154/6 154/7 154/11 154/11 156/25 157/18 159/16 159/16 163/18 163/19 164/2 164/2 non-A [26] 8/15 58/17 59/1 82/14 83/21 83/23 86/25 87/3 118/19 139/15 139/21 140/2 140/3 140/11 141/2 142/11 142/15 143/1 143/10 147/3 150/23 154/6 154/11 159/16 163/18 164/2 non-B [26] 8/15 58/17 59/1 82/15 83/21 83/23 86/25 87/3 118/19 139/15 139/21 140/2 140/3 140/11 141/2 142/11 142/15 143/1 143/10 147/3 150/23 154/7 154/11 159/16 163/19 164/2 non-infective [3] 141/21 156/25 157/18 non-remunerated [4] 51/13 93/17 94/23 101/1 152/18 153/21 154/16 non-SNBTS [2] 104/1 104/14 none [1] 122/7 nor [2] 88/10 90/7 normal [1] 159/10 normally [1] 50/9 Northern [36] 24/22 24/23 25/6 47/14 47/16 56/20 57/10 59/14 64/2 64/25 65/2 65/5 65/7 65/12 107/20 111/25 112/2 112/3 112/7 112/18 113/1 113/12 113/23 114/1 114/3 114/8 114/10 114/18 114/21 115/3 115/4 115/11 115/15 135/6 135/7 135/8 Northern Ireland [2] 114/18 115/3 4/20 4/22 7/17 7/18

(68) Mr Watt... - not

8/23 11/1 12/5 16/16

N	163/20 164/10 165/1	178/4	October 1987 [1]	169/17 177/9
	noted [3] 11/13 32/10	oath [1] 1/11	39/25	onto [1] 36/19
not [138] 17/15	172/5	object [1] 93/21	odd [1] 127/11	onward [3] 25/8 26/8
20/12 23/8 23/12 24/11 31/6 32/21	Notes [2] 146/16	objective [3] 66/6	off [2] 161/12 172/4	170/9
32/25 34/8 34/10	154/23	109/4 150/12	offer [3] 15/20 96/14	onwards [5] 22/6
35/10 37/5 40/18	nothing [1] 153/18	objectively [1] 78/8	128/7	22/25 92/18 172/18
46/23 48/25 49/16	notice [1] 86/20	obligation [1] 84/4	officer [3] 39/16 46/7	175/9
49/24 50/13 51/24	noticed [1] 126/5	obligations [2] 81/7	110/18	open [2] 14/7 23/5
52/12 52/16 53/9 54/2	notify [2] 84/4 86/10	176/23	officers [1] 39/17	opened [1] 30/13
54/25 55/24 56/14	notion [1] 78/23	observation [3] 78/11		opening [1] 55/15
57/2 57/13 58/1 58/9	notwithstanding [2]	164/6 167/9	48/19 101/10	openness [1] 105/9
59/15 59/17 60/15	40/2 50/21	observations [1]	offset [1] 157/8	operate [3] 44/25
60/24 62/3 62/15	November [6] 9/11	137/7	often [9] 25/18 44/4	109/1 147/14
62/15 65/25 66/19	125/1 127/6 129/3	observe [1] 52/2	47/22 49/16 62/15	operated [11] 20/11
66/22 69/7 69/19 70/7	129/14 132/22	observed [1] 57/14 obstacle [1] 104/7	142/9 145/3 170/25   172/7	44/1 44/18 69/25 78/22 85/8 85/23 92/2
72/11 72/18 73/21	November 1983 [1] 129/3	obtain [2] 20/15 97/19		107/4 107/14 147/13
74/15 77/24 79/4	now [50] 1/5 10/13	obtained [3] 12/8	122/7 158/16	operating [8] 12/22
79/11 79/13 81/20	14/20 16/7 18/11	93/19 168/21	okay [5] 1/13 7/2	20/18 21/5 69/13
82/4 84/12 85/19 86/2	22/18 23/21 32/2 34/6	obtaining [1] 160/12	148/23 158/16 165/4	73/14 87/21 91/22
86/9 87/2 87/4 87/22	44/11 50/19 53/6 55/4	obvious [4] 52/22	once [8] 1/10 5/23	126/12
88/15 89/5 89/16 92/3	62/17 62/17 74/9	61/11 142/17 149/4	47/15 65/5 85/12	operation [6] 29/19
92/3 95/10 96/1 96/10	78/24 79/13 79/17	obviously [13] 17/16	101/7 130/19 143/11	32/1 40/15 61/25 62/8
96/24 99/17 99/17	80/20 81/3 84/13	20/4 21/10 42/15	one [51] 5/3 6/23 10/5	
100/13 104/3 104/15 107/22 107/24 108/1	84/14 85/5 90/12	44/11 52/5 63/9 65/22	10/6 13/4 17/6 18/4	operational [13]
108/3 109/9 109/21	92/15 99/18 102/25	76/7 83/5 135/20	33/23 36/22 40/14	16/10 16/11 17/12
109/25 110/3 112/10	107/19 111/20 129/13	143/22 148/24	40/22 50/3 57/12	17/14 18/4 18/9 23/6
113/22 114/25 117/16	130/10 131/2 131/16	occasional [2] 96/12	62/14 72/2 73/6 76/13	23/13 25/11 33/16
117/19 119/23 120/5	136/22 137/1 140/17	118/9	76/15 81/20 81/20	48/12 71/25 121/8
122/2 122/4 122/7	149/20 151/4 151/9	occasionally [3]	82/5 83/14 84/16	operationally [1]
122/18 122/20 126/18	154/17 155/1 161/12	49/17 100/13 102/12	87/10 87/14 89/23	121/20
126/24 127/3 133/6	163/3 166/1 166/13	occasions [3] 26/24	92/14 94/17 95/4	operatives [1] 39/18
134/11 139/11 140/16	167/18 173/14 178/1	145/2 165/8	98/10 99/4 100/11	operators [1] 39/11
142/1 142/18 143/1	178/2	occurred [2] 52/24 138/13	103/19 110/24 117/18 119/1 119/16 120/11	opinion [2] 73/10 153/9
143/13 144/12 144/13	nowadays [4] 82/5 90/4 142/16 175/13	October [16] 9/9		opportunity [5] 15/13
144/22 146/1 147/14	number [18] 1/8 3/15	31/10 33/8 33/10	137/8 141/5 147/16	45/2 111/7 127/17
147/25 149/2 149/13	8/21 33/3 48/25 52/3	33/25 39/25 64/9	149/23 151/17 162/23	
152/7 152/12 153/5	79/11 94/3 98/19	143/18 154/19 154/25	166/2 168/20 174/9	optimistic [1] 133/25
153/21 153/22 156/14	115/22 117/3 119/19	158/15 162/4 164/13	174/16	option [10] 40/17
156/23 157/5 158/7	120/17 128/15 134/11	168/8 168/11 169/15	one's [2] 94/23 95/11	121/7 121/10 122/4
158/24 161/8 163/8 164/2 164/22 165/2	134/11 161/17 165/7	October '81 [1] 33/10	ongoing [6] 11/10	122/8 123/9 123/10
165/10 165/22 166/25	numbered [1] 50/6	October '84 [2] 168/8	69/8 76/10 85/13	155/20 156/17 167/3
169/10 171/13 171/21	numbers [4] 7/21	168/11	109/22 109/23	options [3] 129/6
174/1 174/8 174/9	98/17 161/6 161/13	October 1 1981 [1]	online [1] 1/7	155/19 156/21
177/9	numerous [2] 26/24	31/10	only [25] 15/11 24/11	or [240]
Notably [1] 150/11	35/18	October 1981 [1]	25/6 28/22 28/24 30/7	
note [14] 72/11 86/11	NY [4] 42/8 42/10	33/25	33/1 52/17 57/2 73/5	54/15 92/12 99/19
146/1 146/4 148/20	42/20 80/10	October 1983 [2]	77/2 78/19 80/2 85/19	
151/2 154/20 155/2	0	154/19 162/4	110/3 111/19 114/22	order [5] 104/6
156/2 159/1 159/13	o'clock [2] 178/3	October 1984 [1]	118/1 118/8 118/18	110/14 110/19 111/21
	0 0100K [2] 170/0	169/15	140/22 149/7 158/20	147/9
	I	1	I	(69) not order

67/10 69/15 69/19 101/17 108/12 109/5 90/17 90/21 91/5 37/11 55/6 55/7 55/14 0 73/4 74/4 75/23 76/18 109/21 118/16 118/24 92/14 92/14 93/11 55/16 56/4 56/9 68/14 ordering [1] 29/5 76/25 77/1 94/25 123/8 128/10 129/15 94/10 95/25 96/2 96/6 72/24 87/16 87/18 orders [2] 86/14 96/21 108/14 108/14 132/1 133/21 133/25 99/6 113/16 113/17 121/3 125/10 125/11 86/21 108/14 115/8 116/21 134/10 148/6 148/21 113/19 128/10 136/6 127/9 130/2 131/8 organisation [28] 136/10 136/15 136/17 117/10 117/24 118/20 153/10 156/18 157/4 141/5 141/9 141/15 2/12 2/14 3/20 4/10 159/12 159/24 165/23 119/11 119/12 119/13 141/8 143/18 144/3 142/2 153/4 156/19 5/7 5/11 5/15 12/4 130/4 130/12 130/18 146/3 146/15 146/22 158/10 163/3 164/19 over-optimistic [1] 12/13 12/15 14/13 130/23 131/2 132/16 146/24 147/1 148/6 133/25 paragraph 1.2 [1] 15/12 18/12 19/13 133/11 147/24 147/24 | overall [7] 23/13 148/14 148/15 148/21 37/11 21/5 35/19 35/22 157/14 158/17 158/17 27/18 54/5 59/15 149/17 153/3 153/4 paragraph 29 [1] 44/21 85/22 89/13 174/11 174/22 174/25 118/24 137/18 157/6 153/10 153/11 155/16 23/24 89/21 89/23 104/21 176/11 176/23 177/10 overarching [1] 43/16 156/18 156/19 160/7 paragraph 4.3 [1] 104/22 104/22 105/3 out [31] 3/21 4/10 overcome [1] 104/7 160/19 162/18 164/14 32/17 106/6 111/20 10/19 15/7 36/5 39/25 overriding [1] 104/21 164/15 164/19 165/5 paragraph 40 [1] organisations [7] 166/14 166/18 169/7 56/4 71/18 74/4 83/1 overtaken [2] 135/17 68/14 4/20 42/25 58/20 84/22 98/2 98/8 104/9 135/19 175/7 175/8 paragraph 45 [1] 55/7 60/15 60/16 67/13 overview [2] 108/21 106/15 125/9 126/8 page 102 [1] 13/15 paragraph 46 [2] 55/6 89/22 128/6 136/11 137/4 137/18 page 11 [1] 57/14 55/16 organise [1] 22/4 142/2 145/19 146/5 own [15] 21/13 21/14 page 118 [1] 94/10 paragraph **52 [1]** 56/9 organised [2] 46/17 156/22 157/4 162/13 23/13 54/20 56/5 page 15 [1] 55/5 paragraph 79 [1] 46/22 163/17 164/5 165/3 72/17 91/14 94/25 page 16 [1] 66/23 153/4 original [5] 15/3 95/11 99/12 99/25 169/24 171/18 page 19 [1] 144/3 paragraph 87 [1] 30/15 34/7 80/21 88/3 outcome [6] 58/10 104/4 115/25 168/6 page 2 [1] 166/14 141/9 originally [3] 15/6 83/2 126/11 151/1 172/17 page **24** [1] 41/12 paragraphs [1] 89/12 107/6 158/8 162/16 Oxford [1] 154/24 page 28 [2] 136/6 149/25 other [48] 6/1 9/18 outcomes [2] 59/6 153/3 paragraphs 94 [1] 11/5 25/22 27/24 118/4 page 3 [3] 37/10 149/25 28/19 28/23 36/7 39/9 pace [1] 118/1 87/16 146/3 outdate [4] 124/16 parallel [1] 131/24 40/11 42/25 43/7 pack [1] 175/10 125/19 125/23 133/11 page 30 [1] 136/17 parameter [3] 83/12 45/24 48/5 48/25 package [6] 8/15 80/7 page 31 [1] 141/8 outdated [4] 108/23 101/2 118/7 53/21 59/12 62/6 130/13 176/5 176/9 112/9 126/16 127/24 part [33] 5/23 13/4 page 33 [1] 149/17 62/10 63/16 63/25 177/18 page 42 [1] 77/16 outdating [2] 127/22 17/6 23/12 25/21 29/5 64/4 67/8 67/13 71/15 packaging [4] 33/23 page 5 [2] 90/17 40/6 43/12 44/25 60/2 133/8 77/25 79/23 89/11 170/23 171/9 173/7 outer [2] 170/25 146/22 68/5 71/2 71/7 74/22 89/22 95/3 98/2 98/19 packed [2] 45/10 171/9 page 55 [1] 113/16 77/11 78/21 83/6 88/8 105/16 106/24 107/20 45/19 page 57 [2] 95/25 outline [1] 2/3 97/25 101/19 134/15 110/4 125/22 133/8 paediatric [2] 103/9 output [8] 48/11 96/2 134/16 143/25 145/4 144/11 153/7 157/6 103/10 59/18 106/23 107/13 150/9 152/3 160/3 page 7 [2] 18/15 159/16 165/6 165/19 page [93] 10/16 10/20 119/8 120/20 147/24 31/19 166/3 171/24 172/23 167/22 167/24 169/11 13/7 13/9 13/15 13/15 158/3 page 89 [1] 13/9 173/23 176/5 176/22 172/21 17/8 18/15 18/16 outside [5] 28/17 page 9 [2] 23/21 partial [1] 109/11 others [17] 1/7 7/20 18/21 21/9 23/21 34/18 52/23 60/9 84/8 164/15 particular [38] 11/7 15/5 15/17 29/3 39/1 23/22 23/23 31/7 outstanding [1] 34/5 page 93 [1] 13/7 18/2 22/5 23/11 25/15 49/4 49/7 49/23 61/9 31/13 31/14 31/19 page 94 [2] 17/8 26/2 37/12 37/17 outweighed [1] 66/17 98/15 111/10 31/21 37/10 41/12 141/25 92/14 44/16 49/5 49/25 124/25 126/21 127/11 42/14 42/17 50/5 50/7 outwith [1] 74/5 page 95 [1] 175/7 53/25 56/4 56/6 64/3 177/16 55/5 55/6 55/23 56/3 73/18 74/12 74/14 over [38] 4/25 6/10 pages [2] 90/19 99/23 otherwise [4] 3/6 56/8 57/14 66/23 7/6 10/16 14/1 20/10 pages 1 [1] 99/23 78/13 78/19 79/11 29/23 139/14 167/11 68/12 73/20 77/16 21/24 22/5 31/13 papers [1] 11/14 81/6 93/2 101/3 102/8 our [45] 28/3 34/18 78/5 78/11 78/16 79/4 33/11 42/3 47/24 56/3 paragraph [30] 23/24 105/18 105/23 107/5 38/13 41/6 58/14 87/16 87/16 90/15 57/7 73/20 92/4 93/15 24/25 32/17 37/4 107/9 136/23 139/6 (70) ordering - particular

(10) ordering - particular

P particular... [7] 140/18 141/13 150/15 153/18 153/22 157/9 175/19 particularly [14] 9/1 25/2 30/23 39/10 49/14 51/20 56/12 61/6 76/23 140/24 153/9 167/10 173/7 174/6 parties [2] 68/1 144/11 partly [2] 48/22 157/8 partner [1] 43/3 parts [1] 12/12 party [6] 48/8 48/10 63/23 65/18 68/3 144/4 passage [6] 56/17 92/14 92/15 99/4 136/16 165/7 passages [1] 166/13 passed [1] 169/3 pasteurisation [8] 58/15 58/21 59/5 156/18 157/9 160/4 167/13 169/23 pasteurised [3] 158/19 159/12 159/22 patented [1] 62/15 pathology [2] 138/6 138/18 patient [13] 24/15 28/6 84/18 84/23 96/20 96/23 97/14 114/5 121/25 138/10 156/14 162/1 162/2 patients [43] 24/19 29/4 51/12 79/1 79/15 82/6 82/8 82/14 95/2 96/12 97/9 97/11 97/24 100/14 100/17 102/17 115/11 117/20 117/22 118/10 118/12 118/16 127/24 128/13 135/25 138/17 143/13 149/6 150/4 161/14 161/17 162/9 163/15 163/18 165/10 165/14 165/22 171/13 175/12

176/16 177/5 177/17 177/23 pattern [2] 103/22 104/13 pausing [6] 19/22 24/5 38/1 38/23 157/16 175/18 pay [1] 47/12 paying [3] 110/1 111/2 153/7 peer [3] 76/22 77/2 77/2 **Penrose [25]** 7/15 8/23 8/24 13/5 17/7 36/23 50/4 57/12 66/21 72/3 77/12 78/12 85/17 85/18 87/14 92/13 96/4 99/4 99/19 113/20 115/23 116/5 136/3 149/22 175/5 Penrose Inquiry [1] 92/13 penultimate [1] 164/19 **people** [8] 17/16 21/16 21/22 39/3 39/7 39/10 159/22 176/1 per [3] 98/9 120/16 163/19 perceived [5] 95/9 102/22 132/18 142/19 | Peter's [1] 157/9 161/20 perfectly [3] 23/18 39/7 75/24 perhaps [36] 3/19 15/18 29/24 31/17 44/19 53/3 54/5 59/19 69/12 71/1 72/11 72/25 80/18 82/9 92/3 97/17 98/20 100/12 100/18 103/21 105/17 106/5 108/3 122/19 123/5 126/6 130/15 145/20 149/5 151/3 151/14 152/20 157/25 **PFC/SNBTS [1]** 88/6 166/1 167/22 177/24 period [14] 6/14 11/3 22/25 25/14 36/12 82/20 82/21 83/18 85/14 87/19 90/5

118/16 118/24 133/21

periodically [3] 55/2 97/3 174/4 periods [4] 33/18 33/18 36/14 36/15 Perry [21] 1/3 1/14 1/16 4/2 7/18 53/20 74/10 85/6 90/18 91/11 94/2 99/2 142/3 143/20 145/9 151/9 161/3 164/18 170/1 175/18 179/2 person [6] 1/20 1/22 19/12 49/2 94/13 129/25 personal [8] 52/11 52/16 53/23 64/23 72/9 72/16 75/6 168/6 personalities [2] 15/25 54/11 personally [2] 88/21 89/2 personnel [2] 3/8 56/6 perspective [11] 100/17 104/17 108/11 108/19 108/21 111/9 123/6 123/24 133/4 140/10 140/23 persuade [1] 101/5 persuasion [1] 100/12 Pettet [2] 129/18 131/1 PFC [208] PFC batch [1] 169/17 PFC director [1] 116/17 PFC directors [1] 101/10 **PFC's [13]** 22/19 38/24 42/24 44/11 53/20 81/4 87/11 107/19 108/25 111/4 158/12 160/3 169/9 **PFL [8]** 58/9 58/12 58/24 59/7 60/7 97/16 158/21 167/10 pharmaceutical [14] 1/23 1/25 3/11 5/13 12/14 30/20 31/1

39/11 39/18 61/1 61/4 74/6 143/12 176/24 pharmacies [2] 3/17 177/11 pharmacist [2] 34/17 77/8 pharmacists [4] 72/15 75/10 177/7 177/14 pharmacopoeia [6] 171/6 172/6 172/8 172/19 172/21 173/13 pharmacovigilance [2] 82/4 85/4 pharmacy [1] 177/10 **phase [1]** 70/4 phases [1] 30/16 PhD [2] 1/17 2/6 phenomena [1] 87/8 photocopied [2] 145/19 152/2 phraseology [1] 146/13 physical [1] 29/12 pick [16] 13/7 18/15 31/2 31/20 49/25 55/7 77/17 87/14 92/17 94/7 98/20 136/17 156/19 166/9 175/8 177/24 picked [2] 84/6 140/19 picking [5] 38/15 72/9 13/6 13/15 17/8 18/14 94/10 113/18 150/7 picture [4] 61/18 119/17 125/25 169/13 piece [2] 11/9 163/7 pieces [3] 35/19 61/19 61/20 place [23] 7/21 12/3 15/15 15/20 19/8 27/9 31/3 54/4 68/23 69/1 84/17 88/25 92/2 102/11 110/2 114/17 115/9 121/21 131/22 132/3 151/1 154/3 158/25 placed [2] 98/18 105/3 plan [2] 15/3 131/14 **planning [4]** 40/13 98/1 99/10 158/25

plant [1] 22/13 plasma [89] 4/6 4/9 4/11 4/14 5/6 5/17 13/12 13/17 14/15 16/12 17/17 18/20 18/21 18/23 24/2 24/11 24/21 24/23 24/24 25/5 25/14 25/20 26/17 30/23 37/19 40/11 54/6 56/12 57/4 59/18 65/13 67/20 67/23 68/16 69/7 70/5 70/6 70/7 71/2 87/22 89/7 92/25 93/1 93/16 93/18 93/19 95/19 96/11 106/17 106/22 107/2 107/9 107/12 107/17 107/20 107/21 107/24 108/5 108/7 108/8 108/13 108/23 108/24 109/3 109/10 109/13 109/20 111/6 111/19 112/3 112/8 112/9 112/20 113/4 113/6 113/9 114/12 114/15 114/24 116/11 117/15 119/25 121/5 132/5 147/9 147/11 147/23 149/12 176/12 play [2] 29/5 107/5 please [34] 10/20 31/19 36/23 41/15 55/14 56/8 68/12 72/5 77/16 77/21 83/5 87/1 87/15 87/16 95/25 98/23 99/18 113/16 113/17 136/5 141/8 142/4 144/3 145/23 148/14 149/17 154/21 166/14 170/7 178/3 **plus [1]** 124/10 **pm [8]** 98/23 98/23 98/24 99/1 151/5 151/6 151/8 178/5 point [25] 6/23 20/8 20/17 25/18 31/11 34/25 35/9 36/13 58/3 68/21 79/4 88/14 117/18 128/1 128/12 132/21 132/24 132/24

(71) particular... - point

P 13/22 13/25 31/4 preoccupation [1] principal [2] 7/22 61/24 66/25 68/20 31/10 81/19 87/5 92/19 17/19 70/15 74/23 101/7 point... [7] 132/25 108/18 147/10 148/3 preparation [1] 24/16 principle [18] 12/13 106/18 106/25 108/9 132/25 133/1 133/3 post-marketing [1] prepare [1] 119/3 25/3 25/13 25/16 108/13 108/22 109/2 134/3 153/17 169/17 prepared [2] 37/2 26/23 61/22 63/11 109/13 110/3 112/16 81/19 pointed [2] 156/22 post-transfusion [3] 109/10 78/25 99/14 99/20 120/6 120/11 123/16 157/4 87/5 147/10 148/3 prescribed [7] 39/13 99/21 100/1 100/7 123/20 124/12 151/1 points [5] 76/2 88/7 posted [1] 145/19 39/14 81/14 82/10 100/8 104/8 105/6 156/4 157/7 157/7 127/19 132/24 155/5 172/8 172/22 177/21 134/14 177/12 167/13 169/23 172/11 Postgraduate [1] policies [1] 91/15 172/18 173/3 173/24 138/5 prescribers [1] principles [3] 12/6 policy [15] 50/8 50/13 potency [3] 67/11 177/13 40/14 119/6 176/22 50/19 50/20 50/23 69/3 69/4 prescribing [10] prior [8] 2/18 13/12 processed [1] 112/8 51/7 51/15 73/13 88/5 potential [2] 140/6 25/12 26/14 78/5 13/19 19/7 20/6 20/23 processes [7] 37/22 94/14 147/7 147/13 152/16 79/23 99/11 100/20 23/2 66/2 41/2 41/5 61/10 61/16 147/14 148/4 148/20 101/8 105/1 175/24 77/1 108/15 power [1] 130/5 **priorities** [3] 12/20 pool [41] 70/5 70/6 powerful [1] 15/25 177/9 21/13 64/18 processing [10] 70/8 70/13 70/16 PPSB [1] 41/24 prioritise [1] 22/4 prescription [1] 34/23 59/23 65/12 70/18 70/20 71/2 83/6 **PPTA [1]** 5/18 176/25 prison [1] 9/2 70/16 74/21 87/22 83/20 86/1 86/8 86/13 practicable [1] prescriptions [1] pro [9] 107/4 107/7 109/1 109/8 111/18 86/14 86/18 115/17 130/14 177/14 107/8 114/16 114/21 119/12 116/3 116/14 116/23 present [11] 5/21 practical [3] 35/13 126/12 132/2 135/9 procurement [2] 116/25 117/14 117/19 32/19 37/10 54/9 74/3 47/21 153/5 137/10 171/22 171/22 117/24 118/6 118/6 79/17 125/15 125/17 practice [12] 1/21 pro rata [8] 107/4 procuring [1] 171/25 118/11 118/20 119/10 12/7 12/7 12/17 12/25 165/15 168/16 168/17 107/7 107/8 114/16 produce [4] 59/24 120/3 120/9 120/15 16/22 16/25 25/13 presentation [4] 114/21 132/2 135/9 95/10 145/11 174/17 121/5 121/5 121/22 26/12 44/3 85/8 88/5 115/25 147/2 147/4 137/10 produced [9] 26/7 123/16 123/17 148/11 practices [4] 50/12 169/1 probably [37] 1/8 26/25 27/23 41/13 149/1 149/13 162/23 81/7 88/11 91/15 presented [5] 113/24 1/23 12/11 18/25 20/9 41/17 41/22 42/3 42/6 163/1 pre [3] 74/24 144/8 144/7 155/22 161/11 21/16 33/8 36/3 40/12 94/22 **pooling [1]** 9/16 144/18 169/1 46/21 46/23 52/8 54/3 producer [1] 104/4 pools [7] 68/16 86/23 producers [1] 117/12 pre-circulated [2] presenting [1] 148/20 54/23 63/4 63/18 119/2 119/24 121/15 144/8 144/18 preserving [2] 99/13 64/21 66/8 69/11 producing [5] 2/14 147/11 149/12 pre-dated [1] 74/24 76/12 80/6 80/22 90/4 61/1 97/21 111/19 100/1 population [4] 98/6 precautionary [1] presumably [6] 35/2 95/24 103/12 106/2 141/21 98/10 107/10 161/15 78/25 40/9 61/22 112/9 116/17 122/3 124/10 product [150] 2/1 portfolio [3] 12/22 precise [7] 35/2 35/8 136/24 157/11 133/22 133/24 138/12 12/15 12/16 17/18 37/21 76/13 140/10 142/12 146/3 151/13 172/4 172/25 pretended [1] 152/18 18/19 19/20 19/23 position [16] 5/5 173/9 177/20 pretty [3] 30/9 75/1 156/7 160/11 20/1 20/5 20/15 20/21 34/13 34/24 51/16 20/25 21/1 25/14 26/3 preclude [2] 27/7 probing [1] 156/16 162/11 73/11 86/11 93/4 79/10 prevailing [2] 140/4 problem [7] 71/20 26/20 27/4 29/2 36/18 101/18 104/23 125/18 predates [1] 66/22 141/22 84/15 84/25 113/7 37/21 42/11 45/5 125/22 127/18 130/16 predecessor [2] previous [4] 96/6 127/3 133/9 165/10 45/20 48/3 50/12 51/5 137/19 161/9 169/22 56/2 58/7 59/24 67/1 24/10 45/23 96/19 148/15 159/9 problems [3] 33/3 position statements predominantly [4] previously [3] 6/11 38/9 103/20 67/11 67/23 68/22 [1] 5/5 45/19 60/14 60/23 66/4 78/23 procedures [9] 12/23 69/2 69/5 69/23 70/1 possibility [4] 161/1 177/3 primarily [9] 5/1 32/1 87/21 89/9 90/11 70/3 70/20 72/8 72/22 165/1 169/18 176/6 91/20 91/22 106/9 preference [1] 102/16 11/24 16/11 71/13 76/6 76/9 76/16 76/19 possible [5] 57/16 107/11 116/15 123/5 76/20 77/3 77/4 77/22 preferred [2] 58/25 108/15 58/18 115/14 119/23 101/17 171/12 177/6 proceedings [1] 78/6 79/11 79/19 134/14 premises [2] 29/10 primary [1] 108/24 73/17 79/21 80/4 80/7 80/9 possibly [1] 89/12 81/3 81/4 84/3 84/25 29/12 prime [4] 94/18 95/5 process [36] 18/3 post [11] 3/2 11/24 31/25 35/18 36/3 36/4 premiums [1] 111/2 95/5 95/7 85/8 85/22 90/21

(72) point... - product

Р	67/14 67/20 68/16	142/24 147/3 147/22	promoted [1] 75/25	127/5
product [87] 96/13	69/7 69/16 71/20 76/7	150/8 154/8 165/12	prompts [1] 85/15	PRSE0001576 [1]
96/15 96/17 96/21	77/20 78/21 78/24	168/2 172/1 173/12	proof [2] 134/14	124/24
96/22 96/24 97/13	79/8 80/2 80/17 81/25	Professor Bloom's	165/16	PRSE0001823 [2]
100/24 102/4 102/14	84/15 86/12 92/25	<b>[1]</b> 165/12	properly [3] 78/8	7/24 87/15
102/18 105/16 105/19	93/2 93/18 93/19	Professor Cash [49]	133/23 140/11	PRSE0001885 [2]
105/20 107/3 107/18	93/22 94/20 94/21	10/7 10/22 10/25 11/6	proportion [4] 27/22	10/6 166/9
109/13 113/19 114/1	94/22 95/11 95/12	15/16 16/1 16/21 17/5	107/8 114/14 114/22	PRSE0001919 [2]
114/6 114/8 114/13	96/11 96/12 97/16	21/23 25/3 25/15	proposals [2] 32/13	8/12 36/24
114/13 115/5 118/3	97/17 97/18 97/19	26/24 37/7 43/14	33/6	PRSE0002178 [1] 8/4
118/9 119/8 120/13	99/13 99/16 100/10	43/15 43/20 44/2 44/3	proposed [3] 90/22	PRSE0002320 [1] 8/8
122/19 124/8 124/16	100/14 100/15 100/21	47/5 49/11 49/13	132/6 153/25	PRSE0002556 [1]
126/8 127/1 127/3	100/22 101/6 101/6	49/23 55/18 63/5 63/6	proposing [2] 8/23	66/23
127/23 128/6 129/1	101/13 101/17 101/17	66/5 66/9 74/24 74/25	121/17	PRSE0002620 [1]
130/11 130/12 130/20	102/10 102/11 102/11	75/19 75/25 98/2	proprietary [1] 62/11	8/15
130/21 133/8 134/21	102/15 102/21 102/23	100/9 101/11 104/12	prospect [3] 57/10	PRSE0002726 [1]
134/23 135/3 135/24	102/24 103/24 104/1	116/22 121/18 124/18	62/13 75/4	90/16
137/12 142/14 143/12	104/4 104/14 104/24	126/21 133/3 141/17	protect [1] 75/10	PRSE0002938 [1]
155/24 156/1 156/8	104/24 105/15 107/8	142/8 142/13 142/24	protection [1] 72/20	8/10
156/23 157/4 157/25	110/5 111/14 113/22	147/22 150/8 154/8	ı.	
158/19 159/4 159/7	114/4 114/22 115/10	172/1 173/12	91/4 168/1	120/24
159/12 159/13 159/15	134/15 137/17 138/23		proved [2] 109/5	PRSE0003755 [2]
159/20 159/22 162/21	141/11 141/22 146/9	48/9 63/11 122/3	109/12	7/25 99/5
163/6 163/16 163/16	152/15 155/20 157/1	Professor Ludlam [3]	provide [10] 6/17	PRSE0003769 [1] 8/1
163/18 163/19 170/4	157/18 158/4 158/7	48/14 63/22 168/2	79/20 88/10 97/7	PRSE0003806 [1]
170/19 170/20 171/1	164/1 164/7 164/24	Professor Zuckerman		8/17
171/5 171/9 171/11	170/8 170/24 176/20	[1] 147/3	128/8 144/15 177/13	PRSE0004392 [2]
173/23 174/7 174/11	177/10 177/16	profit [7] 4/19 4/20	provided [10] 7/14	8/19 50/3
174/23 174/23 176/2	professional [4]	4/22 60/15 60/24	7/17 13/24 45/2 72/12	PRSE0004440 [1]
176/8 176/12 177/8	25/11 50/12 74/4	60/25 62/3	100/22 121/13 122/16	164/11
177/17 177/22	177/22	programme [14] 3/24 14/5 40/21 45/9 48/2	123/11 172/6	PRSE0006011 [4] 8/25 13/6 17/8 92/11
production [13] 17/15	professionally [2] 73/7 76/25	57/9 58/15 64/17	providers [1] 141/23	
19/1 40/3 40/10 42/20	professionals [1]	74/19 139/12 144/1	provides [1] 160/21 providing [7] 9/23	PRSE0006025 [5] 9/3 77/13 96/2 99/23
56/11 59/15 97/6	171/13	166/23 167/8 167/12	56/13 56/18 97/22	136/5
119/2 119/20 122/13		programmes [8] 41/8		PRSE0006038 [2] 9/5
156/25 157/18	72/17	42/16 45/3 45/15	provision [4] 31/25	175/5
productive [3] 55/13	Professor [57] 10/7	45/17 56/2 108/6	48/3 48/17 73/6	PRSE0006045 [1] 9/7
58/6 97/15	10/22 10/25 11/6	153/14	provoked [2] 75/18	PRSE0006058 [1] 9/9
products [125] 3/17	15/16 16/1 16/21 17/5	progress [5] 31/16	162/15	PRSE0006068 [1]
3/17 4/14 5/17 10/10	21/23 25/3 25/15	31/23 33/21 39/20	Prowse [1] 146/8	9/11
13/13 14/15 18/22	26/24 37/7 43/14	110/8	proximity [1] 63/16	PRSE0006074 [1]
18/24 19/18 19/19	43/15 43/20 44/2 44/3		PRSE0000040 [1]	9/13
24/2 24/3 24/3 24/6	47/5 48/9 48/14 49/11	106/19	154/21	<b>PS [1]</b> 128/19
24/12 24/23 25/5	49/13 49/23 55/18		PRSE0000145 [1] 8/7	public [4] 62/16 72/23
25/20 26/1 26/14	63/5 63/6 63/11 63/22	40/21	PRSE0000185 [1]	73/10 158/23
26/18 27/6 27/15 28/4	66/5 66/9 74/24 74/25	project [5] 22/14 24/9		publication [2]
28/8 28/10 28/14	75/19 75/25 98/2	150/20 160/5 170/12	PRSE0000712 [1]	157/10 163/14
28/20 40/4 40/11	100/9 101/11 104/12	projecting [1] 161/15		publications [1]
40/16 41/13 42/22	116/22 121/18 122/3		PRSE0001258 [2] 8/6	
48/18 51/12 55/21 56/12 59/7 65/14	124/18 126/21 133/3	110/24	57/14	publicly [2] 157/14
30/12 39/1 03/14	141/17 142/8 142/13	prolonged [1] 149/21	PRSE0001537 [1]	158/11
				(73) product publicly

P 46/3 46/8 130/13 rata [9] 107/4 107/7 63/15 79/11 94/3 94/8 176/2 query [1] 164/21 107/8 114/16 114/21 100/18 102/10 103/7 reconstitution [1] published [1] 152/8 question [26] 9/1 126/12 132/2 135/9 104/14 123/10 171/3 pull [2] 10/22 128/5 50/6 50/7 59/25 77/17 137/10 reassuring [1] 73/24 reconstruct [1] 132/9 purchase [4] 101/21 rate [3] 125/14 125/17 rebuild [2] 35/21 record [3] 21/20 78/10 80/4 82/17 106/10 107/16 114/6 108/2 110/10 118/7 134/6 111/12 155/21 167/14 purchased [1] 97/2 119/1 121/1 123/25 rather [8] 22/12 84/24 rebuilt [1] 112/16 recorded [6] 82/12 purchases [1] 158/6 139/3 145/9 156/11 113/7 144/9 164/13 95/11 101/6 119/23 recall [41] 8/10 10/21 pure [1] 151/19 156/12 160/12 164/17 164/10 173/14 177/22 10/24 11/18 16/5 165/1 165/18 purpose [11] 10/21 172/10 174/15 175/10 rationale [1] 77/4 20/10 22/22 48/20 recording [1] 161/5 27/15 39/8 75/24 175/13 175/23 176/4 raw [3] 92/6 93/17 48/22 51/4 55/16 records [4] 155/4 76/20 79/18 85/6 Questioned [2] 1/15 171/25 55/24 61/8 68/2 71/4 155/18 156/2 165/20 108/24 145/7 146/17 80/14 83/3 83/5 84/16 179/3 re [1] 80/19 recourse [1] 75/8 176/5 re-licensed [1] 80/19 **questions** [5] 1/12 91/13 93/9 93/11 recruit [1] 38/20 purposes [5] 5/21 103/1 108/22 112/25 recruitment [1] 38/25 85/7 85/15 98/19 reach [1] 32/20 28/3 37/10 79/17 123/3 130/20 135/11 136/7 reached [1] 129/20 rectified [1] 34/5 91/10 quicker [1] 159/18 reaching [1] 12/10 136/22 139/14 140/4 red [4] 45/10 45/19 pursue [2] 57/8 58/21 reaction [5] 84/19 145/10 151/11 151/25 quickly [9] 22/12 60/20 60/20 Purves [2] 37/14 66/8 59/22 102/18 111/15 96/20 96/21 128/4 152/12 152/14 153/5 redesign [1] 123/15 put [12] 23/17 57/13 163/11 166/5 172/12 131/23 139/9 139/14 130/21 redesigned [1] 66/20 78/11 110/2 140/19 169/24 172/18 112/15 reactions [4] 81/8 117/18 120/19 123/12 redesigning [1] quite [47] 1/8 12/2 81/17 82/1 96/13 receipt [1] 147/16 151/13 167/19 171/8 12/10 21/4 23/6 25/17 read [9] 7/15 31/7 receive [4] 14/1 115/5 123/20 172/24 26/22 27/14 28/24 74/9 125/9 149/18 130/16 165/24 reduce [5] 95/1 putative [1] 167/24 29/19 29/19 36/1 38/6 151/24 152/1 159/13 received [3] 122/5 118/23 128/15 132/23 **putting [2]** 69/16 43/11 43/19 44/22 177/20 139/5 145/16 149/11 132/2 49/21 50/21 50/25 readily [2] 15/20 recent [3] 73/15 reduced [4] 71/19 51/9 51/18 51/23 97/19 125/2 127/10 107/15 118/6 118/16 recently [1] 153/24 51/23 58/23 60/19 reducing [1] 104/11 reading [1] 152/11 QC [4] 120/13 120/14 60/22 65/19 67/18 reads [1] 98/10 recipients [2] 142/16 reduction [2] 157/1 120/17 120/18 81/14 85/21 93/24 ready [1] 158/22 162/23 157/19 qualifications [1] 99/21 103/8 114/11 refer [7] 39/23 50/15 real [2] 62/13 63/16 recognise [2] 74/3 39/14 reality [1] 117/16 126/10 133/18 134/21 110/12 113/19 125/8 136/10 qualified [4] 1/20 1/22 134/22 134/25 140/1 really [27] 12/9 22/5 recognised [1] 84/8 153/10 154/17 2/1 38/21 140/19 144/14 149/2 22/25 60/19 71/10 recognition [3] 39/21 reference [21] 7/21 quality [29] 2/21 153/22 159/24 163/14 74/22 75/20 79/18 109/24 140/20 24/7 31/15 38/2 57/14 11/20 11/22 12/11 66/18 66/24 70/22 169/4 82/20 85/7 85/12 recollection [13] 2/8 12/15 17/15 19/3 19/9 quoted [1] 157/9 102/6 121/16 122/4 15/23 27/22 51/3 70/23 71/24 96/1 19/12 19/17 19/17 99/22 136/14 147/2 137/1 142/14 145/9 51/17 93/4 93/5 quoting [1] 157/3 20/23 22/2 31/17 159/15 163/14 167/21 135/11 142/7 154/5 148/4 148/24 160/14 31/24 33/2 38/17 R 169/1 169/4 169/9 156/9 162/3 166/3 161/23 161/24 167/4 87/21 88/20 88/22 raise [1] 75/5 169/14 171/6 172/9 recollections [2] 49/5 168/13 88/24 100/23 102/9 raised [2] 10/25 115/2 176/22 53/25 references [1] 7/16 108/19 108/20 108/21 raison [1] 163/9 reason [9] 45/4 79/23 recommendations [1] referred [7] 41/24 112/19 123/24 171/20 raison d'être [1] 94/2 101/16 105/9 94/16 42/19 72/2 99/20 quantities [3] 115/15 163/9 105/11 105/12 105/15 recommended [1] 127/7 136/13 161/25 126/6 167/25 range [4] 5/20 24/1 143/8 referring [7] 11/11 32/21 quantity [3] 115/5 41/13 159/24 56/17 77/19 120/23 reasonable [1] 79/25 reconfigure [1] 124/8 124/14 ranged [1] 83/3 reasonably [1] 132/16 121/11 163/20 163/21 quarantined [1] 147/9 rare [4] 45/20 96/12 169/21 reconfigured [1] refers [5] 31/8 142/6 quarter [3] 131/10 97/11 105/17 reasons [13] 15/4 120/4 145/24 165/8 168/11 134/4 134/5 rash [1] 127/16 52/22 61/12 62/2 reconstituted [1] refit [1] 35/22 quarterly [4] 46/2

(74) published - refit

160/9 160/13 74/25 R reinforce [4] 25/10 remedy [1] 32/13 25/19 157/3 158/1 remember [17] 74/11 represent [3] 52/17 response [25] 10/9 refits [1] 35/18 reinforced [3] 37/23 74/11 92/7 113/1 64/24 125/15 10/12 11/7 11/25 13/3 reflected [4] 50/13 45/16 158/2 121/12 124/13 126/3 representative [1] 32/24 33/13 37/2 50/20 140/10 142/25 126/10 150/19 153/23 reiterate [1] 55/15 37/11 75/16 75/17 52/12 reflecting [4] 159/14 relates [2] 8/1 163/13 154/16 156/11 162/6 75/18 75/19 77/6 representatives [1] 167/21 168/6 169/9 162/11 162/15 163/23 relating [10] 8/4 46/6 121/13 153/6 153/19 reflection [1] 71/4 50/11 65/22 87/13 173/6 154/6 154/6 165/13 represented [3] 51/22 regard [4] 35/15 98/20 109/18 123/1 reminding [1] 87/9 64/12 72/17 166/15 166/21 169/9 51/10 59/10 61/17 157/6 169/14 170/4 remit [3] 23/23 24/1 representing [1] 174/4 175/12 regarded [2] 84/11 relation [30] 11/12 24/20 158/2 responsibilities [6] 88/16 28/15 30/12 35/13 removal [4] 19/20 request [1] 10/11 16/20 17/10 17/11 regarding [6] 32/2 41/14 50/2 63/9 64/1 requested [1] 88/6 17/13 17/20 18/7 20/1 81/16 148/18 32/11 32/14 33/4 65/2 65/23 67/1 81/4 removing [2] 78/23 require [1] 130/17 responsibility [10] 37/16 155/19 81/5 86/24 95/6 105/2 147/22 required [17] 30/1 19/12 20/8 22/13 67/8 regimes [1] 51/21 87/25 88/17 89/3 111/25 114/23 114/23 | remunerated [4] 30/18 46/3 47/11 region [2] 107/9 115/21 119/1 122/12 51/13 93/17 94/23 68/15 69/11 69/12 171/16 171/17 171/24 128/18 136/18 136/23 144/5 101/1 74/5 74/18 80/24 responsible [14] 16/9 regional [47] 2/12 148/5 148/6 148/21 remunerating [1] 95/19 119/4 123/14 16/17 18/18 19/16 2/16 3/16 18/20 25/7 160/15 164/17 111/1 128/20 147/20 174/3 22/15 25/8 43/22 75/7 26/3 26/8 26/9 26/19 176/25 75/8 89/24 89/25 relationship [28] renew [3] 65/25 66/20 27/1 27/12 28/1 28/5 15/22 15/24 21/18 83/18 requirement [17] 1/24 108/20 137/17 171/21 28/12 43/1 43/4 43/17 4/21 34/5 34/22 35/23 rest [2] 79/3 176/10 38/7 42/25 44/11 renewal [2] 80/12 43/21 44/8 44/11 44/13 44/14 47/20 84/6 37/18 37/22 41/4 69/9 restricted [1] 130/20 44/15 46/13 47/5 47/22 49/6 49/9 53/21 renewed [2] 34/8 71/5 83/10 106/10 restricting [2] 121/4 62/18 62/21 62/23 53/24 54/1 55/8 61/3 80/14 110/12 116/25 133/7 121/15 63/2 63/12 65/4 81/24 63/13 63/19 63/24 137/14 177/21 result [9] 69/24 70/17 reorganisations [1] 87/19 87/25 88/18 65/19 67/6 67/10 88/2 requirements [2] 84/22 105/21 106/8 88/23 89/4 89/20 92/5 67/12 67/16 85/24 repeated [1] 167/25 85/10 177/22 112/13 116/15 164/8 128/25 129/23 133/5 97/15 135/7 replace [1] 39/7 requiring [1] 70/5 173/2 136/12 136/24 137/11 relationships [6] replacement [1] research [10] 17/17 resulted [2] 40/10 137/14 139/11 140/25 27/11 53/22 56/5 60/6 | 15/19 21/9 21/12 23/10 59/14 170/9 60/19 63/7 reply [2] 50/10 164/21 54/18 54/19 59/9 results [2] 168/19 regions [3] 44/24 relatively [4] 22/9 report [18] 11/25 150/11 150/15 167/8 168/21 107/12 107/13 27/3 56/20 139/14 29/24 30/9 31/8 33/14 residues [1] 120/12 retired [1] 6/12 regular [20] 6/2 45/24 release [3] 2/1 67/9 43/18 85/3 87/4 144/5 | resignation [2] 14/21 retrospective [1] 46/8 50/21 54/25 144/7 144/22 144/23 68/17 15/2 156/8 55/11 55/12 64/4 released [3] 70/1 145/3 145/16 151/23 resigned [1] 6/12 return [1] 18/21 65/19 81/19 101/7 162/13 165/20 168/8 70/14 70/21 resolved [2] 73/5 revelations [1] 147/5 101/22 103/24 116/21 Relevance [1] 146/16 reported [14] 32/14 113/10 revenue [1] 95/20 130/3 139/9 148/6 relevant [1] 7/21 64/14 81/11 81/12 resources [2] 59/22 reversal [1] 29/4 148/22 152/10 168/1 reliance [1] 34/11 81/18 82/2 82/19 119/15 reversion [1] 165/12 regularly [3] 115/7 82/22 82/25 84/19 relied [1] 35/11 respect [6] 48/17 review [5] 76/22 77/2 152/6 153/12 relieve [1] 74/7 85/1 86/3 102/6 77/2 173/7 173/8 52/13 110/8 140/2 regulated [1] 4/15 remain [2] 72/21 93/3 151/20 141/21 162/21 reviewed [2] 133/19 regulation [2] 65/21 remained [8] 3/2 7/5 reporting [3] 18/5 respective [2] 107/14 173/9 76/23 7/7 38/19 42/2 42/10 reward [1] 114/12 81/6 81/7 173/1 regulations [3] 38/22 88/1 114/17 reports [15] 37/24 respond [2] 169/19 rewards [1] 124/10 171/7 172/21 remaining [1] 22/11 82/13 82/15 82/18 174/20 RHA [1] 154/24 regulatory [8] 4/14 remains [1] 95/4 102/12 115/25 144/4 responded [2] 75/23 Richards [3] 1/11 19/19 19/25 20/4 66/5 remarkable [2] 84/12 144/10 144/21 145/11 156/4 1/15 179/3 67/2 71/5 153/8 84/13 147/10 151/22 153/6 responding [2] 26/22 right [51] 1/18 3/13

(75) refits - right

R right... [49] 5/24 6/8 7/9 9/19 16/14 19/6 21/25 22/24 27/16 32/6 33/8 34/25 36/2 38/1 40/6 41/22 42/1 42/4 42/6 43/2 45/25 47/18 62/19 65/24 67/4 77/25 79/20 80/13 86/25 87/2 90/25 105/3 116/1 117/20 119/16 122/5 135/20 139/4 153/16 159/13 160/1 161/21 162/11 170/13 173/4 173/16 174/3 174/22 177/3 rightly [1] 52/2 rigid [1] 38/21 ring [1] 23/12 risk [**23**] 7/24 9/1 78/7 83/19 84/1 87/6 93/18 94/21 95/2 102/22 102/22 154/10 154/11 160/22 160/23 160/24 161/6 161/16 161/19 164/2 166/22 169/19 176/20 risk' [1] 176/14 risk/benefit [1] 78/7 risks [4] 117/20 139/6 141/24 176/7 road [2] 33/15 106/15 **ROBERT [2]** 1/14 179/2 robust [2] 12/21 85/5 role [20] 3/7 5/10 9/25 11/20 11/22 12/10 14/1 15/10 15/15 15/18 16/7 17/9 25/10 50/15 52/13 71/14 71/23 92/17 107/5 137/25 rooms [1] 74/20 roughly [3] 46/2 116/12 151/11 route [2] 26/16 58/14 routine [3] 71/25 82/13 126/4 routinely [2] 110/16 169/24

Royal [1] 138/5 RTC [5] 25/10 26/6 43/12 128/6 141/1 RTCs [3] 18/22 125/3 say [46] 3/5 10/16 162/14 rudimentary [1] 138/20 rules [1] 72/19 run [4] 40/25 41/2 44/20 47/4 S sacred [2] 99/21

104/8 **SACTTI [2]** 52/6 52/8 safe [3] 78/6 102/24 164/7 safely [1] 79/9 safer [3] 55/21 79/15 163/10 safety [19] 6/7 6/21 7/4 7/12 12/16 20/22 50/12 50/16 51/5 51/6 51/20 52/5 52/20 71/10 79/21 80/1 95/6 101/2 102/4 said [21] 19/25 22/22 28/11 35/24 54/10 57/12 61/9 61/17 66/4 78/18 86/20 94/3 105/2 111/4 132/24 135/1 138/10 138/21 144/1 164/21 169/13 same [13] 5/16 36/17 52/19 95/25 118/12 118/13 119/6 142/10 148/1 148/2 165/20 170/17 175/9 sample [3] 69/20 70/6 School [1] 138/5 70/10 **samples** [7] 68/15 68/20 69/2 70/23 120/18 138/8 156/15 sampling [3] 120/13 120/14 120/17 sat [4] 43/7 52/10 98/4 159/22 satisfactory [3] 20/13 75/18 134/19 **satisfy [2]** 176/23 177/21 **sausage [1]** 137/19

saving [1] 95/9 saw [4] 15/12 20/13 142/16 152/6 13/16 17/4 21/25 24/25 30/3 48/13 51/24 53/6 53/7 55/6 55/7 55/23 56/9 62/11 63/18 69/18 72/9 78/19 81/4 83/6 85/4 87/18 89/5 92/18 99/24 105/25 111/10 113/20 121/16 125/11 131/13 139/17 139/24 141/16 149/18 150/1 153/4 160/3 161/3 161/11 167/1 173/4 173/18 175/21 say: [1] 111/21 say: in [1] 111/21 saying [13] 20/10 75/23 86/17 121/21 133/9 141/9 142/18 143/5 155/13 156/5 165/18 168/22 176/12 says [21] 31/22 32/12 37/11 51/3 75/2 77/18 | searching [1] 150/21 99/7 125/1 130/6 131/1 144/17 146/15 147/6 156/20 158/1 160/8 160/16 160/20 164/20 168/15 169/8 scale [11] 2/14 56/13 56/18 56/25 83/11 117/17 120/5 120/6 123/21 135/2 159/6 scaling [1] 116/18 Schild [1] 68/7 scientific [20] 18/8 25/23 27/13 39/16 39/17 46/20 47/1 47/8 51/18 55/13 61/7 61/15 62/7 68/3 68/9 71/3 71/7 110/18 146/11 153/14 scientist [1] 150/25 scientists [4] 46/24 47/4 67/16 67/17 scope [1] 50/23 Scot [2] 46/14 46/19 Scotland [52] 1/8

3/12 3/22 6/17 13/19 24/20 29/21 34/19 34/21 40/4 40/17 43/2 44/12 44/17 45/8 45/18 46/25 50/24 51/12 54/6 56/20 57/8 57/9 59/13 63/9 63/17 91/17 93/1 93/23 96/11 97/1 97/6 97/9 98/3 98/6 99/9 103/23 104/13 105/24 106/12 106/21 107/4 107/20 112/16 112/17 122/20 132/16 134/16 134/24 135/25 139/23 154/4 Scottish [13] 9/23 10/2 57/20 64/25 65/16 90/24 91/3 94/15 100/3 110/11 113/12 127/14 127/15 screen [6] 57/13 57/18 93/9 99/18 134/4 142/4 screening [6] 8/7 71/11 148/11 148/25 149/1 149/13 **SEBTS [1]** 168/9 second [15] 31/2 42/11 50/5 72/10 87/16 87/18 121/3 125/9 128/12 145/21 148/8 152/7 153/10 156/17 164/14 **seconded** [1] 3/7 secondly [1] 88/14 **Secretary [3]** 34/19 34/21 77/10 secrets [2] 61/11 62/12 sector [8] 60/24 60/25 61/10 62/3 62/9 62/16 69/16 93/20 secure [2] 111/17 111/22 security [4] 111/22 148/12 149/1 149/13 see [45] 1/6 10/18 13/9 16/4 19/14 31/7 31/13 31/20 36/25 37/3 42/1 42/14 42/18 50/10 63/4 64/8 80/6

80/8 90/15 90/21 90/22 91/6 98/12 99/7 115/3 120/22 125/25 127/7 128/11 129/10 132/13 133/19 141/6 143/19 144/3 146/23 148/4 148/8 154/3 154/22 155/4 155/16 156/17 160/8 160/15 seek [2] 90/7 90/8 seeking [2] 11/6 155/18 seem [1] 121/17 seemed [6] 44/20 57/8 121/18 157/2 158/1 159/3 seems [1] 146/10 seen [9] 68/1 85/2 110/13 122/16 122/22 123/2 133/10 142/17 146/5 seldom [2] 75/21 106/20 selecting [1] 29/6 selection [14] 87/13 87/23 88/5 88/11 88/15 89/1 89/25 90/2 90/10 91/13 91/15 91/20 91/21 91/22 **Selective [2]** 148/8 149/4 self [41] 4/5 8/20 9/4 37/20 40/4 45/12 45/14 48/22 49/15 51/8 51/11 57/9 73/10 76/23 92/9 92/23 93/1 93/12 93/21 94/3 94/16 94/18 95/16 95/24 96/8 97/5 98/1 98/16 98/20 99/3 99/9 99/16 100/18 101/23 102/2 104/7 105/24 106/4 106/13 140/15 143/2 self-evident [1] 100/18 self-limiting [2] 140/15 143/2 self-sufficiency [32] 8/20 9/4 37/20 40/4 45/12 45/14 48/22 49/15 51/8 51/11 57/9

(76) right... - self-sufficiency

S seriousness [2] 87/3 49/6 49/11 49/21 50/2 signed [2] 102/1 120/13 120/15 121/5 140/6 50/8 50/13 50/19 105/8 121/22 163/1 173/1 self-sufficiency... [21] seroconversion [1] 50/22 51/1 51/5 51/9 174/9 significance [1] 73/10 92/9 92/23 168/9 55/25 56/14 60/2 152/16 sizes [12] 83/6 83/20 93/12 93/21 94/3 74/16 74/18 75/20 significant [13] 38/16 seroconverted [1] 86/1 86/14 115/18 94/16 94/18 95/24 161/17 75/23 77/6 95/15 81/16 81/18 84/14 116/3 116/14 116/23 96/8 97/5 98/1 98/20 seroconverting [1] 95/16 98/15 101/10 84/18 85/5 95/20 116/25 117/14 117/19 99/3 99/9 101/23 102/1 104/6 104/15 103/3 103/6 103/17 82/14 118/11 102/2 104/7 105/24 110/7 110/21 115/3 118/24 124/8 167/20 skilled [2] 38/20 **served [1]** 145/6 106/4 106/13 39/10 service [22] 2/17 3/16 SHHD's [1] 34/24 significantly [1] 15/5 send [1] 69/19 17/18 24/17 24/22 shift [6] 57/25 108/17 signs [1] 162/24 skills [1] 52/18 senior [8] 47/2 48/5 39/5 44/22 45/1 49/14 109/16 109/18 110/2 **similar [2]** 34/15 slight [2] 79/12 84/9 49/11 51/17 72/21 63/20 68/8 88/2 88/18 | 111/2 147/12 slightly [8] 16/15 21/3 73/25 74/8 98/3 90/24 91/4 94/13 **ship [1]** 73/18 **similarly [1]** 70/13 49/17 63/19 74/24 sense [15] 28/16 34/8 94/13 103/11 109/25 shipped [1] 112/21 simple [4] 33/22 100/12 133/25 174/16 57/19 57/23 60/1 85/7 127/14 127/15 139/22 | shocked [1] 126/10 114/11 124/14 174/11 slower [1] 59/8 104/16 109/11 110/20 simply [25] 27/25 services [26] 3/12 shocking [3] 126/24 slowly [1] 104/20 115/9 126/20 127/2 small [16] 3/16 22/9 3/22 13/19 15/16 16/6 | 127/2 133/12 39/12 56/19 58/21 146/6 159/19 161/15 16/18 16/23 22/17 shoehorn [1] 41/4 86/11 108/7 117/7 29/20 35/19 38/19 senses [4] 43/25 67/6 22/20 43/2 43/5 43/17 short [9] 6/14 13/4 117/16 118/11 121/21 41/1 56/21 61/20 86/7 76/1 161/12 43/23 44/12 44/15 19/14 53/17 98/25 133/9 134/13 142/18 86/8 118/11 120/6 sensible [3] 44/20 55/20 56/1 57/17 62/5 99/3 126/22 151/7 143/4 143/12 145/11 123/16 123/17 159/7 111/20 130/22 162/22 62/18 63/16 72/8 159/2 159/14 159/20 161/13 sensibly [1] 41/1 74/17 89/18 90/24 163/21 164/8 167/7 small-pool [2] 123/16 **shortage** [1] 108/8 sensitive [2] 67/23 145/5 **shortages** [1] 157/25 167/9 168/2 168/5 123/17 86/13 session [2] 155/6 **shorter [2]** 36/16 simultaneously [1] smaller [5] 118/21 sensitivity [1] 113/8 160/8 164/5 120/7 119/2 119/24 120/9 sent [7] 28/10 68/2 set [14] 10/19 21/13 **shorthand [1]** 163/21 since [6] 4/4 30/11 120/15 70/10 128/24 129/16 shortlisting [1] 15/15 37/12 73/22 118/5 Smith [6] 54/14 54/22 45/6 56/3 56/19 60/11 130/19 153/25 60/11 67/25 68/9 79/8 should [28] 3/19 159/4 54/24 56/7 58/22 sentence [8] 3/14 94/14 95/14 102/21 10/15 22/4 25/6 25/7 single [10] 3/20 7/21 97/16 24/18 55/15 55/22 106/14 25/24 54/6 64/15 Smith's [2] 54/22 17/15 19/11 49/22 72/10 96/16 96/19 setting [2] 39/25 69/14 76/25 79/9 81/2 67/22 118/8 152/22 58/12 158/9 90/12 101/17 108/3 171/3 171/5 **Snape [11]** 54/16 48/23 sentinel [1] 163/14 **severe [2]** 84/15 122/2 124/1 125/5 single-handed [1] 54/22 54/24 147/4 **separate [3]** 55/9 96/21 134/24 136/5 141/20 17/15 148/20 149/10 155/13 132/17 134/25 143/13 147/16 164/15 | sir [4] 53/3 89/15 155/18 155/23 156/16 severely [1] 147/23 separately [1] 114/18 severity [1] 164/4 165/22 165/23 171/18 98/19 151/2 164/21 separation [1] 113/3 172/12 **SNBTS [107]** 3/8 5/19 share [1] 144/21 site [1] 38/18 September [6] 9/7 **shared [4]** 30/15 shouldn't [1] 131/14 **situation [3]** 88/1 5/23 6/2 6/12 6/13 131/1 131/6 134/5 133/13 140/5 158/20 **showed [3]** 74/23 160/14 161/22 8/22 9/23 10/11 12/20 145/25 147/19 **sharing [3]** 146/18 79/22 85/18 **situations** [1] 51/4 16/13 17/13 17/23 September '82 [1] 152/4 167/7 showing [1] 79/17 six [1] 147/23 18/4 20/13 23/25 25/6 147/19 she [4] 65/15 65/17 **shown [2]** 91/2 six months' [1] 27/19 40/15 41/22 September 2011 [1] 65/20 165/18 159/19 147/23 43/4 43/13 45/4 45/24 9/7 shelf [1] 128/6 **SHS [2]** 127/13 sixth [1] 143/19 46/20 46/25 47/9 sequelae [3] 138/19 shelves [1] 133/11 size [24] 30/21 86/8 47/13 47/17 47/25 127/14 140/7 140/21 SHHD [43] 34/11 shut [5] 33/18 36/9 86/13 86/18 86/21 48/1 48/17 48/24 series [2] 136/7 172/6 34/16 34/17 36/1 36/13 36/14 36/15 86/22 117/7 117/8 50/22 50/23 51/17 serious [6] 56/15 37/16 39/21 46/6 sic [1] 3/23 117/11 117/24 118/6 51/22 52/3 52/12 83/22 127/23 143/11 52/17 52/19 65/5 47/21 47/23 48/6 sign [1] 172/4 118/6 118/20 119/10 160/25 161/7 67/17 82/23 85/20 48/16 48/20 49/1 49/3 | sign-off [1] 172/4 119/19 120/3 120/9 (77) self-sufficiency... - SNBTS

S 174/6 175/25 175/25 152/13 152/13 153/5 74/5 32/15 33/3 38/10 52/1 176/25 specifically [7] 28/15 **Standing** [1] 52/6 53/20 58/1 62/10 79/7 SNBTS... [62] 88/6 somebody [9] 1/25 41/14 65/2 76/6 91/25 start [9] 1/10 18/13 79/9 86/21 88/25 99/3 88/11 89/14 89/19 6/16 12/5 15/17 23/17 101/4 137/23 52/15 120/14 124/24 101/1 109/22 114/9 89/22 95/15 96/24 26/21 110/16 110/17 127/9 170/3 175/6 117/11 123/8 126/12 specification [1] 98/1 99/13 99/14 146/8 100/23 175/7 143/15 168/11 169/22 99/17 100/5 100/10 someone [5] 104/2 speculate [1] 58/18 started [6] 4/10 13/8 170/21 100/14 100/22 100/24 65/12 92/10 93/6 98/8 104/6 146/1 146/17 speculation [1] stock [13] 125/2 101/4 101/6 101/9 125/8 126/1 126/8 154/20 151/19 **starting [3]** 11/20 101/13 101/15 101/25 something [16] 11/2 **speed [1]** 59/6 43/1 170/6 132/14 133/5 136/12 102/5 102/11 102/23 13/21 27/25 32/7 spent [4] 113/2 138/3 state [6] 34/19 34/21 136/20 136/23 137/12 102/24 103/15 103/20 46/14 84/5 87/4 88/15 142/10 150/20 77/10 83/10 94/6 137/16 137/18 168/12 103/23 104/1 104/6 111/23 112/4 114/25 **spiking [1]** 156/14 150/5 Stockholm [1] 154/14 104/14 104/18 105/22 122/17 143/5 146/21 **spirit [1]** 100/13 stated [4] 4/18 16/19 stockpile [6] 36/20 113/25 115/3 125/6 163/17 174/14 124/3 125/16 129/4 **split [2]** 57/3 111/23 93/23 144/25 125/12 125/13 133/4 sometime [1] 92/8 **spoke [1]** 132/22 statement [31] 5/21 134/12 135/6 138/24 139/2 139/17 **sometimes** [5] 41/5 spontaneously [1] 6/23 7/18 8/12 9/17 stocks [7] 36/18 140/24 141/1 141/12 70/18 110/20 170/15 11/1 17/22 18/13 22/18 40/14 45/5 45/21 144/21 146/20 150/3 170/16 staff [26] 17/16 23/14 22/22 23/21 23/24 125/15 129/21 132/7 150/6 150/12 151/1 somewhere [1] 116/6 25/11 25/23 25/23 36/25 41/11 51/3 55/4 stop [1] 165/14 152/3 152/5 152/16 soon [7] 4/17 30/23 27/13 27/17 38/21 57/18 58/23 68/11 storage [4] 30/19 153/12 154/7 162/3 65/12 93/6 125/17 38/22 40/9 41/10 77/21 88/8 95/16 38/18 74/20 119/20 162/7 166/15 169/8 113/14 117/1 117/19 129/14 145/17 46/20 47/2 47/9 48/5 storing [1] 29/6 169/10 sorry [14] 3/5 3/6 48/15 51/18 61/7 138/3 138/21 141/6 straightforward [1] **SNBTS' [2]** 10/9 35/7 42/23 55/6 77/15 73/25 88/1 100/4 149/16 153/2 153/17 174/12 42/10 78/10 79/3 96/1 136/6 105/22 110/1 111/1 154/18 stream [1] 57/10 **SNBTS's [1]** 3/24 136/16 136/16 158/14 119/21 153/12 statements [10] 5/5 streams [1] 120/7 so [244] 166/8 staffing [3] 23/14 7/14 7/16 7/22 8/22 strengthened [1] social [1] 47/8 37/16 40/22 36/23 50/4 57/12 92/22 sort [7] 14/9 67/24 **sodium [1]** 24/13 strictly [1] 70/6 91/23 106/6 126/4 **stage [24]** 4/3 5/3 87/14 99/4 solubility [1] 102/14 138/16 176/25 6/25 7/13 14/10 28/21 **States [2]** 151/21 strident [1] 73/22 solution [6] 110/22 sought [4] 44/7 95/1 34/1 39/24 50/1 53/2 160/15 stroke [1] 71/18 111/11 111/11 159/3 101/12 113/23 57/7 66/12 68/22 **statistical** [1] 125/12 **strong [7]** 15/25 16/2 159/4 166/23 **sound [1]** 146/13 75/16 79/5 91/11 **statistics** [1] 160/22 17/4 21/6 54/11 55/19 solutions [3] 24/14 **sounds [2]** 134/22 108/19 131/9 133/17 status [7] 1/22 19/21 58/24 24/16 33/16 20/2 20/17 31/9 79/24 146/6 146/12 150/3 158/24 **structure** [3] 18/1 **solved [1]** 149/23 source [1] 94/21 171/12 171/23 85/24 18/17 43/4 some [53] 7/17 10/3 South [1] 127/24 stages [3] 58/14 69/1 **steep [1]** 140/1 **structured** [2] 59/3 10/17 15/21 21/8 23/4 70/24 steer [1] 22/3 Southampton [1] 139/12 29/4 33/21 41/7 44/23 165/9 stamp [1] 127/8 stem [1] 72/25 studies [4] 77/1 81/19 47/10 48/11 54/2 **Southeast [1]** 45/7 standard [8] 12/22 step [7] 15/10 34/18 163/15 164/3 56/10 56/23 57/24 **spare [2]** 59/20 59/21 31/25 32/1 71/18 51/6 51/6 120/11 study [9] 3/21 48/9 61/6 65/21 67/6 70/24 speak [2] 4/18 103/12 71/19 87/21 91/22 122/18 133/18 56/15 142/23 150/6 74/7 75/11 76/1 80/6 **speaking [2]** 70/7 130/12 steps [1] 101/3 154/8 162/22 162/25 85/9 85/10 97/10 98/4 standardise [1] 46/12 sterile [6] 2/12 2/15 168/20 116/1 102/17 105/14 106/10 special [2] 3/17 standardised [1] 12/9 24/14 74/21 style [1] 91/2 107/17 110/20 112/8 123/18 Subcommittee [3] 6/6 109/25 174/8 112/10 118/5 120/12 **specialist [2]** 22/15 standardising [1] sterilisation [1] 34/3 6/18 52/21 122/22 124/14 124/15 24/16 71/14 sticking [2] 91/12 subject [7] 16/19 18/7 125/4 126/1 126/8 specific [11] 10/24 **standards** [9] 30/2 143/15 73/16 89/8 118/12 134/13 135/5 138/16 30/3 31/24 32/20 67/4 sticks [2] 98/7 125/13 10/25 11/4 71/5 90/8 155/23 162/6 146/13 149/11 151/14 104/16 142/5 150/13 71/16 71/24 71/25 still [24] 12/19 18/6 submission [1] 10/2 (78) SNBTS... - submission S 98/17 128/5 131/20 132/3 132/16 77/2 77/13 78/3 79/8 teaching [1] 2/7 sufficiently [1] 67/23 133/15 135/15 135/24 79/13 79/19 80/2 80/3 team [1] 47/13 submit [6] 20/25 suggest [5] 32/24 142/14 143/6 147/23 82/4 85/8 88/24 92/2 technical [3] 3/11 68/15 76/25 80/25 122/17 125/24 130/13 supplying [4] 40/18 107/4 107/7 109/1 47/2 155/22 83/7 173/17 65/13 137/11 137/17 114/16 114/21 119/24 technically [1] 29/18 159/11 submitted [13] 21/3 121/14 121/25 126/13 suggested [3] 20/24 **support [5]** 22/15 technique [1] 14/9 34/10 68/21 69/3 77/7 159/5 168/3 22/17 56/14 57/20 132/2 132/4 135/9 techniques [2] 51/20 80/8 81/21 86/16 91/7 **suggesting [5]** 32/25 137/10 137/10 137/14 147/21 67/19 113/20 172/15 172/23 78/1 145/8 149/11 supported [1] 95/18 147/8 147/12 technology [6] 58/16 174/20 177/3 supporting [2] 5/5 systematic [1] 104/10 58/25 59/4 59/24 submitting [5] 71/5 5/10 systems [15] 12/22 107/1 109/14 suggestion [1] 85/22 88/9 173/23 121/14 **supportive [2]** 10/14 19/17 29/22 34/23 telephone [1] 131/2 174/5 102/4 38/18 43/13 67/21 **suggests [2]** 6/23 tells [1] 17/22 subsequent [2] 46/10 **suppose [1]** 104/16 67/22 69/19 70/3 85/4 temporarily [1] 9/8 113/11 129/22 ten [4] 111/2 170/5 **suitable [5]** 69/7 sure [22] 21/4 35/8 87/21 88/20 88/22 subsequently [6] 75/24 78/8 89/8 37/5 58/10 82/24 111/1 171/2 171/3 47/7 88/19 113/11 122/19 82/24 85/21 89/16 tend [2] 32/24 54/21 124/18 166/6 172/4 Sully [29] 10/21 13/6 92/1 95/10 96/24 tendered [2] 14/21 substantial [8] 30/1 T cell [1] 167/24 18/14 32/18 55/15 101/14 104/5 104/15 15/2 36/6 39/22 40/8 107/3 table [1] 41/16 56/8 68/12 72/5 76/4 112/10 147/25 149/2 tenure [3] 47/25 110/13 120/15 120/20 take [26] 15/18 18/12 149/13 153/21 153/23 79/4 87/15 87/17 48/13 60/18 substantially [5] 18/23 22/11 42/23 90/18 90/19 95/25 158/24 171/11 term [8] 25/21 88/16 29/17 73/2 86/22 48/11 53/3 68/23 70/5 99/19 102/25 113/17 surface [2] 69/22 111/22 118/15 140/6 117/12 117/13 76/4 79/7 86/1 93/7 115/19 136/5 137/21 70/11 140/21 142/1 159/3 substantive [3] 15/19 102/25 115/19 120/18 141/8 142/4 144/3 surgeons [1] 45/10 terms [50] 21/21 22/2 80/23 81/15 126/8 127/17 133/22 145/23 154/22 164/16 | **surplus [12]** 36/20 22/19 23/10 35/13 succeed [1] 110/25 137/21 151/4 165/10 166/14 170/7 40/5 124/3 127/2 40/8 43/3 47/14 47/21 success [3] 109/11 170/7 174/7 177/25 summary [9] 10/13 127/13 129/4 131/12 49/14 56/21 58/17 154/9 167/11 178/2 131/20 133/15 134/10 11/2 11/6 62/22 59/6 59/12 60/25 71/9 successful [4] 20/14 taken [19] 12/1 15/20 161/22 166/11 166/13 135/21 136/8 74/13 77/13 81/8 45/18 80/18 150/25 33/13 50/17 66/9 80/1 90/10 92/25 95/23 166/15 169/21 surprised [1] 133/18 such [17] 24/13 25/16 86/19 91/24 101/3 superficial [2] 138/25 surprising [3] 30/10 96/8 97/22 102/3 36/18 42/22 72/15 101/19 116/13 116/14 139/19 30/12 134/22 107/19 108/4 112/23 72/18 73/8 74/6 75/22 116/15 117/9 117/9 supplemented [1] surveillance [4] 115/17 116/2 118/22 123/14 130/21 139/16 125/7 131/22 146/1 81/19 126/5 148/3 114/5 124/11 126/4 127/1 145/16 155/24 156/6 158/25 supplied [12] 88/5 151/15 129/9 135/9 140/3 159/4 169/11 taking [5] 14/1 84/17 107/10 114/15 114/24 | survey [1] 125/2 152/12 158/12 159/23 suffered [1] 30/19 143/8 154/3 161/13 115/15 134/1 138/8 susceptible [1] 149/5 167/4 167/11 167/24 suffering [1] 157/24 talk [3] 53/13 56/5 171/2 171/5 177/11 170/8 171/16 171/17 suspect [2] 121/7 sufficiency [34] 8/20 136/18 177/16 177/18 161/24 172/17 173/15 175/2 9/4 37/20 40/4 45/12 talked [2] 85/17 97/14 176/1 supplier [1] 92/6 suspected [2] 138/11 45/14 48/22 49/15 talking [6] 1/5 1/7 **suppliers** [1] 90/9 163/6 territories [1] 50/18 51/8 51/11 57/9 73/10 22/25 90/5 119/17 **supplies [5]** 51/14 sustain [1] 142/14 **Terry [5]** 155/23 92/9 92/23 93/12 137/1 sustainable [2] 142/1 114/4 115/10 128/5 156/4 157/2 158/1 93/21 94/3 94/16 talks [1] 71/1 165/19 142/19 158/2 94/18 94/25 95/24 tanks [1] 120/12 supply [29] 2/13 12/9 | sustained [3] 36/1 test [5] 67/10 67/21 96/8 97/5 98/1 98/20 target [7] 51/14 58/25 24/1 24/23 25/14 72/25 106/5 69/24 70/11 70/13 99/3 99/9 99/16 92/23 94/24 95/1 30/23 40/16 54/5 symptoms [2] 163/18 tested [3] 69/21 70/9 101/23 102/2 104/7 98/13 175/16 56/11 57/4 59/19 164/5 176/13 105/24 106/4 106/13 targeted [1] 175/23 94/25 107/8 107/18 system [36] 29/21 testing [21] 9/12 sufficient [7] 28/3 targets [1] 37/20 31/17 41/1 44/17 111/22 119/25 125/16 19/17 49/15 49/20 86/10 93/1 95/17 97/6 tasks [1] 76/15 130/3 130/11 130/12 69/25 76/21 76/22 51/20 51/21 51/21 (79) submit - testing

25/8 27/15 53/8 59/7 113/20 115/17 117/15 140/22 143/16 144/25 134/20 135/13 139/16 T 61/2 61/10 61/10 117/22 117/25 118/1 145/2 145/25 160/21 170/17 175/14 testing... [14] 67/10 61/16 62/10 62/14 120/3 121/24 124/3 161/10 168/2 168/3 things [9] 6/1 33/24 67/19 67/23 68/17 66/19 69/5 70/3 75/22 124/16 124/21 124/22 171/14 176/20 177/12 67/8 71/15 75/9 69/3 69/10 69/11 70/5 125/8 125/11 125/17 110/15 137/8 169/11 77/1 80/19 87/25 177/16 71/6 87/22 89/9 113/5 they [141] 4/18 15/25 90/10 99/12 99/25 125/20 125/24 127/5 170/17 113/6 120/18 16/4 21/3 25/24 26/21 101/21 102/19 104/1 127/21 128/8 128/10 think [424] tests [3] 71/11 71/11 128/19 129/9 129/10 107/12 107/14 108/5 27/9 28/14 28/17 think, [1] 125/9 150/13 112/8 113/2 113/4 130/24 131/8 131/12 30/21 30/24 35/17 thinking [3] 64/12 text [4] 10/8 129/18 113/5 113/5 113/8 132/17 132/20 135/14 36/16 36/16 38/7 39/7 102/7 118/20 172/5 172/15 129/2 137/12 137/13 135/22 136/14 137/16 39/8 41/8 43/25 43/25 third [3] 125/11 than [51] 11/5 15/5 137/16 158/4 159/17 137/22 138/21 141/12 44/1 44/3 46/2 46/3 143/18 148/9 15/21 18/6 27/24 141/15 143/15 144/9 46/5 46/7 46/8 46/22 165/25 167/11 this [230] 28/19 29/17 35/4 39/8 them [21] 5/22 7/19 145/17 145/20 146/21 48/16 49/12 49/17 thoroughly [1] 84/20 54/24 62/15 63/16 49/17 51/6 51/7 52/9 those [49] 8/23 9/15 9/16 23/18 27/13 146/25 147/4 147/5 64/5 83/22 84/25 86/2 148/4 148/6 148/21 54/2 54/8 54/10 54/11 43/22 61/16 63/4 11/7 16/2 21/16 27/10 86/15 86/21 86/22 64/22 65/13 65/25 149/25 150/10 151/22 56/21 58/5 58/13 32/2 32/13 34/3 35/16 93/19 94/22 95/11 80/19 97/19 114/12 153/2 153/10 154/10 61/14 61/16 62/4 38/11 41/4 45/15 98/8 101/6 102/15 120/19 128/25 151/24 155/4 155/10 155/13 62/10 62/12 62/23 45/17 49/6 51/19 102/22 102/24 105/17 169/3 176/21 177/1 156/2 156/17 156/18 64/23 64/24 69/23 51/23 52/10 53/1 107/20 109/3 109/15 177/19 158/9 159/1 160/7 70/2 70/10 70/10 54/17 54/18 59/3 61/4 117/12 117/13 119/23 thematic [1] 10/18 160/14 160/19 161/22 71/23 74/17 75/6 61/7 64/13 74/13 126/14 128/20 132/15 theme [1] 40/23 162/18 164/1 164/9 75/21 79/24 79/25 80/14 81/11 83/14 133/8 136/21 143/11 165/18 165/20 166/9 80/11 80/16 81/12 84/11 90/9 94/22 themselves [1] 47/4 153/7 156/1 159/24 then [174] 1/11 2/7 167/1 167/14 168/11 81/18 81/20 82/1 97/18 97/19 98/21 162/20 163/5 163/10 2/25 3/1 3/10 4/16 168/13 169/18 174/1 84/13 85/21 85/23 101/20 116/20 117/22 164/10 164/22 167/23 6/15 8/24 10/5 11/19 175/2 175/21 176/18 86/11 86/12 86/19 118/9 118/12 124/20 173/14 177/22 14/18 14/19 14/23 176/24 178/3 86/20 87/9 89/19 148/7 152/8 159/8 thank [11] 1/13 7/2 89/21 90/8 95/17 97/2 15/9 17/22 22/11 Therapeutics [1] 5/17 161/10 164/11 169/2 42/24 53/14 76/4 22/16 23/18 24/18 therapy [5] 29/4 97/19 98/4 98/4 98/8 175/10 177/23 87/17 90/14 102/25 24/25 26/13 26/22 102/20 118/10 165/23 100/7 100/25 101/14 though [3] 15/11 115/19 137/21 149/21 27/16 29/9 31/16 177/17 101/19 102/3 102/10 54/25 82/7 that [910] 32/10 32/11 32/11 102/19 104/17 105/3 thought [12] 15/17 there [224] that conference [1] 32/17 33/19 35/9 there'd [2] 170/12 105/4 105/7 105/8 25/16 30/12 34/23 154/15 38/15 39/19 39/23 170/15 107/14 109/9 110/19 49/23 58/3 58/16 that's [48] 1/19 3/5 41/11 41/21 42/1 42/2 | there's [5] 95/15 112/9 114/11 114/13 79/18 118/5 136/21 5/16 6/22 8/12 9/9 121/8 144/9 155/13 42/5 42/10 42/15 114/15 114/18 114/19 143/11 158/22 9/20 18/22 18/22 42/18 42/20 42/21 161/22 116/13 119/7 120/20 thoughts [1] 128/17 26/11 32/6 33/8 42/4 122/21 129/1 134/25 43/18 45/7 46/23 thereabouts [1] three [7] 5/22 15/12 42/7 43/6 49/24 62/22 47/14 50/10 50/15 143/20 138/10 144/13 144/14 22/11 29/1 36/9 109/5 64/7 75/18 75/25 78/3 54/13 54/15 55/14 thereafter [1] 113/10 144/25 145/6 145/7 141/8 83/15 86/21 88/12 55/22 56/3 56/3 56/8 therefore [8] 30/7 145/8 145/13 145/15 three-week [1] 109/5 94/7 96/25 109/1 58/19 60/6 60/22 73/7 73/13 80/12 152/8 158/3 161/8 through [25] 9/16 110/14 117/16 117/21 62/14 62/17 63/7 65/2 97/21 99/14 106/16 161/12 161/13 164/3 18/20 22/20 26/25 122/7 130/24 134/4 65/21 68/6 70/4 72/1 156/8 168/4 169/2 169/3 27/10 27/11 28/1 134/6 138/7 138/10 72/24 73/20 77/25 these [32] 10/14 170/17 170/17 170/25 34/16 34/22 36/3 36/5 142/22 144/17 149/5 78/2 78/10 78/16 80/4 20/18 33/18 37/22 174/4 174/9 175/15 63/5 63/6 63/11 64/5 152/4 154/1 154/2 57/24 60/14 62/24 83/5 83/16 86/24 175/16 175/23 175/23 65/4 103/21 120/14 156/15 157/11 162/11 87/11 88/14 90/22 64/15 64/20 78/23 175/24 177/6 177/11 120/17 139/1 141/12 168/8 169/21 174/22 91/1 91/5 95/23 96/2 80/1 85/7 101/16 177/18 168/1 173/3 176/7 thawing [1] 107/2 102/23 104/20 110/15 96/9 96/24 108/13 thing [10] 23/19 33/1 177/11 their [42] 12/1 12/24 108/18 110/19 111/11 113/22 120/19 137/7 79/2 120/10 133/2 throughout [13] 3/16

(80) testing... - throughout

66/21 81/21 120/19 transcript [4] 7/16 79/16 82/14 84/19 153/8 153/12 154/23 T 66/17 77/15 94/9 127/18 97/7 97/23 106/8 161/22 throughout... [12] told [4] 9/17 13/24 transfer [3] 112/10 118/17 133/23 141/25 UK capacity [1] 24/19 25/13 35/17 22/18 138/11 134/15 135/3 159/25 166/19 167/12 112/14 40/23 46/25 47/24 trends [1] 125/5 UK NHS [1] 57/16 tolerance [1] 168/12 transferred [2] 7/6 49/2 51/8 88/22 99/10 tomorrow [1] 170/2 134/24 trial [5] 108/17 158/12 UKHCDO [4] 64/8 103/22 146/20 transfused [1] 151/23 | 158/18 158/24 159/8 too [5] 38/19 70/2 104/22 143/23 145/21 throughput [3] 37/20 trial' [1] 157/15 transfusion [59] 3/24 73/18 84/22 139/8 UKHCDO because [1] 58/2 124/9 took [33] 6/10 12/3 13/18 13/20 14/3 trials [1] 20/23 143/23 **Thursday [1]** 1/1 13/21 15/15 20/10 18/20 24/17 25/7 tried [2] 21/6 146/5 ultimate [1] 25/25 thus [3] 72/18 114/9 21/24 27/9 31/3 36/4 25/21 26/3 26/8 26/9 trigger [1] 174/24 ultimately [1] 94/6 150/5 51/5 54/4 59/20 69/1 26/19 27/1 27/12 28/2 | triggered [3] 11/5 umbrella [3] 25/21 tie [1] 64/3 28/5 28/12 43/1 43/5 69/14 81/2 96/7 11/15 152/22 89/21 104/22 ties [1] 26/13 100/19 101/16 104/15 43/17 43/21 44/8 trouble [1] 115/20 unacceptable [1] time [78] 4/4 5/16 105/14 108/2 108/12 44/12 44/15 45/8 true [4] 51/10 52/19 150/5 11/9 11/17 12/21 14/6 109/21 110/20 111/2 46/13 52/7 62/18 63/18 64/7 unambiguous [1] 15/12 16/16 17/3 19/1 124/15 129/15 131/18 62/21 63/2 63/12 truly [2] 44/22 132/4 93/24 23/25 31/3 31/11 132/1 137/25 140/13 63/20 65/4 65/17 68/8 truth [1] 49/12 unchanged [1] 88/1 34/16 34/24 36/2 169/3 177/15 81/24 87/5 88/18 try [6] 66/15 75/5 uncomfortable [1] 36/17 44/7 44/10 tool [1] 66/15 88/23 89/4 89/20 91/4 75/14 85/7 100/19 142/22 44/10 44/25 47/24 top [16] 13/15 18/21 91/16 92/5 98/12 101/5 under [18] 20/11 57/5 57/24 69/9 70/18 31/7 31/21 42/14 50/6 106/21 129/23 133/5 trying [5] 22/2 49/13 20/19 21/5 31/13 75/3 75/7 76/18 78/19 136/12 136/24 137/11 78/5 87/16 90/20 88/21 125/24 132/9 31/21 44/23 55/10 83/18 84/14 87/24 136/10 136/16 146/15 137/15 139/11 145/5 tubes [2] 138/9 138/9 58/12 60/21 69/13 92/4 92/19 93/15 Turkey [1] 122/20 146/23 153/11 160/19 147/10 148/3 160/17 69/13 85/23 114/19 94/12 94/15 99/8 166/17 160/23 170/9 turn [5] 18/24 37/10 154/8 163/3 165/5 99/22 101/1 103/13 topic [15] 7/24 7/25 transfusions [1] 42/23 63/7 80/4 166/15 166/18 105/14 107/3 110/20 9/3 9/7 36/24 53/20 106/16 turnaround [1] 70/17 underestimated [1] 118/25 121/17 121/19 92/9 99/3 132/17 transient [1] 118/18 turned [4] 47/7 84/22 161/16 123/6 123/13 123/19 136/2 137/22 141/2 transmission [7] 164/5 169/24 underlined [1] 98/14 124/12 127/20 131/25 82/16 82/19 84/10 149/15 151/3 170/3 turns [2] 15/7 32/11 underlying [1] 40/14 133/21 134/23 137/25 95/2 150/3 162/21 two [12] 15/12 32/10 topical [1] 2/15 underpinned [1] 60/2 139/1 139/16 141/13 topics [1] 54/12 163/6 57/16 88/7 99/8 109/5 underpinning [1] 141/17 142/6 142/10 total [3] 130/5 160/17 transmitted [2] 52/7 127/19 132/24 142/2 76/1 142/18 143/22 149/8 161/15 176/7 155/19 162/8 162/25 underscored [1] 150/21 151/2 151/12 touched [2] 63/9 transmitting [1] type [3] 56/25 80/23 26/24 151/14 152/24 153/17 142/7 65/22 142/15 understand [43] 4/4 161/3 167/22 168/7 tour [1] 29/11 transparency [1] types [1] 53/1 7/23 14/20 22/24 175/22 177/8 178/1 typical [4] 12/17 14/6 towards [8] 4/1 31/16 | 105/9 27/16 34/25 38/1 40/6 timeline [1] 108/4 31/23 50/6 96/8 121/4 | transplantation [1] 76/3 170/20 40/7 41/16 42/1 43/2 timescale [2] 68/25 136/10 160/15 7/5 typographical [1] 46/15 53/14 59/10 159/20 toxicity [1] 84/24 Travenol [1] 156/23 131/4 62/19 64/4 65/24 timing [1] 163/13 traced [1] 147/11 treat [1] 158/4 68/19 75/13 76/20 tiny [1] 32/17 79/18 79/25 85/6 87/1 track [1] 21/20 treated [9] 59/7 Tissue [1] 7/4 **UK [29]** 49/22 50/18 **trade [1]** 4/13 135/22 135/24 155/17 88/7 93/7 96/16 title [4] 11/23 19/2 50/24 51/16 51/19 Trading [1] 91/2 155/20 157/15 158/12 104/12 104/13 105/14 46/9 91/23 52/1 54/5 55/10 55/20 trained [1] 39/10 159/15 159/20 119/16 120/23 122/5 to [1049] 56/12 57/3 57/16 62/5 122/23 129/20 131/17 training [9] 13/24 treating [1] 48/3 today's [1] 134/22 64/6 64/23 67/14 14/2 14/5 14/7 14/16 treatment [22] 24/15 135/20 139/4 142/24 toddler [1] 151/23 69/17 88/6 111/7 64/16 139/5 139/7 24/19 28/9 28/18 153/16 160/1 173/16 together [8] 9/16 111/14 111/19 112/14 143/25 42/16 48/18 58/11 understanding [33] 10/23 22/8 46/25 126/22 126/25 143/17 trajectory [1] 116/2 58/13 58/15 79/1 14/2 14/25 15/23 23/4

(81) throughout... - understanding

unless [4] 32/22 133/4 133/7 149/4 66/9 69/14 75/23 79/7 U 124/15 149/10 163/13 us [18] 5/9 9/17 13/25 vaccine [2] 149/6 81/2 91/24 93/16 understanding... [29] unlikely [2] 66/10 17/22 22/18 29/11 149/7 100/9 100/19 101/16 25/17 27/4 30/7 33/7 66/11 49/1 56/16 62/23 valid [1] 164/6 105/24 108/2 108/12 34/13 34/15 42/7 68/19 114/12 117/5 110/21 122/3 123/13 unlimited [1] 165/19 valuable [1] 126/22 52/15 61/13 61/15 unpicking [1] 168/15 120/22 121/3 128/5 variation [2] 110/13 123/21 133/12 133/13 88/12 93/12 94/7 unprecedented [2] 131/17 168/20 169/3 110/19 134/1 140/4 140/12 94/11 94/12 95/23 USA [2] 94/20 160/18 140/13 140/18 141/18 134/21 134/23 varied [1] 47/24 103/22 107/7 107/22 unstructured [1] usage [6] 27/4 103/22 various [3] 36/7 141/22 142/13 142/25 109/24 112/7 114/2 143/25 104/11 104/13 113/19 67/25 68/25 152/25 157/3 158/1 117/21 124/19 138/16 until [20] 3/2 7/9 125/15 verbal [1] 144/23 158/3 159/7 167/16 138/20 142/25 144/15 15/19 22/6 35/9 36/5 use [37] 2/2 2/15 8/8 versa [1] 134/25 167/18 177/4 177/15 152/23 36/13 42/11 70/1 17/18 19/19 24/17 versus [1] 54/6 views [4] 54/3 54/12 understood [12] 34/6 70/16 88/2 106/5 27/15 45/10 67/22 very [79] 14/6 14/7 66/18 155/19 75/21 79/24 94/1 97/5 113/9 121/12 131/19 70/21 77/24 78/1 78/9 14/8 14/9 14/16 16/1 VIII [50] 28/15 28/21 100/6 101/14 102/3 132/12 151/4 169/15 28/23 41/18 42/5 79/9 96/15 96/17 16/1 17/3 17/4 20/11 115/8 121/16 137/24 178/3 178/6 99/16 100/21 101/5 20/21 21/17 21/19 42/10 69/3 69/20 140/12 21/19 23/5 24/7 25/17 untypical [1] 16/16 103/3 103/6 103/17 71/14 71/16 71/24 undertake [1] 104/19 unusual [3] 11/1 85/2 104/1 104/24 105/14 25/23 26/15 26/23 76/7 80/10 82/7 84/17 undertaken [11] 8/2 86/6 105/16 105/19 105/20 28/11 30/22 30/23 88/4 90/10 90/21 10/2 81/7 106/24 unvalidated [1] 159/2 106/16 111/4 114/1 34/17 36/6 38/12 45/1 97/23 98/5 115/13 108/22 109/6 112/5 up [61] 13/7 13/21 117/22 118/9 118/9 45/17 45/20 47/12 118/11 119/7 119/13 112/20 150/17 150/18 123/1 174/8 174/17 48/2 49/1 53/7 55/9 15/10 18/15 27/23 119/14 124/12 124/14 153/6 30/2 31/2 31/20 36/13 used [30] 24/15 28/6 61/11 62/11 64/17 125/14 125/18 126/6 undertaking [1] 76/17 36/20 38/15 40/5 28/14 28/17 28/22 64/20 67/12 67/13 126/23 126/23 127/13 undertook [2] 2/4 40/13 48/23 49/25 28/24 29/3 45/19 79/9 69/1 71/23 75/21 129/22 131/5 133/11 76/15 55/7 56/20 57/13 83/14 88/17 93/17 92/24 93/5 93/5 93/6 142/23 148/5 155/17 underwrite [2] 72/13 60/11 60/11 67/25 93/23 103/23 104/10 93/6 93/15 94/17 155/20 157/15 158/13 75/14 68/9 72/9 77/17 79/8 104/14 105/4 106/17 97/10 97/11 99/6 164/22 165/11 166/19 undesirable [1] 80/12 82/20 84/6 106/20 107/24 113/22 103/16 105/4 105/17 166/22 166/24 171/1 140/15 84/21 87/14 92/18 114/10 116/24 117/14 106/20 107/2 113/18 171/2 174/18 unequivocally [1] 93/9 94/7 94/10 98/1 119/3 119/24 126/17 119/10 120/15 120/15 VIIIs [1] 61/2 73/25 98/21 102/1 105/8 126/25 163/1 176/2 120/20 126/5 126/6 viral [7] 8/5 8/6 9/9 unexpected [2] 15/1 113/18 116/10 116/18 useful [2] 6/15 141/3 127/23 138/2 138/3 148/18 149/12 156/3 15/9 117/11 124/4 124/7 usefully [2] 41/6 138/12 138/20 139/8 156/13 unfortunately [2] 132/8 134/10 134/10 126/25 139/19 146/8 146/15 virgin [1] 159/8 72/16 162/25 136/17 137/25 140/19 users [5] 25/25 81/25 150/24 151/16 163/9 virological [9] 6/21 unheated [3] 8/10 150/7 153/1 156/19 148/6 148/21 148/22 176/1 176/11 7/12 52/4 67/10 67/20 158/7 168/12 157/3 164/18 165/1 uses [2] 28/23 148/5 via [2] 25/6 26/18 70/9 71/9 71/13 113/6 unilaterally [1] 117/9 165/11 166/9 174/2 using [9] 26/14 40/25 viable [1] 122/4 virus [8] 58/25 71/12 unions [1] 75/9 175/8 177/24 79/11 102/10 117/13 vial [6] 34/2 69/23 106/8 152/25 159/23 unique [5] 29/19 upgrading [1] 22/24 118/10 162/22 165/14 170/13 171/19 172/13 164/23 167/17 168/19 29/21 57/17 107/1 upgradings [1] 32/22 172/19 176/22 viruses [9] 84/10 109/25 upon [7] 32/22 34/11 usual [1] 165/25 vials [7] 125/14 138/23 139/6 141/10 **unit [7]** 2/13 12/9 71/10 119/14 169/14 usually [2] 46/7 47/9 125/17 131/7 171/2 149/8 156/15 159/17 16/13 120/6 137/17 169/18 178/1 159/24 176/7 usurped [1] 77/9 171/3 172/7 175/3 138/6 138/15 vials/year [1] 125/17 vision [1] 68/5 upstream [1] 157/7 utilise [1] 62/16 **United [2]** 60/9 162/5 vicariously [1] 89/24 upward [1] 116/2 utilising [1] 27/6 visit [3] 12/1 31/2 units [7] 18/4 55/9 urge [1] 128/20 utmost [1] 108/14 vice [1] 134/24 31/3 56/23 98/9 130/9 view [45] 3/18 6/15 urgency [3] 32/23 visiting [1] 139/10 V 133/20 135/1 125/6 133/1 12/4 25/19 30/15 visits [1] 37/25 unknown [1] 159/17 Vaccination [2] 148/8 34/19 59/20 62/13 **urgent [3]** 132/18 vociferous [1] 51/23

(82) understanding... - vociferous

127/1 116/18 118/20 119/15 124/6 124/20 126/8 146/15 159/21 168/19 ٧ wasted [1] 126/19 122/4 122/7 126/20 126/9 129/6 131/12 174/7 volume [4] 29/20 41/1 watching [1] 1/7 127/7 128/25 133/6 132/9 132/13 133/5 whereby [3] 73/16 102/15 102/19 water [2] 2/8 171/3 133/17 134/11 139/20 133/14 136/8 136/12 119/24 147/8 voluntary [6] 38/5 Watt [42] 6/10 6/12 140/1 141/4 144/22 136/13 137/16 137/19 whether [43] 11/12 40/16 51/13 93/17 145/15 154/10 174/9 14/7 14/20 14/20 137/24 137/25 138/9 37/5 37/5 49/6 49/8 94/23 100/25 15/19 15/22 15/24 177/19 138/16 139/18 140/4 58/1 59/17 69/19 volunteered [1] 88/10 15/25 20/10 21/15 Welsh [1] 109/20 140/20 140/20 142/5 77/23 82/20 83/6 vulnerable [1] 72/22 21/23 23/5 23/19 went [13] 25/18 26/25 148/19 149/2 149/13 83/12 84/3 84/16 W 91/13 101/4 103/14 24/10 30/15 45/23 28/1 28/4 28/8 28/14 150/15 154/5 155/13 53/24 54/11 60/11 65/11 69/9 76/3 156/15 157/19 158/11 112/11 115/4 119/2 wait [1] 132/25 64/14 65/24 66/5 66/9 112/25 116/10 142/9 158/14 158/17 161/4 124/1 126/3 143/9 waiting [1] 132/13 162/15 162/16 163/23 66/18 80/9 91/7 162/13 144/10 144/19 145/9 Wales [20] 44/14 were [249] 122/17 122/23 124/17 166/18 167/4 167/5 145/10 145/13 146/6 44/15 59/14 62/17 weren't [12] 3/18 9/22 167/21 169/7 170/2 146/14 147/12 147/15 124/17 124/25 127/6 62/18 62/21 63/3 64/2 128/8 129/14 132/1 13/25 21/4 39/6 62/4 170/11 171/18 172/11 147/16 147/25 149/23 107/21 107/23 107/25 139/25 144/2 147/21 62/6 69/12 85/21 172/12 172/18 172/24 156/3 158/7 158/24 108/4 111/6 111/12 151/15 151/17 172/3 109/10 162/8 177/11 173/17 174/20 158/25 161/2 162/11 112/16 115/14 129/24 way [19] 4/12 16/22 West [1] 2/16 what's [5] 34/13 164/5 174/25 130/7 134/2 134/17 20/24 40/10 44/20 West Midlands [1] 70/22 107/21 148/13 which [117] 2/13 3/21 want [20] 4/18 18/11 49/24 62/20 64/17 170/15 5/7 5/20 7/17 8/1 8/4 2/16 19/22 41/12 50/5 67/1 68/8 76/3 115/4 what [154] 1/20 3/5 whatever [3] 26/7 8/17 8/19 9/8 10/1 76/5 79/5 92/9 92/13 117/18 119/4 120/1 3/14 4/8 4/8 4/24 9/8 26/20 35/8 11/10 11/25 12/3 92/15 92/17 124/4 143/6 159/13 165/25 10/21 11/3 11/7 11/21 12/10 12/23 16/12 when [65] 7/3 12/23 137/22 140/16 146/21 170/23 175/23 12/17 12/18 15/23 13/21 15/15 20/9 21/2 19/10 20/2 21/1 24/9 156/19 166/12 170/2 ways [3] 110/2 16/7 16/7 20/17 21/4 21/23 23/1 29/10 24/25 25/7 25/17 175/7 134/13 175/17 22/7 24/7 26/11 27/4 29/14 29/17 33/12 26/12 28/17 29/21 wanted [23] 17/18 we [390] 27/15 27/22 29/11 34/7 35/16 45/6 45/15 30/5 30/9 34/18 35/8 23/17 25/1 37/6 53/21 we'll [2] 172/9 173/13 30/19 33/20 34/20 52/10 57/23 69/25 35/19 37/8 39/24 40/1 58/15 68/13 78/17 we're [2] 137/1 34/24 35/15 36/21 70/12 71/17 76/12 40/5 46/6 46/14 48/1 94/7 94/15 110/15 77/6 80/6 81/15 82/21 171/14 38/23 41/16 46/17 51/11 51/19 53/11 110/17 115/10 120/1 54/3 59/5 59/6 71/24 83/8 85/23 89/16 we've [1] 135/1 47/20 47/21 48/16 120/25 124/20 136/9 week [3] 12/17 21/11 51/10 53/7 54/20 92/21 94/12 99/24 72/22 73/3 73/5 74/19 141/15 147/1 148/7 56/16 59/11 60/1 60/8 100/14 103/4 103/9 75/2 76/1 76/17 83/2 109/5 150/10 165/2 172/10 weekends [1] 47/9 61/2 61/13 64/12 105/25 106/5 108/11 83/11 84/8 84/9 85/12 wanting [2] 132/15 weeks [3] 138/24 64/17 64/18 64/18 109/21 115/12 118/8 86/13 87/23 89/23 165/10 139/18 141/11 64/19 65/7 65/9 67/5 120/19 127/24 130/15 90/12 92/7 92/7 94/19 warehousing [1] 36/7 welcomed [1] 38/12 68/20 68/21 68/22 131/25 133/18 137/4 94/20 94/24 95/1 warning [1] 176/15 68/24 68/24 74/12 well [66] 11/15 15/11 137/9 138/9 139/17 99/10 101/1 101/10 warnings [4] 80/7 15/17 21/25 24/3 27/7 74/18 75/2 75/18 140/24 151/11 152/14 101/22 106/17 106/21 170/4 176/11 176/12 28/21 30/5 33/12 37/4 75/21 75/25 76/20 152/19 153/21 153/23 106/23 107/13 108/8 was [758] 39/2 39/2 39/8 41/8 77/19 78/1 78/3 78/24 153/24 160/3 169/15 110/2 111/11 113/23 wasn't [28] 41/17 44/18 46/4 49/10 79/18 79/25 81/17 171/5 172/14 172/22 115/4 116/6 116/11 43/19 44/22 51/14 51/22 52/8 57/7 57/11 86/7 89/11 89/11 173/4 173/6 175/18 117/4 118/3 119/12 57/19 63/24 75/5 58/6 58/19 59/2 59/4 91/25 95/8 95/23 96/6 where [30] 2/9 28/4 119/14 123/18 125/5 80/23 85/16 91/24 60/11 60/17 60/18 97/1 97/4 101/3 28/8 28/9 28/14 32/6 125/18 132/10 133/23 95/9 98/16 101/18 62/2 65/1 70/24 71/1 101/14 103/23 104/9 33/22 36/5 37/11 134/4 134/5 136/12 108/7 108/23 112/4 105/4 105/18 106/12 71/7 71/21 85/21 45/18 46/11 46/24 137/9 137/11 139/20 117/4 117/8 133/1 86/20 87/8 88/19 110/13 112/10 112/23 49/20 51/4 51/7 51/9 140/11 142/14 144/7 140/11 145/8 147/18 89/15 95/14 105/3 115/9 115/12 115/21 54/8 57/8 101/8 103/5 147/4 153/13 154/7 149/23 150/17 154/5 107/11 108/6 110/5 119/17 120/23 121/11 112/24 125/22 125/25 154/17 156/4 158/7 161/19 169/25 177/10 111/18 113/12 115/16 121/17 121/22 122/12 132/22 135/1 138/7 161/6 161/16 166/16 waste [2] 126/24 (83) volume - which

50/24 67/17 139/2 54/15 74/10 80/22 122/21 126/3 126/20 W wondered [1] 121/2 140/10 158/23 wondering [2] 144/19 144/22 145/11 146/7 127/15 128/3 129/8 which... [7] 168/10 wildly [1] 161/12 145/13 146/8 146/12 146/14 130/9 131/21 134/8 170/20 170/25 172/10 will [52] 1/7 1/7 1/10 word [1] 31/16 146/17 149/19 160/9 135/16 135/23 136/1 173/18 173/20 177/25 wording [9] 172/25 136/16 136/17 137/3 1/11 2/24 4/1 6/24 160/12 175/16 175/24 while [1] 157/5 7/11 10/6 10/16 19/22 173/9 173/18 174/3 wrong [3] 77/21 96/1 137/6 137/7 139/16 whilst [4] 22/1 52/18 21/8 34/1 36/19 40/1 174/8 174/17 174/25 163/13 140/9 143/21 143/25 99/14 126/12 49/25 52/25 53/4 177/20 177/25 wrote [3] 39/23 72/1 146/19 146/19 146/19 Whitley [6] 38/22 58/10 64/2 72/12 74/7 words [5] 77/9 77/25 147/19 148/15 148/17 72/6 39/13 39/14 41/9 80/5 80/8 91/9 95/16 122/20 174/6 176/14 148/23 150/9 151/4 X 110/9 110/14 98/12 109/22 115/24 work [34] 2/3 4/24 152/1 152/6 154/1 who [44] 1/7 1/25 5/2 XIII [2] 97/11 97/17 121/1 121/7 125/17 4/24 5/2 5/3 11/19 154/2 154/5 155/3 6/10 14/7 20/8 21/10 125/19 125/23 127/17 12/8 12/18 13/10 155/9 155/12 155/15 23/14 26/13 39/4 128/22 130/3 130/12 15/14 18/23 19/14 157/12 160/6 161/21 39/11 46/17 48/14 year [13] 35/3 35/21 130/15 130/17 130/19 21/14 21/21 30/1 32/4 162/10 168/18 169/12 48/19 48/21 48/25 40/18 98/12 101/8 131/5 131/10 132/10 33/21 39/5 40/21 169/12 169/12 170/14 54/21 60/11 61/5 115/21 118/16 125/17 135/20 151/4 162/12 43/11 60/13 61/16 170/18 171/14 172/9 64/14 69/16 89/13 130/7 134/7 134/9 164/11 168/10 173/19 68/8 98/1 98/2 106/24 173/22 173/25 174/15 92/24 94/16 95/15 138/4 143/24 177/25 178/2 124/11 129/5 139/25 176/15 178/2 96/12 100/6 105/5 years [10] 15/12 30/8 Willoughby [1] 146/5 160/3 167/6 yet [2] 157/5 161/18 110/7 115/7 118/10 38/11 42/3 83/17 103/15 169/10 169/18 yield [8] 71/20 106/7 126/7 144/11 146/8 83/18 111/3 125/15 Winkelman [1] 54/23 worked [5] 22/8 68/20 107/3 124/12 157/2 157/20 157/24 159/9 134/11 150/21 wish [1] 127/19 87/20 92/24 138/15 157/4 157/8 164/23 163/15 165/8 173/11 **yellow [2]** 138/8 wishing [1] 139/8 worker [1] 54/23 yielded [1] 107/2 175/2 175/10 175/12 138/9 working [26] 4/5 5/20 yields [1] 106/25 with [221] 177/14 yes [147] 2/20 2/23 withheld [1] 105/10 21/17 41/5 41/10 48/7 Yorkhill [4] 103/2 whoever [2] 53/12 3/5 3/6 3/6 3/9 3/25 within [18] 39/11 48/10 54/17 54/18 103/7 103/10 103/16 174/21 6/3 6/5 7/6 7/23 9/20 43/13 47/25 48/17 58/8 63/23 63/24 you [436] whole [17] 19/13 10/4 13/23 14/24 17/2 52/1 125/3 130/7 65/18 67/25 68/3 68/9 you'd [1] 115/1 45/11 45/19 47/13 17/21 17/25 18/25 91/23 96/7 108/17 138/24 139/17 141/11 you'll [**2**] 122/22 49/22 57/3 78/11 19/7 20/3 20/7 26/15 109/16 109/18 110/2 155/24 158/20 162/3 171/11 79/10 92/5 101/22 29/14 31/5 31/12 162/3 168/20 168/23 138/4 144/4 144/11 you're [3] 143/19 106/16 106/20 111/7 34/12 35/7 35/7 36/19 173/8 176/23 171/18 173/4 177/2 111/12 169/7 177/7 38/6 40/12 41/23 without [3] 32/8 139/7 works [1] 36/12 you've [2] 138/21 177/18 41/25 42/4 42/4 42/7 159/15 world [4] 40/20 78/21 163/9 wholesaler [1] 28/12 42/9 42/13 42/16 43/6 withstand [1] 168/12 134/22 154/13 your [123] 1/10 4/1 whom [3] 43/16 100/2 43/9 46/1 46/16 47/19 worse [1] 156/1 4/24 5/19 5/21 6/23 WITN6920001 [7] 116/13 52/14 52/15 52/15 18/14 41/12 55/5 worst [1] 118/3 6/25 7/18 7/22 9/17 whose [5] 67/8 76/8 53/4 53/19 59/17 68/12 113/16 141/7 worth [1] 170/6 10/11 11/19 11/20 89/10 163/8 171/16 59/25 60/22 61/21 153/3 would [252] 12/18 13/5 13/8 13/10 why [25] 10/22 12/10 62/1 62/2 62/22 63/4 WITN69290001 [1] wouldn't [17] 22/5 13/21 16/7 17/6 17/9 25/15 30/7 34/13 90/1 63/23 64/11 66/3 149/16 23/1 26/15 27/25 70/1 17/19 17/22 17/22 93/7 93/12 94/3 94/7 75/15 79/22 80/13 witness [10] 7/18 82/11 86/3 90/1 105/7 18/13 22/18 22/22 102/10 103/16 104/10 81/10 83/9 83/15 23/11 23/24 27/6 30/7 18/13 58/22 113/14 108/9 111/20 117/25 104/14 107/22 107/24 88/13 90/14 93/5 117/1 117/19 138/3 118/14 132/11 140/16 31/15 33/1 33/7 34/13 112/4 114/7 131/17 93/14 97/10 98/22 138/21 149/16 153/2 143/3 172/14 39/25 41/11 44/17 131/22 132/11 142/22 100/16 102/12 103/4 witnesses [2] 53/7 write [6] 11/1 74/13 45/23 50/1 50/3 50/6 144/17 158/9 167/18 104/5 105/2 105/7 50/8 50/10 50/19 82/5 75/3 75/19 75/25 wide [3] 56/12 144/14 108/18 108/22 109/22 Wolverhampton [4] 145/3 54/20 55/4 56/5 57/12 159/24 111/9 111/9 112/22 2/9 2/9 2/13 12/8 writing [1] 130/24 57/19 59/10 63/10 widely [1] 28/6 114/11 116/4 119/6 won't [3] 4/2 170/4 written [19] 7/14 64/9 66/23 68/11 72/3 wider [7] 20/12 37/20 119/22 121/12 122/15 77/11 77/14 77/15 178/1 36/22 50/4 54/14 (84) which... - your

\ <u>'</u>				
Y				
your [64] 77/20				
78/2 83/17 87/1 87/14				
88/8 88/9 88/14 92/16				
92/17 93/3 94/7 94/11				
95/4 95/23 96/4 96/9				
99/4 99/19 103/1				
103/2 105/24 107/21				
110/10 111/25 113/14				
116/6 117/18 120/16				
126/14 128/16 128/17				
129/17 131/6 135/5				
136/3 136/17 137/23				
137/25 138/21 141/5				
143/24 146/4 149/16				
149/22 151/10 151/25				
152/9 153/2 153/16				
154/18 155/1 155/2				
156/2 161/3 164/10				
164/13 165/1 172/17				
175/4 175/6 175/12				
175/22 176/18				
yours [1] 13/25				
yourself [2] 11/16				
96/7				
Z				
<b>Z8 [3]</b> 9/14 42/11				
42/20				
<b>Zealand [1]</b> 5/8				
zeros [1] 141/8				
<b>ZHT [1]</b> 158/18				
zinc [1] 157/10				
zoom [3] 41/15 90/20				
166/17				
<b>Zuckerman [1]</b> 147/3				
	1	1	1	(85) your Zuckerman